



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra



On semiabelian p -groups

Danny Neftin

Department of Mathematics, Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 4 October 2009

Available online 5 August 2011

Communicated by Aner Shalev

Keywords:

Minimal ramification problem

Algebraic number theory

Semiabelian groups

Wreath products

Group ranks

ABSTRACT

The family of semiabelian p -groups is the minimal family that contains $\{1\}$ and is closed under quotients and semidirect products with finite abelian p -groups. Kisilevsky and Sonn have solved the minimal ramification problem for a certain subfamily \mathcal{G}_p of the family of semiabelian p -groups. We show that \mathcal{G}_p is in fact the entire family of semiabelian p -groups and by this complete their solution to all semiabelian p -groups.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

This paper is motivated by the minimal ramification problem for p -groups. Given a p -group G it is an open problem to find the minimal number of primes ramified in a G -extension of \mathbb{Q} (see [8]). As a consequence of Minkowski's Theorem this number is greater or equal to $d(G)$, the minimal number of generators of G . In [5], Kisilevsky and Sonn proved this number is exactly $d(G)$ for a family of p -groups denoted by \mathcal{G}_p and defined as follows:

Definition 1.1. Let \mathcal{G}_p be the minimal family that satisfies:

- (1) any abelian p -group is in \mathcal{G}_p ,
- (2) if $H, G \in \mathcal{G}_p$ then the standard wreath product $H \wr G$ is also in \mathcal{G}_p ,
- (3) if $G \in \mathcal{G}_p$ and $G \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a rank preserving epimorphism, i.e. with $d(G) = d(\Gamma)$, then $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_p$.

This family is contained in the family of semiabelian groups (see [5]):

Definition 1.2. The family of *semiabelian* groups \mathcal{S} is the minimal family of groups that satisfies:

E-mail address: dartin@gmail.com.

- (1) $\{1\} \in \mathcal{S}$,
- (2) if A is a finite abelian group and $H \in \mathcal{S}$ acts on A then the semidirect product $A \rtimes H$ is in \mathcal{S} ,
- (3) if $G \in \mathcal{S}$ and $G \rightarrow \Gamma$ is an epimorphism then $\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}$.

We shall prove that \mathcal{G}_p is precisely the family of semiabelian p -groups. In fact this is an immediate corollary of the following theorem:

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a semiabelian p -group. Then there are abelian p -groups A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r for which there is a rank preserving epimorphism $A_1 \wr (A_2 \wr \dots \wr A_r) \rightarrow G$.*

By this we complete the solution of the minimal ramification problem for semiabelian p -groups.

I would like to thank my advisor Jack Sonn for his valuable advice and comments, for pointing out an error in the first draft of this paper and suggesting a way to correct it. I would also like to thank the referee for many helpful remarks that improved this paper.

2. Properties of decompositions

The family of semiabelian groups has appeared in many forms in problems that arise from field theory (e.g., geometric realizations [3,7,9], generic extensions [9] and the minimal ramification problem [5]). The following notion of a decomposition is used in [3] to characterize semiabelian groups:

Definition 2.1. Let G be a non-trivial group. A *decomposition* of G is an abelian normal subgroup $A \triangleleft G$ and a proper subgroup $H < G$ such that $G = AH$.

Dentzer [3] showed that a non-trivial group G is semiabelian if and only if there is a decomposition $G = AH$ where H is semiabelian.

In the following lemma we summarize several properties of such decompositions which we shall use repeatedly. Let $\Phi(G)$ denote the Frattini subgroup of a group G . Recall that if G is a p -group then $\Phi(G) = G^p[G, G]$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a p -group with decomposition $G = AH$. Let $\bar{A} = A/A^p[A, H]$, $\pi : A \rightarrow \bar{A}$ be the natural map and let M be a minimal subgroup of A for which $\pi(M) = \bar{A}$. Then:*

- (1) $[A, H]$ is a subgroup of A that is normal in G ,
- (2) $\Phi(G) = A^p[A, H]\Phi(H)$,
- (3) \bar{A} is a non-trivial elementary abelian p -group and $d(\bar{A}) = d(M)$.

If we assume in addition that

$$A \cap H \subseteq A^p[A, H] \cap \Phi(H), \quad (2.1)$$

then:

- (4) there is an isomorphism:

$$\psi : G/\Phi(G) \cong \bar{A} \times (H/\Phi(H)),$$

that is given explicitly for all $a \in A, h \in H$ by:

$$\psi(ah\Phi(G)) = (aA^p[A, H], h\Phi(H)).$$

- (5) $d(G) = d(\bar{A}) + d(H)$.

Proof. (1) For $a \in A$, $h \in H$, we have $[a, h] = a^{-1}h^{-1}ah = a^{-1}a^h$ where $a^h := h^{-1}ah \in A$ and hence $[A, H] \leq A$.

Since $[A, H]$ is a subgroup of A it is centralized by A . For $h, h' \in H$ and $a \in A$ we have $[a, h]^{h'} = [a^{h'}, h^{h'}] \in [A, H]$ and hence $[A, H]^{h'} \subseteq [A, H]$. It follows that $[A, H]$ is normalized by A and H and hence is a normal subgroup of G .

(2) Clearly

$$A^p[A, H]\Phi(H) = A^p[A, H]H^p[H, H] \subseteq G^p[G, G] = \Phi(G).$$

To show the converse we prove that for $g_1 = a_1h_1$, $g_2 = a_2h_2 \in G$, where $a_1, a_2 \in A$ and $h_1, h_2 \in H$, the commutator $[g_1, g_2] = g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1}g_1g_2$ and g_1^p are elements of $A^p[A, H]\Phi(H)$. We use the following identities:

$$[x, yz] = [x, z][x, y]^z,$$

$$[xy, z] = [x, z]^y[y, z],$$

where $x^y = y^{-1}xy$. It follows that:

$$[g_1, g_2] = [a_1h_1, g_2] = [a_1, g_2]^{h_1}[h_1, g_2].$$

Expanding the commutators on the right hand side we have:

$$[a_1, g_2] = [a_1, a_2h_2] = [a_1, h_2][a_1, a_2]^{h_2} = [a_1, h_2] \in [A, H],$$

$$[h_1, g_2] = [h_1, a_2h_2] = [h_1, h_2][h_1, a_2]^{h_2} \in [H, H][A, H]^{h_2}.$$

Since by part (1), $[A, H] \triangleleft G$ we have:

$$[g_1, g_2] = [a_1, h_2]^{h_1}[h_1, h_2][h_1, a_2]^{h_2} \in [A, H][H, H][A, H] = [A, H][H, H].$$

We therefore have $[G, G] \subseteq [A, H][H, H]$. To prove that $g_1^p \in A^p[A, H]\Phi(H)$ we use the equality:

$$g_1^p = a_1^p h_1^p \pmod{[G, G]}.$$

It follows that $g_1^p \in A^p H^p [G, G] \subseteq A^p [A, H]\Phi(H)$.

(3) Since all p -powers of A are in $A^p[A, H]$, \bar{A} is an elementary abelian p -group. Assume on the contrary $\bar{A} = \{1\}$. Then A is contained in $\Phi(G)$ and hence the equality $G = AH$ implies by [6, Corollary 10.3.3] that $G = H$. This contradicts the assumption that H is a proper subgroup of G as part of a decomposition.

Let us show that $d(\bar{A}) = d(M)$. Let $\bar{A} = \langle \bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_d \rangle$ where $d := d(\bar{A})$. Since $\pi(M) = \bar{A}$, $d(M) \geq d(\bar{A})$. Furthermore, M contains elements a_1, \dots, a_d such that $\pi(a_i) = \bar{a}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$. The subgroup $M' := \langle a_1, \dots, a_d \rangle \leq M$ maps under π onto \bar{A} and hence by the minimality of M , $M = M'$. It follows that $d(M) = d$.

(4) Let us first prove $G/\Phi(G) \cong \bar{A} \times H/\Phi(H)$ under the assumption $A \cap H = \{1\}$. In such case $G = A \rtimes H$. Since by part (1) $A^p[A, H] \leq A$, we have $A^p[A, H] \cap \Phi(H) = \{1\}$ and hence part (2) shows

$$\Phi(G) = A^p[A, H] \rtimes \Phi(H).$$

We therefore have:

$$G/\Phi(G) = (A \rtimes H) / (A^p[A, H] \rtimes \Phi(H)). \quad (2.2)$$

As A^p is characteristic in A we have $A^p \triangleleft G$. Together with part (1) this implies that $A^p[A, H]$ is a normal subgroup of G . Therefore the right hand side of (2.2) is isomorphic to:

$$(A \rtimes H/A^p[A, H] \rtimes \{1\})/(A^p[A, H] \rtimes \Phi(H)/A^p[A, H] \rtimes \{1\})$$

and hence to:

$$((A/A^p[A, H]) \rtimes H)/(\{1\} \rtimes \Phi(H)) = (\bar{A} \rtimes H)/(1 \rtimes \Phi(H)). \quad (2.3)$$

Since $[A, H] = \{a^{-1}a^h \mid a \in A, h \in H\}$, H acts trivially on $A/[A, H]$, and hence the actions in the semidirect products in (2.3) are trivial. We therefore have an isomorphism:

$$G/\Phi(G) \cong (\bar{A} \times H)/(\{1\} \times \Phi(H)) \cong \bar{A} \times (H/\Phi(H)).$$

Let us prove the assertion without assuming further that $A \cap H = \{1\}$. Note that $A \cap H$ is a normal subgroup of G and let $G_0 = G/A \cap H$, $A_0 = A/A \cap H$, $H_0 = H/A \cap H$. Since $A_0 \cap H_0 = \{1\}$ we have $G_0 = A_0 \rtimes H_0$. In particular, the assertion holds for the decomposition $G_0 = A_0 H_0$. Thus,

$$G_0/\Phi(G_0) \cong \bar{A}_0 \times H_0/\Phi(H_0), \quad (2.4)$$

where $\bar{A}_0 = A_0/A_0^p[A_0, H_0]$. Since,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(H_0) &= H_0^p[H_0, H_0] = H^p[H, H]/A \cap H = \Phi(H)/A \cap H, \\ A_0^p[A_0, H_0] &= A^p[A, H]/A \cap H, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}_0 &= (A/A \cap H)/(A^p[A, H]/A \cap H) \cong A/A^p[A, H] = \bar{A}, \\ H_0/\Phi(H_0) &= (H/A \cap H)/(\Phi(H)/A \cap H) \cong H/\Phi(H). \end{aligned}$$

By part (2) we also have:

$$\Phi(G_0) = A_0^p[A_0, H_0]\Phi(H_0) = A^p[A, H]\Phi(H)/A \cap H = \Phi(G)/A \cap H.$$

We therefore have:

$$\begin{aligned} G/\Phi(G) &\cong (G/A \cap H)/(\Phi(G)/A \cap H) = G_0/\Phi(G_0) \\ &\cong \bar{A}_0 \times (H_0/\Phi(H_0)) \cong \bar{A} \times H/\Phi(H). \end{aligned}$$

Since in all of the above isomorphisms the coset of $a \in A$ (resp. $h \in H$) passes to the coset of a (resp. h) in the image, the resulting isomorphism

$$\psi : G/\Phi(G) \rightarrow \bar{A} \times H/\Phi(H)$$

is given for all $a \in A$, $h \in H$ by:

$$\psi(ah\Phi(G)) = (aA^p[A, H], h\Phi(H)).$$

(5) By part (4) we have $d(G/\Phi(G)) = d(\bar{A} \times (H/\Phi(H)))$. Since \bar{A} and $H/\Phi(H)$ are p -groups one has

$$d(\bar{A} \times (H/\Phi(H))) = d(\bar{A}) + d(H/\Phi(H)).$$

Recall that $d(H) = d(H/\Phi(H))$ (see e.g., the Basis Theorem in [1, §5.4]). We therefore have $d(G) = d(\bar{A}) + d(H)$. \square

By iterating Lemma 2.2(4) we have:

Corollary 2.3. *Let H_0 be a p -group. Let $H_1 \geq H_2 \geq \dots \geq H_k$ and A_1, \dots, A_k be subgroups of H_0 such that for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, $H_{i-1} = A_i H_i$ is a decomposition and $A_i \cap H_i \subseteq A_i^p[A_i, H_i] \cap \Phi(H_i)$. Let $\bar{A}_i := A_i/A_i^p[A_i, H_i]$. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\psi : H_0/\Phi(H_0) \cong \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \bar{A}_i \right) \times H_k/\Phi(H_k)$$

such that for all $a_i \in A_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, and $h \in H_k$:

$$\psi(a_1 \dots a_k h \Phi(H_0)) = (a_1 A_1^p[A_1, H_1], \dots, a_k A_k^p[A_k, H_k], h \Phi(H_k)). \quad (2.6)$$

Note that since $H_0 = A_1 \dots A_k H_k$, every element $x \in H_0$ can be written as $a_1 \dots a_k h$, for some $a_i \in A_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, $h \in H_k$ and hence (2.6) provides a description of ψ for all elements of $H_0/\Phi(H_0)$.

3. Minimal decompositions

A key ingredient in our proof of Theorem 1.3 is to find a decomposition $G = AH$ such that $d(G) = d(\bar{A}) + d(H)$, where $\bar{A} = A/A^p[A, H]$. We shall prove this is the case for minimal decompositions.

Definition 3.1. Let G be a semiabelian group. A *minimal decomposition* of G consists of the following data.

- (1) a minimal normal abelian subgroup $A \triangleleft G$ for which there is a semiabelian proper subgroup H' of G satisfying $G = AH'$,
- (2) a minimal semiabelian subgroup $H \leq G$ for which $G = AH$ (for the same A given in (1)).

By Dentzer's result [3] any non-trivial semiabelian group G has a decomposition and therefore also a minimal one.

In order to apply Lemma 2.2(5), we prove that minimal decompositions satisfy (2.1).

Proposition 3.2. *Let G be a semiabelian p -group with a minimal decomposition $G = AH$. Then $A \cap H \subseteq A^p[A, H] \cap \Phi(H)$.*

Proof. We divide the proof into two parts: (1) $A \cap H \subseteq A^p[A, H]$, (2) $A \cap H \subseteq \Phi(H)$.

(1) Let $\pi_A : A \rightarrow \bar{A}$ where $\bar{A} := A/A^p[A, H]$. Assume, on the contrary, that there is an $a \in A \cap H$ with non-trivial image $\bar{a} := \pi_A(a)$. By Lemma 2.2(3), \bar{A} is an elementary abelian p -group, and hence can be viewed as an \mathbb{F}_p -vector space. We can choose an \mathbb{F}_p -subspace $B_1 \subseteq \bar{A}$ such that $\bar{A} = \langle \bar{a} \rangle \oplus B_1$.

The group $A_1 := \pi_A^{-1}(B_1)$ is a proper subgroup of A . By definition of \bar{A} , H acts trivially by conjugation on \bar{A} . Thus, as a preimage of an H -invariant group, A_1 is H -invariant and hence a normal subgroup of G .

We also have $\pi_A(A_1)\pi_A(A \cap H) = \bar{A}$ and therefore

$$A_1(A \cap H)A^p[A, H] = A.$$

Since $A_1 \supseteq A^p[A, H]$ this implies that $A_1(A \cap H) = A$ and therefore that

$$A_1H = A_1(A \cap H)H = AH = G.$$

Thus, A_1 is a proper subgroup of A that is normal in G such that $G = A_1H$, contradicting the minimality of A .

(2) Let us show that $A \cap H \subseteq \Phi(H)$ by induction on $|G|$. Assume that for any semiabelian group G_0 with $|G_0| < |G|$ and any minimal decomposition $G_0 = BK$, we have $B \cap K \subseteq \Phi(K)$.

Let $\pi_H : H \rightarrow H/\Phi(H)$ and assume on the contrary there is an $a \in A \cap H$ for which $\hat{a} := \pi_H(a)$ is non-trivial. Let $H_1 = H$ and $H_i = A_{i+1}H_{i+1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, be a sequence of minimal decompositions such that H_k is the first for which $\hat{a} \notin \pi_H(H_k)$.

Let $\bar{A}_i = A_i/A_i^p[A_i, H_i]$ and $\pi_i : A_i \rightarrow \bar{A}_i$. By the induction hypothesis and part (1), $A_i \cap H_i \subseteq A_i^p[A_i, H_i] \cap \phi(H_i)$, for $i = 2, \dots, k$. Thus, we can apply Corollary 2.3 and obtain an isomorphism

$$\psi : H/\Phi(H) \cong \left(\prod_{i=2}^k \bar{A}_i \right) \times H_k/\Phi(H_k)$$

such that for all $a_i \in A_i$, $i = 2, \dots, k$, $h \in H_k$:

$$\psi(\pi_H(a_2 \dots a_k h)) = (\pi_2(a_2), \dots, \pi_k(a_k), \pi_H(h)). \quad (3.1)$$

As $H_{k-1} = A_k H_k$, (3.1) implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\pi_H(H_{k-1})) &= \{1\}^{k-2} \times \bar{A}_k \times H_k/\Phi(H_k), \\ \psi(\pi_H(H_k)) &= \{1\}^{k-1} \times H_k/\Phi(H_k). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Write $a = a_2 a_3 \dots a_k h$ for $a_i \in A_i$ and $h \in H_k$, $i = 2, \dots, k$. Since $\hat{a} \in \pi_H(H_{k-1}) \setminus \pi_H(H_k)$, (3.2) implies:

$$\psi(\hat{a}) \in (\{1\}^{k-2} \times \bar{A}_k \times H_k/\Phi(H_k)) \setminus (\{1\}^{k-1} \times H_k/\Phi(H_k)),$$

and hence by (3.1), $\pi_k(a_k) \neq 1$.

Let $\pi_k(a_k), x_1, \dots, x_r$ be a basis of \bar{A}_k and let $A'_k := \pi_k^{-1}(\langle x_1, \dots, x_r \rangle)$. Then A'_k is a proper subgroup of A_k which is normal in H_{k-1} . Since $\langle \pi_k(a_k) \rangle \pi_k(A'_k) = \bar{A}_k$ and as $A'_k \supseteq A_k^p[A_k, H_k]$, we have $\langle a_k \rangle A'_k = A_k$.

The group $U_{k-1} := A'_k H_k$ is a semiabelian subgroup of H_{k-1} . Since A'_k is a proper subgroup of A_k and A_k is minimal we deduce that U_{k-1} is a proper subgroup of H_{k-1} . Iteratively, define a semiabelian subgroup $U_i := A_{i+1} U_{i+1}$ of H_i for $i = 1, \dots, k-2$. The decompositions $H_k = A_{i+1} H_{i+1}$ are minimal and hence each U_i is a proper subgroup of H_i for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$.

We now claim that $AU_1 = G$. We have:

$$AU_1 = AA_2 \dots A_{k-1} A'_k H_k = AA_2 \dots A_{k-1} H_k A'_k H_k. \quad (3.3)$$

Since

$$a_k = a_{k-1}^{-1} \dots a_2^{-1} a h^{-1} \in A_{k-1} \dots A_2 A H_k = AA_2 \dots A_{k-1} H_k,$$

the right hand side of (3.3) contains:

$$AA_2 \dots A_{k-1} \langle a_k \rangle A'_k H_k = A_1 \dots A_k H_k = G.$$

It follows that $G = AU_1$ which contradicts the minimality of $H_1 = H$. \square

Combining Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 3.2 we have:

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be a semiabelian p -group and $G = AH$ a minimal decomposition. Then $d(G) = d(\bar{A}) + d(H)$. In particular $d(H) < d(G)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.2, $A \cap H \subseteq A^p[A, H] \cap \Phi(H)$. By Lemma 2.2(5) this implies $d(G) = d(\bar{A}) + d(H)$. By Lemma 2.2(3), \bar{A} is non-trivial and hence $d(H) < d(G)$. \square

4. Equality of families

Let G be a semiabelian p -group. We shall prove Theorem 1.3 in three steps. In Step I, we use a minimal decomposition $G = AH$ to construct a rank preserving epimorphism $\psi_1 : A \rtimes H \rightarrow G$. In Step II, we construct a subgroup $M \leq A$ and a rank preserving epimorphism $\psi_2 : M \wr H \rightarrow A \rtimes H$. In Step III, we prove Theorem 1.3 by iterating Steps I and II.

Step I. At first, we use Theorem 3.3 to prove the following corollary:

Corollary 4.1. *Let G be a semiabelian p -group with a minimal decomposition $G = AH$. Let $G_0 := A \rtimes H$ with respect to the action induced by conjugation in G . Then there is a rank preserving epimorphism $\psi_1 : G_0 \rightarrow G$.*

Proof. Let $\psi_1 : G_0 \rightarrow G$ be defined by $\psi_1(a, h) = ah$. It is a homomorphism since for all $a_i \in A$, $h_i \in H$, $i = 1, 2$,

$$\psi_1(a_1, h_1)\psi_1(a_2, h_2) = a_1h_1a_2h_2 = a_1a_2^{h_1^{-1}}h_1h_2 = \psi_1((a_1, h_1)(a_2, h_2)).$$

Since A and H are in $\text{Im}(\psi)$, ψ is surjective. Let $A_0 = A \rtimes 1$, $H_0 = 1 \rtimes H \leq G_0$ and $\bar{A}_0 = A_0/A_0^p[A_0, H_0]$. Since

$$A_0 \cap H_0 = \{1\} \subseteq A_0^p[A_0, H_0] \cap \Phi(H_0),$$

it follows from Lemma 2.2(5) that $d(G_0) = d(\bar{A}_0) + d(H_0)$. We have $H_0 \cong H$ and since

$$[A_0, H_0] = \langle a^{-1}a^h \mid a \in A_0, h \in H_0 \rangle \cong [A, H],$$

we also have $\bar{A}_0 \cong \bar{A}$. In particular, $d(G_0) = d(\bar{A}) + d(H)$. By Theorem 3.3 $d(G) = d(\bar{A}) + d(H)$ and hence $d(G_0) = d(G)$. \square

Step II. We show that the group G_0 in Corollary 4.1 is a rank preserving epimorphic image of a corresponding wreath product. We first recall the following definition:

Definition 4.2. Let H be a group and A an abelian group.

- (1) Let $M_1^H(A)$ be the induced H -module, i.e. the abelian group of all functions $f : H \rightarrow A$ with the H -action $f^h(x) = f(xh^{-1})$ for all $x, h \in H$, $f \in M_1^H(A)$.
- (2) For every $a \in A$, let $a_* \in M_1^H(A)$ be defined by $a_*(1) = a$ and $a_*(h) = 1$ for $h \neq 1$.

- (3) The wreath product $A \wr H$ is the semidirect product $M_1^H(A) \rtimes H$ with respect to the above H -action.

Note that for $a \in A$, $h \in H$, one has $a_*^h(x) = a$ if $x = h$ and $a_*^h(x) = 1$ otherwise.

To compute the rank of wreath products we shall use the following well-known lemma (see e.g., [10] or [2]).

Lemma 4.3. *Let A and H be p -groups and assume A is abelian. Then*

$$d(A \wr H) = d(A) + d(H).$$

Proposition 4.4. *Let $G = A \rtimes H$, where A and H are p -groups and A is abelian. Let $\bar{A} = A/A^p[A, H]$ and $\pi : A \rightarrow \bar{A}$ be the natural map. Let M be a minimal subgroup of A for which $\pi(M) = \bar{A}$. Then there is a rank preserving epimorphism*

$$\psi_2 : M \wr H \rightarrow G.$$

Proof. Let ψ_2 be the epimorphism from $A \wr H$ to G (see [4, Lemma 16.4.3]) defined for all $f \in M_1^H(A)$ and $h \in H$ by¹:

$$\psi_2(f, h) = \left(\prod_{\sigma \in H} f(\sigma)^\sigma, h \right).$$

We claim that the restriction of ψ_2 to $M \wr H$ remains surjective. As $\psi_2(1, h) = h$ for all $h \in H$, we have $H \subseteq \text{Im}(\psi_2)$. Since for every $a \in M$, $\psi_2(a_*, 1) = a$, we have $M \subseteq \text{Im}(\psi_2)$. As $\pi(M) = \bar{A}$ we have $MA^p[A, H] = A$ and hence $A^p[A, H]\langle M, H \rangle = G$. Since $A^p[A, H]$ is contained in $\Phi(G)$ this implies $\langle M, H \rangle = G$. It follows that $\text{Im}(\psi_2) \supseteq G$ which proves the claim. It remains to show that $d(M \wr H) = d(G)$. By Lemma 4.3, $d(M \wr H) = d(M) + d(H)$. By Lemma 2.2(3), $d(M) = d(\bar{A})$. By Theorem 3.3, $d(G) = d(\bar{A}) + d(H)$. It follows that:

$$d(M \wr H) = d(M) + d(H) = d(\bar{A}) + d(H) = d(G). \quad \square$$

Step III. Let G be a semiabelian p -group. The composition $\psi_2 \circ \psi_1$ gives a rank preserving epimorphism from $M \wr H \rightarrow G$ where $M \leq G$ is abelian and $H < G$ is semiabelian. To prove Theorem 1.3 we iterate this process using the following well-known lemma. For the sake of completeness we include a proof of this lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *Let A be a finite abelian group and $\psi : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ an epimorphism of finite groups. Then there is an epimorphism $\tilde{\psi} : A \wr G \rightarrow A \wr \Gamma$.*

Proof. We shall treat the Γ -module $M_1^\Gamma(A)$ as a G -module via the map ψ . By the Frobenius Reciprocity Theorem

$$\text{Hom}(A, M_1^\Gamma(A)) \cong \text{Hom}_G(M_1^G(A), M_1^\Gamma(A)), \quad (4.1)$$

¹ In [4], ψ_2 was defined by $\psi_2(f, h) = (\prod_{\sigma \in H} f(\sigma)^{\sigma^{-1}}, h)$. The source of the difference is in the definition of f^τ . In [4], $f^\tau(\sigma) = f(\tau\sigma)$.

where Hom_G denotes the group of G -homomorphisms. The isomorphism (4.1) associates to a homomorphism $i : A \rightarrow M_1^\Gamma(A)$, a homomorphism of G -modules $i^* : M_1^G(A) \rightarrow M_1^\Gamma(A)$ that is given by:

$$i^*(f) = \prod_{g \in G} i(f(g))^{\psi(g)}.$$

Let $i : A \rightarrow M_1^\Gamma(A)$ be the homomorphism $i(a) = a_*$. Then

$$i^*(f)(\gamma) = \left(\prod_{g \in G} f(g)_*^{\psi(g)} \right)(\gamma) = \prod_{\{g \in G : \psi(g) = \gamma\}} f(g), \quad (4.2)$$

is the function that sums over the values of $f \in M_1^G(A)$ on the fiber $\psi^{-1}(\gamma)$.

We claim that i^* is surjective. Let $f \in M_1^\Gamma(A)$. For every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, fix an element $g_\gamma \in G$ for which $\psi(g_\gamma) = \gamma$ and define $\tilde{f} = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma)_*^{g_\gamma} \in M_1^G(A)$. In particular, $\tilde{f}(g) = 1$ for $g \notin \{g_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ and $\tilde{f}(g_\gamma) = f(\gamma)$. By (4.2), $i^*(\tilde{f})(\gamma) = \tilde{f}(g_\gamma) = f(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. It follows that for every $f \in M_1^\Gamma(A)$, $i^*(\tilde{f}) = f$, proving the claim.

Since $i^* : M_1^G(A) \rightarrow M_1^\Gamma(A)$ is an epimorphism of G -modules, i^* induces an epimorphism $\psi'_2 : M_1^G(A) \rtimes G \rightarrow M_1^\Gamma(A) \rtimes G$. Since $\ker(\psi) \triangleleft G$ acts trivially on $M_1^\Gamma(A)$, $\ker(\psi)$ is a normal subgroup of $M_1^\Gamma(A) \rtimes G$. In particular, ψ'_2 induces an epimorphism

$$\psi_2 : A \wr G = M_1^G(A) \rtimes G \rightarrow (M_1^\Gamma(A) \rtimes G) / \ker(\psi) \cong M_1^\Gamma(A) \rtimes \Gamma = A \wr \Gamma. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We argue by induction on $|G|$. Let $G = AH$ be a minimal decomposition. By Corollary 4.1, there is a rank preserving epimorphism $\psi_1 : A \rtimes H \rightarrow G$. Let $\bar{A} = A/A^p[A, H]$, $\pi : A \rightarrow \bar{A}$ be the natural map and let A_1 be a minimal subgroup of A for which $\pi(A_1) = \bar{A}$. By Proposition 4.4 there is a rank preserving epimorphism $\psi_2 : A_1 \wr H \rightarrow A \rtimes H$. Thus $\psi = \psi_2 \circ \psi_1 : A_1 \wr H \rightarrow G$ is a rank preserving epimorphism.

By the induction hypothesis there are abelian p -groups A_2, \dots, A_r and a rank preserving epimorphism $\phi_0 : A_2 \wr (A_3 \wr \dots \wr A_r) \rightarrow H$. By Lemma 4.5, ϕ_0 induces an epimorphism $\phi : A_1 \wr (A_2 \wr \dots \wr A_r) \rightarrow A_1 \wr H$. Using the equality $d(A_2 \wr \dots \wr A_r) = d(H)$ and Lemma 4.3 we have:

$$d(A_1 \wr H) = d(A_1) + d(H) = d(A_1) + d(A_2 \wr \dots \wr A_r) = d(A_1 \wr \dots \wr A_r)$$

and hence ϕ is rank preserving. It follows that $\psi \circ \phi : A_1 \wr (A_2 \wr \dots \wr A_r) \rightarrow G$ is a rank preserving epimorphism. \square

As a corollary we have:

Corollary 4.6. *The families \mathcal{G}_p and \mathcal{S}_p are equal.*

Proof. The inclusion $\mathcal{G}_p \subseteq \mathcal{S}_p$ follows from [5]. For abelian p -groups A_1, \dots, A_r the iterated wreath product $A_1 \wr (A_2 \wr \dots \wr A_r)$ is in \mathcal{G}_p . By Theorem 1.3, every semiabelian group is a rank preserving epimorphism of such an iterated wreath product and hence in \mathcal{G}_p . Thus, $\mathcal{G}_p = \mathcal{S}_p$. \square

References

- [1] H. Bechtell, Theory of Groups, Addison–Wesley Publ. Co., 1971.
- [2] K. Bužasi, L.G. Kovačs, The minimal number of generators of wreath products of nilpotent groups, in: Contemp. Math., vol. 93, 1989, pp. 115–121.
- [3] R. Dentzer, On geometric embedding problems and semiabelian groups, Manuscripta Math. 86 (2) (1995) 199–216.

- [4] M.D. Fried, M. Jarden, *Field Arithmetic*, 2nd edn., *Ergeb. Math.* (3), vol. 11, Springer, Heidelberg, 2005, revised and enlarged by Moshe Jarden.
- [5] H. Kisilevsky, J. Sonn, On the minimal ramification problem for l -groups, *Compos. Math.* 146 (2010) 599–606.
- [6] M. Hall, *The Theory of Groups*, second edition, 1976.
- [7] B.H. Matzat, *Konstruktive Galoistheorie*, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [8] B. Plans, On the minimal number of ramified primes in some l -extensions of \mathbb{Q} , *Pacific J. Math.* 215 (2004) 381–391.
- [9] D. Saltman, Generic Galois extensions and problems in field theory, *Adv. Math.* 43 (3) (1982) 250–283.
- [10] Yeo Kok Chye, Minimal number of generators of some classes of groups, PhD thesis, Australian National University, 1972. [Abstract: *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 9 (1973) 301–302].