



Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Journal of Differential Equations

www.elsevier.com/locate/jde

Regularity of solutions to the liquid crystal flows with rough initial data

Junyu Lin

Department of Mathematics, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510640, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 14 May 2012

Revised 24 May 2013

Available online xxxx

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we are concerned with the regularity of solutions to the liquid crystal flows with rough initial data in R^n . We prove that the solution constructed by Wang (2011) in [23] has higher regularity. Moreover we obtain a decay estimate in time for any space derivative.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords:

Liquid crystal flows
Regularity
Mild solution
Decay estimate
Rough initial data

1. Introduction

In this paper, we will study the following equation modeling the hydrodynamic flow of nematic liquid crystals, which has been proposed and investigated by Lin and Liu [15,16] and [17]:

$$v_t + \nabla \cdot (v \otimes v) - \Delta v + \nabla \Pi = -\nabla \cdot (\nabla d \odot \nabla d) \quad \text{in } R^n \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot v = 0 \quad \text{in } R^n \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.2)$$

$$d_t + v \cdot \nabla d = \Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d \quad \text{in } R^n \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.3)$$

$$v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \quad d(x, 0) = d_0(x), \quad |d_0(x)| = 1 \quad \text{in } R^n, \quad (1.4)$$

where $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) : R^n \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow R^n$ represents the fluid velocity field, $d = (d_1, d_2, d_3) : R^n \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow S^2$ is the director field of the nematic liquid crystals and $\Pi : R^n \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ is the pressure function. $v \otimes v$ denotes a tensor product whose (i, j) -th entry is given by $v_i v_j$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. $\nabla d \odot \nabla d$ denotes the $n \times n$ matrix whose (i, j) -th entry is given by $\nabla_i d \cdot \nabla_j d$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

E-mail address: linjiny79@gmail.com.

The system (1.1)–(1.4) is a simplified version of the Ericksen–Leslie model [4,5,13], but still retains most of the interesting mathematical properties of the original Ericksen–Leslie model for the hydrodynamics of nematic liquid crystals. See [15–17] for more discussions on the relations of the two models. In principle, the system (1.1)–(1.4) is a macroscopic continuum description of the time evolutions of these materials under the influence of both the flow field v , and the macroscopic description of the microscopic orientational configurations d , which can be derived from the averaging/coarse graining of the directions of rod-like liquid crystal molecules. Mathematically, (1.1)–(1.4) is a strongly coupled system between the incompressible Navier–Stokes equation and the transported heat flow of harmonic maps.

Lin, Lin and Wang [19] have proved that there is a global Leray–Hopf type weak solution to (1.1)–(1.4) with boundary condition in 2D, which is smooth away from at most finitely many singular times. See also Hong [8] for related work. Authors in [27] have established a global existence and regularity of weak solution for (1.1)–(1.4) in 2D with the large initial velocity and have proved the uniqueness of weak solution by using the Littlewood–Paley analysis.

For higher dimensions, Lin and Wang [20] have established the uniqueness for the class of Leray–Hopf type weak solutions derived in [19] and uniqueness of weak solution $(v, d) \in C([0, T]; L^n(R^n)) \times C([0, T]; W^{1,n}(R^n, S^2))$ to (1.1)–(1.4) in n -dimensions for $n \geq 3$ with initial data (v_0, d_0) satisfying $v_0, \nabla d_0 \in L^n(R^n)$. Lin and Ding [14] have established that for $v_0, \nabla d_0 \in L^n(R^n)$, if $(\|v_0\|_{L^n(R^n)} + \|\nabla d_0\|_{L^n(R^n)})$ is small enough, then (1.1)–(1.4) has a unique global solution (v, d) with $v, \nabla d \in C([0, +\infty); L^n(R^n))$.

For rough initial data, Wang [23] have proved that if $(\|v_0\|_{BMO^{-1}(R^n)} + \|d_0\|_{BMO(R^n)})$ is small enough, there exists a mild solution (v, d) of (1.1)–(1.4) in $Z \times X$. Recently, Lin in [12] established a uniqueness criterion of liquid crystal flows in higher dimensions. He proved that the mild solution (v, d) of liquid crystal flows is unique under some class data in $vmo^{-1}(R^n) \times vmo(R^n)$, where the spaces BMO , BMO^{-1} , vmo and vmo^{-1} will be explained later.

As mentioned above, (1.1)–(1.3) is a coupled system between the incompressible Navier–Stokes equation and the transported heat flow of harmonic maps. It is well known that the weak solutions exist globally and are partial regular for both incompressible Navier–Stokes equation [1,7,11] and heat flow of harmonic maps [2,3,22,24]. Though there are some results on the incompressible flows of liquid crystals (see [25,26]) in dimensions three, but it is still an open problem for the global existence and regularity of weak solution (1.1)–(1.4) in dimensions $n \geq 3$ (see [10]). In this paper, we will focus on the regularity of solutions to (1.1)–(1.4) with rough initial data.

To state our result, we recall some definitions and notations. Let

$$K(x, t) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}$$

denote the heat kernel and $e^{t\Delta} = K(\cdot, t)*$ denote the heat semigroup.

Definition 1.1. Let $f(x)$ be a measurable function in R^n . For $0 < T \leq +\infty$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{BMO_T^{-1}} &:= \sup_{x \in R^n, 0 < r^2 < T} \left(r^{-n} \int_{B_r(x)}^r \int_0^r |e^{t\Delta} f(y)|^2 dt dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ [f]_{BMO_T} &:= \sup_{x \in R^n, 0 < r^2 < T} \left(r^{-n} \int_{B_r(x)}^r \int_0^r |\nabla e^{t\Delta} f(y)|^2 dt dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_r(x)$ is a ball of radius r and centered at x . Denote

$$BMO_T^{-1}(R^n) := \{f(x) \in S'(R^n) \mid \|f\|_{BMO_T^{-1}} < \infty\};$$

$$BMO^{-1}(R^n) := \{f(x) \in S'(R^n) \mid \|f\|_{BMO^{-1}} := \|f\|_{BMO_\infty^{-1}} < \infty\};$$

$$BMO_T(R^n) := \{f(x) \in S'(R^n) \mid [f]_{BMO_T} < \infty\};$$

$$BMO(R^n) := \{f(x) \in S'(R^n) \mid [f]_{BMO} < \infty\};$$

$$bmo(R^n) := \{f(x) \in S'(R^n) \mid \|f\|_{bmo} := [f]_{BMO_1} < \infty\};$$

$$bmo^{-1}(R^n) := \{f(x) \in S'(R^n) \mid \|f\|_{bmo^{-1}} := \|f\|_{BMO_1^{-1}} < \infty\};$$

$$vmo(R^n) := \left\{ f(x) \in bmo(R^n) \mid \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} [f]_{BMO_T} = 0 \right\};$$

$$vmo^{-1}(R^n) := \left\{ f(x) \in bmo^{-1}(R^n) \mid \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \|f\|_{BMO_T^{-1}} = 0 \right\}.$$

We also denote

$$BMO_T(R^n, S^2) = \{f \in BMO_T(R^n) \mid f(x) \in S^2 \text{ for a.e. } x \in R^n\}.$$

Definition 1.2. (i) For a nonnegative integer k , define

$$\|d\|_{X^k} := \|d\|_{X^{-1}} + \|d\|_{X^k}, \quad \|d\|_{X^{-1}} := \sup_{t \in (0, +\infty)} \|d(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty}, \text{ and } \|d\|_{X^k} := \|d\|_{ND_\infty^k} + \|d\|_{ND_c^k},$$

where

$$\|d\|_{ND_\infty^k} = \sup_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = k+1} \sup_t t^{\frac{k+1}{2}} \|\partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n} d\|_{L^\infty},$$

$$\|d\|_{ND_c^k} = \sup_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = k+1} \sup_{x_0, r} \left(r^{-n} \int_0^r \int_{B_r(x_0)} |t^{\frac{k}{2}} \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n} d(y, t)|^2 dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Define

$$\|v\|_{Z^k} := \|v\|_{NV_\infty^k} + \|v\|_{NV_c^k},$$

where

$$\|v\|_{NV_\infty^k} = \sup_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = k} \sup_t t^{\frac{k+1}{2}} \|\partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n} v\|_{L^\infty},$$

$$\|v\|_{NV_c^k} = \sup_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = k} \sup_{x_0, r} \left(r^{-n} \int_0^r \int_{B_r(x_0)} |t^{\frac{k}{2}} \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n} v(y, t)|^2 dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

(ii) For $k \geq 0$, denote by \tilde{Z}^k the space $\tilde{Z}^k = \bigcap_{l=0}^k Z^l$ equipped with the norm $\sum_{l=0}^k \|\cdot\|_{Z^l}$. Similarly, denote by \tilde{X}^k the space $\tilde{X}^k = \bigcap_{l=0}^k X^l$ equipped with the norm $\sum_{l=0}^k \|\cdot\|_{X^l}$.

Remark 1.1. From the definitions of X^k and Z^k , we have

$$\|d\|_{X^k} = \|\nabla d\|_{Z^k}, \quad \text{if } d \in X^k.$$

Let $\mathbb{P}: L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow PL^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the Leray projection operator. Denote $\Pi_{S^2} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3)$ is the smooth nearest point projection from $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \frac{1}{2} \leq |x| \leq \frac{3}{2}\}$ to S^2 (see [23] for more details), that is

$$\Pi_{S^2}(d) = \frac{d}{|d|} : S_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \equiv \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \frac{1}{2} \leq |x| \leq \frac{3}{2} \right\} \rightarrow S^2.$$

Then (1.1)–(1.3) with $v|_{t=0} = v_0$ and $d|_{t=0} = d_0$ are equivalent to the following integral forms

$$v(t) = e^{t\Delta} v_0 - V[v \otimes v + \nabla d \odot \nabla d], \quad d(t) = e^{t\Delta} d_0 + S[-\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d) - v \cdot \nabla d],$$

where the operators V and S are defined by

$$Vf(t) := \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot f(s) ds; \quad Sf(t) := \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\Delta} f(s) ds.$$

Remark 1.2. Let $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ be a multi-index with $k = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$. Denote $\nabla^k g = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n} g$ for simplicity. We also write $\|\nabla^k g\|$ instead of $\sup_{\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_n=k} \|\partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n} g\|$.

Theorem 1.1. There is $\epsilon > 0$ depending on n , such that if $v_0 \in BMO^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\nabla \cdot v_0 = 0$ and $d_0 \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n, S^2)$ satisfy

$$\|v_0\|_{BMO^{-1}} + [d_0]_{BMO} < \epsilon,$$

then the solution (v, d) to (1.1)–(1.4) constructed by Wang in [23] satisfies

$$(v, d) \in Z^k \times X^k, \quad \forall k \geq 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Moreover, there is a decay in time of the spaces derivatives as follows

$$\|\nabla^k v\|_{BMO^{-1}} + [\nabla^k d]_{BMO} \leq Ct^{-\frac{k}{2}}, \quad \text{for any } t \geq 0 \text{ and any } k \geq 0. \tag{1.6}$$

Remark 1.3. From the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 3, we also know that the estimate (1.6) can be replaced by the following one

$$\|\nabla^{k-1} v\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla^k d\|_{L^\infty} \leq Ct^{-\frac{k}{2}}, \quad \text{for any } t \geq 0 \text{ and any } k \geq 0. \tag{1.7}$$

The remaining of the paper is written as follows. In Section 2, we review some preliminary results. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1.

2. Preliminary results

In this section, we will present some preliminary results.

Lemma 2.1 (Carleson-type estimate). (See [6].) For $N(x, t)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, 1)$, let $A(N)$ and $\beta_k(x, t)$ be the quantities

$$A(N) = \sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t \in (0, 1)} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_0^t \int_{B_{\sqrt{t}}(x_0)} |N(y, s)| dy ds,$$

and

$$\beta_k(x, t) = t^{\frac{k}{2}} (-\Delta)^{\frac{k+1}{2}} e^{t\Delta} \int_0^t N(x, s) ds.$$

Then there exists a constant $h(k)$ such that the following inequality holds for $\beta_k(x, t)$:

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\beta_k(x, t)|^2 dx dt \leq h(k) A(N) \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |N(y, s)| dy ds.$$

Lemma 2.2 (Generalized maximal regularity of the heat kernel). (See [6].) If r is a natural number, then the operators

$$P_r : f \mapsto \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} (t-s)^r \Delta^{r+1} f(s) ds,$$

and

$$Q_r : f \mapsto \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} (t-s)^r (\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s}) \Delta^{r+1} \sqrt{-\Delta} f(s) ds$$

are bounded on $L^2([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for any $T \in [0, +\infty]$ with bounds $p(r)$ and $q(r)$ respectively.

Lemma 2.3 (Pointwise bound on high derivative estimates for the heat kernel and the Oseen kernel). Both the integral kernels of the operators $\nabla^{k+1} e^{t\Delta}$ and $\nabla^{k+1} P e^{t\Delta}$ are bounded pointwise by

$$\frac{C^k k^{k/2}}{t^{k/2} (\sqrt{\frac{t}{k}} + |x|)^{n+1}}.$$

Proof. Miura and Sawada [21] have derived the pointwise bound on high derivative estimates for the Oseen kernel. Similarly, one can derive a similar pointwise bound on high derivative estimates for the heat kernel. We omit the details. \square

Remark 2.1. From Proposition 11.1 in [18], we also have that $\nabla^{k+1} e^{t\Delta}$ and $\nabla^{k+1} P e^{t\Delta}$ are bounded pointwise by

$$\frac{Y(k)}{(\sqrt{t} + |x|)^{n+k+1}},$$

which expresses a slightly different pointwise bound from Lemma 2.3 and where $Y(k)$ is a constant depending on k .

Lemma 2.4. Let $v_0 \in BMO^{-1}$ and $d_0 \in BMO$. Then for any $k \geq 0$, there exist two positive constants $B_1(k)$ and $B_2(k)$ such that

$$\|e^{t\Delta} v_0\|_{Z^k} \leq B_1(k) \|v_0\|_{BMO^{-1}} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\|e^{t\Delta} d_0\|_{X^k} \leq B_2(k) [d_0]_{BMO}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $B_1(k)$ and $B_2(k)$ depend on k .

Proof. Inequality (2.1) is proved by Miura–Sawada in [6]. By the definitions of BMO and BMO^{-1} , one can derive (2.2) from (2.1). \square

In the following, we will recall an estimate for $V(f \otimes g)$ in Z^k .

Proposition 2.1. (See [6].) If $f, g \in \tilde{Z}^k$, then $V(f \otimes g) \in Z^k$. Moreover, there holds that for any integer $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|V(f \otimes g)\|_{Z^k} &\leq D_0(k) \|f\|_{Z^0} \|g\|_{Z^0} + D_1 \|f\|_{Z^0} \|g\|_{Z^k} \\ &\quad + D_1 \|g\|_{Z^0} \|f\|_{Z^k} + D(k) \|f\|_{\tilde{Z}^{k-1}} \|g\|_{\tilde{Z}^{k-1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where the constant D_1 is independent of k while $D_0(k)$ and $D(k)$ depend on k .

Remark 2.2. By using the convention that $\|f\|_{\tilde{Z}^{-1}} = 0$ and $\|g\|_{\tilde{Z}^{-1}} = 0$ in (2.3), there holds that

$$\|V(f \otimes g)\|_{Z^0} \leq D \|f\|_{Z^0} \|g\|_{Z^0}, \quad (2.4)$$

which is proved by Koch and Tataru in [9]. Hence (2.4) is included in (2.3) for $k = 0$.

Next, we will prove a critical estimate for the operator S .

Proposition 2.2. If $d \in \tilde{X}^k$, then $S(\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d)) \in X^k$. Moreover, there holds that for any integer $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d))\|_{X^k} \\ \leq D_0(k) \|d\|_{X^0}^2 \|d\|_{X^{-1}} + D_1 \|d\|_{X^0} \|d\|_{X^k} \|d\|_{X^{-1}} + D(k) \|d\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}^2 \|d\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where the constant D_1 is independent of k .

Remark 2.3. By using the convention that $\|d\|_{X^{-2}} = 0$ in (2.5), there holds that

$$\|S(\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d))\|_{X^0} \leq D_2 \|d\|_{X^0}^2 \|d\|_{X^{-1}}, \quad (2.6)$$

which is proved in [23]. Then (2.6) is included in (2.5) for $k = 0$.

Meanwhile, we also have

$$\|S(\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d))\|_{X^{-1}} \leq D_2 \|d\|_{X^0}^2 \|d\|_{X^{-1}}, \quad (2.7)$$

which is proved in Lemma 3.1 of [23].

Proof of Proposition 2.2. By the definition of the space X^k , we shall prove [Proposition 2.2](#) by combining the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.5. *There holds that for any integer $k \geq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S(\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d))\|_{ND_\infty^k} \\ & \leq a_0(k) \|d\|_{X^0}^2 \|d\|_{X^{-1}} + 2a_1 \|d\|_{X^{-1}} \|d\|_{X^0} \|d\|_{X^k} \\ & \quad + a_1 \|d\|_{X^{k-1}} \|d\|_{X^0}^2 + a(k) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-i-1} \|d\|_{ND_\infty^{i-1}} \|d\|_{ND_\infty^j} \|d\|_{ND_\infty^{k-i-j}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where the constant a_1 is independent of k .

Lemma 2.6. *There holds that for any integer $k \geq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S(\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d))\|_{ND_C^k} \\ & \leq b_0(k) \|d\|_{ND_C^0}^2 \|d\|_{X^{-1}} + b_1 \|d\|_{ND_\infty^0} \|d\|_{ND_C^k} \|d\|_{X^{-1}} + b(k) \|d\|_{X^{k-1}}^2 \|d\|_{X^{k-2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where the constant b_1 is independent of k .

Proof of Lemma 2.5. Let

$$m = m(k) = C^{-\frac{4}{n+k+1}} k^{\frac{k-3}{n+k+1}},$$

where C is a constant from [Lemma 2.3](#). We split $(0, t)$ as $(0, t) = (0, t(1 - \frac{1}{m})) \cup [t(1 - \frac{1}{m}), t]$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^{k+1} S(\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d)) &= \left(\int_0^{t(1-\frac{1}{m})} + \int_{t(1-\frac{1}{m})}^t \right) \nabla^{k+1} e^{(t-s)\Delta} [\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d)] ds \\ &:= I_{11} + I_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of Π_{S^2} , we have

$$|\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d)| \leq C |\nabla d|^2 |d|,$$

where the constant $C > 0$ depends on S^2 . Then by [Lemma 2.3](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11} &\leq C^k k^{\frac{k}{2}} \int_0^{t(1-\frac{1}{m})} \int_{R^n} (t-s)^{-\frac{k}{2}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{t-s}{k}} + |x-y| \right)^{-n-1} |\nabla d|^2 |d|(y, s) dy ds \\ &\leq C^k k^{\frac{k}{2}} \left(\frac{m}{t} \right)^{(n+k+1)/2} \int_0^{t(1-\frac{1}{m})} \int_{R^n} \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} + \frac{|x-y|}{\sqrt{t-s}} \right)^{-n-1} |\nabla d|^2 |d|(y, s) dy ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C^k k^{\frac{k}{2}} \left(\frac{m}{t}\right)^{\frac{n+k+1}{2}} \int_0^{t(1-\frac{1}{m})} \sum_{q \in Z^n} \left[\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} + |q| \right)^{-n-1} \int_{\{y|x-y \in \sqrt{t}(q+[0,1]^n)\}} |\nabla d|^2 |d| dy \right] ds. \quad (2.10)$$

Meanwhile, by

$$\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} + |q| \right)^{-n-1} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} + |q| \right)^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} + |q| \right)^{-n} \leq \sqrt{k} |q|^{-n}$$

and the convergence of series $\sum_{q \in Z^n} |q|^{-n}$, we have

$$\sum_{q \in Z^n} \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} + |q| \right)^{-n-1} \leq C \sqrt{k}. \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore, combining (2.10) with (2.11), we have

$$\left| \int_0^{t(1-\frac{1}{m})} \nabla^{k+1} e^{(t-s)\Delta} [\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d)](x, s) ds \right| \leq t^{-\frac{k+1}{2}} a_0(k) \|d\|_{X^0}^2 \|d\|_{X^{-1}}, \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$a_0(k) = C^k k^{\frac{k+1}{2}} m^{\frac{n+k+1}{2}} = C^{k-2} k^{k-1}.$$

For I_{12} , we have firstly

$$|\nabla^k \nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d)| \leq C \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^{k-i} C_i^k C_j^{k-i} |\nabla^i d| |\nabla^{j+1} d| |\nabla^{k-i-j+1} d|,$$

where $C > 0$ depends on S^2 and $C_i^k = \frac{k!}{i!(k-i)!}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_{12} &\leq \left| \int_{t(1-\frac{1}{m})}^t \nabla e^{(t-s)\Delta} \left| \nabla^k [\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d)](x, s) \right| ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_{t(1-\frac{1}{m})}^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^{k-i} C_i^k C_j^{k-i} \|\nabla^i d\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^{j+1} d\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^{k-i-j+1} d\|_{L^\infty} ds \\ &\leq \int_{t(1-\frac{1}{m})}^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s^{-(k+2)} ds \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^{k-i} C_i^k C_j^{k-i} \|d\|_{ND_\infty^{i-1}} \|d\|_{ND_\infty^j} \|d\|_{ND_\infty^{k-i-j}} \\ &\leq \int_{t(1-\frac{1}{m})}^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s^{-(k+2)} ds \cdot \left(\|d\|_{X^{-1}} \|d\|_{ND_\infty^0} \|d\|_{ND_\infty^k} + \|d\|_{X^{-1}} \|d\|_{ND_\infty^k} \|d\|_{ND_\infty^0} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \|d\|_{ND_\infty^{k-1}} \|d\|_{ND_\infty^0}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-i-1} C_i^{k-1} C_j^{k-i-1} \|d\|_{ND_\infty^{i-1}} \|d\|_{ND_\infty^j} \|d\|_{ND_\infty^{k-i-j}} \Big). \quad (2.13)$$

From [6], there exists a constant $a_1 > 0$, independent of k , such that for any integer $k \geq 1$ there holds

$$\int_{t(1-\frac{1}{m})}^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s^{-(k+2)} ds \leq a_1 t^{-\frac{k+1}{2}}. \quad (2.14)$$

Combining (2.13) with (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| t^{\frac{k+1}{2}} \int_{t(1-\frac{1}{m})}^t \nabla^{k+1} e^{(t-s)\Delta} [\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d)](x, s) ds \right| \\ & \leq a_1 \|d\|_{X^{-1}} \|d\|_{X^0} \|d\|_{X^k} + a_1 \|d\|_{X^{-1}} \|d\|_{X^k} \|d\|_{X^0} \\ & \quad + a_1 \|d\|_{X^{k-1}} \|d\|_{X^0} \|d\|_{X^0} + a(k) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-i-1} \|d\|_{ND_\infty^{i-1}} \|d\|_{ND_\infty^j} \|d\|_{ND_\infty^{k-i-j}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

where $a(k)$ is a constant depending on k .

Combining (2.12) with (2.15), we have proved Lemma 2.5. \square

Proof of Lemma 2.6. Let $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a smooth function such that

$$\chi(x) = 1 \quad \text{for } x \in B_1(0); \quad \chi(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in B_2(0) \text{ and } 0 \leq \chi(x) \leq 1.$$

Denote $\chi_{x_0, r}(x) = \chi(\frac{x-x_0}{r})$. We rewrite

$$\int_0^t \nabla e^{(t-s)\Delta} [\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d)](x, s) ds = I_{21} + I_{22} + I_{23}$$

with I_{2i} ($i = 1, 2, 3$) being defined by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{21} &= \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} (1 - \chi_{x_0, r}) [\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d)](x, s) ds; \\ I_{22} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} \sqrt{-\Delta} e^{t\Delta} \int_0^t (\chi_{x_0, r} \nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d))(x, s) ds; \\ I_{23} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} (Id - e^{s\Delta}) (\chi_{x_0, r} \nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d))(x, s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

For I_{21} , we have from Remark 2.1

$$\begin{aligned}
& r^{-n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |t^{\frac{k}{2}} \nabla^{k+1} I_{21}|^2 dx dt \\
& \leq r^{-n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \left| \int_0^t \int_{B_{2r}^c(x_0)} \frac{M(k)t^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(\sqrt{t-s} + |x-y|)^{n+k+1}} |\nabla d|^2 |d| dy ds \right|^2 dx dt \\
& \leq r^{-n+k} Y^2(k) \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \left| \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_{2r}^c(x_0)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+k+1}} |\nabla d|^2 |d| dy ds \right|^2 dx dt \\
& \leq r^{-n+k} Y^2(k) C(k) \|d\|_{X^{-1}}^2 \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \left| \int_0^{r^2} \int_{\bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} B_r(x_q)} \frac{1}{(|q|r)^{n+k+1}} |\nabla d|^2 dy ds \right|^2 dx dt \\
& \leq Y^2(k) C(k) \|d\|_{X^{-1}}^2 \left(\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |k| \geq 1} |q|^{-n-1} \sup_{x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{r^n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_r(x_q)} |\nabla d|^2 dy ds \right)^2 \\
& \leq Y^2(k) C(k) \|d\|_{X^{-1}}^2 \left(\sup_{x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{r^n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_r(x_q)} |\nabla d|^2 dy ds \right)^2 \\
& \leq Y^2(k) C(k) \|d\|_{X^{-1}}^2 \|d\|_{ND_C^0}^4,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

where the constant $Y(k)$ comes from Remark 2.1 and $C(k)$ is a constant dependent of k .

For I_{22} , denote $M(x, s) := \chi_{x_0, r} \nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d)(x, s)$. Then by the boundedness of Riesz transform on L^2 , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& r^{-n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |t^{\frac{k}{2}} \nabla^{k+1} I_{22}|^2 dx dt \\
& \leq r^{-n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \left| t^{\frac{k}{2}} (-\Delta)^{\frac{k+1}{2}} e^{t\Delta} \int_0^t M(x, s) ds \right|^2 dx dt \\
& = r^{-n} \int_0^1 \int_{R^n} \left| (r^2 \tau)^{\frac{k+1}{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{r^2} \Delta_z \right)^{\frac{k+1}{2}} e^{\tau \Delta_z} \int_0^\tau M(rz, r^2 \rho) r^2 d\rho \right|^2 r^n dz r^2 d\tau \\
& = r^4 \int_0^1 \int_{R^n} \left| \tau^{\frac{k}{2}} (-\Delta_z)^{\frac{k+1}{2}} e^{\tau \Delta_z} \int_0^\tau N(z, \rho) d\rho \right|^2 dz d\tau \\
& \leq r^4 h(k) A(N) \int_0^1 \int_{R^n} |N(z, \tau)| dz d\tau,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where we have used a change of variables

$$t = r^2 \tau, \quad s = r^2 \rho, \quad x = rz$$

in the first equality, and Lemma 2.1 in the last inequality and have used notations as follows

$$N(z, \rho) := M(rz, r^2 \rho), \quad A(N) := \sup_{x_0 \in R^n, \tau \in (0, 1)} \tau^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_0^\tau \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} |N(z, \rho)| dz d\rho.$$

In the following, we will estimate an upper bound of the L^1 -norm of $N(z, \rho)$ on $(0, 1) \times R^n$ and $A(N)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \int_{R^n} |N(z, \tau)| dz d\tau &= \int_0^1 \int_{R^n} |M(rz, r^2 \tau)| dz d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{r^{n+2}} \int_0^r \int_{R^n} |M(x, t)| dx dt \\ &= \frac{1}{r^{n+2}} \int_0^r \int_{R^n} \chi_{x_0, r} |\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d)(x, s)| dx dt \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{r^{n+2}} \int_0^r \int_{R^n} \chi_{x_0, r} |\nabla d(x, s)|^2 |d(x, s)| dx dt \\ &\leq C r^{-2} \|d\|_{X^{-1}} \|d\|_{ND_C^0}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A(N) &= \sup_{x_0 \in R^n, \tau \in (0, 1)} \tau^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_0^\tau \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} |N(z, \rho)| dz d\rho \\ &= \sup_{x_0 \in R^n, \tau \in (0, 1)} \tau^{-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{r^{n+2}} \int_0^{r^2 \tau} \int_{|\frac{x}{r} - x_0| < \tau} \left| N\left(\frac{x}{r}, \frac{s}{r^2}\right) \right| dx ds \\ &= \sup_{\tilde{x}_0 \in R^n, \tau \in (0, 1)} \tau^{-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{r^{n+2}} \int_0^{r^2 \tau} \int_{|x - \tilde{x}_0| < r\tau} |M(x, s)| dx ds \\ &= \sup_{\tilde{x}_0 \in R^n, \tau \in (0, 1)} \tau^{-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{r^{n+2}} \int_0^{r^2 \tau} \int_{|x - \tilde{x}_0| < r\tau} \chi_{x_0, r} |\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d)(x, s)| dx ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C r^{-2} \|d\|_{X^{-1}} \sup_{\tilde{x}_0 \in R^n, \tau \in (0,1)} \frac{1}{(r\tau^{\frac{1}{2}})^n} \int_0^{r^2\tau} \int_{B_{r\tau}(\tilde{x}_0)} |\nabla d|^2 dx ds \\ &\leq C r^{-2} \|d\|_{X^{-1}} \|d\|_{ND_C^0}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Then (2.17)–(2.19) imply that

$$r^{-n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |t^{\frac{k}{2}} \nabla^{k+1} I_{22}|^2 dx dt \leq C h(k) \|d\|_{X^{-1}}^2 \|d\|_{ND_C^0}^4. \quad (2.20)$$

For I_{23} , the cases k odd and k even are slightly different. Here we will argue for the case k odd, which is a little more difficult. Let $k = 2K + 1$. Decompose $t^{\frac{k}{2}}$ as

$$t^{\frac{k}{2}} = (t - s + s)^K (\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s} + \sqrt{s}) = \sum_0^K C_l^K [s^l (t - s)^{K-l} (\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s}) + s^l \sqrt{s} (t - s)^{K-l}].$$

Denote $M(s) := \chi_{x_0, r} \nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d)(s)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &t^{\frac{k}{2}} \nabla^{k+1} I_{23} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^K C_l^K \frac{\nabla}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} \int_0^t (t - s)^{K-l} (\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s}) \Delta \nabla^{2K-2l+1} e^{(t-s)\Delta} \frac{Id - e^{s\Delta}}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} s^l \nabla^{2l} M(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=0}^K C_l^K \frac{\nabla}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} \int_0^t (t - s)^{K-l} \Delta \nabla^{2K-2l} e^{(t-s)\Delta} \frac{Id - e^{s\Delta}}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} s^l \sqrt{s} \nabla^{2l+1} M(s) ds \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{K-1} C_l^K \frac{\nabla}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} Q_{K-l} \left(\frac{Id - e^{s\Delta}}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} s^l \nabla^{2l} M(s) \right) + \frac{\nabla}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} Q_0 \left(\frac{Id - e^{s\Delta}}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} s^l \nabla^{2l} M(s) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=0}^{K-1} C_l^K \frac{\nabla}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} P_{K-l} \left(\frac{Id - e^{s\Delta}}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} s^l \sqrt{s} \nabla^{2l+1} M(s) \right) + \frac{\nabla}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} P_0 \left(\frac{Id - e^{s\Delta}}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} s^l \sqrt{s} \nabla^{2l+1} M(s) \right). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.2, i.e. the operator P_{K-l} is bounded on $L^2(0, T; L^2(R^n))$ for every $T \in (0, +\infty]$, we have that for $t \leq r^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{r^n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \left| P_{K-l} \left(\frac{Id - e^{s\Delta}}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} s^l \sqrt{s} \nabla^{2l+1} M(s) \right) \right|^2 dx ds \\ &\leq p(K-l) \frac{1}{r^n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \left| \left(\frac{Id - e^{s\Delta}}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} s^l \sqrt{s} \nabla^{2l+1} M(s) \right) \right|^2 dx ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq Cp(K-l)\frac{1}{r^n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |s^{l+1} \nabla^{2l+1} M(s)|^2 dx ds,$$

where we have used that the operator $\frac{Id - e^{s\Delta}}{\sqrt{-\Delta}}$ is bounded by $C\sqrt{s}$ on L^2 , since $\frac{1-e^{s|\xi|^2}}{|\xi|} \leq C\sqrt{s}$. One can see that $s^{l+1} \nabla^{2l+1} M(s)$ is a sum of terms of the type

$$(s^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \nabla^{m+1} d) \cdot (s^{\frac{\rho+1}{2}} \nabla^{\rho+1} d) \cdot (s^{\frac{j}{2}} \nabla^j d) \cdot (s^{\frac{2l-m-\rho-j}{2}} \nabla^{2l+1-m-\rho-j} \chi_{x_0, r})$$

with $m, \rho, j \geq 0$ and $m + \rho + j \leq 2l + 1$.

Since $\nabla^{2l+1-m-\rho-j} \chi_{x_0, r} \leq Cr^{-(2l+1-m-\rho-j)}$, we have for $s \leq r^2$,

$$s^{\frac{2l-m-\rho-j}{2}} \nabla^{2l+1-m-\rho-j} \chi_{x_0, r} \leq Cr^{2l-m-\rho-j} r^{-(2l+1-m-\rho-j)} \leq \frac{C}{r}.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{1}{r^n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |s^{l+1} \nabla^{2l+1} M(s)|^2 dx ds \leq C \frac{1}{r^n} \sum_{m+\rho+j \leq 2l+1} \|d\|_{ND_\infty^m}^2 \|d\|_{ND_C^\rho}^2 \|d\|_{ND_\infty^{j-1}}^2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{r^n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \left| P_{K-l} \left(\frac{Id - e^{s\Delta}}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} s^l \sqrt{s} \nabla^{2l+1} M(s) \right) \right|^2 dx ds \\ & \leq Cp(K-l) \sum_{m+\rho+j \leq 2l+1} \|d\|_{ND_\infty^m}^2 \|d\|_{ND_C^\rho}^2 \|d\|_{ND_\infty^{j-1}}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Similarly, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{r^n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \left| P_0 \left(\frac{Id - e^{s\Delta}}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} s^l \sqrt{s} \nabla^{2l+1} M(s) \right) \right|^2 dx ds \\ & \leq p(0) \frac{1}{r^n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \left| \left(\frac{Id - e^{s\Delta}}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} s^K \sqrt{s} \nabla^{2K+1} M(s) \right) \right|^2 dx ds \\ & \leq Cp(0) \frac{1}{r^n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |s^{K+1} \nabla^{2K+1} M(s)|^2 dx ds \\ & \leq Cp(0) (\|d\|_{ND_\infty^0}^2 \|d\|_{ND_C^{2K+1}}^2 \|d\|_{X^{-1}}^2) + C(K) \|d\|_{\tilde{X}^{2K}}^4 \|d\|_{\tilde{X}^{2K-1}}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{r^n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \left| Q_{K-l} \left(\frac{Id - e^{s\Delta}}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} s^l \sqrt{s} \nabla^{2l+1} M(s) \right) \right|^2 dx ds \\ & \leq C q(K-l) \sum_{m+\rho+j \leqslant 2l+1} \|d\|_{ND_\infty^m}^2 \|d\|_{ND_C^\rho}^2 \|d\|_{ND_\infty^{j-1}}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{r^n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \left| Q_0 \left(\frac{Id - e^{s\Delta}}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} s^l \sqrt{s} \nabla^{2l+1} M(s) \right) \right|^2 dx ds \\ & \leq C q(0) (\|d\|_{ND_\infty^0}^2 \|d\|_{ND_C^{2K+1}}^2 \|d\|_{X^{-1}}^2) + C(K) \|d\|_{\tilde{X}^{2K}}^4 \|d\|_{\tilde{X}^{2K-1}}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Therefore by the boundedness of the Riesz $\frac{\nabla}{\sqrt{-\Delta}}$ on L^2 , we get from (2.21) to (2.24) and $k = 2K + 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{r^n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |t^{\frac{k}{2}} \nabla^{k+1} I_{23}|^2 dx ds \\ & \leq C q(0) (\|d\|_{ND_\infty^0}^2 \|d\|_{ND_C^k}^2 \|d\|_{X^{-1}}^2) + C(K) \|d\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}^4 \|d\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-2}}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

Therefore we prove Lemma 2.6 by putting (2.16), (2.20) and (2.25) together. \square

Proposition 2.3. If $f, g, h \in \tilde{X}^k$, then $S(|\nabla f||\nabla g||h|) \in X^k$. Moreover, there holds that for any integer $k \geqslant 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(|\nabla f||\nabla g||h|)\|_{X^k} & \leq D_0(k) \|f\|_{X^0} \|g\|_{X^0} \|h\|_{X^{-1}} + D(k) \|f\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}} \|g\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}} \|h\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-2}} \\ & + D_1 \|f\|_{X^0} \|g\|_{X^k} \|h\|_{X^{-1}} + D_1 \|g\|_{X^0} \|f\|_{X^k} \|h\|_{X^{-1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

where the constant D_1 is independent of k .

Proof. One can prove this proposition by using the inequality $|\nabla|f|| \leq |\nabla f|$ and a method similar to Proposition 2.1. \square

Remark 2.4. By using the convention that $\|f\|_{X^{-2}} = \|g\|_{X^{-2}} = \|h\|_{X^{-2}} = 0$ in (2.26), there holds that

$$\|S(|\nabla f||\nabla g||h|)\|_{X^0} \leq D_2 \|f\|_{X^0} \|g\|_{X^0} \|h\|_{X^{-1}}. \quad (2.27)$$

Then (2.27) is included in (2.26) for $k = 0$.

By a similar argument as (2.7), we also have

$$\|S(|\nabla f||\nabla g||h|)\|_{X^{-1}} \leq D_2 \|f\|_{X^0} \|g\|_{X^0} \|h\|_{X^{-1}}. \quad (2.28)$$

Similarly, we have

Proposition 2.4. If $v \in \tilde{Z}^k$ and $d \in \tilde{X}^k$, then $S(v \cdot \nabla d) \in X^k$. Moreover, there holds that for any integer $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(v \cdot \nabla d)\|_{X^k} &\leq D_0(k) \|d\|_{X^0} \|v\|_{Z^0} \\ &+ D_1 \|v\|_{Z^0} \|d\|_{X^k} + D_1 \|d\|_{X^0} \|v\|_{Z^k} + D(k) \|v\|_{\tilde{Z}^{k-1}} \|d\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

where the constant D_1 is independent of k .

Remark 2.5. By using the convention that $\|v\|_{Z^{-1}} = 0$ in (2.29), there holds that

$$\|S(v \cdot \nabla d)\|_{X^0} \leq D \|d\|_{X^0} \|v\|_{Z^0}, \quad (2.30)$$

which is proved in [23]. Then (2.30) is included in (2.29) for $k = 0$.

Meanwhile we also have

$$\|S(v \cdot \nabla d)\|_{X^{-1}} \leq D \|d\|_{X^0} \|v\|_{Z^0}, \quad (2.31)$$

which is proved in [23].

Remark 2.6. For simplicity, we use the same constants $D_0(k)$, D_1 and $D(k)$ in Propositions 2.1–2.4, where D_1 is independent of k , while $D_0(k)$ and $D(k)$ depend on k .

3. Proof of the main result

In this section, we will prove our main result, i.e. Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Define an approximating sequence $\{v^j, d^j\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} v^{-1} &= 0, & d^{-1} &= 0, \\ v^0 &= e^{t\Delta} v_0, & d^0 &= e^{t\Delta} d_0, \\ v^{j+1} &= v^0 + V[v^j \otimes v^j + \nabla d^j \odot \nabla d^j], \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

$$d^{j+1} = d^0 + S[-\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d^j)(\nabla d^j, \nabla d^j) - v^j \cdot \nabla d^j]. \quad (3.2)$$

We will prove that if (v_0, d_0) is small enough in $BMO^{-1} \times BMO$, then $\{v^j, d^j\}$ converge to $\{v, d\}$ in $\tilde{Z}^k \times \tilde{X}^k$ for any integer $k \geq 0$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$ with finite norm $\|v\|_{\tilde{Z}^k} + \|d\|_{\tilde{X}^k}$. Then by a similar argument as in [23], we also have $d(x, t) \in S^2$ for all $(x, t) \in R^n \times [0, +\infty)$. Hence (1.3) of Theorem 1.1 is proved, if the following claim is proved. (1.4) of Theorem 1.1 follows easily by using (1.3).

Claim. For any integer $k \geq 0$, there are positive constants E , F_k and G_k such that

$$\|d^j\|_{X^{-1}} \leq E, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\|v^j\|_{\tilde{Z}^k} + \|d^j\|_{\tilde{X}^k} \leq F_k, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\|v^{j+1} - v^j\|_{\tilde{Z}^k} + \|d^{j+1} - d^j\|_{\tilde{X}^k} \leq G_k \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^j. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. We prove this claim by induction.

Step 1. We prove that (3.4) and (3.5) are right for $k = 0$ firstly.

From the definition of d^0 , we have

$$\|d^0\|_{X^{-1}} = \sup_{t \in [0, +\infty)} \|e^{t\Delta} d_0\|_{L^\infty} = \sup_{t \in [0, +\infty)} \left| \int_{R^n} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{2}} d_0(x - \sqrt{t}y) dy \right| \leq \|d_0\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (3.6)$$

From Lemma 2.4 for $k = 0$, we have

$$\|d^0\|_{X^0} \leq B_2[d_0]_{BMO} \quad \text{and} \quad \|v^0\|_{Z^0} \leq B_1[v_0]_{BMO^{-1}}.$$

From Remark (2.7) and (2.28), there exists a constant $D > \max\{4B_1, 4B_2\} > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|d^1\|_{X^{-1}} &\leq \|d^1 - d^0\|_{X^{-1}} + \|d^0\|_{X^{-1}} \\ &= \|S[-\nabla^2 \pi_{S^2}(d^0)(\nabla d^0, \nabla d^0) - v^0 \cdot \nabla d^0]\|_{X^{-1}} + \|d^0\|_{X^{-1}} \\ &\leq D_0 \|d^0\|_{X^{-1}} \|d^0\|_{X^0}^2 + D_0 \|v^0\|_{Z^0}^2 + D_0 \|d^0\|_{X^0}^2 + \|d_0\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq D(1 + \|d_0\|_{L^\infty}) [d_0]_{BMO}^2 + D \|v_0\|_{BMO^{-1}}^2 + \|d_0\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq D(1 + \|d_0\|_{L^\infty}) \epsilon^2 + D\epsilon^2 + \|d_0\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Then choose ϵ so small and E so large that

$$\|d^1\|_{X^{-1}} \leq E. \quad (3.7)$$

Meanwhile, from (3.2), (2.6) and (2.27)

$$\begin{aligned} \|d^1\|_{X^0} &= \|d^0\|_{X^0} + \|S[-\nabla^2 \pi_{S^2}(d^0)(\nabla d^0, \nabla d^0) - v^0 \cdot \nabla d^0]\|_{X^0} \\ &\leq B_2[d_0]_{BMO} + D_0 \|d^0\|_{X^{-1}} \|d^0\|_{X^0}^2 + D_0 \|v^0\|_{Z^0}^2 + D_0 \|d^0\|_{X^0}^2 \\ &\leq B_2[d_0]_{BMO} + D(1 + \|d_0\|_{L^\infty}) [d_0]_{BMO}^2 + D \|v_0\|_{BMO^{-1}}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} D\epsilon + D(1 + \|d_0\|_{L^\infty}) \epsilon^2 + D\epsilon^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $B_2 < \frac{1}{4}D$.

Then we choose ϵ small enough such that

$$\|d^1\|_{X^0} \leq \frac{1}{2} D\epsilon. \quad (3.8)$$

For v^1 , we have

$$\|v^1\|_{Z^0} \leq B_1 \|v_0\|_{BMO^{-1}} + D_0 \|v^0\|_{Z^0}^2 + D_0 \|d^0\|_{X^0}^2 \leq B_1 \epsilon + D\epsilon^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} D\epsilon,$$

where we have used $B_1 < \frac{1}{4}D$. Then one can choose ϵ small enough such that

$$\|v^1\|_{Z^0} \leq \frac{1}{2} D\epsilon. \quad (3.9)$$

Combining (3.8) with (3.9), we have

$$\|v^1\|_{Z^0} + \|d^1\|_{X^0} \leq D\epsilon. \quad (3.10)$$

Now we estimate $\|d^2\|_{X^{-1}}$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \|d^2\|_{X^{-1}} &\leq \|d^2 - d^0\|_{X^{-1}} + \|d^0\|_{X^{-1}} \\ &= \|S[-\nabla^2 \pi_{S^2}(d^1)(\nabla d^1, \nabla d^1) - v^1 \cdot \nabla d^1]\|_{X^{-1}} + \|d^0\|_{X^{-1}} \\ &\leq D_0 \|d^1\|_{X^{-1}} \|d^1\|_{X^0}^2 + D_0 \|v^1\|_{Z^0}^2 + D_0 \|d^1\|_{X^0}^2 + \|d_0\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq D(1 + \|d^1\|_{X^{-1}}) \|d^1\|_{X^0}^2 + D \|v^1\|_{Z^0}^2 + \|d_0\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq D(1 + E) \|d^1\|_{X^0}^2 + D \|v^1\|_{Z^0}^2 + \|d_0\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq D^3(1 + E)\epsilon^2 + D^3\epsilon^2 + \|d_0\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

In above last inequality, we have used (3.10).

Then we choose ϵ small enough that

$$\|d^2\|_{X^{-1}} \leq E. \quad (3.11)$$

For $\|d^2\|_{X^0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|d^2\|_{X^0} &= \|d^0\|_{X^0} + \|S[-\nabla^2 \pi_{S^2}(d^1)(\nabla d^1, \nabla d^1) - v^1 \cdot \nabla d^1]\|_{X^0} \\ &\leq B_2[d_0]_{BMO} + D_0 \|d^1\|_{X^{-1}} \|d^1\|_{X^0}^2 + D_0 \|v^1\|_{Z^0}^2 + D_0 \|d^1\|_{X^0}^2 \\ &\leq B_2[d_0]_{BMO} + D(1 + E) \|d^1\|_{X^0}^2 + D \|v^1\|_{Z^0}^2 \\ &\leq B_2\epsilon + D^3(1 + E)\epsilon^2 + D^3\epsilon^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}D\epsilon + D^3(1 + E)\epsilon^2 + D^3\epsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then one can choose ϵ small enough such that

$$\|d^2\|_{X^0} \leq \frac{1}{2}D\epsilon. \quad (3.12)$$

For $\|v^2\|_{Z^0}$, we have

$$\|v^2\|_{Z^0} \leq B_1[v_0]_{BMO^{-1}} + D_0 \|v^1\|_{Z^0}^2 + D_0 \|d^1\|_{X^0}^2 \leq B_1\epsilon + D^2\epsilon^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}D\epsilon.$$

Then one can choose ϵ small enough such that

$$\|v^2\|_{Z^0} \leq \frac{1}{2}D\epsilon. \quad (3.13)$$

Combining (3.12) with (3.13), we have

$$\|v^2\|_{Z^0} + \|d^2\|_{X^0} \leq D\epsilon. \quad (3.14)$$

Hence, by choosing d_0 small enough in BMO and v_0 small enough in BMO^{-1} , we can ensure that for any integer $j \geq 0$, there are constants $D > 0$ and $E > 0$ such that (3.3) holds and

$$\|v^j\|_{Z^0} + \|d^j\|_{X^0} \leq D\epsilon, \quad (3.15)$$

which means that (3.4) is true for $k = 0$.

Now we will prove that (3.5) is true for $k = 0$.

From the proof of (3.10), we also have

$$\|v^1 - v^0\|_{Z^0} \leq \frac{1}{2}D\epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|d^1 - d^0\|_{X^0} \leq \frac{1}{2}D\epsilon. \quad (3.16)$$

From the definition of $S[\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d)]$, for any integer $j \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |S[\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d^j)(\nabla d^j, \nabla d^j)] - S[\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d^{j-1})(\nabla d^{j-1}, \nabla d^{j-1})]| \\ & \leq S[|\nabla d^j| + |\nabla d^{j-1}|]|\nabla d^j - \nabla d^{j-1}| |d^j| + S[|\nabla d^j|^2 |d^j - d^{j-1}|]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Then from (2.4), (2.27) and (2.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|d^{j+1} - d^j\|_{X^0} + \|v^{j+1} - v^j\|_{Z^0} \\ & \leq S[|\nabla d^j| + |\nabla d^{j-1}|]|\nabla(d^j - d^{j-1})||d^j| \|_{X^0} + \|S[|\nabla d^{j-1}|^2 |d^j - d^{j-1}|]\|_{X^0} \\ & \quad + \|S[(v^j - v^{j-1}) \cdot \nabla d^j]\|_{X^0} + \|S[v^{j-1} \cdot (\nabla d^j - \nabla d^{j-1})]\|_{X^0} \\ & \quad + \|V[(v^j - v^{j-1}) \otimes v^j]\|_{Z^0} + \|V[v^{j-1} \otimes (v^j - v^{j-1})]\|_{Z^0} \\ & \quad + \|V[(\nabla d^j - \nabla d^{j-1}) \odot \nabla d^j]\|_{Z^0} + \|V[\nabla d^{j-1} \odot (\nabla d^j - \nabla d^{j-1})]\|_{Z^0} \\ & \leq D \|d^j\|_{X^{-1}} (\|d^j\|_{X^0} + \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^0}) \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^0} + D \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^0}^2 \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^{-1}} \\ & \quad + D \|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{Z^0} \|d^j\|_{X^0} + D \|v^{j-1}\|_{Z^0} \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^0} + D \|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{Z^0} \|v^j\|_{Z^0} \\ & \quad + D \|v^{j-1}\|_{Z^0} \|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{Z^0} + D \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^0} \|d^j\|_{X^0} + D \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^0} \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^0} \\ & \leq (2D^2 E + 3D^2)\epsilon \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^0} + D^3 \epsilon^2 \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^{-1}} + 3D^2 \epsilon \|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{Z^0}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Specially, for $j = 1$, by using (3.3) and (3.16) we have

$$\|d^2 - d^1\|_{X^0} + \|v^2 - v^1\|_{Z^0} \leq (4D^3 E + 6D^3)\epsilon^2.$$

Let $\epsilon^2 \leq \frac{1}{4D^3 E + 6D^3}(\frac{2}{3})^2$, then we have

$$\|d^2 - d^1\|_{X^0} + \|v^2 - v^1\|_{Z^0} \leq \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2.$$

Moreover, by (3.18) we obtain that (3.5) is true for $k = 0$.

Step 2. We will prove that (3.4) and (3.5) are right for any integer $k \geq 0$. Assume that (3.4) and (3.5) are true for $k - 1$. We will prove that (3.4) and (3.5) are true for k . We first prove (3.4) is true for k .

By (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v^j\|_{Z^k} &\leq \|v^0\|_{Z^k} + \|V[v^{j-1} \otimes v^{j-1} + \nabla d^{j-1} \odot \nabla d^{j-1}]\|_{Z^k} \\ &\leq \|v^0\|_{Z^k} + 2D_1 \|v^{j-1}\|_{Z^0} \|v^{j-1}\|_{Z^k} + J_k \|v^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{Z}^{k-1}}^2 \\ &\quad + 2D_1 \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^0} \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^k} + J_k \|d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

where $J_k = D_0(k) + D(k)$.

By (2.5) and (2.29) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|d^j\|_{X^k} &\leq \|d^0\|_{X^k} + \|S[\nabla^2 \Pi_{S^2}(d^{j-1})(\nabla d^{j-1}, \nabla d^{j-1}) - v^{j-1} \cdot \nabla d^{j-1}]\|_{X^k} \\ &\leq \|d^0\|_{X^k} + D_1 \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^0} \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^k} \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^{-1}} + J_k \|d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}^3 \\ &\quad + D_1 (\|v^{j-1}\|_{Z^0} \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^k} + \|v^{j-1}\|_{Z^k} \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^0}) + J_k \|v^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{Z}^{k-1}} \|d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}} \\ &\leq \|d^0\|_{X^k} + D_1 E \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^0} \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^k} + J_k \|d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}^3 \\ &\quad + D_1 (\|v^{j-1}\|_{Z^0} \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^k} + \|v^{j-1}\|_{Z^k} \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^0}) + J_k \|v^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{Z}^{k-1}}^2 + J_k \|d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

where $J_k = D_0(k) + D(k)$.

Combining (3.19) together with (3.20) and choosing ϵ small enough yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|d^j\|_{X^k} + \|v^j\|_{Z^k} &\leq \|d^0\|_{X^k} + \|v^0\|_{Z^k} + (2D_1 \|v^{j-1}\|_{Z^0} + D_1 \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^0}) \|v^{j-1}\|_{Z^k} \\ &\quad + (2D_1 \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^0} + D_1 E \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^0} + D_1 \|v^{j-1}\|_{Z^0}) \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^k} \\ &\quad + 2J_k \|v^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{Z}^{k-1}}^2 + J_k \|d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}^2 + J_k \|d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}^3 \\ &\leq \|d^0\|_{X^k} + \|v^0\|_{Z^k} + \frac{1}{2} (\|d^{j-1}\|_{X^k} + \|v^{j-1}\|_{Z^k}) \\ &\quad + J_k (\|d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}^2 + \|v^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{Z}^{k-1}}^2) + 2J_k \|d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}^3 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\|d^{j-1}\|_{X^k} + \|v^{j-1}\|_{Z^k}) + H_k \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

where we have used the induction hypothesis and Lemma 2.4 to obtain the last inequality for some constant H_k .

Then from (3.21) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|d^j\|_{X^k} + \|v^j\|_{Z^k} &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\|d^{j-2}\|_{X^k} + \|v^{j-2}\|_{Z^k}) + H_k \right] + H_k \\ &\leq \dots \leq \frac{1}{2^j} (\|v^0\|_{Z^k} + \|d^0\|_{X^k}) + H_k \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^l \\ &\leq (\|v^0\|_{Z^k} + \|d^0\|_{X^k}) + H_k \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^l, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $(\|d^j\|_{X^k} + \|v^j\|_{Z^k})$ is uniform bounded in j . Then there exists $F_k > 0$ such that

$$\|d^j\|_{X^k} + \|v^j\|_{Z^k} \leq F_k,$$

which implies that (3.4) is right for k . Hence (3.4) is proved.

Now we will prove (3.5) for k if (3.5) is true for $k - 1$.

By Proposition 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v^{j+1} - v^j\|_{Z^k} \\ & \leq \|V[(v^j - v^{j-1}) \otimes v^j]\|_{Z^k} + \|V[v^j \otimes (v^j - v^{j-1})]\|_{Z^k} \\ & \quad + \|V[(\nabla d^j - \nabla v^{j-1}) \odot \nabla d^j]\|_{Z^k} + \|V[\nabla d^j \odot (\nabla d^j - \nabla d^{j-1})]\|_{Z^k} \\ & \leq D_1 \|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{Z^k} (\|v^j\|_{Z^0} + \|v^{j-1}\|_{Z^0}) + D_1 \|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{Z^0} (\|v^j\|_{Z^k} + \|v^{j-1}\|_{Z^k}) \\ & \quad + D_1 \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^k} (\|d^j\|_{X^0} + \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^0}) + D_1 \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^0} (\|d^j\|_{X^k} + \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^k}) \\ & \quad + J_k [\|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{Z}^{k-1}} (\|v^j\|_{\tilde{Z}^{k-1}} + \|v^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{Z}^{k-1}})] \\ & \quad + J_k \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}} (\|d^j\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}} + \|d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}) \\ & \leq D_1 (\|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{Z^k} + \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^k}) (\|v^j\|_{Z^0} + \|v^{j-1}\|_{Z^0} + \|d^j\|_{X^0} + \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^0}) \\ & \quad + D_1 (\|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{Z^0} + \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^0}) (\|v^j\|_{Z^k} + \|v^{j-1}\|_{Z^k} + \|d^j\|_{X^k} + \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^k}) \\ & \quad + J_k (\|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{Z}^{k-1}} + \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}) (\|v^j\|_{\tilde{Z}^{k-1}} + \|v^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{Z}^{k-1}} + \|d^j\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}} + \|d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $J_k = D_0(k) + D(k)$.

Then by (3.16), (3.4) and the induction hypothesis and choosing $\epsilon < \frac{1}{32DD_1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v^{j+1} - v^j\|_{Z^k} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} (\|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{Z^k} + \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^k}) + 2F_k D_1 \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{j-1} + 2F_{k-1} J_k G_{k-1} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{j-1} \\ & = \frac{1}{4} (\|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{Z^k} + \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^k}) + 3D_1 F_k \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^j + 3F_{k-1} J_k G_{k-1} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^j. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

By Propositions 2.3–2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|d^{j+1} - d^j\|_{Z^k} \leq \|S[|\nabla d^j| + |\nabla d^{j-1}|]|\nabla(d^j - d^{j-1})||d^j|\|_{X^k} + \|S[|\nabla d^{j-1}|^2 |d^j - d^{j-1}|]\|_{X^k} \\ & \quad + \|S[(v^j - v^{j-1}) \cdot \nabla d^j]\|_{X^k} + \|S[v^{j-1} \cdot (\nabla d^j - \nabla d^{j-1})]\|_{X^k} \\ & \leq D_1 \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^k} (\|d^j\|_{X^0} + \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^0}) \|d^j\|_{X^{-1}} \\ & \quad + D_1 \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^0} (\|d^j\|_{X^k} + \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^k}) \|d^j\|_{X^{-1}} \\ & \quad + D_1 \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^k} (\|d^j\|_{X^0} + \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^0}) + D_1 \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^0} (\|d^j\|_{X^k} + \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^k}) \\ & \quad + J_k [(\|d^j\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}} + \|d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}) \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}} \|d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}] \\ & \quad + D_1 \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^0}^2 \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^{-1}} + J_k [\|d^j\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}^2 \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}] \\ & \quad + D_1 \|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{Z^k} \|d^j\|_{X^0} + D_1 \|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{Z^0} \|d^j\|_{X^k} \\ & \quad + D_1 \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^k} \|v^j\|_{Z^0} + D_1 \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^0} \|v^j\|_{X^k} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + J_k [\|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}} \|v^j\|_{\tilde{Z}^{k-1}} + \|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{Z}^{k-1}} \|d^j\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}] \\
& \leq (ED_1 + D_1)(\|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{Z^k} + \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^k})(\|v^j\|_{Z^0} + \|v^{j-1}\|_{Z^0} + \|d^j\|_{X^0} + \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^0}) \\
& \quad + (ED_1 + D_1)(\|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{Z^0} + \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^0})(\|v^j\|_{Z^k} + \|v^{j-1}\|_{Z^k} + \|d^j\|_{X^k} + \|d^{j-1}\|_{X^k}) \\
& \quad + J_k (\|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{Z}^{k-1}} + \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}) [\|v^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{Z}^{k-1}} + \|d^j\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}} \\
& \quad + \|d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}^2 + (\|d^j\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}} + \|d^{j-1}\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}) \|d^j\|_{\tilde{X}^{k-1}}].
\end{aligned}$$

Then by (3.16), (3.4) and the induction hypothesis and choosing $\epsilon < \frac{1}{32D(ED_1 + D_1)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|d^{j+1} - d^j\|_{X^k} & \leq \frac{1}{4} (\|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{Z^k} + \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^k}) + 2F_k(ED_1 + D_1) \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{j-1} \\
& \quad + 2F_{k-1}J_kG_{k-1} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{j-1} + 2F_{k-1}^2J_kG_{k-1} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{j-1} \\
& = \frac{1}{4} (\|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{Z^k} + \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^k}) + 3(ED_1 + D_1)F_k \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^j \\
& \quad + 3F_{k-1}J_kG_{k-1} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^j + \frac{9}{2}F_{k-1}^2J_kG_{k-1} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^j. \tag{3.23}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.22) with (3.23), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|v^{j+1} - v^j\|_{X^k} + \|d^{j+1} - d^j\|_{X^k} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{Z^k} + \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^k}) + 3(2D_1 + ED_1)F_k \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^j \\
& \quad + 6F_{k-1}J_kG_{k-1} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^j + \frac{9}{2}F_{k-1}^2J_kG_{k-1} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^j. \tag{3.24}
\end{aligned}$$

We can choose a constant $L_k > 0$ such that

$$3(ED_1 + 2D_1)F_k + 6F_{k-1}J_kG_{k-1} + \frac{9}{2}F_{k-1}^2J_kG_{k-1} \leq L_k.$$

Then (3.24) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|v^{j+1} - v^j\|_{X^k} + \|d^{j+1} - d^j\|_{X^k} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|v^j - v^{j-1}\|_{Z^k} + \|d^j - d^{j-1}\|_{X^k}) + L_k \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^j \\
& \leq \dots \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2^j} (\|v^1 - v^0\|_{Z^k} + \|d^1 - d^0\|_{X^k}) + L_k \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{j-l} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^l
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^j (\|v^1 - v^0\|_{Z^k} + \|d^1 - d^0\|_{X^k}) + L_k \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^j \sum_{l=0}^j \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^l \\ &\leq G_k \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^j, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that (3.5) is true for k . Hence (3.5) is proved. Therefore the claim is proved. \square

Now we are in the position to prove (1.4) of **Theorem 1.1**.

When $k = 0$, from the definitions of BMO and BMO^{-1} in **Definition 1.1**, we obtain (1.4) of **Theorem 1.1** by using Lemma 3.1 in [23], Corollary 2.3 in [6]. We omit the details.

When $k \geq 1$, we have for any integer $i \geq 0$,

$$\|\nabla^i v\|_{L^\infty} \leq Ct^{-\frac{i+1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla^{i+1} d\|_{L^\infty} \leq Ct^{-\frac{i+1}{2}}.$$

Then from the fact that $L^\infty \hookrightarrow BMO$, we have $i \geq 0$,

$$[\nabla^i v]_{BMO} \leq Ct^{-\frac{i+1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad [\nabla^{i+1} d]_{BMO} \leq Ct^{-\frac{i+1}{2}}. \quad (3.25)$$

Let $i = k - 1$. From (3.25), we have $k \geq 1$,

$$\|\nabla^k v\|_{BMO^{-1}} \leq Ct^{-\frac{k}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad [\nabla^k d]_{BMO} \leq Ct^{-\frac{k}{2}}. \quad (3.26)$$

Hence (1.4) of **Theorem 1.1** is right for $k \geq 1$.

Therefore **Theorem 1.1** is proved. \square

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the referees very much for their valuable comments and suggestions. The author is supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, SCUT (Grant No. 2012ZZ0075), by NNSF of China (Grant Nos. 11001085, 11071086 and 11128102), by the Ph.D. Programs Foundation of Ministry of Education of China (Grant No. 20100172120026).

References

- [1] L. Caffarelli, R.V. Kohn, L. Nirenberg, Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier Stokes equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 35 (1982) 771–831.
- [2] Y.M. Chen, F.H. Lin, Evolution of harmonic maps with Dirichlet boundary conditions, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* 1 (3–4) (1993) 327–346.
- [3] Y. Chen, M. Struwe, Existence and partial regularity results for the heat flow of harmonic maps, *Math. Z.* 201 (1989) 83–103.
- [4] J.L. Ericksen, Hydrostatic theory of liquid crystal, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 9 (1962) 371–378.
- [5] P.G. de Gennes, J. Prost, *The Physics of Liquid Crystals*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.
- [6] P. Germain, N. Pavlovic, G. Staffilani, Regularity of solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations evolving from small data in BMO^{-1} , *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2007 (2007), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnm087>.
- [7] E. Hopf, Über die Anfangswertaufgabe für die hydrodynamischen Grundgleichungen, *Math. Nachr.* 4 (1951) 213–231.
- [8] M.C. Hong, Global existence of solutions of the simplified Ericksen–Leslie system in dimension two, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 40 (2011) 15–36.
- [9] H. Koch, D. Tataru, Well-posedness for the Navier–Stokes equations, *Adv. Math.* 157 (1) (2001) 22–35.
- [10] F. Lin, Some analytical issues for elastic complex fluids, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 65 (7) (2012) 893–919.
- [11] J. Leray, Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace, *Acta Math.* 63 (1934) 193–248.
- [12] J.Y. Lin, Uniqueness of harmonic heat flows and liquid crystal flows, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. A* 33 (2) (2013) 739–755.
- [13] F.M. Leslie, Some constitutive equations for liquid crystals, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 28 (1968) 265–283.

- [14] J.Y. Lin, S.J. Ding, On the well-posedness for the heat flow of harmonic maps and hydrodynamic flow of nematic liquid crystals in critical spaces, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 35 (2) (2012) 158–173.
- [15] F. Lin, C. Liu, Nonparabolic dissipative systems modeling the flow of liquid crystals, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 48 (5) (1995) 501–537.
- [16] F. Lin, C. Liu, Partial regularities of nonlinear dissipative systems modeling the flow of liquid crystals, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 2 (1996) 1–23.
- [17] F. Lin, C. Liu, Existence of solutions for the Ericksen–Leslie system, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 154 (2000) 135–156.
- [18] P.G. Lemarik-Rieusset, *Recent Developments in the Navier–Stokes Problem*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2002.
- [19] F. Lin, J. Lin, C. Wang, Liquid crystal flow in two dimensions, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 197 (1) (2010) 297–336.
- [20] F. Lin, C. Wang, On the uniqueness of heat flow of harmonic maps and hydrodynamic flow of nematic liquid crystals, *Chin. Ann. Math.* 31 (6) (2010) 921–938.
- [21] H. Miura, O. Sawada, On the regularizing rate estimates of Koch–Tataru’s solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations, *Asymptot. Anal.* 49 (1–2) (2006) 1–15.
- [22] M. Struwe, On the evolution of harmonic maps in higher dimensions, *J. Differential Geom.* 28 (3) (1988) 485–502.
- [23] C. Wang, Well-posedness for the heat flow of harmonic maps and the liquid crystal flow with rough initial data, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 200 (1) (2011) 1–19.
- [24] C. Wang, Heat flow of harmonic maps whose gradients belong to $L_t^\infty L_x^n$, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 188 (2008) 351–369.
- [25] D. Wang, X. Li, Global solution to the incompressible flow of liquid crystals, *J. Differential Equations* 252 (2012) 745–767.
- [26] D. Wang, X. Li, Global strong solution to the density-dependent incompressible flow of liquid crystals, arXiv:1202.1011v1 [math.AP], 5 February 2012.
- [27] X. Xu, Z. Zhang, Global regularity and uniqueness of weak solution for the 2-D liquid crystal flows, *J. Differential Equations* 252 (2) (2012) 1161–1181.