

Is recurrence in major depressive disorder related to bipolarity and mixed features? Results from the BRIDGE-II-Mix study

Lorenzo Mazzarini, Georgios D. Kotzalidis, Daria Piacentino, Salvatore Rizzato, Jules Angst, Jean-Michel Azorin, Charles L. Bowden, Sergey Mosolov, Allan H. Young, Eduard Vieta, Paolo Girardi, Giulio Perugi



PII: S0165-0327(17)31402-7
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2017.12.062>
Reference: JAD9470

To appear in: *Journal of Affective Disorders*

Received date: 10 July 2017
Revised date: 28 November 2017
Accepted date: 31 December 2017

Cite this article as: Lorenzo Mazzarini, Georgios D. Kotzalidis, Daria Piacentino, Salvatore Rizzato, Jules Angst, Jean-Michel Azorin, Charles L. Bowden, Sergey Mosolov, Allan H. Young, Eduard Vieta, Paolo Girardi and Giulio Perugi, Is recurrence in major depressive disorder related to bipolarity and mixed features? Results from the BRIDGE-II-Mix study, *Journal of Affective Disorders*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2017.12.062>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Is recurrence in major depressive disorder related to bipolarity and mixed features? Results from the BRIDGE-II-Mix study

Lorenzo Mazzarini,^{a,b} Georgios D. Kotzalidis,^a Daria Piacentino,^a Salvatore Rizzato,^a Jules Angst,^c Jean-Michel Azorin,^d Charles L. Bowden,^e Sergey Mosolov,^f Allan H. Young,^g Eduard Vieta,^h Paolo Girardi,^a Giulio Perugi^{i,*} for the BRIDGE-II-Mix Study Group

^a NESMOS Department, School of Medicine and Psychology, Sapienza University, Rome, Italy

^b Salvator Mundi International Hospital, Rome, Italy

^c Psychiatric Hospital, University of Zurich, Switzerland

^d AP HM, Psychiatric Pole, Sainte Marguerite, Marseille, France

^e Department of Psychiatry, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, USA

^f Department for Therapy of Mental Disorders, Moscow Research Institute of Psychiatry, Moscow, Russia

^g Centre for Affective Disorders, Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK.

^h Bipolar Disorders Unit, Clinical Institute of Neuroscience, Hospital Clinic, IDIBAPS, University of Barcelona, CIBERSAM, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

ⁱ Department of Experimental and Clinic Medicine, Section of Psychiatry, University of Pisa, Via Roma 67, 56100, Pisa, Italy

*Corresponding author: Giulio Perugi, Department of Experimental and Clinic Medicine, Section of Psychiatry, University of Pisa, Via Roma 67, 56100, Pisa, Italy Tel. +39-3354353871; E-mail: giulio.perugi@med.unipi.it

Abstract. *Background.* Current classifications separate Bipolar (BD) from Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) based on polarity rather than recurrence. We aimed to determine bipolar/mixed feature frequency in a large MDD multinational sample with (High-Rec) and without (Low-Rec) >3 recurrences, comparing the two subsamples. *Methods.* We measured frequency of bipolarity/hypomanic features during current depressive episodes (MDEs) in 2,347 MDD patients from the BRIDGE-II-mix database, comparing High-Rec with Low-Rec. We used Bonferroni-corrected Student's *t*-test for continuous, and *chi*-squared test, for categorical variables. Logistic regression estimated the size of the association between clinical characteristics and High-Rec MDD. *Results.* Compared to Low-Rec (n=1084, 46.2%), High-Rec patients (n=1263, 53.8%) were older, with earlier depressive onset, had more family history of BD, more atypical features, suicide attempts, hospitalisations, and treatment resistance and (hypo)manic switches when treated with antidepressants, higher comorbidity with borderline personality disorder, and more hypomanic symptoms during current MDE, resulting in higher rates of mixed depression according to both DSM-5 and research-based diagnostic (RBDC) criteria. Logistic regression showed age at first symptoms <30 years, current MDE duration ≤1 month, hypomania/mania among first-degree relatives, past suicide attempts, treatment-resistance, antidepressant-induced swings, and atypical, mixed, or psychotic features during MDE to associate with High-Rec. *Limitations.* Number of MDEs for defining recurrence was arbitrary; cross-sectionality did not allow assessment of conversion from MDD to BD. *Conclusions.* High-Rec MDD differed from Low-Rec group for several clinical/epidemiological variables, including bipolar/mixed features. Bipolarity specifier and RBDC were more sensitive than DSM-5 criteria in detecting bipolar and mixed features in MDD.

Key words. Recurrence; Cyclicity; Major Depressive Disorder; Mixed features.

Introduction.

DSM-5 (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) separated Major Depressive (MDD) from Bipolar Disorders (BDs), allocating each condition an independent category. However, some patients with MDD may change diagnosis with time, developing (hypo)mania and converting to BDs (Kessing et al., 2017), while the opposite is nosographically impossible. Indeed, the diagnosis of a BD needs at least one hypomanic or manic episode in patient's history. This kind of dichotomy, essentially based on the polarity of the episodes, might result in the neglect of other fundamental features of mood disorders such as recurrence, the core aspect in the original Kraepelinian definition of manic depressive illness.

There is a dearth of studies investigating the importance of recurrences on the course of MDD. Each new recurrence was found to increase probability of a new major depressive episode by 16% in a naturalistic study of MDD patients (Solomon et al., 2000). Many authors suggested the overall number of depressive episodes may be linked to the presence of a BD diathesis, regardless the occurrence of hypo/manic episodes (Andreasen et al., 1988; Benazzi, 2002; Ghaemi et al., 2002; Solomon et al., 2006; Goodwin and Jamison, 2007; Mitchell et al., 2008; Schaffer et al., 2010; Angst et al., 2011; Takeshima and Oka, 2013; Kessing et al., 2017). In this perspective, highly recurrent depression has shown some clinical characteristics that are nearer to BDs (Benazzi, 2002), like the similar tendency to develop hypomanic switches while on antidepressant drugs (Kupfer et al., 1988), and the presence of a BD family history (Akiskal and Benazzi, 2006). Scholars differ in their definition of highly recurrent MDD. Benazzi (2002) and the Danish group (Kessing et al., 1998) considered a cutoff of more than four major depressive episodes (MDE), while Ghaemi et al. (2002) suggested the presence of more than three MDE as one of the diagnostic criteria for bipolar spectrum disorders. Most studies identified predictors of further recurrences by comparing MDD patients with BD patients (Kessing et al., 1998; Benazzi, 2002) and only few studies focused on large MDD samples (Solomon et al., 2000; Solomon et al., 2006; Mitchell et al., 2008). Moreover, while considering data on MDD and BD patients, diagnostic groups were infrequently matched by episode frequency (Benazzi, 2002).

In order to explore the possible influence of recurrence in a large sample of MDD patients evaluated for the naturalistic BRIDGE-II-Mix study (Perugi et al., 2015a; 2015b; Popovic et al., 2015), we adopted a cut-off of more than three MDE. The primary objective of this study was to determine the frequency of a number of predefined bipolar features in MDD patients with (High-Rec) and without (Low-Rec) more than three recurrences. The secondary objective was to compare the rates of mixed features during current depressive episodes in High-Rec and Low-Rec patients.

Materials and methods. The BRIDGE-II-MIX study, which is different from and does not overlap with the BRIDGE study (Angst et al., 2011), was a multinational (involving eight countries in three continents, i.e., Egypt and Morocco for Africa, Bulgaria, the Netherlands, Portugal, and Spain for Europe, and Russia and Turkey for both Asia and Europe), naturalistic (non-interventional), cross-sectional diagnostic effort conducted in 239 hospital-based or community centres by one psychiatrist in each centre with each centre reflecting the common psychiatric healthcare practices of each country. It had the objective to provide a reliable frequency estimate of mixed states in a large international sample of patients with major depressive episode (MDE). From June 2009 to July 2010, each centre enrolled for three consecutive months 10-20 consecutive adult patients (aged ≥ 18 years) who sought help for a DSM-IV-TR MDE in three-month periods. The original study focused on mixed feature prevalence and definition in patients with MDE and

analysed data of 2,811 patients with MDE, independently from whether they were diagnosed with major depressive disorder (MDD) or BD. From the 239 psychiatrists involved in the study, 237 returned their site questionnaire. The number of investigators per country ranged from 62 in Spain to 18 in Egypt. Practice settings were primary care (26%), community mental health services (23%), or hospital based (48%). The location of the practice was almost entirely urban. The mean proportion of patients who were hospitalised for the full sample was 26.0%. Demographic features were generally similar across countries. In this study we focus only on those 2,347 patients diagnosed with MDD. This is a post hoc analysis of the original dataset.

Psychiatric comorbidities were established through DSM-IV-TR–based checklists focusing on alcohol/substance abuse/addiction, panic disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, social phobia, generalised anxiety disorder, eating disorders, borderline personality disorder, and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Patients presenting with an acute nonpsychiatric condition/emergency were excluded.

The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Human Rights, as adopted by the 18th WMA General Assembly, Helsinki, Finland, June 1964, and subsequently amended by the 59th WMA General Assembly, Seoul, Republic of Korea, October 2008; <http://www.wma.net>); the Good Epidemiology Practice and the International Epidemiologic Association (IEA) European Federation Guidelines (<http://ieaweb.org>) were followed. Written, informed consent was obtained from each patient. In each country, the protocol was approved by local ethical committees.

Data Collection

Participating psychiatrists completed the same case report form in all centres, consisting in meeting inclusion criteria (DSM-IV-TR MDE) and collecting sociodemographic data, like age, gender, and marital status, and clinical data, like inpatient/outpatient status, history of psychiatric symptoms (mood changes, postpartum depression, and suicide attempts), and previous psychiatric hospitalisations. Noted were all features of the current depressive episode, including bipolar symptoms listed in the DSM-IV-TR diagnostic criteria for BD (American Psychiatric Association, 2000), known risk factors for BD, previous response to antidepressants, including reactions, psychiatric comorbidity, and current treatment, while global functioning was assessed through the Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF) scale (Endicott et al., 1976). The primary objective of the entire Bridge-II project was to establish in a mood disorder cohort the frequency of *depressive mixed states*, which was defined as the proportion of patients meeting: (1) DSM-5 criteria for MDE with mixed features and (2) research-based diagnostic criteria (RBDC) (Angst et al., 2011). DSM-5 criteria require the presence for at least a week of an MDE and at least 3 of the following (nonoverlapping) hypomanic symptoms: (1) elevated, expansive mood, (2) inflated self-esteem or grandiosity, (3) more talkative than usual or pressure to keep talking, (4) flight of ideas or impression of racing thoughts, (5) increase in energy or goal-directed activity, (6) increased or excessive involvement in activities with a high potential for untoward consequences, and (7) decreased need for sleep. Since data collection took place before publication of the DSM- 5, we applied its criteria retrospectively, based on case report forms.

RBDC were met when a MDE plus three of 14 hypomanic symptoms (i.e., irritable mood, emotional/mood lability, distractibility, psychomotor agitation, impulsiveness, verbal/physical aggression, racing thoughts, more talkative/speech pressure, hyperactivity, increased energy, risky behaviour, grandiosity, elation, and hypersexuality) co-occurred for at least one week. Revised BD-I specifier included manic episodes with the

DSM-5 additional A criterion increased activity/energy without any exclusion criteria, while the revised BD-II specifier included 1-3 days hypomanic episodes (Angst et al., 2013).

Patients meeting DSM-IV-TR criteria for BD were excluded for the purpose of the current study, leaving a sample of patients with MDD. To this sample we applied the bipolarity specifier proposed by Angst et al. (2011; 2013). This “bipolarity” specifier attributes a diagnosis of “BD” to patients who experienced an episode of elevated mood or an episode of irritable mood or an episode of increased activity with at least 3 of the symptoms listed under Criterion B of the DSM-IV-TR, associated with at least 1 of the 3 following consequences: (1) unequivocal and observable change in functioning uncharacteristic of the person’s usual behaviour, (2) marked impairment in social or occupational functioning observable by others, or (3) requiring hospitalisation or outpatient treatment. This specifier may apply to several subthreshold cases, which do meet neither full range of DSM/ICD symptom requirements nor duration criteria, thus overcoming the classical unipolar/bipolar dichotomy. This specifier requires no minimum duration and no exclusion of cases induced by drug treatment, recreational drug consumption or medical disease.

Statistical Analysis

Comparisons between the two sample groups, MDD patients with less than or equal to 3 lifetime episodes (Low-Rec) vs. MDD patients with more than 3 lifetime episodes (High-Rec), were assessed by using independent samples Student’s t-test for continuous variables and Chi-squared test for categorical variables. *P*-values, as well as odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs), were used for observed group differences. The analysis involved many tests of statistical significance, raising the potential of obtaining false-positive results (type I error). Consequently, we corrected for multiple comparisons, by employing a Bonferroni-corrected threshold for statistical significance, and divided the critical *p*-value (α) by the number of comparisons being made. In our study, 32 hypotheses were tested, thus the new critical *p*-value was $\alpha/32 = 0.0015$. The statistical power of the study was then calculated based on this modified *p*-value.

Logistic regression was then used to calculate OR with 95% CI, so to estimate the size of the association between High-Rec and 8 *a priori* selected variables known to be associated with BD (Angst et al., 2011; Perugi et al. 2015a). These variables were age at first symptoms <30 years, duration of current depressive episode ≤ 1 month, current atypical, mixed, or psychotic depressive symptoms, current psychiatric comorbidities, history of suicide attempts, antidepressant treatment-resistance, antidepressants induced mania/hypomania or mood lability, and hypomania/mania among first degree relatives. MDD “predictors” for High-Rec were regarded as potential confounders and considered actual confounders if their distributions were substantially different in the two samples, regardless of statistical significance. The cutoff for statistical significance for logistic regression was set at $p < 0.05$. All analyses were two-sided. When reporting logistic regression results, $p < 0.05$ was considered as indicative of an association with High-Rec MDD, whereas $p \geq 0.05$ as indicative of no association with High-Rec MDD. Due consideration was given to associations at the edge of significance.

All analyses were performed by using the statistical software SPSS Statistics for Macintosh, Version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

Patients in the High-Rec group were more likely to be women, older, have had an earlier depressive onset than patients in the Low-Rec group, and to have more BD diagnosed in their relatives (Table 1). With

respect to Low-Rec, High-Rec patients were more likely to have been diagnosed with MDD earlier, to have had their first MDE at a lower age than 30 years, to have more atypical features, to be more positive for a history of suicide attempts, to have more past suicide attempts, more hospitalisations, more global functioning impairment, and have a briefer duration of their current depressive episode and be more likely to have a current major depressive episode of less than one month (Table 1). They also had more borderline personality disorder comorbidity, whereas other comorbidities did not differ between High-Rec and Low-Rec. In particular, they did not differ for psychotic features ($p=0.121$), lifetime comorbid eating disorders ($p=0.312$), anxiety disorders ($p=0.836$), alcohol and/or substance use disorder ($p=0.507$), with borderline p -values (after the Bonferroni correction, $p=0.0015$) for OCD ($p=0.003$) and ADHD ($p=0.004$).. Regarding their previous treatments, High-Rec patients had used more antidepressant, antipsychotic, mood stabilizing drugs and anxiolytic drugs and more than three drugs per individual than the Low-Rec group. The two groups of patients did not differ for electroconvulsive therapy, in terms of mean number of sessions ($p=0.431$). The two groups differed also for their reactions to antidepressant drug treatment, in that patients in the High-Rec group had more manic- or hypomanic switches and antidepressant resistance (defined as non-response to at least two courses of different classes of antidepressants administered for adequate periods and at adequate doses [Souery et al., 1999]) than Low-Rec patients (Table 1).

Of the 14 symptoms listed in the RBDC (hypomanic) criteria, patients in the High-Rec group had more symptoms than Low-Rec patients in 11 of these, i.e., emotional/mood lability, distractibility, impulsiveness, racing thoughts, talkativeness/pressure to keep talking, hyperactivity, increased energy, risky behaviour, grandiosity, euphoria, and hypersexuality (Table 2).

High-Rec patients had more occurrences of RBDC BD specifiers and mixed depression according to the DSM-5 and RBDC criteria, in particular overall BD RBDC specifiers, bipolar I disorder RBDC specifiers, and bipolar II disorder RBDC specifiers, and also DSM-5 depressive mixed state specifiers and RBDC mixed depression criteria than Low-Rec patients (Table 3).

In logistic regression, most variables were found to significantly predict a diagnosis of High-Rec MDD. When we estimated ORs and their respective CIs, age of first symptoms <30 years ($p=0.004$), duration of current depressive episode ≤ 1 month ($p=0.028$), current atypical, mixed, or psychotic depressive symptoms ($p=0.005$), history of suicide attempts ($p=0.024$), antidepressant treatment resistance ($p=0.012$), previous response of mania/hypomania or mood lability to antidepressants ($p=0.015$), and hypomania/mania among first degree relatives 2.09 ($p=0.032$) showed significantly higher occurrence in High-Rec than in Low-Rec. The only variable not associated with a diagnosis of High-Rec MDD was current psychiatric comorbidities ($p=0.101$) (Table 4).

Discussion

In our large sample of 2,347 patients diagnosed with MDD, we found subjects with more than 3 episodes (High-Rec) to differ from subjects with 1, 2 or 3 episodes (Low-Rec) for numerous sociodemographic and clinical characteristics. Independently from the diagnostic system adopted, High-Rec more frequently displayed bipolar/mixed features than Low-Rec. Notably in our sample, RBDC specifier showed significantly higher sensitivity in identifying bipolarity and mixed features than the DSM-5 criteria and mixed features specifier. These results are quite strong, as they resisted Bonferroni correction. As hypothesised, High-Rec were found to meet RBDC and DSM-5 mixed features more often than Low-Rec, to have more BD in their family history, more hypomanic symptoms during MDE and suicidality, and more hypomanic switches and mood lability with antidepressants than Low-Rec. This result may be explained considering that RBDC criteria are wider and more inclusive than those of the corresponding DSM-5 specifiers. Our data point to

the heterogeneity of MDD and to the importance of considering recurrence as an important clue as to the treatment to adopt, as antidepressant treatment may expose highly recurrent patients to more clinical risks than less recurrent ones. The fact that High-Rec patients were more likely to have a current major depressive episode lasting less than one month and had a briefer mean duration of their current MDE with respect to Low-Rec patients can be viewed as indicating that patients with more episodes were more sensitised to the reemergence of their depressive symptoms and sought help earlier than did people with less MDEs in their history. This may be taken as a hypothesis to test, although other interpretations are possible, like conditioning and kindling.

Consistent with Benazzi (2002), who used a much smaller sample, we found High-Rec to be older than Low-Rec and also to have an earlier onset, more familial occurrence of BD and more atypical features during MDE. Furthermore, similarly to Benazzi (2002), we did not find the two groups to differ for the presence of psychotic features. Comorbidity with Borderline Personality Disorder resulted more common in our High-Rec than in Low-Rec patients, while other comorbidities did not differ significantly between the two groups (only two showed “trends” toward significance; in fact, OCD and ADHD were significantly higher in High-Rec without the Bonferroni correction). It is important for clinicians to assess MDD patients for the presence of borderline personality disorder, as this comorbidity was previously found to share some features with our High-Rec sample, like early age at onset, highly recurrent course, mood switch while on antidepressants, high occurrence of family history for BD and of DSM-5 mixed features, and treatment-resistance (Perugi et al., 2015b).

Splitting the MDD population into High-Rec and Low-Rec is not merely an academic concept; it might identify cases of greater proneness to convert to BD, thus having possible clinical and therapeutic implications. In fact, long-term antidepressant treatment has been shown to be associated with the conversion from MDD to BD (Baldessarini et al., 2013; Dudek et al., 2013). Despite most patients with MDD remain so after one or two years, about 15% of them convert to BD after one year and more than 20% after an additional year of follow-up (Kim et al., 2011). Mixed features and subsyndromal hypomanic symptoms during MDE were also found to be linked to conversion from MDD to BD (Zimmermann et al., 2009; Dudek et al., 2010; Fiedorowicz et al., 2011; Faedda et al., 2015); in our study, eleven out of fourteen hypomanic symptoms explored during MDE were found to be significantly associated with High-Rec. Another study found lower age at onset to predict conversion from MDD to BD-I, and positive family history for BD to be associated with conversion from MDD to BD-II, which both match our data (Angst et al., 2005). Converters from MDD to BD had also higher numbers of hospitalisation and more treatment-resistance as our High-Rec sample (Li et al., 2012; Dudek et al., 2013). Furthermore, having more than three depressive episodes was associated with higher conversion rates (Dudek et al., 2013). Like in our sample, several studies found early onset of depression to be related to conversion from MDD to BD (Dudek et al., 2013; Takeshima and Oka, 2013; Tondo et al., 2014).

As in our first BRIDGE-II reports on MDEs (Perugi et al., 2015a) and borderline personality disorder (Perugi et al., 2015b), RBDC was able to identify more bipolar/mixed features than DSM-5 in the MDD subsample (Table 3). This points to the need to adopt RBDC in evaluating patients with mood disorders, especially with MDD, and to distinguish them according to their total past mood episodes, so to better characterise their bipolar risk and treat them accordingly.

In our sample we found a prominent use of antipsychotics, justified by the frequency of occurrence of psychotic and anxiety symptoms, benzodiazepine antianxiety agents, justified by the occurrence of anxiety symptoms which are core symptoms of mood disorders, and mood stabilisers, justified by treatment-

resistance and the need to protect MDD patients from suicide risk. In fact, lithium is the only drug that showed anti-suicidal properties independently from diagnosis (Baldessarini et al., 2006).

Among the predefined bipolar features, logistic regression showed age at first symptoms <30 years, duration of current depressive episode ≤ 1 month, hypomania/mania among first degree relatives, history of suicide attempts, past history of antidepressant treatment-resistance and antidepressant induced mania/hypomania, and atypical, mixed, or psychotic features during the current depressive episode were associated with High- Rec. This finding is consistent with a study on polygenic loading of BD spectrum in MDD patients that identified characteristics like early onset, suicide attempt, recurrent and atypical depression, subclinical mania, subclinical psychosis, and severity (Wiste et al., 2014). The idea that in the MDD population there might be hidden a bipolar-prone population is an old-one and dates back to Kraepelin (1913), but more recently, Goodwin and Jamison (1990; 2007), Akiskal and Pinto (1999), and Akiskal and Benazzi (2006) refreshed it by putting forth more data and by reconsidering current classifications and enlarging diagnostic boundaries.

Petra Zimmermann and colleagues (2009) identified a MDD subpopulation with increased subsyndromal bipolar symptoms and family history of BD that were more likely to convert to BD, thus questioning the diagnostic appropriateness of current practices and pointing to the heterogeneity of what we currently call MDD. These authors did not investigate recurrence of depression as a factor in conversion proneness, but Goodwin and Jamison (1990; 2007) promoted the view that recurrence rather than polarity may explain the heterogeneity of MDD and its belonging to the manic-depressive illness spectrum. Results from our study, along with other studies, which identified recurrence as a key factor in conversion from MDD to BD (Dudek et al., 2010; 2013; Takeshima and Oka, 2013; Tondo et al., 2014; Kessing et al., 2017), provide further support to this view. This has an important therapeutic implication, since the finding that antidepressants used in bipolar disorder do not increase switch rates (Sachs et al., 2007) in fact focused on just two antidepressants, so it is still controversial, while focusing on recurrence rates and treating patients according to the ISBD guidelines (Pacchiarotti et al., 2013) could represent a change in clinical practice that could improve patient management. Another way of approaching this concept is staging. Staging has been used in many medical and psychiatric conditions, including schizophrenia (McGorry, 2007) and bipolar disorder (Kapczinski et al., 2014; Vieta, 2015), and is now being introduced in depression (Guidi et al., 2017). To some extent, our findings can also be conceptualised as emerging from a comparison between “early stage” versus “late stage” patients, but ideally a longitudinal design would have shed more light into this approach. The idea that mixed features, suicidality, and treatment response may be markers of late-stage depression is worth pursuing.

Limitations. The main limitation of this study was that the choice of the more than three MDEs cut-off criterion we adopted to define recurrence was literature-based, rather than obtained through the use of ROC (receiver operator characteristic). Furthermore, its cross-sectional nature prevents us from being able to assess conversion from MDD to BD. Moreover, clinical variables and treatment response were collected retrospectively, hence are susceptible to recall bias. However, our study has also remarkable strengths, represented by the large sample and the use of a MDD only population.

Further research is needed focusing on recurrence rather than on polarity; other than clinical, genetic, neuroimaging, neuropsychological, neurobiological, and treatment response data are required to better characterise this issue. Our results are consistent with the existence of heterogeneous populations in the conundrum termed MDD.

Conclusions. This study met both primary and secondary objectives, i.e., it determined frequency of bipolar features in High-Rec and Low-Rec MDD patients and compared the rates of mixed features in such patients. It aimed to identify a reliable clinical pattern in affective patients that would be based on recurrence, rather than on polarity; this would allow clinicians to adopt therapeutic strategies that would avoid transition from MDD to BD. For example, the use of antidepressants alone, without concomitantly using mood stabilisers, would unnecessarily expose people who have some BD risk to factors that would increase such risk. Presence of family history of BD, untoward reactions to antidepressants, irritability or resistance, suicidality, RBDC (hypo)manic symptoms and switch, and early onset characterise a different subset of recurrent MDD patients with greater severity and poorer outcome and should alert clinicians to adopt specific treatment strategies and precautions. Summarising, our data are consistent with highly recurrent MDD being related to bipolarity/mixed features and prompt us to take into consideration recurrence in treating patients.

Acknowledgments.

We gratefully acknowledge the contribution of the Librarians of the School of Medicine and Psychology of Sapienza University, Ms. Mimma Ariano, Ms. Felicia Proietti, Ms. Ales Casciaro, Ms. Teresa Prioreschi, and Ms. Susanna Rospo for rendering precious bibliographical material accessible, as well as our Secretary Lucilla Martinelli for her assistance during the writing of this manuscript.

Conflict of interest

In the past three years, *Prof. Paolo Girardi* has received research support from Lilly, Janssen, and Springer Healthcare, and has participated in Advisory Boards for Lilly, Otsuka, Pfizer, Schering, and Springer Healthcare and received honoraria from Lilly and Springer Healthcare.

Prof. Perugi has acted as consultant of Eli Lilly, Lundbeck, Angelini; received grant/research support from Lundbeck; is on the speaker/advisory board of Sanofi-Aventis, Eli Lilly, Lundbeck, FB-Health, Angelini.

Prof. Angst has served on the advisory board for Eli Lilly & Company, Janssen Cilag, Lundbeck, on the speakers' bureau for Eli Lilly & Company, Lundbeck,

AstraZeneca and Bristol-Myers Squibb, and as a consultant for Sanofi-Aventis.

Prof. Azorin has received research support and has acted as a consultant and/or served on a speaker's bureau for Janssen, Lundbeck, Otsuka, Roche, Servier and Takeda.

Prof. Bowden has received grant support from Sunovion and the NIMH, and has consulted for Takeda.

Prof. Mosolov has received research grants from, and been involved in clinical trials for Servier, Eli Lilly, Lundbeck, AstraZeneca, Janssen-Cilag, Sanofi-Aventis, Geodon Richter, Stada and Amgen; has been a speaker for Sanofi-Aventis, AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, Janssen-Cilag, Pfizer, Novartis, GlaxoSmithKline and Servier; and was an advisory board member for Medavante.

Prof. Vieta has received research support from or served as consultant, adviser or speaker for AB-Biotics, Alexza, Almirall, Allergan, AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Dainippon Sumitomo Pharma, Elan, Eli Lilly, Ferrer, Forest Research Institute, 7th Framework Program of the European Union, Geodon Richter, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen-Cilag, Jazz, Lundbeck, Merck, Novartis, Organon, Otsuka, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi-Aventis, Servier, Solvay, Shire, Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation, Sunovion, Stanley Medical Research Institute, Takeda, Teva, United Biosource Corporation and Wyeth.

Other authors of this paper have no conflict of interest to disclose.

Contributors.

GP, JA, JMA, CLB, SM, EV and AHY constituted the scientific committee of this study. The committee contributed in developing the study protocol and the statistical analysis plan, advised on the analysis and exploitation of the study results and contributed to the writing of the present article. LM, GK, DP and PG other authors contributed to the present data analysis and interpretation. LM and GK have written the first draft and all the authors have seen and approved the final version of this manuscript.

Role of Funding Sources.

The study was sponsored and funded by Sanofi-Aventis. All members of the study group have received honoraria from the sponsor for participation in the study as well as, in some cases, consultancy fees in the previous three years. All authors had full access to all data from the study, and the corresponding author had final responsibility for the decision to submit the finalized manuscript for publication. All investigators recruited received fees, on a per patient basis, from sanofi-aventis in recognition of their participation in the study. The sponsor of this study (sanofi-aventis) was involved in the study design, conduct, monitoring, and data analysis. The study sponsor funded an independent contract research organization (SYLIA-STAT; Bourg-la-Reine, France) to collect and analyze the data and to generate the first statistical report.

References

- Akiskal, H.S., Benazzi, F., 2006. The DSM-IV and ICD-10 categories of recurrent [major] depressive and bipolar II disorders: evidence that they lie on a dimensional spectrum. *J. Affect. Disord.* 92, 45–54.
- Akiskal, H.S., Pinto, O., 1999. The evolving bipolar spectrum. Prototypes I, II, III, and IV. *Psychiatr. Clin. North Am.* 22, 517–534.
- American Psychiatric Association, 2000. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR)*. American Psychiatric Association, Washington, D.C.
- American Psychiatric Association, 2013. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5)*. American Psychiatric Publishing, Inc., Arlington, Virginia.
- Andreasen, N.C., Grove, W.M., Endicott, J., Coryell, W.H., Scheftner, W.A., Hirschfeld, R.M.A., Keller, M.B., 1988. The phenomenology of depression. *Psychiatr. Psychobiol.* 3 (1), 1–10.
- Angst, J., Sellaro, R., Stassen, H.H., Gamma, A., 2005. Diagnostic conversion from depression to bipolar disorders: results of a long-term prospective study of hospital admissions. *J. Affect. Disord.* 84, 149–157.

- Angst, J., Azorin, J.-M., Bowden, C.L., Perugi, G., Vieta, E., Gamma, A., Young, A.H.; BRIDGE Study Group., 2011. Prevalence and characteristics of undiagnosed bipolar disorders in patients with a major depressive episode: the BRIDGE study. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 68, 791–798.
- Angst, J., Gamma, A., Bowden, C.L., Azorin, J.-M., Perugi, G., Vieta, E., Young, A.H., 2013. Evidence-based definitions of bipolar-I and bipolar-II disorders among 5,635 patients with major depressive episodes in the Bridge Study: validity and comorbidity. *Eur. Arch. Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 263, 663–673.
- Baldessarini, R.J., Tondo, L., Davis, P., Pompili, M., Goodwin, F.K., Hennen, J., 2006. Decreased risk of suicides and attempts during long-term lithium treatment: a meta-analytic review. *Bipolar Disord.* 8, 625–639.
- Baldessarini, R.J., Faedda, G.L., Offidani, E., Vázquez, G.H., Marangoni, C., Serra, G., Tondo, L., 2013. Antidepressant-associated mood-switching and transition from unipolar major depression to bipolar disorder: a review. *J. Affect. Disord.* 148, 129–135.
- Benazzi, F., 2002. Highly recurrent unipolar may be related to bipolar II. *Compr. Psychiatry* 43, 263–268.
- Dudek, D., Rybakowski, J.K., Siwek, M., Pawłowski, T., Lojko, D., Roczeń, R., Kiejna, A., 2010. Risk factors of treatment resistance in major depression: association with bipolarity. *J. Affect. Disord.* 126, 268–271.
- Dudek, D., Siwek, M., Zielińska, D., Jaeschke, R., Rybakowski, J., 2013. Diagnostic conversions from major depressive disorder into bipolar disorder in an outpatient setting: Results of a retrospective chart review. *J. Affect. Disord.* 144, 112–115.
- Endicott, J., Spitzer, R.L., Fleiss, J.L., Cohen, J., 1976. The global assessment scale. A procedure for measuring overall severity of psychiatric disturbance. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 33, 766–771.
- Faedda, G.L., Marangoni, C., Serra, G., Salvatore, P., Sani, G., Vázquez, G.H., Tondo, L., Girardi, P., Baldessarini, R.J., Koukopoulos, A., 2015. Precursors of bipolar disorders: a systematic literature review of prospective studies. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* 76, 614–624.
- Fiedorowicz, J.G., Endicott, J., Leon, A.C., Solomon, D.A., Keller, M.B., Coryell, W.H., 2011. Subthreshold hypomanic symptoms in progression from unipolar major depression to bipolar disorder. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 168, 40–48.
- Ghaemi, S.N., Ko, J.Y., Goodwin, F.K., 2002. "Cade's disease" and beyond: misdiagnosis, antidepressant use, and a proposed definition for bipolar spectrum disorder. *Can. J. Psychiatry* 47, 125–134.
- Goodwin, F.K., Jamison, K.R., 1990. *Manic-depressive illness*. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Goodwin, F.K., Jamison, K.R., 2007. *Manic-Depressive Illness. Bipolar Disorders and Recurrent Depression*, second ed. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Guidi, J., Tomba, E., Cosci, F., Park, S.K., Fava, G.A., 2017. The role of staging in planning psychotherapeutic interventions in depression. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* 78, 456–463.
- Kapczinski, F., Magalhães, P.V., Balanzá-Martinez, V., Dias, V.V., Frangou, S., Gama, C.S., Gonzalez-Pinto, A., Grande, I., Ha, K., Kauer-Sant'Anna, M., Kunz, M., Kupka, R., Leboyer, M., Lopez-Jaramillo, C., Post, R.M., Rybakowski, J.K., Scott, J., Strejilevitch, S., Tohen, M., Vazquez, G., Yatham, L., Vieta, E., Berk, M., 2014.

Staging systems in bipolar disorder: an International Society for Bipolar Disorders Task Force Report. *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.* 130, 354–363.

Kessing, L.V., Andersen, P.K., Mortensen, P.B., Bolwig, T.G., 1998. Recurrence in affective disorder I. Case register study. *Br. J. Psychiatry* 172, 23–28.

Kessing, L.V., Willer, I., Andersen, P.K., Bukh, J.D., 2017. Rate and predictors of conversion from unipolar to bipolar disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bipolar Disord.* 19, 324–335.

Kim, W., Woo, Y.S., Chae, J.H., Bahk, W.M., 2011. The diagnostic stability of DSM-IV diagnoses: An examination of major depressive disorder, bipolar I disorder, and schizophrenia in Korean patients. *Clin. Psychopharmacol. Neurosci.* 9, 117–121.

Kraepelin, E., 1913. *Psychiatrie: ein Lehrbuch für Studierende und Ärzte*, 8. Auflage, III. Band, klinische Psychiatrie, II. Teil. XI. Das manisch-depressive Irresein. Verlag von Johann Ambrosius Barth, Leipzig, pp. 1183–1395 (translated as *Manic-Depressive Insanity and Paranoia* by R. Mary Barkley; edited by George M. Robertson, 1921. E. & S. Livingstone, Edinburgh, pp. 1-206).

Kupfer, D.J., Carpenter, L.L., Frank, E. 1988. Possible role of antidepressants in precipitating mania and hypomania in recurrent depression. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 145, 804–808.

Li, C.T., Bai, Y.M., Huang, Y.L., Chen, Y.S., Chen, T.J., Cheng, J.Y., Su, T.P., 2012. Association between antidepressant resistance in unipolar depression and subsequent bipolar disorder: cohort study. *Br. J. Psychiatry* 200, 45–51.

McGorry, P.D., 2007. Issues for DSM-V: clinical staging: a heuristic pathway to valid nosology and safer, more effective treatment in psychiatry. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 164, 859–860.

Mitchell, P.B., Goodwin, G.M., Johnson, G.F., Hirschfeld, R.M.A., 2008. Diagnostic guidelines for bipolar depression: a probabilistic approach. *Bipolar Disord.* 10, 144– 152.

Pacchiarotti, I., Bond, D.J., Baldessarini, R.J., Nolen, W.A., Grunze, H., Licht, R.W., Post, R.M., Berk, M., Goodwin, G.M., Sachs, G.S., Tondo, L., Findling, R.L., Youngstrom, E.A., Tohen, M., Undurraga, J., González-Pinto, A., Goldberg, J.F., Yildiz, A., Altshuler, L.L., Calabrese, J.R., Mitchell, P.B., Thase, M.E., Koukopoulos, A., Colom, F., Frye, M.A., Malhi, G.S., Fountoulakis, K.N., Vázquez, G., Perlis, R.H., Ketter, T.A., Cassidy, F., Akiskal, H., Azorin, J.M., Valentí, M., Mazzei, D.H., Lafer, B., Kato, T., Mazzarini, L., Martínez-Aran, A., Parker, G., Souery, D., Ozerdem, A., McElroy, S.L., Girardi, P., Bauer, M., Yatham, L.N., Zarate, C.A., Nierenberg, A.A., Birmaher, B., Kanba, S., El-Mallakh, R.S., Serretti, A., Rihmer, Z., Young, A.H., Kotzalidis, G.D., MacQueen, G.M., Bowden, C.L., Ghaemi, S.N., Lopez-Jaramillo, C., Rybakowski, J., Ha, K., Perugi, G., Kasper, S., Amsterdam, J.D., Hirschfeld, R.M., Kapczinski, F., Vieta, E., 2013. The International Society for Bipolar Disorders (ISBD) task force report on antidepressant use in bipolar disorders. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 170, 1249–1262.

Perugi, G., Angst, J., Azorin, J.-M., Bowden, C.L., Mosolov, S., Reis, J., Vieta, E., Young, A.H.; BRIDGE-II-Mix Study Group, 2015a. Mixed features in patients with a major depressive episode: the BRIDGE-II-MIX study. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* 76, e351– e358.

Perugi, G., Angst, J., Azorin, J.-M., Bowden, C.L., Caciagli, A., Mosolov, S., Vieta, E., Young, A.H.; BRIDGE-II-Mix Study Group., 2015b. Relationships between mixed features and borderline personality disorder in 2811 patients with major depressive episode. *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.* Jun 12, 2015. doi: 10.1111/acps.12457.

- Popovic, D., Vieta, E., Azorin, J.-M., Angst, J., Bowden, C.L., Mosolov, S., Young, A.H., Perugi, G., 2015. Suicide attempts in major depressive episode: evidence from the BRIDGE-II-Mix study. *Bipolar Disord.* 17, 795–803.
- Sachs, G.S., Nierenberg, A.A., Calabrese, J.R., Marangell, L.B., Wisniewski, S.R., Gyulai, L., Friedman, E.S., Bowden, C.L., Fossey, M.D., Ostacher, M.J., Ketter, T.A., Patel, J., Hauser, P., Rapport, D., Martinez, J.M., Allen, M.H., Miklowitz, D.J., Otto, M.W., Dennehy, E.B., Thase, M.E., 2007. Effectiveness of adjunctive antidepressant treatment for bipolar depression. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 356, 1711–1722.
- Schaffer, A., Cairney, J., Veldhuizen, S., Kurdyak, P., Cheung, A., Levitt, A., 2010. A population-based analysis of distinguishers of bipolar disorder from major depressive disorder. *J. Affect. Disord.* 125, 103–110.
- Solomon, D.A., Keller, M.B., Leon, A.C., Mueller, T.I., Lavori, P.W., Shea, M.T., Coryell, W., Warshaw, M., Turvey, C., Maser, J.D., Endicott, J., 2000. Multiple recurrences of major depressive disorder. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 157, 229–233.
- Solomon, D.A., Leon, A.C., Maser, J.D., Truman, C.J., Coryell, W., Endicott, J., Teres, J.J., Keller, M.B., 2006. Distinguishing bipolar major depression from unipolar major depression with the screening assessment of depression-polarity (SAD-P). *J. Clin. Psychiatry* 67, 434–442.
- Souery, D., Amsterdam, J., de Montigny, C., Lecrubier, Y., Montgomery, S., Lipp, O., Racagni, G., Zohar, J., Mendlewicz, J., 1999. Treatment resistant depression: methodological overview and operational criteria. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 9, 83–91.
- Takeshima, M., Oka, T., 2013. A comprehensive analysis of features that suggest bipolarity in patients with a major depressive episode: Which is the best combination to predict soft bipolarity diagnosis? *J. Affect. Disord.* 147, 150–155.
- Tondo, L., Visioli, C., Preti, A., Baldessarini, R.J., 2014. Bipolar disorders following initial depression: modeling predictive clinical factors. *J. Affect. Disord.* 167, 44–49.
- Vieta, E., 2015. Staging and psychosocial early intervention in bipolar disorder. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2, 483–485.
- Wiste, A., Robinson, E.B., Milaneschi, Y., Meier, S., Ripke, S., Clements, C.C., Fitzmaurice, G.M., Rietschel, M., Penninx, B.W., Smoller, J.W., Perlis, R.H., 2014. Bipolar polygenic loading and bipolar spectrum features in major depressive disorder. *Bipolar Disord.* 16, 608–616.
- Zimmermann, P., Brückl, T., Nocon, A., Pfister, H., Lieb, R., Wittchen, H.-U., Holsboer, F., Angst, J., 2009. Heterogeneity of DSM-IV major depressive disorder as a consequence of subthreshold bipolarity. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 66, 1341–1352.

Table 1. Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the MDD sample subdivided according to number of lifetime episodes (>3, N = 1263, High-Rec; ≤3, N=1084, Low-Rec). P-values are shown with the Bonferroni-related cut-off, i.e., 0.0015.

	> 3 episodes (High-Rec)	≤ 3 episodes (Low-Rec)	<i>t</i> -Test or <i>chi</i> - square	<i>p</i>	OR (CI)
Age, mean (SD)	47.6 (13.5)	40.4 (13.4)	0.207	< .0001	
Age of onset, mean (SD)	31.8 (12.4)	35.3 (13.5)	11.01	< .0001	
Gender, Female N (%)	933 (73.9)	704 (64.9)	22.03	< .0001	1.526 (1.279 to 1.822)
Family history, N (%)					
First-degree family history of BD	211 (16.9)	97 (9.1)	30.49	< .0001	2.035 (1.576 to 2.628)
Course characteristics, N (%)					
Age 1 st depressive diagnosis, mean in years (SD)	35.0 (12.2)	37.0 (13.1)	7.76	< .0001	
Psychotic features	104 (8.2)	71 (6.5)	2.40	ns	1.280 (0.936 to 1.751)
First MDE < 30 years	669 (53.0)	475 (43.8)	19.55	< .0001	1.444 (1.227 to 1.700)
Atypical features	106 (8.4)	46 (4.2)	16.58	< .0001	2.067 (1.448 to 2.951)
Current episode duration < 1 month	645 (51.1)	324 (29.9)	111.39	< .0001	3.001 (2.283 to 4.541)
History of suicide attempts	356 (28.2)	146 (13.5)	75.16	< .0001	2.522 (2.038 to 3.121)
Number of suicide attempts, mean (SD)	8.11 (6.79)	3.13 (0.49)	1.01	< .0001	
Memory disturbance	840 (66.5)	617 (56.9)	22.79	< .0001	1.503 (1.271 to 1.777)
Number of hospitalisations, mean (SD)	2.6 (4.2)	.301 (0.8)	574.63	< .0001	

Duration current depressive episode, mean (SD)	77.9 (94.9)	122.8 (153.3)	106.34	< .0001	
GAF, mean (SD)	49.7 (12.7)	52.7 (12.6)	2.73	< .0001	
Number of mood episodes, mean (SD)	7.3 (7.1)	1.4 (.6)	446.74	< .0001	
Lifetime comorbidity, N (%)					
OCD	78 (6.2)	38 (3.5)	8.93	ns	1.816 (1.222 to 2.701)
Eating disorder	81 (6.5)	59 (5.5)	1.02	ns	1.195 (.846 to 1.689)
ADHD	39 (3.1)	14 (1.3)	8.44	ns	2.425 (1.309 to 4.491)
Anxiety disorder	354 (28.0)	308 (28.4)	0.04	ns	0.981 (0.819 to 1.175)
Alcohol/substance use disorder	111 (8.8)	87 (8.0)	0.44	ns	1.104 (.824 to 1.480)
Borderline personality disorder	90 (7.1)	38 (3.5)	14.83	< .0001	2.112 (1.432 to 3.114)
Previous treatments, n (%)					
Antidepressants	1130 (89.5)	824 (76.0)	75.75	< .0001	2.681 (2.136 to 3.365)
Antipsychotic agents	471 (37.3)	253 (23.3)	53.24	< .0001	1.953 (1.630 to 2.341)
Mood stabilisers	407 (32.2)	125 (11.5)	142.50	< .0001	3.648 (2.926 to 4.548)
ECT	20 (1.6)	13 (1.2)	0.62	ns	1.326 (0.656 to 2.677)
BDZ	649 (51.4)	445 (41.1)	25.03	< .0001	1.518 (1.289 to 1.788)
> 3 drugs	493 (39.0)	212 (19.6)	105.3	< .0001	2.634 (2.182 to 3.178)
Past reactions to antidepressants, n (%)					
(Hypo)manic switches or Mood lability	315 (24.9)	75 (6.9)	136.74	< .0001	4.470 (3.423 to 5.838)

Treatment resistance	494 (39.1)	165 (15.2)	164.89	< .0001	3.578 (2.928 to 4.373)
----------------------	------------	------------	--------	---------	------------------------

Abbreviations: ADHD, attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder; BD, bipolar disorder; BDZ, benzodiazepines; CI, confidence interval; ECT, electroconvulsive therapy; GAF, Global Assessment of Functioning scale; High-Rec, high recurrence group; Low-Rec, low recurrence group; MDD, major depressive disorder; MDE, major depressive episode; OCD, obsessive-compulsive disorder; OR, odds ratio; SD, standard deviation.

Table 2. RBDC (hypomanic) symptom distribution comparison between the High-Rec sample, with > 3 lifetime episodes (N = 1263) and the Low-Rec sample, with ≤ 3 lifetime episodes (N = 1084).

	> 3 episodes N (%)	≤ 3 episodes N (%)	chi-square	p	OR (CI)
Irritable mood	389 (30.8)	307 (28.3)	1.72	ns	1.126 (0.943 to 1.346)
Emotional/mood lability	366 (29.0)	248 (22.9)	11.24	= .001	1.375 (1.141 to 1.658)
Distractibility	304 (24.1)	200 (18.5)	10.92	= .001	1.401 (1.147 to 1.712)
Psychomotor agitation	190 (15.0)	139 (12.8)	2.39	ns	1.204 (0.951 to 1.524)
Impulsiveness	192 (15.2)	87 (8.0)	28.68	< .0001	2.054 (1.572 to 2.685)
Aggression (verbal or physical)	171 (13.5)	132 (12.2)	.963	ns	1.129 (0.886 to 1.440)
Racing thoughts	153 (12.1)	80 (7.4)	14.62	< .0001	1.730 (1.303 to 2.297)
More talkative/pressure to keep talking	159 (12.6)	65 (6.0)	29.37	< .0001	2.258 (1.671 to 3.050)
Hyperactivity	92 (7.3)	35 (3.2)	18.74	< .0001	2.355 (1.581 to 3.506)
Increased energy	109 (8.6)	42 (3.9)	21.91	< .0001	2.343 (1.626 to 3.378)
Risky behaviour	98 (7.8)	43 (4.0)	14.86	< .0001	2.036 (1.409 to 2.943)
Grandiosity	53 (4.2)	17 (1.6)	13.92	< .0001	2.749 (1.582 to 4.777)

Euphoria	63 (5.0)	23 (2.1)	13.58	< .0001	2.422 (1.492 to 3.932)
Hypersexuality	36 (2.9)	17 (1.6)	4.34	= .037	1.842 (1.028 to 3.298)

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; High-Rec, high recurrence group; Low-Rec, low recurrence group; OR, odds ratio; RBDC, research-based diagnostic criteria (Angst et al., 2011; 2013).

Table 3. Comparison of the distribution between the sample with > 3 lifetime episodes (High-Rec, N = 1263) and that with ≤ 3 lifetime episodes (Low-Rec, N = 1084) of RBDC bipolar disorder specifiers and mixed depression according to the DSM-5 and to the RBDC criteria

	>3 episodes N (%)	≤3 episodes N (%)	chi-square (df = 1)	p	OR (CI)
Bipolar disorder specifier	524 (41.5)	182 (16.8)	169.19	< .0001	3.514 (2.893 to 4.269)
Bipolar I disorder	304 (24.1)	71 (6.5)	133.37	< .0001	4.523 (3.442 to 5.943)
Bipolar II disorder	220 (17.4)	111 (10.2)	24.82	< .0001	1.849 (1.448 to 2.361)
Depressive mixed state					
DSM-5 criteria	100 (7.9)	31 (2.9)	28.32	< .0001	2.921 (1.936 to 4.407)
RBDC mixed	363 (28.7)	228 (21.0)	18.4	< .0001	1.514 (1.252 to 1.849)

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; DSM-5, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th edition; High-Rec, high recurrence group; Low-Rec, low recurrence group; OR, odds ratio; RBDC, research-based diagnostic criteria (Angst et al., 2011; 2013).

Table 4. Predictive factors for a diagnosis of MDD characterised by more than 3 lifetime episodes (High-Rec)

Variables	Odds Ratio [95% CI]	<i>p</i> -value
Age of first symptoms <30 years	5.02 [1.20-7.10]	0.004
Duration of current depressive episode ≤1 month	2.28 [1.12-3.65]	0.027
Current atypical, mixed, or psychotic depressive symptoms	4.96 [1.01-7.99]	0.005
Current psychiatric comorbidities	1.76 [1.17-2.82]	0.101
History of suicide attempts	2.35 [1.07-5.19]	0.024
Antidepressant treatment resistance	3.15 [1.02-6.71]	0.012
Previous response of mania/hypomania or mood lability to antidepressants	3.10 [1.19-5.22]	0.015
Hypomania/mania among first degree relatives	2.09 [1.16-6.01]	0.032

Abbreviations: CI, confidence intervals; High-Rec, high recurrence group. Significant results in **bold**.

Highlights

- Separation between BD and MDD focus on polarity, not on recurrence
- 2,347 MDD patients from the BRIDGE-II-mix database assessed for mixed features
- High-recurrence had more bipolar/mixed features than low-recurrence MDD patients
- RBDC were more sensitive than DSM-5 in detecting bipolar/mixed features in MDD