



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra



Some cohomologically rigid solvable Leibniz algebras[☆]

Luisa M. Camacho^{a,*}, Ivan Kaygorodov^b, Bakhrom Omirov^c,
Gulkhay Solijanova^d

^a Dpto. Matemática Aplicada I, Universidad de Sevilla, Avda. Reina Mercedes, s/n,
41012 Sevilla, Spain

^b CMCC, Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo André, Brazil

^c Institute of Mathematics of Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, 81, Mirzo Ulugbek
street, Tashkent, 100041, Uzbekistan

^d National University of Uzbekistan, 4, University street, Tashkent, 100174,
Uzbekistan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 December 2019

Available online 8 June 2020

Communicated by Alberto Elduque

MSC:

17A32

17A60

17B10

17B20

Keywords:

Lie algebra

Leibniz algebra

Nilpotent radical

Characteristic sequence

Solvable algebra

Derivation

ABSTRACT

In this paper we describe solvable Leibniz algebras whose quotient algebra by one-dimensional ideal is a Lie algebra with rank equal to the length of the characteristic sequence of its nilpotent radical. We prove that such Leibniz algebra is unique and centerless. Also it is proved that the first and the second cohomology groups of the algebra with coefficients in the adjoint representation is trivial.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier Inc.

[☆] The work was partially supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (Spain), grant MTM2016-79661-P (European FEDER support included, UE); RFBR 19-51-04002; FAPESP 18/12196-0, 18/12197-7, 18/15712-0.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: lcamacho@us.es (L.M. Camacho), kaygorodov.ivan@gmail.com (I. Kaygorodov), omirovb@mail.ru (B. Omirov), gulhayo.solijanova@mail.ru (G. Solijanova).

1. Introduction

Leibniz algebras are characterized as algebras whose right multiplication operators are derivations; it is a generalization of Lie algebra, while for a Leibniz algebra to be a Lie algebra it suffices to add the condition that the operators of right and left multiplications alternate. Leibniz algebras have been introduced by Loday in [23] as algebras satisfying the (right) Leibniz identity:

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] - [[x, z], y].$$

During the last decades the theory of Leibniz algebras has actively been studied. Some (co)homological and deformation properties; results on various types of decompositions; structure of solvable and nilpotent Leibniz algebras; classifications of some classes of graded nilpotent Leibniz algebras were obtained in numerous papers devoted to Leibniz algebras, see, for example, [4,7,8,11–13,15,16,18,20,22,24] and references therein.

In fact, many results on Lie algebras have been extended to Leibniz algebras. For instance, an analogue of Levi's theorem for the case of Leibniz algebras asserts that Leibniz algebra can be decomposed into a semidirect sum of its solvable radical and a semisimple Lie subalgebra [7]. Therefore, the description of finite-dimensional Leibniz algebras shifts to the study of solvable Leibniz algebras. Since the method of the reconstruction of solvable Lie algebras from their nilpotent radicals (see [25]) was extended to the Leibniz algebras [10], the main problem of the description of finite-dimensional Leibniz algebras consists of the study of nilpotent Leibniz algebras. Numerous works are devoted to the description of solvable Lie and Leibniz algebras with a given nilpotent radical (see [1,5,6,9,19,26] and references therein).

It is known that any Leibniz algebra law can be considered as a point of an affine algebraic variety defined by the polynomial equations coming from the Leibniz identity for a given basis. This way provides a description of the difficulties in classification problems referring to the classes of nilpotent and solvable Leibniz algebras. The orbits under the base change action of the general linear group correspond to the isomorphism classes of Leibniz algebras therefore, the classification problems (up to isomorphism) can be reduced to the classification of these orbits. An affine algebraic variety is a union of a finite number of irreducible components and the Zariski open orbits provide interesting classes of Leibniz algebras to be classified. The Leibniz algebras of this class are called rigid.

A very powerful tool in studying nilpotent algebras is the characteristic equation, which a priori gives the multiplication on one basis element. Recently, in the paper [2] it was considered a finite-dimensional solvable Lie algebra \mathfrak{r}_c whose nilpotent radical \mathfrak{n}_c has the simplest structure with a given characteristic sequence $c = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k, 1)$. Using

Hochschild – Serre factorization theorem the authors established that for the algebra \mathfrak{r}_c low order cohomology groups with coefficients in the adjoint representation are trivial.

In this paper, we study a family of nilpotent Leibniz algebras whose corresponding Lie algebra is \mathfrak{n}_c . Further, solvable Leibniz algebras with such nilpotent radicals and $(k+1)$ -dimensional complementary subspaces to the nilpotent radicals are described. Namely, we prove that such solvable Leibniz algebra is unique and centerless. For this Leibniz algebra the triviality of the first and the second cohomology groups with coefficients in the adjoint representation is established as well.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, all vector spaces and algebras considered are finite-dimensional over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} . Moreover, in the multiplication table of an algebra the omitted products are assumed to be zero.

In this section we give necessary definitions and results on solvable Leibniz algebras and its construction with a given nilpotent radical.

Definition 1. An algebra $(L, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is called a Leibniz algebra if it satisfies the property

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] - [[x, z], y] \text{ for all } x, y \in L,$$

which is called Leibniz identity.

The Leibniz identity is a generalization of the Jacobi identity since under the condition of anti-symmetry of the product “ $[\cdot, \cdot]$ ” this identity changes into the Jacobi identity. In fact, Leibniz algebras is characterized by the property that any right multiplication operator is a derivation.

For a Leibniz algebra L , a subspace generated by squares of its elements $\mathcal{J} = \text{span}\{[x, x] : x \in L\}$ is a two-sided ideal, and the quotient $\mathcal{G}_L = L/\mathcal{J}$ is a Lie algebra called the corresponding Lie algebra (sometimes also called by *liezation*) of L .

For a given Leibniz algebra L we can define the following two-sided ideals

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ann}_r(L) &= \{x \in L \mid [y, x] = 0, \text{ for all } y \in L\}, \\ \text{Center}(L) &= \{x \in L \mid [x, y] = [y, x] = 0, \text{ for all } y \in L\} \end{aligned}$$

called the *right annihilator* and the *center* of L , respectively.

Applying the Leibniz identity we obtain that for any two elements $x, y \in L$ of an algebra the elements $[x, x]$, $[x, y] + [y, x]$ are in $\text{Ann}_r(L)$.

The notion of a derivation for Leibniz algebras is defined in a usual way and the set of all derivations of L (denoted by $\text{Der}L$) forms a Lie algebra with respect to the commutator. Moreover, the operator of right multiplication by an element $x \in L$ (further denoted by \mathcal{R}_x) is a derivation, which is called *inner derivation*.

Definition 2. A Leibniz algebra L is called *complete* if $\text{Center}(L) = 0$ and all derivations of L are called an inner derivation.

For a Leibniz algebra L we define the *lower central* and the *derived series* as follows:

$$L^1 = L, \quad L^{k+1} = [L^k, L], \quad k \geq 1, \quad L^{[1]} = L, \quad L^{[s+1]} = [L^{[s]}, L^{[s]}], \quad s \geq 1,$$

respectively.

Definition 3. A Leibniz algebra L is called *nilpotent* (respectively, *solvable*), if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (resp. $m \in \mathbb{N}$) such that $L^n = 0$ (respectively, $L^{[m]} = 0$).

The maximal nilpotent ideal of a Leibniz algebra is called the *nilpotent radical* of the algebra.

Further we shall need the following result from [3]. It is a generalization of the similar result for Lie algebras.

Theorem 4. Let L be a finite-dimensional Leibniz algebra over a field of characteristic zero. Then L is solvable if and only if L^2 is nilpotent algebra.

An analogue of Mubarakzjanov's methods has been applied for solvable Leibniz algebras which shows the importance of the consideration of nilpotent Leibniz algebras and its nil-independent derivations [10].

Definition 5. Let d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n be derivations of a Leibniz algebra L . The derivations d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n are said to be nil-independent if $\alpha_1 d_1 + \alpha_2 d_2 + \dots + \alpha_n d_n$ is not nilpotent for any scalars $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$, which are not all zero.

In [21], it is proved the following theorem.

Theorem 6. Let $R = N \oplus Q$ be a solvable Lie algebra such that $\dim Q = \dim N/N^2 = k$. Then R admits a basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ such that the multiplication table in R has the following form:

$$\begin{cases} [e_i, e_j] = \sum_{t=k+1}^n \gamma_{i,j}^t e_t, & 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \\ [e_i, x_i] = e_i, & 1 \leq i \leq k, \\ [e_i, x_j] = \alpha_{i,j} e_i, & k+1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha_{i,j}$ is the number of entries of a generator basis element e_j involved in forming non generator basis element e_i .

For a nilpotent Leibniz algebra L and $x \in L \setminus L^2$ we consider the decreasing sequence $C(x) = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ with respect to the lexicographical order of the dimensions Jordan's blocks of the operator \mathcal{R}_x .

Definition 7. The sequence $C(L) = \max_{x \in L \setminus L^2} C(x)$ is called the *characteristic sequence* of the Leibniz algebra L .

In the paper [2] it is considered the cohomological properties (Chevalley cohomology) of a solvable Lie algebra whose nilpotent radical has a given characteristic sequence $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k, 1)$ and complementary subspace to nilpotent radical has dimension equal to $k + 1$.

For characteristic sequence $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k, 1)$ we consider the model nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{n}_c given by its non-zero brackets:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_i, e_1] &= -[e_1, e_i] = e_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n_1, \\ [e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}, e_1] &= -[e_1, e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}] = e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+1+i}, & 2 \leq i \leq n_{j+1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k-1. \end{aligned}$$

Due to Theorem 6 a solvable Lie algebra with nilpotent radical \mathfrak{n}_c and $(k + 1)$ -dimensional complementary subspace to \mathfrak{n}_c is unique. For our convenience we present its multiplication table in the following way:

$$\mathfrak{r}_c : \begin{cases} [e_i, e_1] = -[e_1, e_i] = e_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n_1, \\ [e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}, e_1] = -[e_1, e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}] = e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+1+i}, & 2 \leq i \leq n_{j+1}, \\ [e_1, x_1] = -[x_1, e_1] = e_1, \\ [e_i, x_1] = -[x_1, e_i] = (i-2)e_i, & 3 \leq i \leq n_1 + 1, \\ [e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}, x_1] = -[x_1, e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}] = (i-2)e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i} & 2 \leq i \leq n_{j+1}, \\ [e_i, x_2] = -[x_2, e_i] = e_i, & 2 \leq i \leq n_1 + 1, \\ [e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}, x_{j+2}] = -[x_{j+2}, e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}] = e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}, & 2 \leq i \leq n_{j+1}, \end{cases}$$

where $1 \leq j \leq k-1$.

Here we present the main result of the paper [2].

Theorem 8. For any characteristic sequence $(n_1, \dots, n_k, 1)$, the model nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{n}_c arises as the nilpotent radical of a solvable Lie algebra \mathfrak{r}_c such that

$$H^a(\mathfrak{r}_c, \mathfrak{r}_c) = 0, \quad 0 \leq a \leq 3.$$

2.1. Cohomology of Leibniz algebras

We call a vector space M a *module* over a Leibniz algebra L if there are two bilinear maps:

$$[-, -]: L \times M \rightarrow M \quad \text{and} \quad [-, -]: M \times L \rightarrow M$$

satisfying the following three axioms

$$\begin{aligned} [m, [x, y]] &= [[m, x], y] - [[m, y], x], \\ [x, [m, y]] &= [[x, m], y] - [[x, y], m], \\ [x, [y, m]] &= [[x, y], m] - [[x, m], y], \end{aligned}$$

for any $m \in M$, $x, y \in L$.

For a Leibniz algebra L and module M over L we consider the spaces

$$\mathrm{CL}^0(L, M) = M, \quad \mathrm{CL}^n(L, M) = \mathrm{Hom}(L^{\otimes n}, M), \quad n > 0.$$

Let $d^n: \mathrm{CL}^n(L, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{CL}^{n+1}(L, M)$ be an \mathbb{C} -homomorphism defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (d^n \varphi)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) &:= [x_1, \varphi(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})] + \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} (-1)^i [\varphi(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1}), x_i] \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (-1)^{j+1} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, [x_i, x_j], x_{i+1}, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi \in \mathrm{CL}^n(L, M)$ and $x_i \in L$. The property $d^{n+1} \circ d^n = 0$ leads that the derivative operator $d = \sum_{i \geq 0} d^i$ satisfies the property $d \circ d = 0$. Therefore, the n -th cohomology group is well defined by

$$\mathrm{HL}^n(L, M) := \mathrm{ZL}^n(L, M) / \mathrm{BL}^n(L, M),$$

where the elements $\mathrm{ZL}^n(L, M) := \mathrm{Ker} \, d^{n+1}$ and $\mathrm{BL}^n(L, M) := \mathrm{Im} \, d^n$ are called n -cocycles and n -coboundaries, respectively.

In the case of $n = 2$ we give explicit expressions for elements $\mathrm{ZL}^2(L, L)$ and $\mathrm{BL}^2(L, L)$. Namely, elements $\psi \in \mathrm{BL}^2(L, L)$ and $\varphi \in \mathrm{ZL}^2(L, L)$ are defined by:

$$\psi(x, y) = [d(x), y] + [x, d(y)] - d([x, y]) \text{ for some linear map } d \in \mathrm{Hom}(L, L), \quad (1)$$

$$[x, \varphi(y, z)] - [\varphi(x, y), z] + [\varphi(x, z), y] + \varphi(x, [y, z]) - \varphi([x, y], z) + \varphi([x, z], y) = 0. \quad (2)$$

In terms of cohomology groups the notion of completeness of a Leibniz algebra L means that it is centerless and $\mathrm{HL}^1(L, L) = 0$.

Definition 9. A Leibniz algebra L is called cohomologically rigid if $\mathrm{HL}^2(L, L) = 0$.

Remark 10. For a centerless Lie algebra G it is known that $\mathrm{H}^2(G, G) = \mathrm{HL}^2(G, G)$ (see Corollary 2 of [14]).

3. Main results

Let us consider the following family of nilpotent Leibniz algebras $L(\alpha_i, \beta_j)$ with $1 \leq i \leq k+1, 1 \leq j \leq k$ with a given the multiplication table:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n_1, \\ [e_1, e_i] = -e_{i+1}, & 3 \leq i \leq n_1, \\ [e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}, e_1] = e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+1+i}, & 2 \leq i \leq n_{j+1}, 1 \leq j \leq k-1, \\ [e_1, e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}] = -e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+1+i}, & 3 \leq i \leq n_{j+1}, 1 \leq j \leq k-1, \\ [e_1, e_1] = \alpha_1 h, \\ [e_2, e_2] = \alpha_2 h, \\ [e_{n_1+\dots+n_i+2}, e_{n_1+\dots+n_i+2}] = \alpha_{i+2} h, & 1 \leq i \leq k-1. \\ [e_1, e_2] = -e_3 + \beta_1 h, \\ [e_1, e_{n_1+\dots+n_i+2}] = -e_{n_1+\dots+n_i+3} + \beta_{i+1} h, & 1 \leq i \leq k-1, \end{array} \right.$$

where $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots n_k \geq 1$ and at least one of the parameters α_i, β_j is non-zero.

One can assume that $\alpha_1 \neq 0$. Indeed, if $\alpha_1 = 0$, then taking the following change of the basis

$$\begin{aligned} e'_1 &= A_1 e_1 + A_2 e_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i e_{n_1+\dots+n_i+2}, & e'_2 &= e_2, & e'_{i+1} &= [e'_i, e'_1], \\ 2 \leq i \leq n_1, & h' &= h, \\ e'_{n_1+\dots+n_j+2} &= e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+2}, & e'_{n_1+\dots+n_j+1+i} &= [e'_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}, e'_1], \\ 2 \leq i \leq n_{j+1}, & 1 \leq j \leq k-1, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$[e'_1, e'_1] = (A_2^2 \alpha_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i^2 \alpha_{i+2} + A_1 A_2 \beta_1 + A_1 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i^2 \alpha_{i+2} \beta_{i+1}) h'.$$

Taking into account that at least one of the parameters α_i, β_j is non-zero, we always can chose values A_1, A_2, B_i such that

$$A_2^2 \alpha_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i^2 \alpha_{i+2} + A_1 A_2 \beta_1 + A_1 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i^2 \alpha_{i+2} \beta_{i+1} \neq 0.$$

Therefore, we can conclude that parameter α_1 is non-zero. Now, scaling the basis element h we can assume that $\alpha_1 = 1$, i.e., $[e_1, e_1] = h$.

Thus, we consider the family of nilpotent Leibniz algebras $L(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ with $1 \leq i \leq k$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n_1, \\ [e_1, e_i] = -e_{i+1}, & 3 \leq i \leq n_1, \\ [e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}, e_1] = e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+1+i}, & 2 \leq i \leq n_{j+1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k-1, \\ [e_1, e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}] = -e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+1+i}, & 3 \leq i \leq n_{j+1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k-1, \\ [e_1, e_1] = h, \\ [e_2, e_2] = \alpha_1 h, \\ [e_{n_1+\dots+n_i+2}, e_{n_1+\dots+n_i+2}] = \alpha_{i+1} h, & 1 \leq i \leq k-1. \\ [e_1, e_2] = -e_3 + \beta_1 h, \\ [e_1, e_{n_1+\dots+n_i+2}] = -e_{n_1+\dots+n_i+3} + \beta_{i+1} h, & 1 \leq i \leq k-1, \end{array} \right.$$

where $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots n_k \geq 1$.

3.1. Particular case

In order to avoid routine calculations which involve many indexes we limit ourselves to the family $L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ with the following multiplication table:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n_1, \\ [e_1, e_i] = -e_{i+1}, & 3 \leq i \leq n_1, \\ [f_i, e_1] = f_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq n_2 - 1, \\ [e_1, f_i] = -f_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n_2 - 1, \\ [e_1, e_1] = h, & [e_2, e_2] = \alpha_2 h, & [f_1, f_1] = \alpha_3 h, \\ [e_1, e_2] = -e_3 + \beta_1 h, & [e_1, f_1] = -f_2 + \beta_2 h. \end{array} \right.$$

Proposition 11. Any derivation on the algebra $L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ has the following matrix form:

$$\mathbb{D} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where}$$

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1+1} \lambda_j E_{1,j} + \sum_{i=2}^{n_1+1} ((i-2)\lambda_1 + \gamma_2) E_{i,i} + \sum_{i=2}^{n_1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n_1+1} \gamma_{j-i+2} E_{i,j},$$

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n_1+1} \theta_{j-i+1} E_{i,j},$$

$$B = \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \mu_j E_{1,j} + c_1 e_{1,n_2+1} + c_2 E_{2,n_2+1} + (\lambda_2 \alpha_1) E_{3,n_2+1} + \sum_{i=2}^{n_2} \sum_{j=i-1}^{n_2} \delta_{j-i+2} E_{i,j},$$

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} ((i-1)\lambda_1 + \nu_1)E_{i,i} + c_3E_{1,n_2+1} + \sum_{i=2}^{n_2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n_2} \nu_{j-i+1}E_{i,j} + (\mu_1\alpha_2)E_{2,n_2+1} \\ + mE_{n_2+1,n_2+1}$$

$m = (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2\beta_1 + \mu_1\beta_2)$, $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n_1+1,n_1+1}$, $B \in \mathcal{M}_{n_1+1,n_2+1}$, $C \in \mathcal{M}_{n_2+1,n_1+1}$, $D \in \mathcal{M}_{n_2+1,n_2+1}$ and matrix units $E_{i,j}$ and with the restrictions:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1\theta_2 + \alpha_2\delta_1 = 0, \\ -2\lambda_2\alpha_1 + \lambda_1\beta_1 + \lambda_2\beta_1^2 + \mu_1\beta_1\beta_2 - \gamma_2\beta_1 - \delta_1\beta_2 = 0, \\ -2\mu_1\alpha_2 + \lambda_1\beta_2 + \lambda_2\beta_1\beta_2 + \mu_1\beta_2^2 - \theta_2\beta_1 - \nu_1\beta_2 = 0, \\ \alpha_1(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2\beta_1 + \mu_1\beta_2 - 2\gamma_2) = 0, \\ \alpha_2(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2\beta_1 + \mu_1\beta_2 - 2\nu_1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$ is the set of all $m \times n$ matrices. Moreover, if $n_1 > n_2$, then $\theta_j = 0$ with $2 \leq j \leq n_1 - n_2 + 1$.

Proof. The proof is carried out by straightforward computation the derivation property and using the table of multiplications of the algebras $L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$. \square

Lemma 12. Let d be a derivation on the algebra $L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$. Then we have that coefficient $d(h)|_h$ is $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$, where $\epsilon_k \in \{\nu_1, \lambda_1, \gamma_2\}$.

Proof. Let us consider the following cases:

- (1) $\alpha_2 \neq 0$. In this case, by applying the derivation conditions we have $2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2\beta_1 + \mu_1\beta_2 - 2\nu_1 = 0$ then $d(h) = 2\nu_1h$.
- (2) $\alpha_2 = 0$ and $\alpha_1 \neq 0$. Similar to the above case we have $d(h) = 2\gamma_2h$.
- (3) $\alpha_2 = 0$ and $\alpha_1 = 0$. We consider the following:
 - (a) $\beta_2 \neq 0$. Making the following change of basis: $e'_1 = e_1$, $e'_i = \beta_2e_i - \beta_1f_{i-1}$, $2 \leq i \leq n_2+1$, $e_i = \beta_2e_i$, $n_2+2 \leq i \leq n_1+1$ and $f'_i = f_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n_2$, we can suppose $\beta'_1 = 0$ and by restrictions we have that $\mu_1\beta_2 = \nu_1 - \lambda_1$. Hence, $d(h) = (\lambda_1 + \nu_1)h$.
 - (b) $\beta_2 = \beta_1 = 0$. Then $d(h) = 2\lambda_1h$.
 - (c) $\beta_2 = 0$, $\beta_1 \neq 0$. By restrictions we have that $\lambda_2\beta_1 = \gamma_2 - \lambda_1$. Therefore, $d(h) = (\lambda_1 + \gamma_2)h$. \square

Lemma 13. The number of nil-independent derivations of the algebra $L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ is equal to 4.

Proof. We are going to prove that the matrix \mathbb{D} is a nilpotent matrix if and only if $\lambda_1 = \gamma_2 = \nu_1 = \delta_1\theta_2 = 0$. By Lemma 12, we have that $d(h) = (a_1\lambda_1 + a_2\gamma_2 + a_3\nu_1)h$.

According Proposition 11 we have

$$\mathbb{D} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 + A_2 & B \\ C & D_1 + D_2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where}$$

$$A_1 = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \gamma_2, \lambda_1 + \gamma_2, \dots, (n_1 - 1)\lambda_1 + \gamma_2\},$$

$$D_1 = \text{diag}\{\nu_1, \lambda_1 + \nu_1, 2\lambda_1 + \nu_1, \dots, (n_2 - 1)\lambda_1 + \nu_1, a_1\lambda_1 + a_2\gamma_2 + a_3\nu_1\}$$

are diagonal matrices, while A_2, D_2, C are strictly upper triangular matrices and the matrix B is upper triangular matrix with non-zero diagonal under the main diagonal.

Note that matrices $A_1A_2, A_2^2, D_1D_2, D_1^2$ are nilpotent, the matrices $C(A_1 + A_2)$ and $(D_1 + D_2)C$ have the same type pattern as C (that is if any entry of C is 0, the entry of $C(A_1 + A_2)$ and the entry of $(D_1 + D_2)C$ at the same position is zero, as well). Likewise, the matrix $(A_1 + A_2)B$ and $B(D_1 + D_2)$ has the same pattern as B .

It is easy to see that $BC = K_1 + K_2$ with diagonal matrix

$$K_1 = \text{diag}\{\underbrace{0, \delta_1\theta_2, \delta_1\theta_2, \dots, \delta_1\theta_2}_{n_2}, 0, \dots, 0\}$$

and strictly upper triangular matrix K_2 . Similarly, $CB = Z_1 + Z_2$ with diagonal matrix $Z_1 = \text{diag}\{\delta_1\theta_2, \delta_1\theta_2, \dots, \delta_1\theta_2, 0\}$ and strictly upper triangular matrix Z_2 .

According to the above arguments we have the following formula:

$$\mathbb{D}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}_1 + \tilde{A}_2 & \tilde{B} \\ \tilde{C} & \tilde{D}_1 + \tilde{D}_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where \tilde{A}_2, \tilde{D}_2 — nilpotent matrices and the matrices \tilde{B} and \tilde{C} are the same type as B and C , respectively and \tilde{A}_1 and \tilde{D}_1 are the following diagonal matrices:

$$\tilde{A}_1 = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1^2, \gamma_2^2 + \delta_1\theta_2, (\lambda_1 + \gamma_2)^2 + \delta_1\theta_2, \dots, ((n_2 - 2)\lambda_1 + \gamma_2)^2 + \delta_1\theta_2, \\ ((n_2 - 1)\lambda_1 + \gamma_2)^2, \dots, ((n_1 - 1)\lambda_1 + \gamma_2)^2\},$$

$$\tilde{D}_1 = \text{diag}\{\nu_1^2 + \delta_1\theta_2, (\lambda_1 + \nu_1)^2 + \delta_1\theta_2, (2\lambda_1 + \nu_1)^2 + \delta_1\theta_2, \dots, \\ \dots, ((n_2 - 1)\lambda_1 + \nu_1)^2 + \delta_1\theta_2, (a_1\lambda_1 + a_2\gamma_2 + a_3\nu_1)^2\}.$$

To continue iteration we conclude that in the main diagonal of the matrix \mathbb{D}^k will be equal to zero if and only if $\lambda_1 = \gamma_2 = \nu_1 = \delta_1\theta_2 = 0$. Thus, the nilpotency of the matrix \mathbb{D} implies $\lambda_1 = \gamma_2 = \nu_1 = \delta_1\theta_2 = 0$.

Let us assume now that $\lambda_1 = \gamma_2 = \nu_1 = \delta_1\theta_2 = 0$. Then we obtain that matrices $\tilde{A}_1 + \tilde{A}_2, \tilde{D}_1 + \tilde{D}_2, \tilde{C}$ are strictly upper triangular and the matrix \tilde{B} is upper triangular. Therefore, the matrix \mathbb{D}^2 is nilpotent and hence, \mathbb{D} is nilpotent. \square

Let R be a solvable Leibniz algebra whose nilpotent radical is the algebras from $L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$. We denote by Q the complementary subspace to a nilpotent radical of

R. Due to work [10] we have that dimension of Q is bounded by number of nil-independent derivations of $L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$.

Let us introduce the notations

$$d_1 \in \mathfrak{Der}L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2) \text{ with } \lambda_1 \neq 0, \gamma_2 = \nu_1 = \delta_1\theta_2 = 0,$$

$$d_2 \in \mathfrak{Der}L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2) \text{ with } \gamma_2 \neq 0, \lambda_1 = \nu_1 = \delta_1\theta_2 = 0,$$

$$d_3 \in \mathfrak{Der}L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2) \text{ with } \nu_1 \neq 0, \lambda_1 = \gamma_2 = \delta_1\theta_2 = 0,$$

$$d_4 \in \mathfrak{Der}L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2) \text{ with } \delta_1\theta_2 \neq 0, \lambda_1 = \gamma_2 = \nu_1 = 0.$$

Proposition 14. $\dim Q \leq 3$.

Proof. Due to Lemma 13 we have that the number of nil-independent derivations of $L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ is equal to 4 and they are dependent on parameters $\lambda_1, \gamma_2, \nu_1, \theta_2, \delta_1$. Let us assume that $\dim Q = 4$, that is, $Q = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$. Then

$$\mathcal{R}_{x_i|L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)} = d_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4.$$

Rescaling the basis elements x_i , $1 \leq i \leq 4$ one can assume that $\lambda_1 = 1$ in d_1 , $\gamma_2 = 1$ in d_2 , $\nu_1 = 1$ in d_3 , respectively.

Let us assume that $\theta_2 \neq 0$ (recall that this case is impossible when $n_1 > n_2$). Thanks to Theorem 4 we have $R^2 \subseteq L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$. Applying this embedding in the following equalities:

$$(*)f_2 = [f_1, [x_2, x_4]] = [[f_1, x_2], x_4] - [[f_1, x_4], x_2] = -e_2 + L(\alpha_i, \beta_j)^2$$

we get a contradiction with the assumption that $\theta_2 \neq 0$. Thus, we obtain $\dim Q \leq 3$. \square

The following theorem describes solvable Leibniz algebras with nilpotent radical $L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ and maximal possible dimension of Q .

Theorem 15. *Solvable Leibniz algebra with nilpotent radical $L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ and three-dimensional complementary subspace is isomorphic to the algebra:*

$$R: \begin{cases} [e_1, e_1] = h, & [e_i, e_1] = -[e_1, e_i] = e_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n_1, \\ [h, x_1] = 2h, & [f_i, e_1] = -[e_1, f_i] = f_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq n_2 - 1, \\ [e_1, x_1] = -[x_1, e_1] = e_1, & [e_i, x_1] = -[x_1, e_i] = (i-2)e_i, & 3 \leq i \leq n_1 + 1, \\ [f_i, x_1] = -[x_1, f_i] = (i-1)f_i, & 2 \leq i \leq n_2, \\ [e_i, x_2] = -[x_2, e_i] = e_i, & 2 \leq i \leq n_1 + 1, \\ [f_i, x_3] = -[x_3, f_i] = f_i, & 1 \leq i \leq n_2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $R = L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2) \oplus Q$ with $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n_1+1}, f_1, \dots, f_{n_2}, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ such that

$$\mathcal{R}_{x_i|L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)} = d_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Due to Proposition 11 we have the products $[L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2), x_j], 1 \leq j \leq 3$.

From the multiplication table of the algebra $L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ we derive that

$$e_i \notin \text{Ann}_r(R) \quad \text{with } 1 \leq i \leq n_1, \quad f_j \notin \text{Ann}_r(R) \quad \text{with } 1 \leq j \leq n_2 - 1.$$

Taking into account that for any $x, y \in R$ we have $[x, y] + [y, x] \in \text{Ann}_r(R)$ we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, e_i] + [e_i, x_1] &= (*)e_{n_1+1} + (*)f_{n_2} + (*)h, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n_1, \\ [x_1, f_i] + [f_i, x_1] &= (*)e_{n_1+1} + (*)f_{n_2} + (*)h, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n_2 - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, e_{n_1+1}] &= [x_1, [e_{n_1}, e_1]] = [[x_1, e_{n_1}], e_1] - [[x_1, e_1], e_{n_1}] = \\ &= [-[e_{n_1}, x_1], e_1] - [-[e_1, x_1], e_{n_1}] = -(n_1 - 1)e_{n_1+1}, \\ [x_1, f_{n_2}] &= [x_1, [f_{n_2-1}, e_1]] = [[x_1, f_{n_2-1}], e_1] - [[x_1, e_1], f_{n_2-1}] = \\ &= [-[f_{n_2-1}, x_1], e_1] - [-[e_1, x_1], f_{n_2-1}] = \\ &= - \sum_{k=n_2+2}^{n_1+1} \theta_{k-n_2+1,1} e_k - (n_2 - 1)f_{n_2}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $e_{n_1+1}, f_{n_2} \notin \text{Ann}_r(R)$

We claim that $\text{span}\langle e_i, f_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n_1 + 1, 1 \leq j \leq n_2 \rangle \cap \text{Ann}_r(R) = \{0\}$. Indeed, let

$$z = a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + \dots + a_{n_1+1} e_{n_1+1} + b_1 f_1 + \dots + b_{n_2} f_{n_2} + c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + c_3 x_3 \in \text{Ann}_r(R).$$

Then considering the products

$$0 = [x_1, z] = [x_2, z] = [x_3, z] = [e_1, z] = [e_2, z] = [f_1, z]$$

we derive $z = 0$.

Thus, we obtain $\text{Ann}_r(R) = \langle h \rangle$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [x_j, e_i] &= -[e_i, x_j] + (*)h, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n_1 + 1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3, \\ [x_j, f_i] &= -[f_i, x_j] + (*)h, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n_2, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3, \\ [x_i, x_j] &= -[x_j, x_i] + (*)h, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 3. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the quotient algebra $R/\text{Ann}_r(R)$ is a particular case of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{r}_c . Namely, the quotient Lie algebra has nilpotent radical \mathfrak{n}_c with characteristic sequence $(n_1, n_2, 1)$ and its multiplication table has the following form:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [e_i, e_1] = -[e_1, e_i] = e_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n_1, \\ [f_i, e_1] = -[e_1, f_i] = f_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq n_2 - 1, \\ [e_1, x_1] = -[x_1, e_1] = e_1, \\ [e_i, x_1] = -[x_1, e_i] = (i-2)e_i, & 3 \leq i \leq n_1 + 1, \\ [f_i, x_1] = -[x_1, f_i] = (i-1)f_i, & 2 \leq i \leq n_2, \\ [e_i, x_2] = -[x_2, e_i] = e_i, & 2 \leq i \leq n_1 + 1, \\ [f_i, x_3] = -[x_3, f_i] = f_i, & 1 \leq i \leq n_2. \end{array} \right.$$

If we now rise up to the initial algebra R , then we get the following multiplication table (we omit the bracket of the family $L(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$):

$$\begin{array}{ll} [e_1, x_j] = \delta_{1j}e_1 + a_jh, & [x_j, e_1] = -\delta_{1j}e_1 + \tilde{a}_jh, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3 \\ [e_2, x_j] = \delta_{2j}e_2 + b_jh, & [x_j, e_2] = -\delta_{2j}e_2 + \tilde{b}_jh, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3 \\ [e_3, x_j] = (1 - \delta_{3,j})e_3 + c_jh, & 1 \leq j \leq 3 \\ [e_i, x_1] = (i-2)e_i, & 4 \leq i \leq n_1 + 1, \\ [e_i, x_2] = e_i, & 4 \leq i \leq n_1 + 1, \\ [f_1, x_j] = \lambda f_1 + d_jh, & [x_j, f_1] = -\lambda f_1 + \tilde{d}_1h, \\ [f_2, x_j] = (1 - \delta_{2j})f_2 + g_jh, & \\ [f_i, x_1] = (i-1)f_i, & 3 \leq i \leq n_2, \\ [f_i, x_3] = f_i, & 3 \leq i \leq n_2, \\ [h, x_j] = m_jh, & 1 \leq j \leq 3 \\ [x_i, x_j] = \varphi_{i,j}h, & 1 \leq i, j \leq 3, \end{array}$$

with δ_{ij} the Kronecker symbol, $\lambda = 0$ if $j = 1, 2$ and $\lambda = 1$ if $j = 3$.

The Leibniz identity on the following triples imposes further constraints on the above family.

Leibniz identity	Constraint	Leibniz identity	Constraint
$\{e_1, e_1, x_1\},$	$\Rightarrow m_1 = 2,$	$\{e_1, e_2, x_1\},$	$\Rightarrow \beta_1 = 0,$
$\{e_1, e_1, x_i\}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq 3$	$\Rightarrow m_i = 0,$	$\{e_1, f_1, x_1\},$	$\Rightarrow \beta_2 = 0,$
$\{e_2, e_2, x_1\},$	$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 = 0,$	$\{e_2, e_1, x_i\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3$	$\Rightarrow c_i = 0,$
$\{f_1, f_1, x_1\},$	$\Rightarrow \alpha_2 = 0,$	$\{f_1, e_1, x_i\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3$	$\Rightarrow g_i = 0.$

At that time, the following change of basis

$$e'_1 = e_1 - a_1h, \quad e'_2 = e_2 - \frac{b_1}{2}h, \quad f'_1 = f_1 - \frac{d_1}{2}h, \quad x'_i = x_i - \frac{\varphi_{i,1}}{2}h, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3$$

allows to assume that $a_1 = b_1 = d_1 = \varphi_{i,1} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$.

We again apply the Leibniz identity and we have the following:

Leibniz identity	Constraint
$\{x_i, e_1, x_1\}, 1 \leq i \leq 3$	$\Rightarrow \tilde{a}_i = 0, 1 \leq i \leq 3,$
$\{x_i, e_2, x_1\}, 1 \leq i \leq 3$	$\Rightarrow \tilde{b}_i = 0, 1 \leq i \leq 3,$
$\{f_1, x_i, x_1\}, 2 \leq i \leq 3$	$\Rightarrow d_i = 0, 2 \leq i \leq 3,$
$\{x_i, f_1, x_1\}, 2 \leq i \leq 3$	$\Rightarrow \tilde{d}_i = 0, 1 \leq i \leq 3,$
$\{e_1, x_i, x_1\}, 2 \leq i \leq 3$	$\Rightarrow a_i = 0, 2 \leq i \leq 3,$
$\{e_2, x_i, x_1\}, 2 \leq i \leq 3$	$\Rightarrow b_i = 0, 2 \leq i \leq 3,$
$\{x_i, x_j, x_1\}, 1 \leq i \leq 3, 2 \leq j \leq 3$	$\Rightarrow \varphi_{i,j} = 0, 1 \leq i \leq 3, 2 \leq j \leq 3.$

Finally, if we consider the equalities $[x_j, e_i] = [x_j, [e_{i-1}, e_1]]$ with $3 \leq i \leq n_1 + 1$ and $[x_j, f_i] = [x_j, [f_{i-1}, e_1]]$ with $2 \leq i \leq n_2, 1 \leq j \leq 3$ we obtain the multiplication table of the algebra given in Theorem 15. \square

The next result establishes the completeness of the algebra R .

Theorem 16. *The solvable Leibniz algebra R is complete.*

Proof. Centerless of the algebra R follows immediately from the multiplication table in Theorem 15. Note that $\langle h \rangle$ forms an ideal of R .

The quotient algebra $R/\langle h \rangle$ is the algebra \mathfrak{r}_c , which is complete due to Theorem 8. Applying this result in the following equalities by modulo of an ideal $\langle h \rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(e_1) &= d([e_1, x_1]) = [d(e_1), x_1] + [e_1, d(x_1)] \not\equiv 0 \Rightarrow d(e_1) \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\alpha e_1}(e_1) = \alpha h, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \\
 0 &= d([e_2, x_1]) = [d(e_2), x_1] + [e_2, d(x_1)] \equiv [d(e_2), x_1] \Rightarrow d(e_2) \equiv 0, \\
 d(e_{i+1}) &= d([e_i, e_1]) = [d(e_i), e_1] + [e_i, d(e_1)] \equiv 0 \Rightarrow d(e_{i+1}) \equiv 0, 2 \leq i \leq n_1, \\
 0 &= d([f_1, x_1]) = [d(f_1), x_1] + [f_1, d(x_1)] \equiv [d(f_1), x_1] \Rightarrow d(f_1) \equiv 0, \\
 d(f_{i+1}) &= d([f_i, e_1]) = [d(f_i), e_1] + [f_i, d(e_1)] \equiv 0 \Rightarrow d(f_{i+1}) \equiv 0, 1 \leq i \leq n_2 - 1, \\
 0 &= d([x_i, x_1]) = [d(x_i), x_1] + [x_i, d(x_1)] \equiv [d(x_i), x_1] \Rightarrow d(x_i) \equiv 0, 1 \leq i \leq 3,
 \end{aligned}$$

and in the chain of equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 2[d(e_1), e_1] + 2[e_1, d(e_1)] &= 2d([e_1, e_1]) = 2d(h) = d([h, x_1]) = [d(h), x_1] + [h, d(x_1)] \\
 &\Rightarrow d(h) = \mathcal{R}_{\beta x_1}(h) = 2\beta h, \beta \in \mathbb{C}
 \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that any derivation on R is inner. \square

Now we prove the triviality of the second group of cohomology for the algebra R with coefficients in the adjoint representation (that is $\text{HL}^2(R, R) = 0$). Since $J := \langle h \rangle$ is an ideal of R and quotient algebra R/J is the Lie algebra \mathfrak{r}_c , we get a decomposition $R = \mathfrak{r}_c \oplus J$ as the direct sum of the vector spaces (here we identify the space of the

quotient space \mathfrak{r}_c and its preimage under the natural homomorphism). Hence, for any $x, y \in R$ and $\varphi(x, y) \in \text{ZL}^2(R, R)$ one has

$$[x, y] = [x, y]_{\mathfrak{r}_c} + [x, y]_J, \quad \varphi(x, y) = \varphi(x, y)_{\mathfrak{r}_c} + \varphi(x, y)_J,$$

with $[x, y]_{\mathfrak{r}_c} \in \mathfrak{r}_c$, $[x, y]_J \in J$ and $\varphi(x, y)_{\mathfrak{r}_c} \in \mathfrak{r}_c$, $\varphi(x, y)_J \in J$.

For arbitrary elements $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{r}_c$ and $\varphi \in \text{ZL}^2(R, R)$ using (2) we consider the chain of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [x, \varphi(y, z)] - [\varphi(x, y), z] + [\varphi(x, z), y] + \varphi(x, [y, z]) - \varphi([x, y], z) + \varphi([x, z], y) = \\ &= [x, \varphi(y, z)_{\mathfrak{r}_c}]_{\mathfrak{r}_c} - [\varphi(x, y)_{\mathfrak{r}_c}, z]_{\mathfrak{r}_c} + [\varphi(x, z)_{\mathfrak{r}_c}, y]_{\mathfrak{r}_c} + \varphi(x, [y, z]_{\mathfrak{r}_c})_{\mathfrak{r}_c} - \varphi([x, y]_{\mathfrak{r}_c}, z)_{\mathfrak{r}_c} + \\ &+ \varphi([x, z]_{\mathfrak{r}_c}, y)_{\mathfrak{r}_c} + [x, \varphi(y, z)_J]_J + [x, \varphi(y, z)_J]_J - [\varphi(x, y)_{\mathfrak{r}_c}, z]_J - [\varphi(x, y)_J, z]_J + \\ &+ [\varphi(x, z)_{\mathfrak{r}_c}, y]_J + [\varphi(x, z)_J, y]_J + \varphi(x, [y, z]_{\mathfrak{r}_c})_J + \varphi(x, [y, z]_J)_{\mathfrak{r}_c} + \varphi(x, [y, z]_J)_J - \\ &- \varphi([x, y]_{\mathfrak{r}_c}, z)_J - \varphi([x, y]_J, z)_{\mathfrak{r}_c} - \varphi([x, y]_J, z)_J + \varphi([x, z]_{\mathfrak{r}_c}, y)_J + \varphi([x, z]_J, y)_{\mathfrak{r}_c} + \\ &+ \varphi([x, z]_J, y)_J. \end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain

$$\begin{cases} [x, \varphi(y, z)_{\mathfrak{r}_c}]_{\mathfrak{r}_c} - [\varphi(x, y)_{\mathfrak{r}_c}, z]_{\mathfrak{r}_c} + [\varphi(x, z)_{\mathfrak{r}_c}, y]_{\mathfrak{r}_c} + \\ \varphi(x, [y, z]_{\mathfrak{r}_c})_{\mathfrak{r}_c} - \varphi([x, y]_{\mathfrak{r}_c}, z)_{\mathfrak{r}_c} + \varphi([x, z]_{\mathfrak{r}_c}, y)_{\mathfrak{r}_c} + \\ \varphi(x, [y, z]_J)_{\mathfrak{r}_c} - \varphi([x, y]_J, z)_{\mathfrak{r}_c} + \varphi([x, z]_J, y)_{\mathfrak{r}_c} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{cases} [\varphi(x, z)_{\mathfrak{r}_c}, y]_J + [x, \varphi(y, z)_J]_J + [x, \varphi(y, z)_{\mathfrak{r}_c}]_J + [\varphi(x, z)_J, y]_J + \\ \varphi(x, [y, z]_{\mathfrak{r}_c})_J - [\varphi(x, y)_{\mathfrak{r}_c}, z]_J - [\varphi(x, y)_J, z]_J + \varphi(x, [y, z]_J)_J - \\ \varphi([x, y]_{\mathfrak{r}_c}, z)_J - \varphi([x, y]_J, z)_J + \varphi([x, z]_{\mathfrak{r}_c}, y)_J + \varphi([x, z]_J, y)_J = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Note that the first six terms of the equality (3) define a Leibniz 2-cocycle for the quotient Lie algebra \mathfrak{r}_c . Therefore, Leibniz 2-cocycles of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{r}_c with its trivial extensions on domains $J \otimes R, R \otimes J, J \otimes J$ are included into $\text{ZL}^2(R, R)$ (the same is true for 2-coboundaries of the algebra \mathfrak{r}_c). Moreover, the last three terms in (3) appear only for the triples $\{e_1, e_1, a\}$, $\{e_1, a, e_1\}$, $\{a, e_1, e_1\}$ with $a \in \mathfrak{r}_c$.

Proposition 17. *The following 2-cochains together with a basis of $\text{ZL}^2(\mathfrak{r}_c, \mathfrak{r}_c)$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(e_1, e_1) &= h, & \varphi_2(x_1, x_1) &= h, & \varphi_3(x_3, x_1) &= h, & \varphi_4(x_2, x_1) &= h, \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi_5(x_1, e_1) = h, \\ \varphi_5(e_1, x_1) = h, \end{array} \right. & & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi_6(e_2, e_1) = -\varphi_6(e_1, e_2) = h, \\ \varphi_6(e_3, x_1) = \varphi_6(e_3, x_2) = -h, \end{array} \right. \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi_7(f_1, x_1) = -2h, \\ \varphi_7(f_1, x_3) = -\varphi_7(x_3, f_1) = h, \end{array} \right. & & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi_8(x_2, e_2) = -\varphi_8(e_2, x_2) = h, \\ \varphi_8(e_2, x_1) = 2h, \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{cases}
\varphi_9(e_1, e_1) = \frac{1}{2}x_3, \\
\varphi_9(h, x_1) = x_3, \\
\varphi_9(h, f_i) = -\varphi_9(f_i, h) = \frac{1}{2}f_i, \\
1 \leq i \leq n_2, \\
\varphi_{11}(h, h) = -h, \\
\varphi_{11}(h, x_1) = 2\varphi_{11}(e_1, e_1) = x_1, \\
\varphi_{11}(h, e_1) = -\varphi_{11}(e_1, h) = \frac{1}{2}e_1, \\
\varphi_{11}(h, e_i) = -\varphi_{11}(e_i, h) = \frac{i-2}{2}e_i, \\
\varphi_{11}(h, f_j) = -\varphi_{11}(f_j, h) = \frac{i-1}{2}f_j, \\
3 \leq i \leq n_1 + 1, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n_2, \\
\varphi_j^{13}(e_1, e_1) = e_j, \\
\varphi_j^{13}(e_1, h) = -\varphi_j^{13}(h, e_1) = e_{j+1}, \\
\varphi_j^{13}(x_1, h) = (j-2)e_j, \\
\varphi_j^{13}(h, x_1) = -(j-4)e_j, \\
\varphi_j^{13}(x_2, h) = -\varphi_j^{13}(h, x_2) = e_j, \\
2 \leq j \leq n_1 + 1, \\
\varphi_j^{15}(e_1, e_j) = -\varphi_j^{15}(e_j, e_1) = h, \\
\varphi_j^{15}(x_1, e_{j+1}) = (j-1)h, \\
\varphi_j^{15}(e_{j+1}, x_1) = (3-j)h, \\
\varphi_j^{15}(x_2, e_{j+1}) = -\varphi_j^{15}(e_{j+1}, x_2) = h, \\
3 \leq j \leq n_1,
\end{cases}
\quad
\begin{cases}
\varphi_{10}(e_1, e_1) = \frac{1}{2}x_2, \\
\varphi_{10}(h, x_1) = x_2, \\
\varphi_{10}(h, e_i) = -\varphi_{10}(e_i, h) = \frac{1}{2}e_i, \\
2 \leq i \leq n_1 + 1, \\
\varphi_{12}(e_1, e_1) = e_1, \\
\varphi_{12}(e_1, h) = \varphi_{12}(h, e_1) = -h, \\
\varphi_{12}(h, x_1) = \varphi_{12}(x_1, h) = e_1, \\
\varphi_{12}(h, e_i) = -\varphi_{12}(e_i, h) = e_{i+1}, \\
\varphi_{12}(h, f_j) = -\varphi_{12}(f_j, h) = f_{j+1}, \\
2 \leq i \leq n_1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n_2 - 1, \\
\varphi_j^{14}(e_1, e_1) = f_j, \\
\varphi_j^{14}(e_1, h) = -\varphi_j^{14}(h, e_1) = f_{j+1}, \\
\varphi_j^{14}(x_1, h) = (j-1)f_j, \\
\varphi_j^{14}(h, x_1) = (3-j)f_j, \\
\varphi_j^{14}(x_3, h) = -\varphi_j^{14}(h, x_3) = f_j, \\
1 \leq j \leq n_2, \\
\varphi_j^{16}(e_1, f_j) = -\varphi_j^{16}(f_j, e_1) = h, \\
\varphi_j^{16}(x_1, f_{j+1}) = jh, \\
\varphi_j^{16}(f_{j+1}, x_1) = (2-j)h, \\
\varphi_j^{16}(x_3, f_{j+1}) = -\varphi_j^{16}(f_{j+1}, x_3) = h, \\
1 \leq j \leq n_2 - 1,
\end{cases}$$

form a basis of spaces $ZL^2(R, R)$ and $BL^2(R, R)$.

Proof. The proof of this proposition is carried out by straightforward calculations of (1) and (2) by using result of Theorem 8. In fact, due to Remark 10 and centerlessness of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{r}_c we conclude that $H^2(\mathfrak{r}_c, \mathfrak{r}_c) = HL^2(\mathfrak{r}_c, \mathfrak{r}_c)$, that is, $ZL^2(\mathfrak{r}_c, \mathfrak{r}_c) = BL^2(\mathfrak{r}_c, \mathfrak{r}_c)$. Taking into account that $ZL^2(\mathfrak{r}_c, \mathfrak{r}_c)$ is isomorphically embedded into $ZL^2(R, R)$ (respectively, $BL^2(\mathfrak{r}_c, \mathfrak{r}_c)$ is isomorphically embedded into $BL^2(R, R)$) we need to find a basis of complementary subspaces to $ZL^2(\mathfrak{r}_c, \mathfrak{r}_c)$ (respectively, to $BL^2(\mathfrak{r}_c, \mathfrak{r}_c)$).

Further, we consider the equalities $(d^2\varphi)(x, y, z) = 0$ for the following cases:

$$\begin{aligned}
& x, y, z \in J, \quad x \in \mathfrak{r}_c, y, z \in J, \quad x, z \in J, y \in \mathfrak{r}_c, \quad x, y \in J, z \in \mathfrak{r}_c, \\
& x, y \in \mathfrak{r}_c, z \in J, \quad x, z \in \mathfrak{r}_c, y \in J \quad x \in J, y, z \in \mathfrak{r}_c,
\end{aligned}$$

from where we get the relations similar to the equations (3) and (4). In addition, calculations of (3) for the triples $\{e_1, e_1, a\}$, $\{e_1, a, e_1\}$, $\{a, e_1, e_1\}$ with $a \in \mathfrak{r}_c$ and (4) for $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{r}_c$ give us some additional relations for complementary subspace to $ZL^2(\mathfrak{r}_c, \mathfrak{r}_c)$.

Finally, combining all restrictions on 2-cocycles and identifying the basis of complementary subspace to $ZL^2(\mathfrak{r}_c, \mathfrak{r}_c)$ in $ZL^2(R, R)$ we get the required basis of $ZL^2(R, R)$.

Applying the same arguments for 2-coboundaries we complete the proof of theorem. \square

Remark 18. In the above proposition we simplified the calculations using the results for the quotient Lie algebra \mathfrak{r}_c . In fact, we exclude calculation of equalities (4) for the triples $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{r}_c$ except $\{e_1, e_1, a\}$, $\{e_1, a, e_1\}$, $\{a, e_1, e_1\}$ with $a \in \mathfrak{r}_c$. Thus, instead of $(\dim \mathfrak{r}_c)^3$ triples we calculated just $3 \dim \mathfrak{r}_c$ triples in (4).

As a consequence of Proposition 17 we get the following main result.

Theorem 19. *The solvable Leibniz algebra R is a cohomologically rigid algebra.*

4. General case

In this section we present results similar to that obtained in particular case for solvable Leibniz algebras with nilpotent radical $L(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $(k+1)$ -dimensional complementary subspace.

Taking into account that the general case is analogous to a special case we omit routine calculations using indexes n_i and induction in the proofs of results below, we just give short sketch their proofs.

The sketch consists of the following steps:

- (1) Firstly, we compute the space $\mathfrak{Der}(L(\alpha_i, \beta_i))$ with $1 \leq i \leq k$. Further, we indicate $(k+1)$ -pieces nil-independent derivations, which are dependent on only non-zero parameters in the diagonal of the general matrix form of derivations.
- (2) Secondly, we construct the solvable Leibniz algebra $\mathbf{R} = L(\alpha_i, \beta_i) \oplus Q$ with $Q = \langle x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{R}_{x_s}|_{L(\alpha_i, \beta_i)} = d_s$, where d_s , $1 \leq s \leq k+1$ are the nil-independent derivations indicated in the first step. Next, applying the Leibniz identity, the appropriate basis transformations and the mathematical induction we obtain the statement of Theorem 20.
- (3) In order to prove the completeness of the solvable Leibniz algebra \mathbf{R} (the first assertion of Theorem 21) we just need to verify the multiplication table of \mathbf{R} obtained in the second step and using the fact that any derivation on the quotient Lie algebra $\mathfrak{r}_c = \mathbf{R}/\langle h \rangle$ is inner together with arguments applied in the proof of the particular case (see Theorem 16) allow us to prove the completeness of the algebra \mathbf{R} .
- (4) Finally, in the study of the second cohomology group of the algebra \mathbf{R} we also use the triviality of the second group of cohomologies for the quotient algebra \mathfrak{r}_c , that is, we use the equality $Z^2(\mathfrak{r}_c, \mathfrak{r}_c) = B^2(\mathfrak{r}_c, \mathfrak{r}_c)$. By arguments applied in before Proposition 17 and due to Remark 10 we conclude

$$Z^2(\mathfrak{r}_c, \mathfrak{r}_c) = ZL^2(\mathfrak{r}_c, \mathfrak{r}_c) \subseteq ZL^2(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}), \quad B^2(\mathfrak{r}_c, \mathfrak{r}_c) = BL^2(\mathfrak{r}_c, \mathfrak{r}_c) \subseteq BL^2(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$$

we only need to compute the dimensions of complementary subspaces to $ZL^2(\mathfrak{r}_c, \mathfrak{r}_c)$ (respectively, to $BL^2(\mathfrak{r}_c, \mathfrak{r}_c)$) in $ZL^2(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$ (respectively, in $BL^2(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$). Thus, the proof of triviality of the second cohomology group for the algebra \mathbf{R} with coefficients in the adjoint representation is completed by computations of dimensions of the mentioned complementary subspaces.

Theorem 20. *Solvable Leibniz algebra with nilpotent radical $L(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $(k+1)$ -dimensional complementary subspace is isomorphic to the algebra:*

$$\mathbf{R} : \begin{cases} [e_1, e_1] = h, & [h, x_1] = 2h, \\ [e_i, e_1] = -[e_1, e_i] = e_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n_1, \\ [e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}, e_1] = -[e_1, e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}] = e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+1+i}, & 2 \leq i \leq n_{j+1}, \\ [e_1, x_1] = -[x_1, e_1] = e_1, \\ [e_i, x_1] = -[x_1, e_i] = (i-2)e_i, & 3 \leq i \leq n_1+1, \\ [e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}, x_1] = -[x_1, e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}] = (i-2)e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}, & 2 \leq i \leq n_{j+1}, \\ [e_i, x_2] = -[x_2, e_i] = e_i, & 2 \leq i \leq n_1+1, \\ [e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}, x_{j+2}] = -[x_{j+2}, e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}] = e_{n_1+\dots+n_j+i}, & 2 \leq i \leq n_{j+1}, \end{cases}$$

where $1 \leq j \leq k-1$.

Theorem 21. *The solvable Leibniz algebra \mathbf{R} is complete and its second group of cohomologies in coefficient itself is trivial.*

From the results of the paper [4] we obtain rigidity of the algebra \mathbf{R} .

Corollary 22. *The solvable Leibniz algebra \mathbf{R} is rigid.*

Remark 23. Note that the structure of the rigid algebra \mathbf{R} depends on the given decreasing sequence (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) . Set $p(n)$ the number of such sequences, that is, $p(x)$ is the number of integer solutions of the equation $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k = n$ with $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 0$. The asymptotic value of $p(n)$, given in [17] by the expression $p(n) \approx \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}} e^{\pi\sqrt{2n/3}}$, (where $a(n) \approx b(n)$ means that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(n)}{b(n)} = 1$) get the existence of at least $p(n)$ irreducible components of the variety of Leibniz algebras of dimension $n + k + 3$.

References

- [1] J. Adashev, L. Camacho, B. Omirov, Central extensions of null-filiform and naturally graded filiform non-Lie Leibniz algebras, *J. Algebra* 479 (2017) 461–486.
- [2] J.M. Ancochea Bermúdez, R. Campoamor-Stursberg, Cohomologically rigid solvable Lie algebras with a nilradical of arbitrary characteristic sequence, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 488 (2016) 135–147.
- [3] Sh. A. Ayupov, B.A. Omirov, On Leibniz algebras, in: *Algebra and Operator Theory, Proceedings of the Colloquium in Tashkent 1997*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1998, pp. 1–12.

- [4] D. Balavoine, Déformations et rigidité géométrique des algèbres de Leibniz, *Commun. Algebra* 24 (3) (1996) 1017–1034.
- [5] L. Bosko-Dunbar, J.D. Dunbar, J.T. Hird, K. Stagg, Solvable Leibniz algebras with Heisenberg nilradical, *Commun. Algebra* 43 (6) (2015) 2272–2281.
- [6] V. Boyko, J. Patera, R. Popovych, Invariants of solvable Lie algebras with triangular nilradicals and diagonal nilindependent elements, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 428 (2008) 834–854.
- [7] D.W. Barnes, On Levi’s theorem for Leibniz algebras, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 86 (2) (2012) 184–185.
- [8] A.J. Calderón, L.M. Camacho, B.A. Omirov, Leibniz algebras of Heisenberg type, *J. Algebra* 452 (2016) 427–447.
- [9] R. Campoamor-Stursberg, Solvable Lie algebras with an \mathbb{N} -graded nilradical of maximal nilpotency degree and their invariants, *J. Phys. A* 43 (2010) 145202.
- [10] J.M. Casas, M. Ladra, B.A. Omirov, I.A. Karimjanov, Classification of solvable Leibniz algebras with null-filiform nilradical, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 61 (6) (2013) 758–774.
- [11] B. Dherin, F. Wagemann, Deformation quantization of Leibniz algebras, *Adv. Math.* 270 (2015) 21–48.
- [12] B. Edalatzaheh, S. Hosseini, Characterizing nilpotent Leibniz algebras by a new bound on their second homologies, *J. Algebra* 511 (2018) 486–498.
- [13] B. Edalatzaheh, P. Pourghobadian, Leibniz algebras with small derived ideal, *J. Algebra* 501 (2018) 215–224.
- [14] A. Fialowski, L. Magnin, A. Mandal, About Leibniz cohomology and deformations of Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* 383 (2013) 63–77.
- [15] S. Gomez-Vidal, A. Khudoyberdiyev, B. Omirov, Some remarks on semisimple Leibniz algebras, *J. Algebra* 410 (2014) 526–540.
- [16] V.V. Gorbatshevich, On liezation of the Leibniz algebras and its applications, *Russ. Math. (Izv. VUZ)* 60 (4) (2016) 10–16.
- [17] M. Hall, *Combinatorial Theory*, John Wiley & Sons Inc, 1986.
- [18] N. Ismailov, I. Kaygorodov, Yu. Volkov, The geometric classification of Leibniz algebras, *Int. J. Math.* 29 (5) (2018) 1850035.
- [19] I.A. Karimjanov, A.Kh. Khudoyberdiyev, B.A. Omirov, Solvable Leibniz algebras with triangular nilradicals, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 466 (2015) 530–546.
- [20] I. Kaygorodov, Yu. Popov, A. Pozhidaev, Yu. Volkov, Degenerations of Zinbiel and nilpotent Leibniz algebras, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 66 (4) (2018) 704–716.
- [21] Kh.A. Khalkulova, K.K. Abdurasulov, Solvable Lie algebras with maximal dimension of complementary space to nilradical, *Uzbek Math. J.* 1 (2018) 90–98.
- [22] M. Ladra, M. Shahryari, C. Zargheh, HNN-extensions of Leibniz algebras, *J. Algebra* 532 (2019) 183–200.
- [23] J.-L. Loday, Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie: les algèbres de Leibniz, *Enseign. Math.* 39 (2) (1993) 269–293.
- [24] J.-L. Loday, T. Pirashvili, Leibniz representations of Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* 181 (2) (1996) 414–425.
- [25] G.M. Mubarakzjanov, On solvable Lie algebras, *Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved., Mat.* 32 (1) (1963) 114–123 (in Russian).
- [26] L. Šnobl, P. Winternitz, *Classification and Identification of Lie Algebras*, CRM Monograph Series, vol. 33, Centre de Recherches Mathématiques Montreal, 2014.