



# Semisimple conjugacy classes and classes in the Weyl group

Roger Carter

*Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK*

Received 10 January 2002

Communicated by Robert Guralnick and Gerhard Röhrle

Dedicated to Robert Steinberg on his 80th birthday

---

## Abstract

We discuss a map  $\theta$  from the semisimple conjugacy classes of a finite group  $G^F$  of Lie type to the  $F$ -conjugacy classes of its Weyl group. We obtain two expressions for the number of semisimple classes mapped by  $\theta$  into a given  $F$ -conjugacy class of  $W$ . The first involves distinguished coset representatives in the affine Weyl group and the second is the number of elements in the coroot lattice satisfying certain conditions. The Brauer complex plays a key role in the proof. The map  $\theta$  has recently proved of interest in connection with probabilistic and combinatorial group theory.  
© 2003 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Semisimple classes; Classes in Weyl group; Affine Weyl group; Coroot lattice; Brauer complex; Card shuffling

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a simple simply-connected algebraic group over the algebraic closure  $K$  of the prime field  $F_p$ , and let  $F: G \rightarrow G$  be a Frobenius endomorphism. Let  $G^F$  be the corresponding finite group of Lie type. It was shown by Steinberg [8, 14.8], that the number of conjugacy classes of semisimple elements in  $G^F$  is  $q^\ell$  where  $\ell$  is the rank of  $G$  and  $q$  is the absolute value of all eigenvalues of  $F$  on the co-character group of an  $F$ -stable maximal torus of  $G$ .

Let  $s' \in G^F$  be semisimple and let  $T'$  be a maximally split maximal torus of the centralizer  $C(s')$ . Then  $T'$  is an  $F$ -stable maximal torus of  $G$  but is not necessarily maximally split in  $G$ . Let  $T$  be a maximally split torus of  $G$ . Then  $T' = {}^s T$  for some

---

*E-mail address:* rwc@maths.warwick.ac.uk.

$g \in G$ . Since  $T, T'$  are both  $F$ -stable we have  $g^{-1}F(g) \in \mathcal{N}(T)$ . Let  $W = \mathcal{N}(T)/T$  be the Weyl group and  $w \in W$  be the image of  $g^{-1}F(g)$  under the natural homomorphism from  $\mathcal{N}(T)$  to  $W$ . The element  $w \in W$  is not uniquely determined by the semisimple conjugacy class of  $G^F$  containing  $s'$ , but its  $F$ -conjugacy class is uniquely determined. Here  $w_1, w_2 \in W$  are  $F$ -conjugate if  $w_2 = x^{-1}w_1F(x)$  for some  $x \in W$ . (The  $F$ -action on  $W$  is induced from that on  $T$ .) Thus we have a map  $\theta$  from semisimple conjugacy classes of  $G^F$  to  $F$ -conjugacy classes in  $W$ .

This map  $\theta$  has recently proved to be of interest in probabilistic group theory in a number of special cases. When  $G^F = SL_n(q)$  each semisimple conjugacy class in  $G^F$  determines a polynomial of degree  $n$  in  $F_q[t]$ , the characteristic polynomial of the elements in the class. The  $F$ -conjugacy classes of  $W$  are the conjugacy classes in the symmetric group  $S_n$ , so correspond to partitions of  $n$ . A given polynomial of degree  $n$  in  $F_q[t]$  will factorize into irreducible polynomials whose degrees form a partition of  $n$ . The map  $\theta$  takes the semisimple conjugacy class associated to the given polynomial into this partition of  $n$ . In this way, we obtain a measure on the set of partitions of  $n$  given by the number of semisimple conjugacy classes of  $SL_n(q)$  mapping to a given partition under  $\theta$ . J. Fulman [7] has obtained interpretations of this measure on the  $F$ -conjugacy classes of  $W$  in terms of card shuffling in the cases when  $G^F$  is  $SL_n(q)$  and  $Sp_{2n}(q)$ .

The purpose of this paper is to obtain two expressions for the number of semisimple conjugacy classes of  $G^F$  mapped by  $\theta$  into a given  $F$ -conjugacy class  $C$  of  $W$ . Let  $X, Y$  be the character and co-character groups of  $T$  respectively and let  $V = Y \otimes \mathbb{R}$ . For  $\gamma \in V$  let  $\tau(\gamma) : V \rightarrow V$  be the translation  $v \mapsto v + \gamma$ . Then  $W_a = W\tau(Y)$  is the affine Weyl group acting on  $V$ . Let  $F : Y \rightarrow Y$  be the Frobenius action on  $Y$  induced by that on  $T$ . Then  $F = qF_0$  where  $F_0 : Y \rightarrow Y$  has finite order (cf. [8, 11.14]). Let  $W'_a = W\tau(F^{-1}(Y))$ . Then  $W'_a$  is a group of transformations of  $V$  which contains  $W_a$  as a subgroup of index  $q^\ell$ . In fact  $W'_a$  is isomorphic to  $W_a$ , both being isomorphic to the affine Weyl group of  $G$ . Each left coset of  $W_a$  in  $W'_a$  has a unique element of minimal length with respect to the length function on the Coxeter group  $W'_a$ . These are called the distinguished coset representatives of  $W_a$  in  $W'_a$ . We denote by  $\pi : W'_a \rightarrow W$  the natural homomorphism from the affine Weyl group  $W'_a$  to the Weyl group  $W$ .

We shall prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $C$  be an  $F$ -conjugacy class of  $W$ . Then the following three numbers are equal:*

- (i) *The number of semisimple conjugacy classes of  $G^F$  mapped by  $\theta$  to  $C$ .*
- (ii) *The number of distinguished coset representatives  $d$  of  $W_a$  in  $W'_a$  such that  $\pi(d) \in C$ .*
- (iii)  $\sum_{w \in C} m_w$ , *where  $m_w$  is the number of elements  $\gamma \in Y$  satisfying the following conditions:*
  - (a)  $\langle \alpha_j, \gamma \rangle \geq 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, \ell$  where the  $\alpha_j$  are the set of simple roots of  $G$ ;
  - (b)  $\langle F_0^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha}), \gamma \rangle \leq q$  where  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is the highest root and  $F = qF_0$  on  $X$ ;
  - (c)  $w(\alpha_j)$  is a positive root for all  $j \in J(\gamma)$ . Here  $\alpha_0 = -\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $J(\gamma)$  is the subset of  $\{0, 1, \dots, \ell\}$  defined as follows. For  $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ ,  $j \in J(\gamma)$  if and only if  $\langle \alpha_j, \gamma \rangle = 0$ . For  $j = 0$ ,  $j \in J(\gamma)$  if and only if  $\langle F_0^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha}), \gamma \rangle = q$ .

We note that  $F_0(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\alpha}$  when  $G^F$  is a Chevalley group or Steinberg twisted group, but not when it is a Suzuki or Ree group.

Theorem 1 was conjectured by Fulman in the case when  $G^F$  is split and proved by him in several particular cases. A proof due to the author when  $G^F$  is split appears in Fulman’s paper [7]. We give a proof here in the general (not necessarily split) case in order to encourage further results on probabilistic and combinatorial group theory of the type already obtained for certain particular groups  $G^F$ .

Thanks are due to Jason Fulman for stimulating the author’s interest in this question.

## 2. Semisimple classes in reductive groups

We recall some basic facts about semisimple conjugacy classes. Proofs can be found, for example, in [2, Chapter 3].

Let  $G$  be a simple simply-connected algebraic group over the algebraic closure  $K$  of  $F_p$ . Let  $\ell$  be the rank of  $G$ . Let  $T$  be a maximal torus of  $G$  and  $W = \mathcal{N}(T)/T$  be the Weyl group. Let  $K^*$  be the multiplicative group of  $K$  and  $X = \text{Hom}(T, K^*)$ ,  $Y = \text{Hom}(K^*, T)$  be the character group and co-character group of  $T$ , respectively. Then  $X, Y$  are free abelian groups of rank  $\ell$  and we have a map  $X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  given by  $\chi, \gamma \mapsto \langle \chi, \gamma \rangle$  where

$$\chi(\gamma(\lambda)) = \lambda^{\langle \chi, \gamma \rangle} \quad \text{for } \chi \in X, \gamma \in Y, \lambda \in K^*.$$

Given an element  $w \in W$  let  $n_w \in \mathcal{N}(T)$  be an element mapping to  $w$  under the natural homomorphism. Then we define a  $W$ -action on  $T$  by

$$t^w = n_w^{-1} t n_w, \quad t \in T, w \in W.$$

We also define  $W$ -actions on  $X$  and  $Y$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma^w)(\lambda) &= (\gamma(\lambda))^w, & \gamma \in Y, w \in W, \lambda \in K^*, \\ (w(\chi))t &= \chi(t^w), & \chi \in X, w \in W, t \in T. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $V = Y \otimes \mathbb{R}$  and for each  $\gamma \in Y$  let  $\tau(\gamma): V \rightarrow V$  be the translation  $v \mapsto v + \gamma$ . These maps for  $\gamma \in Y$  generate the translation group  $\tau(Y)$ . We have an action of  $W$  on  $V$  obtained by extending its action on  $Y$ . Let  $W_a = W\tau(Y)$  be the affine Weyl group.  $W_a$  acts on  $V$  as a group of affine transformations given by

$$(\gamma \otimes r)^{w\tau(\gamma')} = (\gamma^w \otimes r) + \gamma', \quad \gamma, \gamma' \in Y, r \in \mathbb{R}, w \in W.$$

Let  $\Phi \subset X$  be the root system of  $G$  with respect to  $T$ , and let  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$  be a system of simple roots. Let  $\tilde{\alpha}$  be the highest root and  $\alpha_0 = -\tilde{\alpha}$ . Let  $A$  be the subset of  $V$  given by

$$A = \{ \gamma \in V; \langle \alpha_i, \gamma \rangle > 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, \ell, \langle -\alpha_0, \gamma \rangle < 1 \}.$$

$A$  is called the fundamental alcove in  $V$ . Its closure  $\bar{A}$  is a fundamental region for the action of  $W_a$  on  $V$ .

We next consider semisimple conjugacy classes in  $G$ . Since each semisimple element lies in a maximal torus and any two maximal tori of  $G$  are conjugate, any semisimple conjugacy class of  $G$  contains an element  $s$  which lies in our maximal torus  $T$ . Moreover, two elements of  $T$  are conjugate in  $G$  if and only if they lie in the same  $W$ -orbit on  $T$ .

Now the map  $Y \otimes K^* \rightarrow T$  determined by  $\gamma \otimes \lambda \mapsto \gamma(\lambda)$  is an isomorphism. Moreover there is a (non-canonical) isomorphism between  $K^*$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_{p'}/\mathbb{Z}$  where  $\mathbb{Q}_{p'}$  is the set of rational numbers with denominator prime to  $p$ . Thus we have an isomorphism  $Y \otimes (\mathbb{Q}_{p'}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow T$ . This determines a homomorphism  $Y \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{p'} \rightarrow T$  with kernel  $Y \otimes \mathbb{Z} = Y$ . Thus we have an isomorphism

$$(Y \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{p'})/Y \rightarrow T.$$

This gives a bijection between  $T$  and the  $\tau(Y)$ -orbits on  $Y \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{p'}$ . There is therefore a bijection between the  $W$ -orbits on  $T$  and the orbits of  $W_a = W\tau(Y)$  on  $Y \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{p'}$ . Since each  $W_a$ -orbit on  $V = Y \otimes \mathbb{R}$  contains a unique element of  $\bar{A}$ , each  $W_a$ -orbit on  $Y \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{p'}$  will contain a unique element of  $\bar{A}_{p'}$ , the set of elements of  $\bar{A}$  whose coordinates all lie in  $\mathbb{Q}_{p'}$ . This is a bijection between semisimple conjugacy classes of  $G$  and elements of  $\bar{A}_{p'}$ . We shall make use of this bijection to understand the properties of the semisimple classes.

Let  $C(s)$  be the centralizer of  $s$  in  $G$ . Then  $C(s)$  is a reductive subgroup of  $G$  which is connected since  $G$  is assumed simply-connected. In fact,  $C(s) = \langle T, X_\alpha, \alpha \in \Phi_1 \rangle$  where  $\Phi_1 = \{\alpha \in \Phi; \alpha(s) = 1\}$  and  $X_\alpha$  is the root subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to  $\alpha \in \Phi$ . A fundamental system of roots for  $C(s)$  can be described in terms of the above bijection between semisimple conjugacy classes and elements of  $\bar{A}_{p'}$ . Let  $a \in \bar{A}_{p'}$  be the point corresponding to the semisimple class containing  $s$ . Let  $J$  be the subset of  $\{0, 1, \dots, \ell\}$  given by

$$j \in J \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \begin{cases} \langle \alpha_j, a \rangle = 0 & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, \ell, \\ \langle -\alpha_0, a \rangle = 1 & \text{for } j = 0. \end{cases}$$

We then say that  $a$  lies on the  $J$ -face of the fundamental alcove  $A$ . Let  $\Pi_J \subset \Phi$  be defined by  $\Pi_J = \{\alpha_j; j \in J\}$ . Then it is shown in [5] that there exists  $w \in W$  such that  $w(\Pi_J)$  is a fundamental system in  $\Phi_1$ . The element  $w$  which appears here depends on the choice of  $s$  in its  $W$ -orbit in  $T$ . There exists an  $s$  in any given  $W$ -orbit such that  $\Pi_J$  is a fundamental system of roots for  $C(s)$ .

### 3. The Frobenius action

We now suppose that  $F: G \rightarrow G$  is a Frobenius map on  $G$  (cf. [2, 1.17]). Then there exist  $F$ -stable maximal tori in  $G$ . Among these we can find a maximally split  $F$ -stable maximal torus  $T$ . ( $T$  is uniquely determined up to conjugacy by an element of  $G^F$ .) We define  $F$ -actions on  $X, Y$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (F(\chi))t &= \chi(F(t)), & \chi \in X, t \in T, \\ (F(\gamma))\lambda &= F(\gamma(\lambda)), & \gamma \in Y, \lambda \in K^*. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from these definitions that

$$\langle \chi, F(\gamma) \rangle = \langle F(\chi), \gamma \rangle, \quad \chi \in X, \gamma \in Y.$$

We also define an action of  $F$  on  $W$  by

$$F(n_w) \equiv n_{F(w)} \pmod{T}.$$

It follows from this definition that

$$F(t^w) = F(t)^{F(w)}, \quad t \in T, w \in W.$$

Now let  $G^F = \{g \in G; F(g) = g\}$  be the corresponding finite group of Lie type. Let  $T'$  be any  $F$ -stable maximal torus of  $G$ . Then  $T' = {}^sT$  for some  $g \in G$ . Since  $F(T') = T'$  we have  $F({}^sT) = {}^sT$  and so  $g^{-1}F(g) \in \mathcal{N}(T)$ . Hence  $g^{-1}F(g) = n_w$  for some  $w \in W$ . We say that  $T'$  is obtained from the maximally split torus  $T$  by twisting with  $w$ . The map  $T' \rightarrow w$  induces a bijection between the  $G^F$ -classes of  $F$ -stable maximal tori of  $G$  and the  $F$ -conjugacy classes of  $W$ . (We recall that  $w, w' \in W$  are  $F$ -conjugate if there exists  $x \in W$  such that  $w' = x^{-1}wF(x)$ .)

We now consider semisimple conjugacy classes in the finite group  $G^F$ . Under the given assumptions on  $G$  every  $F$ -stable semisimple conjugacy class of  $G$  contains  $F$ -stable elements and any two such elements are conjugate by an element of  $G^F$ . Thus there is a bijective correspondence between semisimple conjugacy classes of  $G^F$  and  $F$ -stable semisimple conjugacy classes of  $G$ .

We may define an  $F$ -action on  $V = Y \otimes \mathbb{R}$  by extending linearly the above  $F$ -action on  $Y$ . We may also define an action of  $F$  on the subset  $\bar{A} \subset V$ , i.e., the closure of the fundamental above. We shall denote this action by  $a \rightarrow F.a$ , where  $F.a$  is the element of  $\bar{A}$  in the orbit of  $F(a)$  under the affine Weyl group  $W_a$ . If  $a \in \bar{A}_{p'}$  we have  $F.a \in \bar{A}_{p'}$ , thus we have an  $F$ -action on  $\bar{A}_{p'}$ . This  $F$ -action on  $\bar{A}_{p'}$  is compatible with the  $F$ -action on semisimple conjugacy classes of  $G$  under the bijection between semisimple conjugacy classes of  $G$  and points in  $\bar{A}_{p'}$ . In particular, we obtain a bijection between  $F$ -stable semisimple conjugacy classes of  $G$  and  $F$ -stable points in  $\bar{A}_{p'}$ . This in turn gives a bijection between semisimple conjugacy classes of  $G^F$  and  $F$ -stable points in  $\bar{A}_{p'}$ .

Now let  $s' \in G^F$  be semisimple. Let  $T'$  be a maximally split torus of  $C(s')$ . Then  $T'$  is obtained from a maximally split torus  $T$  of  $G$  by twisting with  $w \in W$ . We obtain in this way a map  $\theta$  from semisimple conjugacy classes of  $G^F$  to  $F$ -conjugacy classes of  $W$ . Given an  $F$ -conjugacy class  $C$  of  $W$  we are interested in the question of how many of the  $q^\ell$  semisimple classes of  $G^F$  are mapped by  $\theta$  to  $C$ .

**4. Distinguished coset representatives in the affine Weyl group**

Let  $T$  be a maximally split torus of  $G$ ,  $Y$  the co-character group of  $T$  and  $V = Y \otimes \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $F: V \rightarrow V$  is a non-singular map defined in Section 3. Let  $F^{-1}(Y) = \{\gamma \in V; F(\gamma) \in Y\}$  and let  $\tau(F^{-1}(Y))$  be the group of translations of  $V$  by elements of  $F^{-1}(Y)$ . Let  $W'_a$  be the group of affine transformations of  $V$  given by  $W'_a = W\tau(F^{-1}(Y))$ . Since  $F(Y) \subset Y$  we have  $Y \subset F^{-1}(Y)$  and  $W_a \subset W'_a$ . Now the map  $W'_a \rightarrow W_a$  given by  $w\tau(\gamma) \mapsto F(w)\tau(F(\gamma))$  is an isomorphism. This follows from the identities

$$F(w w') = F(w)F(w'), \quad F(\gamma^{w'}) = F(\gamma)^{F(w')}.$$

The former identity follows from the definition of the  $F$ -action on  $W$  and the latter from the relations

$$\begin{aligned} (F(\gamma^{w'}))\lambda &= F(\gamma^{w'}(\lambda)) = F(\gamma(\lambda)^{w'}), \\ ((F(\gamma))^{F(w')})\lambda &= (F(\gamma)\lambda)^{F(w')} = (F(\gamma(\lambda)))^{F(w')} = F(\gamma(\lambda)^{w'}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $W_a$  and  $W'_a$  are isomorphic. Both act on  $V$  and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{F} & V \\ w\tau(\gamma) \downarrow & & \downarrow F(w)\tau(F(\gamma)) \\ V & \xrightarrow{F} & V \end{array}$$

for  $\gamma \in F^{-1}(Y)$  is commutative and so the map

$$\begin{aligned} (W'_a, V) &\rightarrow (W_a, V), \\ (w\tau(\gamma), \gamma') &\mapsto (F(w)\tau(F(\gamma)), F(\gamma')) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of permutation groups. Let

$$\begin{aligned} A' &= \{\gamma \in V; F(\gamma) \in A\} \\ &= \{\gamma \in V; \langle \alpha_i, F(\gamma) \rangle > 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, \ell, \langle -\alpha_0, F(\gamma) \rangle < 1\} \\ &= \{\gamma \in V; \langle F(\alpha_i), \gamma \rangle > 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, \ell, \langle F(-\alpha_0), \gamma \rangle < 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

The closure  $\overline{A'}$  is a fundamental region for the action of  $W'_a$  on  $V$ .

Now  $W_a \subset W'_a$  so each affine reflection in  $W_a$  lies in  $W'_a$ . Thus all reflecting hyperplanes for  $W_a$  are reflecting hyperplanes for  $W'_a$ . Thus the walls of the fundamental alcove  $A$  are reflecting hyperplanes for  $W'_a$ . It follows that the closure  $\overline{A}$  is the union of certain transforms of  $\overline{A'}$  by elements of  $W'_a$ .

We recall that the action of  $F$  on  $Y$  is given by  $F = qF_0$  where  $q > 1$  and  $F_0$  has finite order (when the group  $G^F$  is split  $q$  is the number of elements in the base field of  $G^F$  but in general the real number  $q$  need not be an integer). Now

$$A' = F^{-1}(A) = q^{-1}F_0^{-1}(A).$$

Since  $\dim V = \ell$ , we have  $\text{vol } A' = (\text{vol } A)/q^\ell$ .

Thus there are  $q^\ell$  transforms of  $A'$  which lie in  $A$ . Let  $D$  be the subset of  $W'_a$  given by

$$D = \{d \in W'_a; (A')^d \subset A\}.$$

Thus  $|D| = q^\ell$ . In fact,  $D$  is a set of left coset representatives of  $W_a$  in  $W'_a$ . For let  $\omega' \in W'_a$ . Let  $B$  be the alcove for  $W_a$  satisfying  $(A')^{\omega'} \subset B$ . Then there exists a unique  $\omega \in W_a$  with  $A^\omega = B$ . Thus  $(A')^{\omega'} \subset A^\omega$  and so  $\omega'\omega^{-1} \in D$ . So the coset  $\omega'W_a$  contains an element of  $D$ , and the above argument shows this element of  $D$  is unique.

We next observe that each  $d \in D$  is the unique element of minimal length in its coset  $dW_a$ . For let  $\omega' = d\omega$  with  $\omega \in W_a$ ,  $\omega' \in W'_a$ . Let  $\ell$  be the length function for the Coxeter group  $W'_a$ . Then  $\ell(d)$  is the distance between the alcoves  $A'$  and  $(A')^d$ . Let  $A'^{\omega'} = B'$  and take a sequence of consecutive alcoves from  $A'$  to  $B'$  of minimal length. The number of steps in this sequence is  $\ell(\omega')$ . Now for each alcove in this sequence there is a unique alcove which lies in  $A$  and is equivalent to the given one under  $W_a$ . Consider the sequence of alcoves in  $A$  obtained in this way from the given sequence. This is called the derived sequence. Since the original sequence runs from  $A'$  to  $B'$ , the derived sequence runs from  $A'$  to  $(A')^d$ . Neighboring alcoves in the derived sequence are either consecutive alcoves or are equal. So the number of steps in the derived sequence is at least  $\ell(d)$ . Thus,  $\ell(\omega') \geq \ell(d)$  for all  $\omega' \in dW_a$ . Now suppose that  $\ell(\omega') = \ell(d)$ . Then there are no repetitions in the derived sequence. But any step in the original sequence which takes an alcove for  $W'_a$  into a consecutive alcove for  $W'_a$  lying in a different alcove for  $W_a$  would give a repetition in the derived sequence. Hence, all terms in the original sequence must lie in the same alcove for  $W_a$ , viz  $A$ . In particular,  $B' \subset A$  and so  $\omega' \in D$ . Thus,  $\omega' = d$ . Hence  $\ell(\omega') \geq \ell(d)$  for all  $\omega' \in dW_a$  with equality only if  $\omega' = d$ .

$D$  is called the set of distinguished left coset representatives of  $W_a$  in  $W'_a$ . (In the case in which  $G^F$  is a split group, this situation was considered by Cellini in [3].)

### 5. The Brauer complex

We consider the walls of the fundamental alcove  $A$  for  $W_a$ . Let

$$H_i = \{\gamma \in V; \langle \alpha_i, \gamma \rangle = 0\} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \ell,$$

$$H_0 = \{\gamma \in V; \langle -\alpha_0, \gamma \rangle = 1\}.$$

The  $H_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, \ell\}$  are the walls of  $A$ .  $H_i$  is called the  $i$ -wall of  $A$ .

Similarly, we consider the walls of the fundamental alcove  $A'$  for  $W'_a$ . Let

$$H_i' = \{\gamma \in V; \langle \alpha_i, F(\gamma) \rangle = 0\} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \ell,$$

$$H_0' = \{\gamma \in V; \langle -\alpha_0, F(\gamma) \rangle = 1\}.$$

The  $H_i'$  for  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, \ell\}$  are the walls of  $A'$ .  $H_i'$  is called the  $i$ -wall of  $A'$ . We note that if  $\gamma$  lies on the  $i$ -wall of  $A'$  then  $F(\gamma)$  lies on the  $i$ -wall of  $A$ .

Consider the set of all open faces of the closed simplex  $\overline{A'}$ . The set of all transforms of such open faces of  $\overline{A'}$  by elements of  $D$  form a simplicial complex called the Brauer complex. The simplices of maximal dimension in the Brauer complex are the alcoves  $(A')^d$  for  $d \in D$ . The  $i$ -wall of  $(A')^d$  is defined to be  $(H_i')^d$ . The union of all faces of the Brauer complex is  $\overline{A}$ . General information about the Brauer complex can be found in [2, 3.8] and in [4].

For each  $\gamma \in \overline{A}$  we define  $J(\gamma)$  by  $J(\gamma) = \{i \in \{0, 1, \dots, \ell\}; \gamma \in H_i\}$ . We say that  $\gamma$  lies in the  $J(\gamma)$ -face of  $A$ . In general the  $J$ -face of  $A$  is the intersection of the  $i$  walls  $H_i$  of  $A$  for  $i \in J$  where  $J \subset \{0, 1, \dots, \ell\}$ .

Similarly, for each  $\gamma \in A'$  we define  $J'(\gamma)$  by

$$J'(\gamma) = \{i \in \{0, 1, \dots, \ell\}; \gamma \in H_i'\}.$$

Then  $\gamma$  lies in the  $J'(\gamma)$ -face of  $A'$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\omega' = w'\tau(\gamma') \in W'_a$  where  $w' \in W$  and  $\gamma' \in F^{-1}(Y)$ . Then  $\omega' \in D$  if and only if  $\gamma' \in \overline{A}$  and  $w'(\alpha_j) \in \Phi^+$  for all  $j \in J(\gamma')$ .*

**Proof.** If  $\omega' \in D$  then  $\overline{A}^{\omega'} \subset \overline{A}$ . Since  $0^{\omega'} = \gamma'$  we have  $\gamma' \in \overline{A}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\gamma' \in \overline{A}$ . Then  $A'^{w'\tau(\gamma')}$  lies in  $A$  if and only if

$$\langle \alpha_j, A'^{w'} \rangle > 0 \quad \text{for all } j \in J(\gamma').$$

For this condition ensures that  $A'^{w'\tau(\gamma')}$  lies on the same side of the  $j$ -wall of  $A$  as  $A$  does, for each  $j \in J(\gamma')$ . The above condition can be written as

$$\langle w'(\alpha_j), A' \rangle > 0 \quad \text{for all } j \in J(\gamma'),$$

i.e., as  $w'(\alpha_j) \in \Phi^+$  for all  $j \in J(\gamma')$ .  $\square$

Now let  $d \in D$  and  $B' = (A')^d$ . Then  $B'$  is an alcove for  $W'_a$  contained in the fundamental alcove  $A$  for  $W_a$ . In general, a wall of  $B'$  will not be a wall of  $A$ . However, it may happen that a wall of  $B'$  coincides with a wall of  $A$ . If this happens, the types of this wall for  $B'$  and for  $A$  need not be the same. We consider when a given wall of  $B'$  is also a wall of  $A$  and how the types of the wall with respect to  $B'$  and  $A$  are related.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $B' = A'^{w'\tau(\gamma')}$  where  $w' \in W$ ,  $\gamma' \in F^{-1}(Y)$  and  $w'\tau(\gamma') \in D$ . Let  $w = F(w')$ ,  $\gamma = F(\gamma')$  and let  $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ . Then the  $j$ -wall of  $B'$  is a wall of  $A$  if and only if  $\langle w^{-1}(\alpha_j), \gamma \rangle = 0$ . If this is so the  $j$ -wall of  $B'$  coincides with the  $i$ -wall of  $A$  where  $Fw^{-1}(\alpha_j)$  is a positive multiple of  $\alpha_i$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $B' = A^{w'\tau(\gamma')}$  we have  $F(B') = A^{w\tau(\gamma)}$ . The  $j$ -wall of  $A$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$  is  $H_j = \{v \in V; \langle \alpha_j, v \rangle = 0\}$  and  $\langle \alpha_j, v \rangle > 0$  for  $v \in A$ . Thus the  $j$ -wall of  $A^w$  is

$$H_j^w = \{v^w \in V; \langle \alpha_j, v \rangle = 0\} = \{v \in V; \langle w^{-1}(\alpha_j), v \rangle = 0\}.$$

Also  $\langle w^{-1}(\alpha_j), v \rangle > 0$  for  $v \in A^w$ . Thus the  $j$ -wall of  $A^{w\tau(\gamma)}$  is

$$\{v + \gamma \in V; \langle w^{-1}(\alpha_j), v \rangle = 0\} = \{v \in V; \langle w^{-1}(\alpha_j), v \rangle = \langle w^{-1}(\alpha_j), \gamma \rangle\}.$$

Also,  $\langle w^{-1}(\alpha_j), v \rangle > \langle w^{-1}(\alpha_j), \gamma \rangle$  for  $v \in A^{w\tau(\gamma)}$ . Now  $A^{w\tau(\gamma)} = F(B')$ . Thus the  $j$ -wall of  $B'$  is

$$\{F^{-1}(v) \in V; \langle w^{-1}(\alpha_j), v \rangle = \langle w^{-1}(\alpha_j), \gamma \rangle\} = \{v \in V; \langle Fw^{-1}(\alpha_j), v \rangle = \langle w^{-1}(\alpha_j), \gamma \rangle\}.$$

Also  $\langle Fw^{-1}(\alpha_j), v \rangle > \langle w^{-1}(\alpha_j), \gamma \rangle$  for  $v \in B'$ . Now the  $i$ -wall of  $A$  is  $\{v \in V; \langle \alpha_i, v \rangle = 0\}$  if  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ . Also  $\langle \alpha_i, v \rangle > 0$  for  $v \in A$ . Thus the  $j$ -wall of  $B'$  coincides with the  $i$ -wall of  $A$  if and only if  $\langle w^{-1}(\alpha_j), \gamma \rangle = 0$  and  $Fw^{-1}(\alpha_j)$  is a positive multiple of  $\alpha_i$ .  $\square$

Now let  $X_\alpha, \alpha \in \Phi$ , be the root subgroups of  $G$  with respect to the maximally split  $F$ -stable maximal torus  $T$ . Since  $F(T) = T$  we have  $F(X_\alpha) = X_{\rho(\alpha)}$  for some permutation  $\rho$  of  $\Phi$ . Moreover, we have  $\rho(\Phi^+) = \Phi^+$ . It was shown by Chevalley that  $F(\alpha)$  is a positive multiple of  $\rho^{-1}(\alpha)$  for each  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , (cf. [8, 11.2]).

**Corollary 5.3.** *Under the hypotheses of the above proposition, the  $j$ -wall of  $B'$  coincides with the  $i$ -wall of  $A$  where  $w^{-1}(\alpha_j) = \rho(\alpha_i)$ .*

**Proof.**  $Fw^{-1}(\alpha_j)$  is a positive multiple of the root  $\rho^{-1}w^{-1}(\alpha_j)$ . It is also a positive multiple of  $\alpha_i$ . Hence  $\rho^{-1}w^{-1}(\alpha_j) = \alpha_i$ .  $\square$

Now the Brauer complex has the following favorable properties. There is a natural bijection between the  $q^\ell$   $F$ -stable points in  $\overline{A}_{p'}$  and the  $q^\ell$  simplices of maximal dimension in the Brauer complex. Each such simplex  $B'$  has the property that its closure  $\overline{B'}$  contains a unique  $F$ -stable point, and this point lies in  $\overline{A}_{p'}$ . Moreover, distinct simplices  $B'$  give rise to distinct  $F$ -stable points in their closures.

The  $F$ -stable point in  $\overline{B'}$  may be given as the fixed point of a contraction map as follows.  $F(B')$  is an alcove for  $W_\alpha$ , thus there exists a unique  $\omega \in W_\alpha$  with  $F(B') = A^\omega$ . Hence  $F(\overline{B'}) = \overline{A^\omega}$  and  $F^{-1}(\overline{A^\omega}) = \overline{B'}$ .

Let  $f : \overline{A} \rightarrow \overline{A}$  be the map given by

$$f(a) = F^{-1}(a^\omega).$$

Now  $F = qF_0$  on  $V$  where  $q > 1$  and  $F_0$  has finite order.  $V$  may be made into a metric space on which elements of  $W_a$  and  $F_0$  act as isometries. With respect to such a metric  $d$  we have

$$d(f(a_1), f(a_2)) = \frac{1}{q}d(a_1, a_2).$$

Thus  $f$  is a contraction mapping, so has a unique fixed point. This is the  $F$ -stable point in  $\overline{B'}$ .

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1

The results already described enable us to define a bijection between semisimple conjugacy classes of  $G^F$  and distinguished coset representatives of  $D$ . Each semisimple conjugacy class of  $G^F$  determines an  $F$ -stable point of  $\overline{A_{p'}}$  and such an  $F$ -stable point lies in a unique alcove  $B' = (A')^d$  for  $d \in D$ . This determines our bijection. Now let  $d = w'\tau(\gamma')$  for  $w' \in W$ ,  $\gamma' \in F^{-1}(Y)$ . The key to the proof of Theorem 1 lies in the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $d = w'\tau(\gamma') \in D$  and let  $c$  be the corresponding semisimple conjugacy class of  $G^F$ . Then the  $F$ -conjugacy class  $\theta(c)$  of  $W$  is the one containing  $w'$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $B' = A'^d$ . Then  $F(B') = A^\omega$  where  $\omega \in W_a$  is given by  $\omega = F(d)$ . Thus,  $\omega = w\tau(\gamma)$  where  $w = F(w') \in W$  and  $\gamma = F(\gamma') \in Y$ .

Let  $a \in \overline{B'}$  be the  $F$ -stable point in  $\overline{B'}$ . Then  $f(a) = a$  where  $f: \overline{A} \rightarrow \overline{A}$  is the contraction map given by  $f(v) = F^{-1}(v^\omega)$ . Hence,  $F(a) = a^\omega = a^{w\tau(\gamma)}$ .

Consider the homomorphism  $\phi: Y \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{p'} \rightarrow T$ . We have  $a \in Y \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{p'}$ . Let  $\phi(a) = s$ . A comparison of the actions of  $F$  on  $T$  and on  $Y$  shows that  $\phi(F(a)) = F(s)$ . Similarly, a comparison of the actions of  $W$  on  $T$  and on  $Y$  shows that  $\phi(a^w) = s^w$  for  $w \in W$ . Now  $F(a) = a^{w\tau(\gamma)}$  with  $\gamma \in Y$ , and  $Y$  is the kernel of  $\phi$ . Hence  $F(s) = s^w$ .

Suppose  $a$  lies in the  $J$ -face of  $\overline{A}$  where  $J \subset \{0, 1, \dots, \ell\}$ . Then  $\Pi_J = \{\alpha_j; j \in J\}$  is a fundamental system of roots for  $C(s)$  with respect to  $T$ . Since we know that  $w(\Pi_J)$  is such a fundamental system for some  $w \in W$  it is sufficient to check that  $s^{s_j} = s$  for each  $j \in J$  where  $s_j$  is the reflection corresponding to  $\alpha_j$ . If  $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$  and  $j \in J$  then  $\langle \alpha_j, a \rangle = 0$ , so  $a^{s_j} = a$  and  $s^{s_j} = s$ . If  $0 \in J$  then  $\langle -\alpha_0, a \rangle = 1$ , and so  $a^{s_0} = a - \langle \alpha_0, a \rangle \alpha_0^v = a + \alpha_0^v$ . Since  $\alpha_0^v \in Y$ , this implies that  $s^{s_0} = s$ . Hence  $\Pi_J$  is a fundamental system of roots for  $C(s)$  with respect to  $T$ .

By the Lang–Steinberg theorem, there exists  $g \in G$  with  $g^{-1}F(g) = n_w$  where  $n_w \in \mathcal{N}(T)$  is an element mapping to  $w \in W$ . Let  $T' = {}^sT$  and  $s' = {}^s s$ . Then  $F(s') = s'$  and  $T'$  is an  $F$ -stable maximal torus of  $G$  containing  $s'$ . The conjugacy class of  $G^F$  containing  $s'$  is the class  $c$  corresponding to  $d$  under our bijection.

We wish to show that  $T'$  is a maximally split torus of  $C(s')$ . Now  $a$  lies in the  $J$ -face of  $\overline{A}$ . The contraction map  $f$  preserves face types since this is true of both  $w \in W_a$  and  $F$ . Since  $f(\overline{A}) = \overline{B'}$  and  $f(a) = a$ , it follows that  $a$  lies in the  $J$ -face of  $\overline{B'}$  also. Thus the

$J$ -face of  $\bar{A}$  coincides with the  $J$ -face of  $\bar{B}'$ . Let  $j \in J$ . Then we know that the  $j$ -wall of  $\bar{B}'$  coincides with the  $i$ -wall of  $\bar{A}$  where  $w^{-1}(\alpha_j) = \rho(\alpha_i)$ . Hence,  $i \in J$ . It follows that  $w^{-1}(\Pi_J) = \rho(\Pi_J)$ .

Now we know that  $\Pi_J$  is a fundamental system of roots for  $C(s')$  with respect to  $T'$ . Let the fundamental root subgroups of  $C(s')$  with respect to  $T'$  be  $X'_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \Pi_J$ . Let  $B_1 = \langle T', X'_\alpha \text{ for } \alpha \in \Pi_J \rangle$ . Then  $B_1$  is a Borel subgroup of  $C(s')$ .

Now  $X'_\alpha = {}^s X_\alpha$  where  $X_\alpha$  is the corresponding root subgroup of  $G$  with respect to  $T$ . Thus the following statements are equivalent:

$$\begin{aligned} F(X'_\alpha) = X'_\beta &\Leftrightarrow F({}^s X_\alpha) = {}^s X_\beta &\Leftrightarrow F({}^{F(g)} X_\alpha) = {}^s X_\beta \\ &\Leftrightarrow {}^{n_w} F(X_\alpha) = X_\beta &\Leftrightarrow {}^{n_w} X_{\rho(\alpha)} = X_\beta \\ &\Leftrightarrow w(\rho(\alpha)) = \beta. \end{aligned}$$

But we know that  $w\rho(\Pi_J) = \Pi_J$ , thus  $F$  must permute the root subgroups  $X'_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \Pi_J$ . It follows that  $F(B_1) = B_1$ . Hence,  $B_1$  is an  $F$ -stable Borel subgroup of  $C(s')$  containing  $T'$ . Thus  $T'$  is a maximally split torus of  $C(s')$ .

Now  $T'$  is obtained from the maximally split torus  $T$  of  $G$  by twisting with  $w \in W$ . Hence, the  $F$ -conjugacy class  $\theta(c)$  of  $W$  is the one containing  $w$ . Finally, we observe that since  $w = F(w')$ ,  $w$  and  $w'$  lie in the same  $F$ -conjugacy class of  $W$ . For  $w'^{-1}w'F(w') = w$ . Thus the proposition is proved.  $\square$

This proposition shows that the number of semisimple conjugacy classes of  $G^F$  mapped by  $\theta$  to  $C$  is equal to the number of  $d \in D$  with  $\pi(d) \in C$ . To complete the proof of Theorem 1, we show this is given by

$$\sum_{w \in C} m_w.$$

Now there is a bijection between elements of  $d \in D$  and alcoves  $(A')^d$  which lie in  $A$ . Also the element  $w'\tau(\gamma')$  for  $w' \in W$ ,  $\gamma' \in F^{-1}(Y)$  lies in  $D$  if and only if  $\gamma' \in \bar{A}$  and  $w'(\alpha_j) \in \Phi^+$  for all  $j \in J(\gamma')$ . Thus the number of elements of  $D$  of the form  $w'\tau(\gamma')$  for given  $w' \in W$  is the number of elements  $\gamma' \in Y \otimes \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} F(\gamma') &\in Y, \\ \langle \alpha_j, \gamma' \rangle &\geq 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, \ell, \\ \langle -\alpha_0, \gamma' \rangle &\leq 1, \\ w'(\alpha_j) &\in \Phi^+ \text{ for all } j \in J(\gamma'). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\gamma = F(\gamma')$ . Since  $J(\gamma) = J(\gamma')$  the above conditions can be written:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &\in Y, \\ \langle \alpha_j, \gamma \rangle &\geq 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, \ell, \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle F_0^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha}), \gamma \rangle \leq q \quad \text{where } \tilde{\alpha} = -\alpha_0 \text{ is the highest root,}$$

$$w'(\alpha_j) \in \Phi^+ \quad \text{for all } j \in J(\gamma).$$

The number of  $\gamma$  satisfying these conditions is  $m_{w'}$ . Thus the number of elements  $d \in D$  with  $\pi(d) \in C$  is  $\sum_{w' \in C} m_{w'}$ .  $\square$

## 7. Examples

7.1. If  $G^F = SL_n(q)$ , then  $W = S_n$  and  $F$  acts trivially on  $W$ . Thus the  $F$ -conjugacy classes of  $W$  are the conjugacy classes of  $S_n$  and so correspond to partitions of  $n$ .

For example, let  $G^F = SL_2(5)$ . Then  $W = S_2$  has two  $F$ -conjugacy classes (1) and  $(s_1)$ . Since  $G$  has rank 1, there are five semisimple conjugacy classes in  $G^F$ . Under  $\theta$  three of them map to (1) and two to  $(s_1)$ .

Now take  $G^F = SL_3(5)$ . Then  $W = S_3$  has three  $F$ -conjugacy classes (1)  $(s_1, s_2, w_0)$   $(s_1s_2, s_2s_1)$  where  $w_0 = s_1s_2s_1 = s_2s_1s_2$ .  $G$  has rank 2 and so  $G^F$  has 25 semisimple conjugacy classes. Under  $\theta$  5 of them map to (1), 10 to  $(s_1, s_2, w_0)$  and 10 to  $(s_1s_2, s_2s_1)$ .

7.2. If  $G^F$  is the unitary group  $SU_n(q^2)$  then again we have  $W = S_n$  but this time  $F$  acts nontrivially on  $W$ . We have  $F(w) = w_0 w w_0^{-1}$  where  $w_0 = (1 \ n)(2 \ n-1) \dots$  is the element of maximal length in  $S_n$ . Hence  $w' = x^{-1} w F(x)$  if and only if  $w' w_0 = x^{-1} (w w_0) x$ . Thus,  $w, w'$  are  $F$ -conjugate if and only if  $w w_0$  and  $w' w_0$  are conjugate. It follows that  $F$ -conjugacy classes of  $W$  again correspond to partitions of  $n$ .

For example, let  $G^F = SU_3(5^2)$ . Then  $W = S_3$  has three  $F$ -conjugacy classes  $(1, s_1s_2, s_2s_1), (s_1, s_2), (w_0)$ .  $G$  has rank 2 and  $q = 5$ , so  $G^F$  has 25 semisimple conjugacy classes. Under  $\theta$ , 15 of them are mapped to  $(1, s_1s_2, s_2s_1)$ , 6 to  $(s_1, s_2)$  and 4 to  $(w_0)$ .

In conclusion we mention that there is another measure on partitions of  $n$  related to card shuffling, the so called  $q$ -shuffles, introduced by Bayer and Diaconis in [1] and studied by Diaconis, McGrath, and Pitman in [6]. It may also be interesting to consider to what extent these results on  $q$ -shuffles can be generalized to arbitrary finite groups of Lie type.

## References

- [1] D. Bayer, P. Diaconis, Trailing the dovetail shuffle to its lair, *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 2 (1992) 294–313.
- [2] R.W. Carter, *Finite Groups of Lie Type—Conjugacy Classes and Complex Characters*, 2nd ed., in: Wiley Classics Lib., Wiley, New York, 1985.
- [3] P. Cellini, A general commutative descent algebra, *J. Algebra* 175 (1995) 990–1014.
- [4] D. Deriziotis, The Brauer complex of a Chevalley group, *J. Algebra* 70 (1981) 261–269.
- [5] D. Deriziotis, Centralizers of semisimple elements in a Chevalley Group, *Comm. Algebra* 9 (1981) 1997–2014.
- [6] P. Diaconis, M. McGrath, J. Pitman, Riffle shuffles, cycles and descents, *Combinatorica* 15 (1995) 11–20.
- [7] J. Fulman, Applications of the Brauer complex: Card shuffling, permutation statistics, and dynamical systems, *J. Algebra* 243 (2001) 96–112.
- [8] R. Steinberg, Endomorphisms of Linear Algebraic Groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 80, 1968.