



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra



Representations of principal W -algebra for the superalgebra $Q(n)$ and the super Yangian $YQ(1)$

Elena Poletaeva^a, Vera Serganova^{b,*}

^a School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, Edinburg, TX 78539, USA

^b Department of Mathematics, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 June 2020

Available online 8 December 2020

Communicated by Volodymyr

Mazorchuk

Keywords:

Finite W -algebra

Queer Lie superalgebra

Yangian

ABSTRACT

We classify irreducible representations of finite W -algebra for the queer Lie superalgebra $Q(n)$ associated with the principal nilpotent coadjoint orbits. We use this classification and our previous results to obtain a classification of irreducible finite-dimensional representations of the super Yangian $YQ(1)$.

© 2020 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The main result of this paper is a classification of simple finite-dimensional modules over the super Yangian $YQ(1)$ associated with the Lie superalgebra $Q(1)$. The Yangians of type Q were introduced by Nazarov in [13] and [14]. In [15] these super Yangians were realized as limits of certain centralizers in the universal enveloping algebras of type Q . Our approach is via finite W -algebras as in [1,2].

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: elena.poletaeva@utrgv.edu (E. Poletaeva), serganov@math.berkeley.edu (V. Serganova).

In the classical case a finite W_e -algebra is a quantization of the Slodowy slice to the adjoint orbit of a nilpotent element e of a semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Finite-dimensional simple W_e -modules are used for classification of primitive ideals of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Losev's work gives a new point of view on this classification, [8–10].

In the supercase the theory of the primitive ideals is even more complicated, [3]. It is interesting to generalize Losev's result to the supercase. One step in this direction is to study representations of finite W -algebras for a Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} . In the case when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ and e is the even principal nilpotent, Brown, Brundan and Goodwin classified irreducible representations of W_e and explored the connection with the category \mathcal{O} for \mathfrak{g} using coinvariants functor, [1,2].

First, we study representations of finite W -algebra for the Lie superalgebra $Q(n)$ associated with the principal even nilpotent coadjoint orbit. Note that the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of $\mathfrak{g} = Q(n)$ is not abelian and contains a non-trivial odd part. By our previous results ([17]), we realize W as a subalgebra of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{h})$. One of the main results of the paper is a classification of simple W -modules given in Theorem 4.7 (they are all finite-dimensional by [17]). The technique we use is completely different from one used in [1,2] due to the lack of triangular decomposition of W in our case. Instead, we can describe the restriction of simple $U(\mathfrak{h})$ -modules to W and prove that any simple W -module occurs as a constituent of this restriction.

We have shown previously in [17] that a principal W -algebra (for any n) is a quotient of $YQ(1)$. Hence a simple module over a W -algebra can be lifted to a simple $YQ(1)$ -module. However, not every simple $YQ(1)$ -module can be obtained in this way. We prove that any simple finite-dimensional $YQ(1)$ -module is isomorphic to the tensor product of a module lifted from a W -algebra and some one-dimensional module (Theorem 5.13). We also obtain a formula for a generating function for the central character of a simple module. This generating function is rational and probably should be considered as an analogue of the Drinfeld polynomial, see [11] chapters 3, 4.

We plan in a subsequent paper to study the coinvariants functor from the category \mathcal{O} for $Q(n)$ to the category of W -modules.

As M. L. Nazarov pointed to us, it is interesting to generalize the results of [7] to the case of $YQ(1)$ using the centralizer construction of $YQ(n)$ given in [15].

2. Notations and preliminary results

We work in the category of super vector spaces over \mathbb{C} . All tensor products are over \mathbb{C} unless specified otherwise. By Π we denote the functor of parity switch $\Pi(X) = X \otimes \mathbb{C}^{0|1}$.

Recall that if X is a simple finite-dimensional \mathcal{A} -module for some associative superalgebra \mathcal{A} , then $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(X) = \mathbb{C}$ or $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(X) = \mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2 - 1)$, where the odd element ϵ provides an \mathcal{A} isomorphism $X \rightarrow \Pi(X)$. We say that X is of M-type in the former case and of Q-type in the latter (see [6,4]).

If X and Y are two simple modules over associative superalgebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , we define the $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -module $X \boxtimes Y$ as the usual tensor product if at least one of X, Y is of M-type and the tensor product over $\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]$ if both X and Y are of Q-type.

In this paper we consider the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = Q(n)$ defined as follows (see [5]). Equip $\mathbb{C}^{n|n}$ with the odd operator ζ such that $\zeta^2 = -\text{Id}$. Then $Q(n)$ is the centralizer of ζ in the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n|n)$. It is easy to see that $Q(n)$ consists of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix}$ where A, B are $n \times n$ -matrices. We fix the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ to be the set of matrices with diagonal A and B . By \mathfrak{n}^+ (respectively, \mathfrak{n}^-) we denote the nilpotent subalgebras consisting of matrices with strictly upper triangular (respectively, low triangular) A and B . The Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} has the triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$ and we set $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{n}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}$.

2.1. Finite W -algebra for $Q(n)$

Denote by W^n the finite W -algebra associated with a principal¹ even nilpotent element φ in the coadjoint representation of $Q(n)$. Let us recall the definition (see [19]). Let $\{e_{i,j}, f_{i,j} \mid i, j = 1, \dots, n\}$ denote the basis consisting of elementary even and odd matrices. Choose $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ such that

$$\varphi(f_{i,j}) = 0, \quad \varphi(e_{i,j}) = \delta_{i,j+1}.$$

Let I_φ be the left ideal in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $x - \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{n}^-$. Let $\pi : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})/I_\varphi$ be the natural projection. Then

$$W^n = \{\pi(y) \in U(\mathfrak{g})/I_\varphi \mid \text{ad}(x)y \in I_\varphi \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{n}^-\}.$$

Using identification of $U(\mathfrak{g})/I_\varphi$ with the Whittaker module $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{n}^-)} \mathbb{C}_\varphi \simeq U(\mathfrak{b}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ we can consider W^n as a subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{b})$. The natural projection $\vartheta : U(\mathfrak{b}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{h})$ with the kernel $\mathfrak{n}^+ U(\mathfrak{b})$ is called the *Harish-Chandra homomorphism*. It is proven in [17] that the restriction of ϑ to W^n is injective.

The center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is described in [21]. Set

$$\xi_i := (-1)^{i+1} f_{i,i}, \quad x_i := \xi_i^2 = e_{i,i},$$

then

$$U(\mathfrak{h}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n] / (\xi_i \xi_j + \xi_j \xi_i)_{i < j \leq n}.$$

The center of $U(\mathfrak{h})$ coincides with $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and the image of the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ under the Harish-Chandra homomorphism is generated by the polynomials $p_k = x_1^{2k+1} + \dots +$

¹ There is a unique open orbit in the nilpotent cone of the coadjoint representation, elements of this orbit are called principal.

x_n^{2k+1} for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where we denote by \mathbb{N} the set of all non-negative integers. These polynomials are called Q -symmetric polynomials.

In [17] we proved that the center Z of W^n coincides with the image of the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and hence can be also identified with the ring of Q -symmetric polynomials.

2.2. Super Yangians of type Q

Recall that in [13] the Yangians $YQ(n)$ associated with Lie superalgebras $Q(n)$ were defined. In [17] and [18] (Corollary 5.16) we have shown the existence of the surjective homomorphism $\varphi_n : YQ(1) \rightarrow W^n$.

Recall that $YQ(1)$ is the associative unital superalgebra over \mathbb{C} with the countable set of generators

$$T_{i,j}^{(m)} \text{ where } m = 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } i, j = \pm 1.$$

The \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading of the algebra $YQ(1)$ is defined as follows:

$$p(T_{i,j}^{(m)}) = p(i) + p(j), \text{ where } p(1) = 0 \text{ and } p(-1) = 1.$$

To write down defining relations for these generators we employ the formal series in $YQ(1)[[u^{-1}]]$:

$$T_{i,j}(u) = \delta_{ij} \cdot 1 + T_{i,j}^{(1)} u^{-1} + T_{i,j}^{(2)} u^{-2} + \dots \quad (2.1)$$

Then for all possible indices i, j, k, l we have the relations

$$\begin{aligned} & (u^2 - v^2)[T_{i,j}(u), T_{k,l}(v)] \cdot (-1)^{p(i)p(k)+p(i)p(l)+p(k)p(l)} \\ &= (u + v)(T_{k,j}(u)T_{i,l}(v) - T_{k,j}(v)T_{i,l}(u)) \\ &- (u - v)(T_{-k,j}(u)T_{-i,l}(v) - T_{k,-j}(v)T_{i,-l}(u)) \cdot (-1)^{p(k)+p(l)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where v is a formal parameter independent of u , so that (2.2) is an equality in the algebra of formal Laurent series in u^{-1}, v^{-1} with coefficients in $YQ(1)$.

For all indices i, j we also have the relations

$$T_{i,j}(-u) = T_{-i,-j}(u). \quad (2.3)$$

Note that the relations (2.2) and (2.3) are equivalent to the following defining relations:

$$\begin{aligned} & ([T_{i,j}^{(m+1)}, T_{k,l}^{(r-1)}] - [T_{i,j}^{(m-1)}, T_{k,l}^{(r+1)}]) \cdot (-1)^{p(i)p(k)+p(i)p(l)+p(k)p(l)} = \\ & T_{k,j}^{(m)} T_{i,l}^{(r-1)} + T_{k,j}^{(m-1)} T_{i,l}^{(r)} - T_{k,j}^{(r-1)} T_{i,l}^{(m)} - T_{k,j}^{(r)} T_{i,l}^{(m-1)} \\ & + (-1)^{p(k)+p(l)} (-T_{-k,j}^{(m)} T_{-i,l}^{(r-1)} + T_{-k,j}^{(m-1)} T_{-i,l}^{(r)} + T_{k,-j}^{(r-1)} T_{i,-l}^{(m)} - T_{k,-j}^{(r)} T_{i,-l}^{(m-1)}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

$$T_{-i,-j}^{(m)} = (-1)^m T_{i,j}^{(m)}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $m, r = 1, \dots$ and $T_{i,j}^{(0)} = \delta_{ij}$.

Recall that $YQ(1)$ is a Hopf superalgebra, see [14], with comultiplication given by the formula

$$\Delta(T_{i,j}^{(r)}) = \sum_{s=0}^r \sum_k (-1)^{(p(i)+p(k))(p(j)+p(k))} T_{i,k}^{(s)} \otimes T_{k,j}^{(r-s)}.$$

The surjective homomorphism $\varphi_n : YQ(1) \rightarrow W^n$ is defined as follows:

$$\varphi_n(T_{1,1}^{(k)}) = (-1)^k \left[\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (x_{i_1} + (-1)^{k+1} \xi_{i_1}) \dots (x_{i_{k-1}} - \xi_{i_{k-1}})(x_{i_k} + \xi_{i_k}) \right]_{\text{even}}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\varphi_n(T_{-1,1}^{(k)}) = (-1)^k \left[\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (x_{i_1} + (-1)^{k+1} \xi_{i_1}) \dots (x_{i_{k-1}} - \xi_{i_{k-1}})(x_{i_k} + \xi_{i_k}) \right]_{\text{odd}}.$$

Note that $\varphi_n(T_{1,1}^{(k)}) = \varphi_n(T_{-1,1}^{(k)}) = 0$ if $k > n$.

3. The structure of W -algebra

Using Harish-Chandra homomorphism we realize W^n as a subalgebra in $U(\mathfrak{h})$. It is shown in [18] that W^n has n even generators z_0, \dots, z_{n-1} and n odd generators $\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{n-1}$ defined as follows. For $k \geq 0$ we set

$$\phi_0 := \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i, \quad \phi_k := T^k(\phi_0), \quad (3.1)$$

where the matrix of T in the standard basis ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n has 0 on the diagonal and

$$t_{ij} := \begin{cases} x_j & \text{if } i < j, \\ -x_j & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

For odd $k \leq n-1$ we define

$$z_k := \left[\sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{k+1}} (x_{i_1} + (-1)^k \xi_{i_1}) \dots (x_{i_k} - \xi_{i_k})(x_{i_{k+1}} + \xi_{i_{k+1}}) \right]_{\text{even}}, \quad (3.3)$$

and for even $k \geq 0$ we set

$$z_k := \frac{1}{2} [\phi_0, \phi_k]. \quad (3.4)$$

Let $W_0^n \subset W^n$ be the subalgebra generated by z_0, \dots, z_{n-1} . By [17], Proposition 6.4, W_0^n is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}]$. Furthermore there are the following relations

$$[\phi_i, \phi_j] = \begin{cases} (-1)^i 2z_{i+j} & \text{if } i+j \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } i+j \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

Define the \mathbb{Z} -grading on $U(\mathfrak{h})$ by setting the degree of ξ_i to be 1. It induces the filtration on W^n , for every $y \in W^n$ we denote by \bar{y} the term of the highest degree.

Note that for even k , we have $z_k = \bar{z}_k$. Moreover, z_k is in the image under the Harish-Chandra map of the center of the universal enveloping algebra $U(Q(n))$. Therefore by [21] z_{2p} is a Q -symmetric polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degree $2p+1$. For example,

$$z_0 = x_1 + \dots + x_n, \quad z_2 = \frac{1}{3}((x_1^3 + \dots + x_n^3) - (x_1 + \dots + x_n)^3).$$

For odd k the leading term is given by the elementary symmetric polynomial

$$\bar{z}_k = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{k+1}} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{k+1}}.$$

Lemma 3.1.

- (1) $\text{gr } W_0^n$ is isomorphic to the algebra of symmetric polynomials $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n} = \mathbb{C}[\bar{z}_0, \dots, \bar{z}_{n-1}]$ and the degree of \bar{z}_k is $2k+2$;
- (2) $U(\mathfrak{h})$ is a free right W_0^n -module of rank $2^n n!$.

Proof. Since $\bar{z}_0, \dots, \bar{z}_{n-1}$ are algebraically independent generators of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$ we obtain (1).

It is well-known fact that $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$ -module of rank $n!$, see, for example, [22] Chapter 4. Since $U(\mathfrak{h})$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -module of rank 2^n we get that $U(\mathfrak{h})$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$ -module of rank $m = 2^n n!$. Let us choose a homogeneous basis b_1, \dots, b_m of $U(\mathfrak{h})$ over $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$. We claim that it is a basis of $U(\mathfrak{h})$ as a right module over W_0^n . Indeed, let us prove first the linear independence. Suppose

$$\sum_{j=1}^m b_j y_j = 0$$

for some $y_j \in W_0^n$. Let $k = \max\{\deg y_j + \deg b_j \mid j = 1, \dots, m\}$. If $J = \{j \mid \deg y_j + \deg b_j = k\}$ we have $\sum_{j \in J} b_j \bar{y}_j = 0$. By above this implies $\bar{y}_j = 0$ for all $j \in J$ and we obtain all $y_j = 0$. On the other hand, it follows easily by induction on degree that $U(\mathfrak{h}) = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j W_0^n$. The proof of (2) is complete. \square

Consider $U(\mathfrak{h})$ as a free $U(\mathfrak{h}_0)$ -module and let W_1^n denote the free $U(\mathfrak{h}_0)$ -submodule generated by ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n . Then W_1^n is equipped with $U(\mathfrak{h}_0)$ -valued symmetric bilinear form $B(x, y) = [x, y]$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $p(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \prod_{i < j} (x_i + x_j)$ and Γ denotes the Gram matrix $B(\phi_i, \phi_j)$. Then $\det \Gamma = cp^2 x_1 \cdots x_n$, where c is a non-zero constant.*

Proof. Recall that $\phi_k = T^k \phi_0$. Since the matrix of the form B in the basis ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n is the diagonal matrix $C = \text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, then $\Gamma = Y^t C Y$, where Y is the square matrix such that $\phi_i = \sum_{j=1}^n y_{ij} \xi_j$. Hence $\det \Gamma = x_1 \cdots x_n \det Y^2$. Since $B(\phi_i, \phi_j)$ is a symmetric polynomial in x_1, \dots, x_n , the determinant of Γ is also a symmetric polynomial. The degree of this polynomial is n^2 . Therefore it suffices to prove that $(x_1 + x_2)^2$ divides $\det \Gamma$, or equivalently $x_1 + x_2$ divides $\det Y$. In other words, we have to show that if $x_1 = -x_2$, then $\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{n-1}$ are linearly dependent. Indeed, one can easily see from the form of T that the first and the second coordinates of $T^k \phi_0$ coincide, hence $\phi_0, T\phi_0, \dots, T^{n-1}\phi_0$ are linearly dependent. \square

We also will use another generators in W^n introduced in [18], Corollary 5.15:

$$u_k(0) := \left[\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (x_{i_1} + (-1)^{k+1} \xi_{i_1}) \cdots (x_{i_{k-1}} - \xi_{i_{k-1}})(x_{i_k} + \xi_{i_k}) \right]_{\text{even}}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$u_k(1) := \left[\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (x_{i_1} + (-1)^{k+1} \xi_{i_1}) \cdots (x_{i_{k-1}} - \xi_{i_{k-1}})(x_{i_k} + \xi_{i_k}) \right]_{\text{odd}}.$$

For convenience we assume $u_k(0) = u_k(1) = 0$ for $k > n$.

Let $i + j = n$. We have the natural embedding of the Lie superalgebras $Q(i) \oplus Q(j) \hookrightarrow Q(n)$. If \mathfrak{h}_r denotes the Cartan subalgebra of $Q(r)$, the above embedding induces the isomorphism

$$U(\mathfrak{h}) \simeq U(\mathfrak{h}_i) \otimes U(\mathfrak{h}_j). \quad (3.7)$$

The following lemma implies that we have also the embedding of W -algebras.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $i + j = n$. Then W^n is a subalgebra in the tensor product $W^i \otimes W^j$.*

Proof. Introduce generators in W^i and W^j :

$$u_k^+(0) := \left[\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq i} (x_{i_1} + (-1)^{k+1} \xi_{i_1}) \cdots (x_{i_{k-1}} - \xi_{i_{k-1}})(x_{i_k} + \xi_{i_k}) \right]_{\text{even}}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$u_k^+(1) := \left[\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq i} (x_{i_1} + (-1)^{k+1} \xi_{i_1}) \cdots (x_{i_{k-1}} - \xi_{i_{k-1}})(x_{i_k} + \xi_{i_k}) \right]_{\text{odd}}.$$

$$u_k^-(0) := \left[\sum_{i+1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (x_{i_1} + (-1)^{k+1} \xi_{i_1}) \cdots (x_{i_{k-1}} - \xi_{i_{k-1}})(x_{i_k} + \xi_{i_k}) \right]_{\text{even}} \quad (3.9)$$

$$u_k^-(1) := \left[\sum_{i+1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (x_{i_1} + (-1)^{k+1} \xi_{i_1}) \cdots (x_{i_{k-1}} - \xi_{i_{k-1}})(x_{i_k} + \xi_{i_k}) \right]_{\text{odd}}.$$

Then for $d, e, f \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$u_k(d) = \sum_{e+f=d} \sum_{a+b=k} (-1)^{eb} u_a^+(e) u_b^-(f). \quad (3.10)$$

Here we assume $u_0^\pm(0) = 1$ and $u_0^\pm(1) = 0$. \square

Corollary 3.4. *If $i_1 + \dots + i_p = n$, then W^n is a subalgebra in $W^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes W^{i_p}$.*

It is easy to see the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} YQ(1) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & YQ(1) \otimes YQ(1) \\ \varphi_{m+n} \downarrow & & \varphi_m \otimes \varphi_n \downarrow \\ W^{m+n} & \longrightarrow & W^m \otimes W^n \end{array} \quad (3.11)$$

where the bottom horizontal arrow is the composition of the flip $W^n \otimes W^m \rightarrow W^m \otimes W^n$ with the map $W^{m+n} \rightarrow W^n \otimes W^m$ defined in Lemma 3.3. The appearance of the flip is due to the fact that the flip is used in the identification of $U(\mathfrak{h}) \subset U(Q(l))$ with $U(Q(1))^{\otimes l}$, see the formula before Theorem 5.8 and Theorem 5.14 in [18].

4. Irreducible representations of W^n

4.1. Representations of $U(\mathfrak{h})$

Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We call \mathbf{s} *regular* if $s_i \neq 0$ for all $i \leq n$ and *typical* if $s_i + s_j \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

It follows from the representation theory of Clifford algebras that all irreducible representations of $U(\mathfrak{h})$ up to change of parity can be parameterized by $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Indeed, let M be an irreducible representation of $U(\mathfrak{h})$. By Schur's lemma every x_i acts on M as a scalar operator $s_i \text{Id}$. Let $I_{\mathbf{s}}$ denote the ideal in $U(\mathfrak{h})$ generated by $x_i - s_i$, then the quotient algebra $U(\mathfrak{h})/I_{\mathbf{s}}$ is isomorphic to the Clifford superalgebra $C_{\mathbf{s}}^2$ associated with the quadratic form:

$$B_{\mathbf{s}}(\xi_i, \xi_j) = \delta_{ij} 2s_i.$$

² We consider Clifford algebras as superalgebras with the natural \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading.

Then M is a simple $C_{\mathbf{s}}$ -module.

The radical $R_{\mathbf{s}}$ of $C_{\mathbf{s}}$ is generated by the kernel of the form $B_{\mathbf{s}}$. Let $m(\mathbf{s})$ be the number of non-zero coordinates of \mathbf{s} , then $C_{\mathbf{s}}/R_{\mathbf{s}}$ is isomorphic to the matrix superalgebra $M(2^{\frac{m}{2}-1}|2^{\frac{m}{2}-1})$ for even m and to the superalgebra $M(2^{\frac{m-1}{2}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2 - 1)$ for odd m .

Therefore $C_{\mathbf{s}}$ has one (up to isomorphism) simple \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded module $V(\mathbf{s})$ of type Q for odd $m(\mathbf{s})$, and two simple modules $V(\mathbf{s})$ and $\Pi V(\mathbf{s})$ of type M for even $m(\mathbf{s})$ (see [12]). In the case when \mathbf{s} is regular, the form $B_{\mathbf{s}}$ is non-degenerate and the dimension of $V(\mathbf{s})$ equals 2^k , where $k = \lceil n/2 \rceil$. In general, $\dim V(\mathbf{s}) = 2^{\lceil m(\mathbf{s})/2 \rceil}$.

Consider the embedding $Q(p) \oplus Q(q) \hookrightarrow Q(n)$ for $p + q = n$ and the isomorphism (3.7). It induces an isomorphism of $U(\mathfrak{h})$ -modules

$$V(\mathbf{s}) \simeq V(s_1, \dots, s_p) \boxtimes V(s_{p+1}, \dots, s_n). \quad (4.1)$$

4.2. Restriction from $U(\mathfrak{h})$ to W^n

We denote by the same symbol $V(\mathbf{s})$ the restriction to W^n of the $U(\mathfrak{h})$ -module $V(\mathbf{s})$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let S be a simple W^n -module. Then S is a simple constituent of $V(\mathbf{s})$ for some $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^n$.*

Proof. Since W_0^n is commutative and S is finite-dimensional (see [17]), there exists one dimensional W_0^n -submodule $\mathbb{C}_{\nu} \subset S$ with character ν . Therefore S is a quotient of $\text{Ind}_{W_0^n}^{W^n} \mathbb{C}_{\nu}$. On the other hand, the embedding $W^n \hookrightarrow U(\mathfrak{h})$ induces the embedding $\text{Ind}_{W_0^n}^{W^n} \mathbb{C}_{\nu} \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}_{W_0^n}^{U(\mathfrak{h})} \mathbb{C}_{\nu}$. Thus, S is a simple constituent of $\text{Res}_{W^n} \text{Ind}_{W_0^n}^{U(\mathfrak{h})} \mathbb{C}_{\nu}$. By Lemma 3.1, $\text{Ind}_{W_0^n}^{U(\mathfrak{h})} \mathbb{C}_{\nu}$ is finite-dimensional, and hence has simple $U(\mathfrak{h})$ -constituents isomorphic to $V(\mathbf{s})$ for some \mathbf{s} . Hence S must appear as a simple W^n -constituent of some $V(\mathbf{s})$. \square

4.3. Typical representations

Theorem 4.2. *If \mathbf{s} is typical, then $V(\mathbf{s})$ is a simple W^n -module.*

Proof. First, we assume that \mathbf{s} is regular, i.e. $s_i \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. The specialization $x_i \mapsto s_i$ induces an injective homomorphism $\theta_{\mathbf{s}} : W^n/(I_{\mathbf{s}} \cap W^n) \hookrightarrow C_{\mathbf{s}}$ and a specialization of the quadratic form $B \mapsto B_{\mathbf{s}}$. By Lemma 3.2 $\det \Gamma(\mathbf{s}) \neq 0$. Therefore $B_{\mathbf{s}}$ is non-degenerate and $\theta_{\mathbf{s}}$ is an isomorphism. Thus, $V(\mathbf{s})$ remains irreducible when restricted to W^n .

If \mathbf{s} is typical non-regular, there is exactly one i such that $s_i = 0$. Let $\mathbf{s}' = (s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_n)$. Note that $(\theta_{\mathbf{s}}(\xi_i))$ is a nilpotent ideal of $C_{\mathbf{s}}$ and hence ξ_i acts by zero on $V(\mathbf{s})$. Then $V(\mathbf{s})$ is a simple module over the quotient $C_{\mathbf{s}'} \simeq C_{\mathbf{s}}/(\theta_{\mathbf{s}}(\xi_i))$. Recall Y from the proof of Lemma 3.2 and let Y' denote the minor of Y obtained by removing the i -th column and the i -th row. Then

$$\phi_k = \sum_{j \neq i} y'_{kj} \xi_j \bmod (\xi_i).$$

Hence $\theta_{\mathbf{s}}(\phi_0), \dots, \theta_{\mathbf{s}}(\phi_{n-1})$ generate $C_{\mathbf{s}'} \simeq C_{\mathbf{s}}/(\theta_{\mathbf{s}}(\xi_i))$ and the statement follows from the regular case for $n-1$. \square

4.4. Simple W^n -modules for $n=2$

Let $n=2$, then by Theorem 4.2 $V(\mathbf{s})$ is simple as W^n -module if $s_1 \neq -s_2$. The action of $U(\mathfrak{h})$ in $V(s_1, s_2)$ is given by the following formulas in a suitable basis:

$$\xi_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{s_1} \\ \sqrt{s_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \xi_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{s_2 \mathbf{i}} \\ -\sqrt{s_2 \mathbf{i}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that W^n is generated by ϕ_0, ϕ_1, z_0 and z_1 . Using

$$\phi_0 = \xi_1 + \xi_2, \quad \phi_1 = x_2 \xi_1 - x_1 \xi_2, \quad z_0 = x_1 + x_2, \quad z_1 = x_1 x_2 - \xi_1 \xi_2$$

we obtain the following formulas for the generators of W^n :

$$\phi_0 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{s_1} + \sqrt{s_2 \mathbf{i}} \\ \sqrt{s_1} - \sqrt{s_2 \mathbf{i}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_1 \mapsto \sqrt{s_1 s_2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{s_2} - \sqrt{s_1 \mathbf{i}} \\ \sqrt{s_2} + \sqrt{s_1 \mathbf{i}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$z_0 \mapsto (s_1 + s_2) \text{Id}, \quad z_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} s_1 s_2 + \sqrt{s_1 s_2 \mathbf{i}} & 0 \\ 0 & s_1 s_2 - \sqrt{s_1 s_2 \mathbf{i}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.3)$$

Assume that $s_1 = -s_2$. If $s_1, s_2 = 0$ then $V(\mathbf{s})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \oplus \Pi \mathbb{C}$, where \mathbb{C} is the trivial module. If $s_1 \neq 0$, we choose $\sqrt{s_1}, \sqrt{s_2}$ so that $\sqrt{s_2} = \sqrt{s_1 \mathbf{i}}$. Note that the choice of sign controls the choice of the parity of $V(\mathbf{s})$. The following exact sequence easily follows from (4.2) and (4.3):

$$0 \rightarrow \Pi \Gamma_{-s_1^2+s_1} \rightarrow V(\mathbf{s}) \rightarrow \Gamma_{-s_1^2-s_1} \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.4)$$

where Γ_t is the simple module of dimension $(1|0)$ on which ϕ_0, ϕ_1 and z_0 act by zero and z_1 acts by the scalar t . The sequence splits only in the case $s_1 = 0$, when $\Gamma_0 \simeq \mathbb{C}$ is trivial. Thus, using Proposition 4.1, Theorem 4.2, and (4.4) we obtain

Lemma 4.3. *If $n=2$, then every simple W^n -module is isomorphic to one of the following*

- (1) $V(s_1, s_2)$ or $\Pi V(s_1, s_2)$ for $s_1 \neq -s_2, s_1, s_2 \neq 0$;
- (2) $V(s, 0)$ if $s \neq 0$;
- (3) Γ_t or $\Pi \Gamma_t$.

4.5. Invariance under permutations

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\mathbf{s}' = \sigma(\mathbf{s})$ for some permutation of coordinates.*

(1) *If \mathbf{s} is typical, then $V(\mathbf{s})$ is isomorphic to $V(\mathbf{s}')$ as a W^n -module.*

(2) *If \mathbf{s} is arbitrary, then $[V(\mathbf{s})] = [V(\mathbf{s}')]$ or $[\Pi V(\mathbf{s}')]$, where $[X]$ denotes the class of X in the Grothendieck group.*

Proof. First, we will prove the statement for $n = 2$. Assume first that $s_2 \neq -s_1$. In this case $V(s_1, s_2)$ is a $(1|1)$ -dimensional simple W^n -module.

Let

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{s_2} + \sqrt{s_1}\mathbf{i} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{s_1} + \sqrt{s_2}\mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then by direct computation we have

$$D\phi_0 D^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{s_2} + \sqrt{s_1}\mathbf{i} \\ \sqrt{s_2} - \sqrt{s_1}\mathbf{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$D\phi_1 D^{-1} = \sqrt{s_1 s_2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{s_1} - \sqrt{s_2}\mathbf{i} \\ \sqrt{s_1} + \sqrt{s_2}\mathbf{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore D defines an isomorphism between $V(s_1, s_2)$ and $V(s_2, s_1)$.

Now consider the case $s_1 = -s_2$. Then the structure of $V(s_1, -s_1)$ is given by the sequence (4.4). Let $V(\mathbf{s}') = V(-s_1, s_1)$, then analogously we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Pi\Gamma_{-s_1^2-s_1} \rightarrow V(\mathbf{s}') \rightarrow \Gamma_{-s_1^2+s_1} \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.5)$$

The statement (2) now follows directly from comparison of (4.4) and (4.5). Now we will prove the statement for all n . Note that it suffices to consider the case of the adjacent transposition $\sigma = (i, i+1)$.

The embedding of $Q(i-1) \oplus Q(2) \oplus Q(n-i-1)$ into $Q(n)$ provides the isomorphism

$$U(\mathfrak{h}) \simeq U(\mathfrak{h}^-) \otimes U(\mathfrak{h}^0) \otimes U(\mathfrak{h}^+),$$

where \mathfrak{h}^- , \mathfrak{h}^0 and \mathfrak{h}^+ are the Cartan subalgebras of $Q(i-1)$, $Q(2)$ and $Q(n-i-1)$ respectively. Using twice the isomorphism (4.1) we obtain the following isomorphism of $U(\mathfrak{h})$ -modules

$$V(\mathbf{s}) \simeq (V(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}) \boxtimes V(s_i, s_{i+1})) \boxtimes V(s_{i+2}, \dots, s_n).$$

Suppose that $s_i \neq -s_{i+1}$. Let $D_{i,i+1} = 1 \otimes D \otimes 1$. By Corollary 3.4 we have that W^n is a subalgebra in $W^{i-1} \otimes W^2 \otimes W^{n-i-1}$ and hence $D_{i,i+1}$ defines an isomorphism of W^n -modules $V(\mathbf{s})$ and $V(\mathbf{s}')$.

If $s_i = -s_{i+1}$, then the statement follows from (4.4) and (4.5). This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

4.6. Construction of simple W^n -modules

Now we give a general construction of a simple W^n -module. Let $r, p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r + 2p + q = n$, $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_p) \in \mathbb{C}^p$, $t_1, \dots, t_p \neq 0$, and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q) \in \mathbb{C}^q$, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q \neq 0$, such that $\lambda_i + \lambda_j \neq 0$ for any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq q$. Recall that by Corollary 3.4 we have an embedding $W^n \hookrightarrow W^r \otimes (W^2)^{\otimes p} \otimes W^q$. Set

$$S(\mathbf{t}, \lambda) := \mathbb{C} \boxtimes \Gamma_{t_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \Gamma_{t_p} \boxtimes V(\lambda),$$

where the first term \mathbb{C} in the tensor product denotes the trivial W^r -module. For $q = 0$ we use the notation $S(\mathbf{t}, 0)$ and set $V(\lambda) = \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 4.5. The dimension of $S(\mathbf{t}, \lambda)$ equals $2^{\frac{q}{2}}$ for even q and $2^{\frac{q+1}{2}}$ for odd q . Furthermore, $S(\mathbf{t}, \lambda)$ is isomorphic to $\Pi S(\mathbf{t}, \lambda)$ if and only if q is odd.

Lemma 4.6. All $u_k(1)$ act by zero on $S(\mathbf{t}, 0)$. The action of $u_k(0)$ is given by the formula

$$u_k(0) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for odd } k, \text{ and for } k > 2p, \\ \sigma_{\frac{k}{2}}(t_1, \dots, t_p) & \text{for even } k, \end{cases}$$

where σ_a denote the elementary symmetric polynomials, $0 \leq a \leq p$.

Proof. The first assertion is trivial. We prove the second assertion by induction on p . For $p = 1$ it is a consequence of the definition of Γ_t for $Q(2)$. For $p > 1$ we consider the embedding $Q(n-2) \oplus Q(2) \hookrightarrow Q(n)$. The formula (3.10) degenerates to

$$u_k(0) = u_k^+(0) \otimes 1 + u_{k-1}^+(0) \otimes z_0 + u_{k-2}^+(0) \otimes z'_1.$$

As z_0 acts by zero on Γ_{t_p} the statement now follows from the obvious identity

$$\sigma_{\frac{k}{2}}(t_1, \dots, t_p) = \sigma_{\frac{k}{2}}(t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}) + t_p \sigma_{\frac{k}{2}-1}(t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}). \quad \square$$

Theorem 4.7.

- (1) $S(\mathbf{t}, \lambda)$ is a simple W^n -module;
- (2) Every simple W^n -module is isomorphic to $S(\mathbf{t}, \lambda)$ up to change of parity.

Proof. Let $u_k^-(d)$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$ be as in (3.9) where indices are taken in the interval $[n-q+1, n]$. If $q = 0$ we set $u_k^-(0) = 1$ and $u_k^-(1) = 0$. Using Lemma 4.6 and

formula (3.10) we can easily write the action of $u_k(d)$ in $S(\mathbf{t}, \lambda)$ in terms of $u_k^-(d)$ after identifying $S(\mathbf{t}, \lambda)$ with $V(\lambda)$:

$$u_k(d) = \sum_{2a+j=k} \sigma_a(t_1, \dots, t_p) u_j^-(d). \quad (4.6)$$

From these formulas we see that $u_k^-(d)$ and $u_k(d)$ generate the same subalgebra in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V(\lambda))$. By Theorem 4.2 this proves irreducibility of $S(\mathbf{t}, \lambda)$.

To show (2) we use Proposition 4.1. Every simple W^n -module is a subquotient of $V(\mathbf{s})$. By Theorem 4.4 (2) we may assume that $s_1 = \dots = s_r = 0$, $s_i \neq 0$ for $i > r$, $s_{r+1} = -s_{r+2}, \dots, s_{r+2p-1} = -s_{r+2p}$. We can compute $W^r \otimes (W^2)^{\otimes p} \otimes W^q$ -simple constituents of $V(\mathbf{s})$. They are $S(\mathbf{t}, \lambda)$ (up to change of parity) with $t_j = -s_{r+2j}^2 \pm s_{r+2j}$ and $\lambda_i = s_{r+2p+i}$ (we can assume that all $s_i \neq \pm 1$). By (1) $S(\mathbf{t}, \lambda)$ remains simple when restricted to W^n . Hence the statement. \square

Remark 4.8. $\Gamma_0 \simeq \mathbb{C} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}$ as W^2 -modules ($r = 2$, $p = q = 0$).

4.7. Central characters

Recall that the center of $U(Q(n))$ coincides with the center Z of W^n , see Section 2. Every \mathbf{s} defines the central character $\chi_{\mathbf{s}} : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, Theorem 4.7 (2) implies that every simple W^n -module admits central character $\chi_{\mathbf{s}}$ for some \mathbf{s} . For every $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ we define the *core* $c(\mathbf{s}) = (s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_m})$ as a subsequence obtained from \mathbf{s} by removing all $s_j = 0$ and all pairs (s_i, s_j) such that $s_i + s_j = 0$. Up to a permutation this result does not depend on the order of removing. Thus, the core is well defined up to permutation. We call m the length of the core. The notion of core is very useful for describing the blocks in the category of finite-dimensional $Q(n)$ -modules, see [16] and [20].

Example 4.9. Let $\mathbf{s} = (1, 0, 3, -1, -1)$, then $c(\mathbf{s}) = (3, -1)$.

The following is a reformulation of the central character description in [21].

Lemma 4.10. Let $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}' \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then $\chi_{\mathbf{s}} = \chi_{\mathbf{s}'}$ if and only if \mathbf{s} and \mathbf{s}' have the same core (up to permutation).

It follows from Lemma 4.10 that the core depends only on the central character $\chi_{\mathbf{s}}$, we denote it $c(\chi)$. By Theorem 4.4 we obtain the following.

Corollary 4.11. Let $\chi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a central character with core $c(\chi)$ of length m . Then W^m -module $V(c(\chi))$ is well-defined. From now on we denote it by $V(\chi)$ and call it the *core representation*.

The category $W^n - \text{mod}$ of finite dimensional W^n -modules decomposes into direct sum $\bigoplus (W^n)^\chi - \text{mod}$, where $(W^n)^\chi - \text{mod}$ is the full subcategory of modules admitting generalized central character χ .

Lemma 4.12. *A simple W^n -module S belongs to $(W^n)^\chi - \text{mod}$ if and only if it is isomorphic (up to change of parity) to $S(\mathbf{t}, \lambda)$ with $\lambda = c(\chi)$.*

Proof. We have to compute the central character of $S(\mathbf{t}, \lambda)$. For a Q -symmetric polynomial $p_k = x_1^{2k+1} + \dots + x_n^{2k+1}$ we have $p_k(\mathbf{t}, \lambda) = \lambda_1^{2k+1} + \dots + \lambda_q^{2k+1}$. Since p_k generate the center of W^n the statement follows. \square

Proposition 4.13. *Two simple modules $S(\mathbf{t}, \lambda)$ and $S(\mathbf{t}', \lambda')$ are isomorphic (up to change of parity) if and only if $p' = p$, $q' = q$, $\mathbf{t}' = \sigma(\mathbf{t})$ and $\lambda' = \tau(\lambda)$ for some $\sigma \in S_p$ and $\tau \in S_q$.*

Proof. First, (4.6) and Theorem 4.4 imply the “if” statement. To prove the “only if” statement, assume that $S(\mathbf{t}, \lambda)$ and $S(\mathbf{t}', \lambda')$ are isomorphic. Then these modules admit the same central character. Therefore by Lemma 4.12 $\lambda' = \tau(\lambda)$ for some $\tau \in S_q$. Hence without loss of generality we may assume that $q' = q$ and $\lambda' = \lambda$.

Denote by $\text{tr } x$ and $\text{tr}' x$ the trace of $x \in W^n$ in $S(\mathbf{t}, \lambda)$ and $S(\mathbf{t}', \lambda)$ respectively. Then we must have

$$\text{tr } u_k(0) = \text{tr}' u_k(0).$$

Using the formula (4.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr } u_k(0) &= \sum_{2a+j=k} \sigma_a(t_1, \dots, t_p) \text{tr}_{V(\lambda)} u_j^-(0), \\ \text{tr}' u_k(0) &= \sum_{2a+j=k} \sigma_a(t'_1, \dots, t'_{p'}) \text{tr}_{V(\lambda)} u_j^-(0). \end{aligned}$$

Let $b_j := \text{tr}_{V(\lambda)} u_j^-(0)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $p \geq p'$. Then we can rewrite our formula with $p = p'$ assuming $t'_i = 0$ for $p \geq i > p'$. Then the above implies

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_a(t_1, \dots, t_p) b_0 + \sigma_{a-1}(t_1, \dots, t_p) b_2 + \dots + \sigma_0(t_1, \dots, t_p) b_{2a} = \\ \sigma_a(t'_1, \dots, t'_p) b_0 + \sigma_{a-1}(t'_1, \dots, t'_p) b_2 + \dots + \sigma_0(t'_1, \dots, t'_p) b_{2a}, \end{aligned}$$

where we assume $b_i = 0$ for $i > q$. Since $b_0 = \dim V(\lambda) \neq 0$ the above equations imply $\sigma_a(t_1, \dots, t_p) = \sigma_a(t'_1, \dots, t'_p)$ for all $a = 1, \dots, p$. Therefore $\mathbf{t}' = \sigma(\mathbf{t})$ for some $\sigma \in S_p$ and in particular, $p' = p$. \square

We denote by \mathcal{P}^l the subcategory of W^l -modules which admit trivial generalized central character.

Lemma 4.14. *Let $\chi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a central character with core $c(\chi)$ of length m . Then the functor $W^{n-m} - \text{mod} \rightarrow W^n - \text{mod}$ defined by³ $F(M) = \text{Res}_{W^n}(M \otimes V(\chi))$ restricts to the functor $\Phi : \mathcal{P}^{n-m} \rightarrow (W^n)^\chi - \text{mod}$. Furthermore, Φ is an exact functor which sends a simple object to a simple object.*

Proof. The first assertion is immediate consequence of Lemma 4.12 and the second follows from the construction of $S(\mathbf{t}, \lambda)$. \square

Conjecture 4.15. The functor $\Phi : \mathcal{P}^{n-m} \rightarrow (W^n)^\chi - \text{mod}$ defines an equivalence of categories.

5. Representations of the super Yangian of type $Q(1)$

In this section we classify irreducible finite-dimensional representations of $YQ(1)$ and explore their connections with irreducible representations of W^n .

Lemma 5.1. *Let*

$$\eta_i = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^i \text{ad}^i T_{1,1}^{(2)}(T_{1,-1}^{(1)}), \quad Z_{2i} = \frac{1}{2}[\eta_0, \eta_{2i}]. \quad (5.1)$$

(1) *The following analogue of relations (3.5) holds:*

$$[\eta_i, \eta_j] = \begin{cases} (-1)^i 2Z_{i+j} & \text{if } i+j \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } i+j \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

(2) *The elements $\{Z_{2i} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are algebraically independent generators of the center of $YQ(1)$.*

(3) *The elements η_0 and $\{T_{1,1}^{(2i)} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ generate $YQ(1)$.*

Proof. The surjective homomorphism $\varphi_n : YQ(1) \rightarrow W^n$ defined in (2.6) acts on generators by

$$\varphi_n(\eta_i) = \phi_i, \quad \varphi_n(Z_{2i}) = z_{2i}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

Moreover, $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ker } \varphi_n = 0$. Hence all assertions of the lemma follow from the similar statements for W^n . \square

³ We consider here the usual exterior tensor product in contrast with \boxtimes .

Let M be a simple $YQ(1)$ -module. Then M admits a central character χ . We set $\chi_{2k} = \chi(Z_{2k})$ and consider the generating function

$$\chi(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \chi_{2i} u^{-2i-1}.$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let M be a finite-dimensional simple $YQ(1)$ -module admitting central character χ . Then $\chi(u)$ is a rational function of the form*

$$\frac{a_0 u^{-1} + \cdots + a_{q-1} u^{-2q+1}}{1 + c_1 u^{-2} + \cdots + c_q u^{-2q}}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{C} \subset YQ(1)$ denote the unital subalgebra generated by $\{\eta_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let \mathbf{C}_χ denote the quotient of \mathbf{C} by the ideal $(\{Z_{2i} - \chi_{2i} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\})$. Then the relations (3.5) imply that \mathbf{C}_χ is isomorphic to the infinite-dimensional Clifford algebra $\mathbf{Cliff}(V, B_\chi)$ on the space V with basis $\{\eta_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and the symmetric form B_χ defined by the formula

$$B_\chi(\eta_i, \eta_j) = \begin{cases} (-1)^i 2\chi_{i+j} & \text{if } i+j \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } i+j \text{ is odd} \end{cases}. \quad (5.2)$$

Note that M by definition restricts to a certain \mathbf{C}_χ -module. On the other hand, $\mathbf{Cliff}(V, B_\chi)$ admits a finite-dimensional representation if and only if B_χ has a finite rank. Look at the infinite symmetric matrix of B_χ in the basis $\{\eta_i\}$. Then every column of this matrix is a linear combination of the first k columns for some k . The formula (5.2) implies that for some integer $q > 0$ and the coefficients c_1, \dots, c_q we have a recurrence relation

$$\chi_{2m} = \sum_{i=1}^q -c_i \chi_{2m-2i}, \quad \text{for all } m \geq q. \quad (5.3)$$

This condition is equivalent to the rationality of $\chi(u)$. \square

Recall the W^n -module $V(\mathbf{s})$ constructed in Section 4. Using the homomorphism φ_n we equip $V(\mathbf{s})$ with a $YQ(1)$ -module structure. Our next goal is to compute the central character of $V(\mathbf{s})$. For this we need to compute the $\{z_{2i}\}$ in terms of symmetric polynomials. Recall the notations of Section 3. Note that for any n the elements $\{z_{2i}\}$ of the center can be expressed in terms of symmetric polynomials of x_1, \dots, x_n and this expression stabilizes as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, z_{2i} is a particular element in the ring of symmetric functions of degree $2i + 1$.

Lemma 5.3. *We have the following expression*

$$z_{2k} = - \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_{2i} z_{2k-2i} + \sigma_{2k+1}, \quad (5.4)$$

where $\sigma_p = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_p}$ is the elementary symmetric function.

Proof. We proved in [17], Lemma 5.5 that for W^n the characteristic polynomial $\det(\lambda \text{Id} - T)$ of T equals $\lambda^n + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \sigma_{2i} \lambda^{n-2i}$. As

$$z_{2k}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = [x_1, \dots, x_n] T^{2k} [1, \dots, 1]^t,$$

the Hamilton–Cayley identity implies that for $2k \geq n$ we have

$$z_{2k}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = - \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_{2i} z_{2k-2i}(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Since the degree of z_{2k} is $2k+1$ it is a polynomial of $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{2k+1}$. Therefore it suffices to prove (5.4) for $n = 2k+1$. We do it by induction on k using the fact that $z_{2k}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k+1})$ is Q -symmetric. Indeed, we already know that

$$z_{2k}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k}) = - \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_{2i} z_{2k-2i}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k}),$$

therefore from substituting $x_{2k+1} = 0$ we get

$$z_{2k}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k+1}) = - \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_{2i} z_{2k-2i}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k+1}) + A \sigma_{2k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k+1}).$$

It remains to find the coefficient A . By Q -symmetry

$$z_{2k}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}) = z_{2k}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}, t, -t).$$

This leads to the identity

$$\begin{aligned} z_{2k}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}) &= - \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_{2i} z_{2k-2i}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}) + \\ &+ t^2 \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_{2i-2} z_{2k-2i}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}) - A t^2 \sigma_{2k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by induction assumption we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_{2i-2} z_{2k-2i}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}) &= \\ z_{2k-2}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}) + \sum_{i=2}^k \sigma_{2i-2} z_{2k-2i}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}) &= \sigma_{2k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $A = 1$. \square

Corollary 5.4. $YQ(1)$ -module $V(\mathbf{s})$ admits central character χ where

$$\chi(u) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{2i+1}(\mathbf{s}) u^{-2i-1}}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2i}(\mathbf{s}) u^{-2i}}.$$

Corollary 5.5. The elements $\{z_{2k} \mid k = 0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor\}$ and $\{\sigma_{2k} \mid k = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\}$ form an algebraically independent set of generators in the ring of symmetric polynomials in n variables.

Proposition 5.6. For any rational $\chi(u)$ there exist n and \mathbf{s} such that $V(\mathbf{s})$ admits central character χ .

Proof. It follows immediately from Corollary 5.4. Indeed, by Lemma 5.2

$$\chi(u) = \frac{a_1 u^{-1} + \dots + a_{q-1} u^{-2q+1}}{1 + c_1 u^{-2} + \dots + c_q u^{-2q}}.$$

Let $n = 2q$ and assume that $a_i = 0$ for $i \geq q$, $c_j = 0$ for $j > q$. One can choose $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ so that $\sigma_{2k}(s_1, \dots, s_n) = c_k$ and $\sigma_{2k+1}(s_1, \dots, s_n) = a_k$. \square

Corollary 5.7. Any simple finite-dimensional \mathbf{C} -module is either trivial or isomorphic to $V(\mathbf{s})$ or $\Pi V(\mathbf{s})$ for some typical regular \mathbf{s} .

Proof. Recall the notations of Section 4. Consider a homomorphism $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow C_{\mathbf{s}}$ defined as the composition

$$\mathbf{C} \hookrightarrow YQ(1) \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} W^n \xrightarrow{\theta_{\mathbf{s}}} C_{\mathbf{s}}.$$

This homomorphism is surjective if \mathbf{s} is typical regular, see Theorem 4.2. For any central character χ there exists one up to isomorphism and parity change simple \mathbf{C}_{χ} -module. By Proposition 5.6 it must be isomorphic to $V(\mathbf{s})$. \square

Remark 5.8. If $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ and $\mathbf{s}' = (s_1, \dots, s_n, s, -s)$ then $V(\mathbf{s})$ and $V(\mathbf{s}')$ admit the same central character. We can see it now from the formula

$$\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{2i+1}(\mathbf{s}') u^{-2i-1}}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2i}(\mathbf{s}') u^{-2i}} = \frac{(1 - s^2 u^{-2})(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{2i+1}(\mathbf{s}) u^{-2i-1})}{(1 - s^2 u^{-2})(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2i}(\mathbf{s}) u^{-2i})}.$$

Lemma 5.9. We have the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} [T_{1,1}^{(2k)}, \eta_i] &= [T_{1,1}^{(2k+2)}, \eta_{i-2}] - [T_{1,1}^{(2k)}, \eta_{i-1}] + 2T_{1,1}^{(2k)} \eta_{i-1}, \quad i \geq 2, \\ [T_{1,1}^{(2k)}, \eta_0] &= 2T_{1,-1}^{(2k)}, \quad [T_{1,1}^{(2k)}, \eta_1] = -2T_{1,-1}^{(2k+1)} - [T_{1,1}^{(2k)}, \eta_0] + 2T_{1,1}^{(2k)} \eta_0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Proof. Note that according to (6.4) and (6.5) from [17]

$$[T_{1,1}^{(k)}, T_{1,-1}^{(1)}] = (1 + (-1)^k) T_{1,-1}^{(k)}.$$

Hence $[T_{1,1}^{(2k)}, T_{1,-1}^{(1)}] = 2T_{1,-1}^{(2k)}$. Note also that

$$[T_{1,1}^{(2)}, T_{1,-1}^{(2k+1)}] = 2T_{1,-1}^{(2k+2)}, \quad (5.6)$$

$$[T_{1,1}^{(2)}, T_{1,-1}^{(2k)}] = 2T_{1,-1}^{(2k+1)} + 2T_{1,-1}^{(2k)} - 2T_{1,1}^{(2k)} T_{1,-1}^{(1)}. \quad (5.7)$$

Using (6.9) from [17] we have that $[T_{1,1}^{(2)}, T_{1,1}^{(2k)}] = 0$. Hence

$$[T_{1,1}^{(2k)}, \eta_i] = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^i \operatorname{ad}^i T_{1,1}^{(2)}([T_{1,1}^{(2k)}, T_{1,-1}^{(1)}]) = \frac{(-1)^i}{2^{i-1}} \operatorname{ad}^i T_{1,1}^{(2)}(T_{1,-1}^{(2k)}).$$

Next,

$$\operatorname{ad}^i T_{1,1}^{(2)}(T_{1,-1}^{(2k)}) = \operatorname{ad}^{i-1} T_{1,1}^{(2)}([T_{1,1}^{(2)}, T_{1,-1}^{(2k)}]) = \operatorname{ad}^{i-1} T_{1,1}^{(2)}(2T_{1,-1}^{(2k+1)} + 2T_{1,-1}^{(2k)} - 2T_{1,1}^{(2k)} T_{1,-1}^{(1)}).$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \operatorname{ad}^{i-1} T_{1,1}^{(2)}(T_{1,-1}^{(2k+1)}) &= 2 \operatorname{ad}^{i-2} T_{1,1}^{(2)}([T_{1,1}^{(2)}, T_{1,-1}^{(2k+1)}]) = \\ 4 \operatorname{ad}^{i-2} T_{1,1}^{(2)}(T_{1,-1}^{(2k+2)}) &= (-1)^i 2^{i-1} [T_{1,1}^{(2k+2)}, \eta_{i-2}], \\ 2 \operatorname{ad}^{i-1} T_{1,1}^{(2)}(T_{1,-1}^{(2k)}) &= (-2)^{i-1} [T_{1,1}^{(2k)}, \eta_{i-1}], \\ -2 \operatorname{ad}^{i-1} T_{1,1}^{(2)} T_{1,1}^{(2k)} T_{1,-1}^{(1)} &= -2T_{1,1}^{(2k)} \operatorname{ad}^{i-1} T_{1,1}^{(2)}(T_{1,-1}^{(1)}) = -2T_{1,1}^{(2k)}((-2)^{i-1} \eta_{i-1}) = (-2)^i T_{1,1}^{(2k)} \eta_{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$[T_{1,1}^{(2k)}, \eta_i] = \frac{1}{2^{i-1}} (2^{i-1} [T_{1,1}^{(2k+2)}, \eta_{i-2}] - 2^{i-1} [T_{1,1}^{(2k)}, \eta_{i-1}] + 2^i T_{1,1}^{(2k)} \eta_{i-1}),$$

which gives (5.5). \square

Corollary 5.10. Let \mathbf{A} be the commutative subalgebra in $YQ(1)$ generated by $T_{1,1}^{(2k)}$ for $k \geq 0$. Then $YQ(1) = \mathbf{CA} = \mathbf{AC}$.

Proof. We will show that $\mathbf{CA} \subset \mathbf{AC}$. (The proof of the opposite inclusion is similar.) Let D_i denote the span of η_j for $j < i$. By Lemma 5.9 for $i \geq 2$ we have that $\eta_i T_{1,1}^{(2k)} = T_{1,1}^{(2k)} \eta_i$ modulo $D_i \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} D_i$. Therefore, it suffices to show that $\eta_i \mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{AC}$ for $i = 0, 1$. Furthermore, the relations in the second line of (5.5) imply that it suffices to show that $T_{1,-1}^{(m)} \in \mathbf{CA} \cap \mathbf{AC}$. This can be done by induction on m . The case $m = 1$ is trivial as $\eta_0 = T_{1,-1}^{(m)}$. For the step of induction if m is even we employ (5.6) and if m is odd (5.7) and the relation

$$[T_{1,1}^{(2)}, \mathbf{C}] \subset \mathbf{C}.$$

Finally, since \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{C} generate $YQ(1)$ we get $YQ(1) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{C}$. \square

Recall that for any Hopf superalgebra R the ideal (R_1) generated by all odd elements is a Hopf ideal and the quotient $R/(R_1)$ is a Hopf algebra.

Lemma 5.11. *The quotient $YQ(1)/(YQ(1)_1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{A} \simeq \mathbb{C}[T_{1,1}^{(2k)}]_{k>0}$ with comultiplication*

$$\Delta T_{1,1}(u^{-2}) = T_{1,1}(u^{-2}) \otimes T_{1,1}(u^{-2}),$$

where $T_{1,1}(u^{-2}) = \sum T_{1,1}^{(2k)} u^{-2k}$.

Proof. Since all η_i generate $(YQ(1)_1)$, Lemma 5.1 implies $YQ(1) = \mathbf{A} + (YQ(1)_1)$. Therefore there exists a surjective homomorphism

$$\mathbf{A} \rightarrow YQ(1)/(YQ(1)_1).$$

To prove that it is injective we need to show that $\mathbf{A} \cap (YQ(1)_1) = \{0\}$. It suffices to check that for any $y \in \mathbf{A}$ there exists a one-dimensional $YQ(1)$ -module Γ such that $y\Gamma \neq 0$. Let $y = P(T_{1,1}^{(2)} \dots T_{1,1}^{(2k)})$ for some polynomial P and consider the module $\Gamma = S(\mathbf{t}, 0)$ as in Lemma 4.6. Then y acts on Γ by $P(\sigma_1(\mathbf{t}), \dots, \sigma_k(\mathbf{t}))$. By a suitable choice of \mathbf{t} we can get $P(\sigma_1(\mathbf{t}), \dots, \sigma_k(\mathbf{t})) \neq 0$. The comultiplication formula is straightforward as all $T_{1,1}^{(2k+1)} \in (YQ(1)_1)$. \square

Let $f(u) = 1 + \sum_{k>0} f_{2k} u^{-2k}$. We denote by Γ_f the one-dimensional \mathbf{A} -module, where the action of $T_{1,1}(u^{-2})$ is given by the generating function $f(u)$.

Lemma 5.12. *The isomorphism classes of one-dimensional $YQ(1)$ -modules are in bijection with the set $\{\Gamma_f\}$. Furthermore, we have the identity $\Gamma_f \otimes \Gamma_g \simeq \Gamma_{fg}$.*

Proof. Lemma 5.11 reduces the statement to classification of one-dimensional \mathbf{A} -modules which is straightforward. \square

Theorem 5.13. *Any simple finite-dimensional $YQ(1)$ -module is isomorphic to $V(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_f$ or $\Pi V(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_f$ for some regular typical \mathbf{s} and $f(u) = 1 + \sum_{k>0} f_{2k} u^{-2k}$. Furthermore, $V(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_f$ and $V(\mathbf{s}') \otimes \Gamma_g$ are isomorphic up to change of parity if and only if \mathbf{s}' is obtained from \mathbf{s} by permutation of coordinates and $f(u) = g(u)$.*

Proof. We start with regular typical \mathbf{s} and identify $V(\mathbf{s})$ with $V(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_1$. Let χ be the central character of $V(\mathbf{s})$ and consider only simple modules with central character χ . We denote by $YQ(1)^\chi$ the quotient of $YQ(1)$ by the ideal generated by $\text{Ker } \chi$. Note that $YQ(1)^\chi = \mathbf{C}_\chi \mathbf{A}$.

Note that the central characters of $V(\mathbf{s})$ and $V(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_f$ are the same and they are isomorphic as \mathbf{C}_χ -modules. For any finite-dimensional $YQ(1)$ -module M and $\theta(u) = 1 + \sum \theta_i u^{-2i}$ set

$$M^\theta = \bigcap_{k>0} \left(\bigcup_{m>0} \text{Ker}(T_{1,1}^{(2k)} - \theta_k)^m \right).$$

Clearly, we have an isomorphism of \mathbf{A} -modules

$$M \simeq \bigoplus_{\theta \in P(M)} M^\theta,$$

for some finite set $P(M)$. Furthermore, we have the following obvious relations

$$P(M \otimes \Gamma_f) = P(M)f, \quad (M \otimes \Gamma_f)^{\theta f} = M^\theta \otimes \Gamma_f. \quad (5.8)$$

This implies that $P(V(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_f) = P(V(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_g)$ if and only if $f = g$. Therefore we obtain the second assertion of the theorem.

Consider the natural homomorphism

$$F_\chi : YQ(1)^\chi \rightarrow \prod_f \text{End}_{\mathbf{C}}(V(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_f).$$

Lemma 5.14. *Let $J_\chi = \text{Ker} F_\chi$. Then*

- (1) $J_\chi = \mathbf{A}R_\chi = R_\chi \mathbf{A}$, where $R_\chi = \text{Ann}_{\mathbf{C}_\chi} V(\mathbf{s})$ is the Jacobson radical of \mathbf{C}_χ ;
- (2) J_χ acts by zero on any simple finite-dimensional $YQ(1)^\chi$ -module.

Proof. Let us prove (1). Note that $T_{1,1}^{(2k)}$ acts on $V(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_f$ as $\sum_{i=0}^k T_{1,1}^{(2i)} \otimes T_{1,1}^{(2k-2i)}$ and η_0 acts as $T_{1,-1}^{(1)} \otimes 1$. Therefore by (5.1) η_i acts as $\eta_i \otimes 1$ for all $i \geq 0$ and hence every $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}$ acts as $\zeta \otimes 1$. This implies $R_\chi \subset J_\chi$. Assume

$$X = \sum_{i=0}^k \zeta_i T_{1,1}^{(2i)} \in J_\chi, \quad \zeta_i \in \mathbf{C}_\chi.$$

Set $f = 1 + u^{-2k}$. Then since X annihilates both $V(\mathbf{s})$ and $V(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_f$ and X acts on the latter module as $X \otimes 1 + \zeta_k \otimes 1$ we obtain that $\zeta_k \in R_\chi$. Repeating this argument we obtain that all $\zeta_i \in R_\chi$. Thus, $J_\chi = R_\chi \mathbf{A}$. The equality $\mathbf{A}R_\chi = R_\chi \mathbf{A}$ follows from $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{C}_\chi = \mathbf{C}_\chi \mathbf{A}$ by symmetry.

To prove (2) note that $J_\chi = \mathbf{A}R_\chi$ annihilates the induced module $YQ(1)^\chi \otimes_{\mathbf{C}_\chi} V(\mathbf{s})$ and hence any its quotient. On the other hand, up to switch of parity, any simple finite-dimensional $YQ(1)^\chi$ -module is a quotient of this induced module. Hence the statement. \square

Lemma 5.15. *Let A be an associative subalgebra in the superalgebra $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ where all A_i are isomorphic to the matrix superalgebra $M(n|n)$. If M is a simple A -module then $\dim M \leq 2n$.*

Proof. We use the fact that A_0 satisfies the Amitsur-Levitzki identity

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{2n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(2n)} = 0, \quad (5.9)$$

for any $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in A_0$. Let M be a simple A -module. If $\operatorname{End}_A(M) = \mathbb{C}$ then $A \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ is surjective by the Jacobson density theorem. Let $\dim M > 2n$ then $\dim M_0 > n$ or $\dim M_1 > n$, hence one can find $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in A_0$ which do not satisfy (5.9). If $\operatorname{End}_A(M) = Q(1)$, then $\dim M_0 = \dim M_1$. The image $A \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ coincides with $Q(k) = \operatorname{End}_{Q(1)}(M)$ where $k = \dim M_0$ and the map $A_0 \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_0)$ is surjective. Assume $\dim M > 2n$, then one can find $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in A_0$ which do not satisfy (5.9). \square

Corollary 5.16. *Let M be a finite-dimensional simple $YQ(1)^{\chi}$ -module. Then M is isomorphic to $V(\mathbf{s})$ or $\Pi V(\mathbf{s})$ for a regular typical \mathbf{s} as a module over \mathbf{C}_{χ} .*

Proof. The algebra $YQ(1)^{\chi}/J_{\chi}$ is a subalgebra in the product of matrix algebras $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V(\mathbf{s}))$. Hence by Lemma 5.15 $\dim M \leq \dim V(\mathbf{s})$. Since R_{χ} annihilates M , the module M is isomorphic to a direct sum of several copies of $V(\mathbf{s})$ and $\Pi V(\mathbf{s})$ as a module over \mathbf{C}_{χ} . This implies the statement. \square

Remark 5.17. By Corollary 5.7, $\mathbf{C}_{\chi}/R_{\chi} \simeq C_{\mathbf{s}}$. Furthermore, $J_{\chi} \cap \mathbf{C}_{\chi} = R_{\chi}$.

Denote by $\mathbf{1}$ the function $\theta(u) = 1$ and assume that M is a simple finite-dimensional $YQ(1)^{\chi}$ -module such that $M_0^{\mathbf{1}} \neq 0$. Then M is a quotient of the induced module

$$I = (YQ(1)^{\chi}/J_{\chi}) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} \Gamma_{\mathbf{1}}.$$

Note that

$$\dim I \leq \dim(\mathbf{C}_{\chi}/R_{\chi})$$

but we will see later that the equality takes place.

Lemma 5.18. *Let M be a simple $YQ(1)^{\chi}$ -module such that $M_0^{\mathbf{1}} \neq 0$ and M remains simple after restriction to \mathbf{C}_{χ} . Then there exists a quotient U of I with all simple subquotients isomorphic to M and length equal to $\dim M_0^{\mathbf{1}}$.*

Proof. Let $U = M \otimes (M_0^{\mathbf{1}})^*$. It obviously has a filtration with all quotients isomorphic to M and hence it satisfies the desired property. It remains to construct a surjective map $I \rightarrow U$. By Frobenius reciprocity we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{YQ(1)}(I, U) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\Gamma_1, U) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\Gamma_1, M^1 \otimes (M_0^1)^*).$$

Consider the identity map in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\Gamma_1, M^1 \otimes (M_0^1)^*)$ and denote by γ the corresponding map in $\mathrm{Hom}_{YQ(1)}(I, U)$. Let us prove that γ is surjective. First, observe that any $y \in \mathbf{C}$ acts on $M \otimes (M_0^1)^*$ as $y \otimes 1$ by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.14. Choose a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ in M_0^1 and let $\{w_1, \dots, w_r\}$ be the corresponding dual basis in $(M_0^1)^*$. By construction $\sum v_i \otimes w_i \in \mathrm{Im} \gamma$. Since M is a simple \mathbf{C}_χ -module, by the Jacobson density theorem for every $i = 1, \dots, r$ there exists $y_i \in \mathbf{C}_\chi$ such that $y_i v_j = \delta_{i,j} v_1$. This implies $v_1 \otimes w_i \in \mathrm{Im} \gamma$ for all i and hence $M \otimes w_i \in \mathrm{Im} \gamma$ for all i . The surjectivity of γ follows immediately. \square

Now let us prove the first assertion of the theorem. Consider first the case $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ when n is even. Then $\dim V(\mathbf{s}) = 2^{n/2}$, $V(\mathbf{s})$ is not isomorphic to $\mathrm{IIV}(\mathbf{s})$ and $\dim(\mathbf{C}_\chi/R_\chi) = 2^n$. By Lemma 5.18 and (5.8) for every $\theta \in P(V(\mathbf{s}))$ we have

$$[I : V(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_{\theta-1}] \geq \dim V(\mathbf{s})_0^\theta, \quad [I : \mathrm{IIV}(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_{\theta-1}] \geq \dim V(\mathbf{s})_1^\theta.$$

On the other hand, $\dim I \leq \dim(\mathbf{C}_\chi/R_\chi)$. Hence any simple subquotient of I is isomorphic to $V(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_{\theta-1}$ or $\mathrm{IIV}(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_{\theta-1}$ and $\dim I = \dim(\mathbf{C}_\chi/R_\chi)$. Therefore every simple $YQ(1)^\chi$ -module M with $\mathbf{1} \in P(M)$ is isomorphic to $V(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_{\theta-1}$ or $\mathrm{IIV}(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_{\theta-1}$. If $f \in P(M)$ then M is isomorphic to $V(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_{f\theta-1}$ or $\mathrm{IIV}(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_{f\theta-1}$. This implies the statement.

Let us consider the case of odd n . Then $\dim V(\mathbf{s}) = 2^{(n+1)/2}$, $V(\mathbf{s})$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{IIV}(\mathbf{s})$ and $\dim(\mathbf{C}_\chi/R_\chi) = 2^n$. By Lemma 5.18 and (5.8) for every $\theta \in P(V(\mathbf{s}))$ we have

$$[I : V(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_{\theta-1}] \geq \dim V(\mathbf{s})_0^\theta = \dim V(\mathbf{s})_1^\theta.$$

By counting dimensions we again obtain that every simple subquotient of I is isomorphic to $V(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_{\theta-1}$. The end of the proof is the same as in the previous case. \square

Let us conclude by stating the relation between W^n -modules and $YQ(1)$ -modules.

Proposition 5.19. *The simple $YQ(1)$ -module $V(\mathbf{s}) \otimes \Gamma_f$ is lifted from some W^{m+n} -module if and only if $f \in \mathbf{C}[u^{-2}]$. Moreover, the smallest m is equal to the degree of the polynomial f .*

Remark 5.20. Note that $m = 2p$ is even. Then Theorem 4.7 and the diagram (3.11) imply $S(t_1, \dots, t_p, \lambda) \simeq V(\lambda) \otimes \Gamma_f$ where

$$f = \prod_{i=1}^p (1 + t_i u^{-2}).$$

Proof. Immediately follows from Theorem 4.7. \square

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#354874, Elena Poletaeva) and the NSF-BSF grant (2001131, Vera Serganova). We would like to thank V.G. Kac and M.L. Nazarov for useful comments.

References

- [1] J. Brown, J. Brundan, S. Goodwin, Principal W -algebras for $GL(m|n)$, *Algebra Number Theory* 7 (2013) 1849–1882.
- [2] J. Brundan, S. Goodwin, Whittaker coinvariants for $GL(m|n)$, *Adv. Math.* 347 (2019) 273–339.
- [3] K. Coulembier, I. Musson, The primitive spectrum for $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$, *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* 70 (2) (2018) 225–266.
- [4] S.-J. Cheng, W. Wang, Dualities and Representations of Lie Superalgebras, *Graduate Studies in Math.*, vol. 144, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [5] V.G. Kac, Lie superalgebras, *Adv. Math.* 26 (1977) 8–96.
- [6] V.G. Kac, Representations of classical Lie superalgebras, *Lect. Notes Math.* 676 (1978) 597–626.
- [7] S. Khoroshkin, M. Nazarov, Yangians and Mickelsson algebras I, *Transform. Groups* 11 (4) (2006) 625–658.
- [8] I. Losev, Finite W -algebras, in: *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, vol. III, Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2010, pp. 1281–1307, arXiv:1003.5811v1 [Math RT].
- [9] I. Losev, Quantized symplectic actions and W -algebras, *J. Am. Math. Soc.* 23 (2010) 35–59.
- [10] I. Losev, Finite-dimensional representations of W -algebras, *Duke Math. J.* 159 (2011) 99–143.
- [11] A. Molev, Yangians and Classical Lie Algebras, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, vol. 143, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.
- [12] E. Meinrenken, Clifford Algebras and Lie Theory, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge (A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics)*, vol. 58, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [13] M. Nazarov, Yangians of the “strange” Lie superalgebras, in: P.P. Kulish (Ed.), *Quantum Groups*, in: *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1510, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1992, pp. 90–97.
- [14] M. Nazarov, Yangian of the queer Lie superalgebra, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 208 (1999) 195–223.
- [15] M. Nazarov, A. Sergeev, Centralizer construction of the Yangian of the queer Lie superalgebra, in: *Studies in Lie Theory*, in: *Progr. Math.*, vol. 243, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006, pp. 417–441.
- [16] I. Penkov, Characters of typical irreducible finite-dimensional $q(n)$ -modules (in Russian), *Funkc. Anal. Prilozh.* 20 (1) (1986) 37–45, p. 96.
- [17] E. Poletaeva, V. Serganova, On Kostant’s theorem for the Lie superalgebra $Q(n)$, *Adv. Math.* 300 (2016) 320–359, arXiv:1403.3866v1 [Math RT].
- [18] E. Poletaeva, V. Serganova, On the finite W -algebra for the Lie superalgebra $Q(n)$ in the non-regular case, *J. Math. Phys.* 58 (11) (2017) 111701, arXiv:1705.10200v1 [Math RT].
- [19] A. Premet, Special transverse slices and their enveloping algebras, *Adv. Math.* 170 (2002) 1–55.
- [20] V. Serganova, Finite dimensional representations of algebraic supergroups, in: *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians-Seoul 2014*, vol. 1, Kyung Moon Sa, Seoul, 2014, pp. 603–632.
- [21] A. Sergeev, The centre of enveloping algebra for Lie superalgebra $Q(n, \mathbb{C})$, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 7 (1983) 177–179.
- [22] T.A. Springer, *Invariant Theory*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 585, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.