



Topological entropy of nonautonomous dynamical systems

Kairan Liu^a, Yixiao Qiao^{b,*}, Leiye Xu^a

^a Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

^b School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510631, China

Received 25 February 2019; revised 25 May 2019; accepted 11 November 2019

Abstract

Let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ be the space of all Borel probability measures on a compact metric space X endowed with the weak*-topology. In this paper, we prove that if the topological entropy of a nonautonomous dynamical system $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ vanishes, then so does that of its induced system $(\mathcal{M}(X), \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$; moreover, once the topological entropy of $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ is positive, that of its induced system $(\mathcal{M}(X), \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ jumps to infinity. In contrast to Bowen's inequality, we construct a nonautonomous dynamical system whose topological entropy is not preserved under a finite-to-one extension.

© 2019 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

MSC: 37B05; 54H20

Keywords: Entropy; Nonautonomous dynamical system; Induced system; Finite-to-one extension

1. Introduction

As an important invariant of topological conjugacy, the notion of topological entropy was introduced by Adler, Konheim and McAndrew [1] in 1965. Topological entropy is a key tool to measure the complexity of a classical dynamical system, i.e. the exponential growth rate of the number of distinguishable orbits of the iterates of an endomorphism of a compact metric space.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: lkr111@mail.ustc.edu.cn (K. Liu), yxqiao@impan.pl (Y. Qiao), leoasa@mail.ustc.edu.cn (L. Xu).

In order to have a good understanding of the topological entropy of a skew product of dynamical systems (as we know that the calculation of its topological entropy can be transformed into that of its fibers), Kolyada and Snoha [11] proposed the concept of topological entropy in 1966 for a nonautonomous dynamical system determined by a sequence of maps.

By a **nonautonomous dynamical system** (NADS for short) we understand a pair $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$, where X is a compact metric space endowed with a metric ρ and $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ is a sequence of continuous maps from X to X . In 2013, Kawan [8] generalized the classical notion of measure-theoretical entropy established by Kolmogorov and Sinai to NADSs, and proved that the measure-theoretical entropy can be estimated from above by its topological entropy. Following the idea of Brin and Katok [3], Xu and Zhou [14] introduced the measure-theoretical entropy in nonautonomous case and established a variational principle for the first time. More results related to entropy for NADSs were developed in [2,5,7,8,10,15,16].

In contrast to the classical dynamical systems whose dynamics have been fully studied, properties of entropy for NADSs are still fairly poor-developed. One of such respects that we considered naturally is the relation between a NADS and its induced system (whose phase space consists of all Borel probability measures on the original space, for details see Section 2). A well-known result due to Glasner and Weiss [6] in 1995 reveals that if a system has zero topological entropy, then so does its induced system. This theorem is amazing. Generally speaking, a system is rather “tiny” (in the sense of a subsystem) compared with its induced system. However, the vanishment of its entropy surprisingly results in the same phenomenon for its induced system. Later, this connection was further developed by Kerr and Li in [9]. They obtained that a system is null if and only if its induced system is null (recall that a classical dynamical system is null if its topological sequence entropy along any increasing positive sequence is zero). In [12], the second named author and Zhou generalized the result of Glasner and Weiss to any increasing positive sequence for classical dynamical systems. This generalization strengthens Kerr and Li’s result as well.

The present paper aims to investigate the entropy relation between a system and its induced system in the context of NADSs. We denote by $\mathcal{M}(X)$ the space of all Borel probability measures on a compact metric space X equipped with the weak*-topology. Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ be a NADS. Then the following statements hold:*

1. $h_{top}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) = 0$ if and only if $h_{top}(\mathcal{M}(X), \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) = 0$.
2. $h_{top}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) > 0$ if and only if $h_{top}(\mathcal{M}(X), \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) = +\infty$.

Note that Theorem 1.1 includes the results mentioned previously in [6,12].

Now let us turn to considering the entropy relation between a system and its extensions. In classical dynamical systems, topology entropy, as we know, is preserved under finite-to-one extensions [4]. A natural question is if we may further expect such an assertion to be true for NADSs. Unfortunately, this property fails in nonautonomous case.

Theorem 1.2. *There exist two NADSs $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ and $(Y, \{g_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ such that $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ is a finite-to-one extension of $(Y, \{g_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ and*

$$h_{top}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) > h_{top}(Y, \{g_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}).$$

Theorem 1.2 reflects that entropy properties of NADSs may differ from that of classical dynamical systems. In particular, it indicates that the Bowen-type entropy inequality (stated in Theorem 2.1) does not hold for NADSs in general.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we list basic notions and results needed in our argument. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1(2). In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1(1). In Section 5, we provide a constructive proof of Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Prof. Wen Huang and Dr. Lei Jin for their useful comments and suggestions. Y. Qiao was partially supported by NNSF of China (11901206). L. Xu was partially supported by NNSF of China (11801538, 11871188) and the Fundamental Funds for the Central Research Universities.

2. Preliminaries

For clarification, throughout this paper by a **topological dynamical system** (TDS for short) we mean a pair (X, T) , where X is a compact metric space endowed with a metric ρ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a homeomorphism. A **nonautonomous dynamical system** (NADS for short) is a pair $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$, where X is a compact metric space endowed with a metric ρ and $\{f_n : X \rightarrow X\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ is a sequence of continuous maps. We denote by \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{N}_+ the sets of nonnegative integers and positive integers, respectively.

2.1. Topological entropy

Let $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ be a NADS and ρ a metric on X . An **open cover** of X is a family of open subsets of X , whose union is X . For two covers \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} we say that \mathcal{U} is a **refinement** of \mathcal{V} if for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ there is $V \in \mathcal{V}$ with $U \subset V$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and open covers $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots, \mathcal{U}_n$ of X we denote

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^n \mathcal{U}_i = \{A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n : A_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{U}_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{U}_n\}.$$

Note that $\bigvee_{i=1}^n \mathcal{U}_i$ is also an open cover of X . We denote by $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$ the minimal cardinality of all subcovers chosen from \mathcal{U} . Set

$$f_i^0 = \text{id}_X, \quad f_i^n = f_{i+(n-1)} \circ f_{i+(n-2)} \circ \dots \circ f_{i+1} \circ f_i, \quad f_i^{-n} = (f_i^n)^{-1}$$

for all $i, n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, where id_X is the identity map on X . Let

$$h_{\text{top}}(\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}, \mathcal{U}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \mathcal{N}(\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} f_1^{-j}(\mathcal{U}))}{n}.$$

The **topological entropy** of $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ is defined by

$$h_{\text{top}}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) = \sup \{h_{\text{top}}(\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}, \mathcal{U}) : \mathcal{U} \text{ is an open cover of } X\}.$$

As we expected, there is a Bowen-like equivalent definition of topological entropy for NADSs. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, a compatible metric ρ_n on X is defined by the formula

$$\rho_n(x, y) = \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} \rho(f_1^j x, f_1^j y).$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, a subset F of X is called an (n, ε) -**spanning** subset of $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ if for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in F$ with $\rho_n(x, y) < \varepsilon$. A subset E of X is called an (n, ε) -**separated** subset of $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ if for any distinct $x, y \in E$, $\rho_n(x, y) > \varepsilon$. We denote by $r_n(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}, \varepsilon)$ the smallest cardinality of all (n, ε) -spanning subsets of $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$, and $s_n(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}, \varepsilon)$ the largest cardinality of all (n, ε) -separated subsets of $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$. It was proved in [11, Lemma 3.1] that for every NADS $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{top}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log s_n(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}, \varepsilon)}{n} \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log r_n(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}, \varepsilon)}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Extensions

Let (X, T) and (Y, S) be two TDSs. We say that (X, T) is an **extension** of (Y, S) if there is a continuous surjective map $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\pi \circ T = S \circ \pi$. For two NADSs $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ and $(Y, \{g_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$, $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ is said to be an **extension** of $(Y, \{g_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ if there is a continuous surjective map $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\pi \circ f_n = g_n \circ \pi$ for every $n \geq 1$. In both of the above definitions, π is called an **extension** (or a **factor map**), and if in addition, there exists $c > 0$ such that $\sup_{y \in Y} \#\pi^{-1}(y) \leq c$, then π is called **finite-to-one**.

It is easy to see that if (X, T) is an extension of (Y, S) then $h_{top}(X, T) \geq h_{top}(Y, S)$. Bowen [4] gave an upper bound of extensions in his renowned work as follows.

Theorem 2.1 ([4, Theorem 17]). *Let (X, T) and (Y, S) be two TDSs, and $\pi : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ an extension. Then*

$$h_{top}(X, T) \leq h_{top}(Y, S) + \sup_{y \in Y} h_{top}(T, \pi^{-1}(y)).$$

In particular, if π is finite-to-one, then $h_{top}(X, T) = h_{top}(Y, S)$.

Remark 2.2. In the case of NADSs, the assumption that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, f_n is topologically conjugate to g_n (via a homeomorphism $\pi_n : X \rightarrow Y$) is not sufficient to guarantee the equality $h_{top}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) = h_{top}(Y, \{g_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$. However, if all π_n 's are the same, then $h_{top}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) = h_{top}(Y, \{g_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ holds (see [11, Section 5.b]).

2.3. Induced systems

Let X be a compact metric space, $\mathcal{B}(X)$ the set of Borel subsets of X , $C(X)$ the space of continuous maps from X to \mathbb{R} endowed with the supremum norm $(\|\cdot\|_\infty)$, and $\mathcal{M}(X)$ the set of Borel probability measures on X . The **weak*-topology** is the smallest topology making the map

$$D_g : \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu \mapsto \int_X g d\mu$$

continuous for every $g \in C(X)$. A basis is given by the collection of all sets of the form

$$V_\mu(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k; \varepsilon) = \left\{ v \in \mathcal{M}(X) : \left| \int g_i d\mu - \int g_i dv \right| < \varepsilon, 1 \leq i \leq k \right\},$$

where $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k \in C(X)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. It is well known that $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is compact in the weak*-topology [13, Theorem 6.5].

Suppose that $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ is a dense subset of $C(X)$. By [13, Theorem 6.4], the metric

$$D(\mu, \nu) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{|\int g_n d\mu - \int g_n d\nu|}{2^n(\|g_n\|_\infty + 1)}$$

on $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is compatible with the weak*-topology. So $\mathcal{M}(X)$ becomes a compact metric space as well.

A NADS $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ induces a new NADS $(\mathcal{M}(X), \{f_n^*\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$, where $f_n^* : \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X)$ is given by $f_n^*(\mu)(B) = \mu(f_n^{-1}B)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. We call $(\mathcal{M}(X), \{f_n^*\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ the **induced system** of $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ and write $(\mathcal{M}(X), \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ instead of $(\mathcal{M}(X), \{f_n^*\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ if there is no ambiguity.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1(2)

Let X be a compact metric space with the metric ρ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$. The metric

$$d((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \rho(x_i, y_i)$$

on X^n is compatible with the product topology. For a map $f : X \rightarrow X$, set

$$f^{(n)} = \underbrace{f \times f \times \dots \times f}_{n \text{ times}} : X^n \rightarrow X^n, \quad (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (fx_1, fx_2, \dots, fx_n).$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ be a NADS and $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Then*

$$h_{top}(X^k, \{f_n^{(k)}\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) = k \cdot h_{top}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}).$$

Proof. For fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we let E be an (m, ε) -spanning set of $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ with $\#E = r_m(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}, \varepsilon)$. Then for any $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in X^k$, there exists $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k) \in E^k$ such that $\rho_m(x_i, y_i) < \varepsilon$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_m(x, y) &= \max_{0 \leq j \leq m-1} d\left((f_1^j x_1, \dots, f_1^j x_k), (f_1^j y_1, \dots, f_1^j y_k)\right) \\ &= \max_{0 \leq j \leq m-1} \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \rho(f_1^j x_i, f_1^j y_i) \\ &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \rho_m(x_i, y_i) \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that E^k is an (m, ε) -spanning set of X^k , and hence

$$r_m(X^k, \{f_n^{(k)}\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}, \varepsilon) \leq \#(E^k) = (r_m(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}, \varepsilon))^k$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{top}(X^k, \{f_n^{(k)}\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{m} \log r_m(X^k, \{f_n^{(k)}\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}, \varepsilon) \\ &\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{k}{m} \log r_m(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}, \varepsilon) \\ &= k \cdot h_{top}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

For fixed $n' \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon' > 0$, we assume that F is an (n', ε') -separated set of $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ with $\#F = s_{n'}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}, \varepsilon')$. For any two distinct points $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k)$ in F^k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{n'}(x, y) &= \max_{0 \leq j \leq n'-1} d\left((f_1^j x_1, \dots, f_1^j x_k), (f_1^j y_1, \dots, f_1^j y_k)\right) \\ &= \max_{0 \leq j \leq n'-1} \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \rho(f_1^j x_i, f_1^j y_i) \\ &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \rho_{n'}(x_i, y_i) \\ &> \varepsilon'. \end{aligned}$$

So F^k is an (n', ε') -separated set of $(X^k, \{f_n^{(k)}\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$, which means that

$$s_{n'}(X^k, \{f_n^{(k)}\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}, \varepsilon') \geq \#(F^k) = (s_{n'}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}, \varepsilon'))^k$$

for any $n' \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon' > 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{top}(X^k, \{f_n^{(k)}\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) &= \lim_{\varepsilon' \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n' \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n'} \log s_{n'}(X^k, \{f_n^{(k)}\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}, \varepsilon') \\ &\geq \lim_{\varepsilon' \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n' \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{k}{n'} \log s_{n'}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}, \varepsilon') \\ &= k \cdot h_{top}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

By (3.1) and (3.2), we get $h_{top}(X^k, \{f_n^{(k)}\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) = k \cdot h_{top}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let X be a compact metric space and $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Then the map*

$$\pi_k : X^k \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X), \quad (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^k 2^i} \sum_{i=1}^k 2^i \delta_{x_i}$$

is injective.

Proof. Fix $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k)$ in X^k . Set

$$t = \min\{i = 1, 2, \dots, k : x_i \neq y_i\}.$$

There exists a continuous function $g \in C(X)$ satisfying that $g(x_t) = 1$ and that $g(z) = 0$ for all $z \in \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\} \setminus \{x_t\}$. Then we have

$$\int g d\left(\sum_{i=1}^k 2^i \delta_{x_i}\right) = \int g d\left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} 2^i \delta_{x_i}\right) + \int g d\left(\sum_{i=t}^k 2^i \delta_{x_i}\right)$$

and

$$\int g d\left(\sum_{i=1}^k 2^i \delta_{y_i}\right) = \int g d\left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} 2^i \delta_{y_i}\right) + \int g d\left(\sum_{i=t}^k 2^i \delta_{y_i}\right).$$

If $t = k$, then

$$\int g d\left(\sum_{i=t}^k 2^i \delta_{x_i}\right) = 2^k \neq 0 = \int g d\left(\sum_{i=t}^k 2^i \delta_{y_i}\right).$$

Otherwise, we have

$$2^{t+1} \nmid \int g d\left(\sum_{i=t}^k 2^i \delta_{x_i}\right), \quad 2^{t+1} \mid \int g d\left(\sum_{i=t}^k 2^i \delta_{y_i}\right).$$

Summing up,

$$\int g d\left(\sum_{i=1}^k 2^i \delta_{x_i}\right) \neq \int g d\left(\sum_{i=1}^k 2^i \delta_{y_i}\right).$$

This implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^k 2^i \delta_{x_i} \neq \sum_{i=1}^k 2^i \delta_{y_i}.$$

Thus, π_k is injective. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1(2).

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, let π_k be the map defined in Proposition (3.2). It is clear that π_k is continuous and equivariant, which, together with the injectivity of π_k that we just proved in Proposition (3.2), allows us to regard $(X^k, \{f_n^{(k)}\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ as a subsystem of $(\mathcal{M}(X), \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$. This implies that

$$h_{top}(\mathcal{M}(X), \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) \geq h_{top}(X^k, \{f_n^{(k)}\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) = k \cdot h_{top}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Since $h_{top}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) > 0$, we conclude

$$h_{top}(\mathcal{M}(X), \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) = +\infty.$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1(1)

To begin with, we borrow a key lemma which has some combinatorial flavor.

Lemma 4.1 ([6, Proposition 2.1]). *For given constants $\varepsilon > 0$ and $b > 0$, there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $n > n_0$, if ϕ is a linear mapping from l_1^m to l_∞^n of norm*

$$\|\phi\| = \sup \{ \|\phi(x)\|_\infty : x \in l_1^m, \|x\| \leq 1 \} \leq 1,$$

and if $\phi(B_1(l_1^m))$ contains more than 2^{bn} points that are ε -separated, then $m \geq 2^{cn}$, where $B_1(l_1^m) := \{y \in l_1^m : \|y\| \leq 1\}$.

Firstly, $(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ may be regarded as a subsystem of $(\mathcal{M}(X), \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty})$ by mapping $x \in X$ to $\delta_x \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, where

$$\delta_x(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}.$$

So $h_{top}(\mathcal{M}(X), \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) = 0$ implies $h_{top}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) = 0$.

Now we assume $h_{top}(\mathcal{M}(X), \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) > 0$. We shall show $h_{top}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) > 0$. Let $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ be a sequence in $C(X)$ satisfying that $\|g_n\| \leq 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, and that

$$D(\mu, \nu) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{|\int g_n d\mu - \int g_n d\nu|}{2^n}$$

is a metric on $\mathcal{M}(X)$ giving the weak*-topology.

Since $h_{top}(\mathcal{M}(X), \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) > 0$, there exist $a > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ we can find an increasing sequence $\{N_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty} \subset \mathbb{N}$ with

$$s_{N_i}(\mathcal{M}(X), \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}, \varepsilon) > e^{aN_i}.$$

For any fixed $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, there exists $K_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{n=K_0+1}^{+\infty} 1/2^n < \varepsilon/2$. Since g_n is continuous for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $d(x, y) < \delta$ implies $d(g_n(x), g_n(y)) < \varepsilon/9$, for all $x, y \in X$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots, K_0$.

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d\}$ be an open cover of X with $\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}) < \delta$ and set $L_{N_i} = \mathcal{N}\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{N_i-1} f_1^{-j}\mathcal{U}\right)$. By the definition, we can take a subcover $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{L_{N_i}}\}$ of $\bigvee_{j=0}^{N_i-1} f_1^{-j}\mathcal{U}$ of the minimal cardinality L_{N_i} . Set

$$A_1 = V_1, A_2 = V_2 \setminus V_1, \dots, A_{L_{N_i}} = V_{L_{N_i}} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{L_{N_i}-1} V_j.$$

Then $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{L_{N_i}}\}$ is a partition of X and $A_i \neq \emptyset$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, L_{N_i}$. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, L_{N_i}$ we take $z_i \in A_i$. Define $\phi : l_1^{L_{N_i}} \rightarrow l_\infty^{K_0 \cdot N_i}$ by

$$\phi(\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{L_{N_i}}) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^{L_{N_i}} x_k g_n(f_1^j z_k) \right\}_{1 \leq n \leq K_0, 0 \leq j \leq N_i-1}.$$

It is clear that ϕ is a linear mapping from $l_1^{L_{N_i}}$ to $l_\infty^{K_0 \cdot N_i}$ with $\|\phi\| \leq 1$.

Next we will show that $\phi(B_1(l_1^{L_{N_i}}))$ contains more than $e^{a N_i}$ points that are $\varepsilon/(9 \cdot 2^{K_0})$ -separated. Let E_i be an (N_i, ε) -separated subset of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ with

$$\#E_i = s_{N_i}(\mathcal{M}(X), \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}, \varepsilon) > e^{a N_i}.$$

For any distinct $\mu, \nu \in E_i$, we have $D_{N_i}(\mu, \nu) > \varepsilon$. Thus, there exists $0 \leq j_0 \leq N_i - 1$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{K_0} \left| \frac{\int g_n(f_1^{j_0} x) d\mu(x) - \int g_n(f_1^{j_0} x) d\nu(x)}{2^n} \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (4.1)$$

We claim that for any distinct $\mu, \nu \in E_i$, the following vectors in $\phi(B_1(l_1^{L_{N_i}}))$ are $\varepsilon/(9 \cdot 2^{K_0})$ -separated:

$$\phi\left(\mu(A_1), \mu(A_2), \dots, \mu(A_{L_{N_i}})\right) \text{ and } \phi\left(\nu(A_1), \nu(A_2), \dots, \nu(A_{L_{N_i}})\right).$$

If the claim is not true, then for any $1 \leq n \leq K_0$ and $0 \leq j \leq N_i - 1$ we have

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{L_{N_i}} \mu(A_k) g_n(f_1^j z_k) - \sum_{k=1}^{L_{N_i}} \nu(A_k) g_n(f_1^j z_k)}{2^n} \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{9 \cdot 2^{K_0}}. \quad (4.2)$$

On the other hand,

$$\left| \int g_n(f_1^j x) d\mu(x) - \int g_n(f_1^j x) d\nu(x) \right| \leq I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$I_1 = \left| \int g_n(f_1^j x) d\mu(x) - \sum_{k=1}^{L_{N_i}} \mu(A_k) g_n(f_1^j z_k) \right|,$$

$$I_2 = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{L_{N_i}} \mu(A_k) g_n(f_1^j z_k) - \sum_{k=1}^{L_{N_i}} \nu(A_k) g_n(f_1^j z_k) \right|$$

and

$$I_3 = \left| \int g_n(f_1^j x) d\nu(x) - \sum_{k=1}^{L_{N_i}} \nu(A_k) g_n(f_1^j z_k) \right|.$$

For $k = 1, 2, \dots, L_{N_i}$, if $x \in A_k$ then $\rho(f_1^j(x), f_1^j(z_k)) < \delta$ for all $j = 0, 1, \dots, N_i - 1$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^{L_{N_i}} \int_{A_k} g_n(f_1^j x) - g_n(f_1^j z_k) d\mu(x) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{L_{N_i}} \int_{A_k} |g_n(f_1^j x) - g_n(f_1^j z_k)| d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{L_{N_i}} \mu(A_k) \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{9} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{9}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $I_3 \leq \varepsilon/9$. By (4.2), we know $I_2 \leq \varepsilon/9$. So it follows from (4.3) that

$$\left| \int g_n(f_1^j x) d\mu(x) - \int g_n(f_i^j x) d\nu(x) \right| \leq \varepsilon/3$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots, K_0$ and $j = 0, 1, \dots, N_i - 1$. This contradicts (4.1). Therefore $\phi(\psi(E)) \subset \phi(B_1(l_1^{L_{N_i}}))$ are $\varepsilon/(9 \cdot 2^{K_0})$ -separated, where

$$\psi : E \rightarrow l_1^{L_{N_i}}, \quad \mu \mapsto (\mu(A_1), \mu(A_2), \dots, \mu(A_{L_{N_i}})).$$

To end the proof, we employ Lemma 4.1. In the above discussion, we have shown that ϕ is a linear mapping from $l_1^{L_{N_i}}$ to $l_\infty^{K_0 N_i}$ with $\|\phi\| \leq 1$ and that $\phi(B_1(l_1^{L_{N_i}}))$ contains more than $e^{a N_i}$ points which are $\varepsilon/(9 \cdot 2^{K_0})$ -separated. By Lemma 4.1, there exist n_0 and a constant $c > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $L_{N_i} \geq 2^{c N_i}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\text{top}}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) &\geq \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N_i} \log \mathcal{N} \left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{N_i-1} f_1^j \mathcal{U} \right) \\ &\geq \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N_i} \log 2^{c N_i} \end{aligned}$$

$$= c \cdot \log 2 \\ > 0.$$

5. A constructive proof of Theorem 1.2

Let $\Sigma_2 = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\sigma : \Sigma_2 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$, $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (a_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. For $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $i_1, \dots, i_q \in \{0, 1\}$ we set

$$[i_1, i_2, \dots, i_q]_p^q = \{(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Sigma_2 : a_{p+j} = i_{j+1}, \forall j = 0, 1, \dots, q-1\}.$$

We define

$$X = \{0\} \cup \{1\} \cup \left\{ a \times \frac{1}{n} : a \in \Sigma_2, n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \right\},$$

where $a \times (1/n)$ converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $a \in [0]_0^1$, and $a \times (1/n)$ converges to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $a \in [1]_0^1$. We define

$$Y = \{0\} \cup \left\{ a \times \frac{1}{n} : a \in \Sigma_2, n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \right\},$$

where $a \times (1/n)$ converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $a \in \Sigma_2$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ we take

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma(a) \times \frac{1}{i+1}, & \text{if } x = a \times \frac{1}{i} \text{ and } i < n, \\ x, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and let g_n be the restriction of f_n to Y . Clearly, $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ and $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ are sequences of continuous maps on X and Y , respectively.

We define a map $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$\pi(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = 1, \\ x, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We may directly check that $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a finite-to-one extension. Now Theorem 1.2 follows from Proposition 5.1.

Proposition 5.1. *Under the above settings,*

$$h_{top}(Y, \{g_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) + \sup_{y \in Y} h_{top}(\pi^{-1}(y), \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) < h_{top}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}).$$

Proof. We first notice that for every $y \in Y$, $h_{top}(\pi^{-1}(y), \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) = 0$, which means that the second term in the above inequality vanishes. So it remains to deal with the first and third terms. We will show $h_{top}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) > 0$ and $h_{top}(Y, \{g_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) = 0$.

To show $h_{top}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) > 0$, we take a finite open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, U_2\}$ of X , where

$$U_1 = \{0\} \cup \left\{ a \times \frac{1}{n} : a \in [0]_0^1, n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \right\}$$

and

$$U_2 = \{1\} \cup \left\{ a \times \frac{1}{n} : a \in [1]_0^1, n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \right\}.$$

By the construction of $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$, it is not hard to check that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$ we have

$$f_1^{-m}(\mathcal{U}) = \{U_1^m, U_2^m\},$$

where

$$U_1^m = \{0\} \cup \left\{ b \times \frac{1}{i} : b_{m-i} = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\} \cup \left\{ b \times \frac{1}{i} : b_1 = 0, i \geq m+1 \right\}$$

and

$$U_2^m = \{1\} \cup \left\{ b \times \frac{1}{i} : b_{m-i} = 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\} \cup \left\{ b \times \frac{1}{i} : b_1 = 1, i \geq m+1 \right\}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{N} \left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{m-1} f_1^{-j}(\mathcal{U}) \right) = 2^m,$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} h_{top}(X, \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) &\geq h_{top}(\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}, \mathcal{U}) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \left(\mathcal{N} \left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{m-1} f_1^{-j}(\mathcal{U}) \right) \right)}{m} \\ &\geq \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log 2^m}{m} \\ &= \log 2. \end{aligned}$$

Next we show $h_{top}(Y, \{g_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) = 0$. Let \mathcal{V} be a finite open cover of Y . We choose sufficiently large $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that

$$\mathcal{V}^* = \left\{ V_1, V_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{N_2}}^n : i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{N_2} \in \{0, 1\}, 1 \leq n \leq N_1 \right\}$$

is a refinement of \mathcal{V} , where

$$V_1 = \{0\} \cup \left\{ a \times \frac{1}{n} : a \in \Sigma_2, n > N_1 \right\}$$

and

$$V_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{N_2}}^n = \left\{ a \times \frac{1}{n} : a \in [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{N_2}]_1^{N_2} \right\}$$

for all $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{N_2} \in \{0, 1\}$ and $1 \leq n \leq N_1$. By the definition of g_n , for every $x \in Y$ and every integer $n > N_1$ we have $g_1^n x \in V_1$, that is, $g_1^{-n}(\mathcal{V}^*) = \{Y, \emptyset\}$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{N}\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} g_1^{-i}(\mathcal{V}^*)\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{N_1} g_1^{-i}(\mathcal{V}^*)\right)$$

for all $n > N_1$. Therefore,

$$h_{top}(\mathcal{V}, \{g_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) \leq h_{top}(\mathcal{V}^*, \{g_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \mathcal{N}\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{N_1} g_1^{-i}(\mathcal{V}^*)\right)}{n} = 0.$$

Since \mathcal{V} is arbitrary, we see that $h_{top}(Y, \{g_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) = 0$. \square

References

- [1] R. Adler, A. Konheim, J. McAndrew, Topological entropy, *Transl. Am. Math. Soc.* 114 (1965) 309–319.
- [2] A. Biś, Topological and measure-theoretical entropies of nonautonomous dynamical systems, *J. Dyn. Differ. Equ.* 30 (2018) 273–285.
- [3] M. Brin, A. Katok, On local entropy, in: *Geome. Dynam.*, Rio de Janeiro, 1981, in: *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1007, Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 30–38.
- [4] R. Bowen, Erratum to “Entropy for group endomorphisms and homogeneous spaces”, *Transl. Am. Math. Soc.* 181 (1973) 509–510.
- [5] J.S. Canovas, Some results on (X, f, A) nonautonomous systems, *Grazer Math. Ber.* 346 (2004) 53–60.
- [6] E. Glasner, B. Weiss, Quasi-factors of zero entropy systems, *J. Am. Math. Soc.* 8 (1995) 665–686.
- [7] X. Huang, X. Wen, F. Zeng, Topological pressure of nonautonomous dynamical systems, *Nonlinear Dyn. Syst. Theory* 8 (2008) 43–48.
- [8] K. Kawan, Metric entropy of nonautonomous dynamical systems, *Nonauton. Dyn. Syst.* 1 (2013) 26–52.
- [9] D. Kerr, H. Li, Dynamical entropy in Banach spaces, *Invent. Math.* 162 (2005) 649–686.
- [10] S. Kolyada, M. Misiurewicz, L. Snoha, Topological entropy of nonautonomous piecewise monotone dynamical systems on the interval, *Fundam. Math.* 160 (1990) 161–181.
- [11] S. Kolyada, L. Snoha, Topological entropy of nonautonomous dynamical systems, *Random Comput. Dyn.* 4 (1996) 205–233.
- [12] Y. Qiao, X. Zhou, Zero sequence entropy and entropy dimension, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 37 (2017) 435–448.
- [13] P. Walters, *An Introduction to Ergodic Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 79, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [14] L. Xu, X. Zhou, Variational principles for entropies of nonautonomous dynamical systems, *J. Dyn. Differ. Equ.* 30 (2018) 1053–1062.
- [15] J. Zhang, L. Chen, Lower bounds of the topological entropy for nonautonomous dynamical systems, *Appl. Math. J. Chin. Univ. Ser. B* 24 (2009) 76–82.
- [16] Y. Zhu, Z. Liu, W. Zhang, Entropy of nonautonomous dynamical systems, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* 49 (2012) 165–185.