

# Structure of internal modules and a formula for the spherical vector of minimal representations

Gordan Savin\*, Michael Woodbury

*Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA*

Received 15 March 2006

Available online 19 January 2007

Communicated by Efim Zelmanov

---

## Abstract

We develop a formula for the spherical vector of minimal representations of simply laced Chevalley groups.

© 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Chevalley groups; Minimal representations

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a simply connected Chevalley group, and  $P = MN$  a maximal parabolic subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $\mathfrak{n}$  be the Lie algebra of  $N$ . A choice of Chevalley basis defines a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -structure on  $\mathfrak{n}$ . The structure of  $M$  orbits over  $\mathbb{Z}$  on irreducible subquotients of  $\mathfrak{n}$  could be highly non-trivial, and very interesting as Bhargava [B] shows. In the first part of this paper we deal with this question in the case when  $G$  is simply laced and  $N$  is abelian. In a sense, this is the most banal case. Our results can be described as follows. Let  $M_{ss}$  be the “semi-simple” part of  $M$ . It is more natural to work with  $M_{ss}$ . Starting with the highest root  $\beta$  one can, in a canonical fashion, define a maximal sequence of orthogonal roots  $\beta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r-1}$  in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}$ . Let  $e_\beta, \dots, e_{\beta_{r-1}}$  be the corresponding Chevalley basis elements in  $\mathfrak{n}$ . Then every  $M^{ss}(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{n}$  contains an

---

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [savin@math.utah.edu](mailto:savin@math.utah.edu) (G. Savin).

element

$$de_{\beta} + d_1 e_{\beta_1} + \cdots + d_{r-1} e_{\beta_{r-1}}$$

such that  $d \mid d_1 \mid \cdots \mid d_{r-1}$ . Moreover, all  $d_k$  can be picked to be non-negative except perhaps  $d_{r-1}$ . This result is a generalization of a result of Richardson, Röhrle and Steinberg [RRS], who considered the same question for groups over a field  $k$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{n} = \Omega_0 \cup \cdots \cup \Omega_r$$

where  $\Omega_0 = \{0\}$  and  $\Omega_j$  is the  $M_{ss}(k)$ -orbit of  $e_{\beta} + e_{\beta_1} + \cdots + e_{\beta_{j-1}}$  except, perhaps,  $\Omega_r$  which could be a union of orbits parameterized by classes of squares in  $k^{\times}$ . Also, the case when  $\mathfrak{n}$  is a 27-dimensional representation of  $E_6(\mathbb{Z})$  was recently obtained by Krutelevich [K] in his Yale PhD thesis.

Our next result is an application to minimal representations of  $p$ -adic groups. Let  $G$  be a simple split group of *adjoint* type. Let  $P = MN$  be a maximal parabolic subgroup with abelian nil radical. Let  $\Omega_1$  be the set of rank = 1 elements in the opposite nil-radical  $\bar{N}$ . The minimal representation of  $G$  can be realized as a space of functions  $f$  on  $\Omega_1$  (see [MS]) such that the action of  $P$  is given by

$$\begin{cases} (\pi(n)f)(y) = f(y)\psi(-\langle n, y \rangle) & \text{and} \\ (\pi(m)f)(y) = \chi^{s_0}(m)\Delta^{-1/2}(m)f(m^{-1}ym) \end{cases}$$

where  $\psi$  is an additive character of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  of conductor 0,  $\langle n, y \rangle$  the natural pairing between  $N$  and  $\bar{N}$ , and  $\chi^{s_0}(m)$  an unramified character of  $M$ , described in Section 3. The main disadvantage of this model is that we do not have any explicit formula for the action of the maximal compact subgroup  $K = G(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . In particular, it is not clear a priori how to determine the spherical vector of the minimal representation. We accomplish this as follows. First of all, under the action of  $M(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  the orbit  $\Omega_1$  decomposes as a union of orbits each containing  $p^m e_{-\beta}$  for some integer  $m$ . Thus a spherical vector  $f$ , since it is fixed by  $M(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , is determined by its value on  $p^m e_{-\beta}$  for all integers  $m$ . Furthermore, since  $f$  is fixed by  $N(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  as well, it must vanish on these elements if  $m < 0$ . To determine  $f$  exactly we shall use the fact that it is an eigenvector for the Hecke algebra. More precisely, we have  $T_i * f = c_i \cdot f$  where  $T_i$  is a Hecke operator corresponding to a minuscule coweight  $\omega_i$ . Such a coweight exists since we assume that  $G$  has a maximal parabolic subgroup with abelian nilpotent radical. The support of the Hecke operator is  $K\omega_i K$ . The Cartan decomposition implies that  $K\omega_i K$  can be written as a union  $K\omega_i K = \bigcup_j p_j K$  for some  $p_j$  in  $P$ . Then

$$T_i * f = \sum_j \pi(p_j)f.$$

Thus the action of  $T_i$  can be explicitly calculated since we know how  $P$  acts! This gives us a recursive relation

$$c_i \cdot f(p^n e_{-\beta}) = a_1 f(p^{n+1} e_{-\beta}) + a_0 f(p^n e_{-\beta}) + a_{-1} f(p^{n-1} e_{-\beta})$$

from which it is not too difficult to determine  $f$  completely. In fact, the answer is a geometric series

$$f(p^n e_{-\beta}) = 1 + p^d + \cdots + p^{nd}$$

where  $d$  depends on the pair  $(G, M)$ . In particular, this formula is a generalization of the well-known formula for  $GL_2$ . Indeed, if  $f$  is a spherical vector of the representation (parabolically) induced from two unramified characters  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$ , then

$$f(p^n e_{-\beta}) = \chi_1(p)^n + \chi_1(p)^{n-1} \chi_2(p) + \cdots + \chi_1(p) \chi_2(p)^{n-1} + \chi_2(p)^n.$$

The question of spherical vector was addressed in several papers. For  $p$ -adic groups, but working with a different model of the minimal representation, a formula for the spherical vector was found by Kazhdan and Polishchuk in [KP]. For real groups, in a situation similar to ours, the spherical vector was determined in a beautiful paper of Dvorsky and Sahi [DS]. Their result is a bit more restricted, for they assume that  $\bar{N}$  is conjugated to  $N$ , which is not always the case.

## 2. Maximal parabolic subalgebras

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simple split Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  a maximal split Cartan subalgebra. Let  $\Phi$  be the corresponding root system. We assume that  $\Phi$  is a simply laced root system, meaning that all roots are of equal length. In particular, the type of  $\Phi$  is  $A$ ,  $D$  or  $E$ . Fix  $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ , a set of simple roots. Every root can be written as a sum  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^l m_i(\alpha) \alpha_i$  for some integers  $m_i(\alpha)$ . To every simple root  $\alpha_i$  we can attach a subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus (\bigoplus_{m_i(\alpha)=0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha), \\ \mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{m_i(\alpha)>0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ss}} = [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$  is a semi-simple Lie algebra which corresponds to the Dynkin diagram of  $\Delta \setminus \{\alpha_i\}$ . Let  $\beta$  be the highest root, and  $b = n_i(\beta)$ . For every  $j$  between 1 and  $b$ , define

$$\mathfrak{n}_j = \bigoplus_{m_i(\alpha)=j} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

Then  $[\mathfrak{n}_j, \mathfrak{n}_k] \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_{j+k}$ . In particular, if  $b = 1$  then  $\mathfrak{n}$  is commutative. Here is the list of all possible pairs  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$  with  $\mathfrak{n}$  commutative. (The simple root defining  $\mathfrak{m}$  will be henceforth denoted by  $\tau$ .)

$\mathfrak{g}$	$A_{n-1}$	$D_n$	$D_{n+1}$	$E_6$	$E_7$
$\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ss}}$	$A_{k-1} \times A_{n-k-1}$	$A_{n-1}$	$D_n$	$D_5$	$E_6$
$\dim(\mathfrak{n})$	$k(n-k)$	$n(n-1)/2$	$2n$	16	27

Explanation: in the first case,  $\mathfrak{n}$  is equal to the set of  $k \times (n-k)$  matrices. In the second case it is equal to the set of all skew-symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices, and in the third case  $\mathfrak{n}$  is the standard representation of  $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ . In the fourth case  $\mathfrak{n}$  is a 16-dimensional spin representation and, in the fifth and last case, it is a 27-dimensional representation of  $E_6$ .

We would like to determine  $M^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits on  $\mathfrak{n}$ . Consider the case when  $\mathfrak{n}$  is the set of  $n \times m$  matrices. As is well known, using row-column operations, every matrix  $A$  can be transformed

(reduced) into a matrix with integers  $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots$  on the diagonal. The column operations correspond to multiplying  $A$  by certain *elementary* matrices. For example, if  $m = 2$ , then multiplying  $A$  from the right by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

corresponds, respectively, to:

- (i) Adding the first column of  $A$  to the second.
- (ii) Permuting the two columns of  $A$ .
- (iii) Changing signs in the first column of  $A$ .

Similarly, row operations correspond to multiplying  $A$  by the elementary matrices from the left. An inconvenience here is that the last two matrices are not in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  since they have determinant  $-1$ . In order to remedy this, we shall replace them by the following matrices of determinant 1:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Multiplying  $A$  by these three matrices corresponds to so-called *strict* column operations:

- (i) Adding the first column of  $A$  to the second.
- (ii) Permuting two columns of  $A$ , and changing the signs in one.
- (iii) Changing the signs in both columns of  $A$ .

Since elementary matrices (of determinant one) generate  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , the *strict* row column reduction can be formulated as the following:

*Every  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \times SL_m(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit in the set of  $n \times m$  matrices contains a diagonal matrix  $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots$  where all entries, save perhaps one, are non-negative.*

The proof of this result is inductive in nature. The first number  $d_1$  is the GCD of all matrix entries. Using row-column operations we can arrange to have  $d_1$  on the left upper corner, with 0 in all other positions in the first row and column. In this way we reduce to  $(n-1) \times (m-1)$ .

We claim that this inductive procedure can be done in general. To explain, we need another parabolic subgroup  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ , so-called Heisenberg parabolic subgroup. Here  $\mathfrak{l}^{\text{ss}} = [\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}]$  corresponds to the subset of  $\Delta$  given by  $\{\alpha_i \mid \langle \beta, \alpha_i \rangle = 0\}$ . The possible cases are following.

$\mathfrak{g}$	$A_{n+1}$	$D_{n+1}$	$E_6$	$E_7$
$\mathfrak{l}^{\text{ss}}$	$A_{n-1}$	$A_1 \times D_{n-1}$	$A_5$	$D_6$

### 2.1. Fourier–Jacobi towers

(As described in the work of Weissman [W].) Fix a pair  $(G, M)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  be the unique summand of  $\mathfrak{l}^{\text{ss}}$  which is not contained in  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Put

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{m}_1 = \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1, \\ \mathfrak{n}_1 = \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1. \end{cases}$$

Thus, starting from a pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$  we have constructed another pair  $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{m}_1)$ . Note, as a simple observation, that this process can be continued as long as the pair is not equal to  $(A_n, A_{n-1})$ , which we will call a terminal pair. The length of the tower

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}), \\ &(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{m}_1), \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

finishing with a terminal pair, is the rank of  $\mathfrak{n}$ . In particular, the rank of  $\mathfrak{n}_1$  is one less than the rank of  $\mathfrak{n}$ .

Some examples (of rank 3):

$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$	$(E_7, E_6)$	$(D_6, A_5)$	$(A_5, A_2 \times A_2)$
$(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{m}_1)$	$(D_6, D_5)$	$(D_4, A_3)$	$(A_3, A_1 \times A_1)$
$(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{m}_2)$	$(A_1, -)$	$(A_1, -)$	$(A_1, -)$

In the last tower, the corresponding sequence  $\mathfrak{n}$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_2$  can be identified with  $3 \times 3$ ,  $2 \times 2$  and  $1 \times 1$  matrices, respectively.

**Theorem 2.1.** Fix a pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$  such that the rank of  $\mathfrak{n}$  is  $r$ . Let  $\beta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r-1}$  be the highest roots for  $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_{r-1}$ , respectively. Then every  $M^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{n}$  contains an element

$$de_\beta + d_1e_{\beta_1} + \dots + d_{r-1}e_{\beta_{r-1}}$$

such that  $d \mid d_1 \mid \dots \mid d_{r-1}$ . Moreover, all  $d_k$  can be picked to be non-negative except perhaps  $d_{r-1}$  which can happen only if the terminal pair is  $(A_1, -)$ .

**Proof.** The proof is the induction on  $r$ . If  $r = 1$ , then the pair is terminal and we have two cases. If the pair is  $(A_1, -)$  then  $M^{\text{ss}}$  is trivial and orbits are parameterized by integers. If the pair is  $(A_n, A_{n-1})$  then  $M^{\text{ss}} = SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , and  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Here orbits are parameterized by non-negative integers.

Let  $\Phi_M$  be the roots of  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\Sigma \subseteq \Phi$  be the set of all roots in  $\mathfrak{n}$ . Then any element of  $\mathfrak{n}$  can be written as

$$n = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} t_\alpha e_\alpha$$

for some integers  $t_\alpha$ . If  $\gamma$  is in  $\Phi_M$  then the adjoint action of the one-parameter group  $e_\gamma(u)$  on  $e_\alpha$  is given by

$$e_\gamma(t)(e_\alpha) = e_\alpha + t[e_\gamma, e_\alpha].$$

Indeed,  $[e_\gamma[e_\gamma, e_\alpha]] = 0$  since  $\gamma \neq -\alpha$ , so the exponential series defining the action of  $e_\gamma(u)$  reduces down to the first two terms.

Now assume that  $r > 1$ . Let  $n$  be in  $\mathfrak{n}$ . If  $n = 0$ , then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, let  $\Sigma_1 \subseteq \Sigma$  the set of all roots in  $\mathfrak{n}_1$ . Then

$$\Sigma = \{\beta\} \cup \Sigma_\beta \cup \Sigma_1$$

where  $\Sigma_\beta$  is the set of all roots  $\alpha$  in  $\Sigma$  such that  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 1$ . In order to use induction, we have to show that  $n$  contains in its  $M_{ss}(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit an element such that

- (i)  $t_\beta > 0$  and  $t_\alpha = 0$  for all  $\alpha$  in  $\Sigma_\beta$ .
- (ii)  $t_\beta$  divides  $t_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$  in  $\Sigma_1$ .

We deal first with (i). Recall that the Weyl group  $W_M$  of  $M$  acts transitively on the set of roots in  $\Sigma$ . After conjugating  $n$  by an element in  $W_M$ , if necessary, we can assume that

$$0 < |t_\beta| \leq |t_\alpha|$$

for all  $\alpha$  in  $\Sigma$  such that  $t_\alpha \neq 0$ . If  $t_\alpha \neq 0$  for a root  $\alpha$  in  $\Sigma_\beta$ , then we can write  $t_\alpha = qt_\beta + r$  where  $|r| < |t_\beta|$ . Notice that  $\gamma = \alpha - \beta$  is a root. Furthermore, since  $n_\tau(\alpha - \beta) = 0$  it is a root in  $\Phi_M$ . (Recall that  $\tau$  is the simple root defining  $\mathfrak{m}$ .) It follows that

$$e_\gamma(q)(t_\beta e_\beta + \cdots + t_\alpha e_\alpha + \cdots) = t_\beta + \cdots + r e_\alpha + \cdots.$$

(This formula is correct if  $[e_\gamma, e_\beta] = -e_\alpha$ . If  $[e_\gamma, e_\beta] = e_\alpha$  then  $q$  has to be replaced by  $-q$ .) In any case, if  $t_\alpha \neq 0$  for some  $\alpha$  in  $\Sigma_\beta$  then we can decrease the smallest non-zero coordinate of  $n$ . Proceeding in this fashion we can accomplish (i) in finitely many steps.

Next, we deal with (ii). Let  $\alpha$  be in  $\Sigma_1$  such that  $t_\beta$  does not divide  $t_\alpha$ . After conjugating by an element of  $W_{M_1}$ , if necessary, we can assume that  $\alpha = \beta_1$ . Let  $\delta$  be a simple root such that  $\langle \beta, \delta \rangle = 1$ . Then  $\alpha = \beta_1 + \delta$  is a root in  $\Sigma_\beta$  and

$$e_\delta(1)(t_\beta e_\beta + t_{\beta_1} e_{\beta_1} + \cdots) = t_\beta + \cdots \pm t_{\beta_1} e_\alpha + \cdots.$$

Thus we are back in the situation of the proof of (i) and, in the same fashion, we can decrease the smallest coordinate of  $n$ . This process has to stop in finitely many steps. This proves part (ii) and, therefore, the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** (See [RRS].) Let  $k$  be any field. If  $(A_1, -)$  is not the terminal pair, then  $\mathfrak{n} = \Omega_0 \cup \cdots \cup \Omega_r$  where  $\Omega_i$  is the  $M^{ss}(k)$ -orbit of  $e_\beta + \cdots + e_{\beta_{i-1}}$ . If  $(A_1, -)$  is the terminal pair then  $\Omega_r$  is a union of  $M(k)$ -orbits parameterized by classes of squares in  $k^\times$ . In any case, elements in  $\Omega_i$  are said to have rank  $i$ .

### 3. Degenerate principal series

In this section we shall assume that  $G = G_{ad}$  is of adjoint type. We give an explicit model of the minimal representation of  $G$ . The discussion here is based on [S] and [W]. Since  $G$  is assumed to be of adjoint type, it acts faithfully on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and the torus  $T$  of  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\Lambda_c \otimes k^\times$  where  $\Lambda_c$  is the lattice of integral coweights. It is the lattice dual to the

root lattice with respect to the usual form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $\lambda(t)$  denote the element  $\lambda \otimes t$  in  $T$ . It acts on  $e_\alpha$  by the formula

$$\lambda(t)e_\alpha\lambda(t)^{-1} = t^{\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle} e_\alpha.$$

Let  $\tau$  be the simple root defining  $P$ , and  $\rho$  and  $\bar{\rho}$  the half-sum of all roots in  $N$  and  $\bar{N}$ , respectively. Let  $\Delta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be the modular character with respect to  $\bar{N}$ , which means that

$$\int_{\bar{N}} f(mxm^{-1}) dx = \Delta(m) \int_{\bar{N}} f(x) dx.$$

Let  $\rho_N$  and  $\rho_{\bar{N}}$  be the half-sum of all roots in  $\mathfrak{n}$  and  $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ , respectively. Then the composition of  $\Delta$  with the embedding of  $T$  into  $M$  is given by

$$\Delta^{1/2}(\lambda(p)) = |p|^{\langle \lambda, \rho_{\bar{N}} \rangle}.$$

Furthermore, let  $\chi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a character such that  $\chi^{2\langle \tau, \rho_N \rangle} = \Delta$ . Define the principal series  $I(s) = \text{Ind}_{\bar{P}}^G(\chi^s)$ , the space of all locally constant functions on  $G$  such that

$$f(\bar{n}mg) = \chi^s(m)\Delta^{1/2}(m)f(g).$$

There is a non-degenerate  $G$ -invariant hermitian pairing  $(\cdot, \cdot)_s: I(-s) \times I(s) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$(f_{-s}, f_s)_s = \int_{\bar{P} \backslash G} f_{-s}(x) \bar{f}_s(x) dx = \int_{\bar{N}} f_{-s}(x) \bar{f}_s(x) dx.$$

Here the last equality follows since  $\bar{P}N$  is an open subset of  $G$ . Inside  $I(s)$  there is a  $P$ -submodule of all functions in  $I(s)$  supported in the open subset  $\bar{P}N$ . This can be identified with  $S(N)$ , the space of locally constant, compactly supported functions on  $N$ . The action of the maximal parabolic  $P = MN$  on  $S(N)$  is given by

$$\begin{cases} \pi(n)f(x) = f(x+n), \\ \pi(m)f(x) = \chi^s(m)\Delta^{1/2}(m)f(m^{-1}xm). \end{cases}$$

Next, we shall analyze the structure of  $S(N)$ , as a  $P$ -module, using the Fourier transform. To that end, notice that we have a natural pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  between  $N$  and  $\bar{N}$  induced by the Killing form. Thus  $\bar{N}$  can be identified with the dual of  $N$ . The Fourier transform is an isomorphism of (vector spaces)  $S(N)$  and  $S(\bar{N})$  defined by

$$\hat{f}(y) = \int_N f(x) \psi(\langle x, y \rangle) dx.$$

Using the Fourier transform we shall transfer the action of  $P$  from  $S(N)$  to  $S(\bar{N})$ . Let  $f \in S(\bar{N})$ , and  $m \in M$ . Then the Fourier transform of  $\pi(m)f$  is

$$(\widehat{\pi(m)f})(y) = \chi^s(m)\Delta^{1/2}(m) \int_N f(m^{-1}xm) \psi(\langle x, y \rangle) dx.$$

We introduce a new variable  $z$  by  $z = m^{-1}xm$ . Then  $dx = \Delta(m)^{-1}dz$ , and the formula simplifies to

$$(\widehat{\pi(m)f})(y) = \chi^s(m)\Delta^{-1/2}(m)\hat{f}(m^{-1}ym).$$

This gives a formula for the action of  $M$  on  $S(\bar{N})$ . Similarly—but much easier—we can derive the action of  $N$  on  $S(\bar{N})$ . The two formulas are summarized below:

$$\begin{cases} (\pi(n)f)(y) = f(y)\psi(-\langle n, y \rangle) & \text{and} \\ (\pi(m)f)(y) = \chi^s(m)\Delta^{-1/2}(m)f(m^{-1}ym), \end{cases}$$

where  $m \in M$ ,  $n \in N$  and  $f \in S(\bar{N})$ .

Let  $\Omega_i$  be the set of elements of rank  $i$  in  $\bar{N}$ . Let  $S_i$  be the subset of  $S(N)$  of all functions  $f$  such that the Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  vanishes on  $\bigcup_{j < i} \Omega_j$ . Then  $S_i$  is a  $P$ -submodule, and the quotient  $S_i/S_{i+1}$  is isomorphic to  $S(\Omega_i)$ —the space of locally constant and compactly supported functions on  $\Omega_i$ —with the action given by the previous formulas. Every subquotient is irreducible by Mackey's lemma.

Let us look now at the special case  $s = s_0$  when the minimal  $V_{\min}$  representation is the unique submodule of  $I(-s_0)$ . Notice that the pairing  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{s_0}$  restricted to  $V_{\min} \times S(N)$  is left non-degenerate. Indeed, any  $f \neq 0$  in  $V_{\min}$  will give you a non-trivial function when restricted to  $N$  (since  $N$  is dense in  $\bar{P} \backslash G$ ) and, therefore, a non-trivial distribution of  $S(N)$ . In fact, we have a bit more. The pairing is left non-degenerate even when restricted to  $V_{\min} \times S_1$ . To see this recall that  $V_{\min}$  is unitarizable. In particular, by a theorem of Howe and Moore, if an element  $v$  in  $V_{\min}$  is fixed by  $N$  then  $v = 0$ . Since any vector in  $V_{\min}$  perpendicular to  $S_1$  is  $N$ -fixed it must be zero. This shows that the pairing, restricted to  $V_{\min} \times S_1$ , is left non-degenerate. Since the  $N$ -rank of  $V_{\min}$  is one the pairing is trivial on  $S_2 \subseteq S_1$ . (This is basically a definition of the  $N$ -rank.) Thus the pairing descends to a non-degenerate pairing in both variables of  $V_{\min}$  and  $S_1/S_2 = S(\Omega_1)$ , where the action of  $P$  on  $S(\Omega_1)$  is given by

$$\begin{cases} (\pi(n)f)(y) = f(y)\psi(-\langle n, y \rangle) & \text{and} \\ (\pi(m)f)(y) = \chi^{s_0}(m)\Delta^{-1/2}(m)f(m^{-1}ym). \end{cases}$$

Here  $m \in M$ ,  $n \in N$  and  $f \in S(\Omega_1)$ . It follows that  $V_{\min}$ , as a  $P$ -module, embeds into the  $P$ -smooth dual of  $S(\Omega_1)$ . This dual can be described in the following way. While there is no  $M$ -invariant measure on  $\Omega_1$ , there exists a (modular) character  $\delta_1$  of  $M$  and a measure  $dy$  on  $\Omega_1$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega_1} f(mym^{-1})dy = \delta_1(m) \int_{\Omega_1} f(y)dy$$

for every locally constant and compactly supported function  $f$  on  $\Omega_1$ . The  $P$ -smooth dual of  $S(\Omega_1)$  is isomorphic to the space of locally constant, but not necessarily compactly supported, functions on  $\Omega_1$  with the action of  $P$  given by

$$\begin{cases} (\pi(n)f)(y) = f(y)\psi(-\langle n, y \rangle) & \text{and} \\ (\pi(m)f)(y) = \chi_1(m)f(m^{-1}ym), \end{cases}$$



where the character  $\chi_1$  is defined by  $\chi_1 \cdot (\chi^{s_0} \cdot \Delta^{-1/2}) = \delta_1$ . It appears that we have an annoying issue of figuring out what  $\delta_1$  is. It turns out that is not necessary. To this end, note that  $V_{\min}$  is a quotient of  $I(s_0)$  and the pairing of  $V_{\min}$  and  $I(s_0)$  descends down to a pairing between  $V_{\min}$  and  $V_{\min}$ . It follows that  $S_1/S_2$  is a submodule of  $V_{\min}$  (the second factor) which shows that  $\chi_1 = \chi^{s_0} \cdot \Delta^{-1/2}$ .

The possible cases for  $s_0$  (see [W]) and  $\langle \tau, \rho_N \rangle$  are following.

$\mathfrak{g}$	$A_{n+1}$	$A_{2n+1}$	$D_{n+1}$	$D_{n+1}$	$E_6$	$E_7$
$\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ss}}$	$A_n$	$A_n \times A_n$	$A_n$	$D_n$	$D_5$	$E_6$
$s_0$	0	$n$	$n-2$	1	3	5
$\langle \tau, \rho_N \rangle$	$n/2+1$	$n+1$	$n$	$n$	6	9

#### 4. Eigenvalues of Hecke operators

Consider the root system of type  $A_n$ ,  $D_n$  or  $E_n$ , and let  $\omega_j$  be the fundamental coweights as in Bourbaki tables. Let  $\hat{\omega}_b$  be the fundamental weight corresponding to the unique branching vertex of the Dynkin diagram for  $D_n$  and  $E_n$ . This is  $\omega_4$  for all three exceptional groups. For the root system of type  $A_n$  there is no branching point, but we define  $\hat{\omega}_b$  to be the fundamental coweight of the middle vertex if  $n$  is odd, or the arithmetic mean of the two middle vertices if  $n$  is even. Let  $\rho$  be the half sum of all positive roots. The Satake parameter of the minimal representation is  $\lambda_{\min}(p) \in \hat{G}$ , the dual group of  $G$ , where

$$\lambda_{\min} = \rho - \hat{\omega}_b.$$

If  $\omega_i$  is a miniscule fundamental coweight, then the eigenvalue of the Hecke operator  $p^{-\langle \rho, \omega_i \rangle} T_i$  on the spherical vector of the minimal representation is

$$\text{Tr}_{V(\omega_i)}(\lambda_{\min}(p)) = \sum_{\mu \sim \omega_i} p^{(\lambda_{\min}, \mu)},$$

the trace of  $\lambda_{\min}(p)$  on the representation  $V(\omega_i)$  of  $\hat{G}$  with the highest weight  $\omega_i$ . Here the sum is taken over all weights  $\mu$  of  $V(\omega_i)$ . (Weight spaces of the miniscule representation are one-dimensional and are Weyl group conjugate to  $\omega_i$ .) We now give explicit formulas in the following cases:

*Case  $A_{2n-1}$ , and  $\omega_i = \omega_1$ , the highest weight of the standard  $2n$ -dimensional representation.* Then the eigenvalue of the Hecke operator  $p^{-\langle \rho, \omega_1 \rangle} T_1$  is

$$p^{n-1} + p^{n-2} + \cdots + p + 2 + p^{-1} + \cdots + p^{2-n} + p^{1-n}.$$

*Case  $A_{2n}$ , and  $\omega_i = \omega_1$ , the highest weight of the standard  $2n$ -dimensional representation.* Then the eigenvalue of the Hecke operator  $p^{-\langle \rho, \omega_1 \rangle} T_1$  is

$$p^{n-1/2} + p^{n-3/2} + \cdots + p^{1/2} + 1 + p^{-1/2} + \cdots + p^{3/2-n} + p^{1/2-n}.$$

*Case  $D_{n+1}$ , and  $\omega_i = \omega_1$ , the highest weight of the standard  $(2n+2)$ -dimensional representation.* Then the eigenvalue of the Hecke operator  $p^{-\langle \rho, \omega_1 \rangle} T_1$  is

$$p^{n-1} + \cdots + p^2 + 2p + 2 + 2p^{-1} + p^{-2} + \cdots + p^{1-n}.$$

Case  $E_6$ , and  $\omega_i = \omega_1$ , the highest weight of the standard 27-dimensional representation of  $E_6$ . In the terminology of Bourbaki, the Satake parameter is

$$\lambda_{\min} = (0, 1, 1, 2, 3, -3, -3, 3).$$

It will be convenient to realize  $V(\omega_1)$  as an internal module in  $E_7$ . More precisely, consider the root system of type  $E_7$  as in Bourbaki tables. If we remove the last simple root  $\alpha_7$  then we get a root system  $E_6$ . As usual, write every positive root of  $E_7$  as  $\alpha = \sum m_i(\alpha)\alpha_i$ . The subspace

$$\bigoplus_{m_7(\alpha)=1} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$$

is the 27-dimensional representations of  $E_6$  with the highest weight  $\omega_1$ , i.e. the first fundamental weight. Thus to tabulate the weights of this representation, we have to write down all roots  $\alpha$  of  $E_7$  such that  $m_7(\alpha) = 1$  which is the same as  $\langle \alpha, \omega_7 \rangle = 1$ , where  $\omega_7 = e_6 + \frac{1}{2}(e_8 - e_7)$ . These are  $\pm e_i + e_6$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 5$ ),  $e_8 - e_7$  (total of 11 roots here) and

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( e_8 - e_7 + e_6 + \sum_{i=1}^5 (-1)^{v(i)} e_i \right)$$

where  $\sum v(i)$  is odd. This, second, group has 16 roots.

(Warning:  $\omega_7$  is the fundamental weight for  $E_7$ . While simple roots for  $E_6$  are also simple roots for  $E_7$  this is not true for fundamental weights. First 6 fundamental weights for  $E_7$  are not the fundamental weights for  $E_6$ .)

The eigenvalue of the Hecke operator  $p^{-\langle \rho, \omega_1 \rangle} T_1$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{m_7(\alpha)=1} p^{\langle \lambda_{\min}, \alpha \rangle} \right) &= p^6 + p^5 + 2p^4 + 2p^3 + 3p^2 + 3p + 3 \\ &\quad + 3p^{-1} + 3p^{-2} + 2p^{-3} + 2p^{-4} + p^{-5} + p^{-6}. \end{aligned}$$

Case  $E_7$ , and  $\omega_i = \omega_7$ , the highest weight of the 56-dimensional representation of  $E_7$ . Here the Satake parameter is

$$\lambda_{\min} = (0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 4, -13/2, 13/2).$$

Again, the representation  $V_{\omega_7}$  can be written down as an internal module in  $E_8$ . Let  $\alpha_8$  be the root for  $E_8$  such that other simple roots belong to  $E_7$ . Then the 56-dimensional representation is equal to

$$\bigoplus_{m_8(\alpha)=1} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

So again we have to tabulate all roots for  $E_8$  such that  $\langle \alpha, \omega_8 \rangle = 1$ . Since  $\omega_8 = e_7 + e_8$ , these are  $\pm e_i + e_7$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 6$ ),  $\pm e_i + e_8$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 6$ ) and

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( e_8 + e_7 + \sum_{i=1}^6 (-1)^{v(i)} e_i \right)$$

where  $\sum v(i)$  is even. There are 32 of this last type. Now it is not too difficult to see that the eigenvalue of the Hecke operator  $p^{-\langle \rho, \omega_7 \rangle} T_7$  for  $E_7$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{m_8(\alpha)=1} p^{\langle \lambda_{\min}, \alpha \rangle} \right) &= p^{\frac{21}{2}} + p^{\frac{19}{2}} + p^{\frac{17}{2}} + 2p^{\frac{15}{2}} + 2p^{\frac{13}{2}} + 3p^{\frac{11}{2}} + 3p^{\frac{9}{2}} + 3p^{\frac{7}{2}} + 4p^{\frac{5}{2}} \\ &\quad + 4p^{\frac{3}{2}} + 4p^{\frac{1}{2}} + 4p^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 4p^{-\frac{3}{2}} + 4p^{-\frac{5}{2}} + 3p^{-\frac{7}{2}} + 3p^{-\frac{9}{2}} \\ &\quad + 3p^{-\frac{11}{2}} + 2p^{-\frac{13}{2}} + 2p^{-\frac{15}{2}} + p^{-\frac{17}{2}} + p^{-\frac{19}{2}} + p^{-\frac{21}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

## 5. Satake transform

Let  $U$  be the maximal nilpotent subgroup corresponding to our choice of simple roots. Let  $\omega_i$  be a miniscule fundamental coweight. The purpose of this section is to decompose the double coset  $K\omega_i(p)K$  as a union of single cosets  $u\mu(p)K$ , where  $u \in U$ . This will be accomplished by means of the Satake transform.

The modular character  $\delta$  is given by  $\delta(\lambda(p))^{1/2} = p^{\langle \rho, \lambda \rangle}$ . The Satake transform  $S: H_G \rightarrow H_T$  is given by

$$S(f)(t) = \delta(t)^{-1/2} \int_N f(tu) du.$$

It is known that  $S(T_i) = p^{\langle \rho, \omega_i \rangle} V(\omega_i)$  where  $V(\omega_i)$  is the fundamental representation of  $\hat{G} = G_{sc}$  with the highest weight  $\omega_i$ . Here we use the identification of  $H_T$  with  $\mathbb{C}[A_c]$ , the group algebra of the coweight lattice  $A_c$ . Under this identification  $V(\omega_i)$  is a sum of delta functions for all weights  $\mu$  of  $V(\omega_i)$ . It follows that  $S(T_i)(\mu(p)) = 0$  unless  $\mu$  is a weight of  $V(\omega_i)$  in which case it is equal to  $p^{\langle \rho, \omega_i \rangle}$ . Proposition 13.1 in [GGs] implies that, for every weight  $\mu$  of  $V(\omega_i)$ , the number of single cosets of type  $u\mu(p)K$  contained in  $K\omega_i(p)K$  is equal to  $p^{\langle \rho, \mu + \omega_i \rangle}$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\omega_i$  be a miniscule fundamental coweight, and  $\mu$  a Weyl group conjugate of  $\omega_i$ . If  $u\mu(p)K$  is contained in  $K\omega_i(p)K$  then it is equal to*

$$\left( \prod_{\alpha > 0, \langle \alpha, \mu \rangle = 1} e_\alpha(t_\alpha) \right) \mu(p)K$$

for some (unique)  $t_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p / p\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

**Proof.** Notice that  $e_\alpha(t_\alpha)$  commute since the scalar product of  $\mu$  and any root can be only  $-1, 0$  or  $1$ . In particular, the product in the proposition is well defined. Furthermore, since  $e_\alpha(t_\alpha)$  with  $t_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  are contained in  $K$  the single cosets (as defined in the statement) are contained in our double coset. We shall first show uniqueness. If

$$\prod_{\alpha > 0, \langle \alpha, \mu \rangle = 1} e_\alpha(t_\alpha) \mu(p)K = \prod_{\alpha > 0, \langle \alpha, \mu \rangle = 1} e_\alpha(t'_\alpha) \mu(p)K$$

then

$$\prod_{\alpha > 0, \langle \alpha, \mu \rangle = 1} e_{\alpha}((t_{\alpha} - t'_{\alpha})/p) \in K.$$

This is possible if and only if  $t_{\alpha} \equiv t'_{\alpha} \pmod{K}$ , as claimed. Finally, since we know that the number of single cosets of the form  $u\mu(p)K$  is equal to  $p^{\langle \rho, \omega_i + \mu \rangle}$ , in order to prove the proposition it remains to verify the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $\mu$  be a Weyl group conjugate of the miniscule coweight  $\omega_i$ . Then the number of positive roots  $\alpha$  such that  $\langle \alpha, \mu \rangle = 1$  is equal to  $\langle \rho, \omega_i + \mu \rangle$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $w$  be a Weyl group element such that  $\mu = w(\omega_i)$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a positive root such that  $\langle \alpha, \mu \rangle = 1$ . Then

$$1 = \langle \alpha, \mu \rangle = \langle w^{-1}(\alpha), \omega_i \rangle.$$

This implies that  $w^{-1}(\alpha) = \beta$  is positive, so we are counting the number of positive roots  $\beta$  such that  $w(\beta)$  is positive and  $\langle \beta, \omega_i \rangle = 1$ . Since  $\langle \beta, \omega_i \rangle = 1$  or 0 for every positive root, the number of positive roots  $\alpha$  such that  $\langle \alpha, \mu \rangle = 1$  is equal to

$$\sum_{\beta > 0, w(\beta) > 0} \langle \beta, \omega_i \rangle.$$

Since (this is well known)  $\sum_{\beta > 0, w(\beta) > 0} \beta = \rho + w^{-1}(\rho)$  the lemma follows.  $\square$

## 6. Spherical vector

We would like to determine the spherical vector of the minimal representation. Under the action of  $M(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  the orbit  $\Omega_1$  decomposes as a union of orbits each containing  $p^m e_{-\tau}$  for some integer  $m$ . Thus a spherical vector  $f$ , since it is fixed by  $M(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , is determined by its value on  $p^m e_{-\tau}$  for all integers  $m$ . In order to simplify notation, let us write

$$\boxed{f(m) = f(p^m e_{-\tau})}.$$

Next, since  $f$  is fixed by  $N(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  as well,  $f(m) = 0$  if  $m < 0$ . To determine  $f$  exactly we shall use the fact that it is an eigenvector for the Hecke operator  $T_i = \text{Char}(K\omega_i K)$  where  $\omega_i$  is a miniscule fundamental coweight. As we know from the previous section, the double coset  $K\omega_i K$  can be written as a union of single cosets  $u\mu(p)K$  where  $\mu$  is a Weyl group conjugate of  $\omega_i$  and  $u$  is in  $U \cap K$ . Also, for a fixed  $\mu$  there are  $p^{\langle \rho, \mu + \omega_i \rangle}$  different single cosets. It follows that  $e_{-\tau}$  is a highest weight vector for  $M \cap U$ . Thus, it follows that

$$(T_i * f)(m) = \sum_{\mu} p^{\langle \rho, \mu + \omega_i \rangle} \chi^{s_0}(\mu) \Delta^{-1/2}(\mu) f(m + \langle \mu, \tau \rangle).$$

Since  $\langle \mu, \tau \rangle$  is equal to  $-1$ ,  $0$  or  $1$ , the formula gives a recursion relation as indicated in the introduction. It remains to calculate this formula in every case. But first we state the final result.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $\Omega_1$  be the set of rank one elements in  $\bar{N}$ . Recall that the Chevalley basis gives a natural coordinate system of  $\bar{N}$ . If  $x \in \Omega_1$ , let  $p^m$  be the greatest common divisor of all coordinates of  $x$ . Then  $f(x) = 0$  unless  $m \geq 0$ . If  $m \geq 0$  then, after normalizing  $f(1) = 1$ ,*

$$f(x) = 1 + p^d + \cdots + p^{md}$$

where  $d$  is given by the following table:

$\mathfrak{g}$	$A_{n+1}$	$A_{2n+1}$	$D_{n+1}$	$D_{n+1}$	$E_6$	$E_7$
$\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ss}}$	$A_n$	$A_n \times A_n$	$A_n$	$D_n$	$D_5$	$E_6$
$d$	$n/2$	$0$	$1$	$n-2$	$2$	$3$

**Proof.** We calculate the recursive relation on a case by case basis using the data from the following tables. The first table includes the half sum of all the positive roots and the simple root  $\tau$  not in  $M$ . The second table gives the characterization of  $\chi^{s_0}(\cdot) \Delta^{-1/2}(\cdot)$  in terms of  $\rho_N$ , the half sum of the roots in  $M$ .

$(G, M)$	$\rho$	$\tau$
$(A_{2n-1}, A_{n-1} \times A_{n-1})$	$(n - \frac{1}{2}, n - \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} - n)$	$(0, \dots, 0, 1, -1, 0, \dots, 0)$
$(D_{n+1}, D_n)$	$(n, n-1, \dots, 1, 0)$	$(1, -1, 0, \dots, 0)$
$(D_{n+1}, A_n)$	$(n, n-1, \dots, 1, 0)$	$(0, \dots, 0, 1, 1)$
$(E_6, D_5)$	$(0, 1, 2, 3, 4, -4, -4, 4)$	$\frac{1}{2}(1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, 1)$
$(E_7, E_6)$	$(0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, -\frac{17}{2}, \frac{17}{2})$	$(0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 1, 0, 0)$

	$\rho_N$	$\chi^{s_0} \Delta^{-1/2}$
$(A_{2n-1}, A_{n-1} \times A_{n-1})$	$(\frac{n}{2}, \dots, \frac{n}{2}, -\frac{n}{2}, \dots, -\frac{n}{2})$	$p^{-\frac{1}{n} \langle \cdot, \rho_N \rangle}$
$(D_{n+1}, D_n)$	$(n, 0, \dots, 0)$	$p^{(\frac{1}{n}-1) \langle \cdot, \rho_N \rangle}$
$(D_{n+1}, A_n)$	$(\frac{n}{2}, \dots, \frac{n}{2})$	$p^{-\frac{2}{n} \langle \cdot, \rho_N \rangle}$
$(E_6, D_5)$	$(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -4, -4, 4)$	$p^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle \cdot, \rho_N \rangle}$
$(E_7, E_6)$	$(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 9, -\frac{9}{2}, \frac{9}{2})$	$p^{-\frac{4}{9} \langle \cdot, \rho_N \rangle}$

We start with the case  $G = D_{n+1}$  and  $M = D_n$ . The Weyl group orbit of the highest weight  $\omega_1 = e_1$  consists of  $\pm e_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ . The eigenvalue of  $T_1$  is

$$p^n(p^{n-1} + \cdots + p^2 + 2p + 2 + 2p^{-1} + p^{-2} + \cdots + p^{1-n}).$$

Next, we shall work out  $T_1 * f(m)$  using the action of single cosets. The total number of single cosets is

$$p^{2n} + p^{2n-1} + \cdots + p^{n+1} + 2p^n + p^{n-1} + \cdots + p + 1.$$

In order to calculate the coefficients  $a_1$  and  $a_{-1}$  in the recursive relation we are interested in conjugates  $\mu$  of  $\omega_1$  such that  $\langle \tau, \mu \rangle = 1$  or  $-1$ . They are, followed by the number of cosets of the type  $u\mu(p)K$ , and the value  $\chi^{s_0}(\mu)\Delta^{-1/2}(\mu)$ :

$\mu$	$\langle \tau, \mu \rangle$	$p^{\langle \rho, \mu + \omega_1 \rangle}$	$\chi^{s_0} \Delta^{-1/2}$
$e_1$	1	$p^{2n}$	$p^{1-n}$
$e_2$	-1	$p^{2n-1}$	1
$-e_1$	-1	1	$p^{n-1}$
$-e_2$	1	$p$	1

In particular, it is not difficult to check that the right-hand side of the recursion can be written as

$$(p^{n+1} + p)f(m+1) + (p^{2n-2} + \cdots + p^{n+1} + 2p^n + p^{n-1} + \cdots + p^2)f(m) \\ + (p^{2n-1} + p^{n-1})f(m-1).$$

This gives plenty of reductions with the left-hand side of the recursion, which is the product of the eigenvalue of  $T_1$  and  $f(m)$ , and the recursion can be rewritten as

$$(p^{2n-1} + p^{n+1} + p^{n-1} + p)f(m) = (p^{n+1} + p)f(m+1) + (p^{2n-1} + p^{n-1})f(m-1),$$

which is equivalent to

$$p^{n-2}[f(m) - f(m-1)] = [f(m+1) - f(m)].$$

This, of course, implies that  $f(m) = 1 + p^{n-2} + \cdots + p^{m(n-2)}$  or, in words, it is a geometric series in  $p^{n-2}$ .

We now address the case  $G = A_{2n-1}$  and  $M = A_{n-1} \times A_{n-1}$ . The Weyl group of the miniscule weight  $\omega_1 = e_1$  consists of the elements  $e_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 2n$ ). As before, we need the eigenvalue of  $T_1$ , which is

$$p^{\frac{2n-1}{2}}(p^{n-1} + \cdots + p + 2 + p^{-1} + \cdots + p^{1-n}),$$

because this (times  $f(m)$ ) gives the left-hand side of the recursion formula. Also,

$$\chi^{s_0}(e_i)\Delta^{-1/2}(e_i) = p^{-\frac{1}{n}\langle e_i, \rho_N \rangle} = \begin{cases} p^{-1/2}, & 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ p^{1/2}, & n < i \leq 2n. \end{cases}$$

Notice that only the elements  $e_n$  and  $e_{n+1}$  have non-zero dot product with  $\tau$  (1 and  $-1$ , respectively), and  $p^{\langle \rho, e_i + e_1 \rangle} = p^{2n-i}$ . Thus, the right-hand side of the equation is

$$p^{-\frac{1}{2}}[(p^{2n-1} + \cdots + p^{n+1})f(m) + p^n f(m+1)] \\ + p^{\frac{1}{2}}[p^{n-1} f(m-1) + (p^{n-2} + \cdots + 1)f(m)].$$

After combining both sides of the equation and simplifying, this becomes

$$f(m) - f(m-1) = f(m+1) - f(m).$$

Hence,  $f(m) = m$ .

The next case is  $G = D_{n+1}$  and  $M = D_n$ . As is the case when  $G = D_{n+1}$  and  $M = A_n$ , we consider the Weyl group orbit of  $\omega_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . As noted above, this orbit consists of all elements  $\pm e_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ ). First, we tabulate those elements  $\mu$  such that  $\langle \mu, \tau \rangle \neq 0$ .

$\mu$	$\langle \mu, \tau \rangle$	$p^{\langle \rho, \mu + \omega_1 \rangle}$	$\chi^{s_0} \Delta^{-1/2}$
$e_n$	1	$p^{n+1}$	$p^{-1}$
$e_{n+1}$	1	$p^n$	$p^{-1}$
$-e_n$	-1	$p^{n-1}$	$p$
$-e_{n+1}$	-1	$p^n$	$p$

The left-hand side of the recursion is identical to the other case with  $G = D_{n+1}$ , but the right-hand side is

$$f(m+1)(p^n + p^{n-1}) + f(m-1)(p^{n+1} + p^n) \\ + f(m)((p^{2n} + \cdots + p^{n+2})p^{-1} + (p^{n-2} + \cdots + 1)p).$$

After cancellation and simplification the recursion becomes

$$p[f(m) - f(m-1)] = [f(m+1) - f(m)].$$

Hence,  $f(m) = 1 + p + \cdots + p^m$ .

Next we consider  $G = E_6$  and  $M = D_5$ . Recall that the eigenvalue for the Hecke operator  $T_1$  is

$$p^8(p^6 + p^5 + 2p^4 + 2p^3 + 3p^2 + 3p + 3 + 3p^{-1} + 3p^{-2} + 2p^{-3} + 2p^{-4} + p^{-5} + p^{-6}) \\ = p^{14} + p^{13} + 2p^{12} + 2p^{11} + 3p^{10} + 3p^9 + 3p^8 + 3p^7 + 3p^6 + 2p^5 + 2p^4 + p^3 + p^2.$$

As we have seen, there are 27 elements in the orbit of  $\omega_1$ . We list below those which have the property that  $\langle \mu, \tau \rangle \neq 0$  along with the number of cosets of type  $u\mu(p)K$  and  $\chi^{s_0}(\mu)\Delta^{-1/2}(\mu)$ .

$\mu$	$\langle \mu, \tau \rangle$	$p^{\langle \rho, \mu + \omega_1 \rangle}$	$p^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \mu, \rho_N \rangle}$
$e_6 - e_1$	-1	$p^4$	$p^2$
$e_6 + e_2$	-1	$p^5$	$p^2$
$e_6 + e_3$	-1	$p^6$	$p^2$
$e_6 + e_4$	-1	$p^7$	$p^2$
$e_6 + e_5$	-1	$p^8$	$p^2$
$\frac{1}{2}(-e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 + e_5 + e_6 - e_7 + e_8)$	-1	$p^{15}$	$p^{-1}$
$\frac{1}{2}(-e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4 - e_5 - e_6 - e_7 + e_8)$	1	$p^5$	$p^{-1}$
$\frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2 - e_3 - e_4 - e_5 + e_6 - e_7 + e_8)$	1	$p^6$	$p^{-1}$
$\frac{1}{2}(e_1 - e_2 + e_3 - e_4 - e_5 + e_6 - e_7 + e_8)$	1	$p^7$	$p^{-1}$
$\frac{1}{2}(e_1 - e_2 - e_3 + e_4 - e_5 + e_6 - e_7 + e_8)$	1	$p^8$	$p^{-1}$
$\frac{1}{2}(e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4 + e_5 + e_6 - e_7 + e_8)$	1	$p^9$	$p^{-1}$
$e_8 - e_7$	1	$p^{16}$	$p^{-4}$

From the table above we can read off the coefficients of  $f(m+1)$  and  $f(m-1)$  on the right-hand side. These are

$$f(m-1)[p^6 + p^7 + p^8 + p^9 + p^{10} + p^{14}]$$

and

$$f(m+1)[p^4 + p^5 + p^6 + p^7 + p^8 + p^{12}].$$

Similarly, we can tabulate the values of  $p^{\langle \rho, \mu + \omega_1 \rangle}$  and  $p^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \mu, \rho_N \rangle}$  when  $\langle \mu, \tau \rangle = 0$ . This will show that the final term on the right-hand side of the equation is

$$f(m)[p^2 + p^3 + p^4 + p^5 + p^6 + p^7 + p^8 + 2p^9 + 2p^{10} + 2p^{11} + p^{12} + p^{13}].$$

After subtracting this term from both sides and dividing by  $p^4 + p^5 + p^6 + p^7 + p^8 + p^{12}$  this becomes

$$f(m)[p^2 + 1] = f(m-1)p^2 + f(m+1).$$

This is obviously equivalent to

$$p^2[f(m) - f(m-1)] = [f(m+1) - f(m)],$$

which implies that  $f(m) = 1 + p^2 + \dots + p^{2m}$ .

We now address the final case:  $G = E_7$  and  $M = E_6$ . As we have already computed the eigenvalue for the Hecke operator  $p^{-(\omega_7, \rho)}T_7$  we see that the left-hand side of our equation is



$$f(m)[p^{24} + p^{23} + p^{22} + 2p^{21} + 2p^{20} + 3p^{19} + 3p^{18} + 3p^{17} + 4p^{16} + 4p^{15} + 4p^{14} \\ + 4p^{13} + 4p^{12} + 4p^{11} + 3p^{10} + 3p^9 + 3p^8 + 2p^7 + 2p^6 + p^5 + p^4 + p^3].$$

As in the case of  $G = E_6$ , one must tabulate each of the 56 elements  $\mu$  in the orbit of  $\omega_7$  along with number of cosets of type  $u\mu(p)K$  (which is  $p^{\langle\rho, \mu + \omega_7\rangle}$ ), and the value  $\chi_{s_0}(\mu)\Delta^{-1/2}(\mu)$  (which is  $p^{-\frac{4}{9}\langle\mu, \rho_N\rangle}$ ). As before, we do this for those elements  $\mu$  such that  $\langle\mu, \tau\rangle \neq 0$ .

$\mu$	$\langle\mu, \tau\rangle$	$p^{\langle\rho, \mu + \omega_7\rangle}$	$p^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle\mu, \rho_N\rangle}$
$e_6 - e_7$	1	$p^{27}$	$p^{-6}$
$-e_5 - e_7$	1	$p^{18}$	$p^{-2}$
$\frac{1}{2}(-e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 - e_5 + e_6 - e_7 - e_8)$	1	$p^{17}$	$p^{-2}$
$\frac{1}{2}(e_1 - e_2 + e_3 + e_4 - e_5 + e_6 - e_7 - e_8)$	1	$p^{16}$	$p^{-2}$
$\frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2 - e_3 + e_4 - e_5 + e_6 - e_7 - e_8)$	1	$p^{15}$	$p^{-2}$
$\frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2 + e_3 - e_4 - e_5 + e_6 - e_7 - e_8)$	1	$p^{14}$	$p^{-2}$
$\frac{1}{2}(-e_1 - e_2 - e_3 + e_4 - e_5 + e_6 - e_7 - e_8)$	1	$p^{14}$	$p^{-2}$
$\frac{1}{2}(-e_1 - e_2 + e_3 - e_4 - e_5 + e_6 - e_7 - e_8)$	1	$p^{13}$	$p^{-2}$
$\frac{1}{2}(-e_1 + e_2 - e_3 - e_4 - e_5 + e_6 - e_7 - e_8)$	1	$p^{12}$	$p^{-2}$
$\frac{1}{2}(e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4 - e_5 + e_6 - e_7 - e_8)$	1	$p^{11}$	$p^{-2}$
$-e_5 - e_8$	1	$p$	$p^2$
$e_6 - e_8$	1	$p^{10}$	$p^{-2}$
$e_5 - e_7$	-1	$p^{26}$	$p^{-2}$
$-e_6 - e_7$	-1	$p^{17}$	$p^2$
$\frac{1}{2}(-e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 + e_5 - e_6 - e_7 - e_8)$	-1	$p^{16}$	$p^2$
$\frac{1}{2}(e_1 - e_2 + e_3 + e_4 + e_5 - e_6 - e_7 - e_8)$	-1	$p^{15}$	$p^2$
$\frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2 - e_3 + e_4 + e_5 - e_6 - e_7 - e_8)$	-1	$p^{14}$	$p^2$
$\frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2 + e_3 - e_4 + e_5 - e_6 - e_7 - e_8)$	-1	$p^{13}$	$p^2$
$\frac{1}{2}(-e_1 - e_2 - e_3 + e_4 + e_5 - e_6 - e_7 - e_8)$	-1	$p^{12}$	$p^2$
$\frac{1}{2}(-e_1 - e_2 + e_3 - e_4 + e_5 - e_6 - e_7 - e_8)$	-1	$p^{11}$	$p^2$
$\frac{1}{2}(-e_1 + e_2 - e_3 - e_4 + e_5 - e_6 - e_7 - e_8)$	-1	$p^{11}$	$p^2$
$\frac{1}{2}(e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4 + e_5 - e_6 - e_7 - e_8)$	-1	$p^{10}$	$p^2$
$-e_6 - e_8$	-1	1	$p^6$
$e_5 - e_8$	-1	$p^{11}$	$p^2$

So, the right-hand side consists of

$$f(m+1)[p^{21} + p^{16} + p^{15} + p^{14} + p^{13} + 2p^{12} + p^{11} + p^{10} + p^9 + p^8 + p^3] \\ + f(m-1)[p^{24} + p^{19} + p^{18} + p^{17} + p^{16} + 2p^{15} + p^{14} + p^{13} + p^{12} + p^{11} + p^6] \\ + f(m)[p^{23} + p^{22} + p^{21} + 2p^{20} + 2p^{19} + 2p^{18} + 2p^{17} + 2p^{16} + p^{15} + 2p^{14} \\ + 2p^{13} + p^{12} + 2p^{11} + 2p^{10} + 2p^9 + 2p^8 + 2p^7 + p^6 + p^5 + p^4].$$

We simplify (just as before) and this yields:

$$p^3[f(m) - f(m-1)] = [f(m+1) - f(m)]$$

which implies that  $f(m) = 1 + p^3 + \cdots + p^{3m}$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

We thank Wee Teck Gan for his interest in this work and asking the right questions, in particular. This work has been supported by NSF grants DMS-0138604 and DMS-0551846.

## References

- [B] M. Bhargava, Higher composition laws. I. A new view on Gauss composition, and quadratic generalizations, *Ann. of Math.* 159 (2004) 217–250.
- [DS] A. Dvorsky, S. Sahi, Hilbert spaces for certain unipotent representations II, *Invent. Math.* 138 (1999) 203–224.
- [GGS] W.T. Gan, B. Gross, G. Savin, Fourier coefficients of modular forms on  $G_2$ , *Duke Math. J.* 115 (2002) 105–169.
- [KP] D. Kazhdan, A. Polishchuk, Minimal representations: Spherical vectors and automorphic functionals, in: *Algebraic Groups and Arithmetic*, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2004, pp. 127–198.
- [K] S. Krutelevich, On a canonical form of  $3 \times 3$  Hermitian matrix over the ring integral split octonions, *J. Algebra* 253 (2002) 276–295.
- [MS] K. Magaard, G. Savin, Exceptional Theta correspondences, *Compos. Math.* 107 (1997) 89–123.
- [RRS] R. Richardson, G. Röhrle, R. Steinberg, Parabolic subgroups with abelian unipotent radical, *Invent. Math.* 110 (1992) 649–671.
- [S] G. Savin, Dual pair  $G_{\mathcal{J}} \times PGL_2$ ;  $G_{\mathcal{J}}$  is the automorphism group of the Jordan algebra  $\mathcal{J}$ , *Invent. Math.* 118 (1994) 141–160.
- [W] M. Weissman, The Fourier–Jacobi map and small representations, *Represent. Theory* 7 (2003) 275–299.