

Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants and products of matrix minors

Brendon Rhoades^a, Mark Skandera^{b,*}

^a *Department of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, 127 Vincent Hall, 206 Church St. SE,
Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA*

^b *Department of Mathematics, Haverford College, 370 Lancaster Ave, Haverford, PA 19041, USA*

Received 14 July 2005

Available online 25 August 2005

Communicated by J.T. Stafford

Abstract

Using the Kazhdan–Lusztig basis $\{C'_w(1) \mid w \in S_n\}$ for the symmetric group algebra, we obtain nonnegativity properties of certain polynomials in matrix minors. In particular, we show that the application of these polynomials to Jacobi–Trudi matrices yields symmetric functions which are equal to nonnegative linear combinations of Schur functions.

© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Kazhdan–Lusztig basis; Total nonnegativity; Schur nonnegativity; Immanant

1. Introduction

Since its introduction in [22], the Kazhdan–Lusztig basis $\{C'_w(q) \mid w \in S_n\}$ of the Hecke algebra $H_n(q)$ has found many applications related to algebraic geometry, combinatorics, and Lie theory. One such application, due to Haiman [18], clarifies three nonnegativity properties of certain polynomials which arise in the representation theory of $H_n(q)$. Years later, two of these nonnegativity properties were observed in a family of polynomials which

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: skan@alum.mit.edu (M. Skandera).

arose in the study of inequalities satisfied by minors of totally nonnegative matrices [9,32]. Building upon the arguments of Haiman [18], we will show that this family possesses the third nonnegativity property as well.

The nonnegativity properties are as follows. Let $x = (x_{ij})$ be a generic square matrix. For each pair (I, I') of k -element subsets of $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$, define $\Delta_{I, I'}(x)$ to be the (I, I') minor of x , i.e., the determinant of the submatrix of x corresponding to rows I and columns I' . A real matrix is called *totally nonnegative* (TNN) if each of its minors is nonnegative. A polynomial $p(x) = p(x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{n,n})$ in n^2 variables is called totally nonnegative if for every TNN matrix A , the number

$$p(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p(a_{1,1}, \dots, a_{n,n})$$

is nonnegative. Much current work in total nonnegativity is motivated by problems in the theory of quantum groups. (See, e.g., [13,29,41].)

Other work in quantum groups and symmetric functions leads to more nonnegativity properties. We introduce the following symmetric functions in infinitely many variables y_1, y_2, \dots and refer the reader to [31,35] for more information. Define the k th homogeneous symmetric function h_k by

$$h_k = \begin{cases} \sum_{i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k} y_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_k}, & \text{if } k \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Given a sequence $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r$ of positive integers, define the monomial symmetric function m_λ by

$$m_\lambda = \sum_{\alpha} y_1^{\alpha_1} y_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots,$$

where the sum is over all distinct permutations α of the infinite sequence

$$(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, 0, 0, \dots).$$

Define the Schur function s_λ by

$$s_\lambda = \det(h_{\lambda_i + j - i})_{i,j=1}^r.$$

Somewhat analogous to TNN matrices are *Jacobi–Trudi* matrices

$$A = (h_{\lambda_i - \mu_j + j - i})_{i,j=1}^n,$$

whose entries are homogeneous symmetric functions. (See [14] for connections to total nonnegativity.) We will call a polynomial $p(x)$ in $\mathbb{C}[x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{n,n}]$ *Schur nonnegative* (SNN) if for every $n \times n$ Jacobi–Trudi matrix A , the symmetric function $p(A)$ is equal to a nonnegative linear combination of Schur functions. We will also call such a symmetric function Schur nonnegative. Much current work in Schur nonnegativity is motivated by problems concerning the cohomology ring of the Grassmannian variety. (See, e.g., [12].)

In analogy to Schur nonnegativity, we will call $p(x)$ *monomial nonnegative* (MNN) if for every $n \times n$ Jacobi–Trudi matrix A , $p(A)$ is equal to a nonnegative linear combination of monomial symmetric functions. We will also call such a symmetric function monomial nonnegative. Since each Schur function is itself monomial nonnegative, any SNN polynomial must also be MNN.

Some nontrivial classes of polynomials possessing the TNN, SNN and MNN properties are contained in the complex span of the monomials

$$\{x_{1,w(1)} \cdots x_{n,w(n)} \mid w \in S_n\}.$$

We will call such polynomials *immanants*. In particular, for every function $f: S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we define the f -*immanant* (as in [36, Section 3]) by

$$\text{Imm}_f(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{w \in S_n} f(w) x_{1,w(1)} \cdots x_{n,w(n)}.$$

Some familiar immanants are those of the form $\text{Imm}_{\chi^\lambda}(x)$, where χ^λ is an irreducible character of S_n . Goulden and Jackson conjectured [16] and Greene proved [17] these immanants to be MNN. Stembridge then conjectured [39] these immanants to be TNN and SNN, and he [38] and Haiman [18] proved these two conjectures. (See [18,19,37–39] for related conjectures and results.) Other immanants of the form

$$\Delta_{J,J'}(x) \Delta_{\bar{J},\bar{J}'}(x) - \Delta_{I,I'}(x) \Delta_{\bar{I},\bar{I}'}(x), \quad (1)$$

where $\bar{I} = [n] \setminus I$, etc., have been used to study inequalities satisfied by products of minors of TNN matrices (equivalently, by products of entries of the exterior power representation of TNN elements of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$). Fallat, Gekhtman and Johnson [9] characterized the TNN immanants of the form (1), in the principal minor case ($I = I'$, etc.). A characterization of the general case followed in [32], as did a proof that all such TNN immanants are MNN. More TNN, SNN and MNN immanants related to the Temperley–Lieb algebra and Bruhat order were studied in [7,8,30].

In Section 2 we define a family of immanants in terms of the Kazhdan–Lusztig basis of $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$ and discuss its nonnegativity properties. We then show in Sections 3–5 that the Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants unify *all* classes of TNN immanants mentioned in the previous paragraph. In particular, we prove that all TNN immanants of the form (1) are also SNN, and apply this fact to problems concerning Schur functions in Section 5. In Sections 6 and 7 we consider determinant-like properties of the Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants and some open problems related to cones of MNN, SNN and TNN immanants.

2. Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants and their nonnegativity properties

Let q be a formal parameter and define the *Hecke algebra* $H_n(q)$ to be the $\mathbb{C}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$ -algebra generated by elements $T_{s_1}, \dots, T_{s_{n-1}}$, subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{s_i}^2 &= (q-1)T_{s_i} + q, & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\
T_{s_i} T_{s_j} T_{s_i} &= T_{s_j} T_{s_i} T_{s_j}, & \text{if } |i-j| = 1, \\
T_{s_i} T_{s_j} &= T_{s_j} T_{s_i}, & \text{if } |i-j| \geq 2.
\end{aligned}$$

For each permutation w we define the Hecke algebra element T_w by

$$T_w = T_{s_{i_1}} \cdots T_{s_{i_\ell}},$$

where $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$ is any reduced expression for w . It is well known that the relations above guarantee this product to be independent of the chosen reduced expression. Specializing at $q = 1$ gives the symmetric group algebra $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$.

The elements $\{C'_v(q) \mid v \in S_n\}$ of the Kazhdan–Lusztig basis of $H_n(q)$ have the form

$$C'_v(q) = \sum_{u \leq v} P_{u,v}(q) q^{-\ell(v)/2} T_u, \quad (2)$$

where the comparison of permutations is in the Bruhat order, and

$$\{P_{u,v}(q) \mid u, v \in S_n\}$$

are certain polynomials in q , known as the *Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials* [22].

Solving Eqs. (2) for T_v , we have

$$T_v = \sum_{u \leq v} (-1)^{\ell(v)-\ell(u)} P_{w_0 v, w_0 u}(q) q^{\ell(u)/2} C'_u(q), \quad (3)$$

where w_0 is the longest permutation in S_n [22, Theorem 3.1].

For each permutation v in S_n define the function $f_v: S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f_v(w) = (-1)^{\ell(w)-\ell(v)} P_{w_0 w, w_0 v}(1).$$

Extending these functions linearly to $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$, we see that they are dual to the Kazhdan–Lusztig basis in the sense that

$$f_v(C'_w(1)) = \delta_{v,w}. \quad (4)$$

We will denote the f_v -immanant by

$$\text{Imm}_v(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{w \geq v} f_v(w) x_{1,w(1)} \cdots x_{n,w(n)}, \quad (5)$$

and will call these immanants the *Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants*. In the case that v is the identity permutation, we obtain the determinant. Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants belong to the dual canonical basis of $\mathcal{O}(GL_n(\mathbb{C}))$ and play a fundamental role in the description of all the (infinitely many) elements of this basis. Details will appear in [33].

Results in [18,38] imply that the Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants are TNN and SNN. To summarize these implications in Propositions 1–3, we shall consider the following elements of $H_n(q)$. Given indices $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, define $z_{[i,j]}$ to be the element of $H_n(q)$ which is the sum of elements T_w corresponding to permutations w in the parabolic subgroup of S_n generated by s_i, \dots, s_{j-1} .

Proposition 1. *Let z be an element of $H_n(q)$ of the form*

$$z = z_{[i_1, j_1]} \cdots z_{[i_r, j_r]}. \quad (6)$$

Then we have

$$z = \sum_{w \in S_n} p_{z,w}(q) C'_w(q),$$

where the expressions $p_{z,w}(q)$ are Laurent polynomials in $q^{1/2}$ with nonnegative coefficients. In particular, an element of the form (6) in $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$ is equal to a nonnegative linear combination of the Kazhdan–Lusztig basis elements $\{C'_w(1) \mid w \in S_n\}$.

Proof. Let $s_{[i,j]}$ be the longest permutation in the subgroup generated by s_i, \dots, s_{j-1} . Since the one-line notation for $s_{[i,j]}$ avoids the patterns 3412 and 4231, one may combine a result of Lakshmibai and Sandhya [24] with another of Kazhdan and Lusztig [23] to deduce that $P_{u, s_{[i,j]}}(q) = 1$ for all $u \leq s_{[i,j]}$. It follows that we have

$$z_{[i,j]} = q^{\ell(s_{[i,j]})/2} C'_{s_{[i,j]}}(q).$$

A result of Springer [34] implies that for every pair (u, v) of permutations in S_n , we have

$$C'_u(q) C'_v(q) = \sum_{w \in S_n} f_{u,v}^w(q) C'_w(q),$$

where the expressions $f_{u,v}^w(q)$ are Laurent polynomials in $q^{1/2}$ with nonnegative coefficients. (See [18, Appendix].) From this we see that the expansion of z in terms of the Kazhdan–Lusztig basis has the desired form. \square

Proposition 2. *For each permutation w in S_n , the Kazhdan–Lusztig immanant $\text{Imm}_w(x)$ is totally nonnegative.*

Proof. Given a TNN matrix A , it is possible to choose a set Z of group algebra elements of the form (6), and nonnegative numbers $\{c_z \mid z \in Z\}$ so that we have

$$\sum_{w \in S_n} a_{1, w(1)} \cdots a_{n, w(n)} w = \sum_{z \in Z} c_z z.$$

(This expression is not in general unique. See [30, Lemma 2.5], [38, Theorem 2.1].) Using this expression it is therefore possible to express $\text{Imm}_f(A)$ as

$$\text{Imm}_f(A) = \sum_{z \in Z} c_z f(z),$$

where we have extended the function $f : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ linearly to $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$. Now by Proposition 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Imm}_w(A) &= \sum_z c_z f_w(z) = \sum_z c_z \sum_v p_{z,v}(1) f_w(C'_v(1)) \\ &= \sum_z c_z p_{z,w}(1) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

The following easy consequence of [18, Theorem 1.5] implies the Schur nonnegativity of the Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants. Following [18], we define a *generalized Jacobi–Trudi matrix* to be a finite matrix whose i, j entry is the homogeneous symmetric function $h_{\mu_i - v_j}$, where $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ and $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ are weakly decreasing nonnegative sequences, and by convention $h_m = 0$ if m is negative. Thus each generalized Jacobi–Trudi matrix is constructed from an ordinary Jacobi–Trudi matrix by repeating some rows and/or columns.

Proposition 3. *For each permutation w in S_n , and each $n \times n$ generalized Jacobi–Trudi matrix A , the symmetric function $\text{Imm}_w(A)$ is Schur nonnegative.*

Proof. By [18, Theorem 1.5], we have

$$\sum_{v \in S_n} a_{1,v(1)} \cdots a_{n,v(n)} v = \sum_u g_u(A) C'_u(1),$$

where $\{g_u(A) \mid u \in S_n\}$ are Schur nonnegative symmetric functions which depend upon A . Applying the function f_w to both sides of this equation, we have

$$\text{Imm}_w(A) = \sum_u g_u(A) f_w(C'_u(1)) = g_w(A). \quad \square$$

3. Relation to character immanants and Temperley–Lieb immanants

The relationship of Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants to irreducible character immanants

$$\text{Imm}_{\chi^\lambda}(x) = \sum_{w \in S_n} \chi^\lambda(w) x_{1,w(1)} \cdots x_{n,w(n)} \quad (7)$$

follows easily from [18, Lemma 1.1].

Proposition 4. *Each irreducible character immanant (7) is equal to a nonnegative linear combination of Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants.*

Proof. By the duality of Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants and Kazhdan–Lusztig basis elements (4), we have

$$\text{Imm}_{\chi^\lambda}(x) = \sum_{w \in S_n} \chi^\lambda(C'_w(1)) \text{Imm}_w(x).$$

By [18, Lemma 1.1], the expression $\chi^\lambda(q^{\ell(w)/2} C'_w(q))$ is a polynomial in q with nonnegative integer coefficients. Specializing at $q = 1$ gives the desired result. \square

Thus the irreducible character immanants are TNN and SNN. In order to similarly prove the Schur nonnegativity of other immanants in Section 5, we will first relate the Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants to *Temperley–Lieb immanants* introduced in [30].

Given a formal parameter ξ , we define the *Temperley–Lieb algebra* $TL_n(\xi)$ to be the $\mathbb{C}[\xi]$ -algebra generated by elements t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} t_i^2 &= \xi t_i, & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ t_i t_j t_i &= t_i, & \text{if } |i - j| = 1, \\ t_i t_j &= t_j t_i, & \text{if } |i - j| \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

The rank of $TL_n(\xi)$ as a $\mathbb{C}[\xi]$ -module is well known to be $\frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$, and a natural basis is given by the elements of the form $t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_\ell}$, where $i_1 \cdots i_\ell$ is a reduced word for a 321-avoiding permutation in S_n . (A permutation w is said to be *321-avoiding* if there are no indices $i < j < k$ for which we have $w(i) > w(j) > w(k)$.) We shall call these elements the *standard basis elements* of $TL_n(\xi)$, or simply *the basis elements* of $TL_n(\xi)$.

The Temperley–Lieb algebra may be realized as a quotient of the Hecke algebra by

$$H_n(q)/(z_{[1,3]}) \cong TL_n(q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}),$$

where the element $z_{[1,3]}$ of $H_n(q)$ is defined as before Proposition 1. The two-sided ideal $(z_{[1,3]})$ is known to contain all elements $\{z_{[i,i+2]} \mid i = 1, \dots, n-2\}$. (See, e.g., [10, Section 1].) Let $\theta_q : H_n(q) \rightarrow TL_n(q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2})$ be the projection corresponding to the above isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$ -algebras. Then by [10, Section 2.2] we have

$$\theta_q(q^{-1/2}(T_{s_i} + 1)) = t_i.$$

(See also [15, Sections 2.1, 2.11], [40, Section 7].)

Temperley–Lieb immanants are defined in terms of the homomorphism θ_1 as follows. For each basis element τ of $TL_n(2)$, let $f_\tau : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by

$$\theta_1(T_v) = \sum_{\tau} f_{\tau}(v)\tau,$$

and let

$$\text{Imm}_{\tau}(x) = \sum_{w \in S_n} f_{\tau}(w) x_{1,w(1)} \cdots x_{n,w(n)}$$

be the corresponding immanant. By [30, Theorem 3.1], the Temperley–Lieb immanants are TNN. Furthermore, the following result shows that the Temperley–Lieb immanants are Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants. To prove this, we define for each 321-avoiding permutation w in S_n an element $D_w(q)$ of $H_n(q)$ as follows. For any reduced word $i_1 \cdots i_{\ell}$ for w , define

$$D_w(q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} q^{-\ell/2} (T_{s_{i_1}} + 1) \cdots (T_{s_{i_{\ell}}} + 1).$$

(This element does not depend upon the particular reduced word.) The element $D_w(q)$ satisfies

$$\theta_q(D_w(q)) = t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_{\ell}},$$

and it follows that the set

$$\{\theta_q(D_w(q)) \mid w \text{ a 321-avoiding permutation}\}$$

is equal to the standard basis of $TL_n(q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2})$. For some permutations w we have $D_w(q) = C'_w(q)$, but this equality does not hold in general [3, Theorem 4].

Proposition 5. *Let w be a 321-avoiding permutation and define $\tau = \theta_1(D_w(1))$. Then the Temperley–Lieb immanant $\text{Imm}_{\tau}(x)$ is equal to the Kazhdan–Lusztig immanant $\text{Imm}_w(x)$.*

Proof. Let v be any permutation in S_n . Then we have

$$v = \sum_{u \leq v} (-1)^{\ell(v) - \ell(u)} P_{w_0 v, w_0 u}(1) C'_u(1).$$

The coefficient of $x_{1,v(1)} \cdots x_{n,v(n)}$ in $\text{Imm}_{\tau}(x)$ is equal to $f_{\tau}(v)$, which is the coefficient of τ in

$$\theta_1(v) = \sum_{u \leq v} (-1)^{\ell(v) - \ell(u)} P_{w_0 v, w_0 u}(1) \theta_1(C'_u(1)). \quad (8)$$

A result of Fan and Green [11, Theorem 3.8.2] implies that we have

$$\theta_q(C'_w(q)) = \begin{cases} \theta_q(D_w(q)), & \text{if } w \text{ is 321-avoiding,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We may therefore assume that each permutation u appearing in (8) is 321-avoiding, and we may rewrite the sum as

$$\theta_1(v) = \sum_{u \leq v} (-1)^{\ell(v) - \ell(u)} P_{w_0 v, w_0 u}(1) \theta_1(D_u(1)).$$

The coefficient of $\tau = \theta_1(D_w(1))$ in this expression is $f_w(v)$, as desired. \square

Thus the Temperley–Lieb immanants are precisely the Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants corresponding to 321-avoiding permutations.

4. Relation to the Bruhat order

The Bruhat order on S_n may be defined by setting $u \leq v$ whenever some (equivalently, each) reduced expression for v contains a subexpression which is a reduced expression for u . (See references of [7,8] for other definitions.) Three more definitions concern non-negativity properties of immanants ([7, Theorem 2], [8, Theorem 2]).

Theorem 6. *The following conditions on two permutations in S_n are equivalent:*

- (1) $u \leq v$ in the Bruhat order.
- (2) $x_{1,u(1)} \cdots x_{n,u(n)} - x_{1,v(1)} \cdots x_{n,v(n)}$ is MNN.
- (3) $x_{1,u(1)} \cdots x_{n,u(n)} - x_{1,v(1)} \cdots x_{n,v(n)}$ is SNN.
- (4) $x_{1,u(1)} \cdots x_{n,u(n)} - x_{1,v(1)} \cdots x_{n,v(n)}$ is TNN.

To relate these definitions to the Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants, we offer one more.

Theorem 7. *We have $u \leq v$ in the Bruhat order if and only if the immanant*

$$x_{1,u(1)} \cdots x_{n,u(n)} - x_{1,v(1)} \cdots x_{n,v(n)} \tag{9}$$

is equal to a nonnegative linear combination of Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants.

Proof. Solving Eqs. (5) for the monomials, we have

$$x_{1,v(1)} \cdots x_{n,v(n)} = \sum_{w \geq v} P_{v,w}(1) \text{Imm}_w(x).$$

Thus the coefficient of $\text{Imm}_w(x)$ in (9) is $P_{v,w}(1) - P_{u,w}(1)$. This is clearly nonnegative whenever $u \not\leq w$, so assume that $u \leq w$.

If $v \not\leq u$, then we have $P_{v,u}(1) - P_{u,u}(1) = -1$. Suppose therefore that $v \leq u \leq w$. By a result of Irving [21, Corollary 4] (see also [4, Corollary 3.7]) the polynomial $P_{v,w}(q) - P_{u,w}(q)$ has nonnegative integer coefficients. Thus, $P_{v,w}(1) - P_{u,w}(1)$ is nonnegative. \square

5. Applications to products of matrix minors

Studying inequalities satisfied by products of principal minors of TNN matrices, Fallat, Gekhtman and Johnson [9, Theorem 4.6] characterized all TNN immanants of the form

$$\Delta_{J,J}(x)\Delta_{\bar{J},\bar{J}}(x) - \Delta_{I,I}(x)\Delta_{\bar{I},\bar{I}}(x)$$

(where $\bar{I} = [n] \setminus I$, $\bar{J} = [n] \setminus J$) and more generally, all TNN polynomials of the form

$$\Delta_{J,J}(x)\Delta_{L,L}(x) - \Delta_{I,I}(x)\Delta_{K,K}(x),$$

where the index sets need not be complementary. This result was generalized further in [32, Theorem 3.2] to apply to polynomials of the form

$$\Delta_{J,J'}(x)\Delta_{L,L'}(x) - \Delta_{I,I'}(x)\Delta_{K,K'}(x), \quad (10)$$

in which the minors need not be principal, i.e., I need not be equal to I' . We will show in Theorem 9 that conditions on the sets $I, \dots, L, I', \dots, L'$ which are equivalent to the total nonnegativity of (10) are sufficient to imply the Schur nonnegativity of (10). One characterization of TNN polynomials of this form is the following [32, Theorem 4.2]. (See also [30, Theorem 5.2, Corollary 5.5].)

Proposition 8. *Let I, J, K, L be subsets of $[n]$ and let I', J', K', L' be subsets of $[n']$, and define the subsets I'', J'', K'', L'' of $[n+n']$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} I'' &= I \cup \{n+n'+1-i \mid i \in K'\}, \\ J'' &= J \cup \{n+n'+1-i \mid i \in L'\}, \\ K'' &= K \cup \{n+n'+1-i \mid i \in I'\}, \\ L'' &= L \cup \{n+n'+1-i \mid i \in J'\}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Then the polynomial

$$\Delta_{J,J'}(x)\Delta_{L,L'}(x) - \Delta_{I,I'}(x)\Delta_{K,K'}(x) \quad (12)$$

is totally nonnegative if and only if the sets $I, \dots, L, I', \dots, L'$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} I \cup K &= J \cup L, & I' \cup K' &= J' \cup L', \\ I \cap K &= J \cap L, & I' \cap K' &= J' \cap L', \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

and for each subinterval B of $[n + n']$ the sets I'', \dots, L'' satisfy

$$\max\{|B \cap J''|, |B \cap L''|\} \leq \max\{|B \cap I''|, |B \cap K''|\}. \quad (14)$$

The proof in [32] shows that these polynomials are MNN as well. (See [30, Corollary 6.1].) The characterization of these polynomials [32, Corollary 5.5] replaces the equalities (11) and the inequalities (14) with conditions stated in terms of $TL_n(2)$. This alternative characterization plays a crucial role in the proof of the following result.

Theorem 9. *Let I, J, K, L be subsets of $[n]$, let I', J', K', L' be subsets of $[n']$, and suppose that these satisfy the conditions of Proposition 8. Then the polynomial (12) is Schur nonnegative.*

Proof. Define $r = |I| + |K|$, and let $k_1 \leq \dots \leq k_r$ be the nondecreasing rearrangement of the elements of I and K , including repeated elements. Define k'_1, \dots, k'_r analogously, and let y be the $r \times r$ matrix whose i, j entry is the variable x_{k_i, k'_j} . Thus y is the matrix obtained from x by duplicating rows whose indices belong to $I \cap K$ and columns whose indices belong to $I' \cap K'$.

By Proposition 8, the polynomial (12) is TNN, and by [30, Corollary 5.5] we have

$$\Delta_{J, J'}(x) \Delta_{L, L'}(x) - \Delta_{I, I'}(x) \Delta_{K, K'}(x) = \sum_{\tau} \text{Imm}_{\tau}(y),$$

where the sum is over a subset of basis elements of $TL_r(2)$. By Proposition 5 this is a sum of Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants,

$$\Delta_{J, J'}(x) \Delta_{L, L'}(x) - \Delta_{I, I'}(x) \Delta_{K, K'}(x) = \sum_w \text{Imm}_w(y), \quad (15)$$

where the sum is over an appropriate set of 321-avoiding permutations w in S_r .

Now let A be an arbitrary $n \times n'$ Jacobi–Trudi matrix, and let B be the generalized Jacobi–Trudi matrix whose i, j entry is a_{k_i, k'_j} . Then the evaluation of the left-hand side of (15) at $x = A$ is equal to the evaluation of the right-hand side at $y = B$. By Proposition 3, the resulting symmetric function on the right-hand side is SNN. Thus the polynomial $\Delta_{J, J'}(x) \Delta_{L, L'}(x) - \Delta_{I, I'}(x) \Delta_{K, K'}(x)$ is SNN. \square

Of course it is also true that any linear combination of products of matrix minors which can be expressed as

$$\sum_i c_i \Delta_{I_i, I'_i}(x) \Delta_{K_i, K'_i}(x) = \sum_w d_w \text{Imm}_w(y),$$

where y is obtained from x and (I_1, I'_1, K_1, K'_1) as in the preceding proof, is SNN if the coefficients d_w are all nonnegative. Theorem 9 is a special case of this. On the other hand, while the conditions of Proposition 8 are sufficient to ensure the Schur nonnegativity of the polynomial (12), it is not clear that they are necessary.

Question 10. *Is Proposition 8 a characterization of the Schur nonnegative differences of products of matrix minors?*

Theorem 9 provides new machinery for proving that certain symmetric functions are SNN. In particular, various special cases of the following question have appeared in the literature.

Question 11. *What conditions on the integer partitions $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \kappa, \lambda, \mu, \nu$ imply the Schur nonnegativity of the symmetric function $s_{\alpha/\kappa}s_{\beta/\lambda} - s_{\gamma/\mu}s_{\delta/\nu}$?*

To illustrate applications of Theorem 9, we will provide two simple answers to this question.

Proposition 12. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ be a partition and define the partition $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-2})$ by*

$$\rho = \left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - 1, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1, \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - 2, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 2, \dots, 1, 1 \right).$$

Then for any k in $[n]$ the symmetric function

$$s_{(\lambda_1+\rho_1, \lambda_3+\rho_3, \dots)} / s_{(\rho_1, \rho_3, \dots)} s_{(\lambda_2+\rho_2, \lambda_4+\rho_4, \dots)} / s_{(\rho_2, \rho_4, \dots)} - s_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)} s_{(\lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_n)}$$

is Schur nonnegative.

Proof. Let J be the set of odd integers in $[n]$, and let $I = [k]$. By Proposition 8, the polynomial

$$\Delta_{J,J}(x) \Delta_{\bar{J},\bar{J}}(x) - \Delta_{I,I}(x) \Delta_{\bar{I},\bar{I}}(x)$$

is SNN, and its evaluation at the Jacobi–Trudi matrix $(h_{\lambda_i+j-i})_{i,j=1}^n$ gives the symmetric function (12). \square

For instance, we may choose $\lambda = 5444333$ and $k = 2$ to prove the Schur nonnegativity of the symmetric function

$$s_{8643/321} s_{653/21} - s_{54} s_{44333}.$$

Proposition 13. *Let $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{2k})$ and $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2k})$ be partitions and define*

$$\kappa = (\theta_1 - \gamma_k, \dots, \theta_k - \gamma_k),$$

$$\lambda = (\theta_{k+1}, \dots, \theta_{2k}),$$

$$\mu = (\theta_1 + k, \dots, \theta_k + k),$$

$$\nu = (\theta_{k+1} - \gamma_k - k, \dots, \theta_{2k} - \gamma_k - k),$$

$$\alpha = (\gamma_1 - \gamma_k, \dots, \gamma_k - \gamma_k),$$

$$\beta = (\gamma_{k+1}, \dots, \gamma_{2k}).$$

Then the symmetric function

$$s_{\kappa/\alpha} s_{\lambda/\beta} - s_{\mu/\beta} s_{\nu/\alpha} \quad (16)$$

is Schur nonnegative.

Proof. Let $n = 2k$ and let $J = [k]$. By Proposition 8, the polynomial

$$\Delta_{J,J}(x) \Delta_{\bar{J},\bar{J}}(x) - \Delta_{J,\bar{J}}(x) \Delta_{\bar{J},J}(x)$$

is SNN, and its evaluation at the Jacobi–Trudi matrix $(h_{\theta_i - \gamma_j + j - i})_{i,j=1}^{2k}$ gives the symmetric function (16). \square

For instance, we may choose

$$\theta = (13, 11, 8, 8, 7, 5), \quad \gamma = (3, 2, 1, 1, 1) = (3, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0),$$

and apply the above proposition to the Jacobi–Trudi matrix $(h_{\theta_i - \gamma_j + j - i})_{i,j=1}^6$ to prove the Schur nonnegativity of the symmetric function

$$s_{(12,10,7)/(2,1)} s_{(8,7,5)/(1,1)} - s_{(16,14,11)/(1,1)} s_{(4,3,1)/(2,1)}.$$

More answers to Question 11 have recently been provided by Lam, Postnikov and Pylyavskyy [25]. In particular they have applied Theorem 9 to prove conjectures of their own [26], of Lascoux, Leclerc and Thibon [27, Conjecture 6.4], of Fomin, Fulton, Li and Poon [12, Conjecture 2.8], of Okounkov [28, p. 269], and of Bergeron and McNamara [2, Conjecture 5.2]. It would be interesting to use these methods to settle [12, Conjecture 5.1] and the stronger [1, Conjecture 2.9].

6. Determinant-like properties of Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants

In the following propositions, we use $<$ to denote the Bruhat order on S_n , $\ell(w)$ to denote the length of a reduced expression for $w \in S_n$, and $\mu(u, v)$ to denote the nonnegative integer which is the coefficient of $q^{(\ell(v) - \ell(u) - 1)/2}$ in $P_{u,v}(q)$. (See [20] for more information.)

Lemma 14. *Let u, v be permutations in S_n . Then we have*

$$P_{u,v}(q) = P_{u^{-1},v^{-1}}(q) = P_{w_0 u w_0, w_0 v w_0}(q), \quad (17)$$

$$\mu(u, v) = \mu(u^{-1}, v^{-1}) = \mu(w_0 u w_0, w_0 v w_0). \quad (18)$$

Proof. Kazhdan and Lusztig's R -polynomials $\{R_{u,v}(q) \mid u, v \in S_n\}$, introduced in [22], satisfy

$$R_{u,v}(q) = R_{u^{-1},v^{-1}}(q) = R_{w_0 u w_0, w_0 v w_0}(q)$$

by [22, Section 2] and [20, Section 7.6].

Applying these facts to the recursive definition of the Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials in [22, Eq. (2.2.b)] and using induction on $\ell(v) - \ell(u)$ we obtain (17). Equations (18) follow immediately.

Proposition 15. *For any permutation w in S_n we have*

$$\text{Imm}_w(x^T) = \text{Imm}_{w^{-1}}(x),$$

where $x_{i,j}^T = x_{j,i}$.

Proof. By Lemma 14 we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{w^{-1}}(v^{-1}) &= (-1)^{\ell(v^{-1}) - \ell(w^{-1})} P_{w_0 v^{-1}, w_0 w^{-1}}(1) \\ &= (-1)^{\ell(v) - \ell(w)} P_{w_0 v, w_0 w}(1) = f_w(v). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Imm}_w(x^T) &= \sum_{v \in S_n} f_w(v) x_{v(1),1} \cdots x_{v(n),n} = \sum_{v \in S_n} f_{w^{-1}}(v^{-1}) x_{v(1),1} \cdots x_{v(n),n} \\ &= \sum_{v \in S_n} f_{w^{-1}}(v) x_{1,v(1)} \cdots x_{n,v(n)} = \text{Imm}_{w^{-1}}(x). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Let P be the $n \times n$ permutation matrix corresponding to the adjacent transposition s_i in S_n , so that the matrices A and PA differ by a transposition of their i th and $(i+1)$ st rows. Recalling that the determinant satisfies

$$\det(PA) = -\det(A),$$

we will prove similar properties of the Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants.

Proposition 16. *Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix and let P be the permutation matrix corresponding to the adjacent transposition s_i of S_n . Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Imm}_w(PA) &= \begin{cases} -\text{Imm}_w(A), & \text{if } s_i w > w, \\ \text{Imm}_w(A) + \text{Imm}_{s_i w}(A) + \sum_{s_i z > z} \mu(w, z) \text{Imm}_z(A), & \text{if } s_i w < w, \end{cases} \\ \text{Imm}_w(AP) &= \begin{cases} -\text{Imm}_w(A), & \text{if } w s_i > w, \\ \text{Imm}_w(A) + \text{Imm}_{w s_i}(A) + \sum_{z s_i > z} \mu(w, z) \text{Imm}_z(A), & \text{if } w s_i < w. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the duality of Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants and Kazhdan–Lusztig basis elements (4), we have

$$\sum_{w \in S_n} a_{1,w(1)} \cdots a_{n,w(n)} w = \sum_{w \in S_n} \text{Imm}_w(A) C'_w(1).$$

Thus $\text{Imm}_w(PA)$ is equal to the coefficient of $C'_w(1)$ in

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \in S_n} a_{1,s_i v(1)} \cdots a_{n,s_i v(n)} v &= s_i \sum_{v \in S_n} a_{1,v(1)} \cdots a_{n,v(n)} v \\ &= \sum_{v \in S_n} \text{Imm}_v(A) s_i C'_v(1). \end{aligned}$$

Using [22] one can show that the Kazhdan–Lusztig basis elements satisfy

$$s_i C'_v(1) = \begin{cases} C'_v(1), & \text{if } s_i v < v, \\ C'_{s_i v}(1) - C'_v(1) + \sum_{s_i y < y} \mu(y, v) C'_y(1), & \text{if } s_i v > v. \end{cases}$$

(See also [6, Eq. (1.6)].) Thus the coefficient in question is that of $C'_w(1)$ in

$$\sum_{s_i v < v} \text{Imm}_v(A) C'_v(1) + \sum_{s_i v > v} \text{Imm}_v(A) \left(C'_{s_i v}(1) - C'_v(1) + \sum_{s_i y < y} \mu(y, v) C'_y(1) \right).$$

If $s_i w > w$, this coefficient is $-\text{Imm}_w(A)$; if $s_i w < w$, it is

$$\text{Imm}_w(A) + \text{Imm}_{s_i w}(A) + \sum_{s_i z > z} \mu(w, z) \text{Imm}_z(A),$$

as desired.

Applying Proposition 15 to this result and using (18), we obtain the stated expression for $\text{Imm}_w(AP)$. \square

Corollary 17. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix in which rows i and $i + 1$ are equal, and let w be a permutation in S_n . If s_i is a left ascent for w ($s_i w > w$) then we have

$$\text{Imm}_w(A) = 0.$$

If s_i is a right ascent for w ($ws_i > w$) then we have

$$\text{Imm}_w(A^T) = 0.$$

To generalize the identity

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} = \det(B) \det(D)$$

concerning block-upper-triangular matrices, we introduce the following operation on permutations. Given permutations

$$w_1 = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k} \in S_n,$$

$$w_2 = s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_\ell} \in S_m,$$

define the permutation $w_1 \oplus w_2$ in S_{n+m} by

$$w_1 \oplus w_2 = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k} s_{n+j_1} \cdots s_{n+j_\ell}.$$

It is clear that a permutation $w \in S_{n+m}$ decomposes as $w_1 \oplus w_2$ with $w_1 \in S_n$, $w_2 \in S_m$ if and only if no reduced expression for w contains the transposition s_n .

Proposition 18. *Let v be an element of S_{n+m} and let A be an $(n+m) \times (n+m)$ block-upper-triangular matrix of the form*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix},$$

with B an $n \times n$ matrix and D an $m \times m$ matrix. Then we have

$$\text{Imm}_v(A) = \begin{cases} \text{Imm}_{v_1}(B) \text{Imm}_{v_2}(D), & \text{if } v = v_1 \oplus v_2 \text{ for some } v_1 \in S_n, v_2 \in S_m, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Proof. The block-upper-triangular form of A implies that

$$a_{1,w(1)} \cdots a_{n,w(n)} = 0$$

whenever w does not decompose as $w = w_1 \oplus w_2$ with $w_1 \in S_n$, $w_2 \in S_m$. Thus we have

$$\text{Imm}_v(A) = \sum_{w_1 \oplus w_2 \geq v} f_v(w_1 \oplus w_2) b_{1,w_1(1)} \cdots b_{n,w_1(n)} d_{1,w_2(1)} \cdots d_{m,w_2(m)}.$$

If some reduced expression for v contains the transposition s_n , then the above sum is empty and the immanant is equal to zero. Suppose therefore that v decomposes as $v = v_1 \oplus v_2$. Then we have

$$\text{Imm}_v(A) = \sum_{w_1 \geq v_1} \sum_{w_2 \geq v_2} f_{v_1 \oplus v_2}(w_1 \oplus w_2) b_{1,w_1(1)} \cdots b_{n,w_1(n)} d_{1,w_2(1)} \cdots d_{m,w_2(m)}.$$

Let w'_0 and w''_0 be the longest elements of S_n and S_m respectively. A result of Brenti [5, Theorem 4.4] concerning the factorization of Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials implies that we have

$$P_{w_0(w_1 \oplus w_2), w_0(v_1 \oplus v_2)}(1) = P_{w'_0 w_1, w''_0 v_1}(1) P_{w'_0 w_2, w''_0 v_2}(1).$$

Thus we have

$$f_{v_1 \oplus v_2}(w_1 \oplus w_2) = f_{v_1}(w_1)f_{v_2}(w_2)$$

and our result follows. \square

7. Cones of immanants

Work on immanants related to representations of S_n has led to the study of certain elements of $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$ associated to total nonnegativity. Following Stembridge [38], we define the *cone of total nonnegativity* to be the smallest cone in $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$ containing the set

$$\left\{ \sum_{w \in S_n} a_{1,w(1)} \cdots a_{n,w(n)} w \mid A \text{ TNN} \right\}.$$

We shall denote this cone by \mathcal{C}_{TNN} . (We omit the number n from this notation, although the cone obviously depends upon n .) Dual to \mathcal{C}_{TNN} is the cone of TNN immanants, which we shall denote by $\check{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{TNN}}$,

$$\check{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{TNN}} = \{ \text{Imm}_f(x) \mid f(z) \geq 0 \text{ for all } z \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{TNN}} \}.$$

No simple description of the extremal rays of these cones is known. However, Stembridge showed [38, Theorem 2.1] that \mathcal{C}_{TNN} is contained in the cone whose extremal rays are elements of $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$ of the form (6). We shall denote this third cone by \mathcal{C}_{INT} . Furthermore, Stembridge showed that this containment $\mathcal{C}_{\text{TNN}} \subset \mathcal{C}_{\text{INT}}$ is proper for $n \geq 4$.

Define \mathcal{C}_{KL} to be the cone whose extremal rays are the Kazhdan–Lusztig basis elements $\{C'_w(1) \mid w \in S_n\}$. By Proposition 1 [18, Proposition 3.1], \mathcal{C}_{INT} is contained in \mathcal{C}_{KL} . It is not difficult to show that this containment is proper for $n \geq 4$. Thus we have the proper containment of the dual cones

$$\check{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{KL}} \subset \check{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{INT}} \subset \check{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{TNN}}.$$

For small n , many of the Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants seem to be extremal rays in $\check{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{TNN}}$.

An interesting related fact concerns TNN immanants in variables $x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{4,4}$. Given the expansion of such an immanant in terms of Kazhdan–Lusztig immanants,

$$\text{Imm}_f(x) = \sum_{w \in S_4} d_w \text{Imm}_w(x),$$

it is straightforward to show that d_w must be nonnegative if $w \notin \{3412, 4231\}$. This suggests the following question.

Question 19. Let $\text{Imm}_f(x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{n,n})$ be a totally nonnegative immanant and write

$$\text{Imm}_f(x) = \sum_{w \in S_n} d_w \text{Imm}_w(x).$$

Must d_w be nonnegative when the Schubert variety Γ_w is smooth? (i.e. when w avoids the patterns 3412 and 4231?)

In analogy to the dual cone of nonnegativity, one may define cones \check{C}_{SNN} and \check{C}_{MNN} of Schur nonnegative immanants and monomial nonnegative immanants. While these cones are not known to differ from one another, or from \check{C}_{TNN} , we do have the containments

$$\check{C}_{\text{KL}} \subset \check{C}_{\text{INT}} \subseteq \check{C}_{\text{SNN}} \subseteq \check{C}_{\text{MNN}}.$$

This suggests the following problem.

Problem 20. Describe the precise containment relationships between the cones \check{C}_{MNN} , \check{C}_{SNN} , and \check{C}_{TNN} .

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Sara Billey, Francesco Brenti, Fabrizio Caselli, Fokko Ducloux, Kenneth Fan, John Stembridge, Greg Warrington, and referees for helpful conversations, comments, and computer programs.

References

- [1] F. Bergeron, R. Biagioli, M. Rosas, Inequalities between Littlewood–Richardson coefficients, preprint on ArXiv, math.CO/0403541, 2004.
- [2] F. Bergeron, P. McNamara, Some positive differences of products of Schur functions, preprint on ArXiv, math.CO/0412289, 2005.
- [3] S.C. Billey, G. Warrington, Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials for 321-hexagon avoiding permutations, J. Algebraic Combin. 13 (2) (2001) 111–136.
- [4] T. Braden, R. MacPherson, From moment maps to intersection cohomology, Math. Ann. 321 (3) (2001) 533–551.
- [5] F. Brenti, Combinatorial properties of the Kazhdan–Lusztig R -polynomials for S_n , Adv. Math. 126 (1) (1997) 21–51.
- [6] C.W. Curtis, On Lusztig’s isomorphism theorem for Hecke algebras, J. Algebra 92 (2) (1985) 348–365.
- [7] B. Drake, S. Gerrish, M. Skandera, Two new criteria for comparison in the Bruhat order, Electron. J. Combin. 11 (1) (2004), note 6, 4 pp. (electronic).
- [8] B. Drake, S. Gerrish, M. Skandera, Monomial nonnegativity and the Bruhat order, Electron. J. Combin. 11 (2) (2005), research paper R18, 5 pp. (electronic).
- [9] S.M. Fallat, M.I. Gekhtman, C.R. Johnson, Multiplicative principal-minor inequalities for totally nonnegative matrices, Adv. Appl. Math. 30 (3) (2003) 442–470.
- [10] K. Fan, Structure of a Hecke algebra quotient, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1) (1997) 139–167.
- [11] K. Fan, R.M. Green, Monomials and Temperley–Lieb algebras, J. Algebra 190 (1997) 498–517.

- [12] S. Fomin, W. Fulton, C.K. Li, Y. Poon, Eigenvalues, singular values, and Littlewood–Richardson coefficients, *Amer. J. Math.* 127 (1) (2005) 101–127.
- [13] S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, Total positivity: Tests and parametrizations, *Math. Intelligencer* 22 (1) (2000) 23–33.
- [14] I. Gessel, G. Viennot, Determinants and plane partitions, preprint, 1989.
- [15] F.M. Goodman, P. de la Harpe, V. Jones, *Coxeter Graphs and Towers of Algebras*, Springer, New York, 1989.
- [16] I.P. Goulden, D.M. Jackson, Immanants of combinatorial matrices, *J. Algebra* 148 (1992) 305–324.
- [17] C. Greene, Proof of a conjecture on immanants of the Jacobi–Trudi matrix, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 171 (1992) 65–79.
- [18] M. Haiman, Hecke algebra characters and immanant conjectures, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 6 (3) (1993) 569–595.
- [19] P. Heyfron, Immanant dominance orderings for hook partitions, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 24 (1) (1988) 65–78.
- [20] J.E. Humphreys, *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [21] R.S. Irving, The socle filtration of a Verma module, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) 21 (1) (1988) 47–65.
- [22] D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras, *Invent. Math.* 53 (1979) 165–184.
- [23] D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, Schubert varieties and Poincaré duality, in: *Proc. Sympos. Pure. Math.*, vol. 36, Amer. Math. Soc., 1980, pp. 185–203.
- [24] V. Lakshmibai, B. Sandhya, Criterion for smoothness of Schubert varieties in $SL(n)/B$, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)* 100 (1) (1990) 45–52.
- [25] T. Lam, A. Postnikov, P. Pylyavskyy, Schur positivity conjectures: $2\frac{1}{2}$ are no more!, preprint on ArXiv, math.CO/0502446, 2005.
- [26] T. Lam, P. Pylyavskyy, Cell transfer and monomial positivity, preprint on ArXiv, math.CO/0505273, 2005.
- [27] A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc, J.Y. Thibon, Ribbon tableaux, Hall–Littlewood symmetric functions, quantum affine algebras, and unipotent varieties, *J. Math. Phys.* 38 (2) (1997) 1041–1068.
- [28] A. Okounkov, Log-concavity of multiplicities with applications to characters of $U(\infty)$, *Adv. Math.* 127 (2) (1997) 258–282.
- [29] G. Lusztig, Total positivity in reductive groups, in: *Lie Theory and Geometry: in Honor of Bertram Kostant*, in: *Progr. Math.*, vol. 123, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994, pp. 531–568.
- [30] B. Rhoades, M. Skandera, Temperley–Lieb immanants, *Ann. Comb.* (2005), in press.
- [31] B. Sagan, *The Symmetric Group*, Springer, New York, 2001.
- [32] M. Skandera, Inequalities in products of minors of totally nonnegative matrices, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 20 (2) (2004) 195–211.
- [33] M. Skandera, Nonnegativity properties of the dual canonical basis, 2005, in preparation.
- [34] T.A. Springer, Quelques applications de la cohomologie d’intersection, in: *Séminaire Bourbaki*, vol. 1981/1982, in: *Astérisque*, vol. 92, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982, pp. 249–273.
- [35] R. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [36] R. Stanley, Positivity problems and conjectures, in: V. Arnold, M. Atiyah, P. Lax, B. Mazur (Eds.), *Mathematics: Frontiers and Perspectives*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 295–319.
- [37] R. Stanley, J.R. Stembridge, On immanants of Jacobi–Trudi matrices and permutations with restricted positions, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 62 (1993) 261–279.
- [38] J. Stembridge, Immanants of totally positive matrices are nonnegative, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 23 (1991) 422–428.
- [39] J. Stembridge, Some conjectures for immanants, *Canad. J. Math.* 44 (5) (1992) 1079–1099.
- [40] B.W. Westbury, The representation theory of the Temperley–Lieb algebras, *Math. Z.* 219 (1995) 539–565.
- [41] A. Zelevinsky, From Littlewood–Richardson coefficients to cluster algebras in three lectures, in: S. Fomin (Ed.), *Symmetric Functions 2001: Surveys of Developments and Perspectives*, in: *NATO Sci. Ser. II: Math. Phys. Chem.*, vol. 74, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2002, pp. 253–273.