

Necessary and sufficient conditions of existence for a system involving the p -Laplacian ($1 < p < N$)

K. Chaïb

Mathématiques pour l'Industrie et la Physique, UMR 5640 Université P. Sabatier UFR MIG 118, route de Narbonne, Toulouse Cédex 4 31062, France

Received October 4, 2001

Abstract

In this work, we study the existence of positive solutions for the system

$$(\mathcal{P}) \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \mu f(x, u, v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta_p v = \mu g(x, u, v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0 = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} v(x), \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ is the p -Laplacian ($1 < p < N$). We prove that for $0 < \mu \leq \mu_*$ (\mathcal{P}) admits a positive solution and that (\mathcal{P}) has no positive solution for $\mu > \mu^*$. In some case we can have $\mu_* = \mu^*$ because these parameters depend on p, N and the growth of f and g . The existence result is related to a sub,super-solution methods and the nonexistence result is a consequence of Picone's identity.

© 2002 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.

Keywords: p -Laplacian; Existence; System; Unbounded domain; Sub, super-solution; Picone's identity

In this paper, we assume that $1 < p < N$ and we study the following nonlinear and nonvariational elliptic system:

$$(\mathcal{P}) \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \mu f(x, u, v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta_p v = \mu g(x, u, v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0 = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} v(x), \end{cases}$$

E-mail address: chaib@mip.ups-tlse.fr.

where $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ is the p -Laplacian. We make some suitable hypotheses on the regularity and the growth of the functions f and g which will be detailed later.

Here, we present necessary and sufficient conditions of existence for positive solutions of Problem (\mathcal{P}) . More precisely:

- There exists some $\mu^* \in \mathbb{R}_*^+$ such that for $\mu \in (0, \mu^*]$ (\mathcal{P}) admits a positive solution.
- Conversely, there exists some $\mu_* \in \mathbb{R}_*^+$ such that (\mathcal{P}) has no positive solution for $\mu > \mu_*$.

In fact, these real parameters μ^* and μ_* depend on some weight functions appearing in the problem. So if we choose correctly these weight functions, we obtain a more precise result.

There exists $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}_*^+$ such that (\mathcal{P}) admits a positive solution if and only if $0 < \mu \leq \mu_0$. To treat this subject we employ two methods very different from each other.

In the proof of existence for a positive solution, we use the sub,super-solution method (see for example [4,8]). By the Mountain Pass Lemma, we prove the existence of a nonnegative super-solution. Thanks to Serrin's estimates [13], we can apply the Vázquez's strong maximum principle [14] to establish the positivity of this solution. Moreover, we take $(0, 0)$ as a sub-solution.

Concerning the necessary condition, our work follows Maya and Shivaji's results [11] for the semi-linear case $p = 2$. In their proof, the self-adjointness of the usual Laplacian is essential. Since the p -Laplacian does not have this property, we apply here the Díaz–Saa's inequality. We can find the proof of this inequality in Díaz and Saa's article [5] for bounded domains and in Chaïb's paper [3] for unbounded domains; in fact it appears as a consequence of Picone's identity.

In this problem, the functions f and g satisfy the following hypotheses:

($\mathcal{H}1$) f and g are Carathéodory functions, i.e.:

- $(r, s) \mapsto f(x, r, s)$ and $(r, s) \mapsto g(x, r, s)$ are continuous on $[0, +\infty)^2$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$,
- $x \mapsto f(x, r, s)$ and $x \mapsto g(x, r, s)$ are measurable.

($\mathcal{H}2$) For all $u, v \geq 0$ there exist two smooth positive functions $A, B \in L^{\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$f(x, u, v) \geq A(x)v^{p-1} \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

$$g(x, u, v) \geq B(x)u^{p-1} \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

($\mathcal{H}3$) For all $u, v \geq 0$ there exist four smooth functions $a, c \in L^\delta(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $b, d \in L^{\delta'}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$0 \leq f(x, u, v) \leq \frac{1}{2} a(x)(u^{q-1} + v^{q-1}) + b(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

$$0 \leq g(x, u, v) \leq \frac{1}{2} c(x)(u^{q-1} + v^{q-1}) + d(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where

$$\delta = Np(Np - q(N - p))^{-1}, \quad \delta' = Np(Np - N + p)^{-1},$$

$$p < q < p^* \quad \text{and} \quad 1 < p < N,$$

a, b, c and d are nonnegative functions non identically zero,

$$|\mathcal{A}^+| := |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ such that } a > 0\}| > 0,$$

$$|\mathcal{C}^+| := |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ such that } c > 0\}| > 0.$$

($\mathcal{H}4$) The function $x \mapsto f(x, 0, 0) + g(x, 0, 0)$ is not identically zero.

1. Sufficient condition of existence

In the following, we can assume without loss of generality that $a \equiv c$ and $b \equiv d$; we also define the space $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as the closure of the set $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for the norm

$$\|u\|_{D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We introduce the nonhomogeneous problem

$$(\mathcal{V}_{p,q}) - \Delta_p \phi = \mu_q a(x) |\phi|^{q-2} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Resolving this problem, we obtain

$$\mu_q := \inf_{\phi \in \Gamma_q} \left\{ \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi|^p dx \right\},$$

where $\Gamma_q := \{\phi \in D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ such that } \phi \not\equiv 0 \text{ and } \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\phi|^q dx = 1\}$.

We can see more details on the properties of μ_q in [9]; in particular, there exists a unique minimizer of $I : u \mapsto \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p dx$ on the set Γ_q .

Theorem 1. Under Hypotheses $(\mathcal{H}1)$, $(\mathcal{H}3)$ and $(\mathcal{H}4)$, if μ satisfies

$$0 < \mu \leq \min \left(\frac{p}{q} \mu_q, \left(2 \frac{p}{q} C(N, p)^q \|a\|_{L^\delta(\mathbb{R}^N)} + 2p C(N, p) \|b\|_{L^{\delta'}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \right)^{-1} \right),$$

where $C(N, p)$ is the Sobolev's constant, then Problem (\mathcal{P}) admits a nontrivial nonnegative solution.

Moreover, by Hypothesis $(\mathcal{H}3)$, if (u, v) is a nonnegative solution of (\mathcal{P}) , then for all $r > 0$, there exist $\alpha, \gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that $(u, v) \in C^{1, \alpha}(B_r) \times C^{1, \gamma}(B_r)$ and $u > 0, v > 0$ on \mathbb{R}^N .

To prove the existence of a solution for Problem (\mathcal{P}) , we have to prove the existence of sub, super-solution pair.

Definition 1 (Díaz and Hernández [4]). $(u_0, v_0) - (u^0, v^0)$ is a sub, super-solution of (\mathcal{P}) if these functions satisfy:

- (S1) $(u_0, v_0) \in (D^{1, p}(\mathbb{R}^N))^2$ and $(u^0, v^0) \in (D^{1, p}(\mathbb{R}^N))^2$;
- (S2) $u_0 \leq u^0$ and $v_0 \leq v^0$;
- (S3) $-\Delta_p u_0 - \mu f(x, u_0, v) \leq 0 \leq -\Delta_p u^0 - \mu f(x, u^0, v)$ for all v in $[v_0, v^0]$;
- (S4) $-\Delta_p v_0 - \mu g(x, u, v_0) \leq 0 \leq -\Delta_p v^0 - \mu g(x, u, v^0)$ for all u in $[u_0, u^0]$.

Proof. First, Hypothesis $(\mathcal{H}3)$ implies obviously that $(0, 0)$ is a sub-solution of (\mathcal{P}) . But $(0, 0)$ is not a solution of this problem by Hypothesis $(\mathcal{H}4)$. So, it only remains to prove the existence of a nonnegative super-solution of (\mathcal{P}) . By $(\mathcal{H}3)$, we have to look for a nonnegative solution of the system:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \mu(\frac{1}{2}a(x)(|u|^{q-2}u + |v|^{q-2}v) + b(x)) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta_p v = \mu(\frac{1}{2}a(x)(|u|^{q-2}u + |v|^{q-2}v) + b(x)) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$

The above system being symmetric, we can seek a solution of the form $u \equiv v \equiv w$ where w is a solution of

$$-\Delta_p w = \mu(a(x)|w|^{q-2}w + b(x)) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (\mathcal{P}^0)$$

To prove the existence of solution of (\mathcal{P}^0) , we will apply the Mountain Pass Lemma (see for example [12]) to the energy functional

$$J(w) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^p dx - \frac{\mu}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|w|^q dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x)w dx.$$

The facts that $D^{1, p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a reflexive Banach space and that J is a continuous function in $C^1(D^{1, p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$ satisfying the Palais–Smale condition are basic results

(see [10,12]). It remains to verify the two following claims to prove that the functional J has a mountain pass geometry:

(C1) There exist $R > 0$ and $a > 0$ such that $\|u\|_{D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = R$ implies $J(u) \geq a$;

(C2) There exists $u_0 \in D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|u_0\|_{D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} > R$ and $J(u_0) < a$.

(C1) Let $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^p = 1$

$$J(w) = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\mu}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |w|^q dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) w dx.$$

By Hölder inequality and Sobolev embeddings, we get

$$J(w) \geq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\mu}{q} C(N, p)^q \|a\|_{L^\delta(\mathbb{R}^N)} - \mu C(N, p) \|b\|_{L^{\delta'}(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

where $C(N, p)$ is the Sobolev constant.

So, when $\mu \leq (2 \frac{p}{q} C(N, p)^q \|a\|_{L^\delta(\mathbb{R}^N)} + 2p C(N, p) \|b\|_{L^{\delta'}(\mathbb{R}^N)})^{-1}$, if we choose the constants $R = 1$ and $a = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\mu}{q} C(N, p)^q \|a\|_{L^\delta(\mathbb{R}^N)} - \mu C(N, p) \|b\|_{L^{\delta'}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$, the functional J satisfies the first condition.

(C2) Let $w \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be fixed such that $w > 0$ on \mathcal{A}^+ and $w \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R}^N . For all $k \geq 0$ we have

$$J(kw) = \frac{k^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^p dx - \frac{\mu}{q} k^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |w|^q dx - \mu k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) w dx.$$

As $a > 0$ on \mathcal{A}^+ and $q > p > 1$, we obtain

$$J(kw) \text{ tends to } -\infty \text{ as } k \text{ tends to } +\infty.$$

So putting $w^0 = kw$, there exists some k great enough so that $\|w^0\|_{D^{1,p}} > R$ and $J(w^0) < a$ which is exactly condition (C2).

By Mountain Pass Lemma, we have obtained the existence of a solution for Problem (\mathcal{P}^0) . But before affirming that this solution is a super-solution of (\mathcal{P}) , it remains to prove that it is a nonnegative solution, i.e. greater than the sub-solution.

Let $w^- = \max(0, -w)$ where $w \in D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a solution of (\mathcal{P}^0)

$$-\Delta_p w = \mu(a(x) |w|^{q-2} w + b(x)) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Assuming that $w^- \neq 0$, we multiply Eq. (\mathcal{P}^0) by w^- and integrate on \mathbb{R}^N .

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w \nabla w^- dx = \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |w|^{q-2} w w^- dx + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) w^- dx$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w^-|^p dx = \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |w^-|^q dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) w^- dx. \quad (1)$$

Since $b \geq 0$ we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w^-|^p dx \leq \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |w^-|^q dx.$$

We can assume that $\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |w^-|^q dx = 1$ because $w^- \not\equiv 0$ and $a \not\equiv 0$. Now we choose μ small enough so that $\mu \leq \frac{p}{q} \mu_q$ and then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w^-|^p dx \leq p \mu_q. \quad (2)$$

But, by the definition of μ_q , for all ϕ in Γ_q we have $\mu_q \leq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi|^p dx$.

Since there exists a unique minimizer of I on Γ_q (see [9]), we have $w^- = \phi$ and by Eq. (1) it implies that $b \equiv 0$ which contradicts ($\mathcal{H}3$); so $w \geq 0$.

Finally, after this study, we can apply the results of the sub,super-solution method.

Indeed, $(0, 0) - (w, w)$ is a sub,super-solution pair for the problem (\mathcal{P}):

(S1) $w \in D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$;

(S2) $0 \leq w$;

(S3) By ($\mathcal{H}3$),

$$-\mu f(x, 0, v) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } v \text{ in } [0, w].$$

Moreover, for all v in $[0, w]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p w - \mu f(x, w, v) &= \mu(a(x)w^{q-1} + b(x) - f(x, w, v)) \\ &\geq \mu\left(\frac{1}{2}a(x)(w^{q-1} + v^{q-1}) + b(x) - f(x, w, v)\right) \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

(S4) In the same way, for all u in $[0, w]$ we obtain

$$-\mu g(x, u, 0) \leq 0$$

and

$$-\Delta_p w - \mu g(x, u, w) \geq 0.$$

Now, we will use the Leray–Schauder’s fixed point theorem to prove the existence and the uniqueness of the positive solution of (\mathcal{P}).

We denote $E = (L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N))^2$ with $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ and $K = [u_0, u^0] \times [v_0, v^0] = K_u \times K_v$ and we define the operator $T: K \rightarrow E$ by

$$\text{for all } (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \text{ in } K,$$

$(w, z) = T(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ is the unique solution of the decoupled system (\mathcal{S}_d) .

$$(\mathcal{S}_d) \begin{cases} -\Delta_p w + Mk(x)|w|^{q-2}w = \mu f(x, \bar{u}, \bar{v}) + Mk(x)\bar{u}^{q-1} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta_p z + Mk(x)|z|^{q-2}z = \mu g(x, \bar{u}, \bar{v}) + Mk(x)\bar{v}^{q-1} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases}$$

with $k \in L^\delta(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and for all $R > 0$ there exists ε_R such that $\inf_{B_R} k(x) > \varepsilon_R$.

The operator T has a fixed point in K if the four following assertions are satisfied:

(LS1) K is a convex, bounded and closed set in E .

(LS2) T is well defined on K .

(LS3) K is stable by T , i.e. $T(K) \subset K$.

(LS4) T is a compact operator.

(LS1) It is easy to verify the first point because u_0, u^0, v_0 and v^0 are in $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which is a reflexive Banach space.

(LS2) To prove that T is well defined is equivalent to prove that problem (\mathcal{S}_d) admits a unique solution for all (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) in K .

As we are considering a decoupled system, we only have to study the existence and uniqueness of the solution for every equation separately. We state

$$J_f(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^p dx + M \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)|w|^q dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{f}(x)w dx$$

and

$$J_g(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla z|^p dx + M \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)|z|^q dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{g}(x)z dx,$$

where $\tilde{f}(x) = \mu f(x, \bar{u}, \bar{v}) + Mk(x)\bar{u}^{q-1}$, $\tilde{g}(x) = \mu g(x, \bar{u}, \bar{v}) + Mk(x)\bar{v}^{q-1}$.

J_f and J_g are $C^1(D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$, lower weakly semi-continuous, strictly convex and coercive on $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. These properties imply the existence of a unique couple solution of (\mathcal{S}_d) .

Since $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \geq 0$, we apply the Vázquez's strong maximum principle and we obtain that these solutions are positive (see for example [2]).

(LS3) For the stability of K by T , we are going to prove that $w \geq u_0$ because the other inequalities can be proved by the same way.

The pair (u_0, v_0) is a sub-solution of problem (\mathcal{P}) and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u_0 - \mu f(x, u_0, v) \leq 0 & \forall v \in [v_0, v^0], \\ -\Delta_p v_0 - \mu g(x, u, v_0) \leq 0 & \forall u \in [u_0, u^0]. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

The pair (w, z) is defined by $(w, z) = T(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ where $(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in K$ and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p w + Mk(x)w^{q-1} = \mu f(x, \bar{u}, \bar{v}) + Mk(x)\bar{u}^{q-1}, \\ -\Delta_p z + Mk(x)z^{q-1} = \mu g(x, \bar{u}, \bar{v}) + Mk(x)\bar{v}^{q-1}. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Since (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) is in K we have

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p w + Mk(x)w^{q-1} \geq \mu f(x, \bar{u}, \bar{v}) + Mk(x)u_0^{q-1}, \\ -\Delta_p z + Mk(x)z^{q-1} \geq \mu g(x, \bar{u}, \bar{v}) + Mk(x)v_0^{q-1}. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

We multiply the first equations of (3) and (5) by $(u_0 - w)^+ = \max(0, u_0 - w)$, we integrate on \mathbb{R}^N and subtract the inequalities obtained. We assume that $(u_0 - w)^+ \not\equiv 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta_p u_0 + \Delta_p w)(u_0 - w)^+ dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\mu(f(x, \bar{u}, \bar{v}) - f(x, u_0, \bar{v})) \\ &\quad + Mk(x)(u_0^{q-1} - w^{q-1})](u_0 - w)^+ dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $k(x)(u_0^{q-1} - w^{q-1})(u_0 - w)^+ \not\equiv 0$, we can choose M such that the second integral is positive. So

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta_p u_0 + \Delta_p w)(u_0 - w)^+ dx < 0,$$

which contradicts the monotonicity of the operator $-\Delta_p$ (see [6, Chapter 1]); consequently, $(u_0 - w)^+ = 0$, i.e., $u_0 \leq w$. We have proved that K is stable by T .

(LS4) Now, we have to prove that T is continuous from K to K and T maps bounded subsets of K into conditionally compact subsets of K . We will see in details the second point; concerning the continuity, the proof uses the same ingredients.

Let $(\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in K converging weakly to (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) for the norm of $(L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N))^2$. By the preceding point, we can say that $(T(\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (w_n, z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset K$. For example, we will prove that there exists a sub-sequence $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which converges strongly in K_u for the norm of $L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$; for the convergence in K_v of the other sequence $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ the method is the same.

First, we prove that the sequence $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in K_u for the norm of $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by estimating

$$I_n := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w_n|^p dx + M \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)w_n^q dx.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_n &= \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, \bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n) w_n \, dx + M \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x) \bar{u}_n^{q-1} w_n \, dx \\
&\leq \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, \bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n) u^0 \, dx + M \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x) u^{0q} \, dx \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) (u^{0q} + v^{0q-1} u^0) \, dx \\
&\quad + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) u^0 \, dx + M \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x) u^{0q} \, dx \\
&\leq C(\mu, M) [\|a\|_{L^\delta(\mathbb{R}^N)} (\|u^0\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^q + \|v^0\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^q) \\
&\quad + \|b\|_{L^{\delta'}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|u^0\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|k\|_{L^\delta(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|u^0\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^q].
\end{aligned}$$

Because $a \in L^\delta(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $b \in L^{\delta'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $k \in L^\delta(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $u^0, v^0 \in L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w_n|^p \, dx < C.$$

We can extract a sub-sequence also denoted by $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging weakly in $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges strongly in $L^s(B_R)$ for all $R > 0$ and all $s \leq p^*$ so it converges almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^N and is dominated by the super-solution u^0 . Consequently, it converges in K_u for the norm of $L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

We do the same work for $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, so we prove that the operator $T: K \rightarrow K \subset E = (L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N))^2$ is compact.

After these proofs, we apply the Leray–Schauder’s fixed point theorem to this operator T . So, there exists (u, v) in K such that $(u, v) = T(u, v)$. It signifies that (u, v) is a nonnegative solution of (\mathcal{P}) .

Moreover, by maximum principle and by some regularity results, we prove that the nonnegative solution (u, v) is positive. We only have to use the Vázquez strong maximum principle. Indeed since $\mu > 0$ and $(\mathcal{H}3)$ is satisfied, we have $-\Delta_p u \geq 0$ and $-\Delta_p v \geq 0$. Vázquez’s strong maximum principle [14] says that if the problem admits a nontrivial smooth solution $(C_{\text{loc}}^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ satisfying $u \geq 0$, $v \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R}^N then this solution is positive: $u > 0$, $v > 0$ on \mathbb{R}^N . \square

2. Necessary condition of existence

Recall the problem we study in this paper

$$(\mathcal{P}) \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \mu f(x, u, v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta_p v = \mu g(x, u, v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0 = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} v(x). \end{cases}$$

We also consider the eigenvalue problem

$$(\mathcal{V}_p) - \Delta_p \phi = \lambda_1 C(x) \phi^{p-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

with $C \in L^{\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $C \not\equiv 0$ and $A(x) \geq C(x) \geq 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N .

The properties of the principal eigenvalue of Problem (\mathcal{V}_p) are studied by Fleckinger et al. [7]. In particular, there exists a positive eigenfunction in $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ corresponding to the first eigenvalue λ_1 . In this section, we can assume without loss of generality that $A \equiv C$.

Theorem 2. *We assume that Hypotheses $(\mathcal{H}1)$ and $(\mathcal{H}2)$ are satisfied. If (u, v) is a positive solution in $(D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C_{\text{loc}}^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^N))^2$ ($0 < \gamma < 1$) of (\mathcal{P}) then $\mu \leq \lambda_1$, where λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of (\mathcal{V}_p) .*

When we study (\mathcal{P}) on a bounded domain Ω and only in this case, we can apply Díaz–Saa’s inequality to positive solutions in $D^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_{\text{loc}}^{1,\gamma}(\Omega)$ ($\gamma \in (0, 1)$). But, if $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ we can use a method introduced in [7] by Fleckinger et al. or in [2] by Bechah et al. We simplify this proof by using Picone’s identity which is presented by Allegretto and Huang [1] with some applications.

Lemma 1 (Picone identity). *Let $v > 0$, $u \geq 0$ differentiable. Let*

$$L(u, v) = |\nabla u|^p + (p-1) \frac{u^p}{v^p} |\nabla v|^p - p \frac{u^{p-1}}{v^{p-1}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v |\nabla v|^{p-2},$$

$$R(u, v) = |\nabla u|^p - \nabla \left(\frac{u^p}{v^{p-1}} \right) |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v.$$

Then $L(u, v) = R(u, v) \geq 0$.

Moreover, $L(u, v) = 0$ a.e. on Ω if and only if $\nabla \left(\frac{u}{v} \right) = 0$ a.e. on Ω .

The reader can see the proof of this lemma in Allegretto and Huang’s paper [1] or in Chaïb’s one [3].

Proof of Theorem 2. We consider a sequence of nonnegative functions $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ converging to ϕ for the norm of $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$; where ϕ is a positive eigenfunction associated to λ_1 for Problem (\mathcal{V}_p) . Such a sequence exists because $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since (u, v) is a positive solution of (\mathcal{P}) , we apply Picone’s identity to the functions ϕ_n , u and ϕ_n , v , next we add the inequalities obtained

$$0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} L(\phi_n, u) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} L(\phi_n, v) dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R(\phi_n, u) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R(\phi_n, v) \, dx \\
0 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi_n|^p \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla \left(\frac{\phi_n^p}{u^{p-1}} \right) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \, dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi_n|^p \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla \left(\frac{\phi_n^p}{v^{p-1}} \right) |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

We can take $\frac{\phi_n^p}{u^{p-1}}$ and $\frac{\phi_n^p}{v^{p-1}}$ as test functions because $\phi_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $u > 0$ and $v > 0$. So we apply the divergence theorem and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi_n|^p \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\phi_n^p}{u^{p-1}} \Delta_p u \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\phi_n^p}{v^{p-1}} \Delta_p v \, dx, \\
0 &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi_n|^p \, dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\phi_n^p}{u^{p-1}} f(x, u, v) \, dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\phi_n^p}{v^{p-1}} g(x, u, v) \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Hypothesis ($\mathcal{H}2$), $f(x, u, v) \geq A(x)v^{p-1}$ and $g(x, u, v) \geq A(x)u^{p-1}$ give

$$0 \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi_n|^p \, dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(x) \frac{\phi_n^p}{u^{p-1}} v^{p-1} \, dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(x) \frac{\phi_n^p}{v^{p-1}} u^{p-1} \, dx.$$

Since $\frac{u^{p-1}}{v^{p-1}} + \frac{v^{p-1}}{u^{p-1}} \geq 2$, we have

$$0 \leq 2 \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi_n|^p \, dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(x) \phi_n^p \, dx \right].$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality, $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to ϕ in $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, so $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to ϕ in $L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $(\phi_n^p)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to ϕ^p in $L^{\frac{p^*}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We therefore obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(x) (\phi_n^p - \phi^p) \, dx \leq \|A\|_{\frac{N}{L^p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|\phi_n^p - \phi^p\|_{\frac{p^*}{L^p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \text{ tends to } 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

And finally

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi|^p \, dx \geq \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(x) \phi^p \, dx.$$

Now, by using the fact that ϕ is a positive eigenfunction associated to the eigenvalue λ_1 , we arrive to the expected result

$$\mu \leq \lambda_1. \quad \square$$

Remark. In fact, in this paper we have shown:

- If $\mu \leq \min\left(\frac{p}{q}\mu_q, \left(2\frac{p}{q}C(N,p)^q\|a\|_{L^\delta(\mathbb{R}^N)} + 2pC(N,p)\|b\|_{L^{\delta'}(\mathbb{R}^N)}\right)^{-1}\right)$ then (\mathcal{P}) admits a positive solution.
- If (\mathcal{P}) admits a positive solution then $\mu \leq \lambda_1$.

If we choose the functions a and b such that $\|a\|_{L^\delta(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ and $\|b\|_{L^{\delta'}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ be small enough we have

$$\min\left(\frac{p}{q}\mu_q, \left(2\frac{p}{q}C(N,p)^q\|a\|_{L^\delta(\mathbb{R}^N)} + 2pC(N,p)\|b\|_{L^{\delta'}(\mathbb{R}^N)}\right)^{-1}\right) = \frac{p}{q}\mu_q.$$

Moreover, denoting by ϕ_q the solution of $(\mathcal{V}_{p,q})$ associated to μ_q we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi_q|^p dx = \frac{p}{q}\mu_q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|\phi_q|^q dx.$$

Since λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of (\mathcal{V}_p) , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi_q|^p dx \geq \lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} C(x)|\phi_q|^p dx.$$

If we take a such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|\phi_q|^q dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} C(x)|\phi_q|^p dx$ we obtain $\lambda_1 \leq \frac{p}{q}\mu_q$. Finally, for $\mu_0 = \lambda_1$, we obtain the following:

(\mathcal{P}) admits a positive solution if and only if $0 < \mu \leq \mu_0$.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to express his hearty thanks to Professor F. de Thélin for helpful discussions and corrections. He also thanks Professor E. Harell for his encouragements.

References

- [1] W. Allegretto, X.Y. Huang, A Picone's identity for the p -Laplacian and applications, *Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl.* 32 (7) (1998) 819–830.
- [2] A. Bechah, K. Chaïb, F. de Thélin, Existence and uniqueness of positive solution for subhomogeneous elliptic problems in \mathbb{R}^n , *Rev. Mat. Apl.* 21 (1–2) (2000) 1–18.
- [3] K. Chaïb, Extension of Díaz–Saa inequality in \mathbb{R}^n and applications to a system of p -Laplacian, *Publ. Mat.* 46 (2002) 473–488.
- [4] J.I. Díaz, J. Hernández, Free boundary problems for some stationary reaction-diffusion systems, in: *Research Notes in Mathematics Vol. 89 in Contributions to nonlinear partial differential equations* (Madrid, 1981), Pitman, Boston, MA, London, 1983, pp. 176–182.

- [5] J.I. Díaz, J.E. Saa, Existence et unicité de solutions positives pour certaines équations elliptiques quasilinéaires, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. Math.* I (305) (1987) 521–524.
- [6] P. Drábek, A. Kufner, F. Nicolosi, Quasilinear elliptic equations with degenerations and singularities, in: *de Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, Vol. 5, de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1997.
- [7] J. Fleckinger, R.F. Manásevich, N.M. Stavrakakis, F. de Thélin, Principal eigenvalues for some quasilinear elliptic equations on \mathbb{R}^n , *Adv. Differential Equations* 2 (6) (1997) 981–1003.
- [8] J. Hernández, Positive solutions for the logistic equation with unbounded weights, in: *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Reaction diffusion systems*, Vol. 194 (Trieste, 1995), Dekker, New York, 1998, pp. 183–197.
- [9] X.Y. Huang, A note on asymptotic behavior of positive solution for some elliptic equation, *Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl.* 29 (5) (1997) 533–537.
- [10] O. Kavian, *Introduction à la théorie des points critiques*, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [11] C. Maya, R. Shivaji, Multiple positive solutions for a class of semilinear elliptic boundary value problems, *Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl.* 38 (1999) 497–504.
- [12] P.H. Rabinovitch, Minimax method in critical point theory [...], in: *Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 65, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1984.
- [13] J. Serrin, Local behavior of solutions of quasilinear equations, *Acta Math.* 111 (1964) 247–302.
- [14] J.L. Vázquez, A strong maximum principle for some quasilinear elliptic equations, *Appl. Math. Optim.* 12 (1984) 191–202.