



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra



W -graph ideals and duality[☆]



Yunchuan Yin

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University of Finance and Economics,
777 Guoding Road, Shanghai 200433, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 17 July 2015

Available online xxxx

Communicated by Gunter Malle

MSC:

20C08

20F55

Keywords:

Coxeter group

Hecke algebra

W -graph

Kazhdan–Lusztig basis

Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomial

ABSTRACT

This paper is the continuation of the work in [14]. In that paper we generalized the definition of W -graph ideal in the weighted Coxeter groups, and showed how to construct a W -graph from a given W -graph ideal in the case of unequal parameters.

In this paper we study the duality and the full W -graph for a given W -graph ideal. We show that there are two modules associated with a given W -graph ideal, they are connected by a duality map. The full W -graph includes all the W -graph data determined by the dual and contragredient representations. Our construction closely parallels that of Kazhdan and Lusztig [6,10,11], which can be regarded as the special case $J = \emptyset$. It also generalizes the work of Couillens [2], Deodhar [3,4], and Douglass [5], corresponding to the parabolic case.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $\mathcal{H}(W)$ its Hecke algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$, the ring of Laurent polynomials in the indeterminate q . This is now called *the one parameter case*.

[☆] The work is supported by China NSFC Grant 11271239.

E-mail address: yyin@mail.shufe.edu.cn.

In [9] Howlett and Nguyen introduced the concept of a W -graph ideal in (W, \leq_L) with respect to a subset J of S , where \leq_L is the left weak Bruhat order on W . They showed that a W -graph can be constructed from a given W -graph ideal, and a Kazhdan–Lusztig like algorithm was obtained.

In [14] we generalized the definition of W -graph ideal in the Coxeter groups with a weight function L , we showed that a W -graph can also be constructed from a given W -graph ideal.

In this paper we continue the work in [14], it grows out of our attempt to understand the “full W -graph” for a given W -graph ideal. We show that, if J is an arbitrary subset of S then there exist a pair of dual modules $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ and $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ (denoted by M and \widetilde{M}) associated with a given W -graph ideal \mathbf{E}_J , they are connected by a duality map, this in turn leads to the construction of the dual W -graph bases. Generally D_J , the set of distinguished left coset representatives of W_J in W , is a W -graph ideal with respect to J and also with respect to \emptyset , and Couillens, Deodhar and Douglass’s parabolic analogues of the Kazhdan–Lusztig construction are recovered (see Couillens [2], Deodhar [3,4] and Douglass [5]). In particular, W itself is a W -graph ideal with respect to \emptyset , and the “full” W -graph obtained is the Kazhdan–Lusztig W -graph for the regular representation of $\mathcal{H}(W)$ (as defined in [6]).

Inspired by Lusztig’s work [11, Ch. 10], we can construct the W -graph bases for each of the \mathcal{H} -modules $\text{Hom}_A(M, A)$ and $\text{Hom}_A(\widetilde{M}, A)$ (denoted by \hat{M} and $\hat{\widetilde{M}}$ respectively), where A is the ring of “generalized Laurent polynomials in q ”.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we present some basic concepts and facts concerning the weighted Coxeter groups, Hecke algebras and W -graphs. In Section 2, we recall the concept of W -graph ideal. In Section 3, we show a duality theorem for the W -graph ideals.

In Section 4 we study in general the W -graphs for the modules \hat{M} and $\hat{\widetilde{M}}$.

In Section 5, we prove, in the case W is finite, an inversion formula that relates the two versions of the relative Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials. In the last section we give some examples and remarks.

1. Preliminaries

Let W be a Coxeter group, with generating set S . In this section, we briefly recall some basic concepts concerning the general multi-parameter framework of Lusztig [10, 11], which introduces a weight function into Coxeter groups and their associated Hecke algebras on which all the subsequent constructions depend.

We denote by $\ell : W \rightarrow \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ the length function on W with respect to S . Let \leq denote the Bruhat order on W .

In this section we follow the conventions in [1,8]. Let Γ be the totally ordered abelian group which will be denoted additively, the order on Γ will be denoted by \leq . Let $\{L(s) \mid s \in S\} \subseteq \Gamma$ be a collection of elements such that $L(s) = L(t)$ whenever $s, t \in S$ are conjugate in W . This gives rise to a weight function

$$L : W \longrightarrow \Gamma$$

in the sense of Lusztig [10,11]; we have $L(w) = L(s_1) + L(s_2) + \cdots + L(s_k)$ where $w = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k$ ($s_i \in S$) is a reduced expression for $w \in W$. We assume throughout that

$$L(s) \geq 0$$

for all $s \in S$. (If $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ and $L(s) = 1$ for all $s \in S$, then this is the original “equal parameter” setting of [6].)

Let $R \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a subring and $A = R[\Gamma]$ be a free R -module with basis $\{q^\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ where q is an indeterminant. (The basic constructions in this section are independent of the choice of R and so we could just take $R = \mathbb{Z}$.) The flexibility of R will be useful once we consider the representations of W . There is a well-defined ring structure on A such that $q^\gamma q^{\gamma'} = q^{\gamma+\gamma'}$ for all $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$. We denote $1 = q^0 \in A$. If $a \in A$ we denote by a_γ the coefficient of a on q^γ so that $a = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma q^\gamma$. If $a \neq 0$ we define the degree of a as the element of Γ equal to

$$\deg(a) = \max\{\gamma \mid a_\gamma \neq 0\}$$

by convention (see [1]), we set $\deg 0 = -\infty$. So $\deg : A \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{-\infty\}$ satisfies $\deg(ab) = \deg(a) + \deg(b)$.

Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(W, S, L)$ be the generic Hecke algebra corresponding to (W, S) with parameters $\{q^{L(s)} \mid s \in S\}$. Thus \mathcal{H} has an A -basis $\{T_w \mid w \in W\}$ and the multiplication is given by the rules

$$T_s T_w = \begin{cases} T_{sw} & \text{if } \ell(sw) > \ell(w) \\ T_{sw} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)})T_w & \text{if } \ell(sw) < \ell(w). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Let $\Gamma_{\geq \gamma_0} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma \geq \gamma_0\}$ and denote by $A_{\geq \gamma_0}$ (or $R[\Gamma_{\geq \gamma_0}]$) the set of all R -linear combinations of terms q^γ where $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$. The notations $A_{\gamma > \gamma_0}, A_{\gamma \leq \gamma_0}, A_{\gamma < \gamma_0}$ have a similar meaning.

We denote by $A \mapsto A, a \mapsto \bar{a}$ the automorphism of A induced by the automorphism of Γ sending γ to $-\gamma$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$. This extends to a ring involution $\mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{H}, h \mapsto \bar{h}$, where

$$\overline{\sum_{w \in W} a_w T_w} = \sum_{w \in W} \bar{a}_w T_{w^{-1}}^{-1}, \quad a_w \in A \text{ for all } w \in W,$$

and

$$\overline{T_s} = T_s^{-1} = T_s + (q^{-L(s)} - q^{L(s)}) \text{ for all } s \in S.$$

1.1. Definition of W -graph

Definition 1.1. (For equal parameter case see [6]; for general L see [7].) A W -graph for \mathcal{H} consists of the following data:

- (a) a base set Λ together with a map I which assigns to each $x \in \Lambda$ a subset $I(x) \subseteq S$;
- (b) for each $s \in S$ with $L(s) > 0$, a collection of elements

$$\{\mu_{x,y}^s \mid x, y \in \Lambda \text{ such that } s \in I(x), s \notin I(y)\};$$

- (c) for each $s \in S$ with $L(s) = 0$ a bijection $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda, x \rightarrow s.x$. These data are subject to the following requirements. First we require that, for any $x, y \in \Lambda$ and $s \in S$ where $\mu_{x,y}^s$ is defined, we have

$$q^{L(s)} \mu_{x,y}^s \in R[\Gamma_{>0}] \text{ and } \overline{\mu_{x,y}^s} = \mu_{x,y}^s.$$

Furthermore, let $[\Lambda]_A$ be a free A -module with basis $\{b_y \mid y \in \Lambda\}$. For $s \in S$, define an A -linear map

$$\rho_s(b_y) = \begin{cases} b_{s.y} & \text{if } L(s) = 0; \\ -q^{-L(y)} b_y & \text{if } L(s) > 0, s \in I(y); \\ q^{L(y)} b_y + \sum_{x \in \Lambda; s \in I(x)} \mu_{x,y}^s b_x & \text{if } L(s) > 0, s \notin I(y). \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Then we require that the assignment $T_s \mapsto \rho_s$ defines a representation of \mathcal{H} .

2. W -graph ideals

For each $J \subseteq S$, let $\hat{J} = S \setminus J$ (the complement of J) and define $W_J = \langle J \rangle$, the corresponding parabolic subgroup of W . Let \mathcal{H}_J be the Hecke algebra associated with W_J . As is well known, \mathcal{H}_J can be identified with a subalgebra of \mathcal{H} .

Let $D_J = \{w \in W \mid \ell(ws) > \ell(w) \text{ for all } s \in J\}$, the set of minimal coset representatives of W/W_J . The following lemma is well known.

Lemma 2.1 (Modified). (See [3, Lemma 2.1(iii)].) Let $J \subseteq S$ and $s \in S$, and define

$$\begin{aligned} D_{J,s}^- &= \{w \in D_J \mid \ell(sw) < \ell(w)\}, \\ D_{J,s}^+ &= \{w \in D_J \mid \ell(sw) > \ell(w) \text{ and } sw \in D_J\}, \\ D_{J,s}^0 &= \{w \in D_J \mid \ell(sw) > \ell(w) \text{ and } sw \notin D_J\}, \end{aligned}$$

so that D_J is the disjoint union $D_{J,s}^- \cup D_{J,s}^+ \cup D_{J,s}^0$. Then $sD_{J,s}^+ = D_{J,s}^-$, and if $w \in D_{J,s}^0$ then $sw = wt$ for some $t \in J$.

In this section we shall recall [9, Section 5], with some modification.

Let \leq_L denote the left weak (Bruhat) order on W . We say $x \leq_L y$ if and only if $y = zx$ for some $z \in W$ such that $\ell(y) = \ell(z) + \ell(x)$. We also say that x is a *suffix* of y . The following property of the Bruhat order is useful (see [11, Corollary 2.5], for example).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $y, z \in W$ and let $s \in S$.*

- (i) *Assume that $sz < z$, then $y \leq z \iff sy \leq z$.*
- (ii) *Assume that $y < sy$, then $y \leq z \iff y \leq sz$.*

Definition 2.3. If $X \subseteq W$, let $Pos(X) = \{s \in S \mid \ell(xs) > \ell(x) \text{ for all } x \in X\}$.

Thus $Pos(X)$ is the largest subset J of S such that $X \subseteq D_J$. Let \mathbf{E} be an ideal in the poset (W, \leq_L) ; that is, \mathbf{E} is a subset of W such that every $u \in W$ that is a suffix of an element of \mathbf{E} is itself in \mathbf{E} . This condition implies that $Pos(\mathbf{E}) = S \setminus \mathbf{E} = \{s \in S \mid s \notin \mathbf{E}\}$. Let J be a subset of $Pos(\mathbf{E})$, so that $\mathbf{E} \subseteq D_J$. In contexts we shall denote by \mathbf{E}_J for the set \mathbf{E} , with reference to J , for each $s \in S$ we classify the elements in \mathbf{E}_J as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}_{J,s}^- &= \{w \in \mathbf{E}_J \mid \ell(sw) < \ell(w) \text{ and } sw \in \mathbf{E}_J\}, \\ \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^+ &= \{w \in \mathbf{E}_J \mid \ell(sw) > \ell(w) \text{ and } sw \in \mathbf{E}_J\}, \\ \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,-} &= \{w \in \mathbf{E}_J \mid \ell(sw) > \ell(w) \text{ and } sw \notin D_J\}, \\ \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,+} &= \{w \in \mathbf{E}_J \mid \ell(sw) > \ell(w) \text{ and } sw \in D_J \setminus \mathbf{E}_J\}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{E}_J \subseteq D_J$ it is clear that, for each $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$, each $s \in S$ appears in exactly one of the following four sets $SA(w) = \{s \in S \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^+\}$, $SD(w) = \{s \in S \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^-\}$, $WA_J = \{s \in S \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,+}\}$ and $WD_J = \{s \in S \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,-}\}$. We call the elements of these sets the strong ascents, strong descents, weak ascents and weak descents of w relative to \mathbf{E}_J and J . In contexts where the ideal \mathbf{E}_J and the set J is fixed we frequently omit reference to J , writing $WA(w)$ and $WD(w)$ rather than $WA_J(w)$ and $WD_J(w)$. We also define the sets of descents and ascents of w by $D(w) = SD(w) \cup WD(w)$ and $A(w) = SA(w) \cup WA(w)$.

Remark. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}WA_J(w) &= \{s \in S \mid sw \notin \mathbf{E}_J \text{ and } w^{-1}sw \notin J\}, \\ WD_J(w) &= \{s \in S \mid sw \notin \mathbf{E}_J \text{ and } w^{-1}sw \in J\}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $sw \notin \mathbf{E}_J$ implies that $sw > w$ (given that \mathbf{E}_J is an ideal in (W, \leq_L)). Note also that $J = WD_J(1)$.

Definition 2.4 (Modified). (See [9, Definition 5.1].) Let (W, S) be a Coxeter group with weight function L such that $L(s) \geq 0$ for all $s \in S$, \mathcal{H} be the corresponding Hecke

algebra. The set \mathbf{E}_J is said to be a W -graph ideal with respect to $J(\subseteq S)$ and L if the following hypotheses are satisfied.

- (i) There exists an A -free \mathcal{H} -module $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ possessing an A -basis

$$B = \{\Gamma_w | w \in \mathbf{E}_J\},$$

for any $s \in S$ and any $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we have

$$T_s \Gamma_w = \begin{cases} \Gamma_{sw} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)})\Gamma_w & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^-, \\ \Gamma_{sw} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^+, \\ -q^{-L(s)}\Gamma_w & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,-}, \\ q^{L(s)}\Gamma_w - \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbf{E}_J \\ z < w}} r_{z,w}^s \Gamma_z & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,+}, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

for some polynomials $r_{z,w}^s \in q^{L(s)}A_{>0}$.

- (ii) The module $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ admits an A -semilinear involution $\alpha \mapsto \bar{\alpha}$ satisfying $\overline{\Gamma_1} = \Gamma_1$ and $\overline{h\alpha} = \bar{h}\bar{\alpha}$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\alpha \in M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$.

An obvious induction on $\ell(w)$ shows that $\Gamma_w = T_w \Gamma_1$ for all $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$.

Definition 2.5. (See [9, Definition 5.2].) If $w \in W$ and $\mathbf{E}_J = \{u \in W \mid u \leq_L w\}$ is a W -graph ideal with respect to some $J \subseteq S$ then we call w a W -graph determining element.

Remark. It has been verified in [9, Section 5] that if W is finite then w_S , the maximal length element of W , is a W -graph determining element with respect to \emptyset and d_J , the minimal length element of the left coset $w_S W_J$, is a W -graph determining element with respect to J and also with respect to \emptyset .

The W -graph for a given W -graph ideal \mathbf{E}_J , carries a representation. In this paper we show that the “dual” and “contragredient” representations are also determined by the W -graph data, which form the “the full W -graph” structures.

3. Duality theorem for W -graph ideals

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter group with weight function L such that $L(s) \geq 0$ for all $s \in S$, \mathcal{H} be the corresponding Hecke algebra. There exists an algebra map $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ given by $\Phi(q^{L(s)}) = q^{L(s)}$ for all $s \in S$, and $\Phi(T_w) = \epsilon_w \overline{T_w}$, where the bar is the standard involution in \mathcal{H} and $\epsilon_w := (-1)^{\ell(w)}$. Further, $\Phi^2 = Id$ and Φ commutes with the bar involution.

3.1. Duality theorem

We now give an equivalent definition of a W -graph ideal, and the associated module is denoted by $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$. The following theorem essentially provides the duality between the two set ups.

Theorem–Definition 3.1.

- (I) With the above notations, let the set \mathbf{E}_J be a W -graph ideal with respect to $J(\subseteq S)$ and L , then the following hypotheses are satisfied.
- (i) There exists an A -free \mathcal{H} -module $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ possessing an A -basis

$$\widetilde{B} = \{\widetilde{\Gamma}_w | w \in \mathbf{E}_J\},$$

for any $s \in S$ and any $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we have

$$T_s \widetilde{\Gamma}_w = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{sw} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)})\widetilde{\Gamma}_w & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^-, \\ \widetilde{\Gamma}_{sw} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^+, \\ q^{L(s)}\widetilde{\Gamma}_w & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,-}, \\ -q^{-L(s)}\widetilde{\Gamma}_w + \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbf{E}_J \\ z < w}} \widetilde{r}_{z,w}^s \widetilde{\Gamma}_z & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,+}, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where $\widetilde{r}_{z,w}^s = \epsilon_z \epsilon_w \overline{r_{z,w}^s} \in q^{-L(s)} A_{<0}$.

- (ii) The module $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ admits an A -semilinear involution $\widetilde{\alpha} \mapsto \overline{\widetilde{\alpha}}$ satisfying $\overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_1} = \widetilde{\Gamma}_1$ and $\overline{h\widetilde{\alpha}} = \widetilde{h\alpha}$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\widetilde{\alpha} \in \widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$.
- (II) There exists a unique map $\eta : M(\mathbf{E}_J, L) \rightarrow \widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ such that

$$(i) \quad \eta(\Gamma_1) = \widetilde{\Gamma}_1;$$

$$(ii) \quad \eta(h\Gamma) = \Phi(h)\eta(\Gamma), \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } \Gamma \in M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$$

(i.e., η is Φ -linear). Further, it has the following properties:

- (a) η commutes with the involution on $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ and $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$.
- (b) η is one-to-one onto and the inverse θ of η , satisfies properties (i) and (ii) of η .

Proof. For $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$, define $\eta(\Gamma_w) = \epsilon_w \overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_w}$. Extend η to the whole of $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ by Φ -linearity. Let $s \in S$. Then we have,

$$\eta(T_s \Gamma_w) = \begin{cases} \eta[\Gamma_{sw} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)})\Gamma_w] & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^-, \\ \eta(\Gamma_{sw}) & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^+, \\ \eta(-q^{-L(s)}\Gamma_w) & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,-}, \\ \eta(q^{L(s)}\Gamma_w - \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbf{E}_J \\ z < w}} r_{z,w}^s \Gamma_z) & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,+}, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

which equals to

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon_{sw} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{sw} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)}) \epsilon_w \widetilde{\Gamma}_w & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^-, \\ \epsilon_{sw} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{sw} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^+, \\ -q^{-L(s)} \epsilon_w \widetilde{\Gamma}_w & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,-}, \\ q^{L(s)} \epsilon_w \widetilde{\Gamma}_w - \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbf{E}_J \\ z < w}} r_{z,w}^s \epsilon_z \widetilde{\Gamma}_z & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,+}, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

for some polynomials $r_{z,w}^s \in q^{L(s)} A_{>0}$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(T_s) \eta(\Gamma_w) &= -\overline{T_s} \epsilon_w \widetilde{\Gamma}_w \\ &= (-1)^{\ell(w)+1} \overline{T_s \widetilde{\Gamma}_w} \\ &= (-1)^{\ell(w)+1} \begin{cases} \overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{sw} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)}) \widetilde{\Gamma}_w} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^-, \\ \overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{sw}} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^+, \\ \overline{q^{L(s)} \widetilde{\Gamma}_w} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,-}, \\ \overline{-q^{-L(s)} \widetilde{\Gamma}_w - \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbf{E}_J \\ z < w}} \widetilde{r}_{z,w}^s \widetilde{\Gamma}_z} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,+}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that these two expressions give the same result, and this shows that $\eta(T_s \Gamma_w) = \Phi(T_s) \eta(\Gamma_w)$. It is also easy to see that $\eta(h \Gamma_w) = \Phi(h) \eta(\Gamma_w)$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\Gamma_w \in M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$.

If η' is another map satisfying properties (i) and (ii), then

$$\eta'(\Gamma_w) = \eta'(T_w \Gamma_1) = \Phi(T_w) \widetilde{\Gamma}_1 = \epsilon_w \overline{T_w} \widetilde{\Gamma}_1 = \epsilon_w \overline{T_w \widetilde{\Gamma}_1} = \epsilon_w \widetilde{\Gamma}_w.$$

It is now clear that $\eta' = \eta$.

To prove statement (a), observe that for any $\Gamma \in M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$, there exists $h \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\Gamma = h \Gamma_1$. Thus

$$\overline{\eta(\Gamma)} = \overline{\eta(h \Gamma_1)} = \overline{\Phi(h) \widetilde{\Gamma}_1} = \overline{\Phi(h)} \widetilde{\Gamma}_1 = \Phi(\bar{h}) \widetilde{\Gamma}_1 = \eta(\bar{h} \Gamma_1) = \eta(\bar{\Gamma}).$$

This proves (a).

We interchange the roles of these two modules to obtain a map

$$\theta : \widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L) \rightarrow M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$$

such that $\theta(\widetilde{\Gamma}_w) = \epsilon_w \overline{\Gamma_w}$. It is easy to check that θ and η are inverses of each other. This proves (b). \square

Corollary 3.2. If $R_{x,y}$ and $\tilde{R}_{x,y}$ are the polynomials given by the formula

$$\overline{\Gamma_y} = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{E}_J} R_{x,y} \Gamma_x, \quad \overline{\tilde{\Gamma}_y} = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{E}_J} \tilde{R}_{x,y} \tilde{\Gamma}_x$$

then

$$\overline{R_{x,y}} = \epsilon_x \epsilon_y \tilde{R}_{x,y}.$$

Proof. Apply the function η to both sides of the formula for $\overline{\Gamma_y}$ and use the fact that η commutes with the involution and then use the formula for $\overline{\tilde{\Gamma}_y}$. We omit the details. \square

The above result can also be proved by the following recursive formulas.

Lemma 3.3. (See [14, Prop. 4.1].) Let $x, y \in \mathbf{E}_J$. If $s \in S$ is such that $y \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^-$ then

$$R_{x,y} = \begin{cases} R_{sx,sy} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^-, \\ R_{sx,sy} + (q^{-L(s)} - q^{L(s)})R_{x,sy} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^+, \\ -q^{L(s)}R_{x,sy} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,-}, \\ q^{-L(s)}R_{x,sy} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,+}. \end{cases}$$

Similarly we have

Lemma 3.4. Let $x, y \in \mathbf{E}_J$. If $s \in S$ is such that $y \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^-$ then

$$\tilde{R}_{x,y} = \begin{cases} \tilde{R}_{sx,sy} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^-, \\ \tilde{R}_{sx,sy} + (q^{-L(s)} - q^{L(s)})\tilde{R}_{x,sy} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^+, \\ q^{-L(s)}\tilde{R}_{x,sy} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,-}, \\ -q^{L(s)}\tilde{R}_{x,sy} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,+}. \end{cases}$$

We have the further properties of $R_{x,y}$.

Lemma 3.5. If $y \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,-}$ then we have

$$R_{x,y} = \begin{cases} -q^{-L(s)}R_{sx,y} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^-, \\ -q^{L(s)}R_{sx,y} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^+. \end{cases}$$

If $y \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,+}$ then we have

$$R_{x,y} = \begin{cases} q^{L(s)} R_{sx,y} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^-, \\ q^{-L(s)} R_{sx,y} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^+. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $y \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,-}$ then

$$T_s \Gamma_y = -q^{-L(s)} \Gamma_y$$

Applying involution bar on both sides. On the left hand side we have

$$\overline{T_s \Gamma_y} = \overline{T_s \Gamma_y} = [T_s + (q^{-L(s)} - q^{L(s)})] \sum_{x \in \mathbf{E}_J} R_{x,y} \Gamma_x$$

while the right hand side is $\overline{-q^{-L(s)} \Gamma_y} = -q^{L(s)} \sum_{x \in \mathbf{E}_J} R_{x,y} \Gamma_x$.

Comparing the coefficients of Γ_x in the two expressions, we get the result. The proof for the case $y \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,+}$ is similar with the above. \square

3.2. Dual bases for the modules $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ and $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$

Recall [14, Th. 4.4] that the invariants in $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ (respectively $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$) form a free A -module with a basis $\{\mathbf{C}_w \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_J\}$ (respectively $\{\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_w \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_J\}$), where $\mathbf{C}_w = \sum_{y \in \mathbf{E}_J} P_{y,w} \Gamma_y$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_w = \sum_{y \in \mathbf{E}_J} \widetilde{P}_{y,w} \widetilde{\Gamma}_y$.

Using the map θ , we obtain a dual basis $\{\mathbf{C}'_w \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_J\}$ for the invariants in $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$. Analogously, using the map η we obtain the dual basis $\{\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}'_w \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_J\}$ for the invariants in $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$.

More precisely, we have:

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\mathbf{C}'_w = \theta(\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_w)$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}'_w = \eta(\mathbf{C}_w)$. Then*

- (a) *The \mathcal{H} -module $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ has a unique basis $\{\mathbf{C}'_w \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_J\}$ such that $\overline{\mathbf{C}'_w} = \mathbf{C}'_w$ for all $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$, and $\mathbf{C}'_w = \sum_{y \in \mathbf{E}_J} \epsilon_y \overline{\widetilde{P}_{y,w}} \Gamma_y$, for some elements $\widetilde{P}_{y,w} \in A_{\geq 0}$ with the following properties:*
- (a1) $\widetilde{P}_{y,w} = 0$ if $y \not\leq w$;
 - (a2) $\widetilde{P}_{w,w} = 1$;
 - (a3) $\widetilde{P}_{y,w}$ has zero constant term if $y \neq w$ and

$$\overline{\widetilde{P}_{y,w}} - \widetilde{P}_{y,w} = \sum_{\substack{y < x \leq w \\ x \in \mathbf{E}_J}} \overline{\widetilde{R}_{y,x}} \widetilde{P}_{x,w} \text{ for any } y < w.$$

(b) Analogously, the module $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ has another basis $\{\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}'_w \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_J\}$, where $\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}'_w = \sum_{y \in \mathbf{E}_J} \epsilon_y \overline{P_{y,w}} \widetilde{\Gamma}_y$.

Proof.

$$\mathbf{C}'_w = \theta\left(\sum_{y \in \mathbf{E}_J} \widetilde{P}_{y,w} \widetilde{\Gamma}_y\right) = \sum_{y \in \mathbf{E}_J} \epsilon_y \widetilde{P}_{y,w} \overline{\Gamma}_y$$

Hence, $\overline{\mathbf{C}'_w} = \overline{\theta(\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_w)} = \theta(\overline{\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_w}) = \theta(\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_w) = \mathbf{C}'_w$ and the result follows. \square

Theorem 3.7. The bases \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C}' , give the module $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ the structures of a W -graph module such that

$$T_s \mathbf{C}_v = \begin{cases} q^{L(s)} \mathbf{C}_v + \mathbf{C}_{sv} + \sum_{z \in \mathbf{E}_J, sz < z < v} m_{z,v}^s \mathbf{C}_z & \text{if } s \in SA(v), \\ -q^{-L(s)} \mathbf{C}_v & \text{if } s \in D(v), \\ q^{L(s)} \mathbf{C}_v + \sum_{z \in \mathbf{E}_J, sz < z < v} m_{z,v}^s \mathbf{C}_z & \text{if } s \in WA(v), \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

$$T_s \mathbf{C}'_v = \begin{cases} -q^{-L(s)} \mathbf{C}'_v + \mathbf{C}'_{sv} + \sum_{z \in \mathbf{E}_J, sz < z < v} m_{z,v}^s \mathbf{C}'_z & \text{if } s \in SA(v), \\ q^{L(s)} \mathbf{C}'_v & \text{if } s \in D(v), \\ -q^{-L(s)} \mathbf{C}'_v + \sum_{z \in \mathbf{E}_J, sz < z < v} m_{z,v}^s \mathbf{C}'_z & \text{if } s \in WA(v). \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

The formulas for $T_s \mathbf{C}_v$, see [14, Th. 4.7]. The formulas for $T_s \mathbf{C}'_v$ are obtained by $\theta(T_s \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_v)$.

3.3. Inversion

For $y, w \in \mathbf{E}_J$, we write the matrix $P = (P_{y,w})$, where $P_{y,w}$ are \mathbf{E}_J -relative Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials. The formula for \mathbf{C}_w in [14, Th. 4.4] may be written as

$$\mathbf{C}_w = \Gamma_w + \sum_{y \in \mathbf{E}_J} P_{y,w} \Gamma_y$$

and inverting this gives

$$\Gamma_w = \mathbf{C}_w + \sum_{y \in \mathbf{E}_J} Q_{y,w} \mathbf{C}_y$$

where the elements $Q_{y,w}$ (defined whenever $y < w$) are given recursively by

$$Q_{y,w} = -P_{y,w} - \sum_{z \in \mathbf{E}_J | y < z < w} Q_{y,z} P_{z,w} \quad (9)$$

An \mathbf{E}_J -chain is a sequence $\zeta : z_0 < z_1 < \cdots < z_n (n \geq 1)$ of elements in \mathbf{E}_J , we set $\ell(\zeta) = n$ and $P_\zeta = P_{z_0, z_1} P_{z_1, z_2} \cdots P_{z_{n-1}, z_n}$. z_0 is called the initial element of ζ and z_n is called the final element of ζ . For $y < w$, let $\tau(y, w)$ denote the set of all \mathbf{E}_J -chains with y as the initial element and w as the final element.

The following results are inspired by Lusztig [11, Ch. 10] and [12]. For the sake of completeness we attach the proof.

Proposition 3.8. *For any $y, w \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we have*

$$Q_{y,w} = \sum_{\zeta \in \tau(y,w)} (-1)^{\ell(\zeta)} P_\zeta$$

We have $Q_{y,w} \in A_{\geq 0}$ with the following properties:

- (a1) $Q_{y,w} = 0$ if $y \not\leq w$;
- (a2) $Q_{w,w} = 1$.

Proof. If $\ell(w) - \ell(y) = 1$, by Eq. (9) we have $Q_{y,w} = -P_{y,w}$. The statement is true. Applying induction on $\ell(w) - \ell(y) \geq 1$. For any $z \in \mathbf{E}_J$, $y < z < w$, in the sum of Eq. (9) we use the induction hypothesis.

$$Q_{y,z} = \sum_{\zeta' \in \tau(y,z)} (-1)^{\ell(\zeta')} P_{\zeta'}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{y,w} &= -P_{y,w} - \sum_{\zeta' \in \tau(y,z)} (-1)^{\ell(\zeta')} P_{\zeta'} P_{z,w} \\ &= \sum_{\zeta \in \tau(y,w)} (-1)^{\ell(\zeta)} P_\zeta \end{aligned}$$

where the sequence $\zeta = (y, w) (\in \tau(y, w))$ is with $\ell(\zeta) = 1$ and $(\zeta', w) (\in \tau(y, w))$ with the length $\ell(\zeta') + 1$. The listed properties of Q 's are by Eq. (9). The result is proved. \square

We define

$$Q'_{y,w} = \epsilon_y \epsilon_w Q_{y,w}$$

If P is a property we set $\delta_P = 1$ if P is true and $\delta_P = 0$ if P is false. We write $\delta_{x,y}$ instead of $\delta_{x=y}$.

Proposition 3.9. For any $y, w \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we have $\overline{Q'_{y,w}} = \sum_{z: y \leq_L z \leq_L w} Q'_{y,z} \overline{\widetilde{R}_{z,w}}$.

Proof. The triangular matrices $Q = (Q_{y,w}), P = (P_{y,w}), R = (R_{y,w})$ are related by

$$PQ = QP = 1, \overline{P} = \overline{R}P, \overline{R}R = R\overline{R} = 1$$

where the bar involution over a matrix is the matrix obtained by applying $\bar{}$ to each entry. We deduce that

$$QP = 1 = \overline{QP} = \overline{Q} \overline{P}$$

Multiplying on the right by Q and using the fact $PQ = 1$ we deduce $Q = \overline{Q} \overline{R}$. This gives

$$\overline{Q} = QR$$

Let S be the matrix whose (y, w) -entry is $\epsilon_y \delta_{y,w}$. We have $S^2 = 1$. Note that $Q' = SQS$. By Corollary 3.2 we have $\widetilde{R} = S\widetilde{R}S$. Hence

$$\overline{Q'} = \overline{SQS} = S(\overline{QR})S = SQS \cdot SRS = Q' \overline{\widetilde{R}}$$

The result follows. \square

4. W -graphs for the modules \hat{M} and $\widehat{\widetilde{M}}$

Denote by $M := M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ and $\widetilde{M} := \widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$. Let $\hat{M} := \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$ and $\widehat{\widetilde{M}} := \text{Hom}_A(\widetilde{M}, A)$.

Define an left \mathcal{H} -module structure on \hat{M} by

$$hf(m) = f(hm) \text{ (with } f \in \hat{M}, m \in M, h \in \mathcal{H}).$$

We define a bar operator $\hat{M} \mapsto \hat{M}$ by $\overline{f}(m) = \overline{f(\overline{m})}$ (with $f \in \hat{M}, m \in M$); in $\overline{f(\overline{m})}$ the lower bar is that of M and the upper bar is that of A .

$$\overline{h \cdot f}(m) = \overline{hf(\overline{m})} = \overline{f(h\overline{m})} = \overline{f(\overline{hm})} = \overline{f}(\overline{hm}) = \overline{h} \cdot \overline{f}(m).$$

Hence we have $\overline{h \cdot f} = \overline{h} \cdot \overline{f}$ for $f \in \hat{M}, h \in \mathcal{H}$.

In the following contexts we focus on the module \hat{M} , and usually omit the analogous details for $\widehat{\widetilde{M}}$.

4.1. The basis of \hat{M}

We firstly introduce two bases for the module \hat{M} . For any $z \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we define $\hat{\Gamma}_z \in \hat{M}$ by $\hat{\Gamma}_z(\Gamma_w) = \delta_{z,w}$ for any $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$. Then $\hat{B} =: \{\hat{\Gamma}_z; z \in \mathbf{E}_J\}$ is an A -basis of \hat{M} .

Further, for any $z \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we define $D_z \in \hat{M}$ by $D_z(\mathbf{C}_w) = \delta_{z,w}$ for any $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$. Then $D := \{D_z; z \in \mathbf{E}_J\}$ is an A -basis of \hat{M} .

Obviously we have

$$D_z = \sum_{y \in \mathbf{E}_J, z < y} Q_{z,y} \hat{\Gamma}_y.$$

An equivalent definition of the basis element $D_w \in \hat{M}$ is

$$D_z(\Gamma_y) = Q_{z,y}$$

for all $y \in \mathbf{E}_J$. In fact, we have

$$D_z(\mathbf{C}_w) = D_z \sum_{y \in \mathbf{E}_J} P_{y,w} \Gamma_y = \sum_{y \in \mathbf{E}_J} Q_{z,y} P_{y,w} = \delta_{z,w}$$

Lemma 4.1. *For any $y \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we have*

$$\overline{\hat{\Gamma}_y} = \sum_{w \in \mathbf{E}_J, y \leq w} \overline{R_{y,w}} \hat{\Gamma}_w.$$

Proof. For any $x \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\hat{\Gamma}_y}(\Gamma_x) &= \overline{\hat{\Gamma}_y(\overline{\Gamma_x})} \\ &= \overline{\hat{\Gamma}_y\left(\sum_{x' \in \mathbf{E}_J, x' \leq x} R_{x',x} \Gamma_{x'}\right)} = \overline{\delta_{y \leq x} R_{y,x}} = \delta_{y \leq x} \overline{R_{y,x}} \\ &= \sum_{w \in \mathbf{E}_J, y \leq w} \overline{R_{y,w}} \hat{\Gamma}_w(\Gamma_x) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.2. *The \mathcal{H} -module $\hat{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ has a unique basis $\{D_z \mid z \in \mathbf{E}_J\}$ such that $\overline{D_z} = D_z$ for all $z \in \mathbf{E}_J$, and $D_z = \sum_{y \in \mathbf{E}_J} Q_{z,y} \hat{\Gamma}_y$, for some elements $Q_{z,y} \in A_{\geq 0}$ with the following properties:*

- (a1) $Q_{z,y} = 0$ if $z \not\leq y$;
- (a2) $Q_{z,z} = 1$;
- (a3) $Q_{z,y}$ has zero constant term if $z \neq y$ and

$$Q_{z,y} - \overline{Q_{z,y}} = \sum_{\substack{z \leq x < y \\ x \in \mathbf{E}_J}} \overline{Q_{z,x} R_{x,y}} \text{ for any } z < y.$$

The proof is very similar with that of [11, Th. 5.2]. It uses induction on $\ell(w) - \ell(y)$, the equation $\overline{Q} = QR$ in Proposition 3.9 and Lemma 4.1, and the fact:

If $f = \sum_{\substack{z \leq x < y \\ y \in \mathbf{E}_J}} Q_{z,x} R_{x,y}$ then $\bar{f} = -f$. We omit further details of the proof.

The (left) ascent set of $z \in \mathbf{E}_J$ is

$$A(z) = \{s \in S \mid z \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^+ \cup \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,+}\}$$

Theorem 4.3. Let $s \in S$ and assume that $L(s) > 0$. The basis elements

$$\{D_z \mid z \in \mathbf{E}_J\}$$

give \hat{M} the structure of a W -graph module such that

$$T_s D_z = \begin{cases} -q^{-L(s)} D_z + D_{sz} + \sum_{z < u, s \in A(u)} m_{z,u}^s D_u & \text{if } s \in SD(z), \\ q^{L(s)} D_z & \text{if } s \in A(z), \\ -q^{-L(s)} D_z + \sum_{z < u, s \in A(u)} m_{z,u}^s D_u & \text{if } s \in WD(z). \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Proof. In the case $s \in SD(z)$, $T_s D_z(\mathbf{C}_w) = D_z(T_s \mathbf{C}_w)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} T_s D_z(\mathbf{C}_w) &= \begin{cases} D_z(q^{L(s)} \mathbf{C}_w + \mathbf{C}_{sw} + \sum_{x \in \mathbf{E}_J, sx < x < w} m_{x,w}^s \mathbf{C}_x) & \text{if } s \in SA(w), \\ D_z(-q^{-L(s)} \mathbf{C}_w) & \text{if } s \in D(w), \\ D_z(q^{L(s)} \mathbf{C}_w + \sum_{x \in \mathbf{E}_J, sx < x < w} m_{x,w}^s \mathbf{C}_x) & \text{if } s \in WA(w), \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \delta_{z,sw} + \sum_{x \in \mathbf{E}_J, sx < x < w} m_{x,w}^s \delta_{z,x} & \text{if } s \in SA(w), \\ -q^{-L(s)} \delta_{z,w} & \text{if } s \in SD(w), \\ 0 & \text{if } s \in WD(w), \\ \sum_{x \in \mathbf{E}_J, sx < x < w} m_{x,w}^s \delta_{z,x} & \text{if } s \in WA(w), \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \delta_{z,sw} + m_{z,w}^s \delta_{z < w} & \text{if } s \in SA(w), \\ -q^{-L(s)} \delta_{z,w} & \text{if } s \in SD(w), \\ 0 & \text{if } s \in WD(w), \\ m_{z,w}^s \delta_{z < w} & \text{if } s \in WA(w), \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (D_{sz} + \sum_{z < u, u \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^+} m_{z,u}^s D_u)(\mathbf{C}_w) & \text{if } s \in SA(w), \\ -q^{-L(s)} D_z(\mathbf{C}_w) & \text{if } s \in SD(w), \\ 0 & \text{if } s \in WD(w), \\ \sum_{z < u, u \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,+}} m_{z,u}^s D_u(\mathbf{C}_w) & \text{if } s \in WA(w). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$T_s D_z(\mathbf{C}_w) = (-q^{-L(s)} D_z + D_{sz} + \sum_{z < u, s \in A(u)} m_{z,u}^s D_u)(\mathbf{C}_w)$$

for all $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$. The desired formula follows.

In other cases the computation is similar with the above, we omit the details. \square

The following is by [14, Prop. 4.8].

Corollary 4.4. For $s \in S$ with $L(s) = 0$, $z \in \mathbf{E}_J$, we have

$$T_s D_z = \begin{cases} D_{sz} & \text{if } s \in SD(z) \text{ or } s \in SA(z), \\ -D_z & \text{if } s \in WD(z), \\ D_z & \text{if } s \in WA(z). \end{cases}$$

4.2. The D' -basis for \hat{M}

Theorem 4.5. The \mathcal{H} -module $\hat{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ has a unique basis $\{D'_z \mid z \in \mathbf{E}_J\}$ such that $\overline{D'_z} = D'_z$ for all $z \in \mathbf{E}_J$, and $D'_z = \sum_{y \in \mathbf{E}_J} \epsilon_y \overline{\tilde{Q}_{z,y}} \hat{\Gamma}_y$, where $\tilde{Q}_{z,y} \in A_{\geq 0}$, are the analogous elements in the case of \widetilde{M} .

$$T_s D'_z = \begin{cases} q^{L(s)} D'_z + D'_{sz} + \sum_{z < u, s \in A(u)} m_{z,u}^s D'_u & \text{if } s \in SD(z), \\ -q^{-L(s)} D'_z & \text{if } s \in A(z), \\ q^{L(s)} D'_z + \sum_{z < u, s \in A(u)} m_{z,u}^s D'_u & \text{if } s \in WD(z). \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

For a given W -graph ideal \mathbf{E}_J , two pairs of dual bases \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}' and D, D' provide the “full W -graph” bases.

4.3. The module $\hat{M}(D_J, L)$

Set $\mathbf{E}_J := D_J$. If D_J is regarded as a W -graph ideal with respect to \emptyset (see the remark on Deodhar’s construction, in Section 6), we have

Lemma 4.6. The modules $\hat{M}(D_J, L)$ and $M(D_J, L)$ are identical.

Proof. For any basis element $\hat{\Gamma}_w$ of $\hat{M}(D_J, L)$ and element Γ_y of $M(D_J, L)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_s \hat{\Gamma}_w(\Gamma_y) &= \hat{\Gamma}_w(T_s \Gamma_y) \\ &= \delta_{y \in D_{J,s}^-} \delta_{w,sy} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)}) \delta_{y \in D_{J,s}^-} \delta_{w,y} + \delta_{y \in D_{J,s}^+} \delta_{w,sy} \\ &\quad + q^{L(s)} \delta_{y \in D_{J,s}^0} \delta_{w,y} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \delta_{w \in D_{J,s}^+} \delta_{sw,y} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)}) \delta_{w \in D_{J,s}^-} \delta_{w,y} + \delta_{w \in D_{J,s}^-} \delta_{sw,y} \\
&\quad + q^{L(s)} \delta_{w \in D_{J,s}^0} \delta_{w,y} \\
&= (\delta_{w \in D_{J,s}^+} \hat{\Gamma}_{sw} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)}) \delta_{w \in D_{J,s}^-} \hat{\Gamma}_w + \delta_{w \in D_{J,s}^-} \hat{\Gamma}_{sw} \\
&\quad + q^{L(s)} \delta_{w \in D_{J,s}^0} \hat{\Gamma}_w)(\Gamma_y)
\end{aligned}$$

hence we have

$$T_s \hat{\Gamma}_w = \begin{cases} \hat{\Gamma}_{sw} & \text{if } w \in D_{J,s}^+, \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{sw} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)}) \hat{\Gamma}_w & \text{if } w \in D_{J,s}^-, \\ q^{L(s)} \hat{\Gamma}_w & \text{if } w \in D_{J,s}^0. \end{cases}$$

The result follows. \square

Corollary 4.7. *The \mathcal{H} -module $M(D_J, L)$ has basis $\{D_z \mid z \in D_J\}$, where $D_z = \sum_{y \in D_J, z < y} Q_{z,y} \Gamma_y$. This basis gives the structure of W -graph module such that*

$$T_s D_z = \begin{cases} -q^{-L(s)} D_z + D_{sz} + \sum_{z < u, u \in D_{J,s}^+ \cup D_{J,s}^0} m_{z,u}^s D_u & \text{if } z \in D_{J,s}^-, \\ q^{L(s)} D_z & \text{if } z \in D_{J,s}^+ \cup D_{J,s}^0. \end{cases}$$

5. In the case W is finite

Let (W, S) be a finite Coxeter system and w_0 be the longest element in W . Define the function $\pi: W \rightarrow W$ by $\pi(w) = w_0 w w_0$, it satisfies $\pi(S) = S$ and it extends to a \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism $\pi: \mathbb{C}[W] \mapsto \mathbb{C}[W]$. We denote by $s_0 = \pi(s)$. For $s \in S$ we have $\ell(w_0) = \ell(w_0 s) + \ell(s) = \ell(\pi(s)) + \ell(\pi(s) w_0)$, hence

$$L(w_0) = L(w_0 s) + L(s) = L(\pi(s)) + L(\pi(s) w_0) = L(\pi(s)) + L(w_0 s)$$

so that $L(\pi(s)) = L(s)$. It follows that $L(\pi(w)) = L(w)$ for all $w \in W$ and that we have an A -algebra automorphism $\pi: \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{H}$ where $\pi(T_w) = T_{\pi(w)}$ for any $w \in W$.

Lemma 5.1. *The \mathcal{H} -modules M and \tilde{M} have basis $\Gamma^\pi = \{T_{w_0} \overline{\Gamma_w} \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_J\}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}^\pi = \{T_{w_0} \overline{\tilde{\Gamma}_w} \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_J\}$ respectively. Furthermore, $\eta(T_{w_0} \overline{\Gamma_w}) = \epsilon_{w_0 w} T_{w_0} \overline{\tilde{\Gamma}_w}$.*

Proof. Since the involution is square 1 and T_{w_0} is invertible in \mathcal{H} , the statement follows.

Moreover

$$\eta(T_{w_0} \overline{\Gamma_w}) = \Phi(T_{w_0}) \eta(\overline{\Gamma_w}) = \epsilon_{w_0} \overline{T_{w_0}} \epsilon_w \tilde{\Gamma}_w = \epsilon_{w_0 w} \overline{T_{w_0} \tilde{\Gamma}_w}. \quad \square$$

Still, we focus primarily on the module M and omit the analogous details for \widetilde{M} , unless it is needed. For any $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we denote by $w' := w_0 w$ and $\Gamma_{w'}^\pi := T_{w_0} \overline{\Gamma_w}$.

Remark. Note that, generally $w_0 \mathbf{E}_J \neq \mathbf{E}_J$. In the following contexts, the set $w_0 \mathbf{E}_J$ will be just used as the index set for the objects involved.

Direct computation gives the following multiplication rules for the basis Γ^π .

$$T_{s_0} \Gamma_{w'}^\pi = \begin{cases} \Gamma_{s_0 w'}^\pi + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)}) \Gamma_{w'}^\pi & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^+, \\ \Gamma_{s_0 w'}^\pi & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^-, \\ -q^{-L(s)} \Gamma_{w'}^\pi & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,-}, \\ q^{L(s)} \Gamma_{w'}^\pi - \sum_{\substack{z' \in w_0 \mathbf{E}_J \\ w' < z'}} r_{w',z'}^{s_0} \Gamma_{z'}^\pi & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,+}, \end{cases}$$

where $r_{w',z'}^{s_0} = \overline{r_{z,w}^s} \in q^{-L(s)} A_{<0}$.

Lemma 5.2. For any $y' \in w_0 \mathbf{E}_J$ there exist coefficients $R_{x',y'}^\pi \in A$, defined for $x' \in w_0 \mathbf{E}_J$, such that $\overline{\Gamma_{y'}^\pi} = \sum_{x' \in w_0 \mathbf{E}_J} R_{x',y'}^\pi \Gamma_{x'}^\pi$. If $R_{x',y'}^\pi \neq 0$ then $x' \leq y'$; particularly $R_{y',y'}^\pi = 1$.

The proof is trivial.

We have further properties of $R_{x',y'}^\pi$.

Lemma 5.3. If $y' \in w_0 \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,-}$ then we have

$$R_{x',y'}^\pi = \begin{cases} -q^{L(s_0)} R_{s_0 x',y'}^\pi & \text{if } x' \in w_0 \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^-, \\ -q^{-L(s_0)} R_{s_0 x',y'}^\pi & \text{if } x' \in w_0 \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^+. \end{cases}$$

If $y' \in w_0 \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,+}$ then we have

$$R_{x',y'}^\pi = \begin{cases} q^{-L(s_0)} R_{s_0 x',y'}^\pi & \text{if } x' \in w_0 \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^-, \\ q^{L(s_0)} R_{s_0 x',y'}^\pi & \text{if } x' \in w_0 \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^+. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof is similar with that of Lemma 3.5. \square

5.1. The basis \mathbf{C}^π for M

The elements $R_{w',y'}^\pi$, where $w', y' \in w_0 \mathbf{E}_J$, lead to the construction of another set of elements $P_{w',y'}^\pi$ and the following basis of $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$.

Theorem 5.4.

- (1) The \mathcal{H} -module $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ has a unique basis $\{\mathbf{C}_{y'}^\pi \mid y' \in w_0\mathbf{E}_J\}$ such that $\overline{\mathbf{C}_{y'}^\pi} = \mathbf{C}_{y'}^\pi$ for all $y' \in w_0\mathbf{E}_J$, and $\mathbf{C}_{y'}^\pi = \sum_{w' \in w_0\mathbf{E}_J} P_{w',y'}^\pi \Gamma_{w'}^\pi$, for some elements $P_{w',y'}^\pi \in A_{\geq 0}$ with the following properties:
- (a1) $P_{w',y'}^\pi = 0$ if $w' \not\leq_L y'$;
 - (a2) $P_{y',y'}^\pi = 1$;
 - (a3) $P_{w',y'}^\pi$ has zero constant term if $y' \neq w'$ and

$$\overline{P_{w',y'}^\pi} - P_{w',y'}^\pi = \sum_{\substack{w' < x' \leq y' \\ x' \in w_0\mathbf{E}_J}} \overline{R_{w',x'}^\pi} P_{x',y'}^\pi \text{ for any } w' < y'.$$

- (2) We have the analogous version for the \mathcal{H} -module $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$.

The proof is very similar to that of [10, Section 2].

Lemma 5.5. For $y, w \in \mathbf{E}_J$. We have

- (i) $y \leq_L w \iff w' \leq_L y'$;
- (ii) $R_{w',y'}^\pi = R_{y,w}$; $\widetilde{R}_{w',y'}^\pi = \widetilde{R}_{y,w}$;
- (iii) for any $w', y' \in w_0\mathbf{E}_J$ and $w' < y'$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{P_{w',y'}^\pi} &= \sum_{\substack{w' \leq x' \leq y' \\ x' \in w_0\mathbf{E}_J}} P_{x',y'}^\pi \overline{R_{x,w}^\pi}, \\ \widetilde{P_{w',y'}^\pi} &= \sum_{\substack{w' \leq x' \leq y' \\ x' \in w_0\mathbf{E}_J}} \widetilde{P}_{x',y'}^\pi \widetilde{R_{x,w}^\pi} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) is obvious. We prove (ii) by induction on $\ell(w)$. If $\ell(w) = 0$ then $w = 1$. We have $R_{y,1} = \delta_{y,1}$. Now $R_{w_0, w_0 y}^\pi = 0$ unless $w_0 \leq_L w_0 y$. On the other hand we have $w_0 y \leq_L w_0$. Hence $R_{w_0, w_0 y}^\pi = 0$ unless $w_0 y = w_0$, that is $y = 1$ in which case it is 1. The desired equality holds when $\ell(w) = 0$. Assume that $\ell(w) \geq 1$. We can find $s \in S$ such that $sw < w$.

In the case (a) $y \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^-$. By the induction hypothesis we have

$$R_{y,w} = R_{sy,sw} = R_{w_0 sw, w_0 sy}^\pi = R_{s_0 w_0 w, s_0 w_0 y}^\pi = R_{w_0 w, w_0 y}^\pi$$

In the case (b) $y \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^+$. Using Lemma 3.3, by the induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{y,w} &= R_{sy,sw} + (q^{-L(s)} - q^{L(s)}) R_{y,sw} \\ &= R_{w_0 sw, w_0 sy}^\pi + (q^{-L(s_0)} - q^{L(s_0)}) R_{w_0 w, w_0 y}^\pi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= R_{s_0 w', s_0 y'}^\pi + (q^{-L(s_0)} - q^{L(s_0)}) R_{s_0 w', y'}^\pi \\
&= R_{s_0 w', s_0 y'}^\pi + (q^{-L(s_0)} - q^{L(s_0)}) R_{w', s_0 y'}^\pi \\
&= R_{w', y'}^\pi
\end{aligned}$$

In the case (c) $y \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,-}$. Using Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 5.3, by the induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{y,w} &= -q^{L(s)} R_{y,sw} = -q^{L(s_0)} R_{w_0(sw), w_0 y}^\pi = -q^{L(s_0)} R_{s_0 w', y'}^\pi \\
&= -q^{L(s_0)} (-q^{-L(s_0)} R_{w', y'}^\pi) = R_{w', y'}^\pi.
\end{aligned}$$

Case (d) $y \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,+}$. Using Lemmas 3.5 and 5.3, by the induction hypothesis we have

$$R_{y,w} = q^{-L(s)} R_{y,sw} = q^{-L(s_0)} R_{s_0 w', y'}^\pi = R_{w', y'}^\pi.$$

(iii) follows (ii). \square

Proposition 5.6. *For any $y, w \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we have $Q_{y,w} = \epsilon_y \epsilon_w \tilde{P}_{w', y'}^\pi$. (Analogously $\tilde{Q}_{y,w} = \epsilon_y \epsilon_w P_{w', y'}^\pi$.)*

Proof. We argue by induction on $\ell(w) - \ell(y) \geq 0$. If $\ell(w) - \ell(y) = 0$ we have $y = w$ and both sides are 1. Assume that $\ell(w) - \ell(y) > 0$. Subtracting the identity in Lemma 5.5 (iii) from that in Proposition 3.8 and using induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$\overline{\epsilon_y \epsilon_w Q_{y,w}} - \overline{\tilde{P}_{w', y'}^\pi} = \epsilon_y \epsilon_w Q_{y,w} - \tilde{P}_{w', y'}^\pi$$

The right hand side is in $A_{>0}$; since it is fixed by the involution bar, it is 0. \square

More precisely, we have the following inversion formulas

Corollary 5.7. *In the above situation,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{z \in \mathbf{E}_J, x \leq z \leq w} \epsilon_w \epsilon_z P_{x,z} \tilde{P}_{w', z'}^\pi &= \delta_{x,w}; \\
\sum_{z \in \mathbf{E}_J, x \leq z \leq w} \epsilon_w \epsilon_z \tilde{P}_{x,z} P_{w', z'}^\pi &= \delta_{x,w}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, w \in \mathbf{E}_J$.

Corollary 5.8. *Assume that W is finite. We set $\mathbf{E}_J := D_J$ and use the above notations. Let D and C^π be the bases of $M(D_J, L)$, let \tilde{D} and \tilde{C}^π be the analogous bases for $\tilde{M}(D_J, L)$. For any $z \in D_J$ we have*

$$T_{w_0}D_z = \epsilon_{w_0z}\theta(\tilde{C}_{w_0z}^\pi) \text{ and } T_{w_0}\tilde{D}_z = \epsilon_{w_0z}\eta(C_{w_0z}^\pi),$$

where η and θ are the maps described in [Theorem–Definition 3.1](#) (replacing E_J by D_J).

Proof. By the proposition we have

$$D_z = \sum_{y \in D_J} Q_{z,y} \Gamma_y = \sum_{y \in D_J} \epsilon_z \epsilon_y \tilde{P}_{w_0y, w_0z}^\pi \Gamma_y.$$

The equality $D_z = \overline{D_z}$ gives $D_z = \epsilon_z \sum_{y \in D_J} \epsilon_y \overline{\tilde{P}_{w_0y, w_0z}^\pi} \overline{\Gamma_y}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} T_{w_0}D_z &= \epsilon_z \sum_{y \in D_J} \epsilon_y \overline{\tilde{P}_{w_0y, w_0z}^\pi} T_{w_0} \overline{\Gamma_y} \\ &= \epsilon_{w_0z} \sum_{y \in D_J} \epsilon_{w_0y} \overline{\tilde{P}_{w_0y, w_0z}^\pi} \Gamma_{w_0y}^\pi \\ &= \epsilon_{w_0z} \theta(\tilde{C}_{w_0z}^\pi) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

6. Some remarks

6.1. An example: the dual Solomon modules

In this subsection, let (W, S) be a finite Coxeter group system. Assume that $L(s) > 0$ for all $s \in S$. In [\[14\]](#) we introduced the A -free \mathcal{H} -module $\mathcal{H}C_{w_J}C'_{w_j}$, which is called the **Solomon module** (see [\[13\]](#)) with respect to J and L , and where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{w_J} &= \epsilon_{w_J} \sum_{w \in W_J} \epsilon_w q^{L(ww_J)} T_w = \epsilon_{w_J} q^{L(w_J)} \sum_{w \in W_J} \epsilon_w q^{-L(w)} T_w; \\ C'_{w_j} &= \sum_{w \in W_j} q^{-L(ww_j)} T_w = q^{-L(w_j)} \sum_{w \in W_j} q^{L(w)} T_w, \end{aligned}$$

that is, C'_{w_j} is the C' -basis element corresponding to w_j , the maximal length element of W_j , or c -basis element corresponding to w_j (see [\[11, Corollary 12.2\]](#)). C_{w_J} is the C -basis element corresponding to w_J .

In [\[14\]](#) we showed that $\mathcal{H}C_{w_J}C'_{w_j}$ has basis $\{T_x C_{w_J} C'_{w_j} \mid x \in F_J\}$, where $F_J = E_J w_J$ and $E_J = \overline{D_J} \cap D_j$. This basis admits the multiplication rules listed in the [Definition 2.4](#), and F_J is a W -graph ideal with respect to J and weight function L .

Similarly, the \mathcal{H} -module $\mathcal{H}C'_{w_J}C_{w_j}$ has basis $\{T_x C'_{w_J} C_{w_j} \mid x \in F_J\}$. We can easily prove that this basis admits the multiplication rules listed in the [Theorem–Definition 3.1](#). We call this the **dual module** of $\mathcal{H}C_{w_J}C'_{w_j}$.

6.2. The Kazhdan–Lusztig construction

Assume that $J = \emptyset$. Then $D_J = W$ and the sets $WD_J(w)$ and $WA_J(w)$ are empty for all $w \in W$.

If $L(s) > 0$ (for all $s \in S$), both modules $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ and $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ have A -basis $(X_w \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_J)$ such that,

$$T_s X_w = \begin{cases} X_{sw} & \text{if } \ell(sw) > \ell(w) \\ X_{sw} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)})X_w & \text{if } \ell(sw) < \ell(w), \end{cases}$$

where the elements X_w stand for Γ_w or $\widetilde{\Gamma}_w$. If we let $X_w = T_w$ for all $w \in W$, then both modules are the regular module \mathcal{H} with weight function L . Thus we can recover some of Lusztig's results (for example, see [11, Chs. 5–6, Chs. 10–11]) for the regular case.

6.3. Deodhar's construction: the parabolic case

Let J be an arbitrary subset of S and $L(s) = 1$ for all $s \in S$, we can now turning to Deodhar's construction.

Set $\mathbf{E}_J := D_J$, then D_J is a W -graph ideal with respect to J , and also it is a W -graph ideal with respect with \emptyset .

In the latter case we have $D_\emptyset = W$, if $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$ then

$$SA(w) = \{s \in S \mid sw > w \text{ and } sw \in D_J\},$$

$$SD(w) = \{s \in S \mid sw < w\},$$

$$WD_\emptyset(w) = \{s \in S \mid sw \notin D_\emptyset\} = \emptyset,$$

$$WA_\emptyset(w) = \{s \in S \mid sw \in D_\emptyset \setminus D_J\} = \{s \in S \mid sw = wt \text{ for some } t \in J\}.$$

Let \mathcal{H}_J be the Hecke algebra associated with the Coxeter system (W_J, J) . Let $M_\psi = \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_J} A_\psi$, where A_ψ is A made into an \mathcal{H}_J -module via the homomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{H}_J \rightarrow A$ that satisfies $\psi(T_v) = q^{\ell(v)}$ for all $v \in W_J$, it is an A -free with basis $B = \{b_w \mid w \in D_J\}$ defined by $b_w = T_w \otimes 1$. This corresponds to M^J in [3] in the case $u = q$ (we note that this is denoted by \widetilde{M}^J in [4]).

Let $M_\phi = \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_J} A_\phi$, where A_ϕ is A made into an \mathcal{H}_J -module via the homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{H}_J \rightarrow A$ that satisfies $\phi(T_v) = (-q)^{-\ell(v)}$ for all $v \in W_J$, again it is an A -free with basis $B = \{b_w \mid w \in D_J\}$ defined by $b_w = T_w \otimes 1$. This corresponds to M^J in [3] in the case $u = -1$ (this is denoted by M^J in [4]).

Our module $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ is now essentially reduced to be the module M_ψ , while $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ is reduced to be the module M_ϕ , the only differences being due to our non-traditional definition of \mathcal{H} .

In the case D_J is a W -graph ideal with respect to J , the discussion is similar with the above. For more details see [9, Section 8].

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank the referee for the comments.

References

- [1] C. Bonnafé, Two-sided cells in type B in the asymptotic case, *J. Algebra* 304 (2006) 216–236.
- [2] Michèle Couillens, Généralisation parabolique des polynômes et des bases de Kazhdan–Lusztig, *J. Algebra* 213 (1999) 687–720.
- [3] V. Deodhar, On some geometric aspects of Bruhat orderings II. The parabolic analogue of Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials, *J. Algebra* 111 (2) (1987) 483–506.
- [4] V. Deodhar, Duality in parabolic set up for questions in Kazhdan–Lusztig theory, *J. Algebra* 142 (1991) 201–209.
- [5] J. Matthew Douglass, An inversion formula for relative Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials, *Comm. Algebra* 18 (1990) 371–387.
- [6] D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras, *Invent. Math.* 53 (1979) 165–184.
- [7] M. Geck, N. Jacon, Representations of Hecke Algebras at Roots of Unity, *Algebr. Appl.*, vol. 15, Springer-Verlag, 2011.
- [8] M. Geck, PyCox: computing with (finite) Coxeter groups and Iwahori–Hecke algebras, arXiv:1201.5566v2, 2012.
- [9] R.B. Howlett, V. Nguyen, W -graph ideals, *J. Algebra* 361 (2012) 188–212.
- [10] G. Lusztig, Left cells in Weyl groups, in: R.L.R. Herb, J. Rosenberg (Eds.), *Lie Group Representations, I*, in: *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1024, Springer-Verlag, 1983, pp. 99–111.
- [11] G. Lusztig, *Hecke Algebras with Unequal Parameters*, CRM Monogr. Ser., vol. 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [12] J.Y. Shi, The Laurent polynomials $M_{y,w}^s$ in the Hecke algebra with unequal parameters, *J. Algebra* 357 (2012) 1–19.
- [13] L. Solomon, A decomposition of the group algebra of finite Coxeter group, *J. Algebra* 9 (1968) 220–239.
- [14] Y. Yin, W -graphs for Hecke algebras with unequal parameters, *Manuscripta Math.* 147 (2015) 43–62.