



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra)

# The stable rank of pullbacks



L.N. Vaserstein

*Department of Mathematics, Penn State, University Park, 16802, United States*

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 20 December 2010

Available online 3 May 2014

Communicated by Efim Zelmanov

### MSC:

19B10

### Keywords:

Stable rank

Fibre products of rings

## ABSTRACT

We prove that the stable rank of any pullback (fibre product) does not exceed the stable ranks of factors. We obtain also another result bounding the stable rank of a ring by the stable ranks of its factor rings.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Statement of results

Following Bass [1], Vaserstein [5] defined the stable rank,  $\text{sr}(A)$  for any associative ring  $A$ . On Dec. 8, 2010 Yuanhang Zhang asked me whether  $\text{sr}(A) = \max(\text{sr}(A_1), \text{sr}(A_2))$  when  $f : A \rightarrow C$  is the pullback of two surjective homomorphisms  $f_j : A_j \rightarrow C = f_j(A_j)$  of associative rings with 1, also known as the fibre, fibred, fiber, or fibered product. Zhang was motivated by a result of Sheu [4, Corollary 3.16] involving topological stable ranks of  $C^*$ -algebras. By [3], the topological stable rank and the stable rank coincide for all  $C^*$ -algebras. Another special case was considered in [2, Lemma (44.25)].

In this note we obtain the affirmative answer in a more general setting. Namely, instead of two homomorphisms, we consider any collection of homomorphisms, and we work in a bigger category of all associative rings (without identities). As a consequence of our Theorem 1 below, we obtain

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2014.04.008>

0021-8693/© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $f_j : A_j \rightarrow C$  be any nonempty collection of morphisms in the category of associative rings such that  $f_j(A_j) = C$  for all  $j$ . Let  $f : A \rightarrow C$  be the pullback of all  $f_j$ . Then  $\text{sr}(A) = \sup(\text{sr}(A_j))$ .*

The morphism  $f$  can be defined as the (inverse or projective) limit of the diagram consisting of the morphisms  $A_j \rightarrow C$ . In set-theoretical terms, the ring  $A$  is the subring of the direct product  $A' = \prod A_j$  consisting of the collections  $a_i \in A_j$  with the common image  $f_j(a_j) = f_k(a_k)$  in  $C$ . When  $C = 0$  we have  $A = A'$  and the theorem is trivial.

Now we recall the definition of the stable rank. For any associative ring  $A$  and any integer  $n \geq 1$ , let  $Um_n A$  denote the set of all  $n$ -columns  $(b_i)$  over  $A$  such that there is an  $n$ -row  $(a_i)$  over  $A$  satisfying the condition  $a_1 + b_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i = 0$ . When  $A$  is a subring of a ring  $A_1$  with 1, the last equality takes a more familiar form:  $(1 + a_1)(1 + b_1) + \sum_{i=2}^n a_i b_i = 1$ .

The Bass condition is:

$(SR_n)$  for any  $(b_i) \in Um_{n+1} A$  there are  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in A$  such that the column  $(b_i + c_i b_{n+1})_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  belongs to  $Um_n A$ .

If no such  $n$  exists we set  $\text{sr}(A) = \infty$ . Otherwise, we define  $\text{sr}(A)$  as the least  $n$  such that the condition  $(SR_n)$  holds. Then, by [5],  $(SR_m)$  holds for all  $m \geq n$ . Some properties of the stable rank, improving and generalizing results of Bass [1] are proven in [5] and [6]. In this paper, we will use that  $\text{sr}(A/J) \leq \text{sr}(A)$  for any ideal  $J$  of any ring  $A$  and that  $\text{sr}(A) = \text{sr}(A/\text{rad}(A))$  where  $\text{rad}(A)$  is the Jacobson radical of  $A$ .

We will obtain Corollary 1 as an easy consequence of the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A$  be a subring of  $A'$  and  $f : A \rightarrow C$  be a ring morphism such that its kernel  $\ker(f)$  is an ideal of  $A'$  and  $f(A) = C$ . Then  $\text{sr}(C) \leq \text{sr}(A) \leq \text{sr}(A')$ .*

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $B$  be an associative ring,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $(b_i) = (b_1, \dots, b_n, b_{n+1})^T \in Um_{n+1} B$ . Let  $d_i \in B$  and  $y = d_1 + b_1 + d_1 b_1 + \dots + d_n b_n$ . Then there is  $z \in yB$  such that  $(b_1, \dots, b_n, z b_{n+1})^T \in Um_{n+1} B$ .*

Besides Theorem 1, the lemma can be used to obtain the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $A$  be an associative ring and  $J_1, \dots, J_m$  two-sided ideals of  $A$  such that  $J_1 J_2 \dots J_m \subset \text{rad}(A)$ . Then  $\text{sr}(A) \leq \max(\text{sr}(A/J_j))$ .*

Corollary 1 with finite collection of the rings  $A_j$  follows easily from Theorem 2 (namely, set  $J_j$  to be the kernel of the  $j$ -th projection  $A \rightarrow C$ ; then the intersection of all  $J_j$  is 0).

Theorem 2 for commutative rings  $A$  with 1 is Lemma 7.6 of [7].

The condition  $J_1 J_2 \cdots J_m \subset \text{rad}(A)$  in [Theorem 2](#) is equivalent to the condition  $\bigcap J_j \subset \text{rad}(A)$ . The latter condition makes sense for an infinite set of ideals. However, the obvious extension of [Theorem 2](#) to an infinite collection of ideals is false as the following example shows. Let  $A$  be the ring of integers and  $P$  an infinite set of maximal ideals of  $A$ . Then  $\text{sr}(A/J) = 1$  for all  $J \in P$  and  $\bigcap_{J \in P} J = 0$ , while  $\text{sr}(A) = 2$ .

## 2. Proofs

Here is how [Corollary 1](#) follows from [Theorem 1](#). The pullback  $A$  in [Corollary 1](#) is a subring of the direct product  $A'$  of all  $A_j$ . The kernel of  $f : A \rightarrow C = f(A)$  is the direct product of the kernels of  $f_j : A_j \rightarrow C$ , hence it is an ideal of  $A'$ . By [Theorem 1](#),  $\text{sr}(A) \leq \text{sr}(A') = \sup(\text{sr}(A_j))$ . We have  $\text{sr}(C) \leq \text{sr}(A)$  because  $C$  is a factor ring of  $A$ .

On the other hand,  $\text{sr}(A_j) \leq \text{sr}(A)$  for all  $j$  because each  $A_j$  is a factor ring of  $A$ . So  $\sup(\text{sr}(A_j)) \leq \text{sr}(A)$ . Thus,  $\text{sr}(A) = \sup(\text{sr}(A_j))$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Lemma 1.** Since  $(b_i) \in Um_{n+1}B$ , there are  $a_i \in B$  such that  $a_1 + b_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i b_i = 0$ . We multiply this equality by  $-y$  on the left and add the result from the equality  $y = d_1 + b_1 + d_1 b_1 + \cdots + d_n b_n$  to obtain

$$a'_1 + b_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a'_i b_i = 0 \quad (1)$$

with

$$a'_1 = d_1 - y - y a_1 \in B, \quad a'_i = d_i - y a_i \in B \quad (2 \leq i \leq n), \quad a'_{n+1} = -y a_{n+1} \in yB.$$

Next, we multiply (1) by  $-b_1$  on the left and add the result to  $b_1 = b_1$  to obtain

$$a''_1 + b_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a''_i b_i = 0 \quad (2)$$

with

$$a''_1 = -b_1 - b_1 a'_1 \in B, \quad a''_i = -b_1 a'_i \in B \quad (2 \leq i \leq n+1), \\ a''_{n+1} = b_1 y a_{n+1} \in ByB.$$

Thus,  $(b_1, \dots, b_n, z b_{n+1})^T \in Um_{n+1}B$  with  $z = y a_{n+1} \in B$ .  $\square$

Now we give a proof of [Theorem 1](#). Since  $C$  is a factor ring of  $A$  we have  $\text{sr}(C) \leq \text{sr}(A)$ . Next we have to prove that  $\text{sr}(A) \leq \text{sr}(A')$ .

In other words, we assume that  $n = \text{sr}(A') < \infty$ , and we have to prove the condition  $(SR_n)$ .

Let  $(b_i) \in Um_{n+1}A$ . We want to prove that there exist  $c_i \in A$  such that the  $n$ -column  $(a_i + c_i a_{n+1})$  belongs to  $Um_n A$ .

Since  $\text{sr}(C) \leq n$  and  $f(A) = C$ , there are  $c'_i, u_i \in A$  such that

$$y = u_1 + (b_1 + c'_1 b_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i (b_i + c'_i b_{n+1}) \in \ker(f).$$

By the lemma,

$$(b_1 + c'_1 b_{n+1}, \dots, b_n + c'_n b_{n+1}, z b_{n+1})^T \in Um_{n+1}A \quad \text{for some } z = yA \in \ker(f).$$

Since  $\text{sr}(A') = n$ , there are  $c''_i \in A'$  such that  $(b_i + c'_i b_{n+1} + c''_i z b_{n+1}) \in Um_n A'$ .

Set  $c_i = c'_i + c''_i z \in A$  (we used that  $\ker(f)$  is an ideal of  $A'$ ). Then  $(b_i + c_i b_{n+1}) \in Um_n A'$  and

$$y' = u_1 + (b_1 + c_1 b_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i (b_i + c_i b_{n+1}) \in \ker(f). \quad (3)$$

Since  $(b_i + c_i b_{n+1}) \in Um_n A'$  there are  $v_i \in A'$  such that

$$0 = v_1 + (b_1 + c_1 b_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n v_i (b_i + c_i b_{n+1}). \quad (4)$$

Now we multiply (4) by  $y'$  on the left and subtract the result from (3):

$$0 = t_1 + (b_1 + c_1 b_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (b_i + c_i b_{n+1}) \quad (5)$$

with  $t_1 = u_1 - y'v_1 - y' \in A$  and  $t_i = u_i - y'v_i \in A$  ( $2 \leq i \leq n$ ). The equality (5) shows that the column  $(b_i + c_i b_{n+1})$  is in  $Um_n A$ .  $\square$

Now we prove [Theorem 2](#).

*Case 1:*  $m = 1$ . Then  $\text{sr}(A) = \text{sr}(A/J_1) = \text{sr}(A/\text{rad}(A))$ .

*Case 2:*  $m = 2$ . Let  $n = \max(\text{sr}(A/J_1), \text{sr}(A/J_2))$ . Assuming that  $n < \infty$ , we want to check the condition  $(SR_n)$ . Let  $(b_i) \in Um_{n+1}(A)$ . Since  $\text{sr}(A/J_2) \leq n$ , there are  $u_i, c_i \in A$  such that

$$y := u_1 + (b_1 + c_1 b_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i (b_i + c_i b_{n+1}) \in J_2.$$

By the lemma, there is  $z \in yA \subset J_2$  such that

$$(b_1 + c_1 b_{n+1}, \dots, b_n + c_n b_{n+1}, z b_{n+1})^T \in Um_{n+1}A.$$

Since  $\text{sr}(A/J_1) \leq n$ , there are  $v_i, c'_i \in A$  such that

$$y' := v_1 + (b_1 + cb_{n+1} + c'_1 zb_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n v_i (b_i + c_i b_{n+1} + c'_i zb_{n+1}) \in J_1.$$

By the lemma, there is  $z' \in y'A \subset J_1$  such that

$$(b_1 + c_1 b_{n+1} + c'_1 zb_{n+1}, \dots, b_n + c_n b_{n+1} + c'_n zb_{n+1}, z' zb_{n+1})^T \in Um_{n+1}A.$$

Since  $z'z \in J_1 J_2 \subset \text{rad}(A)$ , we conclude that

$$(b_i + c''_i b_{n+1}) = (b_1 + c_1 b_{n+1} + c'_1 zb_{n+1}, \dots, b_n + c_n b_{n+1} + c'_n zb_{n+1})^T \in Um_n A$$

where  $c'' = c_i + c'_i z \in A$ .

*General case.* We proceed by induction on  $m$ . In view of Cases 1 and 2, we can assume that  $m \geq 3$ . Set  $J' = J_2 \cdots J_m$ . Then  $J_1 J' = J_1 J_2 \cdots J_m \subset \text{rad}(A)$  hence  $\text{sr}(A) = \max(\text{sr}(A/J_1), \text{sr}(A/J'))$  by Case 2. By the induction hypothesis,  $\text{sr}(A/J') = \max(\text{sr}(A/J_2), \dots, \text{sr}(A/J_m))$ . Thus,  $\text{sr}(A) \leq \max(\text{sr}(A/J_j))$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] H. Bass, *K*-theory and stable algebra, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 22 (1964) 5–60.
- [2] C.W. Curtis, I. Reiner, *Methods of Representation Theory, with Applications to Finite Groups and Orders*, vol. II, Wiley, 1987.
- [3] R. Herman, L.N. Vaserstein, The stable range of  $C^*$ -algebras, Invent. Math. 77 (3) (1984) 553–555.
- [4] A. Sheu, A cancellation theorem for modules over the group  $C^*$ -algebras of certain nilpotent Lie groups, Canad. J. Math. 39 (2) (1987) 365–427.
- [5] L.N. Vaserstein,  $K_1$ -theory and the congruence subgroup problem, Mat. Zametki 5 (2) (1969) 233–244; Math. Notes 5 (1969) 141–148.
- [6] L.N. Vaserstein, The stable range of rings and the dimension of topological spaces, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 5 (2) (1971) 17–27; Funct. Anal. Appl. 5 (1971) 102–110.
- [7] L.N. Vaserstein, A.A. Suslin, Serre's problem on projective modules over polynomial rings and algebraic  $K$ -theory, Izv. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. 40 (5) (1976) 993–1054; Math. USSR-Izv. 10 (5) (1976) 937–1001.