



# On the closed representation for the inverses of Hessenberg matrices

J. Abderramán Marrero<sup>a,1</sup>, V. Tomeo<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics Applied to Information Technologies, Telecommunication Engineering School, (ETSIT-U.P.M.) Madrid Tech. University, Avda Complutense s/n. Ciudad Universitaria, 28040 Madrid, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Department of Algebra, School of Statistics, (E.U.E.-U.C.M.) University Complutense, Avda de Puerta de Hierro s/n. Ciudad Universitaria, 28040 Madrid, Spain

## ARTICLE INFO

### MSC:

11B83  
15A09  
15A15  
33C45  
47A10  
65F05

### Keywords:

General orthogonal polynomials  
Hessenberg matrix  
Hessenbergian  
Inverse matrix  
Lower semiseparable (plus diagonal) matrix  
Resolvent matrix

## ABSTRACT

The general representation for the elements of the inverse of any Hessenberg matrix of finite order is here extended to the reduced case with a new proof. Those entries are given with proper Hessenbergians from the original matrix. It justifies both the use of linear recurrences of unbounded order for such computations on matrices of intermediate order, and some elementary properties of the inverse. These results are applied on the resolvent matrix associated to a finite Hessenberg matrix in standard form. Two examples on the unit disk are given.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The main role of matrix inversion in many parts of science and engineering and the methods used for its resolution are well known. The Cayley formula for the entries of the inverse matrix in terms of the adjoint matrix involves determinants. Both the computation and the expansion as a sum of products of these determinants present difficulties. These problems can be avoided by taking advantage of the special structure of certain matrices, for example tridiagonal, banded, or Hessenberg matrices, to develop less costly algorithms or to identify properties invariant under matrix inversion.

In this direction, algorithms for the inversion of unreduced symmetric tridiagonal matrices were introduced in [1]. These algorithms were generalized to unreduced Hessenberg matrices in [2] and to banded unreduced matrices in [3]. In all of them, the entries of the matrix inverse were represented as a product of two linear recurrences. The relation between certain elements of the inverse matrix of an unreduced Hessenberg matrix and the product of two linear recurrences was proven in [4]. These recurrences were obtained from a closed formula for the entries on and above the diagonal of the inverse matrix of a lower Hessenberg matrix in terms of the Hessenbergians, [5], of its proper principal submatrices. In parallel, the low rank properties of submatrices of the inverse matrices of tridiagonal and banded matrices were outlined in [6], based on explicit representations of their minors. The results of [4,6] were closely related with the nullity theorem. The subsequent development of this theorem and its implications for the invariance of low rank properties in the inversion of semiseparable matrices, [7–11], have dominated research up to the present time. A first closed and general representation for all entries of the inverse of any unreduced Hessenberg matrix was given by one of the authors in [12]. Later, analogous expressions

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 913944055.

E-mail addresses: [jc.abderraman@upm.es](mailto:jc.abderraman@upm.es) (J. Abderramán Marrero), [tomeo@estad.ucm.es](mailto:tomeo@estad.ucm.es) (V. Tomeo).

<sup>1</sup> Tel.: +34 915495700.

were implicitly obtained in [13], Theorem 3.5. In addition, there is an abundant literature related to general or specialized algorithms for the inverse of structured matrices [14–16]. The Krylov subspace methods have been applied in the inversion of matrices with sufficiently large order  $n$ . For other application of Krylov methods, particularly in ODEs, see e.g. [17]. Recent algorithms for the inversion of Hessenberg matrices can be found, e.g. in [18,19]. These only work for unreduced Hessenberg matrices.

Without loss of generality we work with upper Hessenberg matrices. The compact expression for the entries below and on the diagonal is straightforward when using the Cayley formula based on their matrix cofactors  $A_{j,i}$ , with  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , and the Sylvester theorem on determinants,

$$(H^{-1})_{i,j} = \frac{A_{j,i}}{\det H} = (-1)^{i+j} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{i-j-1} h_{i-k,i-k-1} \right) \frac{\det H_{j-1} \det H_{n-i}^{(i)}}{\det H}. \quad (1)$$

The submatrix  $H_{j-1}$  is the left principal one of order  $j-1$ . The submatrix  $H_{n-i}^{(i)}$  is the right principal one of order  $n-i$ , which begins in the  $i+1$ -th row and column and finishes in the  $n$ -th row and column. This formula is equivalent those given in [4] for the entries on and above the diagonal,  $i \leq j$ , for the inverse matrix of a lower Hessenberg matrix.

The validity of the representation in closed form for all entries of the inverse matrix  $H^{-1}$ , in terms of proper Hessenbergians given in [12,13] for unreduced Hessenberg matrices is extended here to the reduced case. In addition, a new and more compact proof is introduced. This class of expressions allows us to solve for all the entries of the matrix using homogeneous linear recurrences, [20,21], with well defined coefficients for each Hessenberg matrix. This approach has been applied in the case of tridiagonal matrices. A solution for the elements of the inverse matrix using a set of linear recurrences was introduced in [22]. A more sophisticated method was given in [23], where the solutions of second order linear difference equations were used in a boundary value problem. Thus, a compact representation for the inverse matrix of any unreduced tridiagonal matrix was obtained via combinatorial expressions, equivalent to the Leibniz formula for determinants.

In Section 2, we introduce a new proof for the representation of all entries of the inverse matrix of any unreduced Hessenberg matrix, in terms of proper Hessenbergians. The representation is extended to reduced Hessenberg matrices, although we must consider the avoidable indeterminacies that could arise. Section 3 is devoted to the linear recurrences involved in the computation of Hessenbergians and recalls some of the elementary properties of the inverse of a Hessenberg matrix. As an interesting application of our results, in Section 4 a closed formula is given for the elements of the finite sections of the resolvent matrix associated to any sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials on a bounded region of the complex plane. It is illustrated with two examples on the unit disk.

## 2. Inverses of regular Hessenberg matrices

To begin with, there is proved a preliminary lemma which will simplify the later proofs. For  $1 \leq k \leq m-1 < n$ , we define the upper Hessenberg submatrix  $H_{m-1-k}^C$  of order  $m-1-k$  associated to a left principal submatrix  $H_{m-1}$ . Its first  $m-2-k$  columns are equal to those of  $H_{m-1-k}$ , while the last column comprises elements, from  $h_{1,m-1}$  to  $h_{m-1-k,m-1}$ , of the last column of  $H_{m-1}$ . For example, for  $m=8$ ,  $k=3$ , the resulting matrix  $H_{8-1-3}^C$  is

$$H_{8-1-3}^C = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13} & h_{17} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} & h_{27} \\ 0 & h_{32} & h_{33} & h_{37} \\ 0 & 0 & h_{43} & h_{47} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We use here the term Hessenbergian for the determinant of any Hessenberg matrix; see e.g. [5].

**Lemma 1.** The proper Hessenbergians,  $\det H_{i-1}^C$ ,  $\det H_{n-i}^{(i)}$ , and first order minors  $M_{j,i}$  with  $1 \leq j < i$ , of an upper Hessenberg matrix  $H$  of order  $n$  satisfy the following equations

$$(-1)^{m-i} h_{i,i-1} \det H_{i-1}^C \det H_{n-i}^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} h_{j,m-1} (-1)^{m-1-j} M_{j,i}. \quad (2)$$

The submatrix  $H_{i-1}^C$  is defined relative to the left principal submatrix  $H_{m-1}$ ,  $i < m$ . That is,  $H_{m-1-(m-i)}^C = H_{i-1}^C$  for short.

**Proof.** Expanding  $\det H_{i-1}^C$  along its last row and using (1), we have

$$(-1)^{m-i} h_{i,i-1} \det H_{i-1}^C \det H_{n-i}^{(i)} = (-1)^{m-1-(i-1)} h_{i-1,m-1} M_{i-1,i} + (-1)^{m-i-1} h_{i,i-1} h_{i-1,i-2} \det H_{i-2}^C \det H_{n-i}^{(i)}.$$

Iterating the procedure, the left side of (2) is equal to

$$\sum_{j=i-2}^{i-1} h_{j,m-1} (-1)^{m-1-j} M_{j,i} + (-1)^{m-i-2} \left( \prod_{k=0}^2 h_{i-k,i-k-1} \right) \det H_{i-3}^C \det H_{n-i}^{(i)}.$$

After  $i - 2$  iterations, with the convention that  $\det H_0 = 1$ , there results

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{m-i} h_{i,i-1} \det H_{i-1}^C \det H_{n-i}^{(i)} &= \sum_{j=2}^{i-1} h_{j,m-1} (-1)^{m-1-j} M_{j,i} + (-1)^{m-2} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{i-2} h_{i-k,i-k-1} \right) \det H_1^C \det H_{n-i}^{(i)} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} h_{j,m-1} (-1)^{m-1-j} M_{j,i}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The centered principal submatrices  $H_{j-i-1}^{(i)}$  of order  $j - i - 1$  appear in the next theorem in a role analogous to that played by the submatrices of  $H$  in the definitions given in (1). These matrices are formed from the matrix  $H$ , taking the elements from the  $(i + 1)$ -th to the  $j$ -th rows and columns.

**Theorem 1.** Any upper Hessenberg matrix  $H$  of order  $n$  with complex coefficients satisfies the equations

$$\det H_{j-i-1}^{(i)} \det H = \det H_{j-1} \det H_{n-i}^{(i)} - \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-i-1} h_{j-k,j-k-1} \right) M_{j,i} \quad (3)$$

for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , where  $M_{j,i}$  is the corresponding first order minor of the matrix  $H$ .

**Proof.** For fixed  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i < n$ , we proceed by induction on  $j$ ,  $i < j \leq n$ .

If  $j = i + 1$ , the result follows straightforwardly from expanding  $\det H_n$  along its  $j$ -th row:

$$1 \cdot \det H = \det H_{j-1} \det H_{n-j-1}^{(j-1)} - h_{j,j-1} M_{j,i}. \quad (4)$$

We suppose that the statement is true for  $i < j \leq m - 1 < n$ . Then, for  $j = m \leq n$ , expanding the Hessenbergians in (3) for the matrices depending on  $m$  along their last rows and using the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det H_{m-1} \det H_{n-i}^{(i)} - \det H_{m-i-1}^{(i)} \det H &= \left( \prod_{k=0}^{m-i-2} h_{(m-1)-k,(m-1)-k-1} \right) h_{m-1,m-1} M_{m-1,i} \\ &\quad - h_{m-1,m-2} \left( \det H_{(m-1)-1}^C \det H_{n-i}^{(i)} - \det H_{(m-1)-i-1}^{(i)C} \det H \right). \end{aligned}$$

The Hessenberg matrices  $H_{(m-1)-1}^C$  and  $H_{(m-1)-i-1}^{(i)C}$  are evident in this context and they are associated to the left principal submatrix  $H_{m-1}$  and the centered principal submatrix  $H_{(m-1)-i}^{(i)}$ , respectively.

If we expand the determinants indexed by  $m$  along their last rows one more time and use the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} \det H_{m-1} \det H_{n-i}^{(i)} - \det H_{m-i-1}^{(i)} \det H &= \left( \prod_{k=0}^{m-i-2} h_{(m-1)-k,(m-1)-k-1} \right) \left( \sum_{l=m-2}^{m-1} h_{l,m-1} (-1)^{m-1-l} M_{l,i} \right) \\ &\quad + h_{m-1,m-2} h_{m-2,m-3} \left( \det H_{(m-2)-1}^C \det H_{n-i}^{(i)} - \det H_{(m-2)-i-1}^{(i)C} \det H \right). \end{aligned}$$

After  $m - i$  iterations and using the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} \det H_{m-1} \det H_{n-i}^{(i)} - \det H_{m-i-1}^{(i)} \det H &= \left( \prod_{k=0}^{m-i-2} h_{(m-1)-k,(m-1)-k-1} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left( \sum_{l=i}^{m-1} h_{l,m-1} (-1)^{m-1-l} M_{l,i} + (-1)^{m-i} h_{i,i-1} \det H_{i-1}^C \det H_{n-i}^{(i)} \right). \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

The induction hypothesis can be used up to here. We make the convention that any Hessenbergian of negative order is null. Thus  $\det H_{-1}^{(i)C} = 0$ .

If we invoke Lemma 1, then (5) yields,

$$\det H_{m-1} \det H_{n-i}^{(i)} - \det H_{m-i-1}^{(i)} \det H = \left( \prod_{k=0}^{m-i-2} h_{(m-1)-k,(m-1)-k-1} \right) \left( \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} h_{l,m-1} (-1)^{m-1-l} M_{l,i} \right). \quad (6)$$

In order to conclude the proof, it is sufficient to show that any upper Hessenberg matrix  $H$  of order  $n$  satisfies:

$$\sum_{l=1}^{m-1} h_{l,m-1} (-1)^{m-1-l} M_{l,i} = h_{m,m-1} M_{m,i}.$$

For this purpose, we give the sum with cofactors of the matrix  $H$ ,

$$\sum_{l=1}^{m-1} h_{l,m-1} (-1)^{m-1-l} M_{l,i} = (-1)^{m-1-i} \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} h_{l,m-1} (-1)^{l+i} M_{l,i}.$$

Because  $m-1 \neq i$ , the sum of alien cofactors, [5], of the matrix  $H$  is null. Taking into consideration that we are working with an upper Hessenberg matrix of order  $n$ , we have

$$(-1)^{m-1-i} \sum_{l=1}^n h_{l,m-1} (-1)^{l+i} M_{l,i} = (-1)^{m-1-i} \sum_{l=1}^m h_{l,m-1} (-1)^{l+i} M_{l,i} = 0.$$

The induction step is verified, after an appropriate change in the index  $k$  of the product from Eq. (6),

$$\det H_{m-1} \det H_{n-i}^{(i)} - \det H_{m-i-1}^{(i)} \det H = \left( \prod_{k=0}^{m-i-1} h_{m-k,m-k-1} \right) M_{m,i}.$$

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

The general representation for entries of the inverse of any upper Hessenberg matrix  $H$  as products of proper Hessenbergians, [12,13], is also a consequence of Theorem 1.

**Corollary 1.** A general expression for the elements  $(H^{-1})_{i,j}$  of the inverse matrix of an upper Hessenberg regular matrix  $H$ , is

$$(H^{-1})_{i,j} = \frac{(-1)^{i+j} \left( \prod_{k=2}^i h_{k,k-1} \right) \left( \det H_{j-1} \det H_{n-i}^{(i)} - \det H_{j-i-1}^{(i)} \det H \right)}{\left( \prod_{k=2}^j h_{k,k-1} \right) \det H}. \quad (7)$$

That is, the element  $(H^{-1})_{i,j}$  of the inverse matrix can be represented as [12,13]

$$(H^{-1})_{i,j} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{i+j} \frac{\left( \prod_{k=0}^{i-j-1} h_{i-k,i-k-1} \right) \det H_{j-1} \det H_{n-i}^{(i)}}{\det H} & \text{if } i \geq j, \\ (-1)^{i+j} \frac{\det H_{j-1} \det H_{n-i}^{(i)} - \det H_{j-i-1}^{(i)} \det H}{\left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-i-1} h_{j-k,j-k-1} \right) \det H} & \text{if } i < j. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

The cases with  $i \geq j$  are Eq. (1). For  $i < j$ , when the matrix  $H$  is not unreduced, the result is also valid as a consequence of Theorem 1. Indeed, for  $i < j$ , the null product of the denominator is explicitly cancelled because, by Theorem 1

$$\det H_{j-1} \det H_{n-i}^{(i)} - \det H_{j-i-1}^{(i)} \det H = \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-i-1} h_{j-k,j-k-1} \right) M_{j,i}.$$

Then, (7) results in

$$(H^{-1})_{i,j} = (-1)^{i+j} \frac{\left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-i-1} h_{j-k,j-k-1} \right) M_{j,i}}{\left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-i-1} h_{j-k,j-k-1} \right) \det H} = \frac{A_{j,i}}{\det H},$$

the Cayley formula for  $(H^{-1})_{i,j}$  using the matrix cofactor  $A_{j,i}$ .

### 3. Recurrences for the computation and some elementary properties of the inverse matrix

#### 3.1. Recurrences for the computation

We concentrate on the homogeneous linear recurrences found for Hessenbergians from (8), although faster algorithms can be used for their computations. Determinants of left principal submatrices,  $\det H_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\det H_n = \det H$ , of any upper Hessenberg matrix of order  $n$  satisfy the following large recurrence relations, with  $\det H_0 = 1$ ,

$$\det H_i = \sum_{m=1}^i (-1)^{m-1} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} h_{i-k+1,i-k} \right) h_{i-m+1,i} \det H_{i-m}. \quad (9)$$




Fig. 1. Mean value of the elapsed time.

For Hessenbergians of right principal submatrices,  $\det H_{n-i}^{(i)}$ , for  $i < j \leq n$ , the recurrences are similar, now with the initial conditions  $\det H_0^{(i)} = 1$  for  $j = i$ .

$$\det H_{j-i}^{(i)} = \sum_{m=1}^{j-i} (-1)^{m-1} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} h_{j-k+1, j-k} \right) h_{j-m+1, j} \det H_{j-i-m}^{(i)}. \quad (10)$$

The recurrences for Hessenbergians of the centered principal submatrices,  $\det H_{j-i-1}^{(i)}$ , can be obtained as particular cases of (10). Therefore, when the matrix  $H$  is unreduced, the computation of the elements of its inverse presents no difficulty into the usage range. Although, we take into consideration the possibility that unavoidable overflow and underflow appear in further computations.

### 3.1.1. Numerical concerns

The results given here for the entries of the inverse of a Hessenberg matrix have a major interest in explicit and/or analytical representations; nevertheless we consider briefly their numerical aspects. We do not claim that it is faster than others more specialized algorithms, but it is simpler and the recurrence formulae can be resolved symbolically in many cases to determine explicitly the inverse. Thus, the computation of the whole inverse of an intermediate Hessenberg matrix,  $50 \leq n \leq 250$ , using for example the standard function,  $\text{inv}(H)$ , of the *MATLAB* package, is faster than using the results of Corollary 1. For Hessenberg matrices of greater order, the numerical computations with our results are not adequate due to the use of linear recurrences of unbounded order, which should be carrier of unavoidable overflow or underflow, and of the round-off errors of the large products involved. For a more adequate and rigorous treatment concerning to computational aspects, e.g. inversion techniques, of Hessenberg and other structured matrices, see [14–16].

The computation for any entry of the inverse with the *MATLAB* package requires the computation of the whole matrix, by means of the function  $\text{inv}(H)$ , and then to choose the appropriate element of the inverse matrix. Any entry of the inverse can directly be computed from the results of Corollary 1 with a simpler algorithm. Our method offers acceptable numerical results in this intermediate level of interest in many applications, with respect to the specialized  $\text{inv}(H)$  function. As an illustration, we give in Fig. 1 the mean value of the elapsed time over 100 runs, for the computation of the inverse entry with  $i = n/2, j = n/2 + 8$ . Outcomes are provided for matrices with order  $n$  multiple of 10, between  $n = 50$  and  $n = 250$ . Hessenberg matrices are randomly chosen in a computer of 1.80 GHz.

### 3.1.2. Reduced Hessenberg matrices

When the matrix  $H$  is reduced, the numerical computation of the elements of its inverse presents no difficulty if  $i \geq j$ , or if  $i < j$  and the null elements from the subdiagonal do not appear in the product of the denominator of (8). The computational difficulty appears when  $i < j$  and one or more null elements of the subdiagonal of the matrix  $H$  appear more than once in the product of the Hessenbergians of the numerator of (8). This can happen when the minor associated to this element of the inverse matrix is not a Hessenbergian and it has in its second subdiagonal null and non-null elements from the subdiagonal of the matrix  $H$ .

We overcome indeterminacies by introducing auxiliary parameters in place of the zeros that can appear in the product of the denominator of (8). We use as an illustration a reduced Hessenberg matrix of order 6, obtained in a random way

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 2 & -4 & 1 & -4 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & -4 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

Elements  $h_{32}$  and  $h_{54}$  of the subdiagonal are null. The element  $(H^{-1})_{2,5} = \frac{331}{60}$  has associated the minor  $M_{5;2}$ , which is not a Hessenbergian. In this case, by (8), using parameters instead of the zeros in  $h_{32}$  and  $h_{54}$  in the Hessenbergians of the numerator and in the product of the denominator, we have

$$(H^{-1})_{2,5} = (-1) \frac{\det H_4 \det H_4^{(2)} - \det H_2^{(2)} \det H}{\left( \prod_{k=0}^2 h_{4-k,3-k} \right) \det H} = \frac{2648\alpha\beta}{480\alpha\beta} = \frac{331}{60}.$$

We can obtain the right numerical result using the previous recurrences, replacing the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  by numerical values, 1 for example.

To calculate the element  $(H^{-1})_{1,6} = -\frac{129}{20}$ , we work with the minor  $M_{6;1}$  of the matrix  $H$ , which is also not a Hessenbergian. We proceed in a similar way

$$\begin{aligned} (H^{-1})_{1,6} &= (-1) \frac{\det H_5(\alpha, \beta) \det H_5^{(1)}(\alpha, \beta) - \det H_4^{(1)}(\alpha, \beta) \det H(\alpha, \beta)}{(-4\alpha\beta)(120)} \\ (H^{-1})_{1,6} &= \frac{-256\alpha^2\beta^2 + 768\alpha^2\beta - 1016\alpha\beta^2 + 3096\alpha\beta}{-480\alpha\beta} \\ &= \frac{-32\alpha\beta + 96\alpha - 127\beta + 387}{-60}. \end{aligned}$$

If we give now to the parameters their null values,

$$(H^{-1})_{1,6} = -\frac{129}{20}$$

we obtain the right result using the recurrences associated to the Hessenbergians and elementary symbolic computations. Were we to solve numerically as for the element previously obtained, replacing the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in the recurrences involved in (8) by non-null numerical values, it is obvious that the result would be inaccurate.

### 3.2. Some elementary properties of the inverse matrix

It is well known that the inverse of an upper Hessenberg matrix is a lower semiseparable (plus diagonal) matrix, as can be derived easily from (8), with  $j + k \leq i \leq n$  and  $0 \leq l \leq n - i$ ,

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} (H^{-1})_{ij} & (H^{-1})_{i,j+k} \\ (H^{-1})_{i+l,j} & (H^{-1})_{i+l,j+k} \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

It is also known that the inverse matrix is semiseparable if and only if the matrix  $H$  is tridiagonal. In the unreduced case, more important in applications, if there are zeros in the diagonal of the inverse matrix, some principal, left or right, submatrices have non-maximal rank, with null associated Hessenbergians.

Moreover, the low rank property of some of the principal submatrices involved is a sufficient condition for the nullity of some element above the diagonal for the inverse matrix of an unreduced Hessenberg matrix  $H$ . The next illustrative matrix has an inverse with null diagonal elements and some elements above the diagonal are also null. This can be checked using (8).

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad H^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The entry  $(H^{-1})_{1,3}$  is 0, because  $\det H_2$  and  $\det H_1^{(1)}$  are null. Also the entry  $(H^{-1})_{2,4} = 0$  because  $\det H_3$ ,  $\det H_2^{(2)}$ , and  $\det H_1^{(2)}$  are null Hessenbergians.

## 4. General orthogonal polynomials: Hessenberg matrices in standard form

The use of orthogonal polynomials and special functions in science and engineering is well known; see e.g. [24] for an application in ODEs. Particularly, the computation of the resolvent matrices associated to orthogonal polynomials on the real line and on the unit circle and their associated tridiagonal and pentadiagonal Green matrices, are of current interest. Our results have application to the more general case of finite sections of the resolvent matrix associated with any sequence of orthogonal polynomials on an arbitrary and bounded domain in the complex plane. We will give two particular examples on the unit disk.

Given an infinite HPD (Hermitian positive definite) matrix,  $M = (c_{ij})_{i,j=0}^{\infty}$ , we denote by  $M'$  the matrix obtained by eliminating from matrix  $M$  its first column. Let  $M_n$  and  $M'_n$  be the corresponding sections of order  $n$  of  $M$  and  $M'$ , respectively,

i.e. the corresponding left principal submatrices. As  $M$  is an HPD matrix, an infinite upper Hessenberg matrix  $D = (d_{ij})_{i,j}^\infty$  can be built. Matrix  $D$  is in standard form. That is, it has a positive subdiagonal. Its sections of order  $n$  satisfy, [25],

$$D_n = T_n^{-1} M_n' T_n^{-H}, \quad (12)$$

where  $M_n = T_n T_n^H$  is the Cholesky decomposition of  $M_n$ , and  $\{\tilde{P}_n(z)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is its associated orthogonal sequence of monic polynomials, [26]. From the properties of the matrix  $D_n$ , we have a determinantal expression for  $\tilde{P}_n(z)$ . That is, the zeros of the orthogonal polynomials are the eigenvalues of the Hessenberg matrix:

$$\tilde{P}_n(z) = \det(zI_n - D_n). \quad (13)$$

If this Hessenbergian is expanded along the last row and the procedure is iterated, we obtain, as a particular case of (9), the large recurrence relation for monic orthogonal polynomials:

$$\tilde{P}_n(z) = (z - d_{n,n})\tilde{P}_{n-1}(z) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_{k,n} \left[ \prod_{m=k}^{n-1} d_{m+1,m} \right] \tilde{P}_{k-1}(z), \quad (14)$$

with initial condition  $\tilde{P}_0(z) = 1$ .

The matrix obtained when deleting from  $D$  its first  $i$  rows and columns is denoted by  $D^{(i)}$ . From  $D^{(i)}$  we can build the infinite HPD matrix  $M(i)$ , for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . It defines an inner product. Then, the associated monic polynomials are defined, for  $n \geq i$ , as

$$\tilde{P}_{n-i}^{(i)}(z) = \det(zI_{n-i} - D_{n-i}^{(i)}) \quad (15)$$

with  $\tilde{P}_0^{(i)}(z) = 1$ . They are orthogonal with respect to the inner product defined by  $M(i)$ . When expanding this Hessenbergian, we obtain, as a particular case of (10), the large recurrence relation for the associated monic polynomials,

$$\tilde{P}_{n-i}^{(i)}(z) = (z - d_{n,n})\tilde{P}_{n-i-1}^{(i)}(z) - \sum_{k=i+1}^{n-1} d_{k,n} \left[ \prod_{m=k}^{n-1} d_{m+1,m} \right] \tilde{P}_{k-i-1}^{(i)}(z). \quad (16)$$

**Corollary 2.** The elements for finite sections  $(I_n z - D_n)^{-1}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , of the resolvent matrix related to the monic orthogonal polynomials coming from the matrix  $D$  are

$$(I_n z - D_n)^{-1}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{i-j-1} d_{i-k,i-k-1} \right) \frac{\tilde{P}_{j-1}(z) \tilde{P}_{n-i}^{(i)}(z)}{\tilde{P}_n(z)} & \text{if } j \leq i \\ \frac{\tilde{P}_{j-1}(z) \tilde{P}_{n-i}^{(i)}(z) - \tilde{P}_n(z) \tilde{P}_{j-i-1}^{(i)}(z)}{\left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-i-1} d_{j-k,j-k-1} \right) \tilde{P}_n(z)} & \text{if } i < j. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

If there are known expressions in closed form for the orthogonal polynomials of the sequence under analysis and those of its associated sequences, then the closed form expressions for the finite sections of the resolvent matrix are easily obtained. When expressions in closed form are not known for the monic polynomials, the entries of the resolvent matrix can be obtained numerically for determined  $z$ , into the usage range of the computer, using the preceding recurrences.

#### 4.1. A measure with radial symmetry on the unit disk

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on the unit disk with a radially symmetric weight function constant on every circle centered on the origin. We suppose also that  $\mu$  is a probability measure, i.e.  $c_{00} = 1$ . We have  $\omega(z) = \omega(|z|)$ . In this case, writing  $r = |z|$ , the moments are

$$c_{ij} = \int_{|z|<1} z^i \bar{z}^j \omega(r) dx dy = \int_0^1 \omega(r) r^{i+j+1} dr \int_0^{2\pi} e^{I(i-j)\theta} d\theta,$$

where the imaginary unit is denoted by  $I$ , to avoid confusion with the  $i$  index. By symmetry, if  $i \neq j$  then  $c_{ij} = 0$ . We have

$$c_{ii} = 2\pi \int_0^1 \omega(r) r^{2i+1} dr, \quad i > 1, \quad \text{with } 2\pi \int_0^1 \omega(r) dr = 1.$$

The moment matrix  $M = (c_{ij})_{i,j=0}^\infty$  is diagonal and the associated Hessenberg matrix  $D = (d_{ij})_{i,j=1}^\infty$  satisfies  $d_{i+1,i} = \sqrt{\frac{c_{ii}}{c_{i-1,i-1}}}$  and  $d_{ij} = 0$  if  $i \neq j+1$ . The monic polynomials are  $\tilde{P}_n(z) = z^n$  and the associated polynomials, for  $n > i$ , are  $\tilde{P}_{n-i}^{(i)}(z) = z^{n-i}$ ,

with  $\tilde{P}_0^{(i)}(z) = 1$ . Using Corollary 2, we obtain the resolvent of the finite sections

$$(I_n z - D_n)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/z & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{c_{11}}{c_{00}}} \frac{1}{z^2} & 1/z & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{c_{22}}{c_{00}}} \frac{1}{z^3} & \sqrt{\frac{c_{22}}{c_{11}}} \frac{1}{z^2} & 1/z & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sqrt{\frac{c_{n-1,n-1}}{c_{00}}} \frac{1}{z^n} & \sqrt{\frac{c_{n-1,n-1}}{c_{11}}} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} & \sqrt{\frac{c_{n-1,n-1}}{c_{22}}} \frac{1}{z^{n-2}} & \cdots & 1/z \end{bmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

#### 4.2. A measure without radial symmetry on the unit disk

Now we give an example partially treated in [27] with a full Hessenberg matrix in standard form. We consider the density function on the unit closed disk given by  $\omega(z) = |z - 1|^2$  with  $|z| \leq 1$ . The density function is null for  $z = 1$  and positive in the rest of the disk. The moments are obtained by applying Green's formula,

$$c_{ij} = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{|z|=1} \left[ -\frac{z^{i-j}}{j+1} + \left( \frac{1}{j+1} + \frac{1}{j+2} \right) z^{i-j-1} - \frac{z^{i-j-2}}{j+2} \right] dz.$$

Therefore, the matrix of moments is

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \pi \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) & -\frac{\pi}{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ -\frac{\pi}{2} & \pi \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \right) & -\frac{\pi}{3} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & -\frac{\pi}{3} & \pi \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} \right) & -\frac{\pi}{4} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\pi}{4} & \pi \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} \right) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

The elements of the matrix  $D$  are given by

$$d_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{-2\sqrt{i}}{\sqrt{(i+1)(i+2)j(j+1)(j+2)}} & \text{if } i \leq j \\ \frac{\sqrt{j(j+3)}}{j+2} & \text{if } i = j+1 \\ 0 & \text{if } i > j+1. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

The monic polynomials are obtained from (13)–(14),

$$\tilde{P}_n(z) = \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)} \sum_{k=0}^n (k+2)(k+1)z^k \quad (21)$$

and the associated monic polynomials, if  $n > i$ , from (15)–(16),

$$\tilde{P}_{n-i}^{(i)}(z) = z^{n-i} + \frac{2}{(i+2)(n+1)(n+2)} \sum_{k=i}^{n-1} (k+2)(k+1-i)z^{k-i}. \quad (22)$$

In the particular case  $n = j - 1 > i$ , the monic polynomials  $\tilde{P}_{j-1-i}^{(i)}(z)$  are obtained from (22).

The resolvent matrix of  $D_n$  is readily obtained using Corollary 2, with the subdiagonal entries of  $D_n$  given in (20). The monic polynomials and their associated polynomials are obtained from (21) and (22), respectively.

#### Acknowledgment

This work was partially supported by a research grant of the UPM-CAM in Madrid, Spain.

#### References

- [1] B. Bukhberger, G.A. Emel'yanenko, Methods of inverting tridiagonal matrices, Comput. Math. Math. Phys. USSR 13 (1973) 10–20.
- [2] Y. Ikebe, On inverses of Hessenberg matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 24 (1979) 93–97.

- [3] T. Yamamoto, Y. Ikebe, Inversion of band matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 24 (1979) 105–111.
- [4] D.K. Faddeev, Properties of a matrix, inverse of a Hessenberg matrix, *J. Math. Sci.* 24 (1984) 118–120.
- [5] R. Vein, P. Dale, *Determinants and their Applications in Mathematical Physics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [6] W.W. Barrett, P.J. Feinsilver, Inverses of banded matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 41 (1981) 111–130.
- [7] S. Delvaux, M. Van Barel, Structures preserved by matrix inversion, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 28 (2006) 213–228.
- [8] M. Fiedler, T.L. Markham, Completing a matrix when certain entries of its inverse are specified, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 74 (1986) 225–237.
- [9] W.H. Gustafson, A note on matrix inversion, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 57 (1984) 71–73.
- [10] G. Strang, T. Nguyen, The interplay of ranks of submatrices, *SIAM Rev.* 46 (2004) 637–646.
- [11] R. Vandebril, M. Van Barel, A note on the nullity theorem, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 189 (2006) 179–190.
- [12] V. Tomeo, Subnormalidad de la matriz de Hessenberg asociada a los P.O. en el caso hermitiano, Ph.D. Thesis, Facultad de Informática, U.P.M, Madrid, 2004 (in Spanish).
- [13] J.M. Zemke, Hessenberg eigenvalues-eigenmatrix relations, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 414 (2006) 589–606.
- [14] R. Vandebril, M. Van Barel, G.H. Golub, N. Mastronardi, A bibliography on semiseparable matrices, *Calcolo* 42 (2005) 249–270.
- [15] R. Vandebril, M. Van Barel, N. Mastronardi, Matrix Computation and Semiseparable Matrices, in: *Linear Systems*, vol. I, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, 2008.
- [16] R. Vandebril, M. Van Barel, N. Mastronardi, Matrix Computation and Semiseparable Matrices, in: *Eigenvalue and Singular Value Methods*, vol. II, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, 2008.
- [17] J.J. Ibáñez, V. Hernández, P.A. Ruiz, E. Arias, A piecewise-linearized algorithm based on the Krylov subspace for solving stiff ODEs, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 235 (2011) 1798–1804.
- [18] T. Bella, Y. Eidelman, I. Gohberg, I. Koltracht, V. Olshevsky, A fast Björck–Pereyra type algorithm for solving Hessenberg-quasiseparable-Vandermonde systems, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 31 (2009) 790–815.
- [19] M. Elouafi, D.A. Hadj, A new recursive algorithm for inverting Hessenberg matrices, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 214 (2009) 497–499.
- [20] R.K. Kittappa, A representation of the solution of the  $n$ th order linear difference equation with variable coefficients, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 193 (1993) 211–222.
- [21] R.K. Mallik, On the solution of a linear homogeneous difference equation with variable coefficients, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 31 (2000) 375–385.
- [22] Y. Huang, W.F. McColl, Analytical inversion of general tridiagonal matrices, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 30 (1997) 7919–7933.
- [23] R.K. Mallik, The inverse of a tridiagonal matrix, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 325 (2001) 109–139.
- [24] J. Vigo-Aguiar, H. Ramos, Dissipative Chebyshev exponential-fitted methods for numerical solution of second-order differential equations, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 158 (2003) 187–211.
- [25] E. Torrano, R. Guadalupe, On the moment problem in the bounded Case, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 49 (1993) 263–269.
- [26] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, fourth ed., in: *Colloq. Pub.*, vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Rhode Island, USA, 1975.
- [27] P.K. Suetin, *Polynomials Orthogonals Over a Region and Beierbach Polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc., Rhode Island, USA, 1974.