



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra



2-blocks with abelian hyperfocal subgroup of rank 3



Xueqin Hu^{*}, Yuanyang Zhou^{*}

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University,
Wuhan 430079, China*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 2 December 2018
Available online 26 March 2019
Communicated by Markus
Linckelmann

Keywords:

Hyperfocal subgroup
Brauer character
Lower defect group
Brauer category

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we calculate the numbers of irreducible ordinary characters and irreducible Brauer characters in a block of a finite group G , whose associated fusion system over a 2-subgroup P of G (which is a defect group of the block) has an abelian hyperfocal subgroup of rank 3.

© 2019 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, p is a prime and \mathcal{O} is a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field k and with fraction field \mathcal{K} ; we always assume that \mathcal{K} is of characteristic 0 and big enough for finite groups discussed below and that k is algebraically closed of characteristic p .

Let G be a finite group and b a block (idempotent) of G over \mathcal{O} . Let (P, b_P) be a maximal b -Brauer pair. Denote by Q the hyperfocal subgroup of P with respect to (P, b_P) (see [9]), which is generated by the subsets $[U, x]$, where (U, b_U) runs on the

^{*} Corresponding authors.

E-mail address: hxq@mail.ccnu.edu.cn (X. Hu).

set of b -Brauer pairs such that $(U, b_U) \subseteq (P, b_P)$, x runs on the set of p' -elements of $N_G(U, b_U)$ and $[U, x]$ denotes the set of commutators $uxu^{-1}x^{-1}$ for $u \in U$.

Let c be the Brauer correspondent of b in $N_G(Q)$. Rouquier conjectures that the block algebras $\mathcal{O}Gb$ and $\mathcal{O}N_G(Q)c$ are basically Rickard equivalent when Q is abelian (see [8]). Denote by $l(b)$ and $k(b)$ the number of irreducible Brauer and ordinary characters belonging to the block b respectively. The conjecture implies that $l(b) = l(c)$ and $k(b) = k(c)$ when the hyperfocal subgroup Q is abelian. The two equations have been investigated by Watanabe when Q is cyclic (see [13]) and by us when Q is $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ (see [6]), where \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} denotes the cyclic group of order 2^n . In the latter case, we only get a partial result.

In this paper, we continue to investigate the two equations when Q is an abelian 2-group of rank 3. We use the strategy in [6,13], but the computation here is more difficult. In order to state our main result, we need the Brauer category of the block b and the fusion control. The Brauer category $\mathcal{F}_{(P, b_P)}(G, b)$ of the block b is a category with objects all b -Brauer pairs contained in (P, b_P) and with morphisms induced by conjugations of elements of G . The block b is controlled by a subgroup H of G if any morphism in $\mathcal{F}_{(P, b_P)}(G, b)$ is induced by the conjugation of some element in H (see [12, §49]).

Theorem 1.1. *Keep the notation as above and assume that Q is an abelian 2-group of rank 3. Then the block b is controlled by $N_G(P, b_P)$ and the inertial index of the block b has only two possibilities: 7 or 21. Moreover, supposing $|Q| \leq |Z(P)|$ and denoting by b_0 the Brauer correspondent of b in $N_G(P)$, we have*

- (i) $l(b) = l(b_0) = 7$ and $k(b) = k(b_0)$, if the inertial index of the block b is 7;
- (ii) $l(b) = l(b_0) = 5$ and $k(b) = k(b_0)$, if the inertial index of the block b is 21.

Remark 1.2. By Propositions 4.1 and 5.1 below, the condition $|Q| \leq |Z(P)|$ in Theorem 1.1 is automatically satisfied when Q is an elementary abelian 2-group of order 8.

Example 1.3. There are examples where the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 are not satisfied. Assume that Q is $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$. There is an involution t in the center of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(Q)$ of Q , of which the fixed points are the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ of Q . Let F_7 be a Sylow 7-subgroup of $\text{Aut}(Q)$. Set $L = Q \rtimes (F_7 \times \langle t \rangle)$. Take any 2'-group H . Denote by G the wreath product $H \wr L$. Let b be the principal block of G and P a Sylow 2-subgroup containing Q . Then Q is a hyperfocal subgroup of the block b and the inertial index of the block b is 7. But it is easy to check that $Z(P)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Similarly, with the notation above, there is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(Q)$ of order 21. Denote it by F_{21} . Set $K = Q \rtimes (F_{21} \times \langle t \rangle)$. Denote by \tilde{G} the wreath product $H \wr K$. Consider the principal block \tilde{b} of \tilde{G} and a Sylow 2-subgroup \tilde{P} containing Q . Then Q is a hyperfocal subgroup of the block \tilde{b} and the inertial index of the block \tilde{b} is 21. But the center $Z(\tilde{P})$ of \tilde{P} is $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

The notation and assumption in this section will be kept in the rest of the paper. In particular, p is 2.

2. Preliminaries

Denote by Q_0 the subgroup of Q which is $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. The following lemma is well-known.

Lemma 2.1. ([2, Chapter 6, 6.2]) *The automorphism group $\text{Aut}(Q_0)$ of Q_0 , denoted by A , is isomorphic to $\text{GL}(3, 2)$, the simple group of order 168. Denote by A_2, A_3, A_7 a Sylow 2-subgroup and Sylow 3-subgroup and Sylow 7-subgroup of A respectively. Then the following holds.*

- (1). *If $\theta \in \text{Aut}(Q_0)$ has order 7, then θ acts freely on $Q_0 - \{1\}$;*
- (2). *$|N_A(A_2)| = 8$, $|N_A(A_3)| = 6$ and $|N_A(A_7)| = 21$;*
- (3). *A_2 is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_8 , and $N_A(A_3)$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_3 on 3 symbols;*
- (4). *A has no proper subgroup of index less than 7;*
- (5). *A has no element of order 6;*
- (6). *Let U be a Klein four group of A_2 . Then $N_A(U) \cong S_4$, the symmetric group on 4 symbols;*
- (7). *A has two isomorphism classes of maximal subgroups, one of which is S_4 , another one of which has order 21.*

As in [13], a p -complement of $N_G(P, b_P)/C_G(P)$ is called an inertial group of the block b . Inertial groups of the block b are isomorphic to $N_G(P, b_P)/PC_G(P)$ and their order is the inertial index of the block b . Let R be a normal p -subgroup of G such that $|G : C_G(R)|$ is a p -power. For any subset X of G , denote by \bar{X} the image of X under the canonical surjective $G \rightarrow G/R$.

Lemma 2.2. *Keep the notation and the assumption in the paragraph above. Let \bar{b} be the block of \bar{G} determined by the block b . Then \bar{Q} is a hyperfocal subgroup of the block \bar{b} and an inertial group of the block \bar{b} is isomorphic to that of the block b . Moreover, the block b is controlled by the normalizer of its maximal Brauer pair if and only if the block \bar{b} is controlled by the normalizer of its maximal Brauer pair.*

Proof. It is well known that \bar{P} is a defect group of the block \bar{b} . For any subgroup T of P containing R , by the proof of [13, Lemma 8] the b -Brauer pair (T, b_T) determines a unique \bar{b} -Brauer pair $(\bar{T}, \bar{b}_{\bar{T}})$. Let $\hat{C}_G(T)$ be the preimage of $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{T})$ in G and $\hat{N}_G(T, b_T)$ the preimage of $N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{T}, \bar{b}_{\bar{T}})$ in G . Put \bar{Q}' be the hyperfocal subgroup of \bar{P}

with respect to $(\bar{P}, \bar{b}_{\bar{P}})$. Then by [9, 1.7], $\bar{Q}' = \langle [\bar{T}, Op(N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{T}, \bar{b}_{\bar{T}}))] | R \leq T \leq P \rangle$ and $Q = \langle [T, Op(N_G(T, b_T))] | R \leq T \leq P \rangle$ since R is normal in G . It is clear that $Op(N_G(T, b_T)) \leq Op(\hat{N}_G(T, b_T))$. We have $\bar{Q} \leq \bar{Q}'$. At the same time $\bar{Q}' \leq \bar{Q}$ by the proof of [13, Lemma 8]. So we have $\bar{Q}' = \bar{Q}$.

It is clear that $C_G(T) \leq \hat{C}_G(T)$ and $|\hat{C}_G(T) : C_G(T)|$ is a p -power and $\hat{N}_G(T, b_T) = \hat{C}_G(T)N_G(T, b_T)$ (see [13, Lemma 8]). So an inertial group of the block \bar{b} is isomorphic to $N_G(P, b_P)/(N_G(P, b_P) \cap P\hat{C}_G(P))$. Since $PC_G(P)/C_G(P)$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of $N_G(P, b_P)/C_G(P)$ and $\hat{C}_G(P)/C_G(P)$ is a p -group, we have $N_G(P, b_P) \cap P\hat{C}_G(P) = PC_G(P)$. So the two blocks b and \bar{b} have isomorphic inertial groups.

Suppose that the block b is controlled by $N_G(P, b_P)$. Assume that $(\bar{S}, \bar{b}_{\bar{S}})$ is an essential \bar{b} -Brauer pair which is contained in $(\bar{P}, \bar{b}_{\bar{P}})$. Then the \bar{b} -Brauer pair $(\bar{S}, \bar{b}_{\bar{S}})$ is uniquely determined by a b -Brauer pair (S, b_S) such that $R \leq S \leq P$. Since the block b is controlled by $N_G(P, b_P)$, we have

$$\hat{N}_G(S, b_S) = \hat{C}_G(S)N_G(S, b_S) = \hat{C}_G(S)(N_G(P, b_P) \cap N_G(S)).$$

This implies that $N_{\bar{P}}(\bar{S})C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{S})/C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{S})$ is a normal p -subgroup of $N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{S}, \bar{b}_{\bar{S}})/C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{S})$. Then we have $N_{\bar{P}}(\bar{S})C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{S}) = \bar{S}C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{S})$. Since $C_{\bar{P}}(\bar{S}) \leq \bar{S}$, we have $N_{\bar{P}}(\bar{S}) = \bar{S}$ which is impossible. So the block \bar{b} is controlled by $N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{P}, \bar{b}_{\bar{P}})$. Conversely, assume that (D, b_D) is an essential b -Brauer pair. So $R \leq D$ since R is normal in G . Since the block \bar{b} is controlled by $N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{P}, \bar{b}_{\bar{P}})$, $N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{D}, \bar{b}_{\bar{D}}) = C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{D})(N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{P}, \bar{b}_{\bar{P}}) \cap N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{D}, \bar{b}_{\bar{D}}))$. So $\hat{N}_G(D, b_D) = \hat{C}_G(D)(\hat{N}_G(P, b_P) \cap \hat{N}_G(D, b_D))$. This means that $N_P(D)(\hat{C}_G(D) \cap N_G(D, b_D))/C_G(D)$ is a normal p -subgroup of $N_G(D, b_D)/C_G(D)$ since $\hat{C}_G(D)/C_G(D)$ is a p -group. So $N_P(D)(\hat{C}_G(D) \cap N_G(D, b_D)) = DC_G(D)$. Hence $N_P(D) = D$ since $C_P(D) \leq D$. That is impossible. We are done. \square

Lemma 2.3. *The block b_{Q_0} of $C_G(Q_0)$ is nilpotent.*

Proof. By the proof of [6, Lemma 2.2], we may assume that G is equal to $N_G(Q, b_Q)$. Suppose that $C_G(Q_0)/C_G(Q)$ has a nontrivial $2'$ -subgroup F . The group F can be viewed as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(Q)$. By [5, Chapter 5, Theorem 2.3], $Q = [Q, F] \times C_Q(F)$. But Q_0 is contained in $C_Q(F)$. That is impossible. So $C_G(Q_0)/C_G(Q)$ has to be a 2-group. Since the block b_{Q_0} of $C_G(Q_0)$ covers the block b_Q of $C_G(Q)$ which is nilpotent by [9, Proposition 4.2], the block b_{Q_0} is nilpotent. \square

For any subgroup H of G and block d of H , denote by d^G the induced block of d if it exists (see [1, §14]).

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that the block b is controlled by $N_G(P, b_P)$ and Q_0 is in the center of P . Let R be a subgroup of P . For any subgroup K of $N_G(R, b_R)$ containing $C_G(R)$, set $d = (b_R)^K$. Then there exists an inertial group $E/C_G(P)$ of the block b such that an inertial group of the block d is isomorphic to $(K \cap E)/C_G(P)$.*

Proof. Since the block b is controlled by $N_G(P, b_P)$, $P \cap K$ is a defect group of the block d . Set $\hat{R} = P \cap K$. Denote by \mathcal{F} the Brauer category of the block b with respect to the maximal b -Brauer pair (P, b_P) . Let $N_{\mathcal{F}}^K(R)$ be the K -normalizer of R in \mathcal{F} (see [10, 2.14]). By [10, Corollary 3.6], we may choose a suitable $d_{\hat{R}}$, so that $N_{\mathcal{F}}^K(R)$ is the Brauer category $\mathcal{F}_{(\hat{R}, d_{\hat{R}})}(K, d)$. By the definition of $N_{\mathcal{F}}^K(R)$, $N_K(\hat{R}, d_{\hat{R}}) = C_K(\hat{R})(K \cap N_G(P, b_P))$. Set $X = K \cap N_G(P, b_P)$. Since $N_G(P, b_P)/C_G(P)$ has a normal Sylow p -subgroup $PC_G(P)/C_G(P)$ and $C_G(P) \leq K$, $X/C_G(P) = \left((X/C_G(P)) \cap (PC_G(P)/C_G(P)) \right) \cdot \left((X/C_G(P)) \cap (E/C_G(P)) \right)$ for some inertial group $E/C_G(P)$ of the block b . So $X = (X \cap P) \cdot (X \cap E)$. Hence $N_K(\hat{R}, b_{\hat{R}})/\hat{R}C_G(\hat{R})$ is isomorphic to $(K \cap E)/((K \cap E) \cap \hat{R}C_K(\hat{R}))$. Since $E/C_G(P)$ is a p' -group, $E \cap \hat{R}C_K(\hat{R}) = E \cap C_K(\hat{R})$. Since Q_0 is in the center of P , Q_0 belongs to \hat{R} . By Lemma 2.3, we have $E \cap C_G(Q_0) = E \cap N_G(P, b_P) \cap C_G(Q_0) = E \cap PC_G(P) = C_G(P)$. So $E \cap C_K(\hat{R}) \leq E \cap C_G(Q_0) = C_G(P)$. Therefore we have $K \cap E \cap \hat{R}C_K(\hat{R}) = C_G(P)$. Then we can get $N_K(\hat{R}, b_{\hat{R}})/\hat{R}C_K(\hat{R})$ is isomorphic to $(K \cap E)/C_G(P)$. \square

Let R be a lower defect group (see [4, Chapter V]) of b associated with the identity element of G , and denote by $m(b, R)$ its multiplicity.

Lemma 2.5. ([11, Lemma 2.4]) *If $Q < P$ and $|Q| \leq |Z(P)|$, then $m(b, 1) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that there is a simple $\mathcal{O}Gb$ -module M with a vertex R which is contained in Q . By [12, Theorem (41.6)], there exists a self-centralizing b -Brauer pair (R, h) . So there is an element x of G such that $(R, h)^x \subseteq (P, b_P)$. Then $|Z(P)| \leq |C_P(R^x)| \leq |R^x| = |R| \leq |Q| \leq |Z(P)|$. Hence $R^x = Z(P)$ which implies that $R = P$. This is a contradiction. By the proof of [13, Theorem 4], any Cartan integer of the block b is divisible by p . So we have $m(b, 1) = 0$. \square

Let (Q, b_Q) be the b -Brauer pair contained in (P, b_P) . For simplicity, we will call $|N_G(Q, b_Q)/C_G(Q)|_{p'}$ the hyperfocal inertial index of the block b and denote it by f .

Lemma 2.6. *Keep the notation and assumption above. If the block b is controlled by $N_G(P, b_P)$, the inertial index of the block b is equal to f .*

Proof. Since the block b_Q is nilpotent (see [9, Proposition 4.2]), we have $N_G(P, b_P) \cap C_G(Q) = C_P(Q)C_G(P)$. At the same time, the block b is controlled by $N_G(P, b_P)$. Hence $N_G(Q, b_Q)/C_G(Q) = N_G(P, b_P)C_G(Q)/C_G(Q) \cong N_G(P, b_P)/C_P(Q)C_G(P)$. Then the inertial index of the block b is equal to f since $PC_G(P)/C_G(P)$ is the Sylow p -subgroup of $N_G(P, b_P)/C_G(P)$. \square

By assumption, Q is $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$, where n, m, s are positive integers. If n, m, s are different, $\text{Aut}(Q)$ is a 2-group, and by [13, Theorem 2] the block b is nilpotent. That

contradicts with $|Q|$ bigger than 1. So Q has to be $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$. In this case, f has three possibilities: 3, or 7, or 21. In sequel, we treat the three cases separately.

3. The case $f = 3$

In this section, we show that the case $f = 3$ does not happen.

Proposition 3.1. *The hyperfocal inertial index of the block b is not 3.*

Proof. In order to prove the proposition, by [13, Theorem 2], we may assume that $G = N_G(Q, b_Q)$ and $b = b_Q$. In particular, $C_G(Q_0)$ is normal in G . Suppose $f = 3$.

Firstly, we assume that the block b is controlled by $N_G(P, b_P)$. Let E be a subgroup of $N_G(P, b_P)$ such that $E/C_G(P)$ is an inertial group of the block b . By Alperin’s fusion theorem, the hyperfocal subgroup Q is equal to $[P, E]$. By [5, Chapter 5, Theorem 3.6], $[Q, E] = [P, E, E] = [P, E] = Q$. Since the block b_Q of $C_G(Q)$ is nilpotent, we have $E \cap C_G(Q) = C_G(P)$. Since $f = 3$, $EC_G(Q)/C_G(Q)$ has order 3. Hence $C_Q(E)$ is not trivial. But this contradicts $Q = [Q, E]$.

Secondly, we assume that the block b is not controlled by $N_G(P, b_P)$. Let (S, b_S) be an essential b -Brauer pair contained in (P, b_P) . Since Q_0 is normal in G , we have $Q_0 \leq S$. Set $N = N_G(S, b_S)$ and $P_0 = C_P(Q_0)$. Since the block b_{Q_0} is G -stable, P_0 is a defect group of the block b_{Q_0} . By Lemma 2.3, we have $N \cap C_G(Q_0) = N_{P_0}(S)C_G(S)$. Since (S, b_S) is essential, P_0 has to be contained in S .

Suppose $P_0 < S$. The inclusion $N \subset G$ induces an injective homomorphism

$$N/N_{P_0}(S)C_G(S) \longrightarrow G/C_G(Q_0).$$

Since $N/P_0C_G(S)$ is not a 2-group and $|G/C_G(Q)|_{2'}$ equals 3, $SC_G(Q_0)/C_G(Q_0)$ is a nontrivial proper subgroup of $PC_G(Q_0)/C_G(Q_0)$ normalized by a Sylow 3-subgroup. By Lemma 2.1, $G/C_G(Q_0)$ is isomorphic to S_4 , S/P_0 is isomorphic to the Klein four group, P/P_0 is isomorphic to D_8 , and the index of S in P is 2. So S is normal in P and the block b_S is P -stable. Therefore $PC_G(S)/P_0C_G(S)$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N/P_0C_G(S)$. Then we can get $N/P_0C_G(S) \cong G/C_G(Q_0) \cong S_4$. So there exists a subgroup K of N such that K contains $C_G(S)$, $K/C_G(S)$ has order 3 and $K \cap S = Z(S)$. Take an element $x \in K$ such that $xC_G(S)$ has order 3 in $N/C_G(S)$. Then we have $[s, x] \in Q$ for any $s \in S$ and $[sC_G(Q_0), xC_G(Q_0)] = 1$ in $G/C_G(Q_0)$. But $xC_G(Q_0)$ has order 3 in $G/C_G(Q_0)$. This is impossible by Lemma 2.1.

Hence $S = P_0$. Then (S, b_S) is the unique essential b -Brauer pair contained in (P, b_P) . Since the block b_{Q_0} is nilpotent by Lemma 2.3, $N_G(P, b_P) \cap C_G(Q_0) = P_0C_G(P)$. The inclusion $N_G(P, b_P) \subset G$ induces an injective homomorphism $N_G(P, b_P)/P_0C_G(P) \longrightarrow G/C_G(Q_0)$. Suppose that the inertial index of the block b is not 1. Since $|G/C_G(Q_0)|_{2'} = 3$, the homomorphism is an isomorphism and $PC_G(Q_0)/C_G(Q_0)$ is normal in $G/C_G(Q_0)$. Since the preimage of $PC_G(Q_0)/C_G(Q_0)$ in $N/SC_G(S)$ is $PC_G(S)/SC_G(S)$, $PC_G(S)/$

$SC_G(S)$ is normal in $N/SC_G(S)$. This is impossible. So $N_G(P, b_P) = PC_G(P)$. Then the block b is controlled by $N_G(S)$ by Alperin’s fusion theorem. Consequently, we have $N/SC_G(S) \cong G/C_G(Q_0)$ since $N \cap C_G(Q_0) = N_{P_0}(S)C_G(S) = SC_G(S)$. There is a subgroup F of N such that F contains $C_G(S)$, $F/C_G(S)$ is a Sylow 3-subgroup of $N/C_G(S)$ and $F \cap S = Z(S)$. By [9, 1.7], the hyperfocal subgroup Q is equal to $[S, F]$, which is equal to $[Q, F]$ by [5, Chapter 5, Theorem 3.6]. But this is impossible since $C_Q(F)$ is nontrivial. We are done. \square

4. The case $f = 7$

In this section, we always assume that f is equal to 7. Then the hyperfocal subgroup Q has to be $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ for some positive integer n .

Proposition 4.1. *The block b is controlled by $N_G(P, b_P)$, $Q_0 \leq Z(P)$ and the inertial index of the block b is 7.*

Proof. In order to prove the proposition, by [13, Theorem 2] we may assume that $G = N_G(Q, b_Q)$ and $b = b_Q$. Then $C_G(Q_0)$ is normal in G . Since $f = 7$, $G/C_G(Q_0)$ is a $\{2, 7\}$ -subgroup of $\text{Aut}(Q_0)$. By Lemma 2.1, the order of $G/C_G(Q_0)$ is either 7 or 14. If $G/C_G(Q_0)$ has order 14, the Sylow 7-subgroup of $G/C_G(Q_0)$ has to be normal. That contradicts Lemma 2.1 (2). So $G/C_G(Q_0)$ is a 7-group. Since the block b_{Q_0} of $C_G(Q_0)$ is nilpotent by Lemma 2.3, the block b is inertial by [16, Theorem]. In particular, the block b is controlled by $N_G(P, b_P)$. Again, since the block b_{Q_0} of $C_G(Q_0)$ is nilpotent, $N_G(P, b_P) \cap C_G(Q_0) = P_0C_G(P)$, where P_0 is $C_P(Q_0)$. So the inclusion $N_G(P, b_P) \subset G$ induces an injective homomorphism $N_G(P, b_P)/P_0C_G(P) \rightarrow G/C_G(Q_0)$, which has to be an isomorphism. Consequently, $P_0 = P$ and the inertial index of the block b is 7 by Lemma 2.6. \square

Lemma 4.2. *For any subgroup R of P , let K be a subgroup of $N_G(R, b_R)$ containing $C_G(R)$. Set $d = (b_R)^K$. Then the hyperfocal subgroup \hat{Q} of the block d is either trivial or $\mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$, where m is a positive integer not more than n . Furthermore the block d has the hyperfocal inertial index either 1 or 7.*

Proof. By [6, Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6], the block d has a defect group $\hat{R} = P \cap K$ and a hyperfocal subgroup \hat{Q} contained in Q , and it is controlled by $N_K(\hat{R})$. By Lemma 2.4, there exists an inertial group $E/C_G(P)$ of the block b such that $(E \cap K)/C_G(P)$ is isomorphic to an inertial group of the block d . By Proposition 4.1, the inertial index of the block b is 7. So the inertial index of the block d is 1 or 7. Hence \hat{Q} is either trivial or $\mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$ for a suitable positive integer n , and the hyperfocal inertial index of the block d is 1 or 7 by Lemma 2.6. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that $P = Q$. For a subgroup R of P , we have*

$$m(b, R) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } R \text{ is conjugate to } P; \\ 6 & \text{if } R \text{ is equal to } 1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $Q \neq 1$, $l(b) = 7$ by [3, Corollary 1.2] and [14, Corollary]. In order to prove the lemma, by [6, Equation (3.2)] it suffices to prove $m((b_R)^{N_G(R, b_R)}, R) = 0$ for any nontrivial proper subgroup R of P . Let R be a nontrivial proper subgroup of P . Suppose that $m((b_R)^{N_G(R, b_R)}, R) \geq 1$. Set $d = (b_R)^{N_G(R, b_R)}$ and $g = (b_R)^{R C_G(R)}$. Clearly the block g is nilpotent, $l(g) = 1$ and $m(g, R) = 0$. By [7, Theorem 5.12], $m(d, R) \leq m(g, R)$. This is a contradiction. \square

Let E be a subgroup of $N_G(P, b_P)$ such that $E/C_G(P)$ is an inertial group of the block b . Then we have $P = [P, E] \rtimes C_P(E)$. By Proposition 4.1, $E/C_G(P)$ has order 7. Since the block b is controlled by $N_G(P, b_P)$ and $f = 7$, $EC_G(Q)/C_G(Q)$ has to be of order 7. Then $Q = [P, E] = [Q, E]$ since $EC_G(Q)/C_G(Q)$ acts freely on the set of nonidentity elements of Q .

Lemma 4.4. *Set $R = C_P(E)$, $N = N_G(R, b_R)$ and $d = (b_R)^N$. Then $m(d, R) = 6$.*

Proof. Set $\hat{R} = N_P(R)$. Then \hat{R} is a defect group of the block d and $N = C_G(R)\hat{R}$ by Proposition 4.1. By Lemma 2.4, the inertial index of the block d is 7. So by [6, Lemma 3.1], $m(d, R) = m(\bar{d}, 1)$, where \bar{d} is the block of N/R determined by the block d . The block \bar{d} has a defect group \hat{R}/R , which is isomorphic to $N_Q(R)$. By Lemma 2.2, the inertial index of the block \bar{d} is 7. Then by Lemma 2.1, \hat{R}/R is a hyperfocal subgroup of the block \hat{d} . By Lemma 4.3, we are done. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Assume that $|Q| \leq |Z(P)|$. We have $l(b) = l(b_0) = 7$.*

Proof. By [13, Theorem 2] and Proposition 4.1, the blocks b and b_0 have equivalent Brauer categories. So in order to prove the lemma, it suffices to prove that $l(b) = 7$. By [3, Corollary 1.2] and [14, Corollary], we have $l(b) = 7$ when $Q = P$. So we assume that Q is a proper subgroup of P . We prove $l(b) = 7$ by induction on $|G|$.

Let R be a proper subgroup of P such that $m((b_R)^{N_G(R, b_R)}, R) \neq 0$. We set $N = N_G(R, b_R)$ and $d = (b_R)^{N_G(R, b_R)}$. By Proposition 4.1, $\hat{R} = N_P(R)$ is a defect group of the block d . Since $m(d, R) \neq 0$, the block d is not nilpotent. By Lemma 4.2, the hyperfocal subgroup \hat{Q} of the block d is $\mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$ for a suitable positive integer and the hyperfocal inertial index of it is 7. Then by Proposition 4.1, the inertial index of the block d is 7. By Lemma 2.4, there is a suitable inertial group $E/C_G(P)$ of the block b such that $(E \cap N)/C_G(P)$ is isomorphic to an inertial group of the block d . Since the two blocks b and d have the same inertial index, we have $E \subseteq N$ and $E/C_G(P)$ is isomorphic to an inertial group of the block d .

Suppose that $N < G$. By induction, we have $l(d) = 7$. Then by Lemma 4.4 and [6, Equation (3.2)], $R = C_{\tilde{R}}(E) = N_{C_P(E)}(R)$. Hence $R = C_P(E)$. Again, by Lemma 4.4 and [6, Equation (3.2)], we have $l(b) = 7$.

Assume that $G = N$ and $b = d$. Set $C = C_G(R)$ and $\tilde{R} = C_P(R)$. Then \tilde{R} is a defect group of the block b_R by Proposition 4.1. By [6, Lemma 2.6] and Lemma 2.4, the block b_R is controlled by $N_C(\tilde{R})$ and the inertial group of the block b_R is isomorphic to $C_E(R)/C_G(P)$ for some inertial group $E/C_G(P)$ of the block b .

If $C_E(R)$ is equal to $C_G(P)$, the block b_R is nilpotent and so is the block $(b_R)^{PC_G(R)}$. Since the block b is controlled by $N_G(P, b_P)$, we have $G = PC_G(R) \cdot E$. So $G/PC_G(R)$ is a 2'-group. Then by [16, Theorem], the block b is inertial. In particular, we have $l(b) = 7$.

If $C_E(R)$ is not equal to $C_G(P)$, then $C_E(R) = E$ and $G = PC_G(R)$. Set $\bar{G} = G/R$. For any subgroup X of G , denote by \bar{X} the image of X in \bar{G} . Denote by \bar{b} the unique block of \bar{G} determined by the block b . By Lemma 2.2, the block \bar{b} has a hyperfocal subgroup which is contained in \bar{Q} and inertial index 7. Since the block b is controlled by $N_G(P, b_P)$, by Lemma 2.2, the block \bar{b} is also controlled by the normalizer of its maximal Brauer pair. Hence the hyperfocal inertial index of the block \bar{b} is 7. Since $|Q| \leq |Z(P)|$ and $m(d, R) \neq 0$, by Lemma 2.5 $R \neq 1$ and thus $|\bar{G}| < |G|$. Then by induction, we have $l(b) = l(\bar{b}) = 7$. The proof is done. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Assume that $|Q| \leq |Z(P)|$. We have $k(b) = k(b_0)$.*

Proof. For any $u \in P$, set $b_u = b_{\langle u \rangle}$. Denote by e_u the inertial index of the block b_u . $N_G(P, b_P), C_P(u)$ is a defect group of the block b_u . Set $P_u = C_P(u)$. By [6, Lemma 2.6], the block b_u is controlled by $N_{C_G(u)}(P_u)$. So by Lemma 2.4, e_u is either 1 or 7. If e_u is 1, the block b_u is nilpotent. So $l(b_u) = 1$. If e_u is 7, we have $l(b_u) = 7$ by Lemma 4.5. In conclusion, $l(b_u) = e_u$. On the other hand, denote by b_u° the block of $C_{N_G(P)}(u)$ satisfying that (u, b_u°) belongs to the maximal b_0 -Brauer pair (P, b_P) . Since the block b is controlled by $N_G(P, b_P)$, the blocks b and b_0 have the same Brauer categories. This means that for any $u, v \in P$, (u, b_u) and (v, b_v) are G -conjugate if and only if (u, b_u°) and (v, b_v°) are $N_G(P)$ -conjugate. By [10, Corollary 3.6], the blocks b_u and b_u° have the same Brauer categories. In particular they have the same inertial index e_u . By the structure theorem of the blocks with normal defect group, the equation $l(b_u^\circ) = e_u$ holds. Hence we have $l(b_u) = l(b_u^\circ)$ for any $u \in P$. Therefore $k(b) = k(b_0)$. \square

5. The case $f = 21$

In this section, we always assume that f is equal to 21. In this case, the hyperfocal subgroup Q is $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ for some positive integer n . We borrow the notation in Section 4.

Proposition 5.1. *The block b is controlled by $N_G(P, b_P)$, $Q_0 \leq Z(P)$ and the inertial index of the block b is 21.*

Proof. In order to prove the proposition, by [13, Theorem 2], we may assume that $G = N_G(Q, b_Q)$ and $b = b_Q$. Then Q_0 is normal in G , the block b_{Q_0} is G -stable, and $P_0 = C_P(Q_0)$ is a defect group of the block b_{Q_0} . Since $f = 21$, $|G/C_G(Q_0)|$ is divided by 21. By Lemma 2.1, we have $|G/C_G(Q_0)|$ is 21 or 168.

Suppose that $G/C_G(Q_0)$ is of order 168. Then $G/C_G(Q_0)$ is the simple group of order 168. Set $\bar{G} = G/C_G(Q_0)$. For any subset X of G , denote by \bar{X} the image of X in \bar{G} . It is clear that \bar{P} is a Sylow 2-subgroup of \bar{G} , which is isomorphic to P/P_0 . Let R be a normal subgroup of P such that R contains P_0 and R/P_0 is the Klein four group. By Lemma 2.1, $N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{R})$ is S_4 . Take an element \bar{x} of \bar{G} such that $\langle \bar{x} \rangle$ is a Sylow 3-subgroup of $N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{R})$. Then we have x belongs to $N_G(RC_G(Q_0))$. Set $e = (b_{Q_0})^{RC_G(Q_0)}$. Since R contains P_0 , (R, b_R) is a maximal e -Brauer pair. Since the block b_{Q_0} is G -stable, by the Frattini argument, there exist an element y of $N_G(R, b_R)$ and an element z of $C_G(Q_0)$ such that $x = yz$. So $\bar{y} = \bar{x}$ is an element of \bar{G} of order 3. Since $N_G(R, b_R) \cap C_G(Q_0) = P_0C_G(R)$ by Lemma 2.3, the inclusion $N_G(R, b_R) \subset G$ induces an injective group homomorphism $N_G(R, b_R)/P_0C_G(R) \rightarrow \bar{G}$. Therefore there is an integer t such that $y^tC_G(R)$ is of order 3 in $N_G(R, b_R)/C_G(R)$. By the definition of the hyperfocal subgroup, $[r, y^t]$ belongs to Q for any $r \in R$. Therefore $[\bar{r}, \bar{y}^t] = 1$. But \bar{y}^t has order 3 in \bar{G} . By Lemma 2.1, the equality $[\bar{r}, \bar{y}^t] = 1$ is impossible.

So the order of \bar{G} is 21. Then the block b is inertial by [16, Theorem]. In particular the block b is controlled by $N_G(P, b_P)$. Since \bar{P} is a Sylow 2-subgroup of \bar{G} , this forces $P = P_0$, namely, Q_0 is in the center of P . By Lemma 2.6, the inertial index of the block b is 21. \square

Let $E/C_G(P)$ be an inertial group of the block b . We have $P = [P, E] \rtimes C_P(E)$. Set $H = N_G(Q, b_Q)$. The inclusion $E \subset H$ induces homomorphisms $E/C_G(P) \rightarrow H/C_G(Q)$ and $E/C_G(P) \rightarrow H/C_H(Q_0)$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E/C_G(P) & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 H/C_G(Q) & \longrightarrow & H/C_H(Q_0)
 \end{array}$$

where the bottom homomorphism is the canonical homomorphism. By the last paragraph, the homomorphism $E/C_G(P) \rightarrow H/C_H(Q_0)$ is an isomorphism. So the homomorphism $E/C_G(P) \rightarrow H/C_G(Q)$ must be injective. Viewing $E/C_G(P)$ as a subgroup of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(Q)$ of Q , we have $Q = [P, E] = [Q, E]$ since $C_Q(E) = 1$. Let E_3 and E_7 be subgroups of E such that $E_3/C_G(P)$ and $E_7/C_G(P)$ are Sylow 3- and Sylow 7-subgroups of $E/C_G(P)$ respectively.

Lemma 5.2. *Keep the notation as above. Then $C_P(E_7) = C_P(E)$.*

Proof. Obviously $C_P(E) \leq C_P(E_7)$. Since $P = [P, E] \rtimes C_P(E) = Q \rtimes C_P(E)$, we have $C_P(E_7) = C_Q(E_7) \rtimes C_P(E)$. Since $E_7/C_G(P)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(Q)$ of order 7, by Lemma 2.1 we have $C_Q(E_7) = 1$. The proof is done. \square

Lemma 5.3. Assume that $P = Q$. For a subgroup R of P , we have

$$m(b, R) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } R \text{ is conjugate to } P; \\ 2 & \text{if } R \text{ is equal to } 1; \\ 2 & \text{if } R \text{ is conjugate to } C_P(E_3); \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By [3, Corollary 1.2] and [14, Corollary], $l(b) = 5$ because the block b is not nilpotent. Set $R = C_P(E_3)$ and $N = N_G(R, b_R)$. Then R is a cyclic 2-group and $N_{E_7}(R)$ must be $C_G(P)$ since $E_7/C_G(P)$ acts freely on $Q_0 - \{1\}$. So we have $N = C_G(R)$. The block b_R has defect group P and its inertial index is index 3. Denote by \bar{b}_R the block of N/R determined by the block b_R . Then $m(b_R, R) = m(\bar{b}_R, 1)$ by [6, Lemma 3.1]. The block \bar{b}_R has a defect group P/R isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ and inertial index 3. Hence $m(b_R, R) = m(\bar{b}_R, 1) = 2$ by [6, Lemma 3.2]. Suppose that there is another block b'_R of $C_G(R)$ associated with the block b . We have $N' = N_G(R, b'_R) = C_G(R)$ and the inertial group of the block b'_R is 1 or cyclic of order 3. If the inertial index of the block b'_R is 1, then the block b'_R is nilpotent and thus $m(b'_R, R) = 0$. If the inertial index of the block b'_R is 3, we have $m(b'_R, R) = 2$ as above. In conclusion, we have $m(b, R)$ is a nonzero even positive integer by [13, Equation (7)].

Let T be a nontrivial proper subgroup of P which is not $C_P(E_3)$, up to conjugation. By Lemma 2.4 the inertial block of the block b_T of $C_G(T)$ is isomorphic to $C_E(T)/C_G(P)$. By Lemma 2.1 (1), $|C_E(T)/C_G(P)|$ is either 1 or 3. If $|C_E(T)/C_G(P)|$ is 1, the block b_T is nilpotent and thus $m(b_T, T) = 0$. Then by [7, Theorem 5.12], we have $m((b_T)^{N_G(T, b_T)}, T) = 0$. If $|C_E(T)/C_G(P)|$ is 3, we have $T \leq C_P(E_3)$, up to conjugation. Then it is easily concluded that T is a cyclic 2-group, that $N_{E_7}(T) = C_G(P)$ (see Lemma 2.1), that $E_3/C_G(P)$ is isomorphic to an inertial group of the block $(b_T)^{N_G(T, b_T)}$, and that the hyperfocal subgroup of the block $(b_T)^{N_G(T, b_T)}$ with respect to the maximal $(b_T)^{N_G(T, b_T)}$ -Brauer pair (P, b_P) is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$. By the proof of [6, Lemma 4.1], $m((b_T)^{N_G(T, b_T)}, T) = 0$ if $T < C_P(E_3)$, up to conjugation.

By [3, Corollary 1.2] and [14, Corollary], the block b and b_0 are derived equivalent. It is well known that the derived equivalence preserves the elementary divisors of the Cartan matrices (see [15, Proposition 6.8.9]). It is straightforward to calculate that 1 appears as an elementary divisor of the Cartan matrix of the block b_0 by the structure theorem of the blocks with normal defect group. So $m(b, 1) \neq 0$.

By [13, Equations (7), (9) and (10)], we have $m(b, C_P(E_3)) = l(b) - m(b, P) - m(b, 1) \leq 3$. Since $m(b, C_P(E_3))$ is a nonzero even positive integer, it has to be 2. Then $m(b, 1) = 2$. We are done. \square

Lemma 5.4. Set $R = C_P(E)$. Then $m((b_R)^{N_G(R, b_R)}, R) = 2$.

Proof. Set $N = N_G(R, b_R)$ and $d = (b_R)^{N_G(R, b_R)}$. Then $\hat{R} = N_P(R)$ is a defect group of the block d and $N = C_G(R)(N_G(R) \cap N_G(P, b_P)) = \hat{R}C_G(R)$ by Proposition 5.1. By [6, Lemma 3.1], $m(d, R) = m(\bar{d}, 1)$, where \bar{d} is the unique block of $\bar{N} = N/R$ determined by the block d . The block \bar{d} has a defect group $\hat{\bar{R}} = \hat{R}/R$ which is isomorphic to a subgroup of Q . By Lemma 2.4, an inertial group of the block d is $E/C_G(P)$. So the block d has inertial index 21. Then by Lemma 2.2, the block \bar{d} still has inertial index 21. This implies that $\hat{\bar{R}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$ for some positive integer m . So $\hat{\bar{R}}$ is a hyperfocal subgroup of the block \bar{d} . Then by Lemma 5.3, we have $m(d, R) = m(\bar{d}, 1) = 2$. \square

Lemma 5.5. *Set $R = C_P(E_3)$. Then $m((b_R)^{N_G(R, b_R)}, R) = 2$.*

Proof. Set $N = N_G(R, b_R)$ and $d = (b_R)^{N_G(R, b_R)}$. By Lemma 2.4, there exists an inertial group $E'/C_G(P)$ such that $(E' \cap N)/C_G(P)$ is isomorphic to an inertial group of the block d . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $E' = E$. Since $P = Q \rtimes C_P(E)$, $R = C_Q(E_3) \rtimes C_P(E)$. By Lemma 2.1, $E_7/C_G(P)$ acts freely on $Q_0 - \{1\}$. Since $C_Q(E_3) \neq 1$, $N_E(R)$ has to be E_3 . So the inertial index of the block d is 3.

By Proposition 5.1 $\hat{R} = N_P(R)$ is a defect group of the block f . By [6, Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6], we may assume that there is a hyperfocal subgroup of the block d contained in Q and that the block d is controlled by $N_N(\hat{R})$. By Proposition 3.1, the hyperfocal subgroup of the block d has to be isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$ for some positive integer s . Since $C_{\hat{R}}(E_3) = N_{C_P(E_3)}(R) = R$, we have $m(d, R) = 2$ by [6, Lemma 3.3]. \square

Lemma 5.6. *Assume that $|Q| \leq |Z(P)|$. We have $l(b) = l(b_0) = 5$.*

Proof. By [13, Theorem 2] and Proposition 4.1, the blocks b and b_0 have equivalent Brauer categories. So in order to prove the lemma, it suffices to prove that $l(b) = 5$. By [3, Corollary 1.2] and [14, Corollary], we have $l(b) = 5$ when $Q = P$. So we assume that Q is a proper subgroup of P . We prove $l(b) = 5$ by induction on $|G|$.

Let R be a proper subgroup of P such that $m((b_R)^{N_G(R, b_R)}, R) \neq 0$. Set $N = N_G(R, b_R)$ and $d = (b_R)^{N_G(R, b_R)}$. By Proposition 5.1 $\hat{R} = N_P(R)$ is a defect group of the block d . By Lemma 2.4, $(E \cap N)/C_G(P)$ is an inertial group of the block d for some suitable inertial group $E/C_G(P)$ of the block b . We are going to prove that $R \leq C_P(E_3)$. Assume that $R \not\leq C_P(E_3)$.

Suppose that $E \cap N = C_G(P)$. The inertial index of the block d is 1. By [6, Lemma 2.6], the block d is controlled by $N_N(\hat{R})$. So the block d is nilpotent. This is a contradiction.

Suppose that $(E \cap N)/C_G(P)$ has order 3. Then by Lemma 2.6, the hyperfocal inertial index of the block d is 3 since the block d is controlled by $N_N(\hat{R})$. By [6, Lemma 2.5] and Proposition 3.1, the hyperfocal subgroup of the block d is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$ for some positive integer m . By the proof of [6, Lemma 4.1], we have $R = C_P(E_3)$. This is a contradiction.

Suppose that $(E \cap N)/C_G(P)$ has order 7. Then by Lemma 2.6, the hyperfocal inertial index of the block d is 7. By [6, Equation (3.2)], Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5, we have $R = C_{\hat{R}}(E_7) = C_P(E_7)$. Then by Lemma 5.2, we have $R = C_P(E)$. This is a contradiction.

Suppose that $(E \cap N)/C_G(P)$ has order 21. Then we have $E \cap N = E$. We divide the proof of the case into two cases. Suppose that $N < G$. By induction, we have $l(d) = 5$. By Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5 $R = C_{\hat{R}}(E_3)$ or $R = C_{\hat{R}}(E)$. In both cases, we have $R \leq C_P(E_3)$. This is a contradiction. Suppose that $G = N$ and $b = d$. Set $C = C_G(R)$ and $\tilde{R} = C_P(R)$. Then the block b_R is controlled by $N_C(\tilde{R})$ by [6, Lemma 2.6]. The inertial group of the block b_R is isomorphic to $C_E(R)/C_G(P)$. Since $R \not\leq C_P(E_3)$, the order of $C_E(R)/C_G(P)$ is either 1 or 7. If $C_E(R)$ is equal to $C_G(P)$, the block b_R is nilpotent and so is the block $(b_R)^{PC_G(R)}$. Since the block b is controlled by $N_G(P, b_P)$, we have $G = PC_G(R) \cdot E$. Then by [16, Theorem], the block b is inertial. In particular $l(b) = 5$. Then by Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5, we have $R \leq C_P(E_3)$. This is a contradiction.

Up to now, we proved that $R \leq C_P(E_3)$. Next we prove that R is either $C_P(E_3)$ or $C_P(E)$.

Suppose that $R < C_P(E_3)$. Assume that $N < G$. The inertial index of the block d is either 3 or 21. If the inertial index of the block d is equal to 3, the hyperfocal subgroup of the block d is $\mathbb{Z}_{2^t} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^t}$ for some positive integer t by [6, Lemma 2.5] and Proposition 3.1. By the proof of [6, Lemma 4.1], R has to be $C_P(E_3)$. This is a contradiction. So the inertial index of the block d is 21. Then by induction, we have $l(d) = 5$. By Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5 and [6, Equation (3.2)], we have $R = C_{N_P(R)}(E) = N_{C_P(E)}(R) = C_P(E)$.

Assume that $N = G$ and $b = d$. Suppose that $R \leq C_P(E_7)$. Then we have $G = PC_G(R)$ since the block b is controlled by $N_G(P, b_P)$ by Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 5.2. By Lemma 2.5, we have $R \neq 1$. Let \bar{b} be the block of G/R determined by the block b . By the proof of [13, Lemma 8], there is a hyperfocal subgroup of the block \bar{b} contained in \bar{Q} . Then by Lemma 2.2 and induction, we have $l(\bar{b}) = 5$ and hence $l(b) = 5$. By Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5 and [6, Equation (3.2)], we have $R = C_P(E)$.

Suppose that $R \not\leq C_P(E_7)$. Set $C = RC_G(R)$ and $g = (b_R)^C$. By Proposition 5.1, $RC_P(R)$ is a defect group of the block g . By Lemma 2.4, the block g has an inertial group isomorphic to $(E \cap RC_G(R))/C_G(P)$ which has order 3. Then by [6, Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6] and Proposition 3.1, the hyperfocal subgroup of the block g is $\mathbb{Z}_{2^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$ for some positive integer s and the block g is controlled by the normalizer of its defect group. So by [6, Theorem 1.1], we have $l(g) = 3$. On the other hand, by [7, Theorem 5.12] we have $m(g, R) \geq m(d, R) \geq 1$. By [6, Lemma 3.2] and the equality $l(g) = 3$, we deduce that $R = C_P(E_3)$. This is a contradiction.

Summarizing the above, the subgroup R such that $m((b_R)^{N_G(R, b_R)}, b_R) \neq 0$ is either $C_P(E_3)$ or $C_P(E)$ for some suitable inertial group $E/C_G(P)$ of the block b . By Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5 and [6, Equation (3.2)], $l(b) = 5$. We are done. \square

Lemma 5.7. *Assume that $|Q| \leq |Z(P)|$. We have $k(b) = k(b_0)$.*

Proof. We will borrow the notation in the proof of Lemma 4.6. Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.6, the block b_u has the following properties: P_u is a defect group; there is a hyperfocal subgroup contained in Q ; the block is controlled by $N_{C_G(u)}(P_u)$; the inertial index e_u is 1, 3, 7 or 21. If e_u is 1, the block b_u is nilpotent. So $l(b_u) = 1$. If e_u is 3, by Lemma 2.6 the hyperfocal inertial index of the block b_u is 3. By Proposition 3.1, the hyperfocal subgroup of the block b_u is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$ for some positive integer s . By [6, Theorem 1.1], $l(e_u) = 3$. If e_u is 7, the hyperfocal subgroup of the block b_u is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2^t} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^t} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^t}$ for some positive integer t and the hyperfocal inertial index is 7 by Lemma 2.6. By Lemma 4.5, $l(b_u) = 7$. If e_u is 21, the hyperfocal subgroup of the block b_u is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2^l} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^l} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^l}$ for some positive integer l and the hyperfocal inertial index is 21 by Lemma 2.6. By Lemma 5.6, we have $l(b_u) = 5$. On the other hand, by the structure theorem of the blocks with normal defect group, $l(b_u^\circ) = 1$ if its inertial index is 1; $l(b_u^\circ) = 3$ if its inertial index is 3; $l(b_u^\circ) = 7$ if its inertial index is 7; $l(b_u^\circ) = 5$ if its inertial index is 21. By [10, Corollary 3.6], the blocks b_u and b_u° have the same Brauer categories from which we can deduce that both have the same inertial indices. Hence we have $l(b_u) = l(b_u^\circ)$ for any $u \in P$. Since the block b is controlled by $N_G(P, b_P)$, the blocks b and b_0 have the same Brauer categories. This means that for any $u, v \in P$, (u, b_u) and (v, b_v) are G -conjugate if and only if (u, b_u°) and (v, b_v°) are $N_G(P)$ -conjugate. Therefore $k(b) = k(b_0)$. \square

Then we can prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We denote by e the inertial index of the block b with respect to the maximal b -Brauer pair (P, b_P) . By Lemma 2.6 and Propositions 4.1 and 5.1, we have $e = f$. Hence Theorem 1.1 will follow from Proposition 3.1 and Lemmas 4.5, 4.6, 5.6, 5.7. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors are supported by NSFC (Nos. 11501230, 11471131 and 11625104). The authors thank the referees for their carefully reading the paper and their helpful comments to improve the paper.

References

- [1] J.L. Alperin, *Local Representation Theory*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 11, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [2] D.S. Dummit, R.M. Foote, *Abstract Algebra*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2004.
- [3] C.W. Eaton, Morita equivalences classes of 2-blocks of defect three, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 144 (2016) 1961–1970.
- [4] W. Feit, *The Representation Theory of Finite Groups*, North-Holland, New York, 1982.
- [5] D. Gorenstein, *Finite Groups*, Harper-Row, New York, 1968.
- [6] X. Hu, Y. Zhou, Blocks with the hyperfocal subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$, *J. Algebra* 518 (2019) 57–74.
- [7] J.B. Olsson, Lower defect groups, *Comm. Algebra* 8 (1980) 261–288.

- [8] R. Rouquier, Block theory via stable and Rickard equivalences, in: *Modular Representation Theory of Finite Groups*, Charlottesville, VA, 1998, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2001, pp. 101–146.
- [9] L. Puig, The hyperfocal subalgebra of a block, *Invent. Math.* 141 (2000) 365–397.
- [10] L. Puig, Frobenius categories versus Brauer blocks, in: *The Grothendieck Group of the Frobenius Category of a Brauer Block*, in: *Progress in Mathematics*, vol. 274, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009.
- [11] F. Tasaka, The number of simple modules in a block with Klein four hyperfocal subgroup, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* 61 (2019) 159–166.
- [12] J. Thévenaz, *G-Algebras and Modular Representation Theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [13] A. Watanabe, The number of irreducible Brauer characters in a p -block of a finite group with cyclic hyperfocal subgroup, *J. Algebra* 416 (2014) 167–183.
- [14] C. Wu, K. Zhang, Y. Zhou, Blocks with defect group $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$, *J. Algebra* 510 (2018) 469–498.
- [15] A. Zimmermann, *Representation Theory: A Homological Algebra Point of View*, Algebra and Applications, Springer, 2014.
- [16] Y. Zhou, On the p' -extensions of inertial blocks, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 144 (2016) 41–54.