



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra)



# Finitely presented lattice-ordered abelian groups with order-unit

Leonardo Cabrer<sup>a</sup>, Daniele Mundici<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Nacional del Centro, Pinto 399, 7000 Tandil, Argentina*

<sup>b</sup> *Dipartimento di Matematica "Ulisse Dini", Università degli Studi di Firenze, viale Morgagni 67/A, I-50134 Firenze, Italy*

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 16 March 2009

Available online 27 July 2011

Communicated by Michel Broué

### MSC:

primary 06F20

secondary 08B30, 14M25, 20F60

### Keywords:

Lattice-ordered abelian group

Order-unit

Spectral space

Basis

Schauder basis

Dimension group

Elliott classification

Simplicial group

Finite presentation

Projective

Rational polyhedron

Simplicial complex

Unimodular triangulation

Fan

## ABSTRACT

Let  $G$  be an  $\ell$ -group (which is short for "lattice-ordered abelian group"). Baker and Beynon proved that  $G$  is finitely presented iff it is finitely generated and projective. In the category  $\mathcal{U}$  of unital  $\ell$ -groups, those  $\ell$ -groups having a distinguished order-unit  $u$ , only the  $(\Leftarrow)$ -direction holds in general. We show that a unital  $\ell$ -group  $(G, u)$  is finitely presented iff it has a basis. A large class of projectives is constructed from bases having special properties.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

We refer to [3,7,8] for background on  $\ell$ -groups. A unital  $\ell$ -group  $(G, u)$  is an abelian group  $G$  equipped with a translation-invariant lattice-order and a distinguished order-unit  $u$ , i.e., an element

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [lmcabrer@yahoo.com.ar](mailto:lmcabrer@yahoo.com.ar) (L. Cabrer), [mundici@math.unifi.it](mailto:mundici@math.unifi.it) (D. Mundici).

whose positive integer multiples eventually dominate each element of  $G$ . Unital  $\ell$ -groups are a recent mathematization of the euclidean magnitudes with an archimedean unit. By [13, Theorem 3.9], the category  $\mathcal{U}$  of unital  $\ell$ -groups is equivalent to the equational class of MV-algebras. Thus, while the archimedean property of order-units is not definable in first-order logic,  $\mathcal{U}$  is endowed with all the typical properties of equational classes: in particular,  $\mathcal{U}$  has free algebras, finitely presented algebras, subalgebras, quotients and products—which in general do not coincide with cartesian products. Morphisms in  $\mathcal{U}$  are lattice-preserving unit-preserving homomorphisms.

For a geometric investigation of finitely presented unital  $\ell$ -groups, in [12] the notion of basis (see Definition 2.1) was introduced as a purely algebraic counterpart of Schauder bases. In [12, Theorem 4.5] it is proved that an *archimedean* unital  $\ell$ -group  $(G, u)$  is finitely presented iff it has a basis. The archimedean condition means that  $G$  is isomorphic to an  $\ell$ -group of real-valued functions defined on some set  $X$ . In Theorem 3.1 we will prove that the archimedean assumption can be dropped, thus obtaining a characterization of finitely presented unital  $\ell$ -groups that does not mention free objects and their universal property.

A unital  $\ell$ -group  $(G, u)$  is *projective* if whenever  $\psi : (A, a) \rightarrow (B, b)$  is a surjective morphism and  $\phi : (G, u) \rightarrow (B, b)$  is a morphism, there is a morphism  $\theta : (G, u) \rightarrow (A, a)$  such that  $\phi = \psi \circ \theta$ . For  $\ell$ -groups, Baker [1] and Beynon [2, Theorem 3.1] (also see [7, Corollary 5.2.2]) gave the following characterization: *An  $\ell$ -group  $G$  is finitely generated projective iff it is finitely presented.* For unital  $\ell$ -groups the  $(\Rightarrow)$ -direction holds. The converse direction fails in general. From Theorem 3.1 it follows that every finitely generated projective unital  $\ell$ -group has a basis. In Section 4 bases will be used to construct large classes of projective unital  $\ell$ -groups.

In Theorem 5.3 it is proved that if  $(G, u)$  has a basis then its bases provide a direct system of simplicial groups with 1–1 positive unital homomorphisms, whose limit is  $(G, u)$ . Thus the Effros–Handelman–Shen representation theorem [4], Grillet's theorem [10, 2.1], and Marra's theorem [11] have a very simple proof for  $(G, u)$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

A *lattice-ordered abelian group* ( $\ell$ -group) is a structure  $(G, +, -, 0, \vee, \wedge)$  such that  $(G, +, -, 0)$  is an abelian group,  $(G, \vee, \wedge)$  is a lattice, and  $x + (y \vee z) = (x + y) \vee (x + z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . An *order-unit* in  $G$  is an element  $u \in G$  with the property that for every  $g \in G$  there is  $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  such that  $g \leq nu$ . A *unital  $\ell$ -group*  $(G, u)$  is an  $\ell$ -group  $G$  with a distinguished order-unit  $u$ . A map  $h : (G, u) \rightarrow (G', u')$  is said to be a *unital  $\ell$ -homomorphism* if it preserves the lattice as well as the group structure, and  $h(u) = u'$ . By an *ideal*  $\mathfrak{i}$  of a unital  $\ell$ -group  $(G, u)$  we mean the kernel of a unital  $\ell$ -homomorphism of  $(G, u)$ . We denote by  $\text{MaxSpec}(G, u)$  (or even,  $\text{MaxSpec} G$  if there is no danger of confusion) the set of maximal ideals of  $(G, u)$  equipped with the *spectral topology* [3, §10]: a basis of closed sets for  $\text{MaxSpec} G$  is given by sets of the form  $\{p \in \text{MaxSpec} G \mid g \in p\}$ , where  $g$  ranges over all elements of  $G$ . Since  $G$  has an order-unit,  $\text{MaxSpec} G$  is a nonempty compact Hausdorff space [3, 10.2.2].

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(G, u)$  be a unital  $\ell$ -group. A *basis* of  $(G, u)$  is a set  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  of elements  $\neq 0$  of the positive cone  $G^+ = \{g \in G \mid g \geq 0\}$  such that

- (i)  $\mathcal{B}$  generates  $G$  using the group and lattice operations;
- (ii) for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $k$ -element subset  $C$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $0 \neq \bigwedge \{b \mid b \in C\}$ , the set  $\{m \in \text{MaxSpec}(G) \mid m \supseteq \mathcal{B} \setminus C\}$  is homeomorphic to a  $(k - 1)$ -simplex;
- (iii) there are integers  $1 \leq m_1, \dots, m_n$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i b_i = u$ .

This is an equivalent simplified reformulation of [12, Definition 4.3]. From (ii)–(iii) it follows that the *multiplicity*  $m_i$  of each  $b_i \in \mathcal{B}$  is uniquely determined.

For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  we let  $\pi_i : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denote the  $i$ th coordinate map. The standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is denoted  $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ . For any subset  $S$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  we define the simplex  $\mathcal{T}_S \subseteq [0, 1]^n$  by

$$\mathcal{T}_S = \text{conv}\{e_i/m_i \mid b_i \in S\}. \quad (1)$$

Let  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then by a  $k$ -cluster of  $\mathcal{B}$  we understand a  $k$ -element subset  $C$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\bigwedge C \neq 0$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{B}^{\neq 0}$  the set of all clusters of  $\mathcal{B}$ . For each  $C \in \mathcal{B}^{\neq 0}$ , displaying the complementary set  $\mathcal{B} \setminus C$  as  $\{b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_s}\}$ , we define the function  $a_C : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$a_C = \pi_{j_1} \vee \dots \vee \pi_{j_s} \quad (a_C = 0 \text{ in case } C = \mathfrak{B}). \tag{2}$$

We have the identity

$$\mathcal{T}_C = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}} \cap a_C^{-1}(0). \tag{3}$$

For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  we let  $\mathcal{M}_n$  denote the unital  $\ell$ -group of all continuous functions  $f : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying: there are (affine) linear polynomials  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  with integer coefficients, such that for all  $x \in [0, 1]^n$  there is  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  with  $f(x) = p_i(x)$ .  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is equipped with the pointwise operations  $+, -, \wedge, \vee$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and with the constant function 1 as the distinguished order-unit. The characteristic universal property of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is as follows:

**Proposition 2.2.** (See [13, 4.16].)  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is generated by the maps  $\pi_i : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  together with the order-unit 1. For every unital  $\ell$ -group  $(G, u)$  and elements  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  in the unit interval  $[0, u]$  of  $G$ , if the set  $\{g_1, \dots, g_n, u\}$  generates  $G$ , then there is a unique unital  $\ell$ -homomorphism  $\psi$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  onto  $G$  such that  $\psi(\pi_i) = g_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

A unital  $\ell$ -group  $(G, u)$  is *finitely presented* if for some  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , it is isomorphic to the quotient of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  by a finitely generated (= singly generated = principal) ideal.

Given  $f \in \mathcal{M}_n$  let  $\mathcal{Z}f$  denote the *zeroset* of  $f$ . More generally, for every ideal  $j$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  we will write  $\mathcal{Z}j = \bigcap \{\mathcal{Z}g \mid g \in j\}$ . In the particular case when  $j$  is maximal,  $\mathcal{Z}j$  is a singleton (because the functions in  $\mathcal{M}_n$  separate points [13, 4.17]), and we write  $\check{z}j$  for the unique element of  $\mathcal{Z}j$ .

For later use we record here a classical result, whose proof follows from the Hion–Hölder theorem [6, pp. 45–47], [3, 2.6]:

**Lemma 2.3.** For every unital  $\ell$ -group  $(G, u)$  and ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{MaxSpec } G$  there is exactly one pair  $(\iota_{\mathfrak{m}}, R_{\mathfrak{m}})$  where  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a unital  $\ell$ -subgroup of  $(\mathbb{R}, 1)$ , and  $\iota_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a unital  $\ell$ -isomorphism of the quotient  $(G, u)/\mathfrak{m}$  onto  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Upon identifying  $(G, u)/\mathfrak{m}$  with  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  every element  $g/\mathfrak{m} \in (G, u)/\mathfrak{m}$  becomes a real number, and we can unambiguously write  $g/\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** For any ideal  $i$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  let  $\text{MaxSpec}_{\supseteq i} \mathcal{M}_n$  denote the compact set of all maximal ideals of  $\text{MaxSpec } \mathcal{M}_n$  containing  $i$ . Then the map  $\mathfrak{n} \mapsto \check{z}\mathfrak{n}$  yields a homeomorphism of  $\text{MaxSpec}_{\supseteq i} \mathcal{M}_n$  onto the compact set  $\mathcal{Z}i \subseteq [0, 1]^n$ . The inverse of  $\check{z}$  is the map  $x \in \mathcal{Z}i \mapsto \mathfrak{m}_x = \{f \in \mathcal{M}_n \mid f(x) = 0\}$ . Further,  $f/\mathfrak{m} = f(\check{z}(\mathfrak{m}))$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{M}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{MaxSpec}_{\supseteq i} \mathcal{M}_n$ .

**Proof.** For each  $x \in \mathcal{Z}i$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}_x$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . Further, for each  $f \in i$ , from  $f(x) = 0$  we get  $f \in \mathfrak{m}_x$ , whence  $\mathfrak{m}_x \supseteq i$  and  $\check{z}\mathfrak{m}_x = x$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{MaxSpec}_{\supseteq i} \mathcal{M}_n$ . Then  $\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}i$  and for every  $f \in \mathfrak{p}$  with  $f(\check{z}\mathfrak{p}) = 0$  we have  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{\check{z}(\mathfrak{p})}$  and  $\check{z}\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{Z}i$ . The assumed maximality of  $\mathfrak{p}$  is to the effect that  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}_{\check{z}(\mathfrak{p})}$ , whence  $\check{z}$  is a one-one map from  $\text{MaxSpec}_{\supseteq i} \mathcal{M}_n$  onto  $\mathcal{Z}i$ . By definition of spectral topology,  $\check{z}$  is a homeomorphism. An application of Lemma 2.3 completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** The quotient map  $\kappa : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n/i$  determines the homeomorphism  $\mathfrak{m} \mapsto \mathfrak{m}/i$  of  $\text{MaxSpec}_{\supseteq i} \mathcal{M}_n$  onto  $\text{MaxSpec } \mathcal{M}_n/i$ . The inverse map is given by  $\kappa^{-1}(\mathfrak{n}) = \{f \in \mathcal{M}_n \mid f/i \in \mathfrak{n}\}$  for each  $\mathfrak{n} \in \text{MaxSpec } \mathcal{M}_n/i$ .

**Proof.** The routine proof follows by combining Lemma 2.3 with [3, 2.3.8].  $\square$

2.1. Rational polyhedra and unimodular triangulations

We refer to the first few chapters of [5] for background in elementary polyhedral topology. By a rational polyhedron  $P$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we understand a finite union of simplexes  $P = S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_t$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that the coordinates of the vertices of every simplex  $S_i$  are rational numbers. For every simplicial complex  $\Sigma$  the point-set union of the simplexes of  $\Sigma$  is called the support of  $\Sigma$  and is denoted  $|\Sigma|$ ;  $\Sigma$  is said to be a triangulation of  $|\Sigma|$ .

For any rational point  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the least common denominator of the coordinates of  $v$  is called the denominator of  $v$ , denoted  $\text{den}(v)$ . The integer vector  $\tilde{v} = \text{den}(v)(v, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$  is called the homogeneous correspondent of  $v$ . An  $m$ -simplex  $U = \text{conv}(w_0, \dots, w_m) \subseteq [0, 1]^n$  is said to be unimodular if it is rational and the set of integer vectors  $\{\tilde{w}_0, \dots, \tilde{w}_m\}$  can be extended to a basis of the free abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ . A simplicial complex is said to be a unimodular triangulation (of its support) if all its simplexes are unimodular. The homogeneous correspondent of a unimodular triangulation is known as a regular (or, nonsingular) fan [5].

**Proposition 2.6.** (See [12, 4.1, 5.1].) For all  $P \subseteq [0, 1]^n$  the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $P$  is a rational polyhedron.
- (ii)  $P = |\Delta|$  for some unimodular triangulation  $\Delta$ .
- (iii) For some unimodular triangulation  $\nabla$  of  $[0, 1]^n$ ,  $P = \bigcup \{S \in \nabla \mid S \subseteq P\}$ .
- (iv) For some  $f \in \mathcal{M}_n$ ,  $P = \mathcal{Z}f$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $i$  be an ideal of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $i$  is principal.
- (ii) There exists  $f \in i$  such that  $\mathcal{Z}i = \mathcal{Z}f$ .

**Proof.** For the nontrivial direction, let  $0 \leq f \in i$  satisfy  $\mathcal{Z}i = \mathcal{Z}f$ . We must check that, for all  $0 \leq g \in \mathcal{M}_n$ ,  $g \in i \Leftrightarrow \exists k = 1, 2, \dots$  with  $g \leq kf$ . The  $(\Leftarrow)$ -direction follows from  $f \in i$ . For the  $(\Rightarrow)$ -direction, let  $\Delta$  be a rational triangulation of  $[0, 1]^n$  such that both  $f$  and  $g$  are linear over each simplex of  $\Delta$ . Let  $\{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$  be the vertices of  $\Delta$ . Since  $\mathcal{Z}f = \mathcal{Z}i \subseteq \mathcal{Z}g$ ,  $f(v_i) = 0$  implies  $g(v_i) = 0$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, s$  there is  $\mathbb{Z} \ni m_i > 0$  such that  $m_i f(v_i) \geq g(v_i)$ . Letting  $k = \max(m_1, \dots, m_s)$ , the desired result follows from the assumed linearity properties of  $f$  and  $g$ .  $\square$

3. Finitely presented unital  $\ell$ -groups and bases

**Theorem 3.1.** A unital  $\ell$ -group  $(G, u)$  is finitely presented iff it has a basis.

**Proof.** The  $(\Rightarrow)$ -direction is proved in [12, 5.2]. For the  $(\Leftarrow)$ -direction, let  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  be a basis of  $(G, u)$ , with multiplicities  $m_1, \dots, m_n$ . Let  $\kappa : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow (G, u)$  be the unique unital  $\ell$ -homomorphism extending the map  $\pi_i \mapsto b_i$ , given by Proposition 2.2. Let  $i = \ker(\kappa)$ . By Definition 2.1(i),  $\kappa$  is onto  $G$ , whence  $(G, u) \cong \mathcal{M}_n/i$ . Let the function  $a \in \mathcal{M}_n$  be defined by  $a = |1 - \sum_i m_i \pi_i|$ . Then, recalling the notation of (1),

$$0 \leq a \in i, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Z}a = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}. \tag{4}$$

As a matter of fact, from Definition 2.1(ii) we have  $\kappa(\sum_i m_i \pi_i) = \sum_i m_i \kappa(\pi_i) = \sum_i m_i b_i = u$ . Recalling (2), we next observe

$$\bigwedge_{C \in \mathcal{B}^{\otimes d}} a_C \in i. \tag{5}$$

The result is trivial if  $\mathcal{B}$  itself is a cluster in  $\mathcal{B}^{\bowtie}$ . If this is not the case, for each  $C \in \mathcal{B}^{\bowtie}$  let  $b_{i_C}$  be an arbitrarily chosen element of  $\mathcal{B} \setminus C$ . Let  $D = \{b_{i_C} \mid C \in \mathcal{B}^{\bowtie}\}$ . Then  $D \notin \mathcal{B}^{\bowtie}$ , for otherwise,  $b_{i_D} \in D$ , which is impossible. Therefore,  $\kappa(\bigwedge_{C \in \mathcal{B}^{\bowtie}} \pi_{i_C}) = \bigwedge_{C \in \mathcal{B}^{\bowtie}} b_{i_C} = 0$ , i.e.,  $\bigwedge_{C \in \mathcal{B}^{\bowtie}} \pi_{i_C} \in i$ . Since each  $b_{i_C} \in \mathcal{B} \setminus C$  is arbitrary, the desired result (5) now follows from the distributivity of the underlying lattice of  $(G, u)$ .

Let  $f^* \in \mathcal{M}_n$  be defined by  $f^* = a \vee \bigwedge_{C \in \mathcal{B}^{\bowtie}} a_C$ . From (3)–(5) it follows that

$$0 \leq f^* \in i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Z}f^* = \mathcal{Z}a \cap \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{B}^{\bowtie}} \mathcal{Z}a_C = \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{B}^{\bowtie}} \mathcal{T}_C, \tag{6}$$

whence  $\mathcal{Z}f^* \supseteq \mathcal{Z}i$ . To prove the converse inclusion, for each cluster  $K$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  we set

$$\text{apogee}(K) = \{n \in \text{MaxSpec } \mathcal{M}_n/i \mid n \supseteq \mathcal{B} \setminus K\}.$$

For each  $n \in \text{MaxSpec } \mathcal{M}_n/i$ , letting  $C_n$  be the cluster of all  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $b \notin n$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{B} \setminus C_n \subseteq n$ , whence  $n \in \text{apogee}(C_n)$ . Thus,  $\bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{B}^{\bowtie}} \text{apogee}(C) \supseteq \text{MaxSpec } \mathcal{M}_n/i$ . Since the converse inclusion holds by definition, we have

$$\text{MaxSpec } \mathcal{M}_n/i = \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{B}^{\bowtie}} \text{apogee}(C). \tag{7}$$

For each  $K \in \mathcal{B}^{\bowtie}$  we let  $\text{apogee}_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$  denote the inverse image of  $\text{apogee}(K)$  under the composition of the homeomorphisms  $x \mapsto m_x \mapsto m_x/i$  of Corollaries 2.4 and 2.5, where  $m_x = \{f \in \mathcal{M}_n \mid f(x) = 0\}$ . In other words,

$$\text{apogee}_{\mathbb{R}}(K) = \{x \in \mathcal{Z}i \mid m_x/i \in \text{apogee}(K)\}. \tag{8}$$

From (6)–(7) we get

$$\bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{B}^{\bowtie}} \text{apogee}_{\mathbb{R}}(C) = \mathcal{Z}i \subseteq \mathcal{Z}f^* = \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{B}^{\bowtie}} \mathcal{T}_C. \tag{9}$$

**Claim 1.** For each  $C = \{b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_t}\} \in \mathcal{B}^{\bowtie}$ ,  $\text{apogee}_{\mathbb{R}}(C) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_C$ .

Indeed, by Definition 2.1(iii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{apogee}(C) &= \{n \in \text{MaxSpec } \mathcal{M}_n/i \mid b/n = 0 \text{ for all } b \in \mathcal{B} \setminus C\} \\ &= \left\{ n \in \text{MaxSpec } \mathcal{M}_n/i \mid \frac{m_{i_1} b_{i_1} + \dots + m_{i_t} b_{i_t}}{n} = 1 \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

By Lemma 2.3, for each  $m \in \text{MaxSpec}_{\supseteq i} \mathcal{M}_n$ , both  $\frac{\mathcal{M}_n}{m}$  and its isomorphic copy  $\frac{\mathcal{M}_n/i}{m/i}$  are canonically isomorphic to the same unital  $\ell$ -subgroup of  $(\mathbb{R}, 1)$ . Thus for each  $f \in \mathcal{M}_n$  the real numbers  $\frac{f/i}{m/i}$  and  $\frac{f}{m}$  are identical. By Corollaries 2.4 and 2.5, for each  $n \in \text{MaxSpec } \mathcal{M}_n/i$  we have  $\frac{f/i}{n/i} = f(\check{\mathcal{Z}}(\kappa^{-1}(n)))$ , or equivalently,

$$f(x) = \frac{f/i}{m_x/i} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{Z}i. \tag{11}$$

From (8) and (10) it follows that  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \text{apogee}_{\mathbb{R}}(C)$  if and only if

$$\frac{m_{i_1} b_{i_1} + \dots + m_{i_t} b_{i_t}}{m_x/i} = \frac{(m_{i_1} y_{i_1} + \dots + m_{i_t} y_{i_t})/i}{m_x/i} = 1.$$

Recalling (1) and (11), and writing  $\text{apogee}_{\mathbb{R}}(C) = \{(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathcal{Z}i \mid m_{i_1} y_{i_1} + \dots + m_{i_t} y_{i_t} = 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_C$ , Claim 1 is settled.

**Claim 2.** For every  $C \in \mathcal{B}^{\infty}$ ,  $\text{apogee}_{\mathbb{R}}(C) = \mathcal{T}_C$ .

The proof, by induction on the number  $l = 1, 2, \dots$  of elements of  $C$ , closely follows the algebraic topological argument of [12, Theorem 4.5, Claim 3].

**Basis.** For a unique  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  we have  $C = \{b_j\} = \{\pi_j/i\}$ . By Definition 2.1(ii),  $\text{apogee}(C)$  contains exactly one element  $n$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $n$  is the only maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{M}_n/i$  such that  $0 = b/n$  for all  $b \neq b_j$ . By (10),  $n$  is uniquely determined by the condition  $1 = m_j b_j/n = (m_j \pi_j/i)/n$ . Letting  $z \in \mathcal{Z}i$  be the image of  $n$  in  $\text{apogee}_{\mathbb{R}}(C)$ , by (1) and Claim 1 we have  $z = e_j/m_j$ . We conclude that  $\text{apogee}_{\mathbb{R}}(C) = \{e_j/m_j\} = \text{conv}\{e_j/m_j\} = \mathcal{T}_C$ .

**Induction step.** Assuming  $C$  has  $l + 1$  elements, let us write  $C = \{b_{i_0}, \dots, b_{i_l}\}$ . Since every  $l$ -element subset  $C'$  of  $C$  is a cluster of  $\mathcal{B}$ , by induction hypothesis  $\text{apogee}_{\mathbb{R}}(C') = \mathcal{T}_{C'}$ .  $\mathcal{T}_{C'}$  is known as a facet of  $\mathcal{T}_C$ . By Claim 1,  $\text{apogee}_{\mathbb{R}}(C)$  is a nonempty subset of  $\mathcal{T}_C$  containing all facets of  $\mathcal{T}_C$ . Further,  $\text{apogee}_{\mathbb{R}}(C)$  is homeomorphic to an  $l$ -simplex, because so is its homeomorphic copy  $\text{apogee}(C)$ , by Definition 2.1(ii). Observe that  $\mathcal{T}_C$  is contractible (i.e.,  $\mathcal{T}_C$  is continuously shrinkable to a point). By way of contradiction, suppose  $\text{apogee}_{\mathbb{R}}(C)$  is a proper subset of  $\mathcal{T}_C$ . Then a routine exercise in algebraic topology shows that  $\text{apogee}_{\mathbb{R}}(C)$  is not contractible. Thus  $\text{apogee}_{\mathbb{R}}(C)$  is not homeomorphic to any  $l$ -simplex, a contradiction showing that  $\text{apogee}_{\mathbb{R}}(C) = \mathcal{T}_C$ . Claim 2 is settled.

By Claim 2 and (9) we can write  $\mathcal{Z}f^* = \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{B}^{\infty}} \mathcal{T}_C = \mathcal{Z}i$ . By Lemma 2.7,  $i$  is the ideal generated by  $f^*$ , and  $(G, u) \cong \mathcal{M}_n/i$  is finitely presented.  $\square$

#### 4. A class of projective unital $\ell$ -groups

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $S = \text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \subseteq [0, 1]^n$  be a unimodular  $(k - 1)$ -simplex and  $v \in [0, 1]^n$  a vertex of  $[0, 1]^n$ . Then for every  $Y \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  there is a matrix  $M \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$  and a vector  $b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that

$$Mx_i + b_i = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } x_i \in Y, \\ x_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Since  $S$  is unimodular, the set  $\{\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_k\}$  of homogeneous correspondents of  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  can be extended to a basis  $\{\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_k, q_{k+1}, \dots, q_{n+1}\}$  of the free abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ . The  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  matrix  $D$  with column vectors  $\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_k, q_{k+1}, \dots, q_{n+1}$  is invertible and  $D^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, k$  let  $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$  be defined by  $c_i = \text{den}(x_i)(v, 1)$  if  $x_i \in Y$ , and otherwise  $c_i = \tilde{x}_i$ . Let  $C \in \mathbb{Z}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$  be the matrix whose columns are given by the vectors  $c_1, \dots, c_k, q_{k+1}, \dots, q_{n+1}$ . Since  $D$  and  $C$  have the same  $(n + 1)$ th row,

$$CD^{-1} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} M & d \\ \hline 0, \dots, 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

for some  $n \times n$  integer matrix  $M$  and  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $(CD^{-1})\tilde{x}_i = (CD^{-1}) \text{den}(x_i)(x_i, 1) = \text{den}(x_i)(Mx_i + d, 1)$ . In conclusion,  $(CD^{-1})\tilde{x}_i = c_i = \text{den}(x_i)(v, 1)$  if  $x_i \in Y$  and  $(CD^{-1})\tilde{x}_i = \tilde{x}_k = \text{den}(x_i)(x_i, 1)$  otherwise.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Suppose the unital  $\ell$ -group  $(G, u)$  has a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $\bigwedge \mathcal{B} \neq 0$ . Suppose at least one of the multiplicities of  $\mathcal{B}$  is equal to 1. Then  $(G, u)$  is projective.*

**Proof.** Let  $1 = m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_n$  be the multiplicities of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Proposition 2.6 yields a unimodular triangulation  $\Delta$  of  $[0, 1]^n$  such that the simplex  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$  in (1) is a union of simplexes of  $\Delta$ , and all vertices of (every simplex of)  $\Delta$  have rational coordinates. We next define the function  $\mathbf{f} : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]^n$  by stipulating that, for each vertex  $v$  of  $\Delta$ ,

$$\mathbf{f}(v) = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } v \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}, \\ e_1 & \text{if } v \notin \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}} \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

and  $\mathbf{f}$  is linear over each simplex of  $\Delta$ . Then  $\mathbf{f}$  is a continuous map and  $\mathbf{f} \upharpoonright \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$  is the identity map on  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ . For any simplex  $S$  of  $\Delta$ , let  $\partial S$  denote the set of extremal points of  $S$ . Since  $\mathbf{f}$  is linear over  $S$  and  $\mathbf{f}(v) \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$  for each  $v \in \partial S$ , we have  $\mathbf{f}(S) = \mathbf{f}(\text{conv}(\partial S)) = \text{conv}(\mathbf{f}(\partial S)) \subseteq \text{conv}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}) = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ , whence

$$\mathbf{f}([0, 1]^n) = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}. \tag{13}$$

We have thus shown that  $\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}$  and  $\mathbf{f}$  is a continuous retraction of  $[0, 1]^n$  onto  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$  which is linear on each simplex of  $\Delta$ .

By Lemma 4.1, the coefficients of each linear piece of  $\mathbf{f}$  are integers. Therefore, the map  $\varphi : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$  given by

$$\varphi(g) = g \circ \mathbf{f} \tag{14}$$

is well defined. It follows straightforwardly that  $\varphi$  is a unital  $\ell$ -homomorphism. Since  $\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}$  then  $\varphi \circ \varphi = \varphi$ . In other words,  $\varphi$  is an idempotent endomorphism of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . Stated otherwise, the unital  $\ell$ -subgroup  $\varphi(\mathcal{M}_n)$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is a retraction of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . Applying now the universal property of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  (Proposition 2.2) one sees that  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is projective. A routine exercise using the fact that  $\varphi(\mathcal{M}_n)$  is a retraction of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  shows that  $\varphi(\mathcal{M}_n)$  is projective.

To conclude the proof it is enough to show that  $\varphi(\mathcal{M}_n)$  is unittally  $\ell$ -isomorphic to  $(G, u)$ . In proving the  $(\Leftarrow)$ -direction of Theorem 3.1 we have seen that  $(G, u)$  is unittally  $\ell$ -isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_n/\mathfrak{i}$ , for some ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$  having following characterization:

$$\mathfrak{i} = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{M}_n \mid \mathcal{Z}g \supseteq \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{B}^{\leq 1}} \mathcal{T}_C \right\} = \{g \in \mathcal{M}_n \mid \mathcal{Z}g \supseteq \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\}.$$

By (13) and (14),

$$\begin{aligned} g \in \ker(\varphi) &\Leftrightarrow g \circ \mathbf{f} = 0 \Leftrightarrow g(\mathbf{f}([0, 1]^n)) = \{0\} \\ &\Leftrightarrow g(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}) = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{Z}g \supseteq \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}} \Leftrightarrow g \in \mathfrak{i}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(G, u) \cong \mathcal{M}_n/\mathfrak{i} = \mathcal{M}_n/\ker(\varphi) \cong \varphi(\mathcal{M}_n)$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**5. The underlying dimension group of a unital  $\ell$ -group with a basis**

In the category  $\mathcal{P}$  of partially ordered abelian groups with order-unit [8, p. 12] objects are pairs  $(G, u)$ , where  $G$  is a partially ordered abelian group and  $u$  is an order-unit of  $G$ . A morphism

$\phi : (G, u) \rightarrow (H, v)$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *unital* (i.e., unit-preserving) *positive* (in the sense that  $\phi(G^+) \subseteq H^+$ ) homomorphism.

Following [8, p. 47], by a *unital simplicial group* we understand an object  $(G, u)$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  that is isomorphic (in  $\mathcal{P}$ ) to the free abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  for some integer  $n > 0$  equipped with the product ordering:  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq 0$  iff  $x_i \geq 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, n$ . The order-unit  $u$  has the form  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$  where each  $u_i$  is an integer  $> 0$ .

A *unital dimension group*  $(G, u)$  is an object of  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $G = G^+ - G^+$ , sums of intervals are intervals, and for any  $g \in G$  if  $kg \in G^+$  for some  $0 < k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then already  $g \in G^+$ . For short,  $G$  is directed, Riesz, and unperforated [8, p. 44]. By Elliott classification theory [4], countable unital dimension groups are complete classifiers of AF  $C^*$ -algebras, i.e., the norm limits of ascending sequences of finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras, all with the same unit.

Given a unital  $\ell$ -group  $(G, u)$  let  $(G, u)_{\dim}$  denote the underlying group of  $(G, u)$  equipped with the same positive cone  $G^+$  and order-unit  $u$ , but forgetting the lattice structure of  $(G, u)$ . Then  $(G, u)_{\dim}$  is a unital dimension group. Thus in particular, every unital simplicial group is a unital dimension group. Since the properties of directedness, Riesz, and unperforatedness are preserved by direct limits, then direct limits of unital simplicial groups are unital dimension groups.

The Effros–Handelman–Shen theorem [4], [8, 3.21] (also see Grillet’s theorem [10, 2.1] jointly with [9, Remark 3.2]) states the converse: for every unital dimension group  $(G, u)$  we can write

$$(G, u) \cong \lim \{ \phi_{ij}: (\mathbb{Z}^{n_i}, u_i) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}^{n_j}, u_j) \mid i, j \in I \}$$

for some direct system of unital simplicial groups and unital positive homomorphisms in  $\mathcal{P}$ . For dimension groups of the form  $(G, u)_{\dim}$ , with  $(G, u)$  a unital  $\ell$ -group, Marra [11] proved that the maps  $\phi_{ij}$  can be assumed to be 1–1.

A further simplification occurs when  $(G, u)$  has a basis: as a matter of fact, in Theorem 5.3 below we will prove that the set of bases of  $(G, u)$  is rich enough to provide a direct system of unital simplicial groups and 1–1 unital homomorphisms such that  $(G, u)_{\dim}$  is the limit of this system in the category  $\mathcal{P}$ . To this purpose, given a basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  of a unital  $\ell$ -group  $(G, u)$ , we let  $\text{grp } \mathcal{B} = \mathbb{Z}b_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}b_n$  denote the group generated by  $\mathcal{B}$  in (the underlying group of)  $G$ . Similarly,  $\text{sgr } \mathcal{B} = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}b_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}b_n$  will denote the semigroup generated by  $\mathcal{B}$  together with the zero element.

Assuming, as we are doing throughout the rest of this paper, that the elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  are listed in some prescribed order, by definition of  $\mathcal{B}$  the  $n$ -tuple of multiplicities  $m_{\mathcal{B}} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$  is uniquely determined by the  $n$ -tuple  $(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  be a basis of a unital  $\ell$ -group  $(G, u)$ . Let  $G_{\mathcal{B}} = (\text{grp } \mathcal{B}, \text{sgr } \mathcal{B}, u)$  denote the group  $\text{grp } \mathcal{B}$  equipped with the positive cone  $\text{sgr } \mathcal{B}$  and with the distinguished order-unit  $u = \sum m_i b_i$ . Let the simplicial group  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{B}}$  be defined by  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{B}} = (\mathbb{Z}^n, (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n, m_{\mathcal{B}})$ , with the  $n$ -tuple  $m_{\mathcal{B}}$  as the order-unit. Then:*

- (I)  $\mathcal{B}$  is a free generating set of the free abelian group  $\text{grp } \mathcal{B}$  of rank  $n$ .
- (II)  $G^+ \cap \text{grp } \mathcal{B} = \text{sgr } \mathcal{B}$ .
- (III) The map  $b_i \mapsto e_i$  uniquely extends to an isomorphism  $\psi_{\mathcal{B}} : \text{grp } \mathcal{B} \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ .
- (IV)  $\psi_{\mathcal{B}}$  is in fact an isomorphism (in the category  $\mathcal{P}$ ) of  $G_{\mathcal{B}}$  onto  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{B}}$ , whence  $G_{\mathcal{B}}$  is a unital simplicial group, called the basic group of  $\mathcal{B}$ ; further,  $\mathcal{B}$  is the set of atoms (= minimal positive nonzero elements) of  $G_{\mathcal{B}}$ ; thus if  $\mathcal{B}' \neq \mathcal{B}$  is another basis of  $(G, u)$  then  $G_{\mathcal{B}} \neq G_{\mathcal{B}'}$ .

**Proof.** (I) By condition (ii) in the definition of  $\mathcal{B}$ , no nonzero linear combination of the elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  is zero in (the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module)  $G$ . Since  $G$  is torsion-free,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a free generating set in  $\text{grp } \mathcal{B}$ , and  $\text{grp } \mathcal{B}$  is free abelian of rank  $n$ .

(II) Suppose  $g \in G^+ \cap \text{grp } \mathcal{B}$ , and write  $g = \sum_{i=1}^n l_i b_i$  for suitable integers  $l_1, \dots, l_n$ . Fix now  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and let  $n_j$  be the only maximal ideal of  $G$  such that  $b_k \in n_j$  for all  $k \neq j$ , as given by condition (ii) in the definition of  $\mathcal{B}$ . By condition (iii) we have

$$0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n l_i b_i \Rightarrow 0 \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n l_i b_i}{n_j} = \frac{l_j b_j}{n_j} = \frac{l_j}{m_j},$$

whence  $0 \leq l_j$  for all  $j$ , and  $g \in \text{sgr } \mathcal{B}$ . The converse inclusion is trivial.

(III) The map  $b_i \mapsto e_i$  is a one–one correspondence between the free generating set  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\text{grp } \mathcal{B}$  and the free generating set  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ .

(IV) It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{B}$  is the set of atoms of  $G_{\mathcal{B}}$ , and  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is the set of atoms of the simplicial group  $(\mathbb{Z}^n, (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n)$ . Thus  $\psi_{\mathcal{B}}$  is an isomorphism of  $G_{\mathcal{B}}$  onto  $(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{Z}^{+n})$ , and  $G_{\mathcal{B}}$  is simplicial. Trivially,  $\psi_{\mathcal{B}}$  preserves order-units. So  $G_{\mathcal{B}}$  is a unital simplicial group which is isomorphic (in  $\mathcal{P}$ ) to  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{B}}$ . The rest is clear.  $\square$

Given two bases  $\mathcal{B}'$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  of a unital  $\ell$ -group  $(G, u)$  we say that  $\mathcal{B}'$  *refines*  $\mathcal{B}$  if  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{sgr } \mathcal{B}'$ . Then from the above proposition we immediately obtain

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}' = \{b'_1, \dots, b'_{n'}\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  be bases of a unital  $\ell$ -group  $(G, u)$  such that  $\mathcal{B}'$  refines  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $b_i$  is expressible as a linear combination  $b_i = m_{1i}b'_1 + \dots + m_{n'i}b'_{n'}$ , for uniquely determined integers  $m_{ki} \geq 0$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n'$ ). Further, the rank of the  $n' \times n$  matrix  $M_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}'}$  whose entries are the  $m_{ki}$ , equals  $n$ . Finally, the inclusion  $G_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{B}'}$  induces the unital positive 1–1 homomorphism  $\phi_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}'} : (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mapsto (z_1, \dots, z_{n'}) = M_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}'}(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n'}$  of  $(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{B}}, m_{\mathcal{B}})$  into  $(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{B}'}, m_{\mathcal{B}'})$ , and we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G_{\mathcal{B}} & \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} & G_{\mathcal{B}'} \\
 \downarrow \psi_{\mathcal{B}} & & \downarrow \psi_{\mathcal{B}'} \\
 (\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{B}}, m_{\mathcal{B}}) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}'}} & (\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{B}'}, m_{\mathcal{B}'})
 \end{array} \tag{15}$$

**Theorem 5.3.** *Suppose the unital  $\ell$ -group  $(G, u)$  has a basis.*

- (I) Any two basic groups  $G_{\mathcal{B}}, G_{\mathcal{F}}$  of  $(G, u)$  are jointly embeddable (by unit preserving, order preserving inclusions) into some basic group  $G_{\mathcal{B}'}$  of  $(G, u)$ .
- (II) There exists a direct system  $\{\phi_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}'} : (\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{B}}, m_{\mathcal{B}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{B}'}, m_{\mathcal{B}'})\}$  of unital simplicial groups and unital positive 1–1 homomorphisms in  $\mathcal{P}$ , indexed by all pairs  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'$  of bases of  $(G, u)$  such that  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{sgr } \mathcal{B}'$ .
- (III) Further,  $\lim\{\phi_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}'} : (\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{B}}, m_{\mathcal{B}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{B}'}, m_{\mathcal{B}'})\} \cong (G, u)_{\dim}$ .

**Proof.** (I)–(II) By Theorem 3.1,  $(G, u)$  is finitely presented, and for some  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and principal ideal  $j$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ ,  $(G, u)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_n/j$ . Suppose  $j$  is generated by  $f \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . A variant of [7, 5.2] shows that  $\mathcal{M}_n/j \cong \mathcal{M}_n \upharpoonright \mathcal{Z}f$ . A fortiori,  $(G, u)$  is archimedean. From [12, 5.4] it follows that  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  have a joint refinement  $\mathcal{B}'$ . Direct inspection of that proof shows that  $\mathcal{B}'$  is obtained from  $\mathcal{B}$  by finitely many applications of the following operation: Replace a 2-cluster  $\{b, c\}$  of a basis  $\mathcal{A}$ , by the three elements  $b \wedge c, b - (b \wedge c), c - (b \wedge c)$ . The result is a basis  $\mathcal{A}'$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{sgr } \mathcal{A}'$ . Thus  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{sgr } \mathcal{B}'$ . From Proposition 5.2 we now obtain (I) and (II). For (III), in view of (15) it is sufficient to prove  $G = \bigcup\{\text{grp } \mathcal{B} \mid \mathcal{B} \text{ a basis of } (G, u)\}$  and  $G^+ = \bigcup\{\text{sgr } \mathcal{B} \mid \mathcal{B} \text{ a basis of } (G, u)\}$ . Since  $G = G^+ - G^+$ , we need only prove that for every  $p \in G^+$ ,  $(G, u)$  has a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $p \in \text{sgr } \mathcal{B}$ . Since  $(G, u) \cong \mathcal{M}_n \upharpoonright \mathcal{Z}f$  is archimedean, the proof follows from [12, 5.4].  $\square$

**References**

- [1] K.A. Baker, Free vector lattices, *Canad. J. Math.* 20 (1968) 58–66.
- [2] W.M. Beynon, Applications of duality in the theory of finitely generated lattice-ordered abelian groups, *Canad. J. Math.* 29 (2) (1977) 243–254.
- [3] A. Bigard, K. Keimel, S. Wolfenstein, *Groupes et Anneaux Réticulés*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 608, Springer, New York, 1977.

- [4] E.G. Effros, D.E. Handelman, C.-L. Shen, Dimension groups and their affine representations, *Amer. J. Math.* 102 (1980) 385–407.
- [5] G. Ewald, *Combinatorial Convexity and Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, New York, 1996.
- [6] L. Fuchs, *Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1963.
- [7] A.M.W. Glass, *Partially Ordered Groups*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1999.
- [8] K.R. Goodearl, *Partially Ordered Abelian Groups with Interpolation*, *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, vol. 20, Amer. Math. Soc, 1986.
- [9] K.R. Goodearl, F. Wehrung, Representations of distributive semilattices in ideal lattices of various algebraic structures, *Algebra Universalis* 45 (2001) 71–102.
- [10] P.A. Grillet, Directed colimits of free commutative semigroups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 9 (1976) 73–87.
- [11] V. Marra, Every abelian  $\ell$ -group is ultrasimplicial, *J. Algebra* 225 (2000) 872–884.
- [12] V. Marra, D. Mundici, The Lebesgue state of a unital abelian lattice-ordered group, *J. Group Theory* 10 (2007) 655–684.
- [13] D. Mundici, Interpretation of AF  $C^*$ -algebras in Łukasiewicz sentential calculus, *J. Funct. Anal.* 65 (1986) 15–63.