



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra



Weierstrass sections for some truncated parabolic subalgebras



Florence Fauquant-Millet

Univ Lyon, UJM Saint-Etienne, CNRS UMR 5208, Institut Camille Jordan, F-42023 Saint-Etienne, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 22 December 2020

Available online 16 April 2021

Communicated by David Hernandez

MSC:

16W22

17B22

17B35

Keywords:

Weierstrass section

Adapted pair

Slice

Parabolic subalgebra

Polynomiality

Symmetric invariants

Semi-invariants

ABSTRACT

In this paper, using Bourbaki's convention, we consider a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_m$ of type B, C or D and a parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p} of \mathfrak{g} associated with a Levi factor composed essentially, on each side of the second diagonal, by successive blocks of size two, except possibly for the first and the last ones. Extending the notion of a Weierstrass section introduced by Popov to the coadjoint action of the truncated parabolic subalgebra associated with \mathfrak{p} , we construct explicitly Weierstrass sections, which give the polynomiality (when it was not yet known) for the algebra generated by semi-invariant polynomial functions on the dual space \mathfrak{p}^* of \mathfrak{p} and which allow to linearize semi-invariant generators. Our Weierstrass sections require the construction of an adapted pair, which is the analogue of a principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple in the non reductive case.

© 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The base field \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed of characteristic zero.

E-mail address: florence.millet@univ-st-etienne.fr.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2021.03.033>

0021-8693/© 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1.1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{k} and \mathfrak{p} be a standard parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , acting by coadjoint action on its dual space \mathfrak{p}^* . Denote by $Sy(\mathfrak{p})$ the vector space generated by the semi-invariant polynomial functions on \mathfrak{p}^* . This is a subalgebra of the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{p})$ of \mathfrak{p} . Moreover there exists a canonically defined subalgebra \mathfrak{p}_Λ of \mathfrak{p} , called the canonical truncation of \mathfrak{p} or the truncated parabolic subalgebra associated with \mathfrak{p} , such that the algebra $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ of invariant polynomial functions on \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* coincides with the algebra $Sy(\mathfrak{p})$ (see 2.3 for more details). For some parabolic subalgebras \mathfrak{p} which we define below, we will study whether $Sy(\mathfrak{p})$ is isomorphic to a polynomial algebra over \mathbb{k} and whether one can linearize generators of $Sy(\mathfrak{p})$.

1.2. Now we consider \mathfrak{g} simple of type B_n, C_n or D_n and integers $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$ with $s + 2\ell \leq n$.

Using Bourbaki’s labelling [2] for a chosen set $\pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ of simple roots of \mathfrak{g} with respect to some Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} , we focus on several standard parabolic subalgebras \mathfrak{p} of \mathfrak{g} associated with a particular subset π' of π , where roughly speaking every second root in a chain of simple roots is deleted.

More precisely we consider the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}_{s,\ell}$ of \mathfrak{g} associated with the subset $\pi' \subset \pi$ such that

$$\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}, \dots, \alpha_{s+2\ell}\}$$

with $s + 2\ell \leq n$.

When \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n , we also study some parabolic subalgebras associated with a subset $\pi' \subset \pi$ which does not contain the last two roots α_{n-1} and α_n and also does not contain every second root in a chain of simple roots. Indeed we consider two other cases of parabolic subalgebras in \mathfrak{g} of type D_n which we define below.

The first case consists in deleting α_n, α_{n-1} and then possibly every second simple root preceding α_{n-1} until $\alpha_{n-1-2\ell}$ with $0 \leq \ell \leq (n - 2)/2$. Thus we denote by \mathfrak{p}_ℓ the parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} of type D_n associated with the subset $\pi' \subset \pi$ such that

$$\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_{n-1-2k}, \alpha_n \mid 0 \leq k \leq \ell\}$$

with $0 \leq \ell \leq (n - 2)/2$.

The second case consists in deleting α_n, α_{n-1} and then every second simple root from some simple root α_s until $\alpha_{s+2\ell}$ with $s + 2\ell \leq n - 2$. Thus we denote by $\mathfrak{q}_{s,\ell}$ the parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} of type D_n associated with

$$\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}, \dots, \alpha_{s+2\ell}, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n\}$$

with $s + 2\ell \leq n - 4$ or $s + 2\ell = n - 2$. Note that, if $s + 2\ell = n - 3$, then $\mathfrak{q}_{s,(n-3-s)/2} = \mathfrak{p}_{(n-1-s)/2}$ or simpler $\mathfrak{q}_{n-3-2\ell,\ell} = \mathfrak{p}_{\ell+1}$.

Roughly speaking, identifying \mathfrak{g} with a Lie subalgebra of some \mathfrak{gl}_m and adopting the conventions in [3, Chap VIII] the Levi factor of every parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p} as defined

above is composed, on each side of the second diagonal, by ℓ successive blocks of size two, a first block and possibly a last block (in type D_n , when $\alpha_n \in \pi'$ but $\alpha_{n-1} \notin \pi'$, we may notice that we have a pair of blocks along the second diagonal, symmetric with respect to the first diagonal). In other words the Levi subalgebra of such \mathfrak{p} is of type $A_{s-1} \times A_1^\ell \times R_r$, where

$$\begin{cases} s = n - 1 - 2\ell \text{ and } R_r = \{0\} & \text{for } \mathfrak{p}_\ell \\ R_r = A_{n-2-s-2\ell} & \text{for } \mathfrak{q}_{s,\ell} \\ R_r = B_{n-s-2\ell} & \text{for } \mathfrak{p}_{s,\ell} \text{ and } \mathfrak{g} \text{ of type } B_n \\ R_r = D_{n-s-2\ell} & \text{for } \mathfrak{p}_{s,\ell} \text{ and } \mathfrak{g} \text{ of type } D_n \end{cases}$$

with the convention that $A_0 = B_0 = D_0 = A_1^0 = \{0\}$, $B_1 = D_1 = A_1$ and $D_2 = A_1 \times A_1$ (here, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, type $\{0\} \times A_k$ or $A_k \times \{0\}$, resp. $\{0\} \times B_k$, resp. $\{0\} \times D_k$, simply means type A_k , resp. B_k , resp. D_k).

Note that the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}_{s,0}$ is a maximal parabolic subalgebra and it has already been treated in [8], [13] and [14]. Thus we will not consider this case. This work is a continuation and a generalization of [8], [13] and [14].

1.3. Let X be a finite dimensional vector space on which a reductive Lie algebra \mathfrak{a} acts linearly. Denote by $S(X^*)$ the symmetric algebra of the dual space X^* of X , which may be identified with the algebra of polynomial functions $\mathbb{k}[X]$ on X . Let $S(X^*)^\mathfrak{a}$ denote the algebra of invariants in $S(X^*)$ under the action of \mathfrak{a} (induced by the action of \mathfrak{a} on X), which is also the algebra of invariant polynomial functions on X . By a Hilbert’s theorem (see [28, II, Thm. 3.5] for an exposition), the algebra of invariants $S(X^*)^\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated and Popov considered in [27, 2.2.1] the problem of linearizing invariant generators in $S(X^*)^\mathfrak{a}$ by introducing the so-called Weierstrass sections for the action of \mathfrak{a} on X . Now assume that \mathfrak{a} is a finite dimensional Lie algebra, not necessarily reductive. We may extend Popov’s notion for $X = \mathfrak{a}^*$ the dual space of \mathfrak{a} , on which \mathfrak{a} acts by coadjoint action, and define a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{a} as an affine subspace \mathcal{S} of \mathfrak{a}^* such that restriction of functions to \mathcal{S} induces an algebra isomorphism between the algebra of symmetric invariants $Y(\mathfrak{a}) = S(\mathfrak{a})^\mathfrak{a}$ and the algebra of polynomial functions $\mathbb{k}[\mathcal{S}]$ on \mathcal{S} . Then the existence of a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{a} implies the polynomiality of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$, and the restriction map gives a linearization of invariant generators of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$. More details on Weierstrass sections are given in 2.5.

In the semisimple case (that is, when $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}$ a semisimple Lie algebra, see 2.7), a Weierstrass section \mathcal{S} was constructed by Kostant in [23] using a principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple. This particular Weierstrass section is called the Kostant slice, or Kostant section in [27]. The Kostant slice is also an affine slice in the sense that, if G is the adjoint group of \mathfrak{g} , then $G.\mathcal{S}$ is dense in \mathfrak{g}^* and every coadjoint orbit in \mathfrak{g}^* meets \mathcal{S} in at most one point, and transversally. In 2.6 are more details on affine slices.

In this article, our aim is to construct Weierstrass sections for coadjoint action of the canonical truncation \mathfrak{p}_Λ of the standard parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p} whenever \mathfrak{p} is either equal to $\mathfrak{p}_{s,\ell}$ or \mathfrak{p}_ℓ or $\mathfrak{q}_{s,\ell}$ defined in the previous subsection.

1.4. Similarly to the Kostant slice, a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ is also an affine slice to the coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ by [12]. In particular if there exists a Weierstrass section $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda^*$ for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ , then every coadjoint orbit in \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* meets \mathcal{S} in at most one point.

1.5. Unlike the reductive case where a principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple exists, a Weierstrass section in the non reductive case cannot be given by such a triple, since the latter does not exist. To fill in this lack, the notion of an adapted pair was introduced in [21]. Denote by $\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda := \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda$ the Cartan subalgebra of the truncated parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p}_Λ and by ad the coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ on \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* . An adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ is a pair $(h, y) \in \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda \times \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda^*$ such that:

- (1) $adh(y) = -y$ and
- (2) y is regular in \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* that is, there exists a subspace V of \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* of minimal dimension (called the index of \mathfrak{p}_Λ and denoted by $\text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda$) such that $ad \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) \oplus V = \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda^*$.

More details on adapted pairs are given in 2.4. Unfortunately adapted pairs do not always exist and are quite hard to construct. They may not exist even when Weierstrass sections for coadjoint action exist, as it was shown in [20, Thm. 9.4] for the truncated Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b}_Λ in type B_{2n+1} , D, E and G_2 . However in [20, 11.4 Example 2], although $Sy(\mathfrak{b}) = Y(\mathfrak{b}_\Lambda)$ is always a polynomial algebra by [15], it was also noticed that a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{b}_Λ does not exist for \mathfrak{g} of type C_2 since the invariant generators cannot be linearized in this case. As in [8], [13] and [14] we are able in our present cases to construct Weierstrass sections thanks to adapted pairs.

1.6. In [18] Weierstrass sections were constructed for coadjoint action of any truncated (bi)parabolic subalgebra in a simple Lie algebra of type A. Thus we do not consider this type.

1.7. *Main result*

Recall the notation of subsection 1.2. In this paper we prove that Weierstrass sections exist for the following cases:

- (1) for coadjoint action of the canonical truncation of $\mathfrak{p}_{s,\ell}$ when:
 - (a) \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n with $n \geq 2$, s odd and $\ell \geq 1$.
 - (b) \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n with $n \geq 4$, s odd and $\ell \geq 1$.
 - (c) \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n with $n \geq 4$, s even and $\ell = 1$.

- (d) \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n with $n \geq 6$, s even, $s \leq n - 4$ and $\ell = 1$.
- (e) \mathfrak{g} is of type C_n with $n \geq 3$ and $\ell \geq 1$;
- (2) for coadjoint action of the canonical truncation of \mathfrak{p}_ℓ for \mathfrak{g} simple of type D_n when:
 - (a) $n \geq 4$, and n even.
 - (b) $n \geq 5$, n odd, and $\ell = 0$.
 - (c) $n \geq 5$, n odd, and $\ell = 1$;
- (3) for coadjoint action of the canonical truncation of $\mathfrak{q}_{s,\ell}$ when \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n with $n \geq 5$, n odd and s odd.

1.8. The proof

The proof is in two steps and via a case by case consideration. Let \mathfrak{p} denote one of the above parabolic subalgebras and \mathfrak{p}_Λ its canonical truncation.

Step 1 consists of constructing explicitly an adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ , thanks to Proposition 6.2 which uses extensively the notion of Heisenberg sets, generalizing the sets of roots of generators in Heisenberg Lie algebras, see subsection 6.1.

Step 2 is to prove that this adapted pair gives the required Weierstrass section. For this purpose, two means are available. The simplest way is to check that the equality of a lower and an upper bounds for the formal character of $Sy(\mathfrak{p})$ (see Sect. 4) holds. This equality implies polynomiality of $Sy(\mathfrak{p})$ and then the existence of an adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ implies the existence of a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ (see also subsection 2.5). However in some of our cases the lower and upper bounds mentioned above do not coincide and then the polynomiality of $Sy(\mathfrak{p}) = Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ was not yet known. We then check that the lower bound and a so-called improved upper bound introduced in [19] (see Sect. 5) coincide. The latter method concerns the cases 1c, 1d, 2b, 2c. The Weierstrass section we obtain in these cases assures then the polynomiality of $Sy(\mathfrak{p})$.

2. Some definitions

In what follows, we specify the notions mentioned in Sect. 1. Let \mathfrak{a} be an algebraic finite dimensional Lie algebra over \mathbb{k} , which acts on its symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{a})$ by the action (denoted by ad) which extends by derivation the adjoint action of \mathfrak{a} on itself given by Lie bracket. We denote by A the adjoint group of \mathfrak{a} .

2.1. Algebra of symmetric invariants

An invariant of $S(\mathfrak{a})$ (symmetric invariant of \mathfrak{a} for short) is an element $s \in S(\mathfrak{a})$ such that, for all $x \in \mathfrak{a}$, $adx(s) = 0$.

We denote by $Y(\mathfrak{a}) = S(\mathfrak{a})^{\mathfrak{a}}$ the set of symmetric invariants of \mathfrak{a} : it is a subalgebra of $S(\mathfrak{a})$, called the algebra of symmetric invariants of \mathfrak{a} . We may notice that the algebra $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ also coincides with the centre of $S(\mathfrak{a})$ for its natural Poisson structure (and that is why it is sometimes also called the Poisson centre of $S(\mathfrak{a})$ or of \mathfrak{a} for short). Moreover

$Y(\mathfrak{a})$ also coincides with the algebra $S(\mathfrak{a})^A$ of invariants of $S(\mathfrak{a})$ under the action of A by automorphisms.

2.2. Algebra of symmetric semi-invariants

An element $s \in S(\mathfrak{a})$ is called a (symmetric) semi-invariant of \mathfrak{a} , if there exists $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ verifying that, for all $x \in \mathfrak{a}$, $adx(s) = \lambda(x)s$. We denote by $S(\mathfrak{a})_\lambda \subset S(\mathfrak{a})$ the space of such symmetric semi-invariants. The vector space generated by all symmetric semi-invariants of \mathfrak{a} will be denoted by $Sy(\mathfrak{a})$: it is a subalgebra of $S(\mathfrak{a})$, called the algebra of symmetric semi-invariants of \mathfrak{a} . A linear form $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ such that $S(\mathfrak{a})_\lambda \neq \{0\}$ is said to be a weight of $Sy(\mathfrak{a})$. We denote by $\Lambda(\mathfrak{a})$ the set of weights of $Sy(\mathfrak{a})$. It is a semigroup. One has that $Sy(\mathfrak{a}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathfrak{a})} S(\mathfrak{a})_\lambda$. Since $Y(\mathfrak{a}) = S(\mathfrak{a})_0$, one always has that $Y(\mathfrak{a}) \subset Sy(\mathfrak{a})$.

We will say that \mathfrak{a} has no proper semi-invariants when all the semi-invariants of \mathfrak{a} are invariant that is, when $Sy(\mathfrak{a}) = Y(\mathfrak{a})$.

For example, when $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}$ is a semisimple Lie algebra, then \mathfrak{g} has no proper semi-invariants. Moreover if \mathfrak{h} is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , we will say that $s \in S(\mathfrak{g})$ is an \mathfrak{h} -weight vector if there exists $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that for all $x \in \mathfrak{h}$, $adx(s) = \mu(x)s$. If \mathfrak{p} is a (standard) parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , then the set of weights $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p})$ of the algebra of semi-invariants $Sy(\mathfrak{p})$ of \mathfrak{p} may be viewed as a subset of \mathfrak{h}^* (see Sect. 3). Hence the \mathfrak{h} -weight vectors of $Sy(\mathfrak{p})$ are exactly the semi-invariants of \mathfrak{p} .

A special case of a parabolic subalgebra is a Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ of \mathfrak{g} semi-simple, where \mathfrak{n} denotes the nilpotent radical of \mathfrak{b} . By [15] the algebra of symmetric semi-invariants $Sy(\mathfrak{b})$, resp. the algebra of symmetric invariants $Y(\mathfrak{n}) \subset Sy(\mathfrak{b})$, is always a polynomial algebra, the former having $\text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) = \dim \mathfrak{h}$ generators. Moreover both algebras have the same set of weights. (See [15, Tables I and II] and [10, Table] for an erratum, for an explicit description of weights and degrees of generators.)

2.3. Canonical truncation

Since \mathfrak{a} is algebraic, there exists by [1] a canonically defined subalgebra of \mathfrak{a} , called the canonical truncation of \mathfrak{a} and denoted by \mathfrak{a}_Λ , such that $Y(\mathfrak{a}_\Lambda) = Sy(\mathfrak{a}_\Lambda) = Sy(\mathfrak{a})$. We also say that \mathfrak{a}_Λ is the truncated subalgebra of \mathfrak{a} : it is the largest subalgebra of \mathfrak{a} which vanishes on the weights of $Sy(\mathfrak{a})$. In particular, the canonical truncation of \mathfrak{a} has no proper semi-invariants. By say [29, 29.4.3] a parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p} of a semisimple Lie algebra is algebraic, hence one has that $Sy(\mathfrak{p}) = Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda) = Sy(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ where \mathfrak{p}_Λ is the canonical truncation of \mathfrak{p} . Moreover a result of Chevalley-Dixmier in [4, Lem. 7], also known as a theorem of Rosenlicht, implies that

$$\text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda = \text{degtr}_{\mathbb{k}}(\text{Fract}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda))).$$

In other words the index $\text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda$ of \mathfrak{p}_Λ that is, the minimal codimension of a coadjoint orbit in \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* , is also equal to the cardinality of a maximal set of algebraically independent

elements in $Sy(\mathfrak{p}) = Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$. It is not known in general whether $Sy(\mathfrak{p})$ is or not finitely generated, but the transcendence degree of its field of fractions was shown to be finite with an explicit formula given in (1) of subsection 4.1. By [16, 7.9] (see also [7, Chap. I, Sec. B, 8.2]) the algebra of symmetric invariants $Y(\mathfrak{p})$ of a proper parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p} in a simple Lie algebra is always reduced to scalars, while by [5] its algebra of symmetric semi-invariants $Sy(\mathfrak{p})$ is never. That is why we consider the algebra of symmetric semi-invariants $Sy(\mathfrak{p}) = Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ of a parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p} rather than its algebra of symmetric invariants. Moreover the structure of $Sy(\mathfrak{p})$ may give information about the field $C(\mathfrak{p})$ of invariant fractions of $S(\mathfrak{p})$. Specifically assume that $Sy(\mathfrak{p}) = Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ is a polynomial algebra (freely generated by semi-invariants of \mathfrak{p}). Then, since we have equality $\text{Fract}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)) = C(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$, the latter is obviously a pure transcendental extension of \mathbb{k} . Moreover by [24, Thm. 66] so is also the field $C(\mathfrak{p})$, answering positively to Dixmier’s fourth problem [6, Problem 4].

2.4. Adapted pairs

An adapted pair for \mathfrak{a} is a pair $(h, y) \in \mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{a}^*$ such that $adh(y) = -y$, where ad denotes here the coadjoint action of \mathfrak{a} , h is a semisimple element of \mathfrak{a} and y is a regular element in \mathfrak{a}^* , that is, there exists a subspace V of \mathfrak{a}^* of minimal dimension such that $ad_{\mathfrak{a}}(y) \oplus V = \mathfrak{a}^*$ (the dimension of V is called the index of \mathfrak{a} , denoted by $\text{ind } \mathfrak{a}$).

Call an element of \mathfrak{a}^* singular if it is not regular and denote by \mathfrak{a}_{sing}^* the set of singular elements in \mathfrak{a}^* . The set of regular elements in \mathfrak{a}^* is open dense in \mathfrak{a}^* and the codimension of \mathfrak{a}_{sing}^* is always bigger or equal to one. When equality holds the algebra \mathfrak{a} is said to be singular (nonsingular otherwise). The nonsingularity property is also called in [26, Def. 1.1] the “codimension two property”.

If (h, y) is an adapted pair for \mathfrak{a} , then y belongs to the zero set of the ideal of $S(\mathfrak{a})$ generated by the homogeneous elements of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ with positive degree. When \mathfrak{a} admits an adapted pair and has no proper semi-invariants, then it follows by [22, 1.7] that the algebra \mathfrak{a} is nonsingular. In particular if \mathfrak{a} is a truncated parabolic subalgebra of a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and admits an adapted pair (h, y) then by the above, \mathfrak{a} is nonsingular.

2.5. Weierstrass sections

A Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{a} (see [12]) is an affine subspace $y + V$ of \mathfrak{a}^* (with $y \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and V a vector subspace of \mathfrak{a}^*) such that restriction of functions of $S(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathbb{k}[\mathfrak{a}^*]$ to $y + V$ induces an algebra isomorphism between $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ and the algebra of polynomial functions $\mathbb{k}[y + V]$ on $y + V$. Of course, since $\mathbb{k}[y + V]$ is isomorphic to $S(V^*)$, the existence of a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{a} implies that the algebra $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ is isomorphic to $S(V^*)$ and then that $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ is a polynomial algebra (on $\dim V$ generators). Moreover, under this isomorphism, a set of homogeneous algebraically independent generators of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ is sent to a basis of V^* , hence each element of this set is linearized. In [20] Weierstrass sections were called algebraic slices.

Assume that \mathfrak{a} has no proper semi-invariants, admits an adapted pair (h, y) , and that the algebra of symmetric invariants $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ is polynomial. Then by [22, 2.3], for any *adh*-stable complement V of $\text{ad } \mathfrak{a}(y)$ in \mathfrak{a}^* , the affine subspace $y + V$ is a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{a} .

Suppose now that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda$ is the canonical truncation of a proper parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p} in a simple Lie algebra. By [10] there exist a lower and an upper bounds for the formal character of $Sy(\mathfrak{p}) = Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ (see also Sect. 4). Assume that these bounds coincide. This implies by [10] that $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ is a polynomial algebra over \mathbb{k} . Assume further that we have constructed an adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ . Thus by the above, this adapted pair provides a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ . This method will be used in roughly half of the cases we will consider in this paper.

2.6. Affine slice

An affine slice to the coadjoint action of \mathfrak{a} is an affine subspace $y + V$ of \mathfrak{a}^* such that $A.(y + V)$ is dense in \mathfrak{a}^* and $y + V$ meets every coadjoint orbit in $A.(y + V)$ at exactly one point and transversally. Assume that \mathfrak{a} has no proper semi-invariants. Then if there exists a Weierstrass section $y + V \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$ for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{a} , one has by [12, 3.2] that $y + V$ is an affine slice to the coadjoint action of \mathfrak{a} . The converse does not hold in general, but if $(y + V)_{\text{sing}} := (y + V) \cap \mathfrak{a}_{\text{sing}}^*$ is of codimension at least two in $y + V$ then it holds by [12, 3.3]. One may also find in [20] more details on affine slices.

2.7. The reductive case

Take $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}$ semisimple. Then there exists a principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple (x, h, y) of \mathfrak{g} with $h \in \mathfrak{g}$ a semisimple element and x and y regular in $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g}^*$, such that $[h, y] = -y$. Then the pair (h, y) is an adapted pair for \mathfrak{g} . Denote by \mathfrak{g}^x the centralizer of x in \mathfrak{g} . Then by [23] $y + \mathfrak{g}^x$ is a Weierstrass section and also an affine slice to the coadjoint action of \mathfrak{g} . It is called the Kostant slice or Kostant section.

2.8. Magic number and nonsingularity

The magic number of \mathfrak{a} is

$$c(\mathfrak{a}) = \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{a} + \text{ind } \mathfrak{a}).$$

It is always an integer. By [25, Prop. 3.1] one always has that $c(\mathfrak{a}_\Lambda) = c(\mathfrak{a})$, where \mathfrak{a}_Λ is the canonical truncation of \mathfrak{a} . When $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple, one has that $c(\mathfrak{g}) = \dim \mathfrak{b}$ where \mathfrak{b} is a Borel subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .

Assume that \mathfrak{a} has no proper semi-invariants and is nonsingular (which is the case by 2.4 when \mathfrak{a} admits an adapted pair for instance). Let f_1, \dots, f_l be $l = \text{ind } \mathfrak{a}$ homogeneous algebraically independent elements of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$. Then by [26, Thm. 1.2]

$$\sum_{i=1}^l \deg(f_i) \geq c(\mathfrak{a}). \tag{deg}$$

Moreover by [22, 5.6] and [26, Thm. 1.2], equality holds in (deg) if and only if $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ is generated by f_1, \dots, f_l .

In particular when $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda$ is the canonical truncation of a parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p} then by the above the existence of a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ , given by an adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ , implies that equality holds in (deg) for a set of $\text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda$ homogeneous algebraically independent elements of $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$.

3. Notation

Let \mathfrak{g} be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{k} and \mathfrak{h} be a fixed Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Let Δ be the set of roots of \mathfrak{g} (or root system of \mathfrak{g}) with respect to \mathfrak{h} and π a chosen set of simple roots. Denote by Δ^\pm the subset of Δ formed by the positive, resp. negative, roots of Δ , with respect to π .

With each root $\alpha \in \Delta$ is associated a root vector space \mathfrak{g}_α and a nonzero root vector $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. For all $A \subset \Delta$, set $\mathfrak{g}_A = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $-A = \{\gamma \in \Delta \mid -\gamma \in A\}$. We denote by α^\vee the coroot associated with the root $\alpha \in \Delta$. Then $(\alpha^\vee)_{\alpha \in \pi}$ is a basis for the \mathbb{k} -vector space \mathfrak{h} . We denote by \mathfrak{n} , resp. \mathfrak{n}^- , the subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g}_{\Delta^+}$, resp. $\mathfrak{n}^- = \mathfrak{g}_{\Delta^-}$. We have the following triangular decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-.$$

A standard parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} is given by the choice of a subset π' of π . That is why we may denote it by $\mathfrak{p}_{\pi'}$. Let $\Delta_{\pi'}^\pm$ denote the subset of Δ^\pm associated to π' , namely $\Delta_{\pi'}^\pm = \pm \mathbb{N}\pi' \cap \Delta^\pm$. Set $\mathfrak{n}_{\pi'}^\pm = \mathfrak{g}_{\Delta_{\pi'}^\pm}$. Then $\mathfrak{p}_{\pi'} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\pi'}^-$. Moreover $\mathfrak{p}_{\pi'}^- = \mathfrak{n}_{\pi'}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-$ is the opposite algebra of $\mathfrak{p}_{\pi'}$.

Via the Killing form K on \mathfrak{g} , the dual space $\mathfrak{p}_{\pi'}^*$ of $\mathfrak{p}_{\pi'}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{p}_{\pi'}^-$, which is then endowed with the coadjoint action of $\mathfrak{p}_{\pi'}$.

We denote by $(,)$ the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h}^*$, induced by the Killing form on $\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}$, and denote by $\mathcal{H} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ the isomorphism induced by the latter. The form $(,)$ is invariant under the action of the Weyl group of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. If \mathfrak{g} is simple of type B_n, C_n or D_n , resp. A_n , we may also view the form $(,)$ as a scalar product on \mathbb{R}^n , resp. on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . For all $\gamma, \gamma' \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, one has that $\gamma(\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\gamma')) = (\gamma, \gamma')$. We have that $\mathcal{H}(\alpha^\vee) = 2\alpha/(\alpha, \alpha)$, for all $\alpha \in \Delta$ so that, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$, we have that $\beta(\alpha^\vee) = (2\alpha/(\alpha, \alpha), \beta)$.

We use Bourbaki’s labelling for the roots, as in [2, Planches I, resp. II, resp. III, resp. IV] when \mathfrak{g} is simple of type A_n , resp. B_n , resp. C_n , resp. D_n . We then set $\pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ and denote by ϖ_i , or sometimes ϖ_{α_i} , $1 \leq i \leq n$, the fundamental weight associated with α_i . Similarly, if $\pi' = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_r}\} \subset \pi$ we denote by ϖ'_{i_j} , or sometimes $\varpi'_{\alpha_{i_j}}$, the fundamental weight associated with α_{i_j} with respect to π' .

We denote by $\varepsilon_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, resp. $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$, the elements of an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n , resp. \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , with respect to the scalar product $(,)$ and according to which the simple roots $\alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, are expanded as in [2, Planches II, III, IV, resp. I] for type B_n, C_n, D_n , resp. A_n .

Recall the definition of the canonical truncation given in 2.3 and denote by $\mathfrak{p}_{\pi', \Lambda}$ the canonical truncation of $\mathfrak{p}_{\pi'}$. Then one has that

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\pi', \Lambda} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\pi'}^-$$

where $\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda \subset \mathfrak{h}$ is the largest subalgebra of \mathfrak{h} which vanishes on $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}_{\pi'})$, the set of weights of $Sy(\mathfrak{p}_{\pi'})$ which may be identified with a subset of \mathfrak{h}^* . For an explicit description of \mathfrak{h}_Λ , see [11, 5.2.2, 5.2.9 and 5.2.10] or [13, 2.2]. Denote by $\mathfrak{p}'_{\pi'}$ the derived subalgebra of $\mathfrak{p}_{\pi'}$ and set $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p}'_{\pi'}$. Then \mathfrak{h}' is the vector space generated by the coroots α^\vee with $\alpha \in \pi'$ and $\mathfrak{h}' \subset \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$. Let w_0 be the longest element of the Weyl group of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. If $w_0 = -Id$ then $\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda = \mathfrak{h}'$. In particular if \mathfrak{g} is simple of type B_n, C_n , or D_{2m} , then we have that $\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda = \mathfrak{h}'$. Now assume that \mathfrak{g} is simple of type D_n with n odd. Then if both α_{n-1} and α_n do not belong to π' , we have that

$$\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathbb{k}\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\varpi_n - \varpi_{n-1}) = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathbb{k}\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\varepsilon_n) = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathbb{k}(\alpha_n^\vee - \alpha_{n-1}^\vee),$$

otherwise $\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda = \mathfrak{h}'$.

For convenience we will replace $\mathfrak{p}_{\pi'}$ by its opposite algebra $\mathfrak{p}_{\pi'}^-$ (simply denoted by \mathfrak{p} from now on) and we will consider the canonical truncation $\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda = \mathfrak{p}_{\pi', \Lambda}^-$ of $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{\pi'}^-$. We have that

$$\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda = \mathfrak{p}_{\pi', \Lambda}^- = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\pi'}^+$$

and its dual space \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* may be identified via the Killing form K on \mathfrak{g} with $\mathfrak{p}_{\pi', \Lambda}$ (since by [11, 5.2.2, 5.2.9] the restriction of K to $\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda \times \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$ is non-degenerate).

We will denote by \mathfrak{g}' the Levi subalgebra of \mathfrak{p} (and of \mathfrak{p}_Λ), namely:

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{n}_{\pi'}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\pi'}^-.$$

Then w'_0 will denote the longest element of the Weyl group of $(\mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{h}')$.

4. Bounds for formal character

Keep the notation of previous Section. A \mathfrak{h} -module M is called a weight module if $M = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} M_\nu$, with finite dimensional weight subspaces $M_\nu := \{m \in M \mid \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}, h.m = \nu(h)m\}$. For a weight module M one defines the formal character $\text{ch } M$ of M as follows:

$$\text{ch } M = \sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \dim M_\nu e^\nu$$

where $e^{\mu+\nu} = e^\mu e^\nu$ for all $\mu, \nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Obviously the formal character is multiplicative on tensor products that is, if M and N are weight modules, then

$$\text{ch}(M \otimes N) = \text{ch } M \text{ch } N.$$

Hence if $\mathcal{A} \subset S(\mathfrak{p})$ is a polynomial algebra with algebraically independent \mathfrak{h} -weight generators $a_i, 1 \leq i \leq l$, each of them having a nonzero weight $\lambda_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, then

$$\text{ch } \mathcal{A} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq l} (1 - e^{\lambda_i})^{-1}.$$

Moreover for weight modules M and N , we write $\text{ch } M \leq \text{ch } N$ if $\dim M_\nu \leq \dim N_\nu$ for all $\nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Hence if $M \subset N$, then $\text{ch } M \leq \text{ch } N$ and if equality holds then $M = N$.

We will specify below (see subsection 4.4) the lower and upper bounds for $\text{ch } Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ mentioned in subsection 2.5. For this, we have to summarize results in [9], [10], [11] and [17].

4.1. Let i and j be involutions of π defined as in [11, 5.1] or as in [13, 2.2]. More precisely $j = -w_0$ and $i(\alpha) = -w'_0(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \pi'$. If now $\alpha \in \pi \setminus \pi'$, then $i(\alpha) = j(\alpha)$ if $j(\alpha) \notin \pi'$, and otherwise $i(\alpha) = j(ij)^r(\alpha)$ where r is the smallest integer such that $j(ij)^r(\alpha) \notin \pi'$. Let $E(\pi')$ be the set of (ij) -orbits in π . By [11, 2.5] and [9, 3.2], we have that

$$\text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda = \text{degtr}_{\mathbb{k}}(\text{Fract}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda))) = |E(\pi')|. \tag{1}$$

4.2. Following [11, 5.2.1] one may set, for each $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$:

$$\delta_\Gamma = - \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varpi_\gamma - \sum_{\gamma \in j(\Gamma)} \varpi_\gamma + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \cap \pi'} \varpi'_\gamma + \sum_{\gamma \in i(\Gamma \cap \pi')} \varpi'_\gamma. \tag{2}$$

Note that, for all $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$, one has that $i(\Gamma \cap \pi') = j(\Gamma) \cap \pi'$ by [10, 3.2.2].

4.3. Let $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$. One sets $d_\Gamma = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varpi_\gamma$ and $d'_\Gamma = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \cap \pi'} \varpi'_\gamma$ and one denotes by $\mathcal{B}_\pi := \Lambda(\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$, resp. $\mathcal{B}_{\pi'} := \Lambda(\mathfrak{n}_{\pi'}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}') \subset \mathfrak{h}'^*$ the set of weights of the polynomial algebra of symmetric semi-invariants $\text{Sy}(\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h})$, resp. $\text{Sy}(\mathfrak{n}_{\pi'}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}')$: generators of the set \mathcal{B}_π (and then also of $\mathcal{B}_{\pi'}$) are given in [15, Table I and II] and in [10, Table]. The set \mathcal{B}_π , resp. $\mathcal{B}_{\pi'}$, is equal to the set of weights of the polynomial algebra $Y(\mathfrak{n})$, resp. $Y(\mathfrak{n}_{\pi'}^+)$, see below subsection 4.5.

Then following [10, 3.2.7] one sets

$$\varepsilon_\Gamma = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{if } \Gamma = j(\Gamma) \text{ and } d_\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}_\pi \text{ and } d'_\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}_{\pi'} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

Below we give some details on the set \mathcal{B}_π , resp. $\mathcal{B}_{\pi'}$. For a real number x , denote by $[x]$ the integer such that $x - 1 < [x] \leq x$.

Assume that \mathfrak{g} is simple of type B_n , with $n \geq 2$. Recall that $j = Id_\pi$. Let $\alpha \in \pi$. If $\alpha = \alpha_{2k}$ with $1 \leq k \leq [(n - 1)/2]$, then $\varpi_{2k} \in \mathcal{B}_\pi$. Otherwise $2\varpi_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_\pi$ but $\varpi_\alpha \notin \mathcal{B}_\pi$.

Now assume that \mathfrak{g} is simple of type D_n , with $n \geq 4$. Then the same as above is true for the first $n - 2$ simple roots. Moreover if n is even then $j = Id_\pi$ and if n is odd, then $j(\alpha_{n-1}) = \alpha_n$ and j is the identity if restricted to the $n - 2$ first simple roots. In both cases, if $\alpha \in \{\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n\}$, then $\varpi_\alpha + \varpi_{j(\alpha)} \in \mathcal{B}_\pi$ but $\varpi_\alpha \notin \mathcal{B}_\pi$.

If \mathfrak{g} is simple of type C_n , with $n \geq 2$, then, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $2\varpi_i \in \mathcal{B}_\pi$ but $\varpi_i \notin \mathcal{B}_\pi$.

Finally if α belongs to a connected component of π' of type A, then $\varpi'_\alpha + \varpi'_{i(\alpha)} \in \mathcal{B}_{\pi'}$ but $\varpi'_\alpha \notin \mathcal{B}_{\pi'}$.

4.4. Assume from now on that \mathfrak{g} is simple and that the parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p} is proper that is, $\pi' \subsetneq \pi$. By [17, Thm. 6.7] (see also [10, 7.1]) one has that

$$\prod_{\Gamma \in E(\pi')} (1 - e^{\delta_\Gamma})^{-1} \leq \text{ch}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)) \leq \prod_{\Gamma \in E(\pi')} (1 - e^{\varepsilon_\Gamma \delta_\Gamma})^{-1}. \tag{4}$$

Assume now that both bounds in (4) coincide that is, that $\varepsilon_\Gamma = 1$ for all $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$. For example, it occurs when \mathfrak{g} is simple of type A or C. Then one deduces that $Sy(\mathfrak{p}) = Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ is a polynomial algebra over \mathbb{k} on $|E(\pi')|$ homogeneous and \mathfrak{h} -weight algebraically independent generators. One generator corresponds to every $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$ and has a weight δ_Γ given by (2) above (recall that one has assumed that the parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p} contains the negative Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h}$) and a degree ∂_Γ which may be easily computed by [10, 5.4.2]. To explain how one may compute this degree (see (5) or (6) below), we have to recall results in subsection below.

4.5. By [15] $Y(\mathfrak{n}_{\pi'}^+) \subset Sy(\mathfrak{n}_{\pi'}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}')$, resp. $Y(\mathfrak{n}) \subset Sy(\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h})$, is a polynomial algebra whose set of homogeneous and \mathfrak{h}' -weight, resp. \mathfrak{h} -weight, algebraically independent generators is formed by the elements $a_{\rho'_\gamma}$, resp. a_{ρ_γ} : their weight ρ'_γ , resp. ρ_γ , and their degree are given in [15, Table I and II] and in [10, Table] and we precise them below. Recall the sets $\mathcal{B}_{\pi'}$, resp. \mathcal{B}_π , of subsection 4.3 and that these sets are also the sets of weights of $Y(\mathfrak{n}_{\pi'}^+)$, resp. of $Y(\mathfrak{n})$. One has that, for all $\gamma \in \pi'$, resp. $\gamma \in \pi$,

$$\rho'_\gamma = \varpi'_\gamma \text{ if } \varpi'_\gamma \in \mathcal{B}_{\pi'}, \text{ resp. } \rho_\gamma = \varpi_\gamma \text{ if } \varpi_\gamma \in \mathcal{B}_\pi.$$

Otherwise

$$\rho'_\gamma = \varpi'_\gamma + \varpi'_{i(\gamma)}, \text{ resp. } \rho_\gamma = \varpi_\gamma + \varpi_{j(\gamma)}.$$

Assume that \mathfrak{g} is simple of type B_n , resp. D_n . For all $1 \leq u \leq [(n - 1)/2]$, resp. $1 \leq u \leq [(n - 2)/2]$, one has that

$$\deg(a_{\rho_{\alpha_{2u}}}) = \deg(a_{\varpi_{2u}}) = u$$

and for all $1 \leq u \leq [n/2]$, resp. $1 \leq u \leq [(n - 1)/2]$,

$$\deg(a_{\rho_{\alpha_{2u-1}}}) = \deg(a_{2\varpi_{2u-1}}) = 2u.$$

Moreover for \mathfrak{g} of type B_n ,

$$\deg(a_{\rho_{\alpha_n}}) = \deg(a_{2\varpi_n}) = [(n + 1)/2].$$

For \mathfrak{g} of type D_n , then for $\alpha \in \{\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n\}$, one has that

$$\deg(a_{\rho_\alpha}) = \deg(a_{\varpi_\alpha + \varpi_{j(\alpha)}}) = [n/2].$$

Finally assume that \mathfrak{g} is simple of type A_n , resp. C_n . Then for all $1 \leq u \leq [(n + 1)/2]$, resp. for all $1 \leq u \leq n$, one has that $\deg(a_{\rho_{\alpha_u}}) = \deg(a_{\varpi_u + \varpi_{n+1-u}}) = u$, resp. $\deg(a_{\rho_{\alpha_u}}) = \deg(a_{2\varpi_u}) = u$.

4.6. Assume now that, for all $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$, one has $\varepsilon_\Gamma = 1$.

Let $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$ be such that $\Gamma = j(\Gamma)$. The degree ∂_Γ of the homogeneous generator of $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ corresponding to Γ verifies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\Gamma = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma | \rho_\gamma = \varpi_\gamma} 2 \deg(a_{\rho_\gamma}) + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma | \rho_\gamma \neq \varpi_\gamma} \deg(a_{\rho_\gamma}) + \\ \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \cap \pi' | \rho'_\gamma = \varpi'_\gamma} 2 \deg(a_{\rho'_\gamma}) + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \cap \pi' | \rho'_\gamma \neq \varpi'_\gamma} \deg(a_{\rho'_\gamma}). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Let $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$ be such that $\Gamma = \{\alpha\}$ with $\alpha \in \pi \setminus \pi'$ and $i(\alpha) \neq \alpha$. Then necessarily one has that $\Gamma \neq j(\Gamma)$ (by [11, 5.2.6]) and there exist two homogeneous generators s_Γ and t_Γ of $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ corresponding to Γ (more precisely one corresponds to Γ and the other to $j(\Gamma)$) whose weight $\delta_\Gamma = \delta_{j(\Gamma)}$ is given by (2) and whose degree ∂_Γ , resp. $\partial_{j(\Gamma)}$, is given by the formula:

$$\partial_\Gamma = \deg(s_\Gamma) = \deg(a_{\rho_\alpha}) \text{ and } \partial_{j(\Gamma)} = \deg(t_\Gamma) = \deg(a_{\rho_\alpha}) + 1. \tag{6}$$

The latter situation can occur when \mathfrak{g} is simple of type D_n with n odd and when both α_{n-1} and α_n do not belong to π' (see Sect. 14).

5. Improved upper bound

Keep the notation and hypotheses of Section 3 and assume that \mathfrak{p}_Λ admits an adapted pair $(h, y) \in \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda \times \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda^*$. Since y is regular in \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* there exists an *adh*-stable complement V to $\text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y)$ in \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* of dimension $\text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda$. Moreover by [12, 2.2.4] we may assume that $V = \mathfrak{g}_T$ with $T \subset \Delta^+ \sqcup \Delta_{\pi'}^-$, that is, $\text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_T = \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda^*$ with $|T| = \text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda$. Assume further that $y = \sum_{\gamma \in S} x_\gamma$ with $S \subset \Delta^+ \sqcup \Delta_{\pi'}^-$, and that $S|_{\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda}$ is a basis for \mathfrak{h}_Λ^* . Then for each $\gamma \in T$, there exists a unique element $s(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Q}S$ such that $\gamma + s(\gamma)$ vanishes on \mathfrak{h}_Λ . By [19, Lem. 6.11], one has that

$$\text{ch}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)) \leq \prod_{\gamma \in T} (1 - e^{-(\gamma + s(\gamma))})^{-1}. \tag{7}$$

The right hand side of the above inequality is called an improved upper bound for $\text{ch}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda))$.

Assume now that

$$\prod_{\Gamma \in E(\pi')} (1 - e^{\delta_\Gamma})^{-1} = \prod_{\gamma \in T} (1 - e^{-(\gamma + s(\gamma))})^{-1}. \tag{8}$$

Then by (4) of Sect. 4 equality holds in (7) and by [19, Lem. 6.11] the restriction map gives an isomorphism $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{k}[y + \mathfrak{g}_T]$. Then $y + \mathfrak{g}_T$ is a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ as defined in 2.5.

This implies that $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ is a polynomial algebra over \mathbb{k} on $|E(\pi')| = |T|$ algebraically independent homogeneous and \mathfrak{h} -weight generators, each of them having δ_Γ , for $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$, as a weight, given by (2) of Sect. 4 (this weight is also equal to $-(\gamma + s(\gamma))$, for some $\gamma \in T$). Moreover the degree of each of these generators is equal to $1 + |s(\gamma)|$, $\gamma \in T$, where $|s(\gamma)| = \sum_{\alpha \in S} m_{\alpha, \gamma}$ if $s(\gamma) = \sum_{\alpha \in S} m_{\alpha, \gamma} \alpha$ ($m_{\alpha, \gamma} \in \mathbb{N}$, actually). For all $\gamma \in T$, the integer $|s(\gamma)|$ is also equal to the eigenvalue of x_γ with respect to *adh*. (For more details, see [19, 6.11].)

Conversely if $y + \mathfrak{g}_T$ is a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ , then equality holds in (7) by [19, Remark 6.11].

6. Construction of an adapted pair

As we already said in the previous sections, our Weierstrass sections require the construction of an adapted pair. This construction uses the notions we already introduced in [8], [13] and [14]. For convenience we recall some of them, notably the Heisenberg sets and the Kostant cascades.

6.1. Heisenberg sets and Kostant cascades

A Heisenberg set with centre $\gamma \in \Delta$ ([8, Def. 7]) is a subset Γ_γ of Δ such that $\gamma \in \Gamma_\gamma$ and for all $\alpha \in \Gamma_\gamma \setminus \{\gamma\}$, there exists a (unique) $\alpha' \in \Gamma_\gamma \setminus \{\gamma\}$ such that $\alpha + \alpha' = \gamma$.

We may take care to not be confused by the above notation of a Heisenberg set and an element $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$, resp. $\Gamma_u \in E(\pi')$, which denotes an $\langle ij \rangle$ -orbit in π , resp. the $\langle ij \rangle$ -orbit of $\alpha_u \in \pi$.

A typical example of Heisenberg set is given by the Kostant cascade of \mathfrak{g} (see also [8, Example 8]). More precisely assume that the semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} admits a set of roots $\Delta = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \Delta_i$ with $I \subset \mathbb{N}^*$, each Δ_i being a maximal irreducible root system with highest root β_i . Then take $(\Delta_i)_{\beta_i} = \{\alpha \in \Delta_i \mid (\alpha, \beta_i) = 0\}$. For every $i \in I$, set $(\Delta_i)_{\beta_i} = \bigsqcup_{j \in J} \Delta_{ij}$ with $J \subset \mathbb{N}^*$ and Δ_{ij} being a maximal irreducible root system with highest root β_{ij} . Continuing we obtain a subset $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathbb{N}^* \cup \mathbb{N}^{*2} \cup \dots$ with $\text{Card } \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g}) \leq \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$, irreducible root systems Δ_K , $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})$ and a maximal set β_π of strongly orthogonal positive roots β_K , $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})$, called the *Kostant cascade* of \mathfrak{g} . The subset $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})$ admits a partial order \leq through $K \leq L$ if $K = L$ or if $L = \{K, l_1, \dots, l_t\}$ with $l_i \in \mathbb{N}^*$. In type A or C, this order is actually a total order, since the sets $(\Delta_K)_{\beta_K}$ are already irreducible. So one can index the subset β_π of Δ^+ simply by \mathbb{N} in these types, so that the roots in β_π are simply denoted by β_i , $1 \leq i \leq \text{Card } \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})$. In type B or D, the order is not total. In type B_n or D_{2n+1} , resp. D_{2n} , for the elements β_K , $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})$, we use the notation $\beta_i, \beta_{i'}$, resp. $\beta_i, \beta_{i'}, \beta_{i''}$ with order relation $i < i'$, resp. $i < i'$ and $i < i''$. For more details, see for example [10, Table], [13, Table I], [14, Sect. 7] or [15, Tables I, II, III].

Let β_K be an element of the Kostant cascade β_π of \mathfrak{g} and set

$$H_{\beta_K} = \{\alpha \in \Delta_K \mid (\alpha, \beta_K) > 0\}.$$

Then H_{β_K} is a Heisenberg set with centre β_K : it is the largest Heisenberg set with centre β_K which is included in Δ^+ by *ii*) of Lemma below. Moreover the vector subspace $\mathfrak{g}_{H_{\beta_K}}$ of \mathfrak{g} associated with H_{β_K} (with the notation in Sect. 3) is a Heisenberg Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} by *iv*) of Lemma below. Of course all the Heisenberg sets are not necessarily associated with Heisenberg Lie subalgebras and even not with Lie subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} , since *iv*) of Lemma below need not be true for a Heisenberg set in general.

By [15, Lem. 2.2] (see also [13, Lem. 3]) we have the following Lemma, which is very useful to construct adapted pairs thanks to the Kostant cascade β_π and to the largest Heisenberg sets H_β , $\beta \in \beta_\pi$, which are defined above.

Lemma. [15, Lem. 2.2] *Let β_π denote the Kostant cascade of \mathfrak{g} . Then we have that:*

- i) $\Delta^+ = \bigsqcup_{\beta \in \beta_\pi} H_\beta$ (disjoint union).*
- ii) If $\gamma, \delta \in \Delta^+$ are such that $\gamma + \delta = \beta \in \beta_\pi$ then $\gamma, \delta \in H_\beta \setminus \{\beta\}$.*
- iii) If $\gamma \in H_{\beta_K}$ and $\delta \in H_{\beta_L}$ are such that $\gamma + \delta \in H_{\beta_M}$ with $K, L, M \in \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})$, then $K \leq L$ (resp. $L \leq K$) and $M = K$ (resp. $M = L$).*
- iv) If $\gamma, \delta \in H_\beta$, $\beta \in \beta_\pi$, and $\gamma + \delta \in \Delta$ then $\gamma + \delta = \beta$.*

For an explicit description of Kostant cascades, see for example [13], [14] or [15]. The Heisenberg sets (not only the largest Heisenberg sets $H_\beta, \beta \in \beta_\pi$) are very helpful for the construction of an adapted pair. They were used in [18], resp. in [8], [13] and [14], to build adapted pairs for every truncated biparabolic subalgebra in a simple Lie algebra of type A, resp. for truncated maximal parabolic subalgebras. Below is a proposition where Heisenberg sets appear to be crucial for constructing an adapted pair.

6.2. A proposition of regularity

The following proposition (see [8, Prop. 9]) is a generalization of [18, Thm. 8.6]. We keep the notation of Sect. 3 and consider S, T and T^* disjoint subsets of $\Delta^+ \sqcup \Delta_{\pi'}^-$ and set $y = \sum_{\gamma \in S} x_\gamma$.

Proposition. [8, Prop. 9] *We assume that, for each $\gamma \in S$, there exists $\Gamma_\gamma \subset \Delta^+ \sqcup \Delta_{\pi'}^-$ a Heisenberg set with centre γ and that all the sets Γ_γ , for $\gamma \in S$, together with T and T^* are disjoint.*

We also assume that we can decompose S into $S^+ \sqcup S^-$ where S^+ , resp. S^- , is the subset of S containing those $\gamma \in S$ with $\Gamma_\gamma \subset \Delta^+$, resp. $\Gamma_\gamma \subset \Delta_{\pi'}^-$.

For all $\gamma \in S$, set $\Gamma_\gamma^0 = \Gamma_\gamma \setminus \{\gamma\}$, $O = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in S} \Gamma_\gamma^0$ and $O^\pm = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in S^\pm} \Gamma_\gamma^0$.

We assume further that:

- (i) $S|_{\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda}$ is a basis for \mathfrak{h}_Λ^* .
- (ii) If $\alpha \in \Gamma_\gamma^0$ with $\gamma \in S^+$, is such that there exists $\beta \in O^+$, with $\alpha + \beta \in S$, then $\beta \in \Gamma_\gamma^0$ and $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$.
- (iii) If $\alpha \in \Gamma_\gamma^0$ with $\gamma \in S^-$, is such that there exists $\beta \in O^-$, with $\alpha + \beta \in S$, then $\beta \in \Gamma_\gamma^0$ and $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$.
- (iv) $\Delta^+ \sqcup \Delta_{\pi'}^- = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in S} \Gamma_\gamma \sqcup T \sqcup T^*$.
- (v) For all $\alpha \in T^*$, $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) + \mathfrak{g}_T$.
- (vi) $|T| = \text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda$.

Then y is regular in \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* and

$$\text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_T = \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda^*.$$

Moreover we can uniquely define $h \in \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$ by $\gamma(h) = -1$ for all $\gamma \in S$, and then (h, y) is an adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ .

We give below the proof of the above proposition for the reader’s convenience.

Proof. Condition (iv) implies that $\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda = \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-O} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-S} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-T^*} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-T}$ and that $\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda^* = \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda \oplus \mathfrak{g}_O \oplus \mathfrak{g}_S \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{T^*} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_T$.

Let Φ_y denote the skew-symmetric bilinear form defined by $\Phi_y(x, x') = K(y, [x, x'])$ for all $x, x' \in \mathfrak{g}$ where recall K is the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} .

Conditions (ii) and (iii) imply by [18, Lem. 8.5] that the restriction of Φ_y to $\mathfrak{g}_{-O} \times \mathfrak{g}_{-O}$ is non-degenerate. Then $\mathfrak{g}_O \subset ad \mathfrak{g}_{-O}(y) + \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda + \mathfrak{g}_S + \mathfrak{g}_T + \mathfrak{g}_{T^*}$.

But since $O \cap S = \emptyset$ one has that for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}_O$ and $x' \in \mathfrak{g}_{-O}$, the element $x - ad x'(y)$ belongs to the orthogonal of \mathfrak{h}_Λ for the Killing form. Then $\mathfrak{g}_O \subset ad \mathfrak{g}_{-O}(y) + \mathfrak{g}_S + \mathfrak{g}_T + \mathfrak{g}_{T^*}$.

Condition (i) implies that $\mathfrak{g}_S = ad \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda(y)$ and that $\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda \subset ad \mathfrak{g}_{-S}(y) + \mathfrak{g}_O + \mathfrak{g}_S + \mathfrak{g}_T + \mathfrak{g}_{T^*}$. Condition (v) implies that $\mathfrak{g}_{T^*} \subset ad \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) + \mathfrak{g}_T$. Hence $\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda^* = \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda \oplus \mathfrak{g}_O \oplus \mathfrak{g}_S \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{T^*} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_T \subset ad \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) + \mathfrak{g}_T$. Finally condition (vi) implies that the latter sum is direct, since $\dim \mathfrak{g}_T = \text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda \leq \text{codim } ad \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y)$. \square

Remarks.

- (1) Notice that [18, Thm. 8.6] is a special case of the above Proposition, with $T^* = \emptyset$. Here we need to take sometimes a set $T^* \neq \emptyset$ as in [8].
- (2) In [14, Lem. 3.2 and Lem. 6.1] lemmas were given to insure condition (v) in the above Proposition. In this paper, as in [8], we verify by hand that condition (v) of the above Proposition is satisfied, using if necessary Lemma and Proposition 6.3 below.
- (3) Assume that there exists an adapted pair (h, y) for \mathfrak{p}_Λ and denote by \mathfrak{g}_T a complement of $ad \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y)$ in \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* , with $T \subset \Delta^+ \sqcup \Delta_{\pi'}^-$.
 - (a) Assume further that $\varepsilon_\Gamma = 1$ for all $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$ (as defined in (3) of Sect. 4). Then $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ is a polynomial algebra and by what we said in subsection 2.5 one has that $y + \mathfrak{g}_T$ is a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ (since \mathfrak{g}_T is adh -stable).
 - (b) Assume now that there exists $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$ such that $\varepsilon_\Gamma = 1/2$. Assume further that (8) of Sect. 5 holds. Then by what we said in Sect. 5, $y + \mathfrak{g}_T$ is a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ .

6.3. Condition (v) of Proposition 6.2

Keeping the notation of Sect. 3, we consider $S, T, T^* \subset \Delta^+ \sqcup \Delta_{\pi'}^-$, three disjoint subsets and $y = \sum_{\alpha \in S} x_\alpha$. We give in the Proposition below a sufficient condition which implies condition (v) of Proposition 6.2 for some roots $\alpha \in T^*$. Recall Sect. 3 that for all $\alpha \in \Delta$, we have fixed a nonzero root vector $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, that we will rescale if necessary, except those associated with the roots $\alpha \in S$, since $y = \sum_{\alpha \in S} x_\alpha$ is fixed.

Lemma. *Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in S$ and $\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2, \gamma'_3 \in (\Delta^- \sqcup \Delta_{\pi'}^+) \setminus S$ be such that*

- (1) $\gamma_i + \gamma'_i \in (\Delta^+ \sqcup \Delta_{\pi'}^-) \setminus S$, for all $1 \leq i \leq 3$,
- (2) $\gamma_2 + \gamma'_2 = \gamma_1 + \gamma'_3$,
- (3) $\gamma_3 + \gamma'_3 = \gamma_2 + \gamma'_1$,
- (4) $\gamma_1 + \gamma'_1 + \gamma_2 \in \Delta$,
- (5) $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \notin \Delta, \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 \notin \Delta, \gamma_1 + \gamma_3 \notin \Delta$.

Then $\gamma_1 + \gamma'_1 = \gamma_3 + \gamma'_2$ and up to rescaling the nonzero root vectors $x_{\gamma'_i} \in \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and the nonzero root vectors $x_{\gamma_i + \gamma'_i} \in \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda^*$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 3$, we have that

$$(\Sigma) \begin{cases} [x_{\gamma'_1}, x_{\gamma_1}] = [x_{\gamma'_2}, x_{\gamma_3}] = x_{\gamma_1 + \gamma'_1} \\ [x_{\gamma'_2}, x_{\gamma_2}] = [x_{\gamma'_3}, x_{\gamma_1}] = x_{\gamma_2 + \gamma'_2} \\ [x_{\gamma'_3}, x_{\gamma_3}] = [x_{\gamma'_1}, x_{\gamma_2}] = x_{\gamma_3 + \gamma'_3} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The equality $\gamma_1 + \gamma'_1 = \gamma_3 + \gamma'_2$ comes directly from the equalities (2) and (3). Moreover the rescaling of the nonzero root vectors $x_{\gamma'_i}$ and $x_{\gamma_i + \gamma'_i}$ (which is possible since the roots γ'_i and $\gamma_i + \gamma'_i$ do not belong to S) gives for example the last two equalities of (Σ) . Then we obtain the first one, since we prove easily that $[x_{\gamma'_1}, x_{\gamma_1}] = [x_{\gamma'_2}, x_{\gamma_3}]$. Indeed by applying Jacobi identity several times, it is easy to prove, under the assumptions, that $[[x_{\gamma'_1}, x_{\gamma_1}], x_{\gamma_2}] = [[x_{\gamma'_2}, x_{\gamma_3}], x_{\gamma_2}]$ and using (4) one can conclude. \square

We then have directly the following proposition.

Proposition. Let γ_i and γ'_i , for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, be roots satisfying the hypotheses of previous lemma. Recall that $y = \sum_{\gamma \in S} x_\gamma$ and let X, X', X'' be vectors in \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* such that, after a possible rescaling of some suitable root vectors, we have

$$\begin{cases} ad x_{\gamma'_1}(y) = x_{\gamma_1 + \gamma'_1} + x_{\gamma_3 + \gamma'_3} + X \\ ad x_{\gamma'_2}(y) = x_{\gamma_1 + \gamma'_1} + x_{\gamma_2 + \gamma'_2} + X' \\ ad x_{\gamma'_3}(y) = x_{\gamma_2 + \gamma'_2} + x_{\gamma_3 + \gamma'_3} + X'' \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} X \notin Vect(x_{\gamma_1 + \gamma'_1}, x_{\gamma_3 + \gamma'_3}) \setminus \{0\} \\ X' \notin Vect(x_{\gamma_1 + \gamma'_1}, x_{\gamma_2 + \gamma'_2}) \setminus \{0\} \\ X'' \notin Vect(x_{\gamma_2 + \gamma'_2}, x_{\gamma_3 + \gamma'_3}) \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

If $X, X', X'' \in ad \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) + \mathfrak{g}_T$, then $x_{\gamma_i + \gamma'_i} \in ad \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) + \mathfrak{g}_T$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 3$.

Actually we will apply the previous proposition with X, X', X'' being vectors for which it will be immediate to verify that they belong to $ad \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) + \mathfrak{g}_T$ by direct computation. Moreover one of the $\gamma_i + \gamma'_i$ will belong to the subset T^* . See for example proof of Lemma 9.3.

6.4. The Kostant cascade in type A

Keep the notation of Sect. 3 and assume that \mathfrak{g} is a simple Lie algebra of type B_n, C_n or D_n . We consider $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_\pi^+$ the standard parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} containing the

negative Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}^- = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ and associated to the subset $\pi' \subset \pi$. Recall that we are interested in studying \mathfrak{p} which is equal to $\mathfrak{p}_{s,\ell}$, resp. \mathfrak{p}_ℓ , resp. $\mathfrak{q}_{s,\ell}$ with $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, as defined in subsection 1.2. Then the subset π' associated to \mathfrak{p} is $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}, \dots, \alpha_{s+2\ell}\}$ with $1 \leq s \leq n - 2\ell$, resp. $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_{n-1-2\ell}, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n\}$ and \mathfrak{g} of type D_n , resp. $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}, \dots, \alpha_{s+2\ell}, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n\}$ with $s + 2\ell \leq n - 2$ and \mathfrak{g} of type D_n .

If $s \geq 2$ and in the cases of $\mathfrak{p}_{s,\ell}$ or of $\mathfrak{q}_{s,\ell}$, $\pi'_1 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{s-1}\}$ will denote the connected component of π' of type A_{s-1} and in the case of \mathfrak{p}_ℓ , $\pi'_1 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-2-2\ell}\}$ will denote the connected component of π' of type $A_{n-2-2\ell}$, if $n - 2 - 2\ell \geq 1$. To keep homogeneous notation we will set in this subsection $s = n - 1 - 2\ell$ when we are in the latter case. We denote by $\beta_{\pi'_1}$ the Kostant cascade (see 6.1) of the simple Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\pi'_1}$ of the Levi subalgebra \mathfrak{g}' of \mathfrak{p} which is of type A_{s-1} . We also denote by π_1^{\vee} the subset of \mathfrak{h}' formed by the coroots α^\vee with $\alpha \in \pi'_1$ and by $\Delta_{\pi'_1}^+ := \Delta^+ \cap \mathbb{N}\pi'_1$. We have that

$$\beta_{\pi'_1} = \{\beta'_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{s+1-i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq [s/2]\} \subset \Delta_{\pi'_1}^+.$$

Set $\beta_{\pi'_1}^0 := \beta_{\pi'_1} \setminus (\beta_{\pi'_1} \cap \pi'_1)$. If s is odd, then $\beta_{\pi'_1}^0 = \beta_{\pi'_1}$ and if s is even, then $\beta_{\pi'_1}^0 = \{\beta'_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq (s - 2)/2\}$. The following lemma will be useful for the next sections, notably to prove that, for a suitable subset $S \subset \Delta^+ \sqcup \Delta_{\pi'}^-$, one has that $S|_{\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda}$ is a basis for \mathfrak{h}_Λ^* (see Lemma 8.2 or Lemma 10.2). If s is even, set $t := [s/4]$ and if s is odd, set $t := [(s + 1)/4]$. We consider the subset $\{h'_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq [(s-1)/2]} \subset \pi_1^{\vee}$, with the following order. If $t = s/4$ with s even, resp. $t = (s + 1)/4$ with s odd, then

$$\{h'_j\} = \{h'_{2j-1} = \alpha_{2j-1}^\vee, h'_{2j} = \alpha_{s-2j}^\vee; 1 \leq j \leq t - 1, h'_{2t-1} = \alpha_{2t-1}^\vee\}.$$

If $t = (s - 2)/4$ with s even, resp. $t = (s - 1)/4$ with s odd, then

$$\{h'_j\} = \{h'_{2j-1} = \alpha_{2j-1}^\vee, h'_{2j} = \alpha_{s-2j}^\vee; 1 \leq j \leq t\}.$$

Lemma. *Let A be the square matrix of size $[(s - 1)/2]$ which entries are $-\beta'_i(h'_j)$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq [(s - 1)/2]$. Then A is a lower triangular matrix with -1 on the diagonal. Hence $\det A = (-1)^{[(s-1)/2]}$.*

Proof. Recall the construction of the Kostant cascade of $\mathfrak{g}_{\pi'_1}$ (see 6.1). Set $\Delta_1^+ = \Delta_{\pi'_1}^+$, then set $\Delta_2^+ = \{\alpha \in \Delta_1^+; (\alpha, \beta'_1) = 0\}$. Here β'_1 is the highest root of $\mathfrak{g}_{\pi'_1}$ and $\beta'_1 = \varpi'_1 + \varpi'_{s-1}$. Then $\Delta_2^+ = \Delta_1^+ \cap \mathbb{N}\pi'_2$ where $\pi'_2 = \pi'_1 \setminus \{\alpha_1, \alpha_{s-1}\}$. Continuing we set $\Delta_{i+1}^+ = \{\alpha \in \Delta_i^+; (\alpha, \beta'_i) = 0\}$ where β'_i is the highest root of Δ_i^+ . Then we have that $\Delta_{i+1}^+ \subset \Delta_i^+ \subset \dots \subset \Delta_1^+$ and then $(\beta'_i, \alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_j^+$ with $j > i$. Finally observe that, for all $1 \leq j \leq [(s + 1)/4]$, $\alpha_{2j-1} \in \Delta_{2j-1}^+$, $\alpha_{s-2j} \in \Delta_{2j}^+$, $\beta'_{2j-1}(\alpha_{2j-1}^\vee) = (\beta'_{2j-1}, \alpha_{2j-1}) = 1$ and that $\beta'_{2j}(\alpha_{s-2j}^\vee) = (\beta'_{2j}, \alpha_{s-2j}) = 1$ while $2j \leq (s - 1)/2$. Hence the lemma. \square

7. Some examples

Before stating the main result (see subsection 1.7), we give below two examples which will enlighten our construction of a Weierstrass section, each of these examples using a different method to obtain the latter from the adapted pair we construct. Thus case 1c of subsection 1.7 (see also Sect. 9) is illustrated by the first example and case 3 of subsection 1.7 (see also Sect. 14) is illustrated by the second example. We keep the notation of Sect. 3.

7.1. Comparison of multiplicities

Assume that we have constructed an adapted pair $(h, y) \in \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda \times \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda^*$ for \mathfrak{p}_Λ via Proposition 6.2. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$ and set $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda \oplus \mathfrak{g}_O \oplus \mathfrak{g}_S \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{T^*} \subset \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda^*$ (one has that $\mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_T = \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda^*$). Recall that the endomorphism adh of \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* , resp. of \mathfrak{p}_Λ (with ad the coadjoint action, resp. the adjoint action) is semisimple. Then λ is an eigenvalue of adh on \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* if and only if $-\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of adh on \mathfrak{p}_Λ . Write m'_λ for the multiplicity of λ in \mathfrak{r} , m_λ for the multiplicity of λ in \mathfrak{p}_Λ and m_λ^* for the multiplicity of λ in \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* . Then by the above $m_{-\lambda} = m_\lambda^*$ and obviously $m'_\lambda \leq m_{-\lambda}$. Moreover since $adh(y) = -y$ and that $\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda^* = ad \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_T$, we must have that

$$m'_\lambda \leq m_{\lambda+1} \tag{9}$$

(see also [8, 7.1]).

In the examples below, we will check that inequality (9) is satisfied.

7.2. First example

We assume that the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is simple of type B_6 and we set $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_2, \alpha_4\}$. Then we consider the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{\pi'}^-$, as defined in Sect. 3. We are then in case 1c of subsection 1.7. We take $S = S^+ \sqcup S^-$ with

$$S^+ = \{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_4 + \varepsilon_5\}, S^- = \{-\beta''_1 = -\varepsilon_5 - \varepsilon_6\}$$

where β''_1 is an element of the Kostant cascade of \mathfrak{g}' ,

$$T = \{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_5, \varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_6 - \varepsilon_5\},$$

$$T^* = \{\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_6, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_5, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_5, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_6, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_6\}.$$

We set

$$\Gamma_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_3} = \{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_5, \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_5,$$

$$\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_6, \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_6, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_6, \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_6,$$

$$\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_5, \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_5, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\varepsilon_2} &= \{\varepsilon_2\}, \\ \Gamma_{\varepsilon_4+\varepsilon_5} &= \{\varepsilon_4 + \varepsilon_5, \varepsilon_4 + \varepsilon_6, \varepsilon_5 - \varepsilon_6, \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_5, \varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_6, \varepsilon_5 + \varepsilon_6\}, \\ \Gamma_{-\varepsilon_5-\varepsilon_6} &= \{-\varepsilon_5 - \varepsilon_6, -\varepsilon_5, -\varepsilon_6\} = -H_{\beta_1''} \end{aligned}$$

where $H_{\beta_1''}$ is the largest Heisenberg set with centre β_1'' included in $\Delta_{\pi'}^+$, as defined in 6.1.

By setting $y = \sum_{\gamma \in S} x_\gamma$ one verifies (see for more details Sect. 9) that all conditions of Proposition 6.2 are satisfied (indeed it is more complicated than what we have to do in the second example). Then $h \in \mathfrak{h}'$ such that $\gamma(h) = -1$ for all $\gamma \in S$ is:

$$h = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - 2\varepsilon_3 + 2\varepsilon_4 - 3\varepsilon_5 + 4\varepsilon_6 = \alpha_1^\vee - 2\alpha_3^\vee - 3\alpha_5^\vee + 1/2\alpha_6^\vee.$$

Hence the pair (h, y) is an adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ . This adapted pair is not sufficient a priori to give a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ , since there is one $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$ such that $\varepsilon_\Gamma = 1/2$. But we can easily check that (8) in Sect. 5 holds. Hence by Remark 3b of subsection 6.2 one has that $y + \mathfrak{g}_T$ is a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ , and then $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ is a polynomial algebra over \mathbb{k} (result which was not yet known since the criterion that $\varepsilon_\Gamma = 1$ for all $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$ is here not satisfied).

To convince oneself that (h, y) given above is indeed an adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ (although the inequality (9) of 7.1 is just a necessary condition), one gives in the table below the multiplicities m'_λ and $m_\lambda^* = m_{-\lambda}$ for all eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$ of adh on \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* and one easily checks that inequality (9) of 7.1 holds.

λ	-7	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	0
m'_λ	1	1	2	3	4	4	5	5
$m_{-\lambda}$	1	1	2	3	4	4	5	6

λ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
m'_λ	4	4	3	2	1	1	0
$m_{-\lambda}$	5	4	4	3	2	1	1

7.3. Second example

Here we assume that \mathfrak{g} is simple of type D_9 and consider $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_5, \alpha_8, \alpha_9\}$ and the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{\pi'}^-$, associated with π' . Here we are in case 3 of subsection 1.7. We take $S = S^+ \sqcup S^-$ with

$$\begin{aligned} S^+ &= \{\beta_1 = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2, \beta_2 = \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4, \beta_3 = \varepsilon_5 + \varepsilon_6, \tilde{\beta}_4 = \beta_4 - \alpha_8 = \varepsilon_7 + \varepsilon_9\} \\ \text{and } S^- &= \{-\beta_1'' = \varepsilon_8 - \varepsilon_6\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\beta_i = \varepsilon_{2i-1} + \varepsilon_{2i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) are elements of the Kostant cascade β_π of \mathfrak{g} and β_1'' is an element of the Kostant cascade $\beta_{\pi'}$ of \mathfrak{g}' . More precisely setting $\beta_{\pi'}^0 = \beta_{\pi'} \setminus (\beta_{\pi'} \cap \pi')$, we have that $S^- = -\beta_{\pi'}^0$. We also set

$$T = \{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_5 - \varepsilon_6, \varepsilon_7 + \varepsilon_8, \varepsilon_7 - \varepsilon_9, \varepsilon_8 - \varepsilon_9, \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_5 - \varepsilon_4\}$$

and $T^* = \emptyset$.

For all $1 \leq i \leq 3$, we take $\Gamma_{\beta_i} = H_{\beta_i}$ where H_{β} is the largest Heisenberg set with centre $\beta \in \beta_{\pi}$ which is included in Δ^+ as defined in subsection 6.1. We set $\Gamma_{\tilde{\beta}_4} = \{\tilde{\beta}_4, \varepsilon_7 - \varepsilon_8, \varepsilon_8 + \varepsilon_9\}$ and $\Gamma_{-\beta'_1} = -H_{\beta'_1}$ where $H_{\beta'_1} \subset \Delta^+_{\pi'}$ is the largest Heisenberg set with centre β'_1 which is included in $\Delta^+_{\pi'}$. Since $H_{\beta_4} \cup H_{\alpha_7} = \Gamma_{\tilde{\beta}_4} \sqcup (T \cap H_{\beta_4})$, Lemma 6.1 i) gives condition (iv) of Proposition 6.2. Moreover Lemma 6.1 ii) and iii) gives conditions (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 6.2. Finally we verify by hand that conditions (i) and (vi) of Proposition 6.2 are satisfied, noting that $\mathfrak{h}_{\Lambda} = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathbb{k}(\alpha_9^{\vee} - \alpha_8^{\vee})$. Setting

$$h = -\alpha_2^{\vee} - 2\alpha_4^{\vee} - 3\alpha_6^{\vee} + 4\alpha_7^{\vee} - 4(\alpha_9^{\vee} - \alpha_8^{\vee}) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\Lambda}$$

and $y = \sum_{\alpha \in S} x_{\alpha}$, one checks that (h, y) is an adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_{Λ} . Moreover one checks easily that both bounds in (4) of Sect. 4 coincide, then $Y(\mathfrak{p}_{\Lambda})$ is a polynomial algebra and by what we said in Remark 3a of subsection 6.2, $y + \mathfrak{g}_T$ is a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_{Λ} . In the table below we give the multiplicities m'_{λ} and $m^*_{\lambda} = m_{-\lambda}$ for all eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$ of adh on \mathfrak{p}^*_{Λ} and one easily checks that inequality (9) of 7.1 holds.

λ	-12	-11	-10	-9	-8	-7	-6	-5	-4	-3
m'_{λ}	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4
$m_{-\lambda}$	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4

λ	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
m'_{λ}	5	7	7	5	4	3	3	3	3	2
$m_{-\lambda}$	5	7	7	6	5	5	5	4	3	2

λ	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
m'_{λ}	2	2	2	1	0	0	0	0
$m_{-\lambda}$	2	2	2	1	0	0	0	1

8. Cases 1a and 1b for type B or D

In this Section we consider truncated parabolic subalgebras described in 1a and in 1b of subsection 1.7. More precisely (with the notation of Sect. 3 and of subsection 1.2) let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{s, \ell} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+_{\pi'}$ be a parabolic subalgebra associated to the subset $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}, \dots, \alpha_{s+2\ell}\}$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and s an odd integer, $1 \leq s \leq n - 2\ell$, in a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of type B_n , resp. D_n , with $n \geq 2$, resp. $n \geq 4$.

If $\ell = 0$, then the parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p} is maximal and this case was already treated in [13]. Thus we will assume from now on that $\ell \geq 1$. Note that $\mathfrak{h}_{\Lambda} = \mathfrak{h}'$, in type B_n but

also in type D_n with the above hypotheses, by what we said in Sect. 3 (since it is not true that α_{n-1} and α_n are both deleted from π').

Here we will show (see Lemma 8.5) that the lower and upper bounds for $\text{ch}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda))$ in (4) of Sect. 4 coincide, and then the algebra of symmetric invariants $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ is polynomial. By Remark 3a of subsection 6.2 the existence of an adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ is sufficient to give a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ . Our construction of an adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ generalizes the construction of an adapted pair in [13, Sect. 4 and 5] in case of a maximal parabolic subalgebra.

We will use Proposition 6.2, which here is quite easy to apply. Indeed it suffices to take $S \cup T$ to be the union of the Kostant cascade in \mathfrak{g} and the opposite of the Kostant cascade in \mathfrak{g}' . Moreover for each $\gamma \in S^+$, resp. $\gamma \in S^-$, we take the Heisenberg set Γ_γ to be equal, resp. to be the opposite, to H_γ , resp. of $H_{-\gamma}$, where H_γ , resp. $H_{-\gamma}$, is the largest Heisenberg set with centre γ , resp. $-\gamma$, included in Δ^+ , resp. Δ_π^+ , as defined in 6.1. Here moreover we set $T^* = \emptyset$. Then Lemma 6.1 will give most of conditions of Proposition 6.2.

8.1. The Kostant cascades

Recall 6.1 the Kostant cascade β_π of \mathfrak{g} and set $\beta_\pi^0 = \beta_\pi \setminus (\beta_\pi \cap \pi)$. If \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n then we have that

$$\beta_\pi^0 = \{\beta_i = \varepsilon_{2i-1} + \varepsilon_{2i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq [n/2]\},$$

and if \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n then

$$\beta_\pi^0 = \{\beta_i = \varepsilon_{2i-1} + \varepsilon_{2i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq [(n-1)/2]\}.$$

Moreover if \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n , then we have that

$$\beta_\pi \cap \pi = \{\alpha_{2i-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq [(n+1)/2]\}.$$

If \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n and n odd, then we have that

$$\beta_\pi \cap \pi = \{\alpha_{2i-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq (n-1)/2\},$$

and if \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n and n even, then we have that

$$\beta_\pi \cap \pi = \{\alpha_n, \alpha_{2i-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n/2\}.$$

Now for the Kostant cascade $\beta_{\pi'}$ of \mathfrak{g}' , set similarly $\beta_{\pi'}^0 = \beta_{\pi'} \setminus (\beta_{\pi'} \cap \pi')$. If \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n , then we have that

$$\beta_{\pi'}^0 = \left\{ \begin{aligned} \beta'_i &= \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{s+1-i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq (s-1)/2, \\ \beta''_j &= \varepsilon_{s+2\ell+2j-1} + \varepsilon_{s+2\ell+2j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq [(n-s-2\ell)/2] \end{aligned} \right\}$$

and

$$\beta_{\pi'} \cap \pi' = \{ \alpha_{s+2\ell+2i-1}, \alpha_{s+2j-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq [(n-s-2\ell+1)/2], 1 \leq j \leq \ell \}.$$

Now suppose that \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n and that $s + 2\ell \leq n - 2$. Then we have that

$$\beta_{\pi'}^0 = \left\{ \begin{aligned} \beta'_i &= \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{s+1-i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq (s-1)/2, \\ \beta''_j &= \varepsilon_{s+2\ell+2j-1} + \varepsilon_{s+2\ell+2j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq [(n-s-1-2\ell)/2] \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

If moreover n is odd then

$$\beta_{\pi'} \cap \pi' = \{ \alpha_n, \alpha_{s+2\ell+2i-1}, \alpha_{s+2j-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq (n-s-2\ell)/2, 1 \leq j \leq \ell \}$$

and if n is even then

$$\beta_{\pi'} \cap \pi' = \{ \alpha_{s+2\ell+2i-1}, \alpha_{s+2j-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq (n-s-2\ell-1)/2, 1 \leq j \leq \ell \}.$$

Now assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n and that $s + 2\ell \in \{n - 1, n\}$. Since the case $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{ \alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}, \dots, \alpha_{s+2\ell-2}, \alpha_{n-1} \}$ and the case $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{ \alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}, \dots, \alpha_{s+2\ell-2}, \alpha_n \}$ are symmetric, one may suppose that we are in the latter case. More precisely if n is odd then we assume that $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{ \alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}, \dots, \alpha_{n-2}, \alpha_n \}$ and if n is even then we assume that $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{ \alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}, \dots, \alpha_{n-3}, \alpha_n \}$. If n is odd then

$$\beta_{\pi'}^0 = \{ \beta'_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{s+1-i} ; 1 \leq i \leq (s-1)/2 \}$$

and $\beta_{\pi'} \cap \pi' = \{ \alpha_{s+2i-1} = \varepsilon_{s+2i-1} - \varepsilon_{s+2i} ; 1 \leq i \leq \ell = (n-s)/2 \}.$

If n is even then

$$\beta_{\pi'}^0 = \{ \beta'_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{s+1-i}, \beta''_1 = \varepsilon_{n-2} - \varepsilon_n ; 1 \leq i \leq (s-1)/2 \}$$

and $\beta_{\pi'} \cap \pi' = \{ \alpha_{s+2i-1} = \varepsilon_{s+2i-1} - \varepsilon_{s+2i} ; 1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1 = (n-3-s)/2 \}.$

8.2. Conditions (i) to (v) of Proposition 6.2

For \mathfrak{g} of type D_n with n even and $s + 2\ell \leq n - 2$, we set

$$S^+ = \beta_{\pi}^0 \sqcup \{ \beta_{n/2} := \alpha_n = \varepsilon_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n \}.$$

Otherwise we set $S^+ = \beta_{\pi}^0$.

For \mathfrak{g} of type D_n with n odd and $s + 2\ell \leq n - 2$, we set

$$S^- = -\beta_{\pi'}^0 \sqcup \{-\beta''_{(n-2\ell-s)/2} := -\alpha_n = -(\varepsilon_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n)\}.$$

Otherwise we set $S^- = -\beta_{\pi'}^0$.

For \mathfrak{g} of type D_n with n even and $s + 2\ell \leq n - 2$, we set

$$T^+ = (\beta_{\pi} \cap \pi) \setminus \{\alpha_n\}.$$

For \mathfrak{g} of type D_n with n odd and $s + 2\ell \leq n - 2$, we set

$$T^- = -(\beta_{\pi'} \cap \pi') \setminus \{-\alpha_n\}.$$

Otherwise we set $T^+ = \beta_{\pi} \cap \pi$ and $T^- = -(\beta_{\pi'} \cap \pi')$. Finally we set $S = S^+ \sqcup S^-$, $T = T^+ \sqcup T^-$ and $T^* = \emptyset$. Then $S^+, T^+ \subset \Delta^+$ and $S^-, T^- \subset \Delta_{\pi'}^-$. In all cases we have that $\beta_{\pi} = S^+ \sqcup T^+$ and $-\beta_{\pi'} = S^- \sqcup T^-$.

Then for all $\gamma \in S^+$, resp. $\gamma \in S^-$, we choose $\Gamma_{\gamma} = H_{\gamma}$, resp. $\Gamma_{\gamma} = -H_{-\gamma}$, where H_{γ} , resp. $H_{-\gamma}$, is the largest Heisenberg set with centre $\gamma \in \beta_{\pi}$, resp. $-\gamma \in \beta_{\pi'}$, included in Δ^+ , resp. $\Delta_{\pi'}^+$, as defined in 6.1. Observe also that, if $\alpha \in \beta_{\pi} \cap \pi$, resp. $\alpha \in \beta_{\pi'} \cap \pi'$, then $H_{\alpha} = \{\alpha\}$.

By Lemma 6.1 i) we have that $\Delta^+ = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in S^+} \Gamma_{\gamma} \sqcup T^+$, resp. $\Delta_{\pi'}^- = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in S^-} \Gamma_{\gamma} \sqcup T^-$, hence condition (iv) of Proposition 6.2 is satisfied. Conditions (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 6.2 follow from Lemma 6.1 ii). Moreover condition (v) of Proposition 6.2 is empty since $T^* = \emptyset$. Below we check condition (i) of Proposition 6.2.

Lemma. $S|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\Lambda}}$ is a basis for \mathfrak{h}_{Λ}^* .

Proof. Recall that $\mathfrak{h}_{\Lambda} = \mathfrak{h}'$ and remark that $|S| = \dim \mathfrak{h}' = n - \ell - 1$.

Assume first that \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n with n odd and that $s + 2\ell = n$. Then $|S| = (n - 1)/2 + (s - 1)/2 = n - \ell - 1$ and one may order the elements s_u of S as

$$\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{(n-1)/2}, -\beta'_1, -\beta'_2, \dots, -\beta'_{(s-1)/2}$$

and choose the following (ordered) basis h_v of \mathfrak{h}' :

$$\begin{aligned} h_i &= \alpha_{2i}^{\vee}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq (n - 1)/2, \\ h_{(n-1)/2+2j-1} &= h'_{2j-1} = \alpha_{2j-1}^{\vee}, \quad h_{(n-1)/2+2j} = h'_{2j} = \alpha_{s-2j}^{\vee}, \\ &1 \leq j \leq [(s + 1)/4] \end{aligned}$$

without repetition for the h'_j 's. Then observe that, for all $1 \leq i \leq (n - 3)/2$, one has $\beta_i = \varepsilon_{2i-1} + \varepsilon_{2i} = \varpi_{2i} - \varpi_{2i-2}$ if we set $\varpi_0 = 0$ and $\beta_{(n-1)/2} = \varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_{n-1} = \varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n - \varpi_{n-3}$. It follows that the matrix $(s_u(h_v))_{1 \leq u, v \leq (n-\ell-1)}$ has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ * & B \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A = (\beta_i(h_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq (n-1)/2}$ is a $(n-1)/2 \times (n-1)/2$ lower triangular matrix with 1 on the diagonal, and $B = (-\beta'_i(h'_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq (s-1)/2}$ is a $(s-1)/2 \times (s-1)/2$ lower triangular matrix with -1 on the diagonal by Lemma 6.4. Hence $\det(s_u(h_v))_{1 \leq u, v \leq (n-\ell-1)} \neq 0$ and we are done in this case.

Assume now that \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n with n even and that $s+2\ell = n-1$. Then consider the parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p} of \mathfrak{g} associated to $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}, \dots, \alpha_{n-3}, \alpha_n\}$ ($1 \leq s \leq n-3$ is still an odd integer). Then $|S| = (n-2)/2 + (s-1)/2 + 1 = n-\ell-1$ and one may order the elements s_u of S as

$$\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{(n-2)/2}, -\beta'_1, -\beta'_2, \dots, -\beta'_{(s-1)/2}, \varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n-2}$$

and choose the following (ordered) basis h_v of \mathfrak{h}' :

$$\begin{aligned} h_i &= \alpha_{2i}^\vee, \quad 1 \leq i \leq (n-2)/2, \\ h_{(n-2)/2+2j-1} &= h'_{2j-1} = \alpha_{2j-1}^\vee, \quad h_{(n-2)/2+2j} = h'_{2j} = \alpha_{s-2j}^\vee, \\ &1 \leq j \leq [(s+1)/4], \\ h_{n-\ell-1} &= \alpha_{n-1}^\vee \end{aligned}$$

without repetition for the h'_j 's. Similarly as above one obtains that the matrix $(s_u(h_v))_{1 \leq u, v \leq (n-\ell-1)}$ has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ * & B \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A = (\beta_i(h_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq (n-2)/2}$ is a $(n-2)/2 \times (n-2)/2$ lower triangular matrix with 1 on the diagonal, and B is a $(s+1)/2 \times (s+1)/2$ lower triangular matrix with -1 on the diagonal by Lemma 6.4. Hence $\det(s_u(h_v))_{1 \leq u, v \leq (n-\ell-1)} \neq 0$ and we are done in this case.

Assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n . Then one may order the elements s_u of S as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} s_i &= \beta_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq [n/2], \\ s_{[n/2]+j} &= -\beta'_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq (s-1)/2, \\ s_{[n/2]+(s-1)/2+k} &= -\beta''_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq [(n-s-2\ell)/2] \end{aligned}$$

and choose the following (ordered) basis h_v of \mathfrak{h}' :

$$\begin{aligned} h_i &= \alpha_{2i}^\vee, \quad 1 \leq i \leq [n/2] \\ h_{[n/2]+2j-1} &= h'_{2j-1} = \alpha_{2j-1}^\vee, \quad h_{[n/2]+2j} = h'_{2j} = \alpha_{s-2j}^\vee, \quad 1 \leq j \leq [(s+1)/4] \\ h_{[n/2]+(s-1)/2+k} &= \alpha_{s+2\ell+2k}^\vee, \quad 1 \leq k \leq [(n-2\ell-s)/2] \end{aligned}$$

without repetition for the h'_j 's. Now if \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n with $s + 2\ell \leq n - 2$, we take the same set S ordered as above and the same basis of \mathfrak{h}' , up to replacing α_n^\vee by $2\varepsilon_n$. Then by what we explained before, the matrix $(s_u(h_v))_{1 \leq u, v \leq (n-\ell-1)}$ has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ * & B & 0 \\ * & * & C \end{pmatrix}$$

where A is a $[n/2] \times [n/2]$ lower triangular matrix with one on the diagonal (except for the case n even where 2 is the last entry of the diagonal), B is a $(s-1)/2 \times (s-1)/2$ lower triangular matrix with -1 on the diagonal (by Lemma 6.4) and C is a $[(n-s-2\ell)/2] \times [(n-s-2\ell)/2]$ lower triangular matrix with -1 on the diagonal (except for the case n odd where -2 is the last entry of the diagonal). Hence $\det(s_u(h_v))_{1 \leq u, v \leq (n-\ell-1)} \neq 0$ and the proof is complete. \square

8.3. Condition (vi) of Proposition 6.2

Lemma. One has that $|T| = \text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda$.

Proof. Recall (1) of Sect. 4, that $\text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda = |E(\pi')|$ where $E(\pi')$ is the set of $\langle ij \rangle$ -orbits in π . Assume first that \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n and that $s + 2\ell \in \{n - 1, n\}$. If n is odd, then

$$E(\pi') = \left\{ \begin{aligned} \Gamma_u &= \{\alpha_u, \alpha_{s-u}\}, 1 \leq u \leq (s-1)/2, \\ \Gamma_v &= \{\alpha_v\}, s \leq v \leq n-2, \Gamma_{n-1} = \{\alpha_n, \alpha_{n-1}\} \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

If n is even, assuming that $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}, \dots, \alpha_{s+2\ell-2}, \alpha_n\}$, with $s + 2\ell - 2 = n - 3$, then

$$E(\pi') = \left\{ \begin{aligned} \Gamma_u &= \{\alpha_u, \alpha_{s-u}\}, 1 \leq u \leq (s-1)/2, \\ \Gamma_v &= \{\alpha_v\}, s \leq v \leq n-3, \Gamma_{n-1} = \{\alpha_{n-2}, \alpha_{n-1}\}, \Gamma_n = \{\alpha_n\} \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Hence $\text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda = |E(\pi')| = n - (s + 1)/2$.

On the other hand, one has that: for n even, $|T^+| = n/2 + 1$ and $|T^-| = \ell - 1 = (n - 3 - s)/2$, and for n odd, $|T^+| = (n - 1)/2$, $|T^-| = \ell = (n - s)/2$. Then $|T| = \text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda$ in both cases.

Now assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n . Then

$$E(\pi') = \left\{ \begin{aligned} \Gamma_u &= \{\alpha_u, \alpha_{s-u}\}, 1 \leq u \leq (s-1)/2, \\ \Gamma_v &= \{\alpha_v\}, s \leq v \leq n \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Hence $\text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda = |E(\pi')| = n - (s - 1)/2$ and one checks that this is also equal to $|T|$.

Finally assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n and that $s + 2\ell \leq n - 2$. Then

$$E(\pi') = \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\Gamma_u = \{\alpha_u, \alpha_{s-u}\}, 1 \leq u \leq (s-1)/2, \\ &\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\}, s \leq v \leq n-2, \Gamma_{n-1} = \{\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n\} \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Hence $\text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda = |E(\pi')| = n - (s+1)/2$ and one checks that this is also equal to $|T|$. \square

8.4. All conditions of Proposition 6.2 are satisfied, thus one can deduce the following corollary.

Corollary. *Set $y = \sum_{\alpha \in S} x_\alpha$. Then y is regular in \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* and more precisely $\text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_T = \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda^*$. Moreover since $S|_{\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda}$ is a basis for \mathfrak{h}_Λ^* , there exists a uniquely defined element $h \in \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$ such that $\alpha(h) = -1$ for all $\alpha \in S$. Thus the pair (h, y) is an adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ .*

8.5. Coincidence of bounds of Sect. 4

Recall Remark 3a of subsection 6.2 that it suffices to show that both bounds in (4) of Sect. 4 coincide to obtain a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ . This is the following lemma.

Lemma. *For all $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$, one has that $\varepsilon_\Gamma = 1$. Then $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ is a polynomial algebra over \mathbb{k} .*

Proof. Recall subsection 4.3. We will show, for all $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$ such that $j(\Gamma) = \Gamma$, that $d_\Gamma \notin \mathcal{B}_\pi$ or $d'_\Gamma \notin \mathcal{B}_{\pi'}$, hence $\varepsilon_\Gamma = 1$. Recall the $\langle ij \rangle$ -orbits in $E(\pi')$ given in the proof of Lemma 8.3.

For all $1 \leq u \leq (s-1)/2$, one has that $d_{\Gamma_u} = \varpi_u + \varpi_{s-u} \notin \mathcal{B}_\pi$ since u and $s-u$ are of different parity. Hence $\varepsilon_{\Gamma_u} = 1$.

For $s \leq v \leq n-2$, (with the restriction that $v \leq n-3$ if n even and \mathfrak{g} of type D_n with $s+2\ell = n-1$) one has that $d_{\Gamma_v} = \varpi_v \notin \mathcal{B}_\pi$ if v is odd and $d'_{\Gamma_v} = \varpi'_v \notin \mathcal{B}_{\pi'}$ if v is even. Hence $\varepsilon_{\Gamma_v} = 1$.

Now if \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n , $s+2\ell = n-1$ and n even, one has that $d_{\Gamma_{n-1}} = \varpi_{n-2} + \varpi_{n-1} \notin \mathcal{B}_\pi$ and $d_{\Gamma_n} = \varpi_n \notin \mathcal{B}_\pi$. Hence $\varepsilon_{\Gamma_{n-1}} = \varepsilon_{\Gamma_n} = 1$.

If \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n , $s+2\ell = n$ and n odd, then $d_{\Gamma_{n-1}} = \varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n \in \mathcal{B}_\pi$, but $d'_{\Gamma_{n-1}} = \varpi'_{n-1} \notin \mathcal{B}_{\pi'}$. Hence $\varepsilon_{\Gamma_{n-1}} = 1$.

If \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n , $s+2\ell \leq n-2$, then $d_{\Gamma_{n-1}} = \varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n$, and $d'_{\Gamma_{n-1}} = \varpi'_{n-1} + \varpi'_n$. One of them does not belong to \mathcal{B}_π , resp. $\mathcal{B}_{\pi'}$, since \mathfrak{g}' is of type $D_{n-s-2\ell}$, and since n and $n-s-2\ell$ are of different parity. Hence $\varepsilon_{\Gamma_{n-1}} = 1$.

Finally assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n and take $v \in \{n-1, n\}$. Then $d_{\Gamma_v} = \varpi_v \notin \mathcal{B}_\pi$ if n is even. If now n is odd then $d_{\Gamma_n} = \varpi_n \notin \mathcal{B}_\pi$ while $d_{\Gamma_{n-1}} = \varpi_{n-1} \in \mathcal{B}_\pi$. But since n is odd, $\alpha_{n-1} \in \pi'$ and $d'_{\Gamma_{n-1}} = \varpi'_{n-1} \notin \mathcal{B}_{\pi'}$. Hence $\varepsilon_{\Gamma_v} = 1$. This completes the proof. \square

8.6. A Weierstrass section

Summarizing the above results, we obtain by Remark 3a of subsection 6.2 the following Theorem.

Theorem. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple Lie algebra of type B_n , resp. D_n with $n \geq 2$, resp. $n \geq 4$, and let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\pi'}^+$ be a parabolic subalgebra associated to $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}, \dots, \alpha_{s+2\ell}\}$ where $s, \ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $1 \leq s \leq n - 2\ell$, s odd. Then there exists a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_{Λ} .*

8.7. Weights and degrees

For completeness we give below the weights and degrees of a set of homogeneous and \mathfrak{h} -weight algebraically independent generators of $Y(\mathfrak{p}_{\Lambda})$. Since both bounds in (4) of Sect. 4 coincide then, for all $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$, each homogeneous and \mathfrak{h} -weight generator has δ_{Γ} as a weight given by (2) of Sect. 4 and a degree ∂_{Γ} given by (5) of Sect. 4 (since here for all $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$, we have that $j(\Gamma) = \Gamma$).

Below are weights and degrees of a set of homogeneous and \mathfrak{h} -weight algebraically independent generators of $Y(\mathfrak{p}_{\Lambda})$, each of them corresponding to an $\langle ij \rangle$ -orbit Γ_{τ} in $E(\pi')$.

Assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n and that $s + 2\ell < n$:

$\langle ij \rangle$ -orbit in $E(\pi')$	Weight	Degree
$\Gamma_u = \{\alpha_u, \alpha_{s-u}\},$ $1 \leq u \leq (s-1)/2$	$-2\varpi_s$	$s + 1 + 2u$
$\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\},$ $v = s + 2k, 0 \leq k \leq \ell$	$-2\varpi_v$	$v + 1$
$\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\},$ $v = s + 2k - 1, 1 \leq k \leq \ell$	$-\varpi_{v-1} - \varpi_{v+1}$	$v + 1$
$\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\},$ $s + 2\ell + 1 \leq v \leq n - 1$	$-2\varpi_{s+2\ell}$	$2v + 1 - s - 2\ell$
$\Gamma_n = \{\alpha_n\}$	$-\varpi_{s+2\ell}$	$n - \ell + (1 - s)/2$

Assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n and that $s + 2\ell = n$ (hence n is odd):

$\langle ij \rangle$ -orbit in $E(\pi')$	Weight	Degree
$\Gamma_u = \{\alpha_u, \alpha_{s-u}\},$ $1 \leq u \leq (s-1)/2$	$-2\varpi_s$	$s + 1 + 2u$
$\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\},$ $v = s + 2k, 0 \leq k \leq \ell - 1$	$-2\varpi_v$	$v + 1$
$\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\},$ $v = s + 2k - 1, 1 \leq k \leq \ell - 1$	$-\varpi_{v-1} - \varpi_{v+1}$	$v + 1$
$\Gamma_{n-1} = \{\alpha_{n-1}\}$	$-\varpi_{n-2} - 2\varpi_n$	n
$\Gamma_n = \{\alpha_n\}$	$-2\varpi_n$	$(n + 1)/2$

Assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n and that $s + 2\ell \leq n - 2$:

$\langle ij \rangle$ -orbit in $E(\pi')$	Weight	Degree
$\Gamma_u = \{\alpha_u, \alpha_{s-u}\},$ $1 \leq u \leq (s-1)/2$	$-2\varpi_s$	$s + 1 + 2u$
$\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\},$ $v = s + 2k, 0 \leq k \leq \ell$	$-2\varpi_v$	$v + 1$
$\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\},$ $v = s + 2k - 1, 1 \leq k \leq \ell$	$-\varpi_{v-1} - \varpi_{v+1}$	$v + 1$
$\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\},$ $s + 2\ell + 1 \leq v \leq n - 2$	$-2\varpi_{s+2\ell}$	$2v + 1 - s - 2\ell$
$\Gamma_{n-1} = \{\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n\}$	$-2\varpi_{s+2\ell}$	$2n - s - 2\ell - 1$

Assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n and that $s + 2\ell = n$ (hence n is odd):

$\langle ij \rangle$ -orbit in $E(\pi')$	Weight	Degree
$\Gamma_u = \{\alpha_u, \alpha_{s-u}\},$ $1 \leq u \leq (s-1)/2$	$-2\varpi_s$	$s + 1 + 2u$
$\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\},$ $v = s + 2k, 0 \leq k \leq \ell - 1$	$-2\varpi_v$	$v + 1$
$\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\},$ $v = s + 2k - 1, 1 \leq k \leq \ell - 1$	$-\varpi_{v-1} - \varpi_{v+1}$	$v + 1$
$\Gamma_{n-1} = \{\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n\}$	$-\varpi_{n-2} - 2\varpi_n$	n

Assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n and that $s + 2\ell = n - 1$. Hence n is even and we assume that $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}, \dots, \alpha_{n-3}, \alpha_n\}$:

$\langle ij \rangle$ -orbit in $E(\pi')$	Weight	Degree
$\Gamma_u = \{\alpha_u, \alpha_{s-u}\},$ $1 \leq u \leq (s-1)/2$	$-2\varpi_s$	$s + 1 + 2u$
$\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\},$ $v = s + 2k, 0 \leq k \leq \ell - 1$	$-2\varpi_v$	$v + 1$
$\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\},$ $v = s + 2k - 1, 1 \leq k \leq \ell - 1$	$-\varpi_{v-1} - \varpi_{v+1}$	$v + 1$
$\Gamma_{n-1} = \{\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-2}\}$	$-2(\varpi_{n-3} + \varpi_n)$	$3n/2$
$\Gamma_n = \{\alpha_n\}$	$-2\varpi_n$	$n/2$

8.8.

Remark. Assume that the simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n or D_n and that $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+4}\}$, with s odd and consider the truncated parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p}_Λ associated to π' . In this case the lower and upper bounds for $\text{ch}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda))$ in (4) of Sect. 4 do not coincide in general and then we do not know for the moment whether polynomiality of $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ holds or not. However the adapted pair that we have constructed in subsection 8.2

using the set $S = \beta_\pi^0 \cup (-\beta_{\pi'}^0)$ (at least for type B_n) does no more work in this case. Indeed one may notice that for all $\beta \in \beta_\pi^0$, and for all $\beta' \in \beta_{\pi'}^0$, one has $\beta(\alpha_{s+2}^\vee) = \beta'(\alpha_{s+2}^\vee) = 0$ while $\alpha_{s+2}^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$. It follows that the restriction of $\beta_\pi^0 \cup (-\beta_{\pi'}^0)$ to \mathfrak{h}_Λ cannot give a basis for \mathfrak{h}_Λ^* .

9. Cases 1c and 1d for type B or D

Recall the notation of subsection 1.2 and Sect. 3. In this Section the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is simple of type B_n , $n \geq 4$, resp. D_n , $n \geq 6$, and we consider the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{s,1} = \mathfrak{p}_{\pi'}^-$ of \mathfrak{g} associated to the subset $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}\}$ of simple roots, with s an even integer, $2 \leq s \leq n - 2$, resp. $2 \leq s \leq n - 4$. We are then in the cases 1c and 1d of subsection 1.7.

The Levi subalgebra \mathfrak{g}' of \mathfrak{p} is isomorphic to the product $\mathfrak{sl}_s \times \mathfrak{sl}_2 \times \mathfrak{so}_m$, with $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $m \geq 4$ if \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n . More precisely if \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n one has that $m = 2n - 2s - 3$, and when \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n one has that $m = 2n - 2s - 4$. We adopt the convention that $\mathfrak{so}_1 = \{0\}$, $\mathfrak{so}_3 = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, $\mathfrak{so}_4 = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \times \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and $\mathfrak{so}_6 = \mathfrak{sl}_4$.

In these cases the lower and upper bounds given by (4) of Sect. 4 do not coincide, hence we cannot conclude with this criterion that the algebra $Sy(\mathfrak{p}) = Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ is or not polynomial. However we will construct an adapted pair for the truncated parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p}_Λ associated to \mathfrak{p} . We will then prove that the improved upper bound defined in Sect. 5 is equal to the lower bound (namely that equality (8) of Sect. 5 holds). This implies by Remark 3b of subsection 6.2 that there is a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ and then that the algebra of symmetric invariants $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda) = Sy(\mathfrak{p})$ is a polynomial algebra over \mathbb{k} for which the weights and degrees of homogeneous and \mathfrak{h} -weight generators may also be computed.

We will still use Proposition 6.2 but here the set S cannot be taken to contain $\beta_\pi^0 \cup (-\beta_{\pi'}^0)$ as in Sect. 8. Indeed assume that S contains the elements $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{s/2}$ of the Kostant cascade of \mathfrak{g} . Then the semisimple element h of the adapted pair should verify both equalities $\varpi_s(h) = ((\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_s))(h) = (-1) \times s/2$ and $\varpi_s(h) = 0$ by definition of \mathfrak{h}_Λ (see Sect. 3) and since $h \in \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$ and $-2\varpi_s \in \Lambda(\mathfrak{p})$ by (4) of Sect. 4. Hence we obtain a contradiction. Also for each $\gamma \in S$, a more complicated Heisenberg set Γ_γ with centre γ than the set H_γ used in previous section will be taken in general. We will also take $T^* \neq \emptyset$.

9.1. Condition (i) of Proposition 6.2

For type B_n , we set

$$S^+ = \left\{ \varepsilon_s, \beta_i = \varepsilon_{2i-1} + \varepsilon_{2i}, \varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_{s+1}, \varepsilon_{2j} + \varepsilon_{2j+1}; \right. \\ \left. 1 \leq i \leq s/2 - 1, s/2 + 1 \leq j \leq [(n - 1)/2] \right\}$$

and

$$S^- = \left\{ \varepsilon_{s-i} - \varepsilon_i, -\beta''_{j-(s+2)/2} = -\varepsilon_{2j-1} - \varepsilon_{2j}; \right. \\ \left. 1 \leq i \leq s/2 - 1, s/2 + 2 \leq j \leq [n/2] \right\}.$$

For type D_n , we set

$$S^+ = \left\{ \varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_n, \beta_i = \varepsilon_{2i-1} + \varepsilon_{2i}, \varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_{s+1}, \varepsilon_{2j} + \varepsilon_{2j+1}; \right. \\ \left. 1 \leq i \leq s/2 - 1, s/2 + 1 \leq j \leq [(n - 2)/2] \right\}$$

and

$$S^- = \left\{ \varepsilon_{s-i} - \varepsilon_i, -\beta''_{j-(s+2)/2} = -\varepsilon_{2j-1} - \varepsilon_{2j}; \right. \\ \left. 1 \leq i \leq s/2 - 1, s/2 + 2 \leq j \leq [(n - 1)/2] \right\}.$$

Remark that the above sets S^\pm contain the same elements as those defined in [14] or in [8] for maximal parabolic subalgebras, except for one of them which is missing, namely the element $-\varepsilon_{s+1} - \varepsilon_{s+2}$, since it does no more belong to $\Delta_{\pi'}^-$.

As we already noticed in Sect. 3 for type B_n , and also for type D_n (since $s \leq n - 4$) we have that $\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda = \mathfrak{h}'$. As in [14, Lem. 7.1], we prove the following lemma.

Lemma. *Set $S = S^+ \sqcup S^-$ as above. Then $S|_{\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda}$ is a basis for \mathfrak{h}_Λ^* .*

Proof. The proof is quite similar to that of [14, Lem. 7.1]. We give it below for the reader’s convenience. First observe that $|S| = n - 2$. The elements of S will be denoted by s_i , with $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$. When \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n , we set $s_{n-3} = \varepsilon_s$ and $s_{n-2} = \varepsilon_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n$ if n is odd, resp. $s_{n-2} = -\varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n$ if n is even. When \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n , we set $s_{n-3} = \varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_n$ and $s_{n-2} = \varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_n$. Then we set $s'_i = s_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$ if \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n . If \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n , we set $s'_i = s_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n - 4$, $s'_{n-3} = \varepsilon_s$ and $s'_{n-2} = \varepsilon_n$. It suffices to verify that, if $\{h_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n-2}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda = \mathfrak{h}'$, then $\det(s'_i(h_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-2} \neq 0$.

To prove this, we order the basis $\{h_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n-2}$ of \mathfrak{h}_Λ as

$$\left\{ \alpha_{2i}^\vee, 1 \leq i \leq s/2 - 1, \right. \\ \alpha_{s-1}^\vee, \alpha_{2j-1}^\vee, \alpha_{s-2j-1}^\vee, 1 \leq j \leq [s/4], \\ \left. \alpha_k^\vee, s + 1 \leq k \leq n, k \neq s + 2 \right\}$$

without repetitions. The elements s'_i , $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$, are ordered as

$$\left\{ \varepsilon_{2i-1} + \varepsilon_{2i}, 1 \leq i \leq s/2 - 1, \right. \\ \varepsilon_s, \varepsilon_{s-j} - \varepsilon_j, 1 \leq j \leq s/2 - 1, \varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_{s+1}, \\ \varepsilon_{2k} + \varepsilon_{2k+1}, -\varepsilon_{2k+1} - \varepsilon_{2k+2}, s/2 + 1 \leq k \leq [(n - 3)/2], \\ \left. (-1)^n(\varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_{n-1}), s'_{n-2} \right\}$$

without repetitions.

Then one verifies that $(s'_i(h_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-2} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & B & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & C & 0 \\ * & * & * & D \end{pmatrix}$ with A , resp. B , a $(s/2 - 1) \times (s/2 - 1)$, resp. $s/2 \times s/2$, lower triangular matrix with 1, resp. -1 , on its diagonal.

Moreover $C = (1)$ and $D = \begin{pmatrix} D' & 0 \\ * & D'' \end{pmatrix}$ with D' a $(n - s - 4) \times (n - s - 4)$ lower triangular matrix with alternating 1 and -1 on its diagonal, and D'' an invertible 2×2 matrix. \square

9.2. Conditions (ii), (iii) and (vi) of Proposition 6.2

To each $\gamma \in S$, we need now to associate a Heisenberg set Γ_γ with centre γ .

Recall that $\beta_i := \varepsilon_{2i-1} + \varepsilon_{2i}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq s/2 - 1$, is a positive root which belongs to the Kostant cascade of \mathfrak{g} . We then set, for all $1 \leq i \leq s/2 - 1$, $\Gamma_{\beta_i} = H_{\beta_i}$ where H_{β_i} is the largest Heisenberg set with centre β_i included in Δ^+ as defined in 6.1.

For \mathfrak{g} of type B_n we set

$$\Gamma_{\varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_{s+1}} = \{ \varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_{s+1}, \varepsilon_{s-1} \pm \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_{s+1} \mp \varepsilon_i ; s + 2 \leq i \leq n, \varepsilon_{s-1}, \varepsilon_{s+1}, \varepsilon_{s-1} - \varepsilon_s, \varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_{s+1} \}.$$

For \mathfrak{g} of type D_n , $\Gamma_{\varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_{s+1}}$ is taken to be the same set as above but without ε_{s-1} and ε_{s+1} which are not roots in this type.

For $s/2 + 1 \leq j \leq [(n - 1)/2]$ for type B_n , resp. $s/2 + 1 \leq j \leq [(n - 2)/2]$ for type D_n , we set

$$\Gamma_{\varepsilon_{2j} + \varepsilon_{2j+1}} = \{ \varepsilon_{2j} + \varepsilon_{2j+1}, \varepsilon_{2j}, \varepsilon_{2j+1}, \varepsilon_{2j} \pm \varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_{2j+1} \mp \varepsilon_k ; 2j + 2 \leq k \leq n \},$$

resp. the same set as above but without ε_{2j} and ε_{2j+1} .

For all $1 \leq i \leq s/2 - 1$, we set

$$\Gamma_{\varepsilon_{s-i} - \varepsilon_i} = \{ \varepsilon_{s-i} - \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_{s-i} - \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_i ; i + 1 \leq j \leq s - i - 1 \}.$$

For all $s/2 + 2 \leq j \leq [n/2]$ for type B_n , resp. $s/2 + 2 \leq j \leq [(n - 1)/2]$ for type D_n , we set $\Gamma_{-\varepsilon_{2j-1} - \varepsilon_{2j}} = -H_{\varepsilon_{2j-1} + \varepsilon_{2j}}$ where $H_{\varepsilon_{2j-1} + \varepsilon_{2j}}$ is the largest Heisenberg set with centre $\beta''_{j-(s+2)/2} := \varepsilon_{2j-1} + \varepsilon_{2j} \in \beta_{\pi'}$ included in $\Delta_{\pi'}^+$, as defined in 6.1.

Finally for \mathfrak{g} of type B_n , we set $\Gamma_{\varepsilon_s} = \{ \varepsilon_s \}$ and for \mathfrak{g} of type D_n , we set $\Gamma_{\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_n} = \{ \varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_n \}$ and $\Gamma_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_n} = \{ \varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_n \}$.

By construction all the above sets Γ_γ , $\gamma \in S$, are Heisenberg sets with centre γ and they are pairwise disjoint.

Moreover the above sets Γ_γ , $\gamma \in S$, are chosen to be the same as in [8] (for type B_n), except for $\Gamma_{\varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_{s+1}}$ where here the roots $\varepsilon_{s-1} - \varepsilon_s$ and $\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_{s+1}$ are added. However

the proofs of [8, Lem. 14 and 15], themselves based on Lemma 6.1 *ii*) and *iii*), can still be applied to show that conditions (*ii*) and (*iii*) of Proposition 6.2 are satisfied.

Now for the set T we take

$$T = \{ \varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_s, \varepsilon_{s-1} - \varepsilon_{s+1}, \varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_{s+2}, \\ \varepsilon_{2i-1} - \varepsilon_{2i}, \varepsilon_{s+2j} - \varepsilon_{s+2j+1}, -\varepsilon_{s+2k-1} + \varepsilon_{s+2k} ; \\ 1 \leq i \leq s/2 - 1, 1 \leq j \leq [(n - s - 1)/2], 1 \leq k \leq [(n - s)/2] \}.$$

One checks that $T \subset \Delta^+ \sqcup \Delta_{\bar{\pi}}^-$, and that T is disjoint from $\Gamma = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in S} \Gamma_{\gamma}$. Note also that this set T has the same elements as the set T in [8], except that $\alpha_{s-1} = \varepsilon_{s-1} - \varepsilon_s$ now belongs to $\Gamma_{\varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_{s+1}}$, and is replaced by $\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_{s+2}$. We check below that condition (*vi*) of Proposition 6.2 is satisfied.

Lemma. *We have that $|T| = \text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_{\Lambda}$.*

Proof. One checks that $|T| = n - s/2 + 1$. Recall (1) of Sect. 4, that $\text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_{\Lambda} = |E(\pi')|$ where $E(\pi')$ is the set of $\langle ij \rangle$ -orbits in π .

Denote by π'_1, π'_2, π'_3 the three irreducible components of π' . Then π'_1 is of type A_{s-1} , π'_2 is of type A_1 and π'_3 is of type B_{n-s-2} , resp. D_{n-s-2} if \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n , resp. D_n .

Then $i|_{\pi'_1}$ exchanges α_t and α_{s-t} for all $1 \leq t \leq s/2 - 1$ and fixes $\alpha_{s/2}$, $i|_{\pi'_2} = Id_{\pi'_2}$ and $(ij)|_{\pi'_3} = Id_{\pi'_3}$ since n and $n - s - 2$ are of the same parity (and $n - s - 2 \geq 2$ if \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n). Moreover for all $\alpha \in \pi \setminus \pi'$, $i(\alpha) = j(\alpha) = \alpha$. Then the set $E(\pi')$ of $\langle ij \rangle$ -orbits in π is

$$E(\pi') = \{ \{ \alpha_t, \alpha_{s-t} \}, \{ \alpha_{s/2} \}, \{ \alpha_u \} ; 1 \leq t \leq s/2 - 1, s \leq u \leq n \}.$$

They are $n - s/2 + 1$ in number. Hence the lemma. \square

9.3. Condition (*iv*) and (*v*) of Proposition 6.2

If \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n , we take:

$$T^* = \{ \varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_j, (-1)^n \varepsilon_n ; 1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq s, s + 3 \leq j \leq n \}.$$

If \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n , we take:

$$T^* = \{ \varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_j, (-1)^{n-1} \alpha_n ; 1 \leq i \leq n - 1, i \neq s, s + 3 \leq j \leq n - 1 \}.$$

In type B_n , note that this set T^* is the same as T^* in [8], except that two elements here are missing: $\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_{s+1}$ which now belongs to $\Gamma_{\varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_{s+1}}$ and $\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_{s+2}$ which now belongs to T . By construction T^* is disjoint from $\Gamma \sqcup T$.

Denote by $\Delta_{\bar{\pi}}^-$ the set of negative roots in the case when $\tilde{\pi}' = \pi \setminus \{ \alpha_s \}$ (that is, the set of negative roots for the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}_{\tilde{\pi}'}$ as considered in [8]) and recall

that we denote by $\Delta_{\pi'}^-$, the set of negative roots for $\mathfrak{p}_{\pi'}$ in our present case when $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}\}$. Then one has that $\Delta_{\pi'}^- = \Delta_{\pi'}^- \sqcup -H_{\varepsilon_{s+1} + \varepsilon_{s+2}}$, where $H_{\varepsilon_{s+1} + \varepsilon_{s+2}}$ is the largest Heisenberg set with centre $\varepsilon_{s+1} + \varepsilon_{s+2}$ which is included in $\Delta_{\pi'}^+$ as defined in 6.1. By a similar proof as in [8, Lem. 13] and using Lemma 6.1 i), one checks that $\Delta^+ \sqcup \Delta_{\pi'}^- = \Gamma \sqcup T \sqcup T^*$. Hence condition (iv) of Proposition 6.2 is satisfied. It remains to verify condition (v) of Proposition 6.2. The proofs of [8, Lem. 16, 17, 18, 19] can still be applied in type B_n . In type D_n they have to be adapted. For completeness, we give a proof below. Set $y = \sum_{\gamma \in S} x_{\gamma}$.

Lemma. *Let $\gamma \in T^*$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_{\gamma} \subset ad \mathfrak{p}_{\Lambda}(y) + \mathfrak{g}_T$.*

Proof. Recall (Sect. 3) that $\mathfrak{p}_{\Lambda} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\pi'}^+$, and that we have chosen, for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, a nonzero root vector $x_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$. Given $\gamma, \delta \in \Delta^{\pm}$ such that $\gamma + \delta \in \Delta^{\pm}$, one has that $ad x_{\gamma}(x_{\delta}) = [x_{\gamma}, x_{\delta}] \in \mathfrak{g}_{\gamma+\delta} \setminus \{0\}$ by say [6, 1.10.7], then it is a nonzero multiple of $x_{\gamma+\delta}$.

Assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n and rescale if necessary the nonzero root vectors x_{γ} , $\gamma \in \Delta \setminus S$.

Let $s + 3 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and j odd. Then one has that

$$\begin{cases} ad x_{\varepsilon_j}(y) = x_{\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_j} + x_{-\varepsilon_{j+1}} \\ ad x_{-\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{j+1}}(y) = x_{-\varepsilon_{j+1}}. \end{cases}$$

Hence $x_{\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_j} = ad(x_{\varepsilon_j} - x_{-\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{j+1}})(y) \in ad \mathfrak{p}_{\Lambda}(y)$. If $j = n$ is odd, then $x_{\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_n} = ad x_{\varepsilon_n}(y) \in ad \mathfrak{p}_{\Lambda}(y)$. Let $s + 4 \leq j \leq n$ and j even. Then $x_{\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_j} = ad(x_{\varepsilon_j} - x_{-\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{j-1}})(y) \in ad \mathfrak{p}_{\Lambda}(y)$.

Let $1 \leq i \leq s - 3$ and i odd, or $s + 2 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and i even. Then

$$\begin{cases} x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_i} = ad(x_{-\varepsilon_i} - x_{\varepsilon_{i+1} - \varepsilon_s} + x_{-\varepsilon_s - i - 2 - \varepsilon_s})(y) & \text{if } i \leq s/2 - 2 \\ x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_i} = ad(x_{-\varepsilon_i} - x_{\varepsilon_{i+1} - \varepsilon_s})(y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence $x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_i} \in ad \mathfrak{p}_{\Lambda}(y)$. Let $2 \leq i \leq s - 2$ and i even, or $s + 3 \leq i \leq n$ and i odd. Then

$$\begin{cases} x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_i} = ad(x_{-\varepsilon_i} - x_{\varepsilon_{i-1} - \varepsilon_s} + x_{-\varepsilon_s - i + 2 - \varepsilon_s})(y) & \text{if } 4 \leq i \leq s/2 \\ x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_i} = ad(x_{-\varepsilon_2} - x_{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_s} + x_{-\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{s+1}})(y) & \text{if } i = 2 \\ x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_i} = ad(x_{-\varepsilon_i} - x_{\varepsilon_{i-1} - \varepsilon_s})(y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence $x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_i} \in ad \mathfrak{p}_{\Lambda}(y)$. If $i = s - 1$ then

$$x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{s-1}} = ad(x_{-\varepsilon_{s-1}} - x_{\varepsilon_{s+1} - \varepsilon_s})(y) \in ad \mathfrak{p}_{\Lambda}(y).$$

If $i = s + 1$ then

$$x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{s+1}} = ad(x_{-\varepsilon_{s+1}} - x_{\varepsilon_{s-1} - \varepsilon_s})(y) \in ad \mathfrak{p}_{\Lambda}(y).$$

If $i = n$ is even, then

$$x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_n} = \text{ad } x_{-\varepsilon_n}(y) \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y).$$

Finally, if n is odd, then $x_{-\varepsilon_n} = \text{ad } x_{-\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_n}(y) \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y)$ and if n is even, then $x_{\varepsilon_n} = \text{ad } x_{-\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_n}(y) \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y)$. Hence the lemma for \mathfrak{g} of type B_n .

Assume now that \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n .

Let $s + 3 \leq j \leq n - 2$ and j odd. One may apply Lemma 6.3 with $\gamma_1 = \varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_n \in S$, $\gamma'_1 = \varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_n \notin S$, $\gamma_2 = -\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_{j+1} \in S$, $\gamma'_2 = \varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_n \notin S$, $\gamma_3 = \varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_n \in S$, $\gamma'_3 = -\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{j+1} \notin S$. Then up to rescaling the nonzero root vectors $x_{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_n}$, $x_{-\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{j+1}}$, $x_{\varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_n}$ in \mathfrak{p}_Λ and $x_{-\varepsilon_{j+1} - \varepsilon_n}$, $x_{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{j+1}}$, $x_{\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_j}$ in \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* , one has that, by Lemma 6.3

$$\begin{cases} [x_{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_n}, x_{\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_n}] = [x_{\varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_n}, x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_n}] = x_{\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_j} \\ [x_{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_n}, x_{-\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_{j+1}}] = [x_{-\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{j+1}}, x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_n}] = x_{-\varepsilon_{j+1} - \varepsilon_n} \\ [x_{-\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{j+1}}, x_{\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_n}] = [x_{\varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_n}, x_{-\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_{j+1}}] = x_{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{j+1}}. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{cases} x_{\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_j} + x_{-\varepsilon_{j+1} - \varepsilon_n} = \text{ad } x_{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_n}(y) \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) \\ x_{-\varepsilon_{j+1} - \varepsilon_n} + x_{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{j+1}} = \text{ad } x_{-\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{j+1}}(y) \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) \\ x_{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{j+1}} + x_{\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_j} = \text{ad } x_{\varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_n}(y) \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y). \end{cases}$$

Hence $x_{\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_j} \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y)$.

Now if $j = n - 1$ is odd, then $x_{\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_{n-1}} = \text{ad } x_{\varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n}(y) \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y)$. Let $s + 4 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and j even. Then similarly as above (by Lemma and Proposition 6.3), one has that

$$\begin{cases} x_{\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_j} + x_{-\varepsilon_{j-1} - \varepsilon_n} = \text{ad } x_{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_n}(y) \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) \\ x_{-\varepsilon_{j-1} - \varepsilon_n} + x_{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{j-1}} = \text{ad } x_{-\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{j-1}}(y) \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) \\ x_{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{j-1}} + x_{\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_j} = \text{ad } x_{\varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_n}(y) \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y). \end{cases}$$

Hence $x_{\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_j} \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y)$.

Let $1 \leq i \leq s - 3$ and i odd, or $s + 2 \leq i \leq n - 2$ and i even. Again, up to rescaling some nonzero root vectors, Lemma and Proposition 6.3 imply that

$$\begin{cases} x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_i} + x_{\varepsilon_{i+1} - \varepsilon_n} = \text{ad } x_{-\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_n}(y) \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) \\ x_{\varepsilon_{i+1} + \varepsilon_n} + x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_i} = \text{ad } x_{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_i}(y) \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) \\ x_{\varepsilon_{i+1} - \varepsilon_n} + x_{\varepsilon_{i+1} + \varepsilon_n} \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) \end{cases}$$

since

$$\begin{cases} x_{\varepsilon_{i+1} - \varepsilon_n} + x_{\varepsilon_{i+1} + \varepsilon_n} = \text{ad } (x_{\varepsilon_{i+1} - \varepsilon_s} - x_{-\varepsilon_s - i - 2 - \varepsilon_s})(y) & \text{if } i \leq s/2 - 2 \\ x_{\varepsilon_{i+1} - \varepsilon_n} + x_{\varepsilon_{i+1} + \varepsilon_n} = \text{ad } x_{\varepsilon_{i+1} - \varepsilon_s}(y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence $x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_i} \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y)$. For $i = n - 1$ even, one has that $x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{n-1}} = \text{ad } x_{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n-1}}(y) \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y)$. For $2 \leq i \leq s - 2$ and i even, or $s + 3 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and i odd, a similar computation shows that one also has that $x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_i} \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y)$ in these cases. Let $i = s - 1$. Then Lemma 6.3 implies that

$$\begin{cases} x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{s-1}} + x_{\varepsilon_{s+1} - \varepsilon_n} = \text{ad } x_{-\varepsilon_{s-1} - \varepsilon_n}(y) \\ x_{\varepsilon_{s+1} - \varepsilon_n} + x_{\varepsilon_{s+1} + \varepsilon_n} = \text{ad } x_{\varepsilon_{s+1} - \varepsilon_s}(y) \\ x_{\varepsilon_{s+1} + \varepsilon_n} + x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{s-1}} = \text{ad } x_{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{s-1}}(y). \end{cases}$$

Hence $x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{s-1}} \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y)$. A similar computation shows that $x_{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{s+1}} \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y)$.

Finally assume that n is even. Then $\text{ad } x_{-\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{n-1}}(y) = x_{-\alpha_n} + x_{-\alpha_{n-1}} \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y)$ and $x_{-\alpha_{n-1}} \in \mathfrak{g}_T$. Thus $x_{-\alpha_n} \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) + \mathfrak{g}_T$. If n is odd, then $\text{ad } x_{\varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_s}(y) = x_{\alpha_n} + x_{\alpha_{n-1}} \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y)$ and $x_{\alpha_{n-1}} \in \mathfrak{g}_T$. Thus $x_{\alpha_n} \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) + \mathfrak{g}_T$. The proof is complete. \square

9.4. All conditions of Proposition 6.2 are satisfied. Thus one has the following corollary.

Corollary. *Keep the above notation. One has that*

$$\text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_T = \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda^*$$

with $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_T) = \text{ind}(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ that is, y is regular in \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* . Moreover, by Lemma 9.1, there exists a uniquely defined element $h \in \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$ such that $\gamma(h) = -1$ for all $\gamma \in S$. Then (h, y) is an adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ .

9.5. The semisimple element of the adapted pair

By direct computation, one may give the expansion of the semisimple element h of the adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ obtained in Corollary 9.4.

Lemma. *In terms of the elements ε_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, the semisimple element $h \in \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$ of the adapted pair (h, y) obtained in Corollary 9.4 has the following expansion. Set $u = 0$ in type D_n , resp. $u = 1$ in type B_n .*

$$\begin{aligned} h = & \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor s/4 \rfloor} (s/2 + 2k - 1)\varepsilon_{2k-1} + \sum_{k=\lfloor s/4 \rfloor + 1}^{s/2-1} (3s/2 - 2k)\varepsilon_{2k-1} \\ & - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor s/4 \rfloor} (s/2 + 2k)\varepsilon_{2k} - \sum_{k=\lfloor s/4 \rfloor + 1}^{s/2-1} (3s/2 + 1 - 2k)\varepsilon_{2k} \\ & + (s/2)\varepsilon_{s-1} - \varepsilon_s - (s/2 + 1)\varepsilon_{s+1} \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor (n-s-1+u)/2 \rfloor} (2k - 1 + s/2)\varepsilon_{s+2k} \\ & - \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor (n-s+u)/2 \rfloor} (2k - 2 + s/2)\varepsilon_{s+2k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of the coroots $\alpha_k^\vee, 1 \leq k \leq n, k \notin \{s, s + 2\}$, the element h has the following expansion. Set

$$\begin{aligned}
 H = & -\sum_{k=1}^{s/2-1} k\alpha_{2k}^\vee + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor s/4 \rfloor} (s/2 + k)\alpha_{2k-1}^\vee \\
 & + \sum_{k=\lfloor s/4 \rfloor + 1}^{s/2} (3s/2 + 1 - 3k)\alpha_{2k-1}^\vee \\
 & + \sum_{k=s/2+1}^{\lfloor (n-2+u)/2 \rfloor} (k - 1 - s/2)\alpha_{2k}^\vee \\
 & - \sum_{k=s/2+1}^{\lfloor (n-1+u)/2 \rfloor} k\alpha_{2k-1}^\vee.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{cases}
 h = H + (n - s - 2)/4 \alpha_n^\vee & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } B_n \text{ with } n \text{ even} \\
 h = H - (n + 1)/4 \alpha_n^\vee & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } B_n \text{ with } n \text{ odd} \\
 h = H - n/4(\alpha_{n-1}^\vee + \alpha_n^\vee) & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } D_n \text{ with } n \text{ even} \\
 h = H + (n - s - 3)/4(\alpha_{n-1}^\vee + \alpha_n^\vee) & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } D_n \text{ with } n \text{ odd.}
 \end{cases}$$

9.6. Computation of the improved upper bound and the lower bound

Recall the notation of Sect. 4 and 5. One obtains the following Lemma.

Lemma. *If \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n , resp. D_n , then*

$$\prod_{\Gamma \in E(\pi')} (1 - e^{\delta_\Gamma})^{-1} = \prod_{\gamma \in T} (1 - e^{-(\gamma+s(\gamma))})^{-1}$$

More precisely one has the following.

(i) *If \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n and $s + 2 < n$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ch}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)) = & (1 - e^{-2\varpi_s})^{-s/2} (1 - e^{-\varpi_s})^{-1} (1 - e^{-2\varpi_{s+2}})^{-(n-s-2)} \\
 & (1 - e^{-\varpi_{s+2}})^{-1} (1 - e^{-(\varpi_s + \varpi_{s+2})})^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n and $n = s + 2$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ch}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)) = & (1 - e^{-2\varpi_s})^{-s/2} (1 - e^{-\varpi_s})^{-1} \\
 & (1 - e^{-2\varpi_{s+2}})^{-1} (1 - e^{-(\varpi_s + 2\varpi_{s+2})})^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(iii) *If \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n , then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ch}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)) = & (1 - e^{-2\varpi_s})^{-s/2} (1 - e^{-\varpi_s})^{-1} (1 - e^{-2\varpi_{s+2}})^{-(n-s-3)} \\
 & (1 - e^{-\varpi_{s+2}})^{-2} (1 - e^{-(\varpi_s + \varpi_{s+2})})^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall the set $E(\pi')$ given in the proof of Lemma 9.2 and set for all $1 \leq t \leq s/2 - 1$, $\Gamma_t = \{\alpha_t, \alpha_{s-t}\}$, $\Gamma_{s/2} = \{\alpha_{s/2}\}$ and $\Gamma_u = \{\alpha_u\}$ for all $s \leq u \leq n$. Observe that $j(\Gamma) = \Gamma$ (and then $i(\Gamma \cap \pi') = j(\Gamma) \cap \pi' = \Gamma \cap \pi'$) for all $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$, except in type D_n , with n odd, for $\Gamma = \{\alpha_{n-1}\}$ or $\Gamma = \{\alpha_n\}$. Recall for all $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$ the weight δ_Γ defined in (2) of Sect. 4. One checks that:

$$\forall 1 \leq t \leq s/2 - 1, \delta_{\Gamma_t} = 2(\varpi'_t - \varpi_t + \varpi'_{s-t} - \varpi_{s-t}) = -2\varpi_s.$$

Moreover $\delta_{\Gamma_{s/2}} = 2(\varpi'_{s/2} - \varpi_{s/2}) = -\varpi_s$, $\delta_{\Gamma_s} = -2\varpi_s$ and $\delta_{\Gamma_{s+2}} = -2\varpi_{s+2}$. Finally $\delta_{\Gamma_{s+1}} = 2(\varpi'_{s+1} - \varpi_{s+1}) = -(\varpi_s + \varpi_{s+2})$, for type D_n and for type B_n if $s + 2 < n$. For type B_n with $s + 2 = n$ one checks that $\delta_{\Gamma_{s+1}} = -(\varpi_s + 2\varpi_{s+2})$.

If $s + 3 \leq u \leq n - 1$ for type B_n , resp. $s + 3 \leq u \leq n - 2$ for type D_n , then one checks that $\delta_{\Gamma_u} = -2\varpi_{s+2}$. If $u = n$ for \mathfrak{g} of type B_n (and $s + 2 < n$), resp. $u = n - 1$ or $u = n$ for \mathfrak{g} of type D_n , then one checks that $\delta_{\Gamma_u} = -\varpi_{s+2}$. Thus $\prod_{\Gamma \in E(\pi')} (1 - e^{\delta_\Gamma})^{-1}$ is equal to the right hand side of (i), (ii) or (iii).

It remains to check that $\prod_{\Gamma \in E(\pi')} (1 - e^{\delta_\Gamma})^{-1} = \prod_{\gamma \in T} (1 - e^{-(\gamma + s(\gamma))})^{-1}$. Recall the set T given before Lemma 9.2.

For $\gamma = \varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_s$, one checks that

$$s(\gamma) = (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{s-3} + \varepsilon_{s-2})$$

so that $\gamma + s(\gamma) = \varpi_s$.

For $\gamma = \varepsilon_{s-1} - \varepsilon_{s+1}$, one checks that, if \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n ,

$$s(\gamma) = 2((\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{s-3} + \varepsilon_{s-2})) + (\varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_{s+1}) + 2\varepsilon_s$$

and if \mathfrak{g} of type D_n , then

$$s(\gamma) = 2((\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{s-3} + \varepsilon_{s-2})) + (\varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_{s+1}) + (\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_n) + (\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_n)$$

and for both types that $\gamma + s(\gamma) = 2\varpi_s$.

For $\gamma = \varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_{s+2}$, one checks that

$$s(\gamma) = (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{s-3} + \varepsilon_{s-2}) + (\varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_{s+1})$$

so that $\gamma + s(\gamma) = \varpi_{s+2}$ for \mathfrak{g} of type D_n or \mathfrak{g} of type B_n with $s + 2 < n$, and that $\gamma + s(\gamma) = 2\varpi_{s+2}$ for \mathfrak{g} of type B_n with $s + 2 = n$.

Let $1 \leq i \leq s/2 - 1$ and set $\gamma = \varepsilon_{2i-1} - \varepsilon_{2i}$. As in [14, Proof of Lem. 7.9], one checks that:

– If $s \leq 4i - 2$, then

$$s(\varepsilon_{2i-1} - \varepsilon_{2i}) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{s-2i} (\varepsilon_{s-j} - \varepsilon_j) + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{s/2-i} (\varepsilon_{2j-1} + \varepsilon_{2j}) + 2 \sum_{j=s/2-i+1}^{i-1} (\varepsilon_{2j-1} + \varepsilon_{2j}) + (\varepsilon_{2i-1} + \varepsilon_{2i}) + 2\varepsilon_s$$

in type B_n and the same as above in type D_n but with $2\varepsilon_s$ replaced by $(\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_n) + (\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_n)$.

– If $s > 4i - 2$, then

$$s(\varepsilon_{2i-1} - \varepsilon_{2i}) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{2i-1} (\varepsilon_{s-j} - \varepsilon_j) + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (\varepsilon_{2j-1} + \varepsilon_{2j}) + 2 \sum_{j=i+1}^{s/2-i} (\varepsilon_{2j-1} + \varepsilon_{2j}) + 3(\varepsilon_{2i-1} + \varepsilon_{2i}) + 2\varepsilon_s$$

in type B_n and the same as above in type D_n but with $2\varepsilon_s$ replaced by $(\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_n) + (\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_n)$. In both cases one obtains that $\gamma + s(\gamma) = 2\varpi_s$.

Let $1 \leq j \leq [(n - s - 1)/2]$ and set $\gamma = \varepsilon_{s+2j} - \varepsilon_{s+2j+1}$. One checks that:

$$s(\gamma) = 2((\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{s-3} + \varepsilon_{s-2})) + 2(\varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_{s+1}) - 2 \sum_{k=2}^j (\varepsilon_{s+2k-1} + \varepsilon_{s+2k}) + 2 \sum_{k=2}^j ((\varepsilon_{s+2k-2} + \varepsilon_{s+2k-1})) + (\varepsilon_{s+2j} + \varepsilon_{s+2j+1}) + 2\varepsilon_s$$

in type B_n , resp. in type D_n with n even (with $2\varepsilon_s$ replaced by $(\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_n) + (\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_n)$), so that $\gamma + s(\gamma) = 2\varpi_{s+2}$.

In type D_n with n odd, for all $1 \leq j \leq [(n - s - 1)/2] - 1$, one also obtains that $\gamma + s(\gamma) = 2\varpi_{s+2}$. If \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n , with n odd, then for $\gamma = \varepsilon_{s+2j} - \varepsilon_{s+2j+1}$ with $j = [(n - s - 1)/2] = (n - s - 1)/2$, one has that

$$s(\gamma) = (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{s-3} + \varepsilon_{s-2}) + (\varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_{s+1}) + (\varepsilon_{s+2} + \varepsilon_{s+3}) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{n-3} + \varepsilon_{n-2}) - ((\varepsilon_{s+3} + \varepsilon_{s+4}) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_{n-1})) + (\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_n)$$

so that $\gamma + s(\gamma) = \varpi_{s+2}$.

Let $2 \leq k \leq [(n - s)/2]$ and set $\gamma = -\varepsilon_{s+2k-1} + \varepsilon_{s+2k}$. One checks that

$$\begin{aligned} s(\gamma) &= 2((\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{s-3} + \varepsilon_{s-2})) + 2(\varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_{s+1}) \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{\ell=3}^k (\varepsilon_{s+2\ell-3} + \varepsilon_{s+2\ell-2}) + 2 \sum_{\ell=2}^k ((\varepsilon_{s+2\ell-2} + \varepsilon_{s+2\ell-1}) \\ &\quad - (\varepsilon_{s+2k-1} + \varepsilon_{s+2k})) + 2\varepsilon_s \end{aligned}$$

in type B_n , resp. in type D_n with n odd (with $2\varepsilon_s$ replaced by $(\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_n) + (\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_n)$), so that $\gamma + s(\gamma) = 2\varpi_{s+2}$. If \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n , with n even, then for all $2 \leq k \leq [(n - s)/2] - 1$, one also obtains that $\gamma + s(\gamma) = 2\varpi_{s+2}$.

Now for \mathfrak{g} of type D_n , with n even and for $\gamma = -\varepsilon_{s+2k-1} + \varepsilon_{s+2k}$, with $k = [(n - s)/2] = (n - s)/2$, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} s(\gamma) &= (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{s-3} + \varepsilon_{s-2}) + (\varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_{s+1}) \\ &\quad + (\varepsilon_{s+2} + \varepsilon_{s+3}) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_{n-1}) \\ &\quad - ((\varepsilon_{s+3} + \varepsilon_{s+4}) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{n-3} + \varepsilon_{n-2})) + (\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_n) \end{aligned}$$

so that $\gamma + s(\gamma) = \varpi_{s+2}$.

Finally set $\gamma = \varepsilon_{s+2} - \varepsilon_{s+1} = -\alpha_{s+1} \in T$. Then one has that

$$s(\gamma) = 2((\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{s-3} + \varepsilon_{s-2})) + 2(\varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_{s+1}) + 2\varepsilon_s$$

so that $\gamma + s(\gamma) = \varpi_s + \varpi_{s+2}$ if $s + 2 < n$ and if $s + 2 = n$ (necessarily in type B_n) then $\gamma + s(\gamma) = \varpi_s + 2\varpi_{s+2}$.

It follows that the lower and the improved upper bounds for $\text{ch}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda))$ coincide, then equalities in (i), (ii) and (iii) hold. \square

9.7. A Weierstrass section

By Sect. 5 we deduce from Corollary 9.4 and Lemma 9.6 that $y + \mathfrak{g}_T$ is a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ . One can then write the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple Lie algebra of type B_n , resp. D_n , with $n \geq 4$, resp. $n \geq 6$ and let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_\pi^+$, be a parabolic subalgebra associated with $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}\}$, s even, $2 \leq s \leq n - 2$, resp. $2 \leq s \leq n - 4$. Then there exists a Weierstrass section $y + \mathfrak{g}_T$ for coadjoint action of the canonical truncation \mathfrak{p}_Λ of \mathfrak{p} . It follows that the algebra of symmetric invariants $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda) = \text{Sy}(\mathfrak{p})$ is a polynomial algebra over \mathbb{k} on $n - s/2 + 1$ algebraically independent homogeneous and \mathfrak{h} -weight generators.*

9.8. Weights and degrees

One may associate with each $\gamma \in T$ an homogeneous generator $p_\gamma \in Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ so that the set $\{p_\gamma; \gamma \in T\}$ is a set of algebraically independent and \mathfrak{h} -weight generators of the

polynomial algebra $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$. By what we said in Sect. 5, for each $\gamma \in T$, the weight of p_γ is $wt(p_\gamma) = -(\gamma + s(\gamma))$ and the degree of p_γ is $\deg(p_\gamma) = 1 + |s(\gamma)|$ (also equal to the eigenvalue plus one of x_γ with respect to adh , where recall h is the semisimple element of the adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ that we have constructed, see 9.5). It suffices then to use the proof of Lemma 9.6 to compute all these weights and degrees, since there all the $s(\gamma)$, for $\gamma \in T$, have been computed. Set, for all $1 \leq i \leq s/2 - 1$, $\gamma_i = \varepsilon_{2i-1} - \varepsilon_{2i}$.

Assume first that \mathfrak{g} is simple of type B_n , with $s + 2 < n$ or \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n , where recall $s + 2 \leq n - 2$.

$\gamma \in T$	$wt(p_\gamma)$	$\deg(p_\gamma)$
$\gamma_i, 1 \leq i \leq [s/4]$	$-2\varpi_s$	$s + 4i$
$\gamma_i, [s/4] + 1 \leq i \leq s/2 - 1$	$-2\varpi_s$	$3s - 4i + 2$
$\gamma = \varepsilon_{s-1} - \varepsilon_{s+1}$	$-2\varpi_s$	$s + 2$
$\gamma = \varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_s$	$-\varpi_s$	$s/2$
$\gamma = \varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_{s+2}$	$-\varpi_{s+2}$	$s/2 + 1$
$\gamma = (-1)^n(\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n-1}), \mathfrak{g}$ of type D_n	$-\varpi_{s+2}$	$n - s/2 - 1$
$\gamma = (-1)^n(\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n-1}), \mathfrak{g}$ of type B_n	$-2\varpi_{s+2}$	$2n - s - 2$
$\varepsilon_{s+2j} - \varepsilon_{s+2j+1}, 1 \leq j \leq [(n - s - 2)/2]$	$-2\varpi_{s+2}$	$s + 4j$
$\varepsilon_{s+2k} - \varepsilon_{s+2k-1}, 2 \leq k \leq [(n - s - 1)/2]$	$-2\varpi_{s+2}$	$s + 4k - 2$
$\gamma = \varepsilon_{s+2} - \varepsilon_{s+1}$	$-(\varpi_s + \varpi_{s+2})$	$s + 3$

Assume now that \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n , with $n = s + 2$.

$\gamma \in T$	$wt(p_\gamma)$	$\deg(p_\gamma)$
$\gamma_i, 1 \leq i \leq [s/4]$	$-2\varpi_s$	$s + 4i$
$\gamma_i, [s/4] + 1 \leq i \leq s/2 - 1$	$-2\varpi_s$	$3s - 4i + 2$
$\gamma = \varepsilon_{s-1} - \varepsilon_{s+1}$	$-2\varpi_s$	$s + 2$
$\gamma = \varepsilon_{s-1} + \varepsilon_s$	$-\varpi_s$	$s/2$
$\gamma = \varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_{s+2}$	$-\varpi_{s+2}$	$s/2 + 1$
$\gamma = \varepsilon_{s+2} - \varepsilon_{s+1}$	$-(\varpi_s + 2\varpi_{s+2})$	$s + 3 = n + 1$

9.9.

Remark. Assume that \mathfrak{g} is simple of type B_n with $n \geq 6$ and that $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}, \alpha_{s+4}\}$ with s an even integer and consider the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\pi'}^+$, associated to π' . Then one may easily check as in the proof of Lemma 9.2 that $\text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda = n - s/2 + 1$. Take the same set S^+ as this chosen for the case $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}\}$ in subsection 9.1 and the same set S^- but without the element $-\varepsilon_{s+3} - \varepsilon_{s+4}$ which does no more belong to $\Delta_{\pi'}^-$. Then restriction to $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$ of $S = S^+ \sqcup S^-$ is still a basis for \mathfrak{h}_Λ^* . Take also the same sets T and T^* as in subsections 9.2 and 9.3, which still lie in $\Delta^+ \sqcup \Delta_{\pi'}^-$. Unfortunately condition (v) of Proposition 6.2 is no more satisfied since

$x_{\varepsilon_s+\varepsilon_{s+3}}$ and $x_{\varepsilon_s+\varepsilon_{s+4}}$ in T^* do no more belong to $ad \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) + \mathfrak{g}_T$. Thus our construction cannot be generalized to the more general case of $\mathfrak{p}_{s,\ell}$ with s even and $\ell \geq 2$.

10. Case 1e for type C

In this Section, we consider a parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{s,\ell} = \mathfrak{p}_{\pi'}^- = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\pi'}^+$ associated to the subset $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}, \dots, \alpha_{s+2\ell}\}$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and s an even or an odd integer, $1 \leq s \leq n - 2\ell$, in a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of type C_n , with $n \geq 3$. Hence we are in the case 1e of subsection 1.7.

If $\ell = 0$, such a parabolic subalgebra is maximal and this case was already treated in [13]. Thus we will assume that $\ell \geq 1$. By subsection 4.4, the lower and upper bounds for $\text{ch}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda))$ in (4) of Sect. 4 always coincide and then $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ is a polynomial algebra. However Weierstrass sections were not yet exhibited. As we said in Remark 3a of subsection 6.2, it suffices to construct an adapted pair to obtain a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ in the present case. Our construction generalizes the construction of an adapted pair in case of a maximal parabolic subalgebra in type C (see [13, Sect. 6]).

10.1. The Kostant cascades

The Kostant cascade β_π for \mathfrak{g} simple of type C_n is given by

$$\beta_\pi = \{\beta_i = 2\varepsilon_i; 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

The Kostant cascade $\beta_{\pi'}$ of \mathfrak{g}' is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{\pi'} = & \{\beta'_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{s+1-i}, \alpha_{s+2j-1}, \beta''_k = 2\varepsilon_{s+2\ell+k}; \\ & 1 \leq i \leq [s/2], 1 \leq j \leq \ell, 1 \leq k \leq n - s - 2\ell\}. \end{aligned}$$

We want to construct an adapted pair for $\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\pi'}^+$. (Recall that here $\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda = \mathfrak{h}'$.) For this purpose we will use Proposition 6.2. First we give a set $S = S^+ \sqcup S^- \subset \Delta^+ \sqcup \Delta_{\pi'}^-$, such that $S|_{\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda}$ is a basis for \mathfrak{h}_Λ^* .

10.2. Condition (i) of Proposition 6.2

Since, for all $1 \leq k \leq n - s - 2\ell$, one has that $\beta''_k = \beta_{s+2\ell+k}$, we will not be able to take $S = \beta_\pi^0 \cup (-\beta_{\pi'}^0)$ as we did for type B_n in Sect. 8.

Instead we will take elements which are a kind of deformation of roots of the Kostant cascade, by setting $\gamma_i = \beta_i - \alpha_i = \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_{i+1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Assume first that s is odd. We set

$$S^+ = \{\gamma_{2i-1} = \varepsilon_{2i-1} + \varepsilon_{2i}; 1 \leq i \leq [n/2]\}$$

and

$$S^- = \{ -\beta'_i = \varepsilon_{s+1-i} - \varepsilon_i, -\gamma_{2j} = -(\varepsilon_{2j} + \varepsilon_{2j+1}); \\ 1 \leq i \leq (s-1)/2, (s+2\ell+1)/2 \leq j \leq [(n-1)/2] \}.$$

Assume now that s is even and set $t := \lfloor s/4 \rfloor$. We set

$$S^+ = \{ \beta_{2t+1}, \gamma_{2i-1}, \gamma_{2j}; 1 \leq i \leq t, t+1 \leq j \leq [(n-1)/2] \}$$

and

$$S^- = \{ -\beta'_i = \varepsilon_{s+1-i} - \varepsilon_i, -\gamma_{2j+1} = -(\varepsilon_{2j+1} + \varepsilon_{2j+2}); \\ 1 \leq i \leq (s-2)/2, (s+2\ell)/2 \leq j \leq [(n-2)/2] \}.$$

In both cases for $S = S^+ \sqcup S^-$, one easily checks that $|S| = n - \ell - 1 = \dim \mathfrak{h}' = \dim \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$. The following lemma establishes condition (i) of Proposition 6.2.

Lemma. $S_{|\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda}$ is a basis for \mathfrak{h}_Λ^* .

Proof. Assume first that s is odd. Then we order the elements s_u of S as follows:

$$s_i = \gamma_{2i-1}, 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor, \\ s_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + j} = -\gamma_{s+2\ell+2j-1}, 1 \leq j \leq \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor - (s+2\ell-1)/2, \\ s_{n-\ell-1-(s-1)/2+k} = -\beta'_k, 1 \leq k \leq (s-1)/2$$

and order the elements h_v of a basis of \mathfrak{h}' as follows:

$$h_i = \alpha_{2i}^\vee, 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor, \\ h_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + j} = \alpha_{s+2\ell+2j}^\vee, 1 \leq j \leq \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor - (s+2\ell-1)/2, \\ h_{n-\ell-1-(s-1)/2+2k-1} = h'_{2k-1} = \alpha_{2k-1}^\vee, h_{n-\ell-1-(s-1)/2+2k} = h'_{2k} = \alpha_{s-2k}^\vee, \\ 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor (s+1)/4 \rfloor$$

without repetitions for the h'_j 's.

Set

$$A = (s_u(h_v))_{1 \leq u, v \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}, \\ B = (s_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + u}(h_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + v}))_{1 \leq u, v \leq \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor - (s+2\ell-1)/2}, \\ C = (-\beta'_i(h'_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq (s-1)/2}.$$

By observing that $\gamma_i = \varpi_{i+1} - \varpi_{i-1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ (with $\varpi_0 = 0$) one obtains that A , resp. B , is a lower triangular matrix with 1, resp. -1 , on its diagonal. Moreover C is a lower triangular matrix with -1 on its diagonal by Lemma 6.4. Then one obtains that the matrix

$$(s_u(h_v))_{1 \leq u, v \leq n-\ell-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ * & B & 0 \\ * & * & C \end{pmatrix}$$

is such that $\det(s_u(h_v))_{1 \leq u, v \leq n-\ell-1} \neq 0$.

Assume now that s is even. Recall that $t = [s/4]$. We order the elements s_u of S as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} s_i &= \gamma_{2i-1}, 1 \leq i \leq t, \\ s_{t+1} &= \beta_{2t+1} = 2\varepsilon_{2t+1}, \\ s_{t+1+j} &= \gamma_{2(t+j)}, 1 \leq j \leq [(n-1)/2] - t, \\ s_{[(n+1)/2]+k} &= -\gamma_{s+2\ell+2k-1}, 1 \leq k \leq [(n-2)/2] - (s+2\ell-2)/2, \\ s_{n-\ell-1-(s-2)/2+r} &= -\beta'_r, 1 \leq r \leq (s-2)/2. \end{aligned}$$

We order the elements h_v of a basis of \mathfrak{h}' as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} h_i &= \alpha_{2i}^\vee, 1 \leq i \leq t, \\ h_{t+1} &= \alpha_{2t+1}^\vee, \\ h_{t+1+j} &= \alpha_{2(t+j)+1}^\vee, 1 \leq j \leq [(n-1)/2] - t, \\ h_{[(n+1)/2]+k} &= \alpha_{s+2\ell+2k}^\vee, 1 \leq k \leq [(n-2)/2] - (s+2\ell-2)/2, \\ h_{n-\ell-1-(s-2)/2+2r-1} &= h'_{2r-1} = \alpha_{2r-1}^\vee, h_{n-\ell-1-(s-2)/2+2r} = h'_{2r} = \alpha_{s-2r}^\vee, \\ &1 \leq r \leq t \end{aligned}$$

without repetitions. More precisely: if $t = (s-2)/4$ then $h_t = \alpha_{2t}^\vee = \alpha_{s/2-1}^\vee \neq h'_{2t} = \alpha_{s/2+1}^\vee$, then both are taken and if $t = s/4$ then $h'_{2t} = \alpha_{s/2}^\vee = h_t$ then one takes h_t but not h'_{2t} . Then by the above one obtains that the matrix

$$(s_u(h_v))_{1 \leq u, v \leq n-\ell-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & B & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & C & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & D \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (s_u(h_v))_{1 \leq u, v \leq t}, \\ B &= (s_{t+1+u}(h_{t+1+v}))_{1 \leq u, v \leq [(n-1)/2]-t}, \\ C &= (s_{[(n+1)/2]+u}(h_{[(n+1)/2]+v}))_{1 \leq u, v \leq [(n-2)/2]-(s+2\ell-2)/2}, \\ D &= (-\beta'_i(h'_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq (s-2)/2} \end{aligned}$$

is such that $\det(s_u(h_v))_{1 \leq u, v \leq n-\ell-1} \neq 0$. Indeed the above matrices are lower triangular matrices with 1 (for A and B), resp. -1 (for C and D), on their diagonal. \square

10.3. Conditions (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 6.2

For each $\gamma \in S^+$, resp. $\gamma \in S^-$, we will take a Heisenberg set Γ_γ with centre γ included in Δ^+ , resp. $\Delta_{\pi'}^-$, such that $|(\Delta^+ \sqcup \Delta_{\pi'}^-) \setminus (\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in S} \Gamma_\gamma)| = \text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda$ (here we will take $T^* = \emptyset$ in Proposition 6.2) and such that conditions (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 6.2 are satisfied.

For this purpose we use (see 6.1) the largest Heisenberg set H_{β_i} with centre $\beta_i \in \beta_\pi$ included in Δ^+ , and $-H_{\beta'_i}$, resp. $-H_{\beta''_i}$ where $H_{\beta'_i}$, resp. $H_{\beta''_i}$, is the largest Heisenberg set in $\Delta_{\pi'}^+$, with centre β'_i , resp. β''_i , belonging to the Kostant cascade $\beta_{\pi'}$ of \mathfrak{g}' .

For each $\beta_i \in \beta_\pi$, set $H_{\beta_i}^0 = H_{\beta_i} \setminus \{\beta_i\}$ and each $\beta''_i \in \beta_{\pi'}$, $H_{-\beta''_i}^0 = -H_{\beta''_i} \setminus \{-\beta''_i\}$. As in [13, Sect. 6], for each $\gamma \in S^+ \cap \beta_\pi$, we set $\Gamma_\gamma = H_\gamma$ and for each $\gamma \in S^- \cap (-\beta_{\pi'})$, we set $\Gamma_\gamma = -H_{-\gamma}$. Moreover for the roots $\gamma_i = \beta_i - \alpha_i \in S^+$, we set $\Gamma_{\gamma_i} = H_{\beta_i}^0 \sqcup H_{\beta_{i+1}}$ and for the roots $-\gamma''_i = -\gamma_{s+2\ell+i} = -(\beta''_i - \alpha_{s+2\ell+i}) \in S^-$, we set $\Gamma_{-\gamma''_i} = H_{-\beta''_i}^0 \sqcup (-H_{\beta''_{i+1}})$.

As in [13, Sect. 6] one easily checks that, for each $\gamma \in S$, Γ_γ is a Heisenberg set with centre γ and these sets Γ_γ , $\gamma \in S$, are pairwise disjoint by Lemma 6.1 i). Below we will show that conditions (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 6.2 are satisfied. Recall that, for each $\gamma \in S$, we set $\Gamma_\gamma^0 = \Gamma_\gamma \setminus \{\gamma\}$ and $O^\pm = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in S^\pm} \Gamma_\gamma^0$.

Lemma. *Let $\gamma \in S^+$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma_\gamma^0$ be such that there exists $\beta \in O^+$ with $\alpha + \beta \in S$. Then $\beta \in \Gamma_\gamma^0$ and $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$.*

Proof. Assume first that $\alpha + \beta \in S^+ \cap \beta_\pi$. Then the assertion follows from Lemma 6.1 ii). Assume now that $\alpha + \beta = \gamma_i = \beta_i - \alpha_i \in S^+$. We will show that $\gamma = \gamma_i$. We have that $\gamma_i \in H_{\beta_i}^0$ then by Lemma 6.1 iii), one has that $\alpha \in H_{\beta_i}$ or $\beta \in H_{\beta_i}$. Suppose that $\alpha \in H_{\beta_i}$. Then $\alpha \neq \beta_i$ since β is a positive root and we have $\alpha \in H_{\beta_i}^0 \subset \Gamma_{\gamma_i}$. Since the Heisenberg sets Γ_δ , $\delta \in S$, are pairwise disjoint, one deduces that $\gamma = \gamma_i$. Since Γ_γ is a Heisenberg set, it follows that $\beta \in \Gamma_\gamma^0$. Now if $\beta \in H_{\beta_i}$ then for the same reason as before $\beta \in H_{\beta_i}^0 \subset \Gamma_{\gamma_i}$. But $\beta \in O^+$ then there exists $\gamma' \in S^+$ such that $\beta \in \Gamma_{\gamma'}^0$. As before one deduces that $\gamma' = \gamma_i$ and then that $\alpha \in \Gamma_{\gamma'}^0$ hence $\gamma = \gamma' = \gamma_i$. Since all roots in S^+ are of the above form, we are done. \square

Condition (iii) of Proposition 6.2 follows similarly.

10.4. Condition (vi) of Proposition 6.2

Here we will show that condition (vi) is satisfied, with $T = (\Delta^+ \sqcup \Delta_{\pi'}^-) \setminus \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in S} \Gamma_\gamma$. Set $T^+ = \Delta^+ \setminus \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in S^+} \Gamma_\gamma$ and $T^- = \Delta_{\pi'}^- \setminus \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in S^-} \Gamma_\gamma$. Then $T = T^+ \sqcup T^-$. Recall Lemma 6.1 i) that $\Delta^+ = \bigsqcup_{\beta \in \beta_\pi} H_\beta$ and $\Delta_{\pi'}^- = \bigsqcup_{\beta \in \beta_{\pi'}} (-H_\beta)$.

Assume first that s is odd. Then

$$T^+ = \{\beta_{2i-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq [(n+1)/2]\}$$

and

$$T^- = \{-\alpha_{s+2i-1}, -\beta_{s+2\ell+2j-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell, 1 \leq j \leq [(n+1-s)/2] - \ell\}.$$

Assume that s is even. Then

$$T^+ = \{\beta_{2i-1}, \beta_{2j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq t, t+1 \leq j \leq [n/2]\}$$

and

$$T^- = \{-\alpha_{s/2}, -\alpha_{s+2i-1}, -\beta_{s+2\ell+2j-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell, 1 \leq j \leq [(n+1-s)/2] - \ell\}.$$

Below we establish condition (vi) of Proposition 6.2.

Lemma. *One has that $|T| = \text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda$.*

Proof. Recall that $\text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda$ is equal to the number $|E(\pi')|$ of $\langle ij \rangle$ -orbits in π (see Sect. 4). Here, since $j = \text{Id}_\pi$, the set $E(\pi')$ of $\langle ij \rangle$ -orbits in π is the following. If s is odd, then

$$E(\pi') = \{\{\alpha_i, \alpha_{s-i}\}, \{\alpha_{s-1+j}\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq (s-1)/2, 1 \leq j \leq n-s+1\}.$$

If s is even, then

$$E(\pi') = \{\{\alpha_i, \alpha_{s-i}\}, \{\alpha_{s/2}\}, \{\alpha_{s-1+j}\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq (s-2)/2, 1 \leq j \leq n-s+1\}.$$

One checks that $|T| = |E(\pi')|$. Hence the lemma. \square

Finally if we set $T^* = \emptyset$, then by construction condition (iv) of Proposition 6.2 is also satisfied and condition (v) is empty.

10.5. A Weierstrass section

By the above, all conditions of Proposition 6.2 are satisfied. Set $y = \sum_{\gamma \in S} x_\gamma$. Since $S|_{\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda}$ is a basis for \mathfrak{h}_Λ^* there exists a unique $h \in \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$ such that for all $\gamma \in S$, $\gamma(h) = -1$. Then by Proposition 6.2 (h, y) is an adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ . Moreover by subsection 4.4, for all $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$, $\varepsilon_\Gamma = 1$. Then by Remark 3a of subsection 6.2, one deduces that $y + \mathfrak{g}_T$ is a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ . Summarizing we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple Lie algebra of type C_n ($n \geq 3$) and let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_\pi^+$, be a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} associated to $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}, \dots, \alpha_{s+2\ell}\}$ ($s, \ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$) and $1 \leq s \leq n - 2\ell$. Then $y + \mathfrak{g}_T$ is a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of the canonical truncation \mathfrak{p}_Λ of \mathfrak{p} .*

10.6. Weights and degrees

Here both bounds (see (4) in Sect. 4) for $\text{ch}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda))$ coincide and then $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ is a polynomial algebra whose homogeneous and \mathfrak{h} -weight generators have weights and degrees which can be easily computed. To each $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$ is associated an homogeneous and \mathfrak{h} -weight generator of $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ which has weight δ_Γ given by (2) of Sect. 4 and a degree ∂_Γ given by (5) of Sect. 4.

Below we give for completeness weights and degrees of a set of homogeneous and \mathfrak{h} -weight algebraically independent generators of $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$, each of them corresponding to an $\langle ij \rangle$ -orbit Γ_r in $E(\pi')$.

Assume first that s is odd:

$\langle ij \rangle$ -orbit in $E(\pi')$	Weight	Degree
$\Gamma_u = \{\alpha_u, \alpha_{s-u}\}, 1 \leq u \leq (s-1)/2$	$-2\varpi_s$	$s+2u$
$\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\}, v = s+2k, 0 \leq k \leq \ell$	$-2\varpi_v$	v
$\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\}, v = s+2k-1, 1 \leq k \leq \ell$	$-\varpi_{v-1} - \varpi_{v+1}$	$v+1$
$\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\}, s+2\ell+1 \leq v \leq n$	$-2\varpi_{s+2\ell}$	$2v-s-2\ell$

Assume now that s is even:

$\langle ij \rangle$ -orbit in $E(\pi')$	Weight	Degree
$\Gamma_u = \{\alpha_u, \alpha_{s-u}\}, 1 \leq u \leq (s-2)/2$	$-2\varpi_s$	$s+2u$
$\Gamma_{s/2} = \{\alpha_{s/2}\}$	$-\varpi_s$	s
$\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\}, v = s+2k, 0 \leq k \leq \ell$	$-2\varpi_v$	v
$\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\}, v = s+2k-1, 1 \leq k \leq \ell$	$-\varpi_{v-1} - \varpi_{v+1}$	$v+1$
$\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\}, s+2\ell+1 \leq v \leq n$	$-2\varpi_{s+2\ell}$	$2v-s-2\ell$

11. Case 2a for type D

In this Section we consider the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_\ell$ of the simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of type D_n , with $n \geq 4$, n even and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \ell \leq (n-2)/2$, associated with the subset $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_{n-1-2k}, \alpha_n \mid 0 \leq k \leq \ell\}$. This is the case 2a of subsection 1.7. Recall 8.1 the Kostant cascade β_π for \mathfrak{g} of type D_n . Recall 6.4 the Kostant cascade $\beta_{\pi'_1} \subset \beta_{\pi'}$ for the simple Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\pi'_1}$ of the Levi subalgebra \mathfrak{g}' of \mathfrak{p} of type $A_{n-2-2\ell}$ if $\ell < (n-2)/2$. One has

$$\beta_{\pi'_1} = \{\beta'_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{n-2\ell-i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq [(n-1-2\ell)/2]\}.$$

We denote (as in 8.1) $\beta_\pi^0 = \beta_\pi \setminus (\beta_\pi \cap \pi)$ and $\beta_{\pi'}^0 = \beta_{\pi'} \setminus (\beta_{\pi'} \cap \pi')$.

Then we have that $\beta_\pi^0 = \{\beta_i = \varepsilon_{2i-1} + \varepsilon_{2i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq (n-2)/2\}$ and $\beta_{\pi'}^0 = \beta_{\pi'_1}$ since n is even.

We set $S^+ = \beta_\pi^0 = \{\beta_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq (n-2)/2\}$ and $S^- = -\beta_{\pi'}^0 = \{-\beta'_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq (n-2-2\ell)/2\}$.

For all $\gamma \in S^+$, we set $\Gamma_\gamma = H_\gamma$ the largest Heisenberg set with centre γ which is included in Δ^+ as defined in subsection 6.1 and for all $\gamma \in S^-$, we set $\Gamma_\gamma = -H_{-\gamma}$ where $H_{-\gamma}$ is the largest Heisenberg set with centre $-\gamma$ which is included in Δ_π^+ . Finally we set $T^+ = \beta_\pi \cap \pi$, $T^- = -(\beta_{\pi'} \cap \pi')$, $T = T^+ \cup T^-$ and $T^* = \emptyset$.

11.1. Conditions (i) to (v) of Proposition 6.2

By i) of Lemma 6.1 and since $H_\beta = \{\beta\}$ for all $\beta \in \beta_\pi \cap \pi$, condition (iv) of Proposition 6.2 is satisfied. Moreover conditions (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 6.2 are satisfied by ii) of Lemma 6.1. Condition (v) is empty since $T^* = \emptyset$. Condition (i) follows from the following Lemma.

Lemma. Set $S = S^+ \cup S^-$. Then $S|_{\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda}$ is a basis for \mathfrak{h}_Λ^* .

Proof. Here $j = Id_\pi$ and then (see Sect. 3) we have that $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$ and we observe that $|S| = n - 2 - \ell = \dim \mathfrak{h}' = \dim \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 8.2. We order the elements s_u of S as

$$\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{(n-2)/2}, -\beta'_1, -\beta'_2, \dots, -\beta'_{(n-2-2\ell)/2}$$

and we choose the following ordered basis $(h_v)_{1 \leq v \leq n-2-\ell}$ of \mathfrak{h}' :

$$\begin{aligned} h_i &= \alpha_{2i}^\vee, \quad 1 \leq i \leq (n-2)/2, \\ h_{(n-2)/2+2j-1} &= h'_{2j-1} = \alpha_{2j-1}^\vee, \quad h_{(n-2)/2+2j} = h'_{2j} = \alpha_{n-1-2\ell-2j}^\vee, \\ &1 \leq j \leq [(n-2\ell)/4] \end{aligned}$$

without repetitions for the h'_j 's.

Then the matrix $(s_u(h_v))_{1 \leq u, v \leq n-2-\ell}$ has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ * & B \end{pmatrix}$$

Here $A = (\beta_i(h_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq (n-2)/2}$ is a $(n-2)/2 \times (n-2)/2$ lower triangular matrix with 1 on its diagonal since $\beta_i = \varpi_{2i} - \varpi_{2i-2}$. Moreover $B = (-\beta'_i(h'_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq (n-2-2\ell)/2}$ is a $(n-2-2\ell)/2 \times (n-2-2\ell)/2$ lower triangular matrix with -1 on its diagonal, by Lemma 6.4. Hence $\det(s_u(h_v))_{1 \leq u, v \leq n-2-\ell} \neq 0$. \square

11.2. Condition (vi) of Proposition 6.2

We obtain the following Lemma.

Lemma. We have that $|T| = \text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda$.

Proof. Recall (1) of Sect. 4 that $\text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda = |E(\pi')|$. Here the set $E(\pi')$ of $\langle ij \rangle$ -orbits in π is the following:

$$E(\pi') = \{\Gamma_u = \{\alpha_u, \alpha_{n-1-2\ell-u}\}, 1 \leq u \leq (n-2-2\ell)/2, \\ \Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\}, n-1-2\ell \leq v \leq n\}.$$

Hence $\text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda = (n+2+2\ell)/2$.

On the other hand we have that $T^+ = \beta_\pi \cap \pi = \{\alpha_n, \alpha_{2i-1}; 1 \leq i \leq n/2\}$ by 8.1, and $T^- = -(\beta_{\pi'} \cap \pi') = \{-\alpha_{2i}; (n-2\ell)/2 \leq i \leq (n-2)/2\}$. Hence $|T^+| = n/2 + 1$ and $|T^-| = \ell$. Thus $|T| = \text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda$. \square

11.3. All conditions of Proposition 6.2 are satisfied. Thus one can deduce the following corollary.

Corollary. *Keep the above notation and set $y = \sum_{\alpha \in S} x_\alpha$. Then y is regular in \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* and more precisely one has that $\text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_T = \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda^*$. Moreover there exists a uniquely defined $h \in \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$ such that $\alpha(h) = -1$ for all $\alpha \in S$. Thus the pair (h, y) is an adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ .*

11.4. Existence of a Weierstrass section

By Remark 3a of subsection 6.2, the existence of an adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ is sufficient to produce a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ provided one has the following Lemma.

Lemma. *Keep the above hypotheses and notation. One has that $\varepsilon_\Gamma = 1$ for all $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$.*

Proof. Recall subsection 4.3 and the $\langle ij \rangle$ -orbits in $E(\pi')$ described in the proof of Lemma 11.2.

For $\Gamma_u = \{\alpha_u, \alpha_{n-1-2\ell-u}\}$ for $1 \leq u \leq (n-2-2\ell)/2$, one has that $d_{\Gamma_u} = \varpi_u + \varpi_{n-1-2\ell-u} \notin \mathcal{B}_\pi$ since u and $n-1-2\ell-u$ are not of the same parity.

Let $n-1-2\ell \leq v < n$. If v is even, then $d_{\Gamma_v} = \varpi_v \in \mathcal{B}_\pi$ but $d'_{\Gamma_v} = \varpi'_v \notin \mathcal{B}_\pi$ since α_v belongs to a connected component of π' of type A_1 . If v is odd, then $d_{\Gamma_v} = \varpi_v \notin \mathcal{B}_\pi$. Finally $d_{\Gamma_n} = \varpi_n \notin \mathcal{B}_\pi$. Hence the lemma. \square

One can then deduce the following Theorem.

Theorem. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra of type D_n , with n even, $n \geq 4$. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $0 \leq \ell \leq (n-2)/2$ and \mathfrak{p}_ℓ be the parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} associated with the subset $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_{n-1-2k}, \alpha_n \mid 0 \leq k \leq \ell\}$. Then there exists a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of the canonical truncation of \mathfrak{p}_ℓ .*

11.5. Weights and degrees

Here both bounds (see (4) in Sect. 4) for $\text{ch}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda))$ coincide by Lemma 11.4 and then $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ is a polynomial algebra whose homogeneous and \mathfrak{h} -weight generators have weights and degrees which can be easily computed. To each $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$ is associated an homogeneous and \mathfrak{h} -weight generator of $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ which has weight δ_Γ given by (2) of Sect. 4 and a degree ∂_Γ given by (5) of Sect. 4.

Below we give for completeness weights and degrees of a set of homogeneous and \mathfrak{h} -weight algebraically independent generators of $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$, each of them corresponding to an $\langle ij \rangle$ -orbit Γ_r in $E(\pi')$.

Assume first that $\ell \geq 1$:

$\langle ij \rangle$ -orbit in $E(\pi')$	Weight	Degree
$\Gamma_u = \{\alpha_u, \alpha_{n-1-2\ell-u}\}$ $1 \leq u \leq (n-2-2\ell)/2$	$-2\varpi_{n-1-2\ell}$	$n-2\ell+2u$
$\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\}$ $v = n-1-2k, 1 \leq k \leq \ell$	$-2\varpi_v$	$v+1$
$\Gamma_v = \{\alpha_v\}$ $v = n-2k, 2 \leq k \leq \ell$	$-\varpi_{v-1} - \varpi_{v+1}$	$v+1$
$\Gamma_{n-2} = \{\alpha_{n-2}\}$	$-\varpi_{n-3} - \varpi_{n-1} - \varpi_n$	$n-1$
$\Gamma_{n-1} = \{\alpha_{n-1}\}$	$-2\varpi_{n-1}$	$n/2$
$\Gamma_n = \{\alpha_n\}$	$-2\varpi_n$	$n/2$

Finally assume that $\ell = 0$, that is, $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n\}$:

$\langle ij \rangle$ -orbit in $E(\pi')$	Weight	Degree
$\Gamma_u = \{\alpha_u, \alpha_{n-1-u}\}, 1 \leq u \leq (n-2)/2$	$-2(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)$	$n+2u$
$\Gamma_{n-1} = \{\alpha_{n-1}\}$	$-2\varpi_{n-1}$	$n/2$
$\Gamma_n = \{\alpha_n\}$	$-2\varpi_n$	$n/2$

11.6.

Remark. Assume now that \mathfrak{g} is simple of type D_n with n odd and consider the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_\ell$ with $0 \leq \ell \leq (n-2)/2$. Assume that we have found an adapted pair (h, y) for \mathfrak{p}_Λ with $y = \sum_{\gamma \in S} x_\gamma, S \subset \Delta^+ \sqcup \Delta_{\pi'}^-$ and $h \in \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$.

First assume that $\ell = 0$. Then by (4) of Sect. 4, $-(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)$ must be a weight of $Sy(\mathfrak{p})$, hence $(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)(h) = 0$ by definition of the canonical truncation (see 2.3). It follows that the set S cannot contain β_π^0 that is, cannot contain all β_i , for $1 \leq i \leq (n-1)/2$, as in the case n even. Indeed one has that $\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n = \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_{n-1} = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_{(n-1)/2}$ and then otherwise we would have both $(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)(h) = 0$ and $(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)(h) = (-1) \times (n-1)/2$, a contradiction.

Assume now that $\ell \geq 1$. Then by (4) of Sect. 4, for all $1 \leq k \leq \ell$, $-2\varpi_{n-1-2k}$ must be a weight of $Sy(\mathfrak{p})$, hence by the same argument as above, we cannot have that S contains $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{(n-1-2k)/2}$.

12. Case 2b for type D

Here we consider the parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p}_0 of \mathfrak{g} of type D_n , with $n \geq 5$, n odd. This is the parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} associated with the subset $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n\}$. Then it is the case 2b of subsection 1.7.

We set $S = S^+ \sqcup S^-$ with

$$S^+ = \{\beta_i = \varepsilon_{2i-1} + \varepsilon_{2i}; 1 \leq i \leq (n-3)/2, \tilde{\beta}_{(n-1)/2} = \varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_n, \tilde{\beta}_{(n+1)/2} = \varepsilon_{n-2} - \varepsilon_n\}$$

and

$$S^- = \{-\beta'_1 = \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_1, -\tilde{\beta}'_i = \varepsilon_{n-i-1} - \varepsilon_i; 2 \leq i \leq (n-3)/2\}.$$

For all $1 \leq i \leq (n-3)/2$, we set $\Gamma_{\beta_i} = H_{\beta_i}$ and we set $\Gamma_{-\beta'_1} = -H_{\beta'_1}$, where H_{β_i} , resp. $H_{\beta'_1}$, is the largest Heisenberg set with centre $\beta_i \in \beta_\pi$, resp. $\beta'_1 \in \beta_{\pi'}$, which is included in Δ^+ , resp. in $\Delta_{\pi'}^+$, as defined in subsection 6.1.

We set

$$\Gamma_{\tilde{\beta}_{(n-1)/2}} = \{\tilde{\beta}_{(n-1)/2}, \varepsilon_{n-2} - \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n\}, \Gamma_{\tilde{\beta}_{(n+1)/2}} = \{\tilde{\beta}_{(n+1)/2}\}.$$

For all $2 \leq i \leq (n-3)/2$, we set

$$\Gamma_{-\tilde{\beta}'_i} = \{-\tilde{\beta}'_i, \varepsilon_{n-i-1} - \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_i; i+1 \leq j \leq n-i-2\}.$$

We also set

$$T = \{\varepsilon_{n-2} - \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{2i-1} - \varepsilon_{2i}; 1 \leq i \leq (n-3)/2\}$$

and

$$T^* = \{\varepsilon_{n-2} - \varepsilon_i; 3 \leq i \leq n-3\}.$$

By construction for all $\gamma \in S^+$, resp. $\gamma \in S^-$, we have that $\Gamma_\gamma \subset \Delta^+$, resp. $\Gamma_\gamma \subset \Delta_{\pi'}^-$, is a Heisenberg set with centre γ and all the sets Γ_γ , for $\gamma \in S$, together with the sets T and T^* are disjoint. We easily verify that condition (iv) of Proposition 6.2 is satisfied, using i) of Lemma 6.1. Conditions (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 6.2 follow easily from ii), iii) and iv) of Lemma 6.1.

12.1. Condition (i) of Proposition 6.2

This condition follows from the lemma below.

Lemma. $S|_{\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda}$ is a basis for \mathfrak{h}_Λ^* .

Proof. First we observe that $\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-1}(\varepsilon_n)$, where recall $\mathcal{H} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ is the isomorphism induced by the Killing form on $\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}$ by Sect. 3.

Then $\dim \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda = \dim \mathfrak{h}' + 1 = n - 1$. We have that $|S| = n - 1$.

Now set $s_i = \beta_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq (n - 3)/2$, $s_{(n-1)/2} = \varepsilon_n$, $s_{(n+1)/2} = \varepsilon_{n-2}$, $s_{(n+3)/2} = -\beta'_1$ and then $s_{(n+3)/2+j} = -\tilde{\beta}'_{j+1}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq (n - 5)/2$ and we take the elements of S in this order.

For a basis (h_j) of \mathfrak{h}_Λ we take, in this order:

$$\alpha_{2i}^\vee, 1 \leq i \leq (n - 3)/2, \mathcal{H}^{-1}(\varepsilon_n), \alpha_{n-2}^\vee, \alpha_{2j-1}^\vee, \alpha_{n-2-2j}^\vee, 1 \leq j \leq [(n - 1)/4]$$

without repetitions. Then it suffices to prove that $\det(s_i(h_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \neq 0$.

We easily check that $(s_i(h_j)) = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ * & B \end{pmatrix}$ where A , resp. B , is a lower triangular matrix of size $(n + 1)/2$, resp. $(n - 3)/2$, with 1, resp. -1 , on the diagonal. Hence the lemma. \square

12.2. Condition (v) of Proposition 6.2

Set $y = \sum_{\gamma \in S} x_\gamma$. Condition (v) of Proposition 6.2 follows from the lemma below.

Lemma. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $3 \leq k \leq n - 3$. Then $x_{\varepsilon_{n-2}-\varepsilon_k} \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) + \mathfrak{g}_T$.

Proof. Suppose first that k is odd ($3 \leq k \leq n - 4$) and set $\gamma_1 = \varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_n \in S$, $\gamma'_1 = \varepsilon_{k+1} - \varepsilon_{n-2} \in \Delta^+_\pi \setminus S$, $\gamma_2 = \varepsilon_{n-2} - \varepsilon_n \in S$, $\gamma'_2 = \varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_k \in \Delta^- \setminus S$, $\gamma_3 = \varepsilon_{k+1} + \varepsilon_k \in S$, $\gamma'_3 = -\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_n \in \Delta^- \setminus S$. We will show that the hypotheses of Lemma and Proposition 6.3 are satisfied. We have that $\gamma_1 + \gamma'_1 = \varepsilon_{k+1} + \varepsilon_n \in \Delta^+ \setminus S$, $\gamma_2 + \gamma'_2 = \varepsilon_{n-2} - \varepsilon_k \in \Delta^-_\pi \setminus S$, $\gamma_3 + \gamma'_3 = \varepsilon_{k+1} - \varepsilon_n \in \Delta^+ \setminus S$. Moreover $\gamma_2 + \gamma'_2 = \gamma_1 + \gamma'_3$, $\gamma_3 + \gamma'_3 = \gamma_2 + \gamma'_1$, $\gamma_1 + \gamma'_1 + \gamma_2 \in \Delta$ and $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \notin \Delta$, $\gamma_2 + \gamma_3 \notin \Delta$, $\gamma_1 + \gamma_3 \notin \Delta$. Hence, by Lemma 6.3, up to rescaling some root vectors in a complement of \mathfrak{g}_S in \mathfrak{g} , we have that

$$\begin{cases} \text{ad } x_{\varepsilon_{k+1}-\varepsilon_{n-2}}(y) = x_{\varepsilon_{k+1}+\varepsilon_n} + x_{\varepsilon_{k+1}-\varepsilon_n} + X \\ \text{ad } x_{\varepsilon_n-\varepsilon_k}(y) = x_{\varepsilon_{k+1}+\varepsilon_n} + x_{\varepsilon_{n-2}-\varepsilon_k} \\ \text{ad } x_{-\varepsilon_k-\varepsilon_n}(y) = x_{\varepsilon_{k+1}-\varepsilon_n} + x_{\varepsilon_{n-2}-\varepsilon_k} \end{cases}$$

with $X = x_{\varepsilon_{n-2}-k-\varepsilon_{n-2}} = \text{ad } x_{-\varepsilon_{n-k-3}-\varepsilon_{n-2}}(y) \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) + \mathfrak{g}_T$ if $3 \leq k \leq (n - 5)/2$, and $X = 0$ otherwise. Hence $x_{\varepsilon_{n-2}-\varepsilon_k} \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) + \mathfrak{g}_T$ for k odd, $3 \leq k \leq n - 4$. A similar computation shows that $x_{\varepsilon_{n-2}-\varepsilon_k} \in \text{ad } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) + \mathfrak{g}_T$ for k even, $4 \leq k \leq n - 3$. \square

12.3. Condition (vi) of Proposition 6.2

It follows from lemma below.

Lemma. We have that $|T| = \text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda = |E(\pi')|$.

Proof. The set $E(\pi')$ of $\langle ij \rangle$ -orbits in π is the following:

$$E(\pi') = \left\{ \Gamma_u = \{ \alpha_u, \alpha_{n-1-u} \}, 1 \leq u \leq (n-3)/2, \Gamma_{(n-1)/2} = \{ \alpha_{(n-1)/2} \}, \right. \\ \left. \Gamma_{n-1} = \{ \alpha_{n-1} \}, \Gamma_n = \{ \alpha_n \} \right\}.$$

Then $|E(\pi')| = (n-3)/2 + 3 = (n+3)/2$ and it is equal to $|T|$. \square

12.4. The semisimple element of the adapted pair

All conditions of Proposition 6.2 are satisfied. Hence $y = \sum_{\gamma \in S} x_\gamma$ is regular in \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* and there exists a uniquely defined $h \in \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$ such that (h, y) is an adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ .

Below we give the semisimple element h :

$$h = - \sum_{k=1}^{(n-3)/2} k \alpha_{2k}^\vee + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor (n-1)/4 \rfloor} ((n-1)/2 + k) \alpha_{2k-1}^\vee + \\ \sum_{k=\lfloor (n-1)/4 \rfloor + 1}^{(n-3)/2} (3(n-1)/2 + 1 - 3k) \alpha_{2k-1}^\vee - ((n-1)/2) \alpha_{n-2}^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}' \subset \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda.$$

12.5. Computation of the improved upper bound

However for $n \geq 7$, both bounds in (4) of Sect. 4 do not coincide since for $\Gamma = \{ \alpha_2, \alpha_{n-3} \} \in E(\pi')$ one has $d_\Gamma = \varpi_2 + \varpi_{n-3} \in \mathcal{B}_\pi$ and $d'_\Gamma = \varpi'_2 + \varpi'_{n-3} \in \mathcal{B}_{\pi'}$, hence $\varepsilon_\Gamma = 1/2$. We then need to compute the improved upper bound mentioned in Sect. 5.

Lemma. We have that

$$\text{ch}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)) = \left(1 - e^{-2(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)} \right)^{-(n-3)/2} \left(1 - e^{-(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)} \right)^{-3}.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that (8) of Sect. 5 holds. Recall the $\langle ij \rangle$ -orbits computed in the proof of Lemma 12.3 and the lower bound for $\text{ch}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda))$ given by (4) in Sect. 4, with the weights δ_Γ , for all $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$, given by (2). For $1 \leq u \leq (n-3)/2$, we have that $\delta_{\Gamma_u} = -2(\varpi_u + \varpi_{n-1-u}) + 2(\varpi'_u + \varpi'_{n-1-u}) = -2(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)$. Then $\delta_{\Gamma_{(n-1)/2}} = -2\varpi_{(n-1)/2} + 2\varpi'_{(n-1)/2} = -(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)$. Finally observe that $j(\Gamma_{n-1}) = \Gamma_n$ and then $\delta_{\Gamma_{n-1}} = -(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n) = \delta_{\Gamma_n}$. It follows that the lower bound for $\text{ch}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda))$ is equal to the right hand side of equality in the lemma. Now we have to compute the improved upper bound and for this purpose we have to compute, for all $\gamma \in T$, the $s(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Q}S$ such that $\gamma + s(\gamma)$ vanishes on \mathfrak{h}_Λ , that is, we have to determine $s(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Q}S$ such that

$\gamma + s(\gamma) = k(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Q}$ (in fact $k \in \mathbb{N}$). Recall the sets S and T given in the beginning of this Section. For $1 \leq i \leq (n-3)/2$, set $\gamma_i = \varepsilon_{2i-1} - \varepsilon_{2i}$. Assume first that $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor (n-1)/4 \rfloor$. Then one checks that

$$\begin{aligned} s(\gamma_i) = & 2(\varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_1) + (\varepsilon_{n-2} - \varepsilon_n) + (\varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_n) + \\ & 2 \sum_{j=2}^{2i-1} (\varepsilon_{n-j-1} - \varepsilon_j) + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (\varepsilon_{2j-1} + \varepsilon_{2j}) + \\ & 2 \sum_{j=i+1}^{(n-1)/2-i} (\varepsilon_{2j-1} + \varepsilon_{2j}) + 3(\varepsilon_{2i-1} + \varepsilon_{2i}) \in \mathbb{N}S \end{aligned}$$

so that $\gamma_i + s(\gamma_i) = 2(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)$.

Now assume that $\lfloor (n-1)/4 \rfloor < i \leq (n-3)/2$. Then one checks that

$$\begin{aligned} s(\gamma_i) = & 2 \sum_{j=2}^{n-1-2i} (\varepsilon_{n-1-j} - \varepsilon_j) + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-1)/2-i} (\varepsilon_{2j-1} + \varepsilon_{2j}) + \\ & 2 \sum_{j=(n-1)/2-i+1}^{i-1} (\varepsilon_{2j-1} + \varepsilon_{2j}) + (\varepsilon_{2i-1} + \varepsilon_{2i}) + \\ & 2(\varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_1) + (\varepsilon_{n-2} - \varepsilon_n) + (\varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_n) \in \mathbb{N}S \end{aligned}$$

so that $\gamma_i + s(\gamma_i) = 2(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)$.

For $\gamma = \varepsilon_{n-2} - \varepsilon_2 \in T$, one checks that $s(\gamma) = 2(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + (\varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{n-4} + \varepsilon_{n-3}) + (\varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_1) \in \mathbb{N}S$ so that $\gamma + s(\gamma) = \varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n$.

For $\gamma = \varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_{n-1} \in T$, one checks that $s(\gamma) = (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + (\varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{n-4} + \varepsilon_{n-3}) \in \mathbb{N}S$ so that $\gamma + s(\gamma) = \varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n$.

Finally for $\gamma = \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n \in T$, one checks that $s(\gamma) = (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + (\varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{n-4} + \varepsilon_{n-3}) + (\varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_n) \in \mathbb{N}S$ so that $\gamma + s(\gamma) = \varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n$.

We deduce that the improved upper bound is equal to the right hand side of equality in the lemma. Hence the lemma, by what we said in Sect. 5. \square

12.6. Existence of a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action

By what we said in Sect. 5 (see also Remark 3b of subsection 6.2) we have the following Theorem.

Theorem. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra of type D_n , with $n \geq 5$, n odd, and \mathfrak{p} be the standard parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} associated with the subset $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n\}$ of the set π of simple roots of \mathfrak{g} . Then there exists a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of the canonical truncation \mathfrak{p}_Λ of \mathfrak{p} and it follows that the algebra of symmetric invariants $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ is a polynomial algebra over \mathbb{k} .*

12.7. Weights and degrees of a set of generators

By what we said in Sect. 5 to each $\gamma \in T$ is associated an element p_γ such that $\{p_\gamma; \gamma \in T\}$ is a set of algebraically independent homogeneous and \mathfrak{h} -weight generators

of the polynomial algebra $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$. Moreover for all $\gamma \in T$, p_γ has a weight $wt(p_\gamma)$ equal to $-(\gamma + s(\gamma))$ and a degree $\deg(p_\gamma)$ equal to $1 + |s(\gamma)|$. Below we give the weight $wt(p_\gamma)$ and the degree $\deg(p_\gamma)$ of p_γ , for all $\gamma \in T$. Set, for all $1 \leq i \leq (n - 3)/2$, $\gamma_i = \varepsilon_{2i-1} - \varepsilon_{2i}$.

$\gamma \in T$	$wt(p_\gamma)$	$\deg(p_\gamma)$
$\gamma_i, 1 \leq i \leq [(n - 1)/4]$	$-2(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)$	$n - 1 + 4i$
$\gamma_i, [(n - 1)/4] + 1 \leq i \leq (n - 3)/2$	$-2(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)$	$3n - 4i - 1$
$\gamma = \varepsilon_{n-2} - \varepsilon_2$	$-(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)$	$(n + 3)/2$
$\gamma = \varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_{n-1}$	$-(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)$	$(n - 1)/2$
$\gamma = \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n$	$-(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)$	$(n + 1)/2$

13. Case 2c for type D

In this Section we consider a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of type D_n , $n \geq 5$, n odd and the standard parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_1$ associated with $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_{n-3}, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n\}$. It corresponds to the case 2c of subsection 1.7. As in previous case, both bounds in (4) of Sect. 4 do not coincide. Hence the existence of an adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ will not produce immediately a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ . We will have to compute the improved upper bound mentioned in Sect. 5 and show that the latter coincides with the lower bound in (4), namely that equality (8) holds. Then by Remark 3b of subsection 6.2 this will produce a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ .

Recall the elements $\beta_i = \varepsilon_{2i-1} + \varepsilon_{2i}$ of the Kostant cascade β_π of \mathfrak{g} . We set $S = S^+ \sqcup S^-$ with

$$S^+ = \{\beta_i, 1 \leq i \leq (n - 5)/2, \varepsilon_{n-4} + \varepsilon_{n-2}, \varepsilon_{n-3} + \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-3} - \varepsilon_n\}$$

and

$$S^- = \{\varepsilon_{n-3-k} - \varepsilon_k, 1 \leq k \leq (n - 5)/2\}.$$

For all $1 \leq i \leq (n - 5)/2$, we set $\Gamma_{\beta_i} = H_{\beta_i}$ the largest Heisenberg set with centre β_i which is included in Δ^+ , as defined in subsection 6.1.

We also set

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\varepsilon_{n-4} + \varepsilon_{n-2}} &= \{\varepsilon_{n-4} + \varepsilon_{n-2}, \varepsilon_{n-4} + \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_{n-2} - \varepsilon_{n-1}, \\ &\quad \varepsilon_{n-4} + \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-2} - \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-4} - \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_{n-1}, \\ &\quad \varepsilon_{n-4} - \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-4} - \varepsilon_{n-3}, \varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_{n-3}\}, \\ \Gamma_{\varepsilon_{n-3} + \varepsilon_n} &= \{\varepsilon_{n-3} + \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-3} - \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n\}, \\ \Gamma_{\varepsilon_{n-3} - \varepsilon_n} &= \{\varepsilon_{n-3} - \varepsilon_n\} \end{aligned}$$

and for all $1 \leq k \leq (n - 5)/2$,

$$\Gamma_{\varepsilon_{n-3-k}-\varepsilon_k} = \{\varepsilon_{n-3-k} - \varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_{n-3-k} - \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_k; k + 1 \leq j \leq n - 4 - k\}.$$

Finally we set

$$T^* = \{\varepsilon_{n-3} - \varepsilon_k; 1 \leq k \leq n - 2, k \neq n - 3\}$$

and

$$T = \{\varepsilon_{2i-1} - \varepsilon_{2i}; 1 \leq i \leq (n - 5)/2, \varepsilon_{n-4} + \varepsilon_{n-3}, \varepsilon_{n-4} - \varepsilon_{n-2}, \varepsilon_{n-3} + \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_{n-2}\}.$$

By construction for all $\gamma \in S^+$, resp. $\gamma \in S^-$, we have that $\Gamma_\gamma \subset \Delta^+$, resp. $\Gamma_\gamma \subset \Delta_{\pi'}^-$, is a Heisenberg set with centre γ and all the sets Γ_γ , for $\gamma \in S$, together with the sets T and T^* are disjoint. We easily verify that condition (iv) of Proposition 6.2 is satisfied, using i) of Lemma 6.1. Conditions (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 6.2 follow easily from ii), iii) and iv) of Lemma 6.1.

13.1. Conditions (i) of Proposition 6.2

We have the following lemma.

Lemma. We have that $S|_{\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda}$ is a basis for \mathfrak{h}_Λ^* .

Proof. First as in previous Section, one has that $\dim \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda = \dim \mathfrak{h}' + 1$ since $\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-1}(\varpi_n - \varpi_{n-1}) = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-1}(\varepsilon_n)$ by Section 3. We check that $|S| = n - 2 = \dim \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$.

Set $s_i = \beta_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq (n - 5)/2$, $s_{(n-3)/2} = \varepsilon_{n-3}$, $s_{(n-1)/2} = \varepsilon_n$, $s_{(n+1)/2} = \varepsilon_{n-4} + \varepsilon_{n-2}$, $s_{(n+1)/2+k} = \varepsilon_{n-3-k} - \varepsilon_k$, $1 \leq k \leq (n - 5)/2$ and we take the elements of S in this order.

For a basis (h_v) of \mathfrak{h}_Λ , we take in this order,

$$\alpha_{2j}^\vee; 1 \leq j \leq (n - 5)/2, \alpha_{n-4}^\vee, \mathcal{H}^{-1}(\varepsilon_n), \alpha_{n-2}^\vee, \alpha_{2k-1}^\vee, \alpha_{n-4-2k}^\vee; 1 \leq k \leq [(n - 3)/4]$$

without repetitions for the last coroots.

Then it suffices to show that $\det(s_u(h_v))_{1 \leq u, v \leq n-2} \neq 0$.

One can easily verify that

$$(s_u(h_v)) = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & 1 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & B \end{pmatrix}$$

where A , resp. B , is a lower triangular square matrix of size $(n - 5)/2$, with one, resp. -1 , on its diagonal. Hence the lemma. \square

13.2. Condition (v) of Proposition 6.2

It follows from lemma below. Set $y = \sum_{\alpha \in S} x_\alpha$.

Lemma. For all $1 \leq k \leq n - 2, k \neq n - 3$, we have that $x_{\varepsilon_{n-3}-\varepsilon_k} \in ad \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) + \mathfrak{g}_T$.

Proof. We will use Lemma and Proposition of subsection 6.3. First assume that $k = n - 2$ and set

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1 = \varepsilon_{n-4} + \varepsilon_{n-2} \in S, \gamma'_1 = -\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n-2} \notin S \\ \gamma_2 = \varepsilon_{n-3} + \varepsilon_n \in S, \gamma'_2 = \varepsilon_{n-4} - \varepsilon_{n-3} \notin S \\ \gamma_3 = \varepsilon_{n-3} - \varepsilon_n \in S, \gamma'_3 = \varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n-2} \notin S. \end{cases}$$

One checks easily that all conditions of Lemma 6.3 are satisfied. Moreover since one can take the vectors X, X', X'' in Proposition 6.3 equal to zero, one deduces that $x_{\varepsilon_{n-3}-\varepsilon_{n-2}} \in ad \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) + \mathfrak{g}_T$.

Assume now that $k = n - 4$ and set

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1 = \varepsilon_{n-3} + \varepsilon_n \in S, \gamma'_1 = -\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n-4} \notin S \\ \gamma_2 = \varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_{n-4} \in S, \gamma'_2 = \varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n-4} \notin S \\ \gamma_3 = \varepsilon_{n-3} - \varepsilon_n \in S, \gamma'_3 = \varepsilon_{n-2} - \varepsilon_{n-3} \notin S. \end{cases}$$

One checks that all conditions of Lemma 6.3 are satisfied. Moreover since one can take the vectors X, X', X'' in Proposition 6.3 equal to zero, one deduces that $x_{\varepsilon_{n-3}-\varepsilon_{n-4}} \in ad \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) + \mathfrak{g}_T$.

Assume that $1 \leq k \leq n - 6, k$ odd, and set

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1 = \varepsilon_{n-3} + \varepsilon_n \in S, \gamma'_1 = -\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_n \notin S \\ \gamma_2 = \varepsilon_k + \varepsilon_{k+1} \in S, \gamma'_2 = \varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_k \notin S \\ \gamma_3 = \varepsilon_{n-3} - \varepsilon_n \in S, \gamma'_3 = \varepsilon_{k+1} - \varepsilon_{n-3} \notin S. \end{cases}$$

One checks that all conditions of Lemma 6.3 are satisfied. Moreover since one can take in Proposition 6.3, $X = X' = 0$ and $X'' = x_{\varepsilon_{n-4}-k-\varepsilon_{n-3}} = ad x_{-\varepsilon_{n-5}-k-\varepsilon_{n-3}}(y)$ if $k \leq (n - 7)/2, X'' = 0$ otherwise, one deduces that $x_{\varepsilon_{n-3}-\varepsilon_k} \in ad \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) + \mathfrak{g}_T$.

A similar computation for $2 \leq k \leq n - 5, k$ even, shows that $x_{\varepsilon_{n-3}-\varepsilon_k} \in ad \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) + \mathfrak{g}_T$. \square

13.3. Condition (vi) of Proposition 6.2

It follows from lemma below.

Lemma. One has that $|T| = \text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda = |E(\pi')|$.

Proof. Recall that $E(\pi')$ is the set of $\langle ij \rangle$ -orbits in π . One easily checks that

$$E(\pi') = \left\{ \Gamma_u = \{ \alpha_u, \alpha_{n-3-u} \}; 1 \leq u \leq (n-5)/2, \Gamma_{(n-3)/2} = \{ \alpha_{(n-3)/2} \}, \right. \\ \left. \Gamma_{n-3} = \{ \alpha_{n-3} \}, \Gamma_{n-2} = \{ \alpha_{n-2} \}, \Gamma_{n-1} = \{ \alpha_{n-1} \}, \Gamma_n = \{ \alpha_n \} \right\}.$$

Hence $\text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda = |E(\pi')| = (n-5)/2 + 5 = (n+5)/2$, which is equal to $|T|$ (see beginning of this Section). \square

13.4. *The semisimple element of the adapted pair*

All conditions of Proposition 6.2 are satisfied. Hence $y = \sum_{\gamma \in S} x_\gamma$ is regular in \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* and there exists a uniquely defined semisimple element $h \in \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$ such that $\text{ad } h(y) = -y$, namely such that (h, y) is an adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ . Below we give the semisimple element h :

$$h = - \sum_{k=1}^{(n-5)/2} k \alpha_{2k}^\vee + \sum_{k=1}^{[(n-3)/4]} ((n-3)/2 + k) \alpha_{2k-1}^\vee + \\ \sum_{k=[(n-3)/4]+1}^{(n-5)/2} (3(n-3)/2 + 1 - 3k) \alpha_{2k-1}^\vee + \\ \alpha_{n-4}^\vee - ((n-1)/2) \alpha_{n-2}^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}' \subset \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda.$$

13.5. *Computation of the improved upper bound*

Here both bounds in (4) of Sect. 4 do not coincide since, for $\Gamma = \Gamma_{n-3} \in E(\pi')$, we have that $\varepsilon_{\Gamma_{n-3}} = 1/2$ (recall (3) of subsection 4.3). Indeed by subsection 4.3, we have that $d_{\Gamma_{n-3}} = \varpi_{n-3} \in \mathcal{B}_\pi$ and $d'_{\Gamma_{n-3}} = 0 \in \mathcal{B}_{\pi'}$. Hence the existence of an adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ is not sufficient to assure the existence of a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ . We will show below that (8) of Sect. 5 holds and by what we said in Sect. 5 it will be sufficient to provide a Weierstrass section.

Lemma. *We have that*

$$\text{ch}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)) = (1 - e^{-2\varpi_{n-3}})^{-(n-3)/2} \times (1 - e^{-\varpi_{n-3}})^{-1} \times \\ (1 - e^{-(\varpi_{n-3} + \varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)})^{-1} \times (1 - e^{-(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)})^{-2}.$$

Proof. We will prove that the improved upper bound mentioned in Sect. 5 is equal to the lower bound appearing in left hand side of (4) of Sect. 4, namely that (8) of Sect. 5 holds.

Recall that the lower bound for $\text{ch}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda))$ is equal to $\prod_{\Gamma \in E(\pi')} (1 - e^{\delta_\Gamma})^{-1}$ where δ_Γ is given by (2) of subsection 4.2.

Recall the set $E(\pi')$ computed in the proof of Lemma 13.3 and that for all $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$ one has that $i(\Gamma \cap \pi') = j(\Gamma) \cap \pi'$ (by 4.2). Then for $1 \leq u \leq (n - 5)/2$, and $\Gamma_u = \{\alpha_u, \alpha_{n-3-u}\}$, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\Gamma_u} &= -2(\varpi_u + \varpi_{n-3-u}) + 2(\varpi'_u + \varpi'_{n-3-u}) \\ &= -2(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_u + \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_{n-3-u}) + \\ &\quad 2(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_{n-3} + \dots + \varepsilon_u - \varepsilon_{n-2-u}) \\ &= -2\varpi_{n-3}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\Gamma_{(n-3)/2} = \{\alpha_{(n-3)/2}\}$, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\Gamma_{(n-3)/2}} &= -2\varpi_{(n-3)/2} + 2\varpi'_{(n-3)/2} \\ &= -2(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_{(n-3)/2}) + \\ &\quad 2(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_{n-3} + \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_{n-4} + \dots + \varepsilon_{(n-3)/2} - \varepsilon_{(n-1)/2}) \\ &= -(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_{n-3}) = -\varpi_{n-3}. \end{aligned}$$

Then for $\Gamma_{n-3} = \{\alpha_{n-3}\}$, one has that $\delta_{\Gamma_{n-3}} = -2\varpi_{n-3}$. For $\Gamma_{n-2} = \{\alpha_{n-2}\}$, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\Gamma_{n-2}} &= -2\varpi_{n-2} + 2\varpi'_{n-2} \\ &= -2(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_{n-2}) + (\varepsilon_{n-2} - \varepsilon_{n-1}) \\ &= -2(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_{n-3}) - \varepsilon_{n-2} - \varepsilon_{n-1} \\ &= -(\varpi_{n-3} + \varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n). \end{aligned}$$

Finally for $\Gamma_{n-1} = \{\alpha_{n-1}\}$ and for $\Gamma_n = \{\alpha_n\} = j(\Gamma_{n-1})$, one has that $\delta_{\Gamma_{n-1}} = \delta_{\Gamma_n} = -(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)$. Hence the right hand side of equality of the lemma is equal to the lower bound for $\text{ch}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda))$.

Now the improved upper bound for $\text{ch}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda))$ is equal to $\prod_{\gamma \in T} (1 - e^{-(\gamma+s(\gamma))})^{-1}$, where we have that $ad \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda(y) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_T = \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda^*$ with $\dim \mathfrak{g}_T = \text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda$ and where, for all $\gamma \in T$, $s(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Q}S$ is such that $\gamma+s(\gamma)$ vanishes on \mathfrak{h}_Λ , that is, $\gamma+s(\gamma) = k\varpi_{n-3} + k'(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)$, with $k, k' \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Set, for all $1 \leq i \leq (n - 5)/2$, $\gamma_i = \varepsilon_{2i-1} - \varepsilon_{2i} \in T$.

Assume first that $1 \leq i \leq [(n - 3)/4]$. Then one has that

$$\begin{aligned} s(\gamma_i) &= (\varepsilon_{n-3} - \varepsilon_n) + (\varepsilon_{n-3} + \varepsilon_n) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{2i-1} (\varepsilon_{n-3-j} - \varepsilon_j) + \\ &\quad 4 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (\varepsilon_{2j-1} + \varepsilon_{2j}) + 2 \sum_{j=i+1}^{(n-3)/2-i} (\varepsilon_{2j-1} + \varepsilon_{2j}) + 3(\varepsilon_{2i-1} + \varepsilon_{2i}) \end{aligned}$$

so that $\gamma_i + s(\gamma_i) = 2\varpi_{n-3}$.

Now for $[(n - 3)/4] + 1 \leq i \leq (n - 5)/2$, one has that

$$\begin{aligned}
 s(\gamma_i) &= (\varepsilon_{n-3} - \varepsilon_n) + (\varepsilon_{n-3} + \varepsilon_n) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-3-2i} (\varepsilon_{n-3-j} - \varepsilon_j) \\
 &\quad + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-3)/2-i} (\varepsilon_{2j-1} + \varepsilon_{2j}) + 2 \sum_{j=(n-3)/2-i+1}^{i-1} (\varepsilon_{2j-1} + \varepsilon_{2j}) \\
 &\quad + (\varepsilon_{2i-1} + \varepsilon_{2i})
 \end{aligned}$$

so that $\gamma_i + s(\gamma_i) = 2\varpi_{n-3}$.

For $\gamma = \varepsilon_{n-4} + \varepsilon_{n-3} \in T$, one has that $s(\gamma) = (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{n-6} + \varepsilon_{n-5})$, so that $\gamma + s(\gamma) = \varpi_{n-3}$.

For $\gamma = \varepsilon_{n-4} - \varepsilon_{n-2} \in T$, one has that $s(\gamma) = 2((\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{n-6} + \varepsilon_{n-5})) + (\varepsilon_{n-4} + \varepsilon_{n-2}) + (\varepsilon_{n-3} + \varepsilon_n) + (\varepsilon_{n-3} - \varepsilon_n)$ so that $\gamma + s(\gamma) = 2\varpi_{n-3}$.

For $\gamma = \varepsilon_{n-3} + \varepsilon_{n-1} \in T$, one has that $s(\gamma) = (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{n-6} + \varepsilon_{n-5}) + (\varepsilon_{n-4} + \varepsilon_{n-2})$ so that $\gamma + s(\gamma) = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_{n-3} + \varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_{n-1} = \varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n$.

For $\gamma = \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n \in T$, one has that $s(\gamma) = (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{n-6} + \varepsilon_{n-5}) + (\varepsilon_{n-4} + \varepsilon_{n-2}) + (\varepsilon_{n-3} + \varepsilon_n)$ so that $\gamma + s(\gamma) = \varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n$.

Finally for $\gamma = \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_{n-2} \in T$, one has that $s(\gamma) = 2((\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \dots + (\varepsilon_{n-6} + \varepsilon_{n-5})) + 2(\varepsilon_{n-4} + \varepsilon_{n-2}) + (\varepsilon_{n-3} + \varepsilon_n) + (\varepsilon_{n-3} - \varepsilon_n)$ so that $\gamma + s(\gamma) = 2(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_{n-3}) + \varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_{n-1} = \varpi_{n-3} + \varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n$. Thus we obtain that the improved upper bound is also equal to the right hand side of the equality in the lemma, which gives the lemma, by what we said in Sect. 5. \square

13.6. Existence of a Weierstrass section

By the above (see also Remark 3b of subsection 6.2) one can deduce the following Theorem.

Theorem. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra of type D_n , with $n \geq 5$, n odd, and let \mathfrak{p} be a standard parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} associated with the subset of simple roots $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_{n-3}, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n\}$. Then there exists a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of the canonical truncation \mathfrak{p}_Λ of \mathfrak{p} and it follows that $Sy(\mathfrak{p}) = Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ is a polynomial algebra over \mathbb{k} .*

13.7. Weights and degrees of a set of generators

As in subsection 12.7 we give below the weights and degrees of each element of a set $\{p_\gamma; \gamma \in T\}$ of homogeneous and \mathfrak{h} -weight algebraically independent generators of the polynomial algebra $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$. Recall that the weight $wt(p_\gamma)$ of p_γ is equal to $-(\gamma + s(\gamma))$ and the degree $\deg(p_\gamma)$ of p_γ is equal to $1 + |s(\gamma)|$ and that we set $\gamma_i = \varepsilon_{2i-1} - \varepsilon_{2i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq (n - 5)/2$.

$\gamma \in T$	$wt(p_\gamma)$	$\deg(p_\gamma)$
γ_i $1 \leq i \leq [(n-3)/4]$	$-2\varpi_{n-3}$	$n-3+4i$
γ_i $[(n-3)/4]+1 \leq i \leq (n-5)/2$	$-2\varpi_{n-3}$	$3n-4i-7$
$\gamma = \varepsilon_{n-4} + \varepsilon_{n-3}$	$-\varpi_{n-3}$	$(n-3)/2$
$\gamma = \varepsilon_{n-4} - \varepsilon_{n-2}$	$-2\varpi_{n-3}$	$n-1$
$\gamma = \varepsilon_{n-3} + \varepsilon_{n-1}$	$-(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)$	$(n-1)/2$
$\gamma = \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n$	$-(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)$	$(n+1)/2$
$\gamma = \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_{n-2}$	$-(\varpi_{n-3} + \varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)$	n

14. Case 3 for type D

Here we consider the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}_{s,\ell}$ of \mathfrak{g} simple of type D_n with n odd, $n \geq 5$, s odd and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s + 2\ell \leq n - 2$ (note that in this case one has that $s + 2\ell \neq n - 3$, hence it does not coincide with some $\mathfrak{p}_{\ell'}$). This corresponds to the case 3 of subsection 1.7 that is, the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{q}_{s,\ell}$ of \mathfrak{g} associated with $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}, \dots, \alpha_{s+2\ell}, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n\}$.

When $s+2\ell < n-2$, there exists a connected component of π' of type $A_{n-2-s-2\ell}$ which we denote by π'_2 . Then, when moreover $s \geq 3$, there exist two connected components of π' of type A_k with $k \geq 2$, namely π'_1 of type A_{s-1} and π'_2 above. Denote by $\beta_{\pi'_k} \subset \beta_{\pi'}$ the Kostant cascade of the simple factor of \mathfrak{g}' associated with π'_k for $k \in \{1, 2\}$. We have that $\beta_{\pi'_1} = \{\beta'_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{s+1-i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq (s-1)/2\}$ and $\beta_{\pi'_2} = \{\beta''_i = \varepsilon_{s+2\ell+i} - \varepsilon_{n-i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq (n-s-2\ell-2)/2\}$. Recall that $\beta_{\pi'}^0 = \beta_{\pi'} \setminus (\beta_{\pi'} \cap \pi')$. Then $\beta_{\pi'}^0 = \beta_{\pi'_1} \cup \beta_{\pi'_2}$. We also have that (see subsection 8.1) $\beta_{\pi}^0 = \beta_{\pi} \setminus (\beta_{\pi} \cap \pi) = \{\beta_i = \varepsilon_{2i-1} + \varepsilon_{2i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq (n-1)/2\}$. We set

$$S^+ = \{\beta_i; 1 \leq i \leq (n-3)/2, \tilde{\beta}_{(n-1)/2} = \beta_{(n-1)/2} - \alpha_{n-1} = \varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_n\},$$

$$S^- = -\beta_{\pi}^0,$$

and $S = S^+ \cup S^-$.

14.1. Conditions (i) to (v) of Proposition 6.2

For all $\beta_i \in S^+$ with $1 \leq i \leq (n-3)/2$, we set $\Gamma_{\beta_i} = H_{\beta_i} \subset \Delta^+$ and for all $\gamma \in S^-$, we set $\Gamma_{\gamma} = -H_{-\gamma} \subset \Delta_{\pi'}^-$, with the notation of subsection 6.1. Finally we set $\Gamma_{\varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_n} = \{\varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-2} - \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n\}$, $T^+ = \{\beta_{(n-1)/2}, \varepsilon_{n-2} - \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{2i-1} - \varepsilon_{2i}; 1 \leq i \leq (n-3)/2\}$ and $T^- = -(\beta_{\pi'} \cap \pi')$. By construction every set Γ_{γ} , for $\gamma \in S$, is a Heisenberg set with centre γ such that, if $\gamma \in S^+$, then $\Gamma_{\gamma} \subset \Delta^+$ and if $\gamma \in S^-$, then $\Gamma_{\gamma} \subset \Delta_{\pi'}^-$. Moreover, for all $1 \leq i \leq (n-1)/2$, we have that $\varepsilon_{2i-1} - \varepsilon_{2i} = \alpha_{2i-1} \in \beta_{\pi} \cap \pi$ (see subsection 8.1) and $H_{\alpha_{2i-1}} = \{\alpha_{2i-1}\}$. We observe

that $H_{\beta_{(n-1)/2}} \sqcup H_{\alpha_{n-2}} = \Gamma_{\varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_n} \sqcup (T^+ \cap H_{\beta_{(n-1)/2}})$. Then by *i*) of Lemma 6.1, the sets T^+ , T^- and the Γ_γ 's, $\gamma \in S$, are disjoint and one has that $\Delta^+ = \sqcup_{\gamma \in S^+} \Gamma_\gamma \sqcup T^+$ and $\Delta^- = \sqcup_{\gamma \in S^-} \Gamma_\gamma \sqcup T^-$. Then by setting $T^* = \emptyset$, condition (*iv*) of Proposition 6.2 holds, with $T = T^+ \sqcup T^-$. One also deduces by *ii*), *iii*) and *iv*) of Lemma 6.1 that conditions (*ii*) and (*iii*) of Proposition 6.2 are satisfied. Condition (*i*) follows from the following Lemma.

Lemma. $S|_{\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda}$ is a basis for \mathfrak{h}_Λ^* .

Proof. First we observe that $\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathbb{k}\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\varepsilon_n)$ by what we said in Sect. 3. Hence $\dim \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda = \dim \mathfrak{h}' + 1 = n - \ell - 3 + 1 = n - \ell - 2$. We first verify that $|S| = (n - 3)/2 + 1 + (s - 1)/2 + (n - s - 2\ell - 2)/2 = n - \ell - 2 = \dim \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$.

Then we order the elements s_u of S as follows:

$$\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{(n-3)/2}, -\beta'_1, \dots, -\beta'_{(s-1)/2}, -\beta''_1, \dots, -\beta''_{(n-s-2\ell-2)/2}, \varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_n.$$

Set $t = \lceil (s + 1)/4 \rceil$ and $t' = \lceil (n - s - 2\ell)/4 \rceil$. For a basis (h_v) of \mathfrak{h}_Λ we take, in this order,

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_2^\vee, \alpha_4^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{n-3}^\vee, \\ & h'_1 = \alpha_1^\vee, h'_2 = \alpha_{s-2}^\vee, \dots, h'_{2t-1} = \alpha_{2t-1}^\vee, h'_{2t} = \alpha_{s-2t}^\vee, \\ & h''_1 = \alpha_{n-2}^\vee, h''_2 = \alpha_{s+2\ell+2}^\vee, \dots, h''_{2t'-1} = \alpha_{n-2t'}^\vee, h''_{2t'} = \alpha_{s+2\ell+2t'}^\vee, \\ & \mathcal{H}^{-1}(\varepsilon_n) \end{aligned}$$

without repetitions for the h'_j 's and the h''_j 's. Recall that $\beta_i = \varpi_{2i} - \varpi_{2i-2}$ (where $\varpi_0 = 0$) and Lemma 6.4. Then we obtain that

$$(s_u(h_v))_{1 \leq u, v \leq n-2-\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & B & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & C & 0 \\ * & * & * & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $A = (\beta_u(\alpha_{2v}^\vee))_{1 \leq u, v \leq (n-3)/2}$, resp. $B = (-\beta'_u(h'_v))_{1 \leq u, v \leq (s-1)/2}$, and $C = (-\beta''_u(h''_v))_{1 \leq u, v \leq (n-s-2\ell-2)/2}$, which are lower triangular matrices with 1, resp. -1 on their diagonal. Hence the lemma. \square

14.2. Condition (*vi*) of Proposition 6.2

Condition (*vi*) of Proposition 6.2 follows from the following Lemma.

Lemma. We have that $|T| = \text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda$.

Proof. One easily checks that

$$\begin{aligned} E(\pi') &= \left\{ \Gamma_u = \{\alpha_u, \alpha_{s-u}\}, 1 \leq u \leq (s-1)/2, \right. \\ \Gamma_{s+2\ell+v} &= \{\alpha_{s+2\ell+v}, \alpha_{n-1-v}\}, 1 \leq v \leq (n-s-2\ell-2)/2, \\ \Gamma_{s+k} &= \{\alpha_{s+k}\}, 0 \leq k \leq 2\ell, \\ \left. \Gamma_{n-1} = \{\alpha_{n-1}\}, \Gamma_n = \{\alpha_n\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

hence $\text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda = |E(\pi')| = (s-1)/2 + (n-s-2\ell-2)/2 + 2\ell + 1 + 2 = (n-3)/2 + \ell + 3 = |T^+| + |T^-| = |T|$. \square

14.3. All conditions of Proposition 6.2 are satisfied, hence setting $y = \sum_{\gamma \in S} x_\gamma$ and $h \in \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$ such that for all $\gamma \in S$, $\gamma(h) = -1$, we obtain that (h, y) is an adapted pair for \mathfrak{p}_Λ . This is sufficient by Remark 3a of subsection 6.2 to provide a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of \mathfrak{p}_Λ , by the following Lemma.

Lemma. For every $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$, we have that $\varepsilon_\Gamma = 1$.

Proof. Recall the set $E(\pi')$ given in the proof of Lemma 14.2. Recall subsection 4.3. Set $1 \leq u \leq (s-1)/2$. Then $d_{\Gamma_u} = \varpi_u + \varpi_{s-u} \notin \mathcal{B}_\pi$ since u and $s-u$ are of different parity. For the same reason, for $1 \leq v \leq (n-s-2\ell-2)/2$, we have that $d_{\Gamma_{s+2\ell+v}} \notin \mathcal{B}_\pi$. Now for $0 \leq k \leq 2\ell$ and k odd, $d_{\Gamma_{s+k}} = \varpi_{s+k} \in \mathcal{B}_\pi$, but $d'_{\Gamma_{s+k}} = \varpi'_{s+k} \notin \mathcal{B}_{\pi'}$ since α_{s+k} belongs to a connected component of π' of type A_1 . If $0 \leq k \leq 2\ell$ and k even, then $\alpha_{s+k} \notin \pi'$ and $d'_{\Gamma_{s+k}} = 0 \in \mathcal{B}_{\pi'}$ but $d_{\Gamma_{s+k}} = \varpi_{s+k} \notin \mathcal{B}_\pi$. Finally $d_{\Gamma_{n-1}} \notin \mathcal{B}_\pi$ and $d_{\Gamma_n} \notin \mathcal{B}_\pi$. Hence the lemma. \square

We then obtain the following Theorem.

Theorem. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra of type D_n , with $n \geq 5$, n odd and let s, ℓ be integers such that s is odd and $s + 2\ell \leq n - 2$. Let $\mathfrak{q}_{s,\ell}$ be the parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} associated with the subset $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}, \dots, \alpha_{s+2\ell}, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n\}$. Then there exists a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of the canonical truncation of $\mathfrak{q}_{s,\ell}$.

Proof. Indeed (with the notation in Proposition 6.2) $y + \mathfrak{g}_T$ is a Weierstrass section for coadjoint action of the canonical truncation of $\mathfrak{q}_{s,\ell}$ by Remark 3a of subsection 6.2. \square

14.4. *Weights and degrees*

Here both bounds (see (4) in Sect. 4) for $\text{ch}(Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda))$ coincide and then $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ is a polynomial algebra whose homogeneous and \mathfrak{h} -weight generators have weights and degrees which can be easily computed. To each $\Gamma \in E(\pi')$ is associated an homogeneous and \mathfrak{h} -weight generator of $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$ which has weight δ_Γ given by (2) and a degree ∂_Γ given by (5) or by (6) of Sect. 4.

Below we give for completeness weights and degrees of a set of homogeneous and \mathfrak{h} -weight algebraically independent generators of $Y(\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda)$, each of them corresponding to an $\langle ij \rangle$ -orbit Γ_r in $E(\pi')$.

$\langle ij \rangle$ -orbit in $E(\pi')$	Weight	Degree
$\Gamma_u = \{\alpha_u, \alpha_{s-u}\}$ $1 \leq u \leq (s-1)/2$	$-2\varpi_s$	$s+1+2u$
$\Gamma_{s+2\ell+v} = \{\alpha_{s+2\ell+v}, \alpha_{n-1-v}\}$ $1 \leq v \leq (n-s-2\ell-2)/2$	$-2(\varpi_{n-1} + \varpi_n)$	$n+3s+6\ell+2v$
$\Gamma_{s+k} = \{\alpha_{s+k}\}$ $0 \leq k \leq 2\ell, k$ even	$-2\varpi_{s+k}$	$s+k+1$
$\Gamma_{s+k} = \{\alpha_{s+k}\}$ $1 \leq k \leq 2\ell-1, k$ odd	$-\varpi_{s+k-1} - \varpi_{s+k+1}$	$2(s+k)$
$\Gamma_{n-1} = \{\alpha_{n-1}\}$	$-\varpi_{n-1} - \varpi_n$	$(n-1)/2$
$\Gamma_n = \{\alpha_n\}$	$-\varpi_n - \varpi_{n-1}$	$(n+1)/2$

14.5.

Remarks.

- (1) Consider now the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}_{s,\ell}$ in \mathfrak{g} of type D_n , with s an even integer and assume that we have found an adapted pair $(h, y) \in \mathfrak{h}_\Lambda \times \mathfrak{p}_\Lambda^*$ for \mathfrak{p}_Λ . Then the set S cannot contain, as in the case s odd and n odd, the set $\{\beta_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq [(n-3)/2]\}$, at least for $s/2 \leq [(n-3)/2]$. Indeed by (4) of Sect. 4, one has that $-2\varpi_s \in \Lambda(\mathfrak{p})$ then necessarily $\varpi_s(h) = 0 \iff \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_{s/2} = 0$ in contradiction with the fact that, for all $1 \leq i \leq [(n-3)/2]$, one should have also that $\beta_i(h) = -1$. Moreover for $s = n-2$ (with s even), the set $S = \{\beta_i; 1 \leq i \leq (n-4)/2, \tilde{\beta}_{(n-2)/2} = \varepsilon_{n-3} + \varepsilon_{n-1}\} \cup (-\beta_{\pi'}^0)$ is such that $S|_{\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda}$ is not in general a basis for \mathfrak{h}_Λ^* (since for all $s \in S, s(\alpha_{n/2-1}^\vee) = 0$ for $n \geq 8$).
- (2) Now consider in \mathfrak{g} simple of type D_n , the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}_{s,\ell}$ with s odd and n even, and take for S a similar set as in case s odd and n odd, namely $S = \{\beta_i; 1 \leq i \leq (n-4)/2, \tilde{\beta}_{(n-2)/2} = \varepsilon_{n-3} + \varepsilon_{n-1}\} \cup (-\beta_{\pi'}^0)$. Then either $S|_{\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda}$ is not a basis for \mathfrak{h}_Λ^* or in case it is, then take the Heisenberg sets similar as those taken in case n and s odd (with $\Gamma_{\tilde{\beta}_{(n-2)/2}} = \{\tilde{\beta}_{(n-2)/2}, \varepsilon_{n-3} \pm \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-1} \mp \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-3} - \varepsilon_{n-2}, \varepsilon_{n-2} + \varepsilon_{n-1}\}$). Take also T and T^* disjoint sets such that conditions (iv) and (vi) of Proposition 6.2 hold. But then condition (v) of Proposition 6.2 is not satisfied.
- (3) Finally consider a parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p} of \mathfrak{g} simple of type B_n or C_n , associated with the subset $\pi' = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+2}, \dots, \alpha_{s+2\ell}, \alpha_n\}$ for $s+2\ell \leq n-1$. Then a similar construction as this made for $\mathfrak{q}_{s,\ell}$ for \mathfrak{g} simple of type D_n with n and s odd does not give a regular element y in \mathfrak{p}_Λ^* .

References

- [1] W. Borho, P. Gabriel, R. Rentschler, Primideale in Einhüllenden auflösbarer Lie-Algebren (Beschreibung durch Bahnenräume), *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 357, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique, Groupes et Algèbres de Lie*, Chapitres 4, 5 et 6, Masson, Paris et al., 1981.
- [3] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique, Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chapitres 7 et 8, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [4] J. Dixmier, Sur les représentations unitaires des groupes de Lie nilpotents II, *Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.* 85 (1957) 325–388.
- [5] J. Dixmier, Sur le centre de l’algèbre enveloppante d’une algèbre de Lie, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 265 (1967) 408–410.
- [6] J. Dixmier, *Algèbres Enveloppantes*, Editions Jacques Gabay, les grands classiques, Gauthier-Villars, Paris et al., 1974.
- [7] F. Fauquant-Millet, Sur la polynomialité de certaines algèbres d’invariants d’algèbres de Lie, *Mémoire d’Habilitation à Diriger des Recherches*, <http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00994655>.
- [8] F. Fauquant-Millet, About polynomiality of the Poisson semicentre for parabolic subalgebras, in: M. Gorelik, V. Hinich, A. Melnikov (Eds.), *Representations and Nilpotent Orbits of Lie Algebraic Systems*, in Honour of the 75th Birthday of Tony Joseph, in: *Progress in Mathematics*, vol. 330, Birkhäuser, Cham, 2019.
- [9] F. Fauquant-Millet, A. Joseph, Sur les semi-invariants d’une sous-algèbre parabolique d’une algèbre enveloppante quantifiée, *Transform. Groups* 6 (2001) 125–142.
- [10] F. Fauquant-Millet, A. Joseph, Semi-centre de l’algèbre enveloppante d’une sous-algèbre parabolique d’une algèbre de Lie semi-simple, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.* 38 (2005) 155–191.
- [11] F. Fauquant-Millet, A. Joseph, La somme des faux degrés - un mystère en théorie des invariants, *Adv. Math.* 217 (2008) 1476–1520.
- [12] F. Fauquant-Millet, A. Joseph, Adapted pairs and Weierstrass sections, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1503.02523>.
- [13] F. Fauquant-Millet, P. Lamprou, Slices for maximal parabolic subalgebras of a semisimple Lie algebra, *Transform. Groups* 22 (2017) 911–932.
- [14] F. Fauquant-Millet, P. Lamprou, Polynomiality for the Poisson centre of truncated maximal parabolic subalgebras, *J. Lie Theory* 28 (2018) 1063–1094.
- [15] A. Joseph, A preparation theorem for the prime spectrum of a semisimple Lie algebra, *J. Algebra* 48 (1977) 241–289.
- [16] A. Joseph, On semi - invariants and index for biparabolic (seaweed) algebras I, *J. Algebra* 305 (2006) 487–515.
- [17] A. Joseph, On semi - invariants and index for biparabolic (seaweed) algebras II, *J. Algebra* 312 (2007) 158–193.
- [18] A. Joseph, Slices for biparabolic coadjoint actions in type A , *J. Algebra* 319 (2008) 5060–5100.
- [19] A. Joseph, Compatible adapted pairs and a common slice theorem for some centralizers, *Transform. Groups* 13 (2008) 637–669.
- [20] A. Joseph, An algebraic slice in the coadjoint space of the Borel and the Coxeter element, *Adv. Math.* 227 (2011) 522–585.
- [21] A. Joseph, P. Lamprou, Maximal Poisson commutative subalgebras for truncated parabolic subalgebras of maximal index in \mathfrak{sl}_n , *Transform. Groups* 12 (2007) 549–571.
- [22] A. Joseph, D. Shafrir, Polynomiality of invariants, unimodularity and adapted pairs, *Transform. Groups* 15 (2010) 851–882.
- [23] B. Kostant, Lie group representations on polynomial rings, *Am. J. Math.* 85 (1963) 327–404.
- [24] A. Ooms, The polynomiality of the Poisson center and semi-center of a Lie algebra and Dixmier’s fourth problem, *J. Algebra* 477 (2017) 95–146.
- [25] A. Ooms, M. Van Den Bergh, A degree inequality for Lie algebras with a regular Poisson semi-center, *J. Algebra* 323 (2010) 305–322.
- [26] D. Panyushev, On the coadjoint representation of \mathbb{Z}_2 -contractions of reductive Lie algebras, *Adv. Math.* 213 (1) (2007) 343–404.
- [27] V.L. Popov, Sections in invariant theory, in: *The Sophus Lie Memorial Conference*, Oslo 1992, Scand. Univ. Press, Oslo, 1994, pp. 315–361.
- [28] V.L. Popov, E.B. Vinberg, Invariant theory, in: *Algebraic Geometry IV*, in: *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 55, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1994.

- [29] P. Tauvel, R.W.T. Yu, *Lie Algebras and Algebraic Groups*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2005.