



ELSEVIER

Available at

www.ElsevierMathematics.com

POWERED BY SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

JOURNAL OF
COMPUTATIONAL AND
APPLIED MATHEMATICS

Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 161 (2003) 193–201

www.elsevier.com/locate/cam

On the existence of positive solutions of p -Laplacian difference equations

Zhimin He*

*Department of Applied Mathematics, Central South University, Changsha 410083, Hunan,
People's Republic of China*

Received 7 June 2003

Abstract

In this paper, by means of fixed point theorem in a cone, the existence of positive solutions of p -Laplacian difference equations is considered.

© 2003 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: 39A10

Keywords: Boundary value problem; Positive solution; Difference equation; Fixed point theorem; Cone

1. Introduction

For notation, given $a < b$ in Z , we employ intervals to denote discrete sets such as $[a, b] = \{a, a + 1, \dots, b\}$, $[a, b) = \{a, a + 1, \dots, b - 1\}$, $[a, \infty) = \{a, a + 1, \dots\}$, etc. Let $T \geq 1$ be fixed. In this paper, we are concerned with the following p -Laplacian difference equation:

$$\Delta[\phi_p(\Delta u(t - 1))] + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, \quad t \in [1, T + 1], \quad (1)$$

satisfying the boundary conditions

$$\Delta u(0) = u(T + 2) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where $\phi_p(s)$ is p -Laplacian operator, i.e., $\phi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$, $p > 1$, $(\phi_p)^{-1} = \phi_q$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, and

(A) $f: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ is continuous (R^+ denotes the nonnegative reals),

(B) $a(t)$ is a positive valued function defined on $[1, T + 1]$.

* Supported by NNSF (No. 10071018) of People's Republic of China and NSF (02JJY2006) of Hunan.

E-mail address: hezhimin@mail.csu.edu.cn (Z. He).

The motivation for the present work stems from many recent investigations in [1–4,6–8,10]. For the continuous case, boundary value problems analogous to (1) and (2) arise in various nonlinear phenomena for which only positive solutions are meaningful; see, for example [11,12].

2. Preliminaries

Let

$$f_0 = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}}, \quad f_\infty = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}}.$$

We note that $u(t)$ is a solution of (1) and (2), if, and only if

$$u(t) = \sum_{s=t}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) f(u(i)) \right), \quad t \in [0, T+2]. \quad (3)$$

Let

$$E = \{u : [0, T+2] \rightarrow R \mid \Delta u(0) = u(T+2) = 0\}$$

with norm, $\|u\| = \max_{t \in [0, T+2]} |u(t)|$, then $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space.

Define a cone, P , by

$$P = \{u \in E : u(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in [0, T+2], \text{ and } u(t) \geq \sigma(t)\|u\|\},$$

where $\sigma(t) = 1 - t/(T+2)$, $t \in [0, T+2]$.

The following two lemmas will play an important role in the proof of our results and can be found in the book in [9] as well as in the book in [5].

Lemma 2.1. *Let E be a Banach space, and let $P \subset E$ be a cone in E . Assume Ω_1, Ω_2 are open subsets of E with $0 \in \Omega_1 \subset \bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$, and let*

$$T : P \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow P$$

be a completely continuous operator such that, either

- (i) $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$, $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$, and $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$, $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$, or
- (ii) $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$, $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$, and $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$, $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$.

Then T has a fixed point in $P \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let E be a Banach space, and let $P \subset E$ be a cone in E . For $\rho > 0$, define $P_\rho = \{u \in K : \|u\| \leq \rho\}$. Assume that*

$$T : P_\rho \rightarrow P$$

is a completely continuous operator such that, $Tu \neq u$ for $x \in \partial P_\rho = \{u \in P : \|u\| = \rho\}$.

- (i) *If $\|u\| \leq \|Tu\|$, $u \in \partial P_\rho$, then $i(T, P_\rho, P) = 0$;*
- (ii) *If $\|u\| \geq \|Tu\|$, $u \in \partial P_\rho$, then $i(T, P_\rho, P) = 1$.*

3. Solutions of (1) and (2) in a cone

Theorem 3.1. Assume that conditions (A) and (B) are satisfied. If

- (i) $f_0 = 0, f_\infty = \infty$ or
- (ii) $f_0 = \infty, f_\infty = 0$.

Then, there exists at least one solution of (1) and (2) in P .

Proof. Define a summation operator $T : P \rightarrow E$ by

$$(Tu)(t) = \sum_{s=t}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) f(u(i)) \right), \quad t \in [0, T+2]. \quad (4)$$

We note that from (4), if $u \in P$, then $(Tu)(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, T+2]$.

Moreover, for $u \in P$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu\| &= (Tu)(0) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) f(u(i)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$U(t) = (Tu)(t) - \left(1 - \frac{t}{T+2} \right) \|Tu\|, \quad t \in [0, T+2].$$

Then $U(0) = U(T+2) = 0, \Delta^2 U(t) \leq 0, t \in [0, T]$. By Lemma 2 in [6], we have $U(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, T+2]$, i.e. $(Tu)(t) \geq (1 - t/(T+2))\|Tu\|, t \in [0, T+2]$. Consequently, $T : P \rightarrow P$. It is also easy to check that $T : P \rightarrow P$ is completely continuous.

Case (i): Now, turning to f_0 , there exists $H_1 > 0$ such that $f(u) \leq (\theta u)^{p-1}$ for $0 < u \leq H_1$, where $\theta > 0$ satisfies

$$\theta \sum_{s=0}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) \right) \leq 1.$$

Thus, for $u \in P$ with $\|u\| = H_1$, implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu\| &= \sum_{s=0}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) f(u(i)) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) (\theta u(i))^{p-1} \right) \\ &\leq \|u\| \theta \sum_{s=0}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) \right) \\ &\leq \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|Tu\| \leq \|u\| \quad \text{for } u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\Omega_1 = \{u \in B : \|u\| < H_1\}.$$

If we next consider f_∞ , there exists an $\bar{H}_2 > 0$ such that $f(u) \geq (\Theta u)^{p-1}$, for all $u \geq \bar{H}_2$, where $\Theta > 0$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2}\Theta \sum_{s \in Y} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) \right) \geq 1,$$

where

$$Y = \left\{ t \in Z : 0 \leq t \leq \frac{T+2}{2} \right\}.$$

Let $H_2 = \max\{2H_1, 2\bar{H}_2\}$, and define

$$\Omega_2 = \{u \in B : \|u\| < H_2\}.$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u\| \leq u(t) \leq \|u\| \quad \text{for } t \in Y, \quad u \in P.$$

If $u \in P$ with $\|u\| = H_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu\| &= \sum_{s=0}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) f(u(i)) \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{s \in Y} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) f(u(i)) \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{s \in Y} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) (\Theta u(i))^{p-1} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\Theta \|u\| \sum_{s \in Y} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) \right) \\ &\geq \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|Tu\| \geq \|u\| \quad \text{for } u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2. \quad (6)$$

From (5) and (6), Lemma 2.1(i) implies that T has a fixed point $u \in P \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$.

Case (ii): Beginning with f_0 , there exists $H_1 > 0$ such that $f(u) \geq (vu)^{p-1}$ for $0 < u \leq H_1$, where $v > 0$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2}v \sum_{s \in Y} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) \right) \geq 1.$$

So, for $u \in P$ with $\|u\| = H_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu\| &= \sum_{s=0}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) f(u(i)) \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{s \in Y} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) f(u(i)) \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{s \in Y} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) (vu(i))^{p-1} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} v \|u\| \sum_{s \in Y} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) \right) \\ &\geq \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|Tu\| \geq \|u\| \quad \text{for } u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\Omega_1 = \{u \in B : \|u\| < H_1\}.$$

Using the assumption concerning f_∞ , there exists an $\bar{H}_2 > 0$ such that $f(u) \leq (\lambda u)^{p-1}$ for $u \geq H_2$, where $\lambda > 0$ satisfies

$$\lambda \sum_{s=0}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) \right) \leq 1.$$

There are two cases: (a) f is bounded, and (b) f is unbounded.

For case (a), assume $M > 0$ is such that $f(u) \leq M^{p-1}$ for all $0 < u < \infty$. Let

$$H_2 = \max \left\{ 2H_1, M \sum_{s=0}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) \right) \right\}.$$

Then, for $u \in P$ with $\|u\| = H_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu\| &= \sum_{s=0}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) f(u(i)) \right) \\ &\leq M \sum_{s=0}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) \right) \\ &\leq H_2 = \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|Tu\| \leq \|u\| \quad \text{for } u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\Omega_2 = \{u \in B : \|u\| < H_2\}.$$

For case (b), it can be shown without much difficulty that there is an $H_2 > \max\{2H_1, \bar{H}_2\}$ such that $f(u) \leq f(H_2)$, for $0 < u \leq H_2$. Choosing $u \in P$ with $\|u\| = H_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu\| &= \sum_{s=0}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) f(u(i)) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) f(H_2) \right) \\ &\leq (f(H_2))^{q-1} \sum_{s=0}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) \right) \\ &\leq \lambda H_2 \sum_{s=0}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) \right) \\ &\leq H_2 = \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|Tu\| \leq \|u\| \quad \text{for } u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2, \quad (9)$$

where

$$\Omega_2 = \{u \in B : \|u\| < H_2\}.$$

We apply Lemma 2.1 to conclude that T has a fixed point $u \in P \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$. Thus, in either of the case, Lemma 2.1(ii) applied to (7) and (8) or (9) yields a fixed point of T which belongs to $P \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$. This fixed point u is a solution of (1) and (2). The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete. \square

Theorem 3.2. Assume that conditions (A) and (B) are satisfied. If

- (i) $f_0 = f_\infty = \infty$,
- (ii) there exists $\rho > 0$ such that $f(u) < (\eta\rho)^{p-1}$ for $0 < u \leq \rho$, where

$$\eta = \left[\sum_{s=0}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) \right) \right]^{-1}.$$

Then, there exists at least two solutions u_1 and u_2 of (1) and (2) in P , such that $0 < \|u_1\| < \rho < \|u_2\|$.

Proof. Since $f_0 = \infty$, there exists $d \in (0, \rho)$ such that $f(u) \geq (Mu)^{p-1}$ for $0 \leq u \leq d$, where $M > 0$ satisfies

$$M \sum_{s \in Y} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) \left(1 - \frac{i}{T+2} \right)^{p-1} \right) > 1.$$

Thus, for $u \in P$ with $\|u\| = d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu\| &= \sum_{s=0}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) f(u(i)) \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{s \in Y} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) f(u(i)) \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{s \in Y} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) (Mu(i))^{p-1} \right) \\ &\geq M \|u\| \sum_{s \in Y} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) \left(1 - \frac{i}{T+2} \right)^{p-1} \right) \\ &> \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$i(T, P_d, P) = 0.$$

Since $f_\infty = \infty$, there exists an $R > \rho$ such that $f(u) \geq (Nu)^{p-1}$, for all $u \geq R$, where $N > 0$ satisfies

$$N \sum_{s \in Y} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) \left(1 - \frac{i}{T+2} \right)^{p-1} \right) > 1.$$

If $u \in P$ with $\|u\| = R$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu\| &= \sum_{s=0}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) f(u(i)) \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{s \in Y} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) f(u(i)) \right) \\ &\geq N \|u\| \sum_{s \in Y} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) \left(1 - \frac{i}{T+2} \right)^{p-1} \right) \\ &> \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$i(T, P_R, P) = 0.$$

If $u \in P$ with $\|u\| = \rho$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu\| &= \sum_{s=0}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) f(u(i)) \right) \\ &< \eta \rho \sum_{s=0}^{T+1} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) \right) \\ &= \rho = \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$i(T, P_\rho, P) = 1.$$

Therefore,

$$i(T, P_R \setminus \bar{P}_\rho, P) = -1, \quad i(T, P_\rho \setminus \bar{P}_d, P) = 1.$$

So, there exists at least two solutions u_1 and u_2 of (1), (2) in P , such that $0 < \|u_1\| < \rho < \|u_2\|$. \square

Theorem 3.3. Assume that conditions (A) and (B) are satisfied. If

- (i) $f_0 = f_\infty = 0$,
- (ii) there exists $\rho > 0$ such that $f(u) > (\lambda \rho)^{p-1}$ for $\frac{1}{2}\rho \leq u \leq \rho$, where

$$\lambda = \left[\sum_{s \in Y} \phi_q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a(i) \right) \right]^{-1}, \quad Y = \left\{ t \in Z : 0 \leq t \leq \frac{T+2}{2} \right\}.$$

Then, there exists at least two solutions u_1 and u_2 of (1) and (2) in P , such that $0 < \|u_1\| < \rho < \|u_2\|$.

The proof of Theorem 3.3 is similar to that of Theorem 3.2. Here we omit it.

References

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, J. Henderson, Positive solutions and nonlinear eigenvalue problems for third-order difference equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 36 (11–12) (1998) 347–355.
- [2] R.P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, A fixed-point approach for nonlinear discrete boundary value problems, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 36 (10–12) (1998) 115–121.
- [3] A. Cabada, Extremal solutions for the difference ϕ -Laplacian problem with nonlinear functional boundary conditions, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 42 (2001) 593–601.
- [4] A. Cabada, V. Otero-Espinar, Existence and comparison results for difference ϕ -Laplacian boundary value problem with lower and upper solutions in reverse order, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 267 (2002) 501–521.

- [5] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer, New York, 1985.
- [6] P.W. Eloe, A generalization of concavity for finite differences, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 36 (1998) 109–113.
- [7] J. Henderson, Positive solutions for nonlinear difference equations, *Nonlinear Stud.* 4 (1) (1997) 29–36.
- [8] J. Henderson, H.Y. Wang, Positive solutions for nonlinear eigenvalue problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 208 (1997) 252–259.
- [9] M.A. Krasnosel'skii, *Positive Solutions of Operator Equations*, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1964.
- [10] F. Merdivenci, Two positive solutions of a boundary value problem for difference equations, *J. Difference Equations Appl.* 1 (1995) 263–270.
- [11] W.P. Sun, W.G. Ge, The existence of positive solutions for a class of nonlinear boundary value problems, *Acta Math. Sinica* 44 (2001) 577–580 (in Chinese).
- [12] J.Y. Wang, The existence of positive solutions for the one-dimensional p -Laplacian, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125 (1997) 2275–2283.