

CAM 1441

# Generalized $q$ -Legendre polynomials

Asmus L. Schmidt

*Matematisk Institut, University of Copenhagen, Denmark*

Received 25 November 1991

## Abstract

Schmidt, A.L., Generalized  $q$ -legendre polynomials, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 49 (1993) 243–249.

This article gives a  $q$ -version of the generalized Legendre polynomials recently introduced by the author. The generalization makes use of the little  $q$ -Jacobi polynomials. In conclusion some open problems are posed.

*Keywords:* Orthogonal polynomials; Legendre polynomials

## 1. Introduction

In an earlier paper [4] we considered the problem of finding all polynomials  $f_n$  in the variable  $n$  such that the recursion formula

$$(n + 1)u_{n+1} - f_n u_n + nu_{n-1} = 0, \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

has an integral solution  $(u)$  with  $u_{-1} = 0$  and  $u_0 = 1$ . With a slight change of notation the main result obtained was the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(x) = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots)$  be a sequence of complex numbers. Let*

$$f_n(x) = (2n + 1) \left( 1 - 2 \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \binom{n+j}{j} x_j \right), \quad (1)$$

*and consider sequences  $(u) = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots)$  satisfying the recursion formula*

$$(n + 1)u_{n+1} - f_n u_n + nu_{n-1} = 0, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \quad (2)$$

*Then (2) has two independent solutions  $(p)$  and  $(q)$  as follows. The element  $p_n$  is represented as*

$$p_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{n+k}{k} c_k, \quad (3)$$

*Correspondence to:* Dr. A.L. Schmidt, Matematisk Institut, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark.

where

$$c_0 = 1, \\ c_{k+1} = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \sum_{j=k-i}^k \binom{i+j}{k} \binom{k}{i} \binom{k}{j} x_j c_i, \quad \text{for } k \geq 0. \tag{4}$$

The element  $q_n$  is represented as

$$q_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{n+k}{k} d_k, \tag{5}$$

where

$$d_0 = 0, \\ d_{k+1} = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \sum_{j=k-i}^k \binom{i+j}{k} \binom{k}{i} \binom{k}{j} x_j d_i + \frac{1}{k+1}, \quad \text{for } k \geq 0. \tag{6}$$

As a corollary of this theorem, formula (1) will provide a solution to the problem when  $(x) = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N, 0, 0, \dots)$  and  $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq N$ .

The purpose of the present paper is to give a *q*-version of this theorem, and to pose some open problems.

## 2. Notation and preliminary results

In the sequel,  $q$  is a fixed real number in  $]0, 1[$ , and  $f, g$ , etc. are complex polynomials in one variable.

To fix the notation we recall a number of definitions and results (see in particular [1,3]).

For  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  the *q*-shifted factorial is defined by

$$(a; q)_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (1-a)(1-aq) \cdots (1-aq^{n-1}), & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ ((1-aq^{-1})(1-aq^{-2}) \cdots (1-aq^n))^{-1}, & \text{if } -n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

We need the elementary formula

$$(a^{-1}; q)_n = \left(-\frac{1}{a}\right)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} (aq^{1-n}; q)_n, \quad \text{for } a \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{7}$$

The *Gaussian polynomial* or *q*-binomial coefficient is defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q^{\alpha-m+1}; q)_m}{(q; q)_m}, \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The *Heine* (or *basic*) series  ${}_{r+1}\phi_r$  is defined by

$${}_{r+1}\phi_r(a_0, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r; q, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_0; q)_n \cdots (a_r; q)_n}{(q; q)_n (b_1; q)_n \cdots (b_r; q)_n} x^n,$$

for  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{C}, |x| < 1$ .

We shall make essential use of the *q*-Pfaff–Saalschütz identity (see [5])

$${}_3\phi_2(q^{-n}, a, b; c, d; q, q) = \frac{(c/a; q)_n(c/b; q)_n}{(c; q)_n(c/ab; q)_n}, \text{ if } cd = abq^{1-n}.$$

The Jackson integral  $J_q$  and the *q*-derivative  $\vartheta_q$  are defined by

$$(J_q f)(x) = \int_0^x f(t) d_q t = x(1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(xq^n)q^n,$$

$$(\vartheta_q f)(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{x(1-q)}.$$

Both  $J_q$  and  $\vartheta_q$  are linear operators on  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  satisfying the following rules:

$$\vartheta_q(J_q(f)) = f, \tag{8}$$

$$J_q(\vartheta_q(f)) = f - f(0), \tag{9}$$

$$\vartheta_q(fg)(x) = f(qx)\vartheta_q(g)(x) + \vartheta_q(f)(x)g(x), \tag{10}$$

$$\vartheta_q^n(fg)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \vartheta_q^k(f)(xq^{n-k})\vartheta_q^{n-k}(g)(x), \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{11}$$

$$\vartheta_q(x^n) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q x^{n-1}, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{12}$$

$$\vartheta_q((x; q^{-1})_n) = -\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{1-n}(x; q^{-1})_{n-1}, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{13}$$

$$\mu_n = \int_0^1 x^n d_q x = \frac{1-q}{1-q^{n+1}}, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{14}$$

The little *q*-Jacobi polynomials introduced by Hahn [3] (see [2]) are given by

$$P_n(x; \alpha, \beta | q) = {}_2\phi_1(q^{-n}, \alpha\beta q^{n+1}; \alpha q; q, qx), \text{ for } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We shall need only the special case  $P_n(x | q) = P_n(x; 1, 1 | q)$  which consequently will be called the little *q*-Legendre polynomials. By means of the *q*-binomial coefficients this can be written as

$$P_n(x | q) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{k+1}{2} - kn} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q x^k.$$

Some fundamental properties of the little *q*-Legendre polynomials are the following.

(i) The sequence  $u_n = P_n(x | q)$  satisfies a three-term recurrence relation

$$q^n(1 - q^{n+1})(1 + q^n)u_{n+1} - (1 - q^{2n+1})(2q^n - (1 + q^n)(1 + q^{n+1})x)u_n + q^n(1 - q^n)(1 + q^{n+1})u_{n-1} = 0, \text{ for } n \geq 0,$$

with initial conditions  $u_{-1} = 0, u_0 = 1$ .

(ii) They are given by a *q*-Rodrigues formula

$$P_n(x | q) = \frac{(1-q)^n}{(q; q)_n} \vartheta_q^n(x^n(x; q^{-1})_n), \text{ for } n \geq 0.$$

(iii) They satisfy the following orthogonality relations:

$$\int_0^1 P_m(x | q) P_n(x | q) d_q x = \delta_{mn} q^n \frac{1 - q}{1 - q^{2n+1}}, \quad \text{for } m, n \geq 0.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *The following identity is valid for  $n, i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ :*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} i+j \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q q^{(n-k)(i+j-k)} = \begin{bmatrix} n+i \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

**Proof.** When we apply the *q*-Pfaff–Saalschütz identity for

$$a = q^{n+1}, \quad b = q^{-i-j+2\epsilon}, \quad c = q^{1-i+\epsilon}, \quad d = q^{1-j+\epsilon},$$

where  $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ , we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}; q)_k (q^{n+1}; q)_k (q^{-i-j+2\epsilon}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k (q^{1-i+\epsilon}; q)_k (q^{1-j+\epsilon}; q)_k} q^k = \frac{(q^{-i-n+\epsilon}; q)_n (q^{j+1-\epsilon}; q)_n}{(q^{1-i+\epsilon}; q)_n (q^{j-n-\epsilon}; q)_n}.$$

By applying (7) twice on each side and rearranging, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} i+j-2\epsilon \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (q; q)_k^2}{(q^{1+\epsilon}; q)_{k-i} (q; q)_i (q^{1+\epsilon}; q)_{k-j} (q; q)_j} q^{(n-k)(i+j-k-2\epsilon)} \\ &= \frac{\begin{bmatrix} n+i-\epsilon \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+j-\epsilon \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q (q; q)_n^2}{(q^{1+\epsilon}; q)_{n-i} (q; q)_i (q^{1+\epsilon}; q)_{n-j} (q; q)_j}. \end{aligned}$$

From this the result is obtained by letting  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *For  $n \geq 1$  the following identity is valid:*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{1 - q}{1 - q^{k+1}} = 0.$$

**Proof.** For the *q*-Legendre polynomial  $P_n(x | q)$  we get, by (14),

$$\int_0^1 P_n(x | q) d_q x = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{k+1}{2} - kn} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{1 - q}{1 - q^{k+1}}.$$

On the other hand, it follows by the *q*-Rodrigues formula and (9) that

$$\int_0^1 P_n(x | q) d_q x = \frac{(1 - q)^n}{(q; q)_n} (f(1) - f(0)),$$

where

$$f(x) = \vartheta_q^{n-1}(x^n(x; q^{-1})_n).$$

However, it follows by (11)–(13) that  $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ , and multiplication by  $q^{\binom{2}{2}}$  then ends the proof.  $\square$

### 3. Solutions of the recursion relation

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(x) = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots)$  be a sequence of complex numbers. Let

$$f_n(x|q) = (1 - q^{2n+1}) \left( 2q^n - (1 + q^n)(1 + q^{n+1}) \sum_{j=0}^n q^{-jn} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q x_j \right), \tag{15}$$

and consider sequences  $(u) = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots)$  satisfying the recursion formula

$$(1 - q^{n+1})(1 + q^n)u_{n+1} - f_n(x|q)u_n + q^{2n-1}(1 - q^n)(1 + q^{n+1})u_{n-1} = 0, \quad \text{for } n \geq 0. \tag{16}$$

Then (16) has two independent solutions  $(p)$  and  $(q)$  as follows. The element  $p_n$  is represented as

$$p_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q c_k, \tag{17}$$

where

$$c_0 = 1, \\ c_{k+1} = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} q^{\binom{k-i}{2}} \sum_{j=k-i}^k q^{-jk} \begin{bmatrix} i+j \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q x_j c_i, \quad \text{for } k \geq 0. \tag{18}$$

The element  $q_n$  is represented as

$$q_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q d_k, \tag{19}$$

where

$$d_0 = 0, \\ d_{k+1} = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} q^{\binom{k-i}{2}} \sum_{j=k-i}^k q^{-jk} \begin{bmatrix} i+j \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q x_j d_i + \frac{1 - q}{1 - q^{k+1}}, \quad \text{for } k \geq 0. \tag{20}$$

**Corollary 3.2.** If  $x_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $q^{(n-1)n(2n-1)/6} p_n, q^{(n-1)n(2n-1)/6} [1 - q, 1 - q^2, \dots, 1 - q^n] q_n \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  for all  $n$ , the symbol  $[\cdot]$  denoting the least common multiple in  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ .

**Remark 3.3.** It follows immediately that by letting  $q \rightarrow 1$  (after dividing by  $1 - q$  in (15) and (16)) the previous theorem is obtained.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Let us denote the left-hand side of (16) by  $r_n$ . We shall first show that  $r_n = 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  when  $(u) = (p)$ . In  $r_n$  we substitute for  $p_n$  and  $f_n$  the expressions in (17) and

(15). This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_n &= (1 - q^{n+1})(1 + q^n) \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (-1)^k q^{\binom{n+1}{2}-k} \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k+1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q c_k \\
 &\quad - (1 - q^{2n+1}) \left( 2q^n - (1 + q^n)(1 + q^{n+1}) \sum_{j=0}^n q^{-jn} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q x_j \right) \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q c_k \\
 &\quad + q^{2n-1}(1 - q^n)(1 + q^{n+1}) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k q^{\binom{n-k}{2}-1} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q c_k.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we collect all terms with  $c_k$  and without any explicit  $x_j$  and perform some reductions and a shift of index, we obtain

$$r_n = (1 - q^{2n+1})(1 + q^n)(1 + q^{n+1})s_n,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_n &= - \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q c_{k+1} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^n q^{-jn} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q x_j \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q c_k.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the first sum we now substitute for  $c_{k+1}$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , the expression (18). Rearranging the triple sum, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_n &= - \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^i c_i x_j \sum_{k=0}^n q^{\binom{n-k}{2} + \binom{k-i}{2} - jk} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} i+j \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^n q^{-jn} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q x_j c_k.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 we finally get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{k=0}^n q^{\binom{n-k}{2} + \binom{k-i}{2} - jk} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} i+j \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \\
 &= q^{\binom{n-i}{2} - jn} \begin{bmatrix} n+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+i \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q,
 \end{aligned}$$

so that  $s_n = 0$ . This proves the first part of the theorem.

Proceeding in the same way with  $(u) = (q)$ , we obtain an additional term in  $s_n$ , namely

$$- \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{1 - q}{1 - q^{k+1}} = 0,$$

by Lemma 2.2. This proves the second part of the theorem.  $\square$

#### 4. Open problems

The first two problems concern the generalized Legendre polynomials, cf. [4].

(1) Prove that the polynomials  $f_n$  in (1) provide all polynomials such that the corresponding sequence  $(u_n)$  is integral.

(2) For  $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N, 0, 0, \dots)$  find — as in the case  $N = 0$  — explicit expressions for  $\alpha$  in terms of  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N$ . This would be very interesting in view of [4, Theorem 3].

(3) For  $r = 2, 3, \dots$  the sequence  $a_n = a_n(r)$  given by

$$a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^r \binom{n+k}{k}^r$$

can be written uniquely as

$$a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{n+k}{k} c_k,$$

where  $c_k = c_k(r) \in \mathbb{Q}$  is independent on  $n$ . Note that the formula for  $a_0$  determines  $c_0$ , the formula for  $a_1$  then determines  $c_1$ , etc. Show that the sequence  $(c_k)$  is integral for all  $r$ . For  $r = 2$ ,  $(a_n)$  is the famous Apéry-sequence for  $\zeta(3)$ .

*Added June 1992.* Problem (3) ( $r = 2$ ) was solved independently by Strehl (University of Erlangen-Nürnberg) and myself with

$$c_k(2) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j}^3,$$

shortly after that this formula had been observed numerically by Deuber, Thumser and Voigt (University of Bielefeld). Later Strehl solved the problem for  $r = 3$  with

$$c_k(3) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{2j}{j}^2 \binom{k}{j}^2 \binom{2j}{k-j}.$$

The problem remains unsolved for  $r \geq 4$ .

#### References

- [1] G.A. Andrews, *q-series: Their Development and Application in Analysis, Number Theory, Combinatorics, Physics, and Computer Algebra* (Amer. Mathematical Soc., Providence, RI, 1986).
- [2] G.A. Andrews and R. Askey, Enumeration of partitions: the role of Eulerian series and  $q$ -orthogonal polynomials, in: M. Aigner, Ed., *Higher Combinatorics* (Reidel, Dordrecht, 1977) 3–26.
- [3] W. Hahn, Über Orthogonalpolynome, die  $q$ -Differenzgleichungen genügen, *Math. Nachr.* **2** (1949) 4–34.
- [4] A.L. Schmidt, Generalized Legendre polynomials, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **404** (1990) 192–202.
- [5] L.J. Slater, *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1966).