

Existence of weak solutions for a quasilinear equation in \mathbb{R}^N M. Massar^{a,*}, N. Tsouli^a, A. Hamydy^b^a University Mohamed I, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Oujda, Morocco^b CFI, Tetouane, Morocco

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 2 November 2011

Available online 7 June 2012

Submitted by Jie Xiao

Keywords:

Potentials

 p -Laplacian

Parameter

Mountain pass

ABSTRACT

This paper studies the p -Laplacian equation

$$-\Delta_p u + \lambda V_\lambda(x) |u|^{p-2} u = f(x, u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $1 < p < N$, $\lambda \geq 1$ and $V_\lambda(x)$ is a nonnegative continuous function. Under some conditions on $f(x, u)$ and $V_\lambda(x)$, we prove the existence of nontrivial solutions for λ sufficiently large.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and main results

In this paper, we consider the following p -Laplacian equation

$$-\Delta_p u + \lambda V_\lambda(x) |u|^{p-2} u = f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.1)$$

where $1 < p < N$, $\lambda \geq 1$, $V_\lambda \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ and $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.

We assume that the potential $V_\lambda(x)$ and $f(x, u)$ satisfy the following conditions.

(V₁) $0 \leq V_\lambda(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\lambda \geq 1$.

(V₂) There exists $M > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \geq 1$, $|\Omega_{M,\lambda}| < \infty$, where

$$\Omega_{M,\lambda} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N / V_\lambda(x) \leq M\}.$$

(V₃) $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} V_\lambda(0) = 0$.

There exist a positive function $m(x) \in L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and constants $C_0, R_0 > 0$, $\alpha > 1$ such that

(V₄) $m(x) \leq C_0 (1 + (V_\lambda(x))^{1/\alpha})$ for all $|x| \geq R_0$ and $\lambda \geq 1$.

(f₁) There exists $q \in (p, p^\sharp)$, with $p^\sharp := p^* - \frac{p^2}{\alpha(N-p)}$ and $p^* := \frac{Np}{N-p}$, such that

$$|f(x, t)| \leq C_0 m(x) (1 + |t|^{q-1}) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(f₂) $\frac{f(x, t)}{m(x)} = o(|t|^{p-1})$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in x .

(f₃) There exist $\mu_0, \mu > p$ and a positive continuous function $\gamma_0(x)$ such that

$$F(x, t) \geq \gamma_0(x) |t|^{\mu_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu F(x, t) \leq t f(x, t) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\text{where } F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, s) ds.$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: massarmed@hotmail.com (M. Massar), tsouli@hotmail.com (N. Tsouli), a.hamydy@yahoo.fr (A. Hamydy).

An example of functions satisfying the assumptions (f_1) – (f_3) is given by

$$f(x, u) = m_0(x)|u|^{s-2}u,$$

where $m_0(x)$ is a positive continuous function and $p < s < p^*$.

Set

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \delta > p / \text{there exists a positive continuous function } \gamma(x) \text{ such that } F(x, t) \geq \gamma(x)|t|^\delta \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

By (f_1) and (f_3) , we see that $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\delta \leq q$ for all $\delta \in \mathcal{F}$.

The investigation of equations of the form (1.1) has been motivated by searching wave solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equations; see [1–3]. Many works have been devoted to the case $p = 2$; see [4–9]. The quasilinear case $p \in (1, N)$ appears in a variety of applications, such as non-Newtonian fluids, image processing, nonlinear elasticity and reaction–diffusion; see [10] for more details. In the paper [11], Liu consider the p -Laplacian equation ($1 < p < N$)

$$-\Delta_p u + V(x)|u|^{p-2}u = f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.2)$$

with a potential which is periodic or has a bounded potential well. Without assuming the Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz type condition and the monotonicity of the function $t \rightarrow \frac{f(x,t)}{|t|^{p-1}}$, the author proved the existence of ground states of (1.2). Another p -Laplacian equation with potential was considered by Wu and Yang [12]

$$-\Delta_p u + \lambda V(x)|u|^{p-2}u = |u|^{q-2}u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.3)$$

where $2 \leq p < q < p^*$ and the potential $V(x)$ is bounded. Using a concentration–compactness principle from critical point theory, they proved existence, multiplicity and concentration of solutions of (1.3). For more results we refer the reader to [13,14,12,15] and references therein. In the present paper, we are going to study the existence of nontrivial solutions of (1.1). The results of this paper may be considered as generalization of the results obtained by Sirakov [8]. Here we consider the situation when the potential is sufficiently large at infinity. Our method is mainly based on variational arguments.

The main results of this paper are the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that (f_1) – (f_3) , (\mathcal{V}_1) – (\mathcal{V}_4) hold, and $q \in \mathcal{F}$. Then there exists $\lambda_0 \geq 1$, depending only on the various constants involved in the assumptions, such that (1.1) has a nontrivial solution, for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$.

In the next theorem we will remove the hypothesis $q \in \mathcal{F}$ and strengthen (\mathcal{V}_3) by replacing it with a more precise condition about the behaviour of $V_\lambda(x)$ near the origin, for λ sufficiently large.

Theorem 1.2. Assume that (f_1) – (f_3) , (\mathcal{V}_1) , (\mathcal{V}_2) , (\mathcal{V}_4) hold, and (\mathcal{V}_5) there exist constants $C_1, \eta_0, \kappa > 0$ such that

$$V_\lambda(x) \leq C_1 \left(|x|^\kappa + \lambda^{-\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+p}} \right) \quad \text{for all } |x| \leq \eta_0 \lambda^{-\frac{1}{\kappa+p}},$$

and

$$\frac{p}{\kappa+p} \left(\frac{\delta_0}{\delta_0-p} - \frac{N}{p} \right) < \frac{q}{q-p} - \frac{N}{p},$$

for some $\delta_0 \in \mathcal{F}$.

Then there exists $\lambda_0 \geq 1$, depending only on the various constants involved in the assumptions, such that (1.1) has a nontrivial solution, for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$.

2. Preliminary results

We look for solutions of (1.1) in the following subspace

$$X_\lambda = \left\{ u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) / \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda(x)|u|^p dx < \infty \right\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_\lambda = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p + \lambda V_\lambda(x)|u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Remark 2.1. It follows from (\mathcal{V}_1) , (\mathcal{V}_2) and Poincaré’s inequality for the set $\Omega_{M,\lambda}$ that there exists $C_\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{1,p} \leq C_\lambda \|u\|_\lambda \quad \text{for all } u \in X_\lambda,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{1,p}$ is the standard norm on $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then the space $(X_\lambda, \|\cdot\|_\lambda)$ is continuously embedded into $(W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \|\cdot\|_{1,p})$. Moreover, $(X_\lambda, \|\cdot\|_\lambda)$ is a reflexive Banach space.

We consider the energy functional $J_\lambda : X_\lambda \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p + \lambda V_\lambda(x) |u|^p dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u) dx, \quad (2.1)$$

and the following weighted Lebesgue space

$$L_{m(x)}^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u : \text{measurable function} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(x) |u|^s dx < \infty \right\},$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{L_{m(x)}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(x) |u|^s dx \right)^{1/s}.$$

Lemma 2.1. Assume that (\mathcal{V}_1) , (\mathcal{V}_2) and (\mathcal{V}_4) hold. Then for every $s \in [p, p^*]$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ there exists $C_\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L_{m(x)}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}^s \leq C_\lambda \|u\|_\lambda^s \quad \text{for all } u \in X_\lambda.$$

Proof. By (\mathcal{V}_1) and (\mathcal{V}_4) for $u \in X_\lambda$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(x) |u|^s dx &= \int_{|x| \geq R_0} m(x) |u|^s dx + \int_{|x| < R_0} m(x) |u|^s dx \\ &\leq C_0 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^s dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda(x)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} |u|^s dx \right) + \|m\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^s dx \\ &\leq (C_0 + \|m\|_{L^\infty(B_R)}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^s dx + C_0 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda(x) |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{\frac{\alpha s - p}{\alpha - 1}} dx \right)^{\frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^s dx + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{\frac{\alpha s - p}{\alpha - 1}} dx \right)^{\frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}} \|u\|_\lambda^{\frac{p}{\alpha}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Since X_λ is continuously embedded into $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $p \leq s \leq \frac{\alpha s - p}{\alpha - 1} < p^*$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(x) |u|^s dx \leq C_\lambda \left(\|u\|_\lambda^s + \|u\|_\lambda^{\frac{p}{\alpha}} \|u\|_\lambda^{\frac{\alpha s - p}{\alpha}} \right).$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(x) |u|^s dx \leq C_\lambda \|u\|_\lambda^s. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.2. Assume that (\mathcal{V}_1) , (\mathcal{V}_2) , (\mathcal{V}_4) and (f_1) – (f_3) hold. Then for $\lambda \geq 1$, the functional J_λ is well defined and of class C^1 on X_λ . Furthermore, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u) dx \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_\lambda^p + C_\varepsilon \|u\|_\lambda^q \quad \text{for all } u \in X_\lambda. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. By (f_1) and (f_2) , for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$F(x, t) \leq m(x)(\varepsilon |t|^p + C_\varepsilon |t|^q). \quad (2.4)$$

Since $p, q \in [p, p^*]$, (2.3) follows from Lemma 2.1. It is standard to see that J_λ is of C^1 on X_λ . \square

Lemma 2.3. For $\lambda \geq 1$, there exist $r > 0$ and $u_0 \in X_\lambda$ such that $\|u_0\|_\lambda > r$ and

$$J_\lambda(u_0) < 0 = J_\lambda(0) < \inf_{\|u\|_\lambda = r} J_\lambda(u).$$

Proof. It follows from (2.3) that

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_\lambda^p - \varepsilon \|u\|_\lambda^p - C_\varepsilon \|u\|_\lambda^q.$$

Choose $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2p}$, thus

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq C_2 \|u\|_\lambda^p - C_3 \|u\|_\lambda^q.$$

Since $p < q$, we can find $r > 0$ such that $\inf_{\|u\|_\lambda=r} J_\lambda(u) \geq \rho > 0$.

Let $\phi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|\phi_0\|_\lambda = 1$. By (f₃), for $t > 0$ we have

$$J_\lambda(t\phi_0) \leq \frac{1}{p} t^p - t^{\mu_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \gamma_0(x) |\phi_0|^{\mu_0} dx.$$

Therefore $J_\lambda(t\phi_0) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, so choose $u_0 = t\phi_0$ with t large enough. \square

Set

$$\Gamma = \{\phi \in C([0, 1], X_\lambda) / \phi(0) = 0 \text{ and } J_\lambda(\phi(1)) < 0\}.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we see that $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$. We take

$$c_\lambda = \inf_{\phi \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} J_\lambda(\phi(t)).$$

Using a version of the mountain pass theorem without (PS) condition, there exists a sequence $(u_n^\lambda) \subset X_\lambda$ such that

$$J(u_n^\lambda) \rightarrow c_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad J'(u_n^\lambda) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } X'_\lambda \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.5)$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.3 we see that $c_\lambda > 0$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\lambda \geq 1$. Then,

(i) there exists a constant $\sigma > 0$ independent of λ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n^\lambda\|_\lambda^p \leq \sigma c_\lambda.$$

(ii) there exists a weak solution u^λ of (1.1) such that a subsequence of (u_n^λ) converges to u^λ weakly in X_λ .

Proof. (i) Using (f₃), for n large enough we have

$$\begin{aligned} C + \|u_n^\lambda\|_\lambda &\geq J_\lambda(u_n^\lambda) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle J'_\lambda(u_n^\lambda), u_n^\lambda \rangle \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_n^\lambda\|_\lambda^p. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that u_n^λ is bounded in X_λ , and the desired result follows from the following inequality

$$\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_n^\lambda\|_\lambda^p \leq J_\lambda(u_n^\lambda) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle J'_\lambda(u_n^\lambda), u_n^\lambda \rangle \rightarrow c_\lambda,$$

(ii) Since u_n^λ is bounded in X_λ , up to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$\begin{cases} u_n^\lambda \rightharpoonup u^\lambda & \text{weakly in } X_\lambda, \\ u_n^\lambda \rightarrow u^\lambda & \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u_n^\lambda \rightarrow u^\lambda & \text{in } L_{loc}^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad s \in [p, p^*). \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

Let $R > 0$ and $0 \leq \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\psi \equiv 1$ on B_R . Then,

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u_n^\lambda) - J'_\lambda(u^\lambda), \psi(u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda) \rangle = o_n(1). \quad (2.7)$$

It is well known that the following inequality

$$(|\xi|^{t-2}\xi - |\eta|^{t-2}\eta)(\xi - \eta) > 0 \quad (2.8)$$

holds for any $t > 1$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $\xi \neq \eta$. Thus by using the fact that $\psi \equiv 1$ on B_R , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R} (|\nabla u_n^\lambda|^{p-2} \nabla u_n^\lambda - |\nabla u^\lambda|^{p-2} \nabla u^\lambda) \nabla (u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda) dx \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi(x) (|\nabla u_n^\lambda|^{p-2} \nabla u_n^\lambda - |\nabla u^\lambda|^{p-2} \nabla u^\lambda) \nabla (u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda) dx \\ & = \langle J'_\lambda(u_n^\lambda) - J'_\lambda(u^\lambda), \psi(u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda) \rangle - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n^\lambda|^{p-2} \nabla u_n^\lambda \nabla \psi(x) (u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda) dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^\lambda|^{p-2} \nabla u^\lambda \nabla \psi(x) (u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda) dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda(x) |u_n^\lambda|^{p-2} u_n^\lambda (u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda) \psi(x) dx \\ & \quad + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda(x) |u^\lambda|^{p-2} u^\lambda (u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda) \psi(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(x, u_n^\lambda) - f(x, u)) (u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda) \psi(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

By Hölder's inequality and using the fact that u_n^λ is bounded, $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u_n^\lambda \rightarrow u^\lambda$ in $L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n^\lambda|^{p-2} \nabla u_n^\lambda \nabla \psi(x) (u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda) dx \rightarrow 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^\lambda|^{p-2} \nabla u^\lambda \nabla \psi(x) (u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda) dx \rightarrow 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda(x) |u_n^\lambda|^{p-2} u_n^\lambda (u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda) \psi(x) dx \rightarrow 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda(x) |u^\lambda|^{p-2} u^\lambda (u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda) \psi(x) dx \rightarrow 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

By (f₁) and (f₂), for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$|f(x, t)| \leq m(x)(\varepsilon |t|^{p-1} + C_\varepsilon |t|^{q-1}). \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(x, u_n^\lambda) - f(x, u^\lambda)| (u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda) \psi(x) dx \\ & \leq |\psi|_\infty \int_{\text{supp } \psi} m(x) [\varepsilon (|u_n^\lambda|^{p-1} + |u^\lambda|^{p-1}) + C_\varepsilon (|u_n^\lambda|^{q-1} + |u^\lambda|^{q-1})] |u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda| dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon |\psi|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(x) (|u_n^\lambda|^p + |u^\lambda|^p + |u_n^\lambda|^{p-1} |u^\lambda| + |u^\lambda|^{p-1} |u_n^\lambda|) dx \\ & \quad + C_\varepsilon |\psi|_\infty \left(\int_{\text{supp } \psi} m(x) |u_n^\lambda|^{q-1} |u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda| dx + \int_{\text{supp } \psi} m(x) |u^\lambda|^{q-1} |u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda| dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Using the fact that u_n^λ is bounded in X_λ , it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$a_1 := \sup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(x) |u_n^\lambda|^p dx < \infty. \quad (2.13)$$

By Hölder's inequality and (2.13) we also have

$$a_2 := \sup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(x) |u_n^\lambda|^{p-1} |u^\lambda| dx < \infty$$

and

$$a_3 := \sup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(x) |u^\lambda|^{p-1} |u_n^\lambda| dx < \infty$$

It follows from (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(x, u_n^\lambda) - f(x, u^\lambda)| (u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda) \psi(x) dx \leq \varepsilon |\psi|_\infty \left(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(x) |u^\lambda|^p dx \right) \\ & \quad + C_\varepsilon |\psi|_\infty \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(x) |u_n^\lambda|^q dx \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(x) |u^\lambda|^q dx \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \right] \left(\int_{\text{supp } \psi} m(x) |u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Using again [Lemma 2.1](#) we have

$$\sup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(x) |u_n^\lambda|^q dx < \infty. \quad (2.15)$$

Since $u_n^\lambda \rightarrow u^\lambda$ in $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\int_{\text{supp}\psi} m(x) |u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda|^q dx \leq \|m\|_{L^\infty(\text{supp}\psi)} \int_{\text{supp}\psi} |u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda|^q dx \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Hence, it follows from (2.14)–(2.16) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(x, u_n^\lambda) - f(x, u^\lambda))(u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda) \psi(x) dx \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.17)$$

Combining (2.9), (2.10) and (2.17) we deduce that

$$\int_{B_R} (|\nabla u_n^\lambda|^{p-2} \nabla u_n^\lambda - |\nabla u^\lambda|^{p-2} \nabla u^\lambda) \nabla (u_n^\lambda - u^\lambda) dx \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.18)$$

Now we recall the following result.

Lemma 2.5 (Lemma 2.7 in [16]). Suppose that $p > 1$, Ω is an open set in \mathbb{R}^N and $a(x, \xi) \in C^0(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$ is such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 |\xi|^p &\leq a(x, \xi) \cdot \xi \\ |a(x, \xi)| &\leq \alpha_1 |\xi|^{p-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 > 0$, and

$$(a(x, \xi) - a(x, \eta))(\xi - \eta) > 0,$$

for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $\xi \neq \eta$.

Suppose that $u_n, u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} [a(x, \nabla u_n) - a(x, \nabla u)] (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) dx = 0$$

if and only if $\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u$ in $L^p(\Omega)$.

By [Lemma 2.5](#), (2.8) and (2.18) we see that $\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u$ in $L^p(B_R)$. Since $R > 0$ is arbitrary, we see that $\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . Then, by Vitali's theorem, (2.6) and (2.11), it is easy to see that for every $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n^\lambda|^{p-2} \nabla u_n^\lambda \nabla \varphi(x) dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^\lambda|^{p-2} \nabla u^\lambda \nabla \varphi(x) dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda(x) |u_n^\lambda|^{p-2} u_n^\lambda \varphi(x) dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda(x) |u^\lambda|^{p-2} u^\lambda \varphi(x) dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u_n^\lambda) \varphi(x) dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u^\lambda) \varphi(x) dx. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u^\lambda), \varphi \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J'_\lambda(u_n^\lambda), \varphi \rangle = 0,$$

hence u^λ is a weak solution of (1.1). \square

Now we show that u^λ is nontrivial for λ sufficiently large.

Lemma 2.6. Suppose that the assumptions of either [Theorem 1.1](#) or [Theorem 1.2](#) hold. Then

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{\frac{N}{p} - \frac{q}{q-p}} c_\lambda = 0.$$

Proof. We use the technique presented in [8]. Note that

$$c_\lambda \leq \inf_{u \in X_\lambda \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu). \quad (2.19)$$

Let $\delta \in \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$c_\lambda \leq \inf_{u \in X_\lambda \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} \Phi_\lambda(tu), \quad (2.20)$$

where

$$\Phi_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p + \lambda V_\lambda(x) |u|^p dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \gamma(x) |u|^\delta dx.$$

By a direct calculation, we see that

$$\max_{t \geq 0} \Phi_\lambda(tu) = \frac{\delta - p}{p\delta^{\frac{\delta}{\delta-p}}} \left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p + \lambda V_\lambda(x) |u|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \gamma(x) |u|^\delta dx \right)^{\frac{p}{\delta}}} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{\delta-p}}. \quad (2.21)$$

Set

$$g_\delta(\lambda) = \inf_{u \in X_\lambda \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p + \lambda V_\lambda(x) |u|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \gamma(x) |u|^\delta dx \right)^{\frac{p}{\delta}}}.$$

From (2.20) and (2.21) we deduce that

$$c_\lambda \leq C (g_\delta(\lambda))^{\frac{\delta}{\delta-p}}. \quad (2.22)$$

Using the change of variables $y = \lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} x$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_\delta(\lambda) &= \lambda^{1+\frac{N}{\delta}-\frac{N}{p}} \inf_{u \in X_\lambda \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p + V_\lambda(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{p}} y) |u|^p dy}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \gamma(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{p}} y) |u|^\delta dy \right)^{\frac{p}{\delta}}} \\ &= \lambda^{1-\frac{N(\delta-p)}{p\delta}} h_\delta(\lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

where

$$h_\delta(\lambda) = \inf_{u \in X_\lambda \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p + V_\lambda(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{p}} y) |u|^p dy}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \gamma(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{p}} y) |u|^\delta dy \right)^{\frac{p}{\delta}}}.$$

Suppose that $q \in \mathcal{F}$ (Theorem 1.1). We have the following claim.

Claim 2.1.

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} h_q(\lambda) = 0.$$

Proof of Claim. Set

$$E_\lambda = \left\{ u \in X_\lambda \middle/ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \gamma(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{p}} y) |u|^q dy = 1 \right\}.$$

Then

$$h_q(\lambda) = \inf_{u \in E_\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p + V_\lambda(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{p}} y) |u|^p dy.$$

Suppose by contradiction that there exists a sequence $\lambda_m \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, such that

$$h_q(\lambda_m) \geq d_0 > 0. \quad (2.24)$$

Choose $u_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_n\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 1. \quad (2.25)$$

Let

$$v_{n,m} := \frac{u_n}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \gamma(\lambda_m^{-\frac{1}{p}} y) |u_n|^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}}.$$

Clearly $v_{n,m} \in E_{\lambda_m}$. Using the fact that $u_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\gamma(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \gamma(\lambda_m^{-\frac{1}{p}} y) |u_n|^q dy \geq \gamma(\lambda_m^{-\frac{1}{p}} y_0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^q dy = \gamma(\lambda_m^{-\frac{1}{p}} y_0),$$

where $\gamma(\lambda_m^{-\frac{1}{p}} y_0) = \min_{y \in \text{supp} u_n} \gamma(\lambda_m^{-\frac{1}{p}} y)$.

Since $\gamma(\lambda_m^{-\frac{1}{p}} y_0) \rightarrow \gamma(0)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that for every n there exists m_n such that for $m > m_n$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \gamma(\lambda_m^{-\frac{1}{p}} y) |u_n|^q dy > \frac{\gamma(0)}{2}.$$

So, in view of (2.25) we can find n_0 such that for $m > m_{n_0}$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_{n_0,m}|^p dy < \frac{d_0}{2}.$$

Hence by using (2.24) we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_{\lambda_m}(\lambda_m^{-\frac{1}{p}} y) |v_{n_0,m}|^p dy \geq \frac{d_0}{2} \quad \text{for } m > m_{n_0}. \quad (2.26)$$

By (\mathcal{V}_3) (Theorem 1.1), for $m > m_{n_0}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_{\lambda_m}(\lambda_m^{-\frac{1}{p}} y) |v_{n_0,m}|^p dy &\leq V_{\lambda_m}(\lambda_m^{-\frac{1}{p}} y_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{n_0,m}|^p dy \\ &\leq V_{\lambda_m}(\lambda_m^{-\frac{1}{p}} y_1) \left(\frac{\gamma(0)}{2} \right)^{-\frac{p}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_{n_0}|^p dy \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where $V_{\lambda_m}(\lambda_m^{-\frac{1}{p}} y_1) = \max_{y \in \text{supp} u_{n_0}} V_{\lambda_m}(\lambda_m^{-\frac{1}{p}} y)$. This contradicts with (2.26), and the claim follows. \square

From (2.22) and (2.23) we get

$$c_\lambda \leq C \lambda^{\frac{q}{q-p} - \frac{N}{p}} (h_q(\lambda))^{\frac{q}{q-p}},$$

in view of Claim 2.1 we obtain

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{\frac{N}{p} - \frac{q}{q-p}} c_\lambda = 0.$$

Now, suppose that (\mathcal{V}_5) holds (Theorem 1.2). Then

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\delta_0}(\lambda) &\leq \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(B_{\varrho}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p + C_1 \left(\lambda^{-\frac{\kappa}{p}} |y|^\kappa + \lambda^{-\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+p}} \right) |u|^p dy}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \gamma(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{p}} y) |u|^{\delta_0} dy \right)^{\frac{p}{\delta_0}}} \\ &\leq C \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(B_{\varrho}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p + \left(\lambda^{-\frac{\kappa}{p}} |y|^\kappa + \lambda^{-\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+p}} \right) |u|^p dy}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{\delta_0} dy \right)^{\frac{p}{\delta_0}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varrho = \eta_0 \lambda^{\frac{\kappa}{p(\kappa+p)}}$. By making the change of variables $z = \lambda^{-\frac{\kappa}{p(\kappa+p)}} y$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\delta_0}(\lambda) &\leq C \lambda^{\frac{-\kappa}{\kappa+p} \left(1 - \frac{N}{p} + \frac{N}{\delta_0} \right)} \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(B_{\eta_0}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p + (|z|^\kappa + 1) |u|^p dz}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{\delta_0} dz \right)^{\frac{p}{\delta_0}}} \\ &\leq C \lambda^{\frac{-\kappa}{\kappa+p} \left(1 - \frac{N(\delta_0-p)}{p\delta_0} \right)} \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(B_{\eta_0}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p + (\eta_0^\kappa + 1) |u|^p dz}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{\delta_0} dz \right)^{\frac{p}{\delta_0}}} \\ &\leq C \lambda^{\frac{-\kappa}{\kappa+p} \left(1 - \frac{N(\delta_0-p)}{p\delta_0} \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

This and (2.23) imply

$$g_{\delta_0}(\lambda) \leq C \lambda^{\frac{p}{\kappa+p} \left(1 - \frac{N(\delta_0-p)}{p\delta_0} \right)}.$$

Hence, it follows from (2.22) that

$$\lambda^{\frac{N}{p} - \frac{q}{q-p}} c_\lambda \leq C \lambda^{\frac{p}{q-p} \left(\frac{\delta_0}{\delta_0 - p} - \frac{N}{p} \right) - \left(\frac{q}{q-p} - \frac{N}{p} \right)}.$$

Consequently by (\mathcal{V}_5) ,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{\frac{N}{p} - \frac{q}{q-p}} c_\lambda = 0.$$

The proof of Lemma 2.6 is complete. \square

Lemma 2.7. For every $\lambda \geq 1$ there exists $R(\lambda) \geq R_0$, with R_0 given by (\mathcal{V}_4) , such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n^\lambda\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R(\lambda)})}^p \leq \bar{\sigma} \frac{C_\lambda}{\lambda},$$

where $\bar{\sigma} > 0$ is a constant independent of λ .

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.4(i), for $R > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma c_\lambda + o_n(1) &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n^\lambda|^p + \lambda V_\lambda(x) |u_n^\lambda|^p dx \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n^\lambda|^p dx + \lambda M \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_{M,\lambda}} |u_n^\lambda|^p dx \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n^\lambda|^p dx + \lambda M \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n^\lambda|^p dx - \lambda M \int_{\Omega_{M,\lambda} \cap B_R} |u_n^\lambda|^p dx - \lambda M \int_{\Omega_{M,\lambda} \setminus B_R} |u_n^\lambda|^p dx \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n^\lambda|^p dx + \lambda M \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} |u_n^\lambda|^p dx - \lambda M \int_{\Omega_{M,\lambda} \setminus B_R} |u_n^\lambda|^p dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

On the other hand, by Hölder and Sobolev inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{M,\lambda} \setminus B_R} |u_n^\lambda|^p dx &\leq |\Omega_{M,\lambda} \setminus B_R|^{1 - \frac{p}{p^*}} \|u_n^\lambda\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \\ &\leq C |\Omega_{M,\lambda} \setminus B_R|^{1 - \frac{p}{p^*}} \|\nabla u_n^\lambda\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p, \end{aligned}$$

so, we can find $R = R(\lambda) \geq R_0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega_{M,\lambda} \setminus B_R} |u_n^\lambda|^p dx \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda M} \|\nabla u_n^\lambda\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p.$$

From (2.27) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma c_\lambda + o_n(1) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n^\lambda|^p dx + \lambda M \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} |u_n^\lambda|^p dx \\ &\geq \lambda M \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} |u_n^\lambda|^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

and the desired result follows. The proof of Lemma 2.7 is complete. \square

Now, we turn to show that $u^\lambda \neq 0$ for λ sufficiently large. Suppose by contradiction that there exists a sequence $\lambda_m \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, such that $u^{\lambda_m} \equiv 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\lambda_m} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(J_{\lambda_m}(u_n^{\lambda_m}) - \frac{1}{p} \langle J'_{\lambda_m}(u_n^{\lambda_m}), u_n^{\lambda_m} \rangle \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^{\lambda_m} f(x, u_n^{\lambda_m}) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u_n^{\lambda_m}) dx \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^{\lambda_m} f(x, u_n^{\lambda_m}) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varepsilon m(x) |u_n^{\lambda_m}|^p + C_\varepsilon m(x) |u_n^{\lambda_m}|^q dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \|m\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R} \varepsilon |u_n^{\lambda_m}|^p + C_\varepsilon |u_n^{\lambda_m}|^q dx \\
&\quad + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} \varepsilon m(x) |u_n^{\lambda_m}|^p + C_\varepsilon m(x) |u_n^{\lambda_m}|^q dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Since $u_n^{\lambda_m} \rightharpoonup u^{\lambda_m} \equiv 0$ in X_{λ_m} , $u_n^{\lambda_m} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $s \in \{p, q\}$. It follows from (2.28) that

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{\lambda_m} &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} \varepsilon m(x) |u_n^{\lambda_m}|^p + C_\varepsilon m(x) |u_n^{\lambda_m}|^q dx \\
&\leq \varepsilon \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} m(x) |u_n^{\lambda_m}|^p dx + C_\varepsilon \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} m(x) |u_n^{\lambda_m}|^q dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

By (V_4) and the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality, for $s \in \{p, q\}$ and $R = R(\lambda_m)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} m(x) |u_n^{\lambda_m}|^s dx &\leq C_0 \|u_n^{\lambda_m}\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R)}^s + C_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} V_{\lambda_m}(x)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} |u_n^{\lambda_m}|^s dx \\
&\leq C \|u_n^{\lambda_m}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R)}^{(1-\theta)s} \|\nabla u_n^{\lambda_m}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R)}^{\theta s} \\
&\quad + C_0 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} V_{\lambda_m}(x) |u_n^{\lambda_m}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} |u_n^{\lambda_m}|^{\frac{\alpha s - p}{\alpha}} dx \right)^{\frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}} \\
&\leq C \|u_n^{\lambda_m}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R)}^{(1-\theta)s} \|\nabla u_n^{\lambda_m}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R)}^{\theta s} \\
&\quad + C \|u_n^{\lambda_m}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R)}^{(1-\bar{\theta})\frac{\alpha s - p}{\alpha}} \|\nabla u_n^{\lambda_m}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R)}^{\bar{\theta}\frac{\alpha s - p}{\alpha}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} V_{\lambda_m}(x) |u_n^{\lambda_m}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

where $\theta = \frac{N(s-p)}{ps}$ and $\bar{\theta} = \frac{N\alpha(s-p)}{p(\alpha s - p)}$. Using Lemma 2.4(i) and Lemma 2.7, we see that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla u_n^{\lambda_m}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R)}^p \leq \sigma c_{\lambda_m}, \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} V_{\lambda_m}(x) |u_n^{\lambda_m}|^p dx \leq \sigma \frac{c_{\lambda_m}}{\lambda_m}$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n^{\lambda_m}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R)}^p \leq \bar{\sigma} \frac{c_{\lambda_m}}{\lambda_m}.$$

By (2.30) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} m(x) |u_n^{\lambda_m}|^s dx &\leq C \left[\left(\frac{c_{\lambda_m}}{\lambda_m} \right)^{\frac{(1-\theta)s}{p}} c_{\lambda_m}^{\frac{\theta s}{p}} + \left(\frac{c_{\lambda_m}}{\lambda_m} \right)^{\frac{(1-\bar{\theta})(\alpha s - p)}{\alpha p}} c_{\lambda_m}^{\frac{\bar{\theta}(\alpha s - p)}{\alpha p}} \left(\frac{c_{\lambda_m}}{\lambda_m} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right] \\
&\leq C \lambda_m^{-\frac{(1-\theta)s}{p} \frac{s}{p}} c_{\lambda_m}^{\frac{s}{p}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

So, it follows from (2.29) that

$$c_{\lambda_m} \leq C \left(\varepsilon \lambda_m^{-1} c_{\lambda_m} + C_\varepsilon \lambda_m^{\frac{N(q-p)-pq}{p^2}} c_{\lambda_m}^{\frac{q}{p}} \right),$$

and hence

$$(1 - C\varepsilon) c_{\lambda_m} \leq C C_\varepsilon \lambda_m^{\frac{N(q-p)-pq}{p^2}} c_{\lambda_m}^{\frac{q}{p}}. \tag{2.32}$$

Choose ε sufficiently small in (2.32), we get

$$c_{\lambda_m} \leq C \lambda_m^{\frac{N(q-p)-pq}{p^2}} c_{\lambda_m}^{\frac{q}{p}},$$

thus

$$0 < C \leq \lambda_m^{\frac{N}{p} - \frac{q}{q-p}} c_{\lambda_m},$$

and consequently

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_m^{\frac{N}{p} - \frac{q}{q-p}} c_{\lambda_m} > 0.$$

This contradicts with Lemma 2.6, and the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is complete.

References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, V. Felli, A. Malchiodi, Ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials vanishing at infinity, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 7 (2005) 117–144.
- [2] W. Kryszewski, A. Szulkin, Generalized linking theorem with an application to a semilinear Schrödinger equation, *Adv. Differential Equations* 3 (1998) 441–472.
- [3] B. Sirakov, Standing wave solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^N , *Ann. Mat.* 181 (2002) 73–83.
- [4] T. Bartsch, Z.Q. Wang, Existence and multiplicity results for some superlinear elliptic problems on \mathbb{R}^N , *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 20 (1995) 1725–1741.
- [5] Y. Ding, A. Szulkin, Bound states for semilinear Schrödinger equations with sign-changing potential, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 29 (2007) 397–419.
- [6] L. Jeanjean, K. Tanaka, A positive solution for asymptotically linear elliptic problem on \mathbb{R}^N autonomous at infinity, *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* 7 (2002) 597–614.
- [7] P.H. Rabinowitz, On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 43 (1992) 270–291.
- [8] B. Sirakov, Existence and multiplicity of solutions of semi-linear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^N , *Calc. Var.* 11 (2000) 119–142.
- [9] W.A. Strauss, Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 55 (1977) 149–162.
- [10] J.I. Daz, Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Free Boundaries, Vol. I. Elliptic Equations, in: *Res. Notes Math.*, vol. 106, Pitman, Boston, MA, 1985, p. 323.
- [11] S. Liu, On ground states of superlinear p -Laplacian equations in \mathbb{R}^N , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 361 (2010) 48–58.
- [12] M. Wu, Z. Yang, Existence and concentration of solutions for a p -Laplace equation with potentials in \mathbb{R}^N , *Electron. J. Differential Equations* (ISSN: 1072-6691) 2010 (96) (2010) 1–11.
- [13] C.O. Alves, G.M. Figueiredo, Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions to a p -Laplacian equation in \mathbb{R}^N , *Differential Integral Equations* 19 (2006) 143–162.
- [14] C.O. Alves, G.M. Figueiredo, On multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for a class of quasilinear problems with critical exponential growth in \mathbb{R}^N , *J. Differential Equations* 246 (2009) 1288–1311.
- [15] J. Yang, X. Zhu, On the existence of nontrivial solution of a quasilinear elliptic boundary value problem for unbounded domains, *Acta Math. Sci.* 7 (1987) 341–359.
- [16] G.B. Li, O. Martio, Stability in obstacle problem, *Math. Scand.* 75 (1994) 87–100.