



About Leibniz cohomology and deformations of Lie algebras [☆]

A. Fialowski ^{a,*}, L. Magnin ^b, A. Mandal ^c

^a Institute of Mathematics, Eötvös Loránd University, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, H-1117 Budapest, Hungary

^b Institut de Mathématiques de Bourgogne, UMR CNRS 5584, Université de Bourgogne, BP 47870, 21078 Dijon Cedex, France

^c University of Luxembourg, Campus Kirchberg, Math. Research Unit, 6, rue Richard Coudenhove-Kelergi, L-1359 Luxembourg City, Luxembourg

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 31 December 2010

Available online 18 March 2013

Communicated by Vera Serganova

MSC:

primary 17A32

secondary 17B56, 14D15

Keywords:

Leibniz algebra

Lie algebra

Cohomology

Versal deformation

ABSTRACT

We compare the second adjoint and trivial Leibniz cohomology spaces of a Lie algebra to the usual ones by a very elementary approach. The comparison gives some conditions, which are easy to verify for a given Lie algebra, for deciding whether it has more Leibniz deformations than just the Lie ones. We also give the complete description of the Leibniz (and Lie) universal infinitesimal deformation of the 4-dimensional diamond Lie algebra, used in the WZW model, and study the case of its 5-dimensional analogue by computing Massey products.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Leibniz algebras, along with their Leibniz cohomologies, were introduced in [8] as a non antisymmetric version of Lie algebras. Lie algebras are special Leibniz algebras, and Pirashvili introduced [16] a spectral sequence, that, when applied to Lie algebras, measures the difference between the Lie algebra cohomology and the Leibniz cohomology. Now, Lie algebras have deformations as Leibniz algebras and those are governed by adjoint Leibniz 2-cocycles. For Lie algebra cohomology we refer to [7,6]. In the present paper, we focus on the second Leibniz cohomology groups $HL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, $HL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$ for

[☆] The research of the first author was partially supported by the Hungarian grant OTKA K77757. The third author thanks the Luxembourgian NRF for support via AFR grant PDR-09-062.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: fialowsk@cs.elte.hu (A. Fialowski), magnin@u-bourgogne.fr (L. Magnin), ashis.mandal@uni.lu (A. Mandal).

adjoint and trivial representations of a complex Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . We adopt a very elementary approach, not resorting to the Pirashvili spectral sequence, to compare $HL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ and $HL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$ to $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ and $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$ respectively. In both cases, HL^2 is the direct sum of 3 spaces: $H^2 \oplus ZL_0^2 \oplus \mathcal{C}$ where H^2 is the Lie algebra cohomology group, ZL_0^2 is the space of symmetric Leibniz 2-cocycles and \mathcal{C} is a space of coupled Leibniz 2-cocycles, the nonzero elements of which have the property that their symmetric and antisymmetric parts are not Leibniz cocycles. Our comparison gives some useful practical information about the structure of Lie and Leibniz cocycles. We analyse the case of Heisenberg algebras, the 4-dimensional diamond algebra which is used to construct a Wess–Zumino–Witten model, and its 5-dimensional analogue. We completely describe the universal infinitesimal Leibniz and Lie deformations of the diamond algebra by computing Massey products.

The final version of the paper was completed during the first author's stay at the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics Bonn. The authors would like to thank Jim Stasheff and the referee for their valuable comments.

2. Leibniz cohomology and deformations

Recall that a (right) Leibniz algebra is an algebra \mathfrak{g} with a (not necessarily antisymmetric) bracket, such that the right adjoint operations $[-, Z]$ are required to be derivations for any $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. In the presence of antisymmetry, that is equivalent to the Jacobi identity, hence any Lie algebra is a Leibniz algebra.

The Leibniz cohomology $HL^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ of a finite dimensional Leibniz algebra is defined from the complex $CL^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes \bullet}, \mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g} \otimes (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\otimes \bullet}$ with the Leibniz coboundary δ defined for $\psi \in CL^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta\psi)(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n+1}) \\ = [X_1, \psi(X_2, \dots, X_{n+1})] + \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} (-1)^i [\psi(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_{n+1}), X_i] \\ + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (-1)^{j+1} \psi(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, [X_i, X_j], X_{i+1}, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

(If \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra, δ coincides with the usual coboundary d on $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*$.)

For $\psi \in CL^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = C^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*$

$$(\delta\psi)(X, Y) = [X, \psi(Y)] + [\psi(X), Y] - \psi([X, Y]).$$

For $\psi \in CL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g} \otimes (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\otimes 2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta\psi)(X, Y, Z) &= [X, \psi(Y, Z)] + [\psi(X, Z), Y] - [\psi(X, Y), Z] \\ &\quad - \psi([X, Y], Z) + \psi(X, [Y, Z]) + \psi([X, Z], Y). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, the Leibniz cohomology $HL^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$ with trivial coefficients is defined from the complex $CL^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) = (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\otimes \bullet}$ with the Leibniz coboundary $\delta_{\mathbb{C}}$ defined for $\psi \in CL^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_{\mathbb{C}}\psi)(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n+1}) \\ = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (-1)^{j+1} \psi(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, [X_i, X_j], X_{i+1}, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

If \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra, $\delta_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the usual coboundary $d_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) = \bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*$.

For $\psi \in CL^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{g}^*$,

$$(\delta_{\mathbb{C}}\psi)(X, Y) = -\psi([X, Y]).$$

For $\psi \in CL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) = (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\otimes 2}$,

$$(\delta_{\mathbb{C}}\psi)(X, Y, Z) = -\psi([X, Y], Z) + \psi(X, [Y, Z]) + \psi([X, Z], Y).$$

For computing Leibniz deformations, we need to consider the 2- and 3-dimensional cohomology cocycles.

Let \mathbb{K} be a field of characteristic zero. A deformation of a Lie algebra with (nontrivial) base was introduced in [1]. We recall the notion of deformation of a Lie (Leibniz) algebra \mathfrak{g} (L) over a commutative unital algebra base A , with a fixed augmentation $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and maximal ideal \mathfrak{M} . Assume $\dim(\mathfrak{M}^k/\mathfrak{M}^{k+1}) < \infty$ for every k (see [2,4]).

Definition 1. A deformation λ of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} (or a Leibniz algebra L) with base (A, \mathfrak{M}) , or simply with base A is an A -Lie algebra (or an A -Leibniz algebra) structure on the tensor product $A \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ (or $A \otimes L$) with the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\lambda}$ such that

$$\varepsilon \otimes \text{id} : A \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \quad (\text{or } \varepsilon \otimes \text{id} : A \otimes L \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \otimes L)$$

is an A -Lie algebra (A -Leibniz algebra) homomorphism.

A deformation of the Lie (Leibniz) algebra \mathfrak{g} (L) with base A is called *infinitesimal*, or *first order*, if in addition to this $\mathfrak{M}^2 = 0$. We call a deformation of *order* k , if $\mathfrak{M}^{k+1} = 0$. A deformation with base is called *local* if A is a local algebra over \mathbb{K} , which means A has a unique maximal ideal.

Suppose A is a complete local algebra ($A = \varprojlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A/\mathfrak{M}^n)$), where \mathfrak{M} is the maximal ideal in A .

Then a deformation of \mathfrak{g} (L) with base A which is obtained as the projective limit of deformations of \mathfrak{g} (L) with base A/\mathfrak{M}^n is called a *formal deformation* of \mathfrak{g} (L).

Definition 2. (See [2].) Let C be a complete local algebra. A formal deformation η of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} (Leibniz algebra L) with base C is called *miniversal* if

- (i) for any formal deformation λ of \mathfrak{g} (L) with base A there exists a homomorphism $f : C \rightarrow A$ such that the deformation λ is equivalent to $f_*\eta$;
- (ii) if A satisfies the condition $\mathfrak{M}^2 = 0$, then f is unique.

If (i) is satisfied, we call the deformation *versal*.

Theorem 1. (See [2,4].) If $H^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$ is finite dimensional, then there exists a miniversal deformation of \mathfrak{g} (similarly for a Leibniz algebra L).

In [1] a construction of a miniversal deformation of a Lie algebra was given and it was generalized to Leibniz algebras in [4]. A computation for a specific Leibniz algebra example was given in [3].

3. Comparison of the cohomology spaces HL^2 and H^2 for a Lie algebra

In [16] the relation between Chevalley–Eilenberg and Leibniz homology with coefficients in a right module was considered via a spectral sequence. The statements are valid in the cohomological version as well. As a corollary, one deduces

Proposition 1. (See [16].) Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra over a field \mathbb{K} and M be a right \mathfrak{g} -module. If

$$H_*(\mathfrak{g}, M) = 0, \quad \text{then } HL_*(\mathfrak{g}, M) = 0.$$

As the similar statement is true for cohomology, it implies that rigid Lie algebras are Leibniz rigid as well.

Now we describe the Leibniz 2-cohomology spaces with the help of Lie 2-cohomology space of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

Recall that a symmetric bilinear form $B \in S^2\mathfrak{g}^*$ is invariant, i.e. $B \in (S^2\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}}$ if and only if $B([Z, X], Y) = -B(X, [Z, Y])$ for every $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. The Koszul map [7] $\mathcal{I} : (S^2\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow (\bigwedge^3\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset Z^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$ is defined by $\mathcal{I}(B) = I_B$, with $I_B(X, Y, Z) = B([X, Y], Z)$ for every $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 1. (See [12].) Denote $\mathcal{C}^2\mathfrak{g} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. The projection $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathcal{C}^2\mathfrak{g}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\varpi : \ker \mathcal{I} \rightarrow S^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathcal{C}^2\mathfrak{g})^*.$$

Proof. For $B \in \ker \mathcal{I}$, define $\varpi(B) \in S^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathcal{C}^2\mathfrak{g})^*$ by

$$\varpi(B)(\pi(X), \pi(Y)) = B(X, Y) \quad \text{for every } X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The map $\varpi(B)$ is well defined, since for $X, Y, U, V \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$\begin{aligned} B(X + [U, V], Y) &= B(X, Y) + B([U, V], Y) \\ &= B(X, Y) + I_B(U, V, Y) \\ &= B(X, Y) \quad (\text{since } I_B = 0). \end{aligned}$$

It is injective since $\varpi(B) = 0$ implies $B(X, Y) = 0$ for every $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

To prove that it is onto, let $\bar{B} \in S^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathcal{C}^2\mathfrak{g})^*$, and let $B_{\pi} \in S^2\mathfrak{g}^*$ defined by $B_{\pi}(X, Y) = \bar{B}(\pi(X), \pi(Y))$. Then

$$B_{\pi}([X, Y], Z) = \bar{B}(\pi([X, Y], \pi(Z)) = \bar{B}(0, \pi(Z)) = 0$$

for every $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$, hence $B_{\pi} \in (S^2\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $B_{\pi} \in \ker \mathcal{I}$. Now, $\varpi(B_{\pi}) = \bar{B}$. \square

From the lemma it follows that $\dim(S^2\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} = \frac{p(p+1)}{2} + \dim \text{Im } \mathcal{I}$, with $p = \dim H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$. For a reductive Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , $\dim(S^2\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} = \dim H^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$. Note also that the restriction of $\delta_{\mathbb{C}}$ to $(S^2\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is $-\mathcal{I}$.

Definition 3. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is said to be \mathcal{I} -null (resp. \mathcal{I} -exact) if $\mathcal{I} = 0$ (resp. $\text{Im } \mathcal{I} \subset B^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$).

For more details on \mathcal{I} -null Lie algebras, see [12].

Example 1. The $(2N + 1)$ -dimensional complex Heisenberg Lie algebra \mathcal{H}_N ($N \geq 1$) with basis $(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 2N+1}$ and nonzero commutators (with anticommutativity) $[x_i, x_{N+i}] = x_{2N+1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$) is \mathcal{I} -null since, for any $B \in (S^2\mathcal{H}_N^*)^{\mathcal{H}_N}$, $B(x_i, x_{2N+1}) = B(x_i, [x_i, x_{N+i}]) = -B([x_i, x_i], x_{N+i}) = 0$ (similarly with x_{N+i} instead of x_i) ($1 \leq i \leq N$), and $B(x_{2N+1}, x_{2N+1}) = B(x_{2N+1}, [x_1, x_{N+1}]) = -B([x_1, x_{2N+1}], x_{N+1}) = 0$.

If \mathfrak{c} denotes the center of \mathfrak{g} , then $\mathfrak{c} \otimes (S^2\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the space of \mathfrak{g} -invariant \mathfrak{c} -valued symmetric bilinear maps and we denote $F = \text{Id} \otimes \mathcal{I} : \mathfrak{c} \otimes (S^2\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow C^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \bigwedge^3\mathfrak{g}^*$. Then $\text{Im } F = \mathfrak{c} \otimes \text{Im } \mathcal{I}$.

Theorem 2. Let \mathfrak{g} be any finite dimensional complex Lie algebra and $ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$) be the space of symmetric adjoint (resp. trivial) Leibniz 2-cocycles.

$$(i) \quad ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{c} \otimes \ker \mathcal{I}.$$

In particular, $\dim ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = c \frac{p(p+1)}{2}$ where $c = \dim \mathfrak{c}$ (and $p = \dim \mathfrak{g}/C^2 \mathfrak{g} = \dim H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$).

- (ii) $ZL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})/(Z^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \oplus ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})) \cong (\mathfrak{c} \otimes \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{I}) \cap B^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$.
- (iii) $HL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \cong H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \oplus (\mathfrak{c} \otimes \ker \mathcal{I}) \oplus ((\mathfrak{c} \otimes \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{I}) \cap B^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}))$.
- (iv) $ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) = \ker \mathcal{I}$.
- (v) $ZL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})/(Z^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) \oplus ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})) \cong \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{I} \cap B^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$.
- (vi) $HL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \ker \mathcal{I} \oplus (\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{I} \cap B^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}))$.

Proof. (i) The Leibniz 2-cochain space $CL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g} \otimes (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\otimes 2}$ decomposes as $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^*) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes S^2 \mathfrak{g}^*)$ where $\mathfrak{g} \otimes S^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$ is the space of symmetric elements in $CL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$. By definition of the Leibniz coboundary δ , one has for $\psi \in CL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ and $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$(\delta\psi)(X, Y, Z) = u + v + w + r + s + t \quad (1)$$

with $u = [X, \psi(Y, Z)]$, $v = [\psi(X, Z), Y]$, $w = -[\psi(X, Y), Z]$, $r = -\psi([X, Y], Z)$, $s = \psi(X, [Y, Z])$, $t = \psi([X, Z], Y)$. Here δ coincides with the Lie coboundary operator on $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$. Now, let $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_0 \in CL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, $\psi_1 \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$, $\psi_0 \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes S^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$.

Suppose $\psi \in ZL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$: $\delta\psi = 0 = \delta\psi_1 + \delta\psi_0 = d\psi_1 + \delta\psi_0$. Then $\delta\psi_0 = -d\psi_1 \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \bigwedge^3 \mathfrak{g}^*$ is antisymmetric. Then permuting X and Y in formula (1) for ψ_0 yields $(\delta\psi_0)(Y, X, Z) = -v - u + w - r + t + s$. As $\delta\psi_0$ is antisymmetric, we get

$$w + s + t = 0. \quad (2)$$

Now, the circular permutation (X, Y, Z) in (1) for ψ_0 yields

$$(\delta\psi_0)(Y, Z, X) = -v - w + u - s - t + r.$$

Again, by antisymmetry,

$$v + w + s + t = 0, \quad (3)$$

i.e. $(\delta\psi_0)(X, Y, Z) = u + r$.

From (2) and (3), $v = 0$. Applying twice the circular permutation (X, Y, Z) to v , we get first $w = 0$ and then $u = 0$. Hence $(\delta\psi_0)(X, Y, Z) = r = -\psi_0([X, Y], Z)$. Note first that $u = 0$ reads $[X, \psi_0(Y, Z)] = 0$. As X, Y, Z are arbitrary, ψ_0 is \mathfrak{c} -valued. Now the permutation of Y and Z changes r to $-t = s$ (from (3)). Again, by antisymmetry of $\delta\psi_0$, $r = t = -s$. As X, Y, Z are arbitrary, one gets $\psi_0 \in \mathfrak{c} \otimes (S^2 \mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}}$. Now $F(\psi_0) = -r = -\delta\psi_0 = d\psi_1 \in B^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$. Hence

$$\psi_0 \in ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \Leftrightarrow F(\psi_0) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \psi_1 \in Z^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \Leftrightarrow \psi_0 \in \mathfrak{c} \otimes \ker \mathcal{I}.$$

The proof of (i) is finished.

(ii) Consider now the linear map

$$\Phi : ZL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow F^{-1}(B^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}))/\ker F$$

defined by $\psi \mapsto [\psi_0] \pmod{\ker F}$. The map Φ is onto: for any $[\varphi_0] \in F^{-1}(B^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}))/\ker F$, $\varphi_0 \in \mathfrak{c} \otimes (S^2 \mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}}$. In that case it follows that $\delta(\varphi_0) = -F(\varphi_0)$. We have $F(\varphi_0) \in B^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, hence $F(\varphi_0) = d\varphi_1$,

$\varphi_1 \in C^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, and then $\varphi = \varphi_0 + \varphi_1$ is a Leibniz cocycle such that $\Phi(\varphi) = [\varphi_0]$. Now $\ker \Phi = Z^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \oplus ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, since condition $[\psi_0] = [0]$ reads $\psi_0 \in \ker F$ which is equivalent to $\psi \in Z^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \oplus ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$. Hence Φ yields an isomorphism

$$ZL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) / (Z^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \oplus ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})) \cong F^{-1}(B^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})) / \ker F$$

The latter is isomorphic to $\text{Im } F \cap B^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \cong (\mathfrak{c} \otimes \text{Im } \mathcal{I}) \cap B^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$.

(iii) results immediately from (i) and (ii) since $BL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = B^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ as the Leibniz differential on $CL^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g} = C^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ coincides with the Lie one.

(iv)–(vi) are similar. \square

Remark 1. Since $\ker \mathcal{I} \oplus (\text{Im } \mathcal{I} \cap B^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})) \cong \ker h$ where h denotes \mathcal{I} composed with the projection of $Z^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$ onto $H^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$, the result (vi) is the same as in [13].

Remark 2. Any supplementary subspace to $Z^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) \oplus ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$ in $ZL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$ consists of coupled Leibniz 2-cocycles, i.e. the nonzero elements have the property that their symmetric and antisymmetric parts are not cocycles. To get such a supplementary subspace, pick any supplementary subspace W to $\ker \mathcal{I}$ in $(S^2 \mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and take $\mathcal{C} = \{B + \omega; B \in W \cap \mathcal{I}^{-1}(B^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})), I_B = d\omega\}$.

Definition 4. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is *adjoint (resp. trivial) ZL^2 -uncoupled* if

$$(\mathfrak{c} \otimes \text{Im } \mathcal{I}) \cap B^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \{0\} \quad (\text{resp. } \text{Im } \mathcal{I} \cap B^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) = \{0\}).$$

The class of adjoint ZL^2 -uncoupled Lie algebras is rather extensive since it contains all zero-center Lie algebras and all \mathcal{I} -null Lie algebras. For a Lie algebra with nonzero center, the adjoint ZL^2 -uncoupled property implies that it is trivially ZL^2 -uncoupled, since $\mathfrak{c} \otimes (\text{Im } \mathcal{I} \cap B^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})) \subset (\mathfrak{c} \otimes \text{Im } \mathcal{I}) \cap B^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$. The reciprocal holds obviously true for \mathcal{I} -exact Lie algebras. However we do not know if it holds true in general (e.g. we do not know of a nilpotent Lie algebra which is not \mathcal{I} -exact).

Corollary 1. (i) $HL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \cong H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \oplus (\mathfrak{c} \otimes \ker \mathcal{I})$ if and only if \mathfrak{g} is adjoint ZL^2 -uncoupled.

(ii) $HL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \ker \mathcal{I}$ if and only if \mathfrak{g} is trivially ZL^2 -uncoupled.

Corollary 2. For any Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with trivial center $\mathfrak{c} = \{0\}$,

$$HL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}).$$

Remark 3. This fact also follows from the cohomological version of Theorem A in [16].

Proof. Before proving this, we need to explain some notation.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra. Consider the product map $m : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \wedge^n \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \wedge^{n+1} \mathfrak{g}$ in the exterior algebra. This map yields an epimorphism of chain complexes

$$C_*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow C_*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})[-1],$$

where $C_*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$ is the reduced chain complex:

$$C_0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) = 0, \quad C_i(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) = C_i(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{for } i > 0.$$

Define the reduced chain complex $CR_*(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $CR_*(\mathfrak{g}[1])$ is the kernel of the epimorphism $C_*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow C_*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})[-1]$. Denote the cohomology of $CR_*(\mathfrak{g})$ by $HR_*(\mathfrak{g})$.

Let now M be a right \mathfrak{g} -module. The natural epimorphism

$$CL_*(\mathfrak{g}, M) \rightarrow C_*(\mathfrak{g}, M)$$

is a morphism of chain complexes, which is an isomorphism in dimensions 0 and 1. We define $C_*^{rel}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ such that $C_*^{rel}(\mathfrak{g}, M)[2]$ is the kernel of the above epimorphism. Let

$$H_*^{rel}(\mathfrak{g}, M) = H_*(C_*^{rel}(\mathfrak{g}, M)).$$

We have the exact sequence in [16]:

$$0 \leftarrow H_2(\mathfrak{g}, M) \leftarrow HL_2(\mathfrak{g}, M) \leftarrow H_0^{rel}(\mathfrak{g}, M) \leftarrow H_3(\mathfrak{g}, M) \leftarrow \dots$$

Let us now recall Theorem A in [16].

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra and M be a right \mathfrak{g} -module. Then there exists a spectral sequence

$$E_{pq}^2 = HR_p(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes HL_q(\mathfrak{g}, M) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}^{rel}(\mathfrak{g}, M).$$

As the center of our Lie algebra is 0, it follows that $E_{00}^2 = 0$, and so we get $H_0^{rel}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = 0$. But then from the above exact sequence we get

$$HL_2(\mathfrak{g}, M) = H_2(\mathfrak{g}, M). \quad \square$$

Corollary 3. For any reductive Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with center \mathfrak{c} ,

$$HL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \oplus (\mathfrak{c} \otimes S^2 \mathfrak{c}^*),$$

and $\dim HL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = c^3$, whereas $\dim H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \frac{c^2(c-1)}{2}$ with $c = \dim \mathfrak{c}$.

Proof. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$ with $\mathfrak{s} = \mathcal{C}^2 \mathfrak{g}$ semisimple. We first prove that \mathfrak{g} is adjoint ZL^2 -uncoupled. We have

$$\mathfrak{c} \otimes (S^2 \mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} = (\mathfrak{c} \otimes (S^2 \mathfrak{s}^*)^{\mathfrak{s}}) \oplus (\mathfrak{c} \otimes S^2 \mathfrak{c}^*) = \mathfrak{c} (S^2 \mathfrak{s}^*)^{\mathfrak{s}} \oplus \mathfrak{c} (S^2 \mathfrak{c}^*).$$

Suppose first \mathfrak{s} simple. Then any bilinear symmetric invariant form on \mathfrak{s} is some multiple of the Killing form K . Hence $\mathfrak{c} \otimes (S^2 \mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{c}(\mathbb{C}K) \oplus \mathfrak{c}(S^2 \mathfrak{c}^*)$. For any $\psi_0 \in \mathfrak{c} \otimes (S^2 \mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}}$, $F(\psi_0)$ is some linear combination of copies of I_K . We know that I_K is not a coboundary. Hence if we suppose that $F(\psi_0)$ is a coboundary, necessarily $F(\psi_0) = 0$. So \mathfrak{g} is adjoint ZL^2 -uncoupled when \mathfrak{s} is simple.

Now, if \mathfrak{s} is not simple, \mathfrak{s} can be decomposed as a direct sum $\mathfrak{s}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{s}_m$ of simple ideals of \mathfrak{s} . Then $(S^2 \mathfrak{s}^*)^{\mathfrak{s}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m (S^2 \mathfrak{s}_i^*)^{\mathfrak{s}_i} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{C}K_i$ (K_i Killing form of \mathfrak{s}_i). The same reasoning applies and shows that \mathfrak{g} is adjoint ZL^2 -uncoupled. From (ii) in Theorem 2, $ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{c} \otimes S^2 \mathfrak{c}^*$. We have $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{s}_i) \oplus H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c})$. As \mathfrak{s}_i is a nontrivial \mathfrak{g} -module, $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{s}_i) = \{0\}$. Hence $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \bigwedge^2(\mathfrak{c}^*)$ for any reductive Lie algebra. So its dimension is $\frac{c(c-1)}{2}$. As we already know that the dimension of $\mathfrak{c} \oplus S^2(\mathfrak{c}^*)$ is equal to $\frac{c^2(c+1)}{2}$, it follows that $\dim HL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = c^3$. \square

4. Examples

For $\omega, \pi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, \odot stands for the symmetric product $\omega \odot \pi = 1/2(\omega \otimes \pi + \pi \otimes \omega)$.

Example 2. For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n)$,

$$HL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{C}x_{n^2} \otimes \mathbb{C}(\omega^{n^2} \odot \omega^{n^2}),$$

where $(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n^2}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{g} such that $(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n^2-1}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ and x_{n^2} is the identity matrix, and $(\omega^i)_{1 \leq i \leq n^2}$ the dual basis to $(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n^2}$. Hence there is a unique Leibniz deformation of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$.

Example 3. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{H}_N$ be the $(2N+1)$ -dimensional complex Heisenberg Lie algebra ($N \geq 1$) as in Example 1.

- (i) $ZL_0^2(\mathcal{H}_N, \mathcal{H}_N)$ has basis $(x_{2N+1} \otimes (\omega^i \odot \omega^j))_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 2N}$ with $(\omega^i)_{1 \leq i \leq 2N+1}$ the dual basis to $(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 2N+1}$.

This follows from $\ker \mathcal{I} = S^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathcal{C}^2\mathfrak{g})^*$.

(ii)

$$\dim ZL_0^2(\mathcal{H}_N, \mathcal{H}_N) = \dim B^2(\mathcal{H}_N, \mathcal{H}_N) = N(2N+1)$$

$$\dim HL^2(\mathcal{H}_N, \mathcal{H}_N) = \dim Z^2(\mathcal{H}_N, \mathcal{H}_N) = \begin{cases} \frac{N}{3}(8N^2 + 6N + 1) & \text{if } N \geq 2 \\ 8 & \text{if } N = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let us note that \mathcal{H}_N is adjoint ZL^2 -uncoupled since it is \mathcal{I} -null. The result then follows from the fact that $\dim B^2(\mathcal{H}_N, \mathcal{H}_N) = N(2N+1)$ and for $N \geq 2$, $\dim H^2(\mathcal{H}_N, \mathcal{H}_N) = \frac{2N}{3}(4N^2 - 1)$ [9].

Remark 4. The case $N = 1$ was studied in [3]. In that case, $\dim ZL_0^2(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_1) = 3$ and the 3 Leibniz deformations which are not Lie deformations, are nilpotent, in contradistinction with the 5 Lie deformations. The authors completely describe a Leibniz versal deformation of the 3-dimensional Heisenberg algebra.

Example 4. The 4-dimensional complex solvable “diamond” Lie algebra \mathfrak{d} has basis (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) and nonzero commutation relations (with anticommutativity)

$$[x_1, x_2] = x_3, \quad [x_1, x_3] = -x_2, \quad [x_2, x_3] = x_4. \quad (4)$$

The relations show that \mathfrak{d} is an extension of the one-dimensional abelian Lie algebra $\mathbb{C}x_1$ by the Heisenberg algebra \mathfrak{n}_3 with basis x_2, x_3, x_4 . It is also known as the Nappi–Witten Lie algebra [14] or the central extension of the Poincaré Lie algebra in two dimensions. It is a solvable quadratic Lie algebra, as it admits a nondegenerate bilinear symmetric invariant form. Because of these properties, it plays an important role in conformal field theory.

We can use \mathfrak{d} to construct a Wess–Zumino–Witten model, which describes a homogeneous four-dimensional Lorentz-signature space time [14]. It is easy to check that \mathfrak{d} is \mathcal{I} -exact. In fact, one can verify that all other solvable 4-dimensional Lie algebras are \mathcal{I} -null (for a list, see e.g. [15]).

Consider \mathfrak{d} as a Leibniz algebra with basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ over \mathbb{C} . Define a bracket $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{d} \times \mathfrak{d} \rightarrow \mathfrak{d}$ by $[e_2, e_3] = e_1$, $[e_3, e_2] = -e_1$, $[e_2, e_4] = e_2$, $[e_4, e_2] = -e_2$, $[e_3, e_4] = e_2 - e_3$ and $[e_4, e_3] = e_3 - e_2$, all other products of basis elements being 0.

We get a new basis satisfying the usual commutation relations (4) by letting

$$x_1 = ie_4, \quad x_2 = e_3, \quad x_3 = i(-e_2 + e_3), \quad x_4 = ie_1. \quad (5)$$

One should mention that even though these two forms are equivalent over \mathbb{C} , they represent the two nonisomorphic real forms of the complex diamond algebra.

We found that by considering Leibniz algebra deformations of \mathfrak{d} one gets more structures. Indeed it gives not only extra structures but also keeps the Lie structures obtained by considering Lie algebra deformations. To get the precise deformations we need to consider the cohomology groups.

We compute cohomologies necessary for our purpose. First consider the Leibniz cohomology space $HL^2(L; L)$. Our computation consists of the following steps:

- (i) determine a basis of the space of cocycles $ZL^2(L; L)$,
- (ii) determine a basis of the coboundary space $BL^2(L; L)$,
- (iii) determine a basis of the quotient space $HL^2(L; L)$.

(i) Let $\psi \in ZL^2(L; L)$. Then $\psi : L \otimes L \rightarrow L$ is a linear map and $\delta\psi = 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\psi(e_i, e_j, e_k) &= [\psi(e_i, e_j), e_k] + [\psi(e_i, e_k), e_j] - [\psi(e_i, e_k), e_j] - \psi([e_i, e_j], e_k) \\ &\quad + \psi(e_i, [e_j, e_k]) + \psi([e_i, e_k], e_j) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i, j, k \leq 4. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\psi(e_i, e_j) = \sum_{k=1}^4 a_{i,j}^k e_k$ where $a_{i,j}^k \in \mathbb{C}$; for $1 \leq i, j, k \leq 4$. Since $\delta\psi = 0$, equating the coefficients of e_1, e_2, e_3 and e_4 in $\delta\psi(e_i, e_j, e_k)$ we get the following relations:

- (i) $a_{1,1}^1 = a_{1,1}^2 = a_{1,1}^3 = a_{1,1}^4 = a_{1,2}^1 = a_{1,2}^2 = a_{1,2}^3 = a_{1,2}^4 = 0$;
- (ii) $a_{1,3}^4 = a_{1,4}^3 = a_{1,4}^4 = a_{2,1}^1 = a_{2,1}^2 = a_{2,1}^3 = a_{2,1}^4 = a_{2,2}^1 = a_{2,2}^2 = a_{2,2}^3 = a_{2,2}^4 = 0$;
- (iii) $a_{3,1}^4 = a_{3,3}^2 = a_{3,3}^3 = a_{3,3}^4 = a_{4,1}^1 = a_{4,1}^2 = a_{4,1}^3 = a_{4,1}^4 = a_{4,4}^1 = a_{4,4}^2 = a_{4,4}^3 = a_{4,4}^4 = 0$;
- (iv) $a_{1,2}^2 = -a_{2,1}^2 = a_{1,3}^2 = -a_{1,3}^3 = -a_{3,1}^2 = a_{3,1}^3$;
- (v) $a_{1,3}^1 = -a_{3,1}^1 = a_{1,4}^2 = -a_{4,1}^2$;
- (vi) $a_{2,3}^2 = -a_{3,2}^2 = -a_{2,4}^4 = a_{4,2}^4$; $a_{2,3}^4 = -a_{3,2}^4$; $a_{2,3}^2 = -a_{3,2}^2$;
- (vii) $a_{2,4}^1 = -a_{4,2}^1$; $a_{2,4}^2 = -a_{4,2}^2$; $a_{2,4}^3 = -a_{4,2}^3$;
- (viii) $a_{3,4}^1 = -a_{4,3}^1$; $a_{3,4}^2 = -a_{4,3}^2$; $a_{3,4}^3 = -a_{4,3}^3$; $a_{3,4}^4 = -a_{4,3}^4$;
- (ix) $a_{3,4}^3 = (a_{14}^1 - a_{24}^2)$; $a_{3,4}^4 = (a_{14}^2 + a_{23}^2)$;
- (x) $a_{33}^1 = \frac{1}{2}(a_{23}^1 + a_{32}^1)$; $a_{41}^1 = -(a_{14}^1 + a_{23}^1 + a_{32}^1)$.

Let us order the basis $\{e_i \otimes e_j\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4}$ of $L \otimes L$ and $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 4}$ of L lexicographically by $(i, j) \leq (k, l)$ if $i \leq k$ or $i = k$ and $j = l$. Then the transpose of the matrix corresponding to ψ is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_{15} & x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_{15} + x_2 & x_1 & -x_1 & 0 \\ x_3 & x_2 & x_{15} & 0 \\ -x_{15} & -x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_4 & -x_{15} + x_5 & x_{15} + x_6 & x_7 \\ x_8 & x_9 & x_{10} & -x_6 \\ -x_{15} - x_2 & -x_1 & x_1 & 0 \\ x_{11} & x_{15} - x_5 & -x_{15} - x_6 & -x_7 \\ 1/2x_4 + 1/2x_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_{12} & x_{13} & -x_9 + x_3 & x_2 + x_5 \\ -x_4 - x_{11} - x_3 & -x_2 & -x_{15} & 0 \\ -x_8 & -x_9 & -x_{10} & x_6 \\ -x_{12} & -x_{13} & x_9 - x_3 & -x_2 - x_5 \\ x_{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here $x_1 = -a_{2,1}^2$, $x_2 = a_{1,4}^2$, $x_4 = a_{1,3}^2$, $x_6 = a_{4,2}^4$, $x_7 = -a_{3,2}^4$, $x_8 = a_{1,4}^2$, $x_9 = a_{2,4}^2$, $x_{10} = a_{2,4}^3$, $x_{11} = a_{3,2}^1$, $x_{12} = -a_{4,3}^1$, $x_{13} = -a_{4,3}^2$, $x_{14} = a_{4,4}^1$, $x_3 = x_9 - a_{4,3}^3$, $x_5 = a_{3,4}^4 - x_2$, and $x_{15} = a_{2,3}^3 - x_6$.

Let $\phi_i \in ZL^2(L; L)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 15$, be the cocyle with $x_i = 1$ and $x_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$ in the above matrix of ψ . It is easy to check that $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{15}\}$ forms a basis of $ZL^2(L; L)$.

(ii) Let $\psi_0 \in BL^2(L; L)$. We have $\psi_0 = \delta g$ for some 1-cochain $g \in CL^1(L; L) = \text{Hom}(L; L)$. Suppose the matrix associated to ψ_0 is same as the above matrix.

Let $g(e_i) = a_i^1 e_1 + a_i^2 e_2 + a_i^3 e_3 + a_i^4 e_4$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The matrix associated to g is given by

$$(a_i^j)_{i,j=1,\dots,4}.$$

From the definition of coboundary we get

$$\delta g(e_i, e_j) = [e_i, g(e_j)] + [g(e_i), e_j] - g([e_i, e_j])$$

for $0 \leq i, j \leq 4$. The transpose matrix of δg can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a_1^3 & -a_1^4 & 0 & 0 \\ a_1^2 & -a_1^4 & a_1^4 & 0 \\ 0 & (a_1^2 + a_1^3) & -a_1^3 & 0 \\ a_1^3 & a_1^4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -(a_1^1 - a_2^2 - a_3^3) & -(a_1^2 + a_2^4 - a_3^4) & -(a_1^3 - a_2^4) & -a_1^4 \\ -(a_2^1 - a_3^3) & (a_2^3 + a_4^4) & -2a_2^3 & -a_2^4 \\ -a_1^2 & a_1^4 & -a_1^4 & 0 \\ (a_1^1 - a_2^2 - a_3^3) & (a_1^2 + a_2^4 - a_3^4) & (a_1^3 - a_2^4) & a_1^4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -(a_2^1 - a_3^1 + a_4^2) & -(a_2^2 - 2a_3^2 - a_3^3 - a_4^4) & -(a_2^3 + a_4^4) & -(a_2^4 - a_3^4) \\ 0 & -(a_1^2 + a_1^3) & a_1^3 & 0 \\ (a_2^1 - a_4^3) & -(a_2^3 + a_4^4) & 2a_2^3 & a_2^4 \\ (a_2^1 - a_3^1 + a_4^2) & (a_2^2 - 2a_3^2 - a_3^3 - a_4^4) & (a_2^3 + a_4^4) & (a_2^4 - a_3^4) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A matrix representing the cohomology classes with free variables $y_1 \dots y_4$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y_3 & 0 & 0 & y_2 \\ 1/2 y_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_1 & 0 \\ -y_3 - y_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -y_1 & 0 \\ y_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here, each cohomology class is represented by taking the cochain corresponding to setting one of the variables equal to 1 and the others equal to zero.

Let $\phi'_i \in BL^2(L; L)$ for $i = 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15$ be the coboundary with $x_i = 1$ and $x_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$ in the above matrix of ψ_0 . It follows that

$\{\phi'_1, \phi'_2, \phi'_4, \phi'_5, \phi'_6, \phi'_8, \phi'_9, \phi'_{10}, \phi'_{12}, \phi'_{13}, \phi'_{15}\}$ forms a basis of the coboundary space $BL^2(L; L)$.

(iii) It is straightforward to check that

$$\{[\phi_3], [\phi_7], [\phi_{11}], [\phi_{14}]\}$$

span $HL^2(L; L)$ where $[\phi_i]$ denotes the cohomology class represented by the cocycle ϕ_i .

Thus $\dim(HL^2(L; L)) = 4$.

The representative cocycles of the cohomology classes forming a basis of $HL^2(L; L)$ are given explicitly as the following.

- (1) $\phi_3 : \phi_3(e_1, e_4) = e_1, \phi_3(e_4, e_1) = -e_1; \phi_3(e_3, e_4) = e_3; \phi_3(e_4, e_3) = -e_3;$
- (2) $\phi_7 : \phi_7(e_2, e_3) = e_4, \phi_7(e_3, e_2) = -e_4;$
- (3) $\phi_{11} : \phi_{11}(e_3, e_2) = e_1, \phi_{11}(e_3, e_3) = \frac{1}{2}e_1, \phi_{11}(e_4, e_1) = -e_1;$
- (4) $\phi_{14} : \phi_{14}(e_4, e_4) = e_1.$

Here ϕ_3 and ϕ_7 are skew-symmetric, so $\phi_i \in \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 L; L) \subset \text{Hom}(L^{\otimes 2}; L)$ for $i = 3$ and 7 .

Consider $\mu_i = \mu_0 + t\phi_i$ for $i = 3, 7, 11, 14$, where μ_0 denotes the original bracket in L .

This gives 4 non-equivalent infinitesimal deformations of the Leibniz bracket μ_0 with μ_3 and μ_7 giving the Lie algebra structure on the factor space $L[[t]]/\langle t^2 \rangle$.

Now we have to compute the nontrivial Massey brackets which give relations on the base of the miniversal deformation.

Let us start to compute the nonzero brackets $[\phi_i, \phi_i]$ which are the obstructions to extending infinitesimal deformations. We find

$$[\phi_3, \phi_3] = 0, \quad [\phi_7, \phi_7] = 0.$$

That means that these two infinitesimal Lie deformations can be extended. In fact, they can be extended to real Lie deformations as follows.

We give the new nonzero Lie brackets (and their anticommutative analogue).

The first deformation

$$[e_2, e_3]_t = e_1 + te_4$$

$$[e_2, e_4]_t = e_2$$

$$[e_3, e_4]_t = e_2 - e_3$$

is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}$ for every nonzero value of t , see [5].

The second deformation represents a 2-parameter projective family $d(\lambda, \mu)$, for which each projective parameter (λ, μ) defines a nonisomorphic Lie algebra (in fact, the diamond algebra is a member of this family with $(\lambda, \mu) = (1, -1)$):

$$[e_2, e_3]_{\lambda, \mu} = e_1$$

$$[e_2, e_4]_{\lambda, \mu} = \lambda e_2$$

$$[e_3, e_4]_{\lambda, \mu} = e_2 + \mu e_3$$

$$[e_1, e_4]_{\lambda, \mu} = (\lambda + \mu)e_1.$$

Furthermore, we also have $[\phi_{14}, \phi_{14}] = 0$ which means that ϕ_{14} defines a real Leibniz deformation:

$$\begin{aligned}[e_2, e_3]_t &= e_1 \\ [e_2, e_4]_t &= e_2 \\ [e_3, e_4]_t &= e_2 - e_3 \\ [e_4, e_4]_t &= te_1.\end{aligned}$$

We note that this Leibniz algebra is not nilpotent.

For the bracket $[\phi_{11}, \phi_{11}]$ we get a nonzero 3-cocycle, so the infinitesimal Leibniz deformation with infinitesimal part being ϕ_{11} cannot be extended even to the next order. That means it gives a relation on the base of the versal deformation.

The nontrivial mixed brackets $[\phi_i, \phi_j]$ also determine relations on the base of the versal deformation.

Among the six possible cases $[\phi_3, \phi_{11}]$, $[\phi_3, \phi_{14}]$ and $[\phi_{11}, \phi_{14}]$ are nontrivial 3-cocycles, the others are represented by 3-coboundaries.

Thus we need to check the Massey 3-brackets which are defined, namely

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi_3, \phi_3, \phi_7 \rangle \\ \langle \phi_3, \phi_7, \phi_7 \rangle \\ \langle \phi_7, \phi_7, \phi_{11} \rangle \\ \langle \phi_7, \phi_7, \phi_{14} \rangle \\ \langle \phi_7, \phi_{14}, \phi_{14} \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

In these five possible Massey 3-brackets, only $\langle \phi_3, \phi_3, \phi_7 \rangle$ is represented by nontrivial cocycle.

So we now proceed to compute the possible Massey 4-brackets. We get that four of them are nontrivial:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi_3, \phi_7, \phi_7, \phi_{11} \rangle \\ \langle \phi_3, \phi_7, \phi_7, \phi_{14} \rangle \\ \langle \phi_7, \phi_7, \phi_{14}, \phi_{11} \rangle \\ \langle \phi_7, \phi_7, \phi_{14}, \phi_{14} \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

At the next step, we get that all the Massey 5-brackets which are defined are trivial.

So we can write the universal infinitesimal Leibniz deformation of our Lie algebra:

$$\begin{aligned}[e_1, e_2]_v &= [e_2, e_1]_v = [e_1, e_3]_v = [e_3, e_1]_v = 0, \\ [e_1, e_4]_v &= te_1, \quad [e_4, e_1]_v = -(t+u)e_1, \\ [e_2, e_3]_v &= e_1 + se_4, \quad [e_3, e_2]_v = (u-1)e_1 - se_4, \\ [e_2, e_4]_v &= e_2, \quad [e_4, e_2]_v = -e_2, \\ [e_3, e_4]_v &= e_2 + (t-1)e_3, \quad [e_4, e_3]_v = -e_2 + (1-t)e_3, \\ [e_1, e_1]_v &= [e_2, e_2]_v = 0, \quad [e_3, e_3]_v = 1/2ue_1, \\ [e_4, e_4]_v &= we_1.\end{aligned}$$

With the nontrivial Massey brackets and the identification $t = \phi_3, s = \phi_7, u = \phi_{11}, w = \phi_{14}$, we get that the base of the versal deformation is

$$\mathbb{C}[[t, s, u, w]]/\{u^2, tu, (t+u)w; t^2s; ts^2u, ts^2w, s^2uw, s^2w^2\}.$$

Example 5. The quadratic 5-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{5,4}$ [11] has commutation relations $[x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5$.

This is an extension of the trivial Lie algebra $\mathbb{C}x_1$ by the 4-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathbb{C}x_4 \times \mathfrak{n}_3$ (\mathfrak{n}_3 the 3-dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra $[x_2, x_3] = x_5$). As it is moreover the only 5-dimensional indecomposable nilpotent Lie algebra which is not \mathcal{I} -null, it can be considered as a 5-dimensional analogue of the diamond algebra \mathfrak{d} .

Let us first compute its trivial Leibniz cohomology. We here denote simply d for $d_{\mathbb{C}}$, and $\omega^{i,j}$ for $\omega^i \wedge \omega^j$ (see also [10]).

$B^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) = \langle d\omega^3 = -\omega^{1,2}, d\omega^4 = -\omega^{1,3}, d\omega^5 = -\omega^{2,3} \rangle$, $\dim Z^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) = 6$, $\dim H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) = 3$, $Z^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) = \langle \omega^{1,4}, \omega^{2,5}, \omega^{1,5} + \omega^{2,4} \rangle \oplus B^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$, $\dim ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) = 3$, $ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) \cong \ker \mathcal{I} = \langle \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1, \omega^1 \odot \omega^2, \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \rangle$, $\dim ZL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) = 10$, $\dim HL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) = 7$, and

$$ZL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) = Z^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) \oplus ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}g_1$$

$$HL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) = H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) \oplus ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}g_1$$

with $g_1 = B + \omega^{1,5}$ and $B = \omega^1 \odot \omega^5 - \omega^2 \odot \omega^4 + \omega^3 \otimes \omega^3$. (Here $\text{Im } \mathcal{I} = \mathbb{C}I_B = \mathbb{C}d\omega^{1,5}$ and $\text{Im } \mathcal{I} \cap B^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Im } \mathcal{I}$ is one-dimensional.) $\mathfrak{g}_{5,4}$ is not trivial ZL^2 -uncoupling (hence not adjoint ZL^2 -uncoupling either), and g_1 is a coupled Leibniz 2-cocycle.

Now let us turn to the adjoint Leibniz cohomology, which represents non-equivalent infinitesimal Leibniz deformations.

$\dim Z^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = 24$; $ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{c} \otimes \ker \mathcal{I}$ has dimension 6, $\dim ZL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = 32$,

$$ZL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = Z^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \oplus ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{C}G_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}G_2$$

$$HL^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \oplus ZL_0^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{C}G_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}G_2,$$

where G_1, G_2 are the following Leibniz 2-cocycles, each of which is coupled:

$$G_1 = x_5 \otimes (B + \omega^{1,5})$$

$$G_2 = x_4 \otimes (B + \omega^{1,5}).$$

Here $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ has dimension 9.

Of course these spaces are too huge to compute, but we would like to point out some structural similarity with the diamond algebra.

One may observe that the coupled cocycle ϕ_{11} of \mathfrak{d} reads in the basis (5)

$$\phi_{11} = -ix_4 \otimes (C - \omega^{2,3} + \omega^{1,4})$$

with $C = \omega^1 \odot \omega^4 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^3 \otimes \omega^3$ the non degenerate invariant bilinear form, a similarity with G_1, G_2 . The similarity extends to the fact that G_1, G_2 cannot be extended to the second level.

As for Lie deformations, $\mathfrak{g}_{5,4}$ has a number of deformations. Without identifying all of them, we list some:

1. A three-parameter solvable projective family $d(p : q : r)$ where $\mathfrak{g}_{5,4}$ belongs (it is its nilpotent element, with $p = q = r = 0$) with nonzero brackets

$$\begin{aligned} [x_3, x_4]_{p,q,r} &= x_2 \\ [x_1, x_5]_{p,q,r} &= rx_1 \\ [x_2, x_5]_{p,q,r} &= (p + q)x_2 \\ [x_3, x_5]_{p,q,r} &= px_3 + x_1 \\ [x_4, x_5]_{p,q,r} &= x_3 + qx_4. \end{aligned}$$

2. A solvable Lie algebra with nonzero brackets

$$\begin{aligned} [x_3, x_4] &= 2x_4 \\ [x_3, x_5] &= -2x_5 \\ [x_4, x_5] &= x_3 \\ [x_1, x_2] &= x_1. \end{aligned}$$

3. Another solvable Lie algebra with nonzero brackets

$$\begin{aligned} [x_3, x_4] &= 2x_4 \\ [x_3, x_5] &= -2x_5 \\ [x_4, x_5] &= x_3 \\ [x_1, x_3] &= x_1 \\ [x_2, x_5] &= x_1 \\ [x_2, x_3] &= -x_2 \\ [x_1, x_4] &= x_2. \end{aligned}$$

4. A 2-parameter solvable projective family with nonzero brackets

$$\begin{aligned} [x_2, x_5]_{p,q} &= x_1 + px_2 \\ [x_3, x_5]_{p,q} &= x_2 + qx_3 \\ [x_4, x_5]_{p,q} &= x_3 + (p + q)x_4 \\ [x_1, x_5]_{p,q} &= (p + q)x_1 \\ [x_2, x_3]_{p,q} &= pqx_1 \\ [x_2, x_4]_{p,q} &= qx_1 \\ [x_3, x_4]_{p,q} &= x_1. \end{aligned}$$

5. Another 2-parameter solvable projective family with nonzero brackets

$$[x_3, x_4]_{p,q} = x_2$$

$$[x_2, x_5]_{p,q} = (p + q)x_2$$

$$[x_3, x_5]_{p,q} = x_1 + px_3$$

$$[x_4, x_5]_{p,q} = x_3 + qx_4$$

$$[x_1, x_5]_{p,q} = (q + 2p)x_1$$

$$[x_2, x_3]_{p,q} = (p - q)x_1$$

$$[x_2, x_4]_{p,q} = x_1.$$

References

- [1] A. Fialowski, Deformations of Lie algebras, *Mat. Sb. USSR* 127 (169) (1985) 476–482, English translation: *Math. USSR-Sb.* 55 (2) (1986) 467–473.
- [2] A. Fialowski, An example of formal deformations of Lie algebras, in: *Proceedings of the NATO Conference on Deformation Theory of Algebras and Applications*, Il Ciocco, Italy, 1986, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1988, pp. 375–401.
- [3] A. Fialowski, A. Mandal, Leibniz algebra deformations of a Lie algebra, *J. Math. Phys.* 49 (2008) 093512, 10 pp.
- [4] A. Fialowski, A. Mandal, G. Mukherjee, Versal deformations of Leibniz algebras, *J. K-Theory* (2008), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/is008004027jkt049>.
- [5] A. Fialowski, M. Penkava, Versal deformations of four dimensional Lie algebras, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 9 (2007) 41–79.
- [6] A. Guichardet, *Cohomologie des groupes topologiques et des algèbres de Lie*, Cedec/Fernand Nathan, Paris, 1980.
- [7] J.L. Koszul, Homologie et cohomologie des algèbres de Lie, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 78 (1950) 67–127.
- [8] J.L. Loday, Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie: les algèbres de Leibniz, *Enseign. Math.* 39 (1993) 269–293.
- [9] L. Magnin, Cohomologie adjointe de algèbres de Heisenberg, *Comm. Algebra* 21 (1993) 2101–2129.
- [10] L. Magnin, Adjoint and trivial cohomologies of nilpotent complex Lie algebras of dimension ≤ 7 , *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2008 (2008), Article ID 805305, 12 pp.
- [11] L. Magnin, Determination of 7-dimensional indecomposable nilpotent complex Lie algebras by adjoining a derivation to 6-dimensional Lie algebras, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* 13 (6) (2010) 723–753, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10468-009-9172-3> (Online First), 2009.
- [12] L. Magnin, On \mathcal{L} -null Lie algebras, arXiv:1010.4660 [math.RA], 2010.
- [13] N. Hu, Y. Pei, D. Liu, A cohomological characterization of Leibniz central extensions of Lie algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 136 (2008) 437–477.
- [14] C.R. Nappi, E. Witten, Wess–Zumino–Witten model based on a nonsemisimple Lie group, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 71 (1993) 3751.
- [15] G. Ovando, Complex, symplectic and Kähler structures on 4 dimensional Lie groups, *Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina* 45 (2004) 55–67.
- [16] T. Pirashvili, On Leibniz homology, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 44 (1994) 401–411.