


Hepatology Snapshot: Diagnosis and treatment of ascites

Emmanuel A Tsochatzis¹ and Alexander L Gerbes²

¹UCL Institute for Liver and Digestive Health, Royal Free Hospital and UCL, London, UK

²Medizinische Klinik und Poliklinik 2, Liver Centre Munich, Klinikum der LMU München-Grosshadern, University Hospital (KUM), Munich, Germany



Keywords: Cirrhosis; Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis; Diuretics; Paracentesis; Prognosis.

Received 6 June 2016; received in revised form 9 December 2016; accepted 3 January 2017

Ascites is the most common complication of cirrhosis and its development is a sign of significant portal hypertension.¹ At a volume of more than 1.5 l ascites usually can be detected by physical examination. Ascites in cirrhosis is the result of a vicious cycle involving arterial splanchnic vasodilation, decreased effective blood volume (despite a compensatory increase in cardiac output), renal vasoconstriction with resulting sodium retention, and finally extracellular fluid retention.² Systemic inflammation can exacerbate portal hypertension, contribute to the development of ascites, and impair prognosis.

Detection of ascites should prompt medical history and clinical examination, laboratory investigations including full liver screen, a diagnostic tap (paracentesis) and abdominal imaging. Ascitic fluid should be sent to analyse cell count, total protein, albumin, cytology and cultures in all patients as well as for lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), cholesterol and amylase tests in selected cases. New onset of ascites in a patient with cirrhosis should prompt abdominal imaging to exclude portal vein thrombosis or hepatocellular carcinoma. A serum to ascites albumin gradient (SAAG) ≥ 1.1 g/dl has a 97% accuracy for diagnosis of ascites due to portal hypertension. Patients with a total protein of <1.5 g/dl and advanced liver disease should be considered for primary prophylaxis of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP).³ Usual clinical practice is to reserve primary prophylaxis for selected patients on the transplant waiting list. A neutrophil count ($250/\mu\text{l}$ cut-off) should be obtained in each diagnostic tap, to diagnose SBP. Patients with SBP should be treated empirically with a third-generation cephalosporin in community-acquired infections, or according to the local microbiological resistance profile in nosocomial infections (until culture results become available), together with albumin infusions (1.5 g/kg on day 1 and 1 g/kg on day 3).^{4,5} Once the episode is resolved, secondary prophylaxis should be instigated; the choice of antibiotic depends on the bacterial resistance in the ascites and quinolone should be used in uncomplicated cases.⁶

Once cirrhosis is confirmed as the cause of ascites, etiological treatment for the underlying liver disease should be considered and initiated as soon as possible as the patient might re-compensate with successful treatment (*i.e.* antivirals for hepatitis B, alcohol cessation in alcohol-related cirrhosis). Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and non-steroidal anti-inflammatories should be stopped, and aminoglycosides should be avoided as they can worsen ascites and/or induce renal failure. The initial treatment of mild/moderate ascites includes moderate sodium restriction to 80–120 mmol/day and the use of diuretics.⁶ Spironolactone (100 mg/day) is the diuretic of choice, with or without 40 mg/day of furosemide. Spironolactone monotherapy is recommended for first presentation of ascites, while combination with furosemide is preferred in recurrent ascites.⁶ Diuretic treatment should be monitored and tailored according to weight loss (max 800 g/day), kidney function and serum electrolytes. Random urinary electrolytes can be used to tailor and adjust diuretic treatment. Diuretics can be increased to a maximum dose of 400 mg/day and 160 mg/day for spironolactone and furosemide, respectively. Complications of diuretic treatment include acute kidney injury, electrolyte disorders, encephalopathy, muscle cramps and tender gynaecomastia; therefore, patients should be monitored closely particularly when treatment is initiated or following dose increases. A 50% increase of creatinine values in 7 days or an absolute increase by $>26.5 \mu\text{mol/L}$ (0.3 mg/dl) in 48 hours should prompt temporary discontinuation of diuretics or a dose reduction.⁶ Diuretics should also be discontinued if severe hyponatraemia develops (<120 mmol/L).

Refractory ascites is defined as persistence of ascites despite sodium restriction and maximum dose or maximally tolerated dose of diuretics and is associated with a one-year mortality of over 40%.⁷ Patients with refractory ascites, history of SBP or hepatorenal syndrome should be referred and worked up for liver transplantation if eligible. Large volume paracentesis (LVP), aiming to remove all fluid is the initial treatment of patients with large, recurrent or refractory ascites. No correction of coagulation is required for a paracentesis. In order to prevent the occurrence of circulatory dysfunction and renal impairment, 8 g of albumin should be administered intravenously after LVP for every liter of fluid removed if the total paracentesis volume is >5 liters.⁸

The use of non-selective beta-blockers (NSBB) in patients with refractory ascites is controversially discussed. While NSBBs are

associated with marked hypotension in patients with SBP, they seem to reduce mortality of patients with acute-on-chronic liver failure⁹ or those with ascites waiting for liver transplantation.¹⁰ Judicious use of NSBBs is therefore recommended in order to avoid hypotension and renal impairment.

Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) is an alternative for recurrent or refractory ascites and can improve survival probability in eligible patients.¹¹ The presence of overt encephalopathy, serum bilirubin >5 mg/dl, portal vein thrombosis and cardiac insufficiency should be ruled out beforehand. In terms of laboratory parameters, a combination of a bilirubin level below $50 \mu\text{mol/L}$ /3 mg/dl and platelet count $>75,000/\text{mm}^3$ is associated with best outcomes.¹² If the patient is ineligible for TIPS or liver transplantation then clinical trials, such as for the alpha pump system, are available in selected centres.

© 2017 European Association for the Study of the Liver. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Financial support

The authors did not receive any financial support in relation to this manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declared that they do not have anything to disclose regarding funding or conflict of interest with respect to this manuscript.

Authors' contributions

EAT and ALG both drafted the article, contributed to the concept and design, critically revised for important intellectual content and approved before submission.

References

- [1] Tsochatzis EA, Bosch J, Burroughs AK. Liver cirrhosis. *Lancet* 2014;383:1749–1761.
- [2] Arroyo V, Colmenero J. Ascites and hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhosis: pathophysiological basis of therapy and current management. *J Hepatol* 2003;38:S69–S89.
- [3] Wiest R, Krag A, Gerbes A. Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis: recent guidelines and beyond. *Gut* 2012;61:297–310.
- [4] Jalan R, Fernandez J, Wiest R, Schnabl B, Moreau R, Angeli P, et al. Bacterial infections in cirrhosis: a position statement based on the EASL Special Conference 2013. *J Hepatol* 2014;60:1310–1324.
- [5] Piano S, Fasolato S, Salinas F, Romano A, Tonon M, Morando F, et al. The empirical antibiotic treatment of nosocomial spontaneous bacterial peritonitis: Results of a randomized, controlled clinical trial. *Hepatology* 2016;63:1299–1309.
- [6] EASL clinical practice guidelines on the management of ascites, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, and hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhosis. *J Hepatol* 2010;53:397–417.
- [7] Arroyo V, Gines P, Gerbes AL, Dudley FJ, Gentilini P, Laffi G, et al. Definition and diagnostic criteria of refractory ascites and hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhosis. International Ascites Club. *Hepatology* 1996;23:164–176.
- [8] Bernardi M, Caraceni P, Navickis RJ, Wilkes MM. Albumin infusion in patients undergoing large-volume paracentesis: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Hepatology* 2012;55:1172–1181.
- [9] Mookerjee RP, Pavesi M, Thomsen KL, Mehta G, Macnaughtan J, Bendtsen F, et al. Treatment with non-selective beta blockers is associated with reduced severity of systemic inflammation and improved survival of patients with acute-on-chronic liver failure. *J Hepatol* 2016;64:574–582.
- [10] Leithead JA, Rajoriya N, Tehami N, Hodson J, Gunson BK, Tripathi D, et al. Non-selective beta-blockers are associated with improved survival in patients with ascites listed for liver transplantation. *Gut* 2015;64:1111–1119.
- [11] Salerno F, Camma C, Enea M, Rossle M, Wong F. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt for refractory ascites: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. *Gastroenterology* 2007;133:825–834.
- [12] Rossle M, Gerbes AL. TIPS for the treatment of refractory ascites, hepatorenal syndrome and hepatic hydrothorax: a critical update. *Gut* 2010;59:988–1000.