

CAM 1441

Generalized q -Legendre polynomials

Asmus L. Schmidt

Matematisk Institut, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

Received 25 November 1991

Abstract

Schmidt, A.L., Generalized q -legendre polynomials, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 49 (1993) 243–249.

This article gives a q -version of the generalized Legendre polynomials recently introduced by the author. The generalization makes use of the little q -Jacobi polynomials. In conclusion some open problems are posed.

Keywords: Orthogonal polynomials; Legendre polynomials

1. Introduction

In an earlier paper [4] we considered the problem of finding all polynomials f_n in the variable n such that the recursion formula

$$(n+1)u_{n+1} - f_n u_n + n u_{n-1} = 0, \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

has an integral solution (u) with $u_{-1} = 0$ and $u_0 = 1$. With a slight change of notation the main result obtained was the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(x) = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots)$ be a sequence of complex numbers. Let*

$$f_n(x) = (2n+1) \left(1 - 2 \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \binom{n+j}{j} x_j \right), \quad (1)$$

and consider sequences $(u) = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots)$ satisfying the recursion formula

$$(n+1)u_{n+1} - f_n u_n + n u_{n-1} = 0, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \quad (2)$$

Then (2) has two independent solutions (p) and (q) as follows. The element p_n is represented as

$$p_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{n+k}{k} c_k, \quad (3)$$

Correspondence to: Dr. A.L. Schmidt, Matematisk Institut, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark.

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= 1, \\ c_{k+1} &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \sum_{j=k-i}^k \binom{i+j}{k} \binom{k}{i} \binom{k}{j} x_j c_i, \quad \text{for } k \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The element q_n is represented as

$$q_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{n+k}{k} d_k, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_0 &= 0, \\ d_{k+1} &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \sum_{j=k-i}^k \binom{i+j}{k} \binom{k}{i} \binom{k}{j} x_j d_i + \frac{1}{k+1}, \quad \text{for } k \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

As a corollary of this theorem, formula (1) will provide a solution to the problem when $(x) = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N, 0, 0, \dots)$ and $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $0 \leq i \leq N$.

The purpose of the present paper is to give a q -version of this theorem, and to pose some open problems.

2. Notation and preliminary results

In the sequel, q is a fixed real number in $]0, 1[$, and f, g , etc. are complex polynomials in one variable.

To fix the notation we recall a number of definitions and results (see in particular [1,3]).

For $a \in \mathbb{C}$ the q -shifted factorial is defined by

$$(a; q)_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (1-a)(1-aq) \cdots (1-aq^{n-1}), & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ ((1-aq^{-1})(1-aq^{-2}) \cdots (1-aq^n))^{-1}, & \text{if } -n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

We need the elementary formula

$$(a^{-1}; q)_n = \left(-\frac{1}{a}\right)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} (aq^{1-n}; q)_n, \quad \text{for } a \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (7)$$

The *Gaussian polynomial* or *q-binomial coefficient* is defined by

$$\left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ m \end{matrix} \right]_q = \frac{(q^{\alpha-m+1}; q)_m}{(q; q)_m}, \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The *Heine* (or *basic*) series ${}_{r+1}\phi_r$ is defined by

$${}_{r+1}\phi_r(a_0, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r; q, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_0; q)_n \cdots (a_r; q)_n}{(q; q)_n (b_1; q)_n \cdots (b_r; q)_n} x^n,$$

for $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{C}, |x| < 1$.

We shall make essential use of the *q*-Pfaff–Saalschütz identity (see [5])

$${}_3\phi_2(q^{-n}, a, b; c, d; q, q) = \frac{(c/a; q)_n (c/b; q)_n}{(c; q)_n (c/ab; q)_n}, \quad \text{if } cd = abq^{1-n}.$$

The Jackson integral J_q and the *q*-derivative ϑ_q are defined by

$$(J_q f)(x) = \int_0^x f(t) \, d_q t = x(1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(xq^n) q^n,$$

$$(\vartheta_q f)(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{x(1-q)}.$$

Both J_q and ϑ_q are linear operators on $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ satisfying the following rules:

$$\vartheta_q(J_q(f)) = f, \quad (8)$$

$$J_q(\vartheta_q(f)) = f - f(0), \quad (9)$$

$$\vartheta_q(fg)(x) = f(qx)\vartheta_q(g)(x) + \vartheta_q(f)(x)g(x), \quad (10)$$

$$\vartheta_q^n(fg)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \vartheta_q^k(f)(xq^{n-k}) \vartheta_q^{n-k}(g)(x), \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (11)$$

$$\vartheta_q(x^n) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q x^{n-1}, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (12)$$

$$\vartheta_q((x; q^{-1})_n) = -\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{1-n} (x; q^{-1})_{n-1}, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (13)$$

$$\mu_n = \int_0^1 x^n \, d_q x = \frac{1-q}{1-q^{n+1}}, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (14)$$

The little *q*-Jacobi polynomials introduced by Hahn [3] (see [2]) are given by

$$P_n(x; \alpha, \beta | q) = {}_2\phi_1(q^{-n}, \alpha\beta q^{n+1}; \alpha q; q, qx), \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We shall need only the special case $P_n(x | q) = P_n(x; 1, 1 | q)$ which consequently will be called the little *q*-Legendre polynomials. By means of the *q*-binomial coefficients this can be written as

$$P_n(x | q) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{k+1}{2} - kn} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q x^k.$$

Some fundamental properties of the little *q*-Legendre polynomials are the following.

(i) The sequence $u_n = P_n(x | q)$ satisfies a three-term recurrence relation

$$q^n(1-q^{n+1})(1+q^n)u_{n+1} - (1-q^{2n+1})(2q^n - (1+q^n)(1+q^{n+1})x)u_n \\ + q^n(1-q^n)(1+q^{n+1})u_{n-1} = 0, \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

with initial conditions $u_{-1} = 0$, $u_0 = 1$.

(ii) They are given by a *q*-Rodrigues formula

$$P_n(x | q) = \frac{(1-q)^n}{(q; q)_n} \vartheta_q^n(x^n(x; q^{-1})_n), \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

(iii) They satisfy the following orthogonality relations:

$$\int_0^1 P_m(x|q) P_n(x|q) d_q x = \delta_{mn} q^n \frac{1-q}{1-q^{2n+1}}, \quad \text{for } m, n \geq 0.$$

Lemma 2.1. *The following identity is valid for $n, i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$:*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} i+j \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q q^{(n-k)(i+j-k)} = \begin{bmatrix} n+i \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Proof. When we apply the q -Pfaff–Saalschütz identity for

$$a = q^{n+1}, \quad b = q^{-i-j+2\epsilon}, \quad c = q^{1-i+\epsilon}, \quad d = q^{1-j+\epsilon},$$

where $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}; q)_k (q^{n+1}; q)_k (q^{-i-j+2\epsilon}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k (q^{1-i+\epsilon}; q)_k (q^{1-j+\epsilon}; q)_k} q^k = \frac{(q^{-i-n+\epsilon}; q)_n (q^{j+1-\epsilon}; q)_n}{(q^{1-i+\epsilon}; q)_n (q^{j-n-\epsilon}; q)_n}.$$

By applying (7) twice on each side and rearranging, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} i+j-2\epsilon \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (q; q)_k^2}{(q^{1+\epsilon}; q)_{k-i} (q; q)_i (q^{1+\epsilon}; q)_{k-j} (q; q)_j} q^{(n-k)(i+j-k-2\epsilon)} \\ = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} n+i-\epsilon \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+j-\epsilon \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q (q; q)_n^2}{(q^{1+\epsilon}; q)_{n-i} (q; q)_i (q^{1+\epsilon}; q)_{n-j} (q; q)_j}. \end{aligned}$$

From this the result is obtained by letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *For $n \geq 1$ the following identity is valid:*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{1-q}{1-q^{k+1}} = 0.$$

Proof. For the q -Legendre polynomial $P_n(x|q)$ we get, by (14),

$$\int_0^1 P_n(x|q) d_q x = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{k+1}{2}-kn} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{1-q}{1-q^{k+1}}.$$

On the other hand, it follows by the q -Rodrigues formula and (9) that

$$\int_0^1 P_n(x|q) d_q x = \frac{(1-q)^n}{(q; q)_n} (f(1) - f(0)),$$

where

$$f(x) = \vartheta_q^{n-1}(x^n(x; q^{-1})_n).$$

However, it follows by (11)–(13) that $f(0) = f(1) = 0$, and multiplication by $q^{\binom{n}{2}}$ then ends the proof. \square

3. Solutions of the recursion relation

Theorem 3.1. Let $(x) = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots)$ be a sequence of complex numbers. Let

$$f_n(x|q) = (1 - q^{2n+1}) \left(2q^n - (1 + q^n)(1 + q^{n+1}) \sum_{j=0}^n q^{-jn} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q x_j \right), \quad (15)$$

and consider sequences $(u) = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots)$ satisfying the recursion formula

$$(1 - q^{n+1})(1 + q^n)u_{n+1} - f_n(x|q)u_n + q^{2n-1}(1 - q^n)(1 + q^{n+1})u_{n-1} = 0, \quad \text{for } n \geq 0. \quad (16)$$

Then (16) has two independent solutions (p) and (q) as follows. The element p_n is represented as

$$p_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q c_k, \quad (17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= 1, \\ c_{k+1} &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} q^{\binom{k-i}{2}} \sum_{j=k-i}^k q^{-jk} \begin{bmatrix} i+j \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q x_j c_i, \quad \text{for } k \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The element q_n is represented as

$$q_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q d_k, \quad (19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_0 &= 0, \\ d_{k+1} &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} q^{\binom{k-i}{2}} \sum_{j=k-i}^k q^{-jk} \begin{bmatrix} i+j \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q x_j d_i + \frac{1-q}{1-q^{k+1}}, \quad \text{for } k \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Corollary 3.2. If $x_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $q^{(n-1)n(2n-1)/6} p_n$, $q^{(n-1)n(2n-1)/6} [1-q, 1-q^2, \dots, 1-q^n] q_n \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ for all n , the symbol $[\cdot]$ denoting the least common multiple in $\mathbb{Z}[q]$.

Remark 3.3. It follows immediately that by letting $q \rightarrow 1$ (after dividing by $1-q$ in (15) and (16)) the previous theorem is obtained.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let us denote the left-hand side of (16) by r_n . We shall first show that $r_n = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ when $(u) = (p)$. In r_n we substitute for p_n and f_n the expressions in (17) and

(15). This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_n &= (1 - q^{n+1})(1 + q^n) \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (-1)^k q^{\binom{n+1}{2}-k} \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k+1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q c_k \\
 &\quad - (1 - q^{2n+1}) \left(2q^n - (1 + q^n)(1 + q^{n+1}) \sum_{j=0}^n q^{-jn} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q x_j \right) \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{n}{2}-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q c_k \\
 &\quad + q^{2n-1}(1 - q^n)(1 + q^{n+1}) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k q^{\binom{n-k}{2}-1} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q c_k.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we collect all terms with c_k and without any explicit x_j and perform some reductions and a shift of index, we obtain

$$r_n = (1 - q^{2n+1})(1 + q^n)(1 + q^{n+1})s_n,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_n &= - \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{n}{2}-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q c_{k+1} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^n q^{-jn} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q x_j \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{n}{2}-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q c_k.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the first sum we now substitute for c_{k+1} , $0 \leq k \leq n$, the expression (18). Rearranging the triple sum, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_n &= - \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^i c_i x_j \sum_{k=0}^n q^{\binom{n}{2}-k + \binom{k-i}{2}-jk} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} i+j \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^n q^{-jn} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{n}{2}-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q x_j c_k.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 we finally get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{k=0}^n q^{\binom{n}{2}-k + \binom{k-i}{2}-jk} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} i+j \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \\
 &= q^{\binom{n}{2}-jn} \begin{bmatrix} n+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+i \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q,
 \end{aligned}$$

so that $s_n = 0$. This proves the first part of the theorem.

Proceeding in the same way with $(u) = (q)$, we obtain an additional term in s_n , namely

$$- \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{n}{2}-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{1-q}{1-q^{k+1}} = 0,$$

by Lemma 2.2. This proves the second part of the theorem. \square

4. Open problems

The first two problems concern the generalized Legendre polynomials, cf. [4].

(1) Prove that the polynomials f_n in (1) provide all polynomials such that the corresponding sequence (u_n) is integral.

(2) For $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N, 0, 0, \dots)$ find — as in the case $N = 0$ — explicit expressions for α in terms of x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N . This would be very interesting in view of [4, Theorem 3].

(3) For $r = 2, 3, \dots$ the sequence $a_n = a_n(r)$ given by

$$a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^r \binom{n+k}{k}^r$$

can be written uniquely as

$$a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{n+k}{k} c_k,$$

where $c_k = c_k(r) \in \mathbb{Q}$ is independent on n . Note that the formula for a_0 determines c_0 , the formula for a_1 then determines c_1 , etc. Show that the sequence (c_k) is integral for all r . For $r = 2$, (a_n) is the famous Apéry-sequence for $\zeta(3)$.

Added June 1992. Problem (3) ($r = 2$) was solved independently by Strehl (University of Erlangen-Nürnberg) and myself with

$$c_k(2) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j}^3,$$

shortly after that this formula had been observed numerically by Deuber, Thumser and Voigt (University of Bielefeld). Later Strehl solved the problem for $r = 3$ with

$$c_k(3) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{2j}{j}^2 \binom{k}{j}^2 \binom{2j}{k-j}.$$

The problem remains unsolved for $r \geq 4$.

References

- [1] G.A. Andrews, *q-series: Their Development and Application in Analysis, Number Theory, Combinatorics, Physics, and Computer Algebra* (Amer. Mathematical Soc., Providence, RI, 1986).
- [2] G.A. Andrews and R. Askey, Enumeration of partitions: the role of Eulerian series and q -orthogonal polynomials, in: M. Aigner, Ed., *Higher Combinatorics* (Reidel, Dordrecht, 1977) 3–26.
- [3] W. Hahn, Über Orthogonalpolynome, die q -Differenzengleichungen genügen, *Math. Nachr.* **2** (1949) 4–34.
- [4] A.L. Schmidt, Generalized Legendre polynomials, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **404** (1990) 192–202.
- [5] L.J. Slater, *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1966).