



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra



Hochschild homology and cohomology of down–up algebras[☆]



Sergio Chouhy^a, Estanislao Herscovich^{b,c,a,*}, Andrea Solotar^{c,a}

^a IMAS, UBA-CONICET, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Ciudad Universitaria, Pabellón I, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina

^b Institut Fourier, Université Grenoble Alpes, 100 rue des Maths, 38610 Gières, France

^c Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Ciudad Universitaria, Pabellón I, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 April 2017

Available online xxxx

Communicated by Volodymyr Mazorchuk

MSC:

16E40

16E05

16W50

Keywords:

Down–up algebra

Homology

Hochschild

Resolution

ABSTRACT

We present a detailed computation of the cyclic and the Hochschild homology and cohomology of generic and 3-Calabi–Yau homogeneous down–up algebras. This family was defined by Benkart and Roby in [3] in their study of differential posets. Our calculations are completely explicit, by making use of the Koszul bimodule resolution and some arguments similar to those used in [13] to compute the Hochschild cohomology of Yang–Mills algebras.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

[☆] This work has been supported by the projects UBACYT 20020130100533BA, UBACYT 20020130200169BA, PIP-CONICET 11220150100483CO, and MATHAMSUD-REPHOMOL. The second and third authors are research members of CONICET (Argentina).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: schouhy@dm.uba.ar (S. Chouhy), Estanislao.Herscovich@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr (E. Herscovich), asolotar@dm.uba.ar (A. Solotar).

1. Introduction

Motivated by the study of the algebra generated by the up and down operators in the theory of *differential* posets defined independently by R. Stanley in [19] and by S. Fomin in [10], or of *uniform* posets defined by P. Terwilliger in [21], G. Benkart and T. Roby introduced in [3] the notion of *down-up algebras*. Moreover, as noted by G. Benkart in [2], down-up algebras are isomorphic to some particular cases of the family of algebras considered by E. Witten in [25], Section 3, in his study of the state spaces of Chern–Simons gauge theory over $SU(2)$. This is relevant in statistical mechanics, since, according to previous work [24] of the same author, the evaluation of the expectation values of Wilson lines, which is done in terms of the representation theory of the algebras introduced by Witten and the theory of Jones polynomials, can be reduced to the evaluation of a two-dimensional statistical mechanical partition function.

Down-up algebras have been intensively studied in [2], [4], [7], [16], [26] among many other articles, and different kinds of generalizations have been defined. See [6] and [8]. Since the homological invariants of an algebra provide useful tools for its description as well as for the study of its representations, many of their homological properties were studied and in particular, a quite convenient projective resolution of the regular bimodule of a down-up algebra was constructed in general by S. Chouhy and A. Solotar in [9], whereas the case $\gamma = 0$ is a particular case of the bimodule Koszul resolution given by R. Berger and N. Marconnet in [5].

Let \mathbb{K} be a fixed field of characteristic 0. Given parameters $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{K}^3$, the associated *down-up algebra* $A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is defined as the quotient of the free associative algebra $\mathbb{K}\langle u, d \rangle$ by the ideal generated by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} d^2u - (\alpha dud + \beta ud^2 + \gamma d), \\ du^2 - (\alpha udu + \beta u^2d + \gamma u). \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

We shall sometimes denote a particular down-up algebra $A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ just by A to simplify the notation.

Amongst down-up algebras, $A(2, -1, 0)$ is isomorphic to the enveloping algebra of the Heisenberg–Lie algebra of dimension 3, and, for $\gamma \neq 0$, $A(2, -1, \gamma)$ is isomorphic to the enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{K})$. Moreover, Benkart proved in [2] that any down-up algebra such that $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$ is isomorphic to one of Witten’s 7-parameter deformations of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{K}))$.

Any of these algebras has a PBW basis given by

$$\{u^i (du)^j d^k : i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}\}. \quad (1.2)$$

Note that the down-up algebra $A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ can be regarded as a \mathbb{Z} -graded algebra where the degrees of u and d are respectively 1 and -1 . We shall refer to this grading as *special*,

and denote the special degree of an element $a \in A$ by $\text{s-deg}(a)$. In fact, $A = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_n$ where A_n is the \mathbb{K} -vector space spanned by the set $\{u^i(du)^j d^k \mid i - k = n\}$.

It is known [7] that if $A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is isomorphic to $A(\alpha', \beta', \gamma')$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{both } \alpha + \beta \text{ and } \alpha' + \beta' \text{ are 1 or different from 1,} \\ &\text{both } \gamma \text{ and } \gamma' \text{ are 0 or different from 0.} \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

The down-up algebra $A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is isomorphic to $A(\alpha, \beta, 1)$ for all $\gamma \neq 0$. Furthermore, if \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed, P. Carvalho and I. Musson showed in [7] that $A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is isomorphic to $A(\alpha', \beta', \gamma')$ if and only if the following conditions hold

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{either } \alpha' = \alpha \text{ and } \beta' = \beta, \text{ or } \alpha' = -\alpha^{-1}\beta \text{ and } \beta' = \beta^{-1}, \\ &\text{both } \gamma \text{ and } \gamma' \text{ are 0 or different from 0.} \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

E. Kirkman, I. Musson and D. Passman proved in [15] that $A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is noetherian if and only if it is a domain, which is tantamount to the fact that the subalgebra of $A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ generated by ud and du is a polynomial algebra in two indeterminates, that in turn is equivalent to $\beta \neq 0$. Under either of the previous situations, $A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is Auslander regular and its global dimension is 3. On the other hand, it was proved by Cassidy and Shelton in [8] that if \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed, then the global dimension of $A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is always 3. Moreover, Benkart and Roby proved in [3] that the Gelfand–Kirillov dimension of a down-up algebra is 3, independently of the parameters. Since $A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is isomorphic to its opposite algebra, left and right dimensions coincide.

The centre of a down-up algebra has been computed in [16] and [26], and the first Hochschild cohomology space of a localization of some families of down-up algebras with $\gamma = 0$ has been recently computed in [20], but up to now there is no description of Hochschild homology and cohomology of down-up algebras available.

The main result of this article is the computation of the complete Hochschild homology and cohomology of two families of down-up algebras with $\gamma = 0$. Given $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$, denote r_1 and r_2 the roots of the polynomial $t^2 - \alpha t - \beta$. We study the following two cases.

- (F1) *Graded generic down-up algebras.* The algebra $A(\alpha, \beta, 0)$ belongs to this family if and only if $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$ and $r_1^i r_2^j \neq 1$ for all i and j such that $(i, j) \neq (0, 0)$. We call this assumption the *genericity hypothesis*.
- (F2) *Graded 3-Calabi–Yau down-up algebras.* The algebra $A(\alpha, \beta, 0)$ belongs to this family if and only if $\beta = -1$, in which case $r_2 = r_1^{-1}$.

We remark that our methods are closely related to those used for the computation of the Hochschild and cyclic (co)homology of Yang–Mills algebras in [13], and we think that they will lead to the computation of these invariants for the other cases as well, with more involved calculations. We are not studying here the case $A(0, 0, 0)$ for which the resolution constructed by M. Bardzell in [1] is available.

In Section 2 we introduce some notations and basic objects such as the projective resolution of A as A -bimodule. In case $\gamma = 0$, this is the Koszul resolution. We state the main results of the article in Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, and leave the proofs for the subsequent sections.

In Section 3 we compute Hochschild and cyclic homology. It is clear from the resolution that $HH_i(A) = 0$ for all $i \geq 4$. We provide explicit bases for $HH_0(A)$ and $HH_3(A)$ and we use a Hilbert series argument involving reduced cyclic homology and a theorem by K. Igusa in [14] to obtain the Hilbert series of $HH_1(A)$ and $HH_2(A)$. We also describe the Connes' differential map B for algebras of the family (F1).

Section 4 is devoted to the Hochschild cohomology. Since $A(\alpha, -1, 0)$ is 3-Calabi–Yau, we only study here algebras belonging to the family (F1). It is well known that their centre is \mathbb{K} (see [16,26]). We give bases of $HH^1(A)$, $HH^2(A)$ and $HH^3(A)$. This may be particularly useful for the description of the corresponding deformations.

2. Main results

In this section we will introduce some elements of down-up algebras with the aim of stating the main results of the article, that will be proved in the sequel.

We will usually denote $A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ simply by A . We mentioned in the introduction that this algebra can be regarded as a \mathbb{Z} -graded algebra where the degrees of u and d are, 1 and -1 , respectively. If γ is zero, the algebra A has another grading that we will call *usual* with u and d both in degree 1. We shall denote the usual degree of an element $a \in A$ by $\deg(a)$. Notice that the homogeneous components with respect to the usual grading are finite dimensional \mathbb{K} -vector spaces. For $\gamma = 0$, A is thus \mathbb{Z}^2 -graded by $\text{bideg} := (\deg, \text{s-deg})$.

Let V be the \mathbb{K} -vector space spanned by the set $\{d, u\}$ and let $T(V) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^{\otimes n}$ be the tensor algebra of V over \mathbb{K} . We will typically omit the tensor product symbols when writing an element of $T(V)$. Let R be the subspace of $V^{\otimes 3}$ spanned by the set $\{d^2u, du^2\}$ and let Ω be the subspace of $V^{\otimes 4}$ spanned by the element d^2u^2 .

There is a short projective resolution of A as A -bimodule (see [9]):

$$0 \rightarrow A \otimes \Omega \otimes A \xrightarrow{\delta_3} A \otimes R \otimes A \xrightarrow{\delta_2} A \otimes V \otimes A \xrightarrow{\delta_1} A \otimes A \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where the augmentation $\delta_0 : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ is given by the multiplication map. The differentials are

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1(1 \otimes v \otimes 1) &= v \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes v, \quad \text{for all } v \in V, \\ \delta_2(1 \otimes d^2u \otimes 1) &= 1 \otimes d \otimes du + d \otimes d \otimes u + d^2 \otimes u \otimes 1 \\ &\quad - \alpha(1 \otimes d \otimes ud + d \otimes u \otimes d + du \otimes d \otimes 1) \\ &\quad - \beta(1 \otimes u \otimes d^2 + u \otimes d \otimes d + ud \otimes d \otimes 1) \\ &\quad - \gamma \otimes d \otimes 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_2(1 \otimes du^2 \otimes 1) &= 1 \otimes d \otimes u^2 + d \otimes u \otimes u + du \otimes u \otimes 1 \\
&\quad - \alpha(1 \otimes u \otimes du + u \otimes d \otimes u + ud \otimes u \otimes 1) \\
&\quad - \beta(1 \otimes u \otimes ud + u \otimes u \otimes d + u^2 \otimes d \otimes 1) \\
&\quad - \gamma \otimes u \otimes 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_3(1 \otimes d^2 u^2 \otimes 1) &= d \otimes du^2 \otimes 1 + \beta \otimes du^2 \otimes d \\
&\quad - 1 \otimes d^2 u \otimes u - \beta u \otimes d^2 u \otimes 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Notice that for all i the map δ_i is homogeneous for the special degree and the same holds for the usual degree when $\gamma = 0$. Moreover, the projective resolution (2.1) is minimal in the category of graded modules if $\gamma = 0$, and in this case it coincides with the corresponding bimodule Koszul resolution constructed by R. Berger and N. Marconnet in [5].

We will denote the complex (2.1) by K . It is not hard to see that if $\beta \neq 0$, then there is an isomorphism $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(K, A^e) \cong A_\sigma \otimes_A K$ of complexes of A -bimodules, where $A^e = A \otimes A^{op}$ and σ is the automorphism determined by $\sigma(u) = -\beta^{-1}u$ and $\sigma(d) = -\beta d$. As a consequence, noetherian down-up algebras are twisted 3-Calabi–Yau, and if $\beta = -1$ they are 3-Calabi–Yau. Moreover, the algebra $A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is 3-Calabi–Yau if and only if $\beta = -1$. See for example [18] and the references therein.

In the following theorem we summarize our results about Hochschild homology.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $A = A(\alpha, \beta, 0)$ be a down-up algebra. Define*

$$s_1 = \frac{t(2+3t)}{1-t^2}, \quad s_2 = \frac{t^2}{1-t^4},$$

and for $n \geq 1$,

$$f_n = \frac{1}{(1-t^4)(1-t^n)^2}, \quad g_n = \frac{t^2 - t^{2n}}{1-t^4}, \quad h_n = \frac{2t(1-t^{n-1})}{(1-t)(1-t^n)}.$$

- If A belongs to (F1), then the Hochschild homology spaces $HH_2(A)$ and $HH_3(A)$ vanish and the Hilbert series of $HH_0(A)$ and $HH_1(A)$ are the following.

$$HH_0(A)(t) = \frac{1+2t+2t^2}{1-t^2}, \quad HH_1(A)(t) = \frac{t(2+3t)}{1-t^2}.$$

- If A belongs to the family (F2) and r_1 is not a root of unity, then the Hilbert series are as follows.

$$HH_0(A)(t) = \frac{1+2t+2t^2}{1-t^2}, \quad HH_1(A)(t) = \frac{t(2+3t)}{1-t^2} + \frac{t^4}{1-t^4},$$

$$HH_2(A)(t) = \frac{2t^4}{1-t^4}, \quad HH_3(A)(t) = \frac{t^4}{1-t^4}.$$

- If A belongs to $(F2)$ and r_1 is a primitive n -th root of unity, then
 - i) for n even and $n \geq 4$,

$$\begin{aligned} HH_0(A)(t) &= f_n + h_n + s_2, \\ HH_1(A)(t) &= \frac{t^4}{(1-t^4)(1-t^n)^2} + 2(f_n + h_n + s_2 - 1) - s_1, \\ HH_2(A)(t) &= \frac{2t^4}{(1-t^4)(1-t^n)^2} + f_n + h_n + s_2 - s_1 - 1, \\ HH_3(A) &= \frac{t^4}{(1-t^4)(1-t^n)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

- ii) For n odd and $n \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} HH_0(A)(t) &= f_n + g_n + h_n, \\ HH_1(A)(t) &= \frac{t^4}{(1-t^4)(1-t^n)^2} + 2(f_n + g_n + h_n - 1) - s_1, \\ HH_2(A)(t) &= \frac{2t^4}{(1-t^4)(1-t^n)^2} + f_n + g_n + h_n - s_1 - 1, \\ HH_3(A)(t) &= \frac{t^4}{(1-t^4)(1-t^n)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

- iii) For $n = 2$, that is $r_1 = -1$, the Hilbert series of the Hochschild homology spaces of $A(-2, -1, 0)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} HH_0(A)(t) &= \frac{1 + 2t + 2t^2 - t^4 - 2t^5}{(1-t^2)^2(1+t^2)}, & HH_1(A)(t) &= \frac{2t + 3t^2 + t^4 - 2t^5}{(1-t^2)^2(1+t^2)}, \\ HH_2(A)(t) &= \frac{2t^4}{(1-t^2)^2(1+t^2)}, & HH_3(A)(t) &= \frac{t^4}{1-t^4}. \end{aligned}$$

- iv) For $n = 1$, that is $r_1 = 1$, the Hilbert series of the Hochschild homology spaces of $A(2, -1, 0)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} HH_0(A)(t) &= \frac{1}{(1-t)^2}, & HH_1(A)(t) &= \frac{t(2-t)(1+t^2)}{(1-t)^2}, \\ HH_2(A)(t) &= \frac{2t^3(1+t-t^2)}{(1-t^2)(1-t)}, & HH_3(A)(t) &= \frac{t^4}{1-t^2}. \end{aligned}$$

While proving this result we will also obtain the Hilbert series of the cyclic homology of A . Moreover, we give explicit bases of $HH_0(A)$ and $HH_3(A)$, and we compute the

Connes operator B restricted to $HH_0(A)$. Since the restriction of B to $HH_0(A)$ is bijective if the down-up algebra A belongs to (F1), this also provides an explicit procedure to obtain bases of $HH_1(A)$ (see Proposition 3.7).

The computation of the Hilbert series of Hochschild cohomology spaces follows from the previous ones in the 3-Calabi–Yau case, that is, for the family (F2). However, we want to describe what happens for an algebra A in (F1). No formula involving cyclic homology and the respective Hilbert series is available in this context. So, we provide explicit bases for the Hochschild cohomology spaces in this case.

Theorem 2.2 (see Section 4 for the notation). *Let A be a down-up algebra belonging to the family (F1). The Hilbert series of the Hochschild cohomology spaces are as follows.*

$$\begin{aligned} HH^0(A)(t) &= 1, & HH^1(A)(t) &= 2, \\ HH^2(A)(t) &= \frac{1}{t^2} + 2 + \frac{t^2}{1-t^2}, & HH^3(A)(t) &= \frac{1}{t^4(1-t^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

- i) $HH^0(A) = \mathbb{K}$,
- ii) the classes of the elements $D|d$ and $U|u$ form a basis of $HH^1(A)$,
- iii) the classes of the elements $\{D^2U|w_1^k d + DU^2|uw_1^k : k \geq 0\} \cup \{D^2U|ud^2 + DU^2|u^2 d\}$ form a basis of $HH^2(A)$, and
- iv) the classes of the elements $\{D^2U^2|w_1^j : j \geq 0, \text{ and } j \neq 2\} \cup \{D^2U^2|uw_1 d\}$ form a basis of $HH^3(A)$.

From the previous results we remark that all Hochschild homology spaces are either infinite dimensional – with finite dimensional graded components – or zero. The situation differs for Hochschild cohomology of algebras belonging to the family (F1), in which case the centre is as small as possible, that is one dimensional, and the first cohomology space has dimension 2, containing just the two obvious derivations. The fact that the second and third cohomology spaces are infinite dimensional in all cases suggests that deformations of down-up algebras are quite complicated, but having explicit bases in case (F1) indicates that the deformation theory may be nonetheless tractable.

3. Hochschild and cyclic homology of down-up algebras

From now on we fix $\gamma = 0$, and let $A = A(\alpha, \beta, 0)$ be a down-up algebra with (α, β) different from $(0, 0)$. In this section we assume that the field \mathbb{K} is of characteristic zero and that it contains both roots of the polynomial $t^2 - \alpha t - \beta$.

Denote by $A(t, s)$ the Hilbert series of the bigraded algebra A . Consider \mathbb{K} as a left A -module with trivial action of d and u . By computing the Euler–Poincaré characteristic of the exact complex $K \otimes_A \mathbb{K}$ we obtain

$$A(t, s) = \frac{1}{1 - t(s + s^{-1}) + t^3(s + s^{-1}) - t^4}. \quad (3.1)$$

The Hilbert series for the usual grading is obtained by setting $s = 1$ in the previous expression:

$$A(t) = \frac{1}{(1 - t^2)(1 - t)^2}. \quad (3.2)$$

Next we describe a basis of A as a \mathbb{K} -vector space that will be useful for the computations. Denote r_1 and r_2 the roots of the polynomial $t^2 - \alpha t - \beta$. Since $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$ we may assume that r_1 is not zero. For $l \in \{1, 2\}$ we define $w_l = \beta u d + r_l d u$. It is straightforward that

$$\begin{aligned} w_l u &= r_l u w_l, \\ d w_l &= r_l w_l d, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

for $l = 1, 2$. Given $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, denote

$$\phi_p = \sum_{i=0}^p r_1^i r_2^{p-i} = \frac{r_1^{p+1} - r_2^{p+1}}{r_1 - r_2}.$$

The last expression only holds for $r_1 \neq r_2$. We set $\phi_{-1} = 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *For all $k \geq 0$ the following equalities hold*

$$\begin{aligned} du^k &= \frac{\phi_{k-1}}{r_1} u^{k-1} w_1 + r_2^k u^k d, \\ d^k u &= \frac{\phi_{k-1}}{r_1} w_1 d^{k-1} + r_2^k u d^k. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The lemma is easily proved by induction.

For the proof of the following result we refer to [26], Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $l \in \{1, 2\}$ and suppose r_l is not zero. The set $\{u^i w_l^j d^k : i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}\}$ is a basis of A .*

We denote $\overline{HC}_\bullet(A)$, $\overline{HH}_\bullet(A)$ and $\overline{HH}^\bullet(A)$ the reduced cyclic homology, the reduced Hochschild homology and the reduced Hochschild cohomology of A . Notice that the reduced Hochschild homology and cohomology spaces differ from the non reduced groups only in (co)homological degree zero.

Tensoring by A over A^e the resolution K of A given in (2.1), we obtain the following complex whose homology is isomorphic to the Hochschild homology of A :

$$0 \rightarrow A \otimes \Omega \xrightarrow{d_3} A \otimes R \xrightarrow{d_2} A \otimes V \xrightarrow{d_1} A \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.5)$$

where $d_1(a \otimes d + a' \otimes u) = ad - da + a'u - ua'$,

$$\begin{aligned} & d_2(a \otimes d^2u + a' \otimes du^2) \\ &= \left(dua + uad + u^2a' - \alpha(uda + adu + ua'u) - \beta(dau + aud + a'u^2) - \gamma a \right) \otimes d \\ &+ \left(ad^2 + ua'd + a'du - \alpha(dad + dua' + a'ud) - \beta(d^2a + uda' + da'u) - \gamma a' \right) \otimes u, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$d_3(a \otimes d^2u^2) = -(ua + \beta au) \otimes d^2u + (ad + \beta da) \otimes du^2. \quad (3.7)$$

Since the characteristic of \mathbb{K} is zero and A is graded, a theorem by T. Goodwillie (see [11], and the consequence indicated by M. Vigué-Poirrier in [22]) tells us that, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ there are short exact sequences of graded vector spaces

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{HC}_{i-1}(A) \xrightarrow{B_{i-1}} \overline{HH}_i(A) \xrightarrow{I_i} \overline{HC}_i(A) \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Since $HH_i(A) = 0$ for all $i \geq 4$, we deduce that $\overline{HC}_i(A) = 0$ for all $i \geq 3$.

We recall that the Euler–Poincaré characteristic of the reduced cyclic homology $\chi_{\overline{HC}_\bullet(A)}(t)$ of A is defined as

$$\chi_{\overline{HC}_\bullet(A)}(t) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^p \overline{HC}_p(A)(t) = \overline{HC}_0(A)(t) - \overline{HC}_1(A)(t) + \overline{HC}_2(A)(t).$$

A result by K. Igusa (see [14], Thm. 3.5, Equation (16)) – also proved by different methods in an unpublished work by C. Löfwall – tells us that it satisfies the identity $\chi_{\overline{HC}_\bullet(A)}(t) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\varphi(\ell)}{\ell} \log(A(t^\ell))$, where φ is the Euler’s totient function. Using that $\sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we compute

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\varphi(\ell)}{\ell} \log(1 - t^\ell) = - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) \right) \frac{t^n}{n} = - \frac{t}{1 - t}.$$

Hence, using Equation 3.2 we obtain

$$\chi_{\overline{HC}_\bullet(A)}(t) = - \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\varphi(\ell)}{\ell} \log((1 - t^{2\ell})(1 - t^\ell)^2) = \frac{t(2 + 3t)}{1 - t^2}.$$

Putting this all together we get

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{HC}_0(A)(t) &= \overline{HH}_0(A)(t) \\ \overline{HC}_1(A)(t) &= \overline{HH}_0(A)(t) + HH_3(A)(t) - \frac{t(2 + 3t)}{1 - t^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\overline{HC}_2(A)(t) = HH_3(A)(t), \quad (3.9)$$

$$HH_1(A)(t) = 2\overline{HH}_0(A)(t) + HH_3(A)(t) - \frac{t(2+3t)}{1-t^2},$$

$$HH_2(A)(t) = \overline{HH}_0(A)(t) + 2HH_3(A)(t) - \frac{t(2+3t)}{1-t^2}.$$

The computation of $HH_0(A)$ and $HH_3(A)$ will thus provide us the dimensions of the graded components of the other spaces.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $A = A(\alpha, \beta, 0)$ be a down-up algebra.*

1. *If A belongs to (F1), then the vector space $HH_0(A)$ has a basis formed by the classes of the elements of the set*

$$\{1, w_1^j, d^j, u^j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

2. *If A belongs to (F2), define n as the order of r_1 if it is a root of unity and 0 otherwise. The vector space $HH_0(A)$ has a basis formed by the classes of the following elements.*

- *If n is even and different from 2,*
 - i) $u^i w_1^j d^k$ such that n divides $j-i$ and $j-k$,
 - ii) u^i, d^k with $i, k \geq 0$ such that $n \nmid i$ and $n \nmid k$, and
 - iii) w_1^j , where j is any odd number.
- *If n is odd and different from 1,*
 - i) $u^i w_1^j d^k$ such that n divides $j-i$ and $j-k$.
 - ii) u^i, d^k with $i, k \geq 0$ such that $n \nmid i$ and $n \nmid k$, and
 - iii) w_1^j , where j is odd and $j \leq n-2$.
- *If $n = 2$,*

$$u^{2i} d^{2k}, u^{2i+1}, d^{2k+1}, w_1^{2j+1} \text{ with } i, j, k \geq 0.$$

- *If $n = 1$,*

$$u^i d^k \text{ with } i, j \geq 0.$$

Before we get to the proof of [Proposition 3.3](#) we need some definitions and auxiliary results. Let $a = u^i w_1^j d^k$, where $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$. Using [Lemma 3.1](#) we deduce that

$$ad - da = (1 - r_1^j r_2^i) u^i w_1^j d^{k+1} - \frac{\phi_{i-1}}{r_1} u^{i-1} w_1^{j+1} d^k, \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$au - ua = -(1 - r_1^j r_2^k) u^{i+1} w_1^j d^k + \frac{\phi_{k-1}}{r_1} u^i w_1^{j+1} d^{k-1}. \quad (3.11)$$

Define $f_{i-1,j+1,k} = ad - da$, and $g_{i,j+1,k-1} = ua - au$. Observe that $\text{Im}(d_1)$ is equal to the vector space spanned by the set

$$\{f_{i,j,k} : i \geq -1, j \geq 1, k \geq 0\} \cup \{g_{i,j,k} : i \geq 0, j \geq 1, k \geq -1\}.$$

Let us write $t_i = \phi_i/r_1$ and $s_{i,j} = 1 - r_1^j r_2^i$. Then $f_{i,j,k} = s_{i+1,j-1} u^{i+1} w_1^{j-1} d^{j+1} - t_i u^i w_1^j d^k$.

For i, j, k with $t_i \neq 0$ and $j \geq 1$, let

$$L_{i,j} := \max\{l : \text{such that } 0 \leq l \leq j-1 \text{ and } t_{i+l} \neq 0\}$$

and

$$z_{i,j,k} := -\frac{1}{t_i} f_{i,j,k} - \sum_{l=1}^{L_{i,j}} \left(\frac{1}{t_i} \prod_{m=1}^l \frac{s_{i+m,j-m}}{t_{i+m}} \right) f_{i+l,j-l,k+l},$$

where we omit the second summand whenever $L_{i,j} = 0$. In order to simplify notations, let $L = L_{i,j}$. Notice that

$$z_{i,j,k} = u^i w_1^j d^k - \left(\frac{s_{i+L+1,j-L-1}}{t_i} \prod_{m=1}^L \frac{s_{i+m,j-m}}{t_{i+m}} \right) u^{i+L+1} w_1^{j-L-1} d^{k+L+1},$$

and that it belongs to $\text{Im}(d_1)$. On the other hand, define

$$\Gamma = \{(i, j, k) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3 : r_1^j r_2^i = 1 \text{ or } k = 0\} \cap \{(i, j, k) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3 : r_1^j r_2^k = 1 \text{ or } i = 0\}.$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let $i, j, k \geq 0$ and let $x \in \text{Im}(d_1)$ be such that the coefficient of $u^i w_1^j d^k$ in x is not zero. If $(i, j, k) \in \Gamma$, then $j \geq 1$. If in addition $n|i - k$, then $t_i \neq 0$.*

Proof. Write $x = \sum_{a,b,c \geq 0} (\epsilon_{a,b,c} [u^a w_1^b d^c, d] + \mu_{a,b,c} [u^a w_1^b d^c, u])$. The coefficient of $u^i w_1^j d^k$ in this expression is

$$\epsilon_{i,j,k-1} s_{i,j} - \epsilon_{i+1,j-1,k} t_i - \mu_{i-1,j,k} s_{k,j} + \mu_{i,j-1,k+1} t_k,$$

where elements with negative subindices are zero. If (i, j, k) belongs to Γ , then this element is equal to $-\epsilon_{i+1,j-1,k} t_i + \mu_{i,j-1,k+1} t_k$. By hypothesis this is not zero. We deduce that $j \geq 1$. If $n|i - k$, then $t_k = t_i$ and the last expression is equal to $(-\epsilon_{i+1,j-1,k} + \mu_{i,j-1,k+1}) t_i$. Since this is not zero, we obtain $t_i \neq 0$. \square

Let Γ_0 be the set formed by the elements $(i, j, k) \in \Gamma$ such that

- $n|i - k$, and
- $j = 0$ or $t_i = 0$ or $u^i w_1^j d^k \neq z_{i,j,k}$.

Lemma 3.5. *The set consisting of the classes in $HH_0(A)$ of the elements $u^i w_1^j d^k$ with $(i, j, k) \in \Gamma_0$ is linearly independent.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma_0$ be a finite set and let $\lambda_\gamma \in \mathbb{K}^\times$, with $\gamma \in \Gamma'$, be such that $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} \lambda_\gamma u^{\gamma_1} w_1^{\gamma_2} d^{\gamma_3} \in \text{Im}(d_1)$. We may further assume, without loss of generality, that $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} \lambda_\gamma u^{\gamma_1} w_1^{\gamma_2} d^{\gamma_3}$ belongs to the subspace of $\text{Im}(d_1)$ spanned by the homogeneous elements of special degree divisible by n .

It is easy to check that $f_{i,j,k} = g_{i,j,k}$ for all $i \geq 0, j \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$ such that $n|i - k$. Therefore, the subspace of $\text{Im}(d_1)$ spanned by the homogeneous elements of special degree divisible by n is the \mathbb{K} -span of the set

$$\{f_{i,j,k} : i \geq -1, j \geq 1, k \geq 0\} \cup \{g_{i,j,-1} : i \geq 0, j \geq 1\}.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} \lambda_\gamma u^{\gamma_1} w_1^{\gamma_2} d^{\gamma_3} = \sum_{i \geq 0, j \geq 1, k \geq 0} \mu_{i,j,k} f_{i,j,k} + \sum_{j \geq 1, k \geq 0} \mu_{j,k} f_{-1,j,k} + \sum_{i \geq 0, j \geq 1} \mu'_{i,j} g_{i,j,-1}. \quad (3.12)$$

Let (a, b, c) be an element in Γ' and denote $L = L_{a,b}$. By Lemma 3.4 we obtain $b \geq 1$ and $t_a \neq 0$. As a consequence $u^a w_1^b d^c \neq z_{a,b,c}$. This implies $s_{a+m,b-m} \neq 0$ for all $m = 1, \dots, L+1$.

Notice that $f_{-1,j,k} = (1 - r_1^{j-1}) w_1^{j-1} d^{k+1}$ and $g_{i,j,-1} = (1 - r_1^{j-1}) u^{i+1} w_1^{j-1}$, and that the elements $(0, j-1, k+1)$ and $(i+1, j-1, 0)$ belong to Γ if and only if $1 - r_1^{j-1} = 0$. Since $(a, b, c) \in \Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$, the coefficient of $u^a w_1^b d^c$ on the right hand side of the above equation is

$$\mu_{a-1,b+1,c-1} s_{a,b} - \mu_{a,b,c} t_a.$$

On the left hand side its coefficient is $\lambda_{a,b,c}$. Since $(a, b, c) \in \Gamma$, it follows that $\mu_{a-1,b+1,c-1} s_{a,b} = 0$. Therefore $\mu_{a,b,c} = -\lambda_{a,b,c} t_a^{-1} \neq 0$. The fact that $s_{a+m,b-m} \neq 0$ for $m = 1, \dots, L+1$ implies $(a+m, b-m, c+m) \notin \Gamma$ and as a consequence the coefficient of $u^{a+m} w_1^{b-m} d^{c+m}$ on the left hand side of Equation (3.12) is 0, for the same values of m . We thus obtain

$$\mu_{a+l,b-l,c+l} = \mu_{a+l-1,b-l+1,c+l-1} \frac{s_{a+l,b-l}}{t_{a+l}},$$

for $1 \leq l \leq L$. We deduce $\mu_{a+L,b-L,c+L} \neq 0$. On the other hand, either $L = b-1$ or $t_{a+L+1} = 0$. In either case $\mu_{a+L+1,b-L-1,c+L+1} t_{a+L+1} = 0$. Looking at the coefficient of $u^{a+L+1} w_1^{b-L-1} d^{c+L+1}$ on both sides of (3.12) we obtain $\mu_{a+L,b-L,c+L} s_{a+L+1,b-L-1} = 0$. This is a contradiction. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.3. Using Equations (3.10) and (3.11) in order to obtain rewriting rules, it is clear that $HH_0(A)$ is generated by the classes of the elements $u^i w_1^j d^k$ with $(i, j, k) \in \Gamma$.

For an algebra A in the family (F1), $n = 0$ and Γ is the set

$$\{(0, 0, k) : k \geq 0\} \cup \{(i, 0, 0) : i \geq 0\} \cup \{(0, j, 0) : j \geq 0\}.$$

Suppose

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k d^k + \sum_{i \geq 1} \mu_i u^i + \sum_{j \geq 0} \epsilon_j w_1^j \in \text{Im}(d_1)$$

for some $\lambda_k, \mu_i, \epsilon_j \in \mathbb{K}$. By [Lemma 3.4](#) it follows that $\lambda_k = 0 = \mu_i$ for all $i, k \geq 1$ and $\epsilon_0 = 0$. Since A belongs to (F1), the element $s_{m, j-m}$ is not zero for all $j \geq 1$ and $1 \leq m \leq j$. This implies that $(0, j, 0) \in \Gamma_0$ for all $j \geq 1$. By [Lemma 3.5](#) we have that $\epsilon_j = 0$ for all $j \geq 1$. As a consequence, the classes in $HH_0(A)$ of the elements of the set

$$\{d^k : k \geq 1\} \cup \{u^i : i \geq 1\} \cup \{w^j : j \geq 0\},$$

form a basis and we obtain the first claim of [Proposition 3.3](#).

Let A be an algebra in the family (F2) such that r_1 is different from 1 and -1 . In this case $r_2 = r_1^{-1}$ and n is different from 1 and 2. Here Γ is the set of elements $(i, j, k) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$ satisfying any of the following properties.

1. $n|j - i$ and $n|j - k$.
2. $i = j = 0$ and $n \nmid k$.
3. $j = k = 0$ and $n \nmid i$.
4. $i = k = 0$ and $n \nmid j$.
5. $i = 0$, $n|j$, $n \nmid k$ and $j \geq 1$.
6. $k = 0$, $n|j$, $n \nmid i$ and $j \geq 1$.

Let us see that the elements $u^i w_1^j d^k$ with (i, j, k) of types 5 and 6 belong to $\text{Im}(d_1)$. Let (i, j, k) be of type 5. We have $w_1^j d^k = r_1(1 - r_1^{-2})u w_1^{j-1} d^{k+1} - r_1 f_{0, j, k}$ and

$$u w_1^{j-1} d^{k+1} = \frac{\phi_k f_{0, j, k} - g_{0, j, k}}{r_1^k - 1}.$$

We deduce that the element $w_1^j d^k$ belongs to $\text{Im}(d_1)$. The case where (i, j, k) is of type 6 is similar. As a consequence, the homology space $HH_0(A)$ is generated by the classes of elements $u^i w_1^j d^k$ with (i, j, k) of type 1, 2, 3 or 4. Observe that if $(i, j, k) \in \Gamma$ is not of type 5 or 6, then either $j = 0$ or $n|i - k$. If $j \geq 1$ and $(i, j, k) \notin \Gamma_0$, then $u^i w_1^j d^k = z_{i, j, k} \in \text{Im}(d_1)$. Thus, we can remove it from our set of generators. Using [Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5](#) we deduce that the set of classes of elements $u^i w_1^j d^k$ with (i, j, k) in

$$\Gamma_1 := \{(i, j, k) \in \Gamma : (i, j, k) \text{ is of type 1, 2, 3 or 4, and } j = 0 \text{ or } (i, j, k) \in \Gamma_0\},$$

is a basis of $HH_0(A)$. Next we describe the set Γ_1 .

Let (i, j, k) be of type 1 with $j \geq 1$ and $t_i \neq 0$. Denote $L = L_{i, j}$. We have $t_{i+l} = 0$ if and only if $n|2(i+l+1)$. On the other hand $s_{i+m, j-m}$ vanishes if and only if $n|2m$. In particular $s_{i, j} = 0$. If n is odd, then there exists $0 \leq l \leq n-1$ such that $n|2(i+l+1)$,

which implies $L \leq n - 2$. Similarly, in case n is even, we obtain $L \leq n/2 - 2$. In either case $s_{m,j-m} \neq 0$ for all $m = 1, \dots, L + 1$ and as a consequence $u^i w_1^j z^k \neq z_{i,j,k}$. This implies $(i, j, k) \in \Gamma_1$ for all (i, j, k) of type 1.

Suppose n is even. Let $(0, j, 0)$ be of type 4. Since $n \nmid j$ we have $j \geq 1$. Let $L = L_{0,j}$. The element t_l is zero if and only if $n \mid 2(l+1)$. Therefore $L = \min\{n/2 - 2, j - 1\}$. On the other hand $s_{m,j-m}$ is zero if and only if $n \mid j - 2m$. If j is odd, then this last condition is never satisfied and $s_{m,j-m} \neq 0$ for all m , from where we deduce $w^j \neq z_{0,j,0}$ and $(0, j, 0) \in \Gamma_1$. Suppose j is even. If $L = j - 1$, then $s_{m,j-m} = 0$ for $m = j/2$ and $j/2 < L + 1$, which implies $w^j = z_{0,j,0}$. If $L = n/2 - 2$, so there exists $1 \leq m \leq n/2 - 1 = L + 1$ such that $n/2$ divides $j/2 - m$. This implies $s_{m,j-m} = 0$ and $w^j = z_{0,j,0}$. We conclude that an element $(0, j, 0)$ of type 4 belongs to Γ_1 if and only if j is odd. We have proven the first part of the second claim of Proposition 3.3.

Suppose n is odd and let $(0, j, 0)$ be of type 4. Set $L = L_{0,j}$. In this case $t_l = 0$ if and only if $n \mid l + 1$. We deduce $L = \min\{j - 1, n - 2\}$. On the other hand, $s_{m,j-m} = 0$ if and only if $n \mid j - 2m$. Since 2 is invertible modulo n and $n \nmid j$, this condition is always satisfied for some $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$. Therefore, if $j \geq n - 1$, we obtain $w^j = z_{0,j,0}$ and $(0, j, 0) \notin \Gamma_1$. Suppose $j \leq n - 2$. We have $L = j - 1$. The absolute value of $j - 2m$ is positive and strictly less than n for all $m = 1, \dots, j$. Thus, if $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$ is such that $n \mid j - 2m$, we get $m \geq j + 1$. This implies

$$\max\{m \geq 1 : s_{m,j-m} \neq 0\} \geq j + 1 > L + 1.$$

As a consequence $w^j \neq z_{0,j,0}$ and $(0, j, 0) \in \Gamma_1$. This proves the second part of the second claim Proposition 3.3.

The proof of the cases where $n = 1$ or $n = 2$ is similar. \square

We will now describe $HH_3(A)$. The result depends heavily on whether the algebra belongs to (F1) or to (F2). In the first case $HH_3(A)$ annihilates, while for (F2) the dimension is always infinite, for which the basis differs considerably in the root of unity case.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $A = A(\alpha, \beta, 0)$ be a down-up algebra.*

1. *If A belongs to (F1), then $HH_3(A)$ vanishes.*
2. *If A belongs to (F2), the Hochschild homology group $HH_3(A)$ has a basis formed by the classes of the elements of the set*
 - $\{w_1^i w_2^j |d^2 u^2 : i \geq 0\}$ *if r_1 is not a root of unity,*
 - $\{w_1^i w_2^j u^{nk} d^{nl} |d^2 u^2 : n \mid i - j \text{ and } kl = 0\}$ *if r_1 is a primitive n -th root of unity with $n \geq 3$,*
 - $\{w_1^{2i} |d^2 u^2 : i \geq 0\}$ *if $r_1 = -1$,*
 - $\{w_1^i |d^2 u^2 : i \geq 0\}$ *if $r_1 = 1$.*

Proof. Let $v \in \text{Ker } d_3$. Since the differentials respect the bidegree, we may assume v is homogeneous of bidegree (s, t) . Let $l = (s + t)/2$. An element $u^i w_1^j d^k$ homogeneous of bidegree (s, t) satisfies $j = l - i \geq 0$ and $k = i - t \geq 0$. As a consequence, we deduce $l \geq 0$ and $t \leq l$. Set

$$v = \sum_i c_i u^i w_1^{l-i} d^{i-t},$$

where c_i vanishes either when $i < 0$, $l - i < 0$ or when $i - t < 0$. Using the formulas in Fact 3.1 we obtain the following equality.

$$\begin{aligned} d_3(v|d^2u^2) &= -\left(\sum c_i((1 + \beta r_1^{l-i} r_2^{i-t})u^{i+1}w_1^{l-i}d^{i-t} + \beta \frac{\phi_{i-t-1}}{r_1}u^i w_1^{l-i+1}d^{i-t-1})\right)|d^2u \\ &\quad + \left(\sum c_i((1 + \beta r_1^{l-i} r_2^i)u^i w_1^{l-i}d^{i-t+1} + \beta \frac{\phi_{i-1}}{r_1}u^{i-1}w_1^{l-i+1}d^{i-t})\right)|du^2. \end{aligned}$$

The condition $d_3(v|d^2u^2) = 0$ implies the vanishing of each summand separately. By looking at the coefficient of $u^{a+1}w_1^{l-a}d^{a-t}$ in the first constraint and at the coefficient of $u^a w_1^{l-a}d^{a-t+1}$ in the second constraint, we obtain the following identities. For all $a \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= c_a(1 + \beta r_1^{l-a} r_2^{a-t}) + c_{a+1}\beta \frac{\phi_{a-t}}{r_1}, \\ 0 &= c_a(1 + \beta r_1^{l-a} r_2^a) + c_{a+1}\beta \frac{\phi_a}{r_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose A belongs to (F1). The first equality implies that $c_a = \mu_a c_{a+1}$, where $\mu_a = \beta \phi_{a-t}(r_1(1 + \beta r_1^{l-a} r_2^{a-t}))^{-1}$. Since $c_a = 0$ for all $a > l$, we deduce $c_a = 0$ for all a . As a consequence, $HH_3(A) = 0$.

Suppose now that A belongs to (F2) and r_1 is not a root of unity. Using the fact that $r_2 = r_1^{-1}$, the equalities above are

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= c_a(1 - r_1^{l-2a+t}) - c_{a+1}\frac{\phi_{a-t}}{r_1}, \\ 0 &= c_a(1 - r_1^{l-2a}) - c_{a+1}\frac{\phi_a}{r_1}. \end{aligned}$$

If l is odd, the second equality and an argument similar to the case (F1) show that $c_a = 0$ for all a , and so $v = 0$. Suppose l is even. We may use the second equation for a ranging from l to $l/2 + 1$ and the previous argument to deduce $c_a = 0$ for $a \in \{l/2 + 1, \dots, l\}$. Replacing $a = l/2$ in the first equation we obtain $c_{l/2}(1 - r_1^t) = 0$. If $t \neq 0$, then $c_{l/2} = 0$, and the first equation for values of a ranging from $l/2 - 1$ to 0 proves that $c_a = 0$ for all a and therefore $v = 0$. If $t = 0$, then the same argument proves that there exists $\mu_a \in k$ such that $c_a = \mu_a c_{l/2}$ for all a . Observe that in this case l is even

and $t = 0$, which implies $s = 4k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. As a consequence, the homogeneous component of bidegree (s, t) of $\text{Ker } d_3$ is trivial if $(s, t) \neq (4k, 0)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and in case $(s, t) = (4k, 0)$, it is one dimensional. Using (3.3) it is easy to see that the element $w_1^k w_2^k$ belongs to the homogeneous component of bidegree $(4k, 0)$.

The case where A belongs to (F2) and r_1 is a root of unity follows from [16], Lemma 2.0.1, Theorems 4.0.3 and 4.0.4, together with the fact that A is 3-Calabi–Yau, so $HH_3(A)[4] \cong HH^0(A)$. \square

Theorem 2.1 follows from Proposition 3.3, Proposition 3.6 and the identities in (3.9).

We give the following description of the restriction of the Connes operator \mathcal{B} to $HH_0(A)$ for any nonnegatively graded connected algebra, which applies in particular to any down-up algebra with $\gamma = 0$. For a reminder on the basic homological properties of nonnegatively graded connected algebras we refer the reader to [12], Section 2. To avoid any confusion we denote the Connes operator by \mathcal{B} – instead of the usual letter B –, because B is also used to indicate a specific map in the SBI sequence, and in the short exact sequences derived from that sequence and stated in (3.8). We recall that the definition of *cyclic derivative* was introduced by G.-C. Rota, B. Saban and P. Stein in [17], to which we refer.

Proposition 3.7. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero, and let A be a nonnegatively graded connected algebra with space of generators given by a positively graded finite dimensional vector space V . Fix a homogeneous basis $\{v_i\}_{i \in I}$ of V . Choose a section $s : A \rightarrow TV$ of the canonical projection $\pi : TV \rightarrow A$, and denote by \bar{A} the subspace of A formed by those elements whose degree is positive. The restriction $\mathcal{B}_0 : HH_0(A) \rightarrow HH_1(A)$ of the Connes operator \mathcal{B} to $HH_0(A)$ sends the class of $1_A \in A$ to zero, and if $a \in \bar{A}$ and \bar{a} denotes its class in $\bar{A}/[A, A] \simeq \overline{HH}_0(A)$, then $\mathcal{B}_0(\bar{a})$ is the homology class of*

$$\sum_{i \in I} \pi \left(\partial_i(s(a)) \right) \otimes v_i, \quad (3.13)$$

where $\partial_i : HH_0(TV) \rightarrow TV$ denotes the cyclic derivatives with respect to v_i , given the basis $\{v_i\}_{i \in I}$ of V . Moreover, $\mathcal{B}_0|_{\overline{HH}_0(A)}$ is injective, and it is also surjective if $HH_2(A)$ vanishes.

Proof. We recall that the Connes operator \mathcal{B}_0 is the one induced in homology by the mapping \flat at the level of the reduced Hochschild complex sending $a \in A$ to $1 \otimes [a]$, where $[a] \in \bar{A}$ denotes the image of an element $a \in A$ under the canonical projection $A \rightarrow \bar{A}$. To obtain the expression of \mathcal{B}_0 purported in the statement of the proposition, we only need to compose \flat with the map

$$A \otimes \bar{A} \rightarrow A \otimes V \quad (3.14)$$

given by tensoring the usual comparison morphism

$$\text{pr} : A \otimes \bar{A} \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes V \otimes A \quad (3.15)$$

with A over A^e . We recall that (3.15) is given by the composition of $\text{id}_A \otimes s|_{\bar{A}} \otimes \text{id}_A$ and the unique A^e -linear map $A \otimes \overline{TV} \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes V \otimes A$ sending $1_A \otimes v_1 \dots v_m \otimes 1_A$ to

$$\begin{aligned} & 1_A \otimes v_1 \otimes \pi(v_2 \dots v_m) + \pi(v_1 \dots v_{m-1}) \otimes v_m \otimes 1_A \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \pi(v_1 \dots v_j) \otimes v_{j+1} \otimes \pi(v_{j+2} \dots v_m), \end{aligned}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$. A straightforward computation shows that the composition of b and (3.14) sends 1_A to zero and $a \in \bar{A}$ to

$$\sum_{i \in I} \pi(\partial_i(s(a))) \otimes v_i,$$

so (3.13) follows.

Since $\mathcal{B}_0|_{\overline{HH}_0(A)}$ is the composition of the maps I_0 and B_0 given in (3.8) (see [23], pp. 348–349), I_0 is an isomorphism and B_0 is injective, we get that $\mathcal{B}_0|_{\overline{HH}_0(A)}$ is injective. Furthermore, if $HH_2(A)$ vanishes, B_0 is an isomorphism, which in turn implies that $\mathcal{B}_0|_{\overline{HH}_0(A)}$ is an isomorphism as well. The proposition is thus proved. \square

The following result is an immediate consequence of the previous proposition and of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $A = A(\alpha, \beta, 0)$ be a down-up algebra. We choose the section $s : A \rightarrow TV$ of the canonical projection $\pi : TV \rightarrow A$ given by our choice of basis in Lemma 3.2. Denote by \bar{A} the subspace of A formed by those elements whose usual degree is positive. The restriction $\mathcal{B}_0 : HH_0(A) \rightarrow HH_1(A)$ of the Connes operator \mathcal{B} to $HH_0(A)$ sends the class of $1_A \in A$ to zero, and if $a \in \bar{A}$ and \bar{a} denotes its class in $\bar{A}/[A, A] \simeq \overline{HH}_0(A)$, then $\mathcal{B}_0(\bar{a})$ is the homology class of*

$$\pi(\partial_u(s(a))) \otimes u + \pi(\partial_d(s(a))) \otimes d,$$

where $\partial_u, \partial_d : HH_0(TV) \rightarrow TV$ denote the cyclic derivatives with respect to u and d , respectively. Moreover, $\mathcal{B}_0|_{\overline{HH}_0(A)}$ is injective, and if A belongs to (F1), then it is bijective. In particular, applying $\mathcal{B}_0|_{\overline{HH}_0(A)}$ to the elements of the basis given in Proposition 3.3, 1, we obtain an explicit basis of $HH_1(A)$ for any down-up algebra A belonging to (F1).

4. Hochschild cohomology

As we mentioned in Section 3, if A belongs to (F2), then it is 3-Calabi–Yau and the dimension of the Hochschild cohomology spaces can be deduced from Theorem 2.1,

since $HH^i(A)$ is isomorphic to $HH_{3-i}(A)[4]$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. We use again the minimal resolution of A as A -bimodule to obtain the following complex whose homology is isomorphic to the Hochschild cohomology of A .

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{d_0^*} V^* \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_1^*} R^* \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_2^*} \Omega^* \otimes A \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.1)$$

where V^* is the dual space of V spanned by the basis $\{U, D\}$, and similarly for R^* and Ω^* . The differentials are given by

$$\begin{aligned} d_0^*(a) &= U|(ua - au) + D|(da - ad), \\ d_1^*(x) &= D^2U \otimes \Delta_1(x) + DU^2 \otimes \Delta_2(x), \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where, for $x = U \otimes a + D \otimes a'$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1(x) &= d^2a + a'du + da'u - \alpha(dad + a'ud + dua') - \beta(ad^2 + ua'd + uda') - \gamma a', \\ \Delta_2(x) &= dau + dua + a'u^2 - \alpha(adu + uda + ua'u) - \beta(aud + uad + u^2a') - \gamma a, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$d_2^*(D^2U \otimes a + DU^2 \otimes a') = D^2U^2 \otimes (da' + \beta a'd - au - \beta ua). \quad (4.3)$$

Clearly $HH^i(A) = 0$ for all $i \geq 4$. From now on, assume that A belongs to (F1). Note that by defining $\text{bideg}(U) = (-1, -1)$ and $\text{bideg}(D) = (1, -1)$, the differentials of the complex (4.1) are of bidegree zero. We recall that $HH^0(A)$ is the centre $\mathcal{Z}(A)$ of the algebra A .

Proposition 4.1. *Let $A = A(\alpha, \beta, 0)$ be a down-up algebra of the family (F1). The cohomology space $HH^0(A)$ is $\mathbb{K} \cdot 1_A$.*

Proof. It is clear that $\mathbb{K} \cdot 1_A \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(A)$. We shall prove the other inclusion. Let

$$a = \sum c_{ijk} u^i w_1^j d^k,$$

where the sum is indexed over all integers $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$, the support is finite and $c_{ijk} \in \mathbb{K}$. Let us suppose that $a \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$, so in particular $ua - au = 0$. It suffices to prove that $c_{ijk} = 0$ for all $(i, j, k) \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$. Using the identities (3.3) we get that

$$ad - da = \sum c_{ijk} \left((1 - r_1^j r_2^i) u^i w_1^j d^{k+1} - \frac{\phi_{i-1}}{r_1} u^{i-1} w_1^{j+1} d^k \right), \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$au - ua = - \sum c_{ijk} \left((1 - r_1^j r_2^k) u^{i+1} w_1^j d^k - \frac{\phi_{k-1}}{r_1} u^i w_1^{j+1} d^{k-1} \right). \quad (4.5)$$

Since $a \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$, both expressions vanish. By regarding the total coefficient of the monomial $u^{i_0+1}d^{k_0}$ on the right hand side of (4.5) we get that $c_{i_0,0,k_0} = 0$ if $k_0 \neq 0$, since in this case $(1 - r_2^{k_0}) \neq 0$. Analogously, since the total coefficient of the monomial $u^{i_0}d^{k_0+1}$ on the right hand side of (4.4) vanishes, we see that $c_{i_0,0,k_0} = 0$ if $i_0 \neq 0$, since in this case $(1 - r_2^{i_0}) \neq 0$. As a consequence, we conclude that $c_{i,0,k} = 0$, for all $(i, k) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$.

The vanishing of the coefficient of the monomial $u^{i_0+1}w^{j_0}d^{k_0}$ on the right hand side of (4.5), for $j_0 > 0$, implies that

$$c_{i_0,j_0,k_0} = -\frac{c_{i_0+1,j_0-1,k_0+1}}{(1 - r_2^{k_0}r_1^{j_0})}.$$

Note that the hypothesis of genericity implies that the denominator never vanishes. Iterating this identity we obtain that c_{ijk} is proportional to $c_{i+j,0,k+j}$, which vanishes if $(i, j, k) \neq (0, 0, 0)$, and so $c_{ijk} = 0$ for all $(i, j, k) \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$, thus proving the proposition. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Let $A = A(\alpha, \beta, 0)$ be a down-up algebra of the family (F1). The cohomology space $HH^3(A)$ is isomorphic to the \mathbb{K} -vector space spanned by the classes of the elements of the set*

$$\{D^2U^2|w_1^j : j \geq 0 \text{ and } j \neq 2\} \cup \{D^2U^2|uw_1d\}.$$

Proof. Identifying the space $\Omega^* \otimes A$ with A , the cohomology space $HH^3(A)$ is A/S , where S is the \mathbb{K} -vector space $\{da + \beta ad + a'u + \beta ua' : a, a' \in A\}$. Denote by $\pi : A \rightarrow HH^3(A)$ the canonical projection. If v is an element of $HH^3(A)$, denote by $\mathbb{K}v$ the \mathbb{K} -vector space spanned by it.

We shall prove that the classes of $\{w_1^j : j \geq 2 \text{ and } j \neq 2\} \cup \{uw_1d\}$ in the cohomology space $HH^3(A)$ form a basis. Let $a = u^i w_1^j d^k$, where $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$. It is straightforward to compute

$$da + \beta ad = (\beta + r_1^j r_2^i) u^i w_1^j d^{k+1} + \frac{\phi_{i-1}(r_1, r_2)}{r_1} u^{i-1} w_1^{j+1} d^k, \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$au + \beta ua = (\beta + r_1^j r_2^k) u^{i+1} w_1^j d^k + \beta \frac{\phi_{k-1}(r_1, r_2)}{r_1} u^i w_1^{j+1} d^{k-1}. \quad (4.7)$$

The hypothesis of genericity implies that $\beta + r_1^j r_2^l = 0$ if and only if $(i, l) = (1, 1)$. Note that the first coefficient on the right hand side of the above equations is of this form. Setting $i = 0$ in (4.6) and $k = 0$ in (4.7) we obtain that $w_1^j d^l$ and $u^l w_1^j$ belong to S for all $j \geq 0$ and $l \geq 1$. Let $i, j, k \geq 0$. Equation (4.6) implies that $\pi(u^i w_1^j d^k) \in \mathbb{K}\pi(u^{i-1} w_1^{j+1} d^{k-1})$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $(i, j) \neq (1, 1)$. Suppose $(i, j) \notin \{(1, 1), (2, 0)\}$. By a repeated use of (4.6) and the remarks above, we conclude that $u^i w_1^j d^k$ lies in S if $i \neq k$,

and we also deduce that $\pi(u^i w_1^j d^i) \in \mathbb{K}\pi(w_1^{i+j})$. By a similar argument using (4.7) we obtain that in case $(i, j) \in \{(1, 1), (2, 0)\}$, the element $\pi(u^i w_1^j d^k)$ belongs to $\mathbb{K}\pi(uw_1 d)$ for all k . As a consequence, the set $\{\pi(w_1^j) : j \geq 2\} \cup \{\pi(u^2 d^2)\}$ generates $HH^3(A)$ as a \mathbb{K} -vector space. On the other hand, (4.6) tells us that the element $\pi(w_1^2)$ vanishes for $(i, j, k) = (1, 1, 0)$.

Let us see that the set $\{\pi(w_1^j) : j \geq 2\} \cup \{\pi(u^2 d^2)\}$ is linearly independent. Suppose there exist elements $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{K}$, with $j \geq 0$, and $a, a' \in A$, such that

$$\sum_{j \neq 2} \lambda_j w_1^j + \lambda_2 u w_1 d = da + \beta ad + au + \beta ua.$$

Let $l \geq 0$. By looking at the homogeneous component of bidegree $(2l, 0)$ in the equation above, we deduce that there exist $\epsilon_{k,j} \in \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_l w_1^l &= \sum_{j+k=l-1} \epsilon_{j,k}(f_{j,k}), & \text{if } l \neq 2, \\ \lambda_2 u w_1 d &= \sum_{j+k=1} \epsilon_{j,k}(f_{j,k}), & \text{if } l = 2, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{j,k} = (u^{k+1} w_1^j d^k) d + \beta d(u^{k+1} w_1^j d^k)$ for all $k, j \geq 0$. It is easy to see that these equations imply $\lambda_j = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let $A = A(\alpha, \beta, 0)$ be a down-up algebra of the family (F1). The cohomology space $HH^1(A)$ is 2-dimensional and it is spanned by the classes of $\{D|d, U|u\}$.*

We shall first prove the following intermediate result.

Lemma 4.4. *Under the same assumptions of the proposition, the \mathbb{K} -vector space $(V^* \otimes A)/\text{Im}(d_0^*)$ is spanned by the classes of the elements of the set*

$$\mathcal{S} = \{U|u^l, D|d^l : l \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{U|u^i w_1^j d^k : i - k \leq 0\} \cup \{D|u^i w_1^j d^k : i - k \geq -1\}.$$

Proof. Note that there is some redundancy in our description of \mathcal{S} , since for example $D|d$ belongs both to the first and to the third subset of the union. If $a = u^i w_1^j d^k$, then $d_0^*(a)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} U| \left((1 - r_1^j r_2^k) u^{i+1} w_1^j d^k - \frac{\phi_{k-1}(r_1, r_2)}{r_1} u^i w_1^{j+1} d^{k-1} \right) \\ - D| \left((1 - r_1^j r_2^i) u^i w_1^j d^{k+1} - \frac{\phi_{i-1}(r_1, r_2)}{r_1} u^{i-1} w_1^{j+1} d^k \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Suppose $x_{a,b,c} = U|u^a w_1^b d^c \notin \mathcal{S}$, then $b + c > 0$ and $a - c > 0$. We shall show that $x_{a,b,c}$ belongs to the subspace spanned by \mathcal{S} and $\text{Im}(d_0^*)$. In order to do so, first notice that

Equation (4.8) for $i = a - 1$, $j = b$ and $k = 0$ tells us that $x_{a,b,0} = U|u^a w_1^b$ belongs to the subspace spanned by \mathcal{S} and $\text{Im}(d_0^*)$, since for $b > 0$ the coefficient of $x_{a,b,0}$ in (4.8) is nonzero by the hypothesis of genericity. Moreover, Equation (4.8) for $i = a - 1$, $j = b$ and $k = c$ tells us that $x_{a,b,c} = U|u^a w_1^b d^c$ belongs to the subspace spanned by $x_{a-1,b+1,c-1}$, the set \mathcal{S} and $\text{Im}(d_0^*)$, because, for $b + c > 0$, the coefficient of $x_{a,b,c}$ in (4.8) is nonzero due to the hypothesis of genericity. By a recursive argument we prove that $x_{a,b,c} = U|u^a w_1^b d^c$ belongs to the subspace spanned by \mathcal{S} and $\text{Im}(d_0^*)$.

Analogously, let $x'_{a',b',c'} = D|u^{a'} w_1^{b'} d^{c'} \notin \mathcal{S}$. Thus, $a' + b' > 0$ and $a' - c' < -1$. We claim that $x'_{a',b',c'}$ belongs to the subspace spanned by the set \mathcal{S} and $\text{Im}(d_0^*)$. Indeed, first notice that Equation (4.8) for $i = 0$, $j = b'$ and $k = c' - 1$ implies that $x'_{0,b',c'} = D|w_1^{b'} d^{c'}$ belongs to the subspace spanned by \mathcal{S} and $\text{Im}(d_0^*)$, since for $b' > 0$ the coefficient of $x'_{0,b',c'}$ in (4.8) is nonzero by the hypothesis of genericity. Furthermore, Equation (4.8) for $i = a'$, $j = b'$ and $k = c' - 1$ implies that $x'_{a',b',c'} = D|u^{a'} w_1^{b'} d^{c'}$ belongs to the subspace spanned by $x'_{a'-1,b'+1,c'-1}$, the set \mathcal{S} and $\text{Im}(d_0^*)$, using that for $b' + c' > 0$ the coefficient of $x'_{a',b',c'}$ in (4.8) is nonzero by the hypothesis of genericity, for $b' + c' > 0$. A recursive argument allows us to conclude that $x'_{a',b',c'} = D|u^{a'} w_1^{b'} d^{c'}$ belongs to the subspace spanned by \mathcal{S} and $\text{Im}(d_0^*)$. \square

Since (4.1) is a complex, the differential d_1^* trivially induces a map \bar{d}_1^* from $(V^* \otimes A)/\text{Im}(d_0^*)$ to $R^* \otimes A$, whose kernel is the Hochschild cohomology space $HH^1(A)$. It is easy to prove that the classes of $U|u$ and $D|d$ belong to the kernel of \bar{d}_1^* , and that they are linearly independent, since the intersection between the \mathbb{K} -vector subspace of $V^* \otimes A$ spanned by $U|u$ and $D|d$ and $\text{Im}(d_0^*)$ is trivial, by degree reasons. In order to complete the proof of Proposition 4.3 it suffices thus to prove the following result.

Lemma 4.5. *Assume A is a down-up algebra of the family (F1). Define W to be the \mathbb{K} -vector subspace of $(V^* \otimes A)/\text{Im}(d_0^*)$ spanned by the classes of the elements of the family \mathcal{S}' given by*

$$\{U|u^l, D|d^l : l \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}\} \cup \{U|u^i w_1^j d^k : i - k \leq 0\} \cup \{D|u^i w_1^j d^k : i - k \geq -1\} \setminus \{D|d\}.$$

The intersection $W \cap \text{Ker}(\bar{d}_1^)$ is trivial.*

Proof. Let x be an element of $(V^* \otimes A)$ given by a finite linear combination of the form

$$x = \underbrace{\sum_{i-k \leq 0} c_{i,j,k} U|u^i w_1^j d^k}_{x_U} + \underbrace{\sum_{i'-k' \geq -1} c'_{i',j',k'} D|u^{i'} w_1^{j'} d^{k'}}_{x_D} + \underbrace{\sum_{l \geq 2} a_l U|u^l}_{x'_U} + \underbrace{\sum_{l' \geq 2} a'_{l'} D|d^{l'}}_{x'_D},$$

where we exclude the case $(i', j', k') = (0, 0, 1)$ in the second sum. Since the image under \bar{d}_1^* of the class of x in $(V^* \otimes A)/\text{Im}(d_0^*)$ coincides with the image under d_1^* of x , it suffices to prove that the vanishing of this last image implies that the class of x

in $(V^* \otimes A)/\text{Im}(d_0^*)$ vanishes. Without loss of generality we may take x homogeneous for the bigrading, since d_1^* is homogeneous of bidegree zero. Being homogeneous for the special degree implies that either $x = x_U + x'_D$ or $x = x_D + x'_U$, while being homogeneous for the usual degree restricts x to one of the following cases:

- (i) $x = x_U$ such that $\deg(x_U) + \text{s-deg}(x_U) \neq 0$;
- (ii) $x = c_{0,1,k-1}U|wd^{k-1} + c_{1,0,k}U|ud^k + a'_{k+1}D|d^{k+1}$, for $k \geq 1$;
- (iii) $x = x_D$ such that $\deg(x_D) \neq \text{s-deg}(x_D)$;
- (iv) $x = c'_{i-1,1,0}D|u^{i-1}w + c'_{i,0,1}D|u^i d + a_{i+1}U|u^{i+1}$, for $i \geq 1$.

Let us first consider case (iii). By definition of d_1^* , we write $d_1^*(x) = D^2U|\Delta_1(x) + DU^2|\Delta_2(x)$. An explicit computation using formulas given in [Fact 3.1](#) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2(x) = \sum_{i'-k' \geq -1} c_{i',j',k'} & \left(\frac{\phi_{k'-1}(r_1, r_2)\phi_{k'-2}(r_1, r_2)}{r_1^2} u^{i'} w_1^{j'+2} d^{k'-2} \right. \\ & + \frac{\alpha\phi_{k'-1}(r_1, r_2)}{r_1} (r_1^{j'} r_2^{k'-1} - 1) u^{i'+1} w_1^{j'+1} d^{k'-1} \\ & \left. + (r_1^{2j'} r_2^{2k'} - \alpha r_1^{j'} r_2^{k'} - \beta) u^{i'+2} w_1^{j'} d^{k'} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and the coefficient of the monomial $u^a w_1^b d^c$ (where $a, b, c \geq 0$) is thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\phi_{c+1}(r_1, r_2)\phi_c(r_1, r_2)}{r_1^2} c_{a,b-2,c+2} \\ & + \frac{\alpha\phi_c(r_1, r_2)}{r_1} (r_1^{b-1} r_2^c - 1) c_{a-1,b-1,c+1} + (r_1^{2b} r_2^{2c} - \alpha r_1^b r_2^c - \beta) c_{a-2,b,c}. \end{aligned}$$

The fact that x belongs to the kernel of d_1^* implies the vanishing of the previous expression. In particular, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{a,0,c} &= 0 \text{ for all } c \neq 1, \\ c_{a,1,c} &= 0 \text{ for all } c \neq 0, \text{ and} \\ c_{a,b,c} &= 0 \text{ for all } b \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Condition $\deg(x_D) \neq \text{s-deg}(x_D)$ implies that $c_{a,0,1} = 0$ and $c_{a,1,0} = 0$ for all a . As a consequence, x_D vanishes in $(V^* \otimes A)$. Case (i) is handled *mutatis mutandi*.

Let us now treat case (iv), where

$$x = c'_{i-1,1,0}D|u^{i-1}w + c'_{i,0,1}D|u^i d + a_{i+1}U|u^{i+1},$$

for some $i \geq 1$. We write again $d_1^*(x) = D^2U|\Delta_1(x) + DU^2|\Delta_2(x)$. Using the computations of the previous paragraph we see that $\Delta_2(D|u^{i-1}w)$ and $\Delta_2(D|u^i d)$ vanish. The

expression of d_1^* in (4.2) together with the formulas of Fact 3.1 tell us that $\Delta_2(U|u^{i+1})$ is given by

$$\frac{(r_1^{i+1} + r_2^{i+1} - \alpha)}{r_1} u^{i+1} w + r_2(r_1 - r_2)(1 - r_2^i) u^{i+2} d.$$

Since the second coefficient of the previous expression is nonzero by the hypothesis of genericity, we see that the vanishing of $\Delta_2(x)$ implies that a_{i+1} is zero, which we will assume from now on. We shall now turn our attention to $\Delta_1(x)$, for $x = c'_{i-1,1,0} D|u^{i-1} w + c'_{i,0,1} D|u^i d$. Using again the formulas of Fact 3.1, we see that

$$\Delta_1(D|u^{i-1} w) = \frac{1 - r_2^i}{r_1} (u^{i-1} w^2 + r_1^2(r_2 - r_1) u^i w d),$$

and

$$\Delta_1(D|u^i d) = \frac{\phi_{i-1}(r_1, r_2)}{r_1^2} (u^{i-1} w^2 + r_1^2(r_2 - r_1) u^i w d).$$

The hypothesis of genericity implies that all the coefficients appearing in both of the previous expressions are nonzero. We note however that $\Delta_1(D|u^{i-1} w)$ and $\Delta_1(D|u^i d)$ are not linearly independent, and so x is a multiple of $\phi_{i-1} D|u^{i-1} w - r_1(1 - r_2^i) D|u^i d$. Since $\phi_{i-1} D|u^{i-1} w - r_1(1 - r_2^i) D|u^i d$ is also a multiple of $d_0^*(u^i)$ (see (4.8)), we conclude that the class of x in $(V^* \otimes A)/\text{Im}(d_0^*)$ vanishes. Case (ii) is analogous. \square

We now turn to a characterization of the space $HH^2(A(\alpha, \beta, 0))$. As before, let A denote the algebra $A(\alpha, \beta, 0)$ and let $A(t, s)$ denote the Hilbert series of A regarded as a bigraded algebra. This bigrading on A induces a bigrading on its Hochschild cohomology, whose associated Hilbert series will be denoted by $HH^i(A)(t, s)$ for all $i \geq 0$.

Proposition 4.6. *Under the previous assumptions,*

$$HH^2(A)(t, s) = \frac{1}{t^2} + 2 + \frac{t^2}{1 - t^2}.$$

Proof. Let C^\bullet be the complex (4.1). Recall that the homology of C^\bullet is $HH^\bullet(A)$. Regarding $HH^\bullet(A)$ as a complex with zero differentials, the Euler–Poincaré characteristic $\chi_{C^\bullet}(t, s)$ associated to C^\bullet is equal to the Euler–Poincaré characteristic $\chi_{HH^\bullet(A)}(t, s)$ associated to $HH^\bullet(A)$. Using the descriptions we obtained of C^\bullet and of the Hochschild cohomology spaces $HH^0(A)$, $HH^1(A)$ and $HH^3(A)$, the following equalities are straightforward to check,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{C^\bullet}(t, s) &= -t^{-4}, \\ HH^0(A)(t, s) &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$HH^1(A)(t, s) = 2,$$

$$HH^3(A)(t, s) = \frac{1}{t^4(1-t^2)}.$$

Therefore, the equality $\chi_{C^\bullet}(t, s) = \chi_{HH_\bullet(A)}(t, s)$ is

$$-\frac{1}{t^4} = 1 - 2 + HH^2(A)(t, s) - \frac{1}{t^4(1-t^2)},$$

and the lemma follows. \square

From the previous lemma we deduce that every homogeneous component of $HH^2(A)$ of bidegree different from $(2k, 0)$ for $k \geq -1$ is zero.

The following set is a \mathbb{K} -basis of the homogeneous component of $R^* \otimes A$ of bidegree $(2k, 0)$

$$\{D^2U|u^a w^{k+1-a} d^{a+1} : 0 \leq a \leq k+1\} \cup \{DU^2|u^{a+1} w^{k+1-a} d^a : 0 \leq a \leq k+1\}.$$

Proposition 4.7. *The homogeneous component of $HH^2(A)$ of bidegree $(-2, 0)$ is isomorphic to the \mathbb{K} -vector space spanned by the class of the element $D^2U|d + DU^2|u$. On the other hand, the homogeneous component of $HH^2(A)$ of bidegree $(0, 0)$ is isomorphic to the \mathbb{K} -vector space spanned by the classes of the elements $D^2U|wd + DU^2|uw$ and $D^2U|ud^2 + DU^2|u^2d$.*

Proof. There are no homogeneous elements of bidegree $(-2, 0)$ in $V^* \otimes A$ and the homogeneous component of bidegree $(-2, 0)$ in $R^* \otimes A$ is spanned by the elements $D^2U|d$ and $DU^2|u$. The first claim follows from the fact that $d_2^*(\lambda D^2U|d + \mu DU^2|u) = (\mu - \lambda)D^2U^2|(du + \beta ud)$.

The elements of $V^* \otimes A$ of bidegree $(0, 0)$ are spanned by $D|d$ and $U|u$. We have already seen in Proposition 4.3 these elements are in the kernel of d_1^* . On the other hand, the elements $D^2U|wd + DU^2|uw$ and $D^2U|ud^2 + DU^2|u^2d$ belong to the kernel of d_2^* and they are linearly independent. By Proposition 4.6 their classes form a basis of the homogeneous component of $HH^2(A)$ of bidegree $(0, 0)$. \square

We now turn to a description of the homogeneous components of bidegree $(2k, 0)$ with $k \geq 1$. For all non negative integers x, y and z , define

$$g_{x,y,z} = d_1^*(U|u^x w_1^y d^z),$$

$$f_{x,y,z} = d_1^*(D|u^x w_1^y d^z).$$

Observe that $\text{bideg}(g_{x,y,z}) = (x + 2y + z - 1, x - z - 1)$ and $\text{bideg}(f_{x,y,z}) = (x + 2y + z - 1, x - z + 1)$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $k \geq 1$. The elements $f_{z,k-z,z+1}$, where $0 \leq z \leq k$, generate the homogeneous component of $\text{Im}(d_1^*)$ of bidegree $(2k, 0)$ as \mathbb{K} -vector space.*

Proof. The set $\{U|u^{z+1}w_1^{k-z}d^z : 0 \leq z \leq k\} \cup \{D|u^z w_1^{k-z}d^{z+1} : 0 \leq z \leq k\}$ generates the homogeneous component of bidegree $(2k, 0)$ of $V^* \otimes A$ and therefore the set $\{g_{z+1,k-z,z} : 0 \leq z \leq k\} \cup \{f_{z,k-z,z+1} : 0 \leq z \leq k\}$ generates the homogeneous component of $\text{Im}(d_1^*)$ of the same bidegree.

For $0 \leq z \leq k$, define $x_z = U|u^{z+1}w_1^{k-z}d^z - D|u^z w_1^{k-z}d^{z+1}$. Observe that $d_1^*(x_z) = g_{z+1,k-z,z} - f_{z,k-z,z+1}$. Using the expression given in (4.8) for the image of an element under d_0^* we see that

$$d_0^*(u^z w_1^{k-z}d^z) = (1 - r_1^{k-z}r_2^z)x_z - \frac{\phi_{z-1}}{r_1}x_{z-1}. \quad (4.9)$$

Since $k \geq 1$, both coefficients appearing in the above equation are non zero. Taking $z = 0$ and applying d_1^* , we deduce $d_1^*(x_0) = 0$ and by an inductive argument we obtain $d_1^*(x_z) = 0$ for all $0 \leq z \leq k$. As a consequence, $g_{z+1,k-z,z} = f_{z,k-z,z+1}$ for all $0 \leq z \leq k$ and the result follows. \square

Let n and m be the dimensions of the components of bidegree $(2k, 0)$ of $\text{Ker}(d_2^*)$ and $\text{Im}(d_1^*)$, respectively. It is straightforward to check that

$$d_2^*(D^2U|u^a w_1^{k+1-a}d^{a+1} + DU^2|u^{a+1}w_1^{k+1-a}d^a) = 0,$$

for all $0 \leq a \leq k+1$. We deduce that $n \geq k+2$. On the other hand, we know that $m \leq k+1$ by Lemma 4.8. Also, Proposition 4.6 implies $n - m = 1$ and it follows that $n = k+2$ and $m = k+1$. As a consequence, the homogeneous component of $\text{Ker}(d_2^*)$ of bidegree $(2k, 0)$ is the \mathbb{K} -vector space spanned by the elements

$$D^2U|u^a w_1^{k+1-a}d^{a+1} + DU^2|u^{a+1}w_1^{k+1-a}d^a,$$

for $0 \leq a \leq k+1$.

Let us prove that the element $D^2U|u^{k+1}d + DU^2|uw_1^{k+1}$ does not belong to the image $\text{Im}(d_1^*)$. By definition, $f_{z,k-z,z+1}$ is equal to $d_1^*(D|u^z w_1^{k-z}d^{z+1})$. We write $f_{z,k-z,z+1} = D^2U|\Delta_1(D|u^z w_1^{k-z}d^{z+1}) + DU^2|\Delta_2(D|u^z w_1^{k-z}d^{z+1})$. For $0 \leq z \leq k$, Fact 3.1 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2(D|u^z w_1^{k-z}d^{z+1}) &= \frac{\phi_z \phi_{z-1}}{r_1^2} u^z w_1^{k-z+2} d^{z-1} + \frac{(r_1^{k-z} r_2^z - 1) \alpha \phi_z}{r_1} u^{z+1} w_1^{k-z+1} d^z \\ &\quad + (r_1^{k-z} r_2^{z+1} - r_1)(r_1^{k-z} r_2^{z+1} - r_2) u^{z+2} w_1^{k-z} d^{z+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Once more, the hypothesis of genericity implies that none of the coefficients appearing on the right hand side of the above equation annihilates.

Suppose $D^2U|w_1^{k+1}d + DU^2|uw_1^{k+1}$ belongs to $\text{Im}(d_1^*)$. By Lemma 4.8, there exist $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$D^2U|w_1^{k+1}d + DU^2|uw_1^{k+1} = \sum_{z=0}^k \lambda_z f_{z,k-z,z+1}.$$

Therefore, $uw_1^{k+1} = \sum_{z=0}^k \lambda_z \Delta_2(D|u^z w_1^{k-z} d^{z+1})$. Looking at the coefficient of $u^{k+2} d^{k+1}$ on the right hand side of the last equality, we deduce $\lambda_k = 0$. Inductively in z and looking at the coefficient of $u^{z+2} w_1^{k-z} d^{z+1}$, we deduce $\lambda_z = 0$ for all $0 \leq z \leq k$, which is a contradiction. Thus, the element $D^2U|w_1^{k+1}d + DU^2|uw_1^{k+1}$ does not belong to $\text{Im}(d_1^*)$. We have proven the following.

Proposition 4.9. *Let $k \geq 1$. The homogeneous component of $\text{Ker}(d_2^*)$ of bidegree $(2k, 0)$ is the \mathbb{K} -vector space of dimension $k + 2$ spanned by the set*

$$\{D^2U|u^a w_1^{k+1} d^{a+1} + DU^2|u^{a+1} w_1^{k+1} d^a : 0 \leq a \leq k + 1\},$$

and the class in $HH^2(A)$ of the element $D^2U|w_1^{k+1}d + DU^2|uw_1^{k+1}$ generates the homogeneous component of bidegree $(2k, 0)$.

Theorem 2.2 follows from Propositions 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.6, 4.7 and 4.9.

References

- [1] Michael J. Bardzell, The alternating syzygy behavior of monomial algebras, *J. Algebra* 188 (1) (1997) 69–89.
- [2] Georgia Benkart, Down-up algebras and Witten’s deformations of the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{sl}_2 , in: *Recent Progress in Algebra*, Taejon/Seoul, 1997, in: *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 224, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 29–45.
- [3] Georgia Benkart, Tom Roby, Down-up algebras, *J. Algebra* 209 (1) (1998) 305–344.
- [4] Georgia Benkart, Sarah Witherspoon, A Hopf structure for down-up algebras, *Math. Z.* 238 (3) (2001) 523–553.
- [5] Roland Berger, Nicolas Marconnet, Koszul and Gorenstein properties for homogeneous algebras, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* 9 (1) (2006) 67–97.
- [6] Paula A.A.B. Carvalho, A. Lopes Samuel, Automorphisms of generalized down-up algebras, *Comm. Algebra* 37 (5) (2009) 1622–1646.
- [7] Paula A.A.B. Carvalho, Ian M. Musson, Down-up algebras and their representation theory, *J. Algebra* 228 (1) (2000) 286–310.
- [8] Thomas Cassidy, Brad Shelton, Basic properties of generalized down-up algebras, *J. Algebra* 279 (1) (2004) 402–421.
- [9] Sergio Chouhy, Andrea Solotar, Projective resolutions of associative algebras and ambiguities, *J. Algebra* 432 (2015) 22–61.
- [10] S.V. Fomin, The generalized Robinson–Schensted–Knuth correspondence, in: *Differentsialnaya Geometriya, Gruppy Li i Mekh. VIII*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) 155 (1986) 156–175, 195 (in Russian). English transl., *J. Sov. Math.* 41 (2) (1988) 979–991.
- [11] Thomas G. Goodwillie, Cyclic homology, derivations, and the free loop space, *Topology* 24 (2) (1985) 187–215.
- [12] Estanislao Herscovich, On the multi-Koszul property for connected algebras, *Doc. Math.* 18 (2013) 1301–1347.

- [13] Estanislao Herscovich, Andrea Solotar, Hochschild and cyclic homology of Yang–Mills algebras, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 665 (2012) 73–156.
- [14] K. Igusa, Cyclic homology and the determinant of the Cartan matrix, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 83 (1992) 101–119.
- [15] Ellen Kirkman, Ian M. Musson, D.S. Passman, Noetherian down–up algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 127 (11) (1999) 3161–3167.
- [16] Rajesh S. Kulkarni, Down–up algebras and their representations, *J. Algebra* 245 (2) (2001) 431–462.
- [17] Gian-Carlo Rota, Bruce Sagan, Paul R. Stein, A cyclic derivative in noncommutative algebra, *J. Algebra* 64 (1) (1980) 54–75.
- [18] Yuan Shen, DiMing Lu, Nakayama automorphisms of PBW deformations and Hopf actions, *Sci. China Math.* 59 (4) (2016) 661–672.
- [19] Richard P. Stanley, Differential posets, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1 (4) (1988) 919–961.
- [20] Xin Tang, Algebra endomorphisms and derivations of some localized down–up algebras, *J. Algebra Appl.* 14 (3) (2015) 1550034, 14.
- [21] Paul Terwilliger, The incidence algebra of a uniform poset, in: *Coding Theory and Design Theory, Part I*, in: IMA Vol. Math. Appl., vol. 20, Springer, New York, 1990, pp. 193–212.
- [22] Micheline Vigué-Poirrier, Cyclic homology of algebraic hypersurfaces, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 72 (1991) 95–108.
- [23] Charles A. Weibel, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [24] Edward Witten, Gauge theories and integrable lattice models, *Nuclear Phys. B* 322 (3) (1989) 629–697.
- [25] Edward Witten, Gauge theories, vertex models, and quantum groups, *Nuclear Phys. B* 330 (2–3) (1990) 285–346.
- [26] Kaiming Zhao, Centers of down–up algebras, *J. Algebra* 214 (1999) 103–121.