

NOTE

An Extension of Carlson's Inequality

Kuang Jichang

*Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha,
Hunan, 410081, People's Republic of China*
E-mail: jckuang@hotmail.com

and

Lokenath Debnath

*Department of Mathematics, University of Texas-Pan American,
Endinburg, Texas 78539*
E-mail: debnathl@panam.edu

Submitted by William F. Ames

Received July 30, 2001; published online January 24, 2002

An extension of Carlson's inequality is made by using the Euler–Maclaurin summation formula. The integral analogues of this inequality are also presented. © 2002 Elsevier Science (USA)

Key Words: Carlson's inequality; Euler–Maclaurin summation formula; integral analogues of Carlson's inequality.

1. INTRODUCTION

If $a_n \geq 0$ and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 a_n^2 < \infty$, then Carlson's inequality (see Carlson [1], Beckenbach and Bellman [2], and Kuang [3]) is

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right)^4 < \pi^2 \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 a_n^2 \right), \quad (1.1)$$

where π^2 is the best possible.

If $f(x) \geq 0$, then the integral analogue of Carlson's inequality is

$$\left(\int_0^{\infty} f(x) dx \right)^4 \leq \pi^2 \left(\int_0^{\infty} f^2(x) dx \right) \left(\int_0^{\infty} x^2 f^2(x) dx \right), \quad (1.2)$$

where π^2 is the best possible, provided the integrals on the right-hand side of (1.2) exist.

Remark 1. It is a well-known fact that (1.1) holds for $a_n > 0$ and that not all a_n are zero. Mikhlin [4, p. 7] noted that $f(x) \geq 0$ and $f(x) \neq 0$ represent conditions for inequality in (1.2). However, these conditions are not sufficient since equality in (1.2) holds when $f(x) = (\alpha + \beta x^2)^{-1}$ for any positive numbers α and β .

In recent years, considerable attention has been given to generalizations of Carlson's inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) by several authors, including Mikhlin [4]. As pointed out by Mikhlin, inequality (1.1) may be strengthened as

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\right)^4 < \pi^2 \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2\right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 a_n^2\right). \quad (1.3)$$

The main purpose of this paper is to study an improvement and extension of (1.1) and (1.2). More precisely, we prove the following:

THEOREM 1. Let $S_\alpha = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^\alpha a_n^p$, $S_\beta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^\beta a_n^p$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $0 < \beta < p - 1 < \alpha$. Suppose $a_n \geq 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $0 < S_\alpha$, $S_\beta < \infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\right)^p &< 2 \left\{ \frac{S_\alpha^{\lambda_\alpha}}{(\alpha - \beta) S_\beta^{\lambda_\beta}} B(\lambda_\beta, (-\lambda_\alpha)) - c(p, \alpha, \beta) \right\}^{p/q} \\ &\times \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^\alpha a_n^p\right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^\beta a_n^p\right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$\lambda_\alpha = \frac{p - \alpha q}{p(\alpha - \beta)}, \quad \lambda_\beta = \frac{p - \beta q}{p(\alpha - \beta)}, \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$c(p, \alpha, \beta) = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{(S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta)^{q/p}} - \frac{1}{(S_\alpha + S_\beta)^{q/p}} > 0 \quad (1.6)$$

and $B(u, v)$ is the Beta function.

Remark 2. When $p = q = \alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 0$, inequality (1.4) reduces to

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\right)^4 < (\pi - 2c)^2 \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2\right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 a_n^2\right), \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$c = G(S) = \arctan S - \frac{S}{1 + S^2} > 0, \quad S = \left(\frac{S_0}{S_2}\right)^{1/2}, \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$S_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n, \quad S_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 a_n^2.$$

Hence, inequalities (1.4) and (1.7) are new improvement and extension of (1.1).

THEOREM 2. Let $f(x) \geq 0$, $a > 0$, $0 < \beta < p - 1 < \alpha$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, and the integrals $S_\alpha = \int_a^\infty x^\alpha f^p(x) dx$ and $S_\beta = \int_a^\infty x^\beta f^p(x) dx$ exist. If $0 < S_\alpha$, $S_\beta < \infty$, then $f \in L[a, \infty)$ and

$$\left(\int_a^\infty f(x) dx \right)^p \leq 2 \left\{ \frac{S_\alpha^{\lambda_\alpha}}{(\alpha - \beta) S_\beta^{\lambda_\beta}} B(\lambda_\beta, (-\lambda_\alpha)) - c(p, \alpha, \beta) \right\}^{p/q} \times \left(\int_a^\infty x^\alpha f^p(x) dx \right) \left(\int_a^\infty x^\beta f^p(x) dx \right), \quad (1.9)$$

with equality occurring only if $f(x) = (S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta)^{-q/p}$, where

$$c(p, \alpha, \beta) = \int_0^a (S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta)^{-q/p} dx > 0 \quad (1.10)$$

and $\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta$ are defined by (1.5).

Remark 3. When $p = q = \alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 0$, then the inequality (1.9) reduces to

$$\left(\int_a^\infty f(x) dx \right)^4 < \left\{ \pi - 2 \arctan \left(\frac{S_0}{S_2} \right)^{1/2} a \right\}^2 \left(\int_a^\infty f^2(x) dx \right) \times \left(\int_a^\infty x^2 f^2(x) dx \right), \quad (1.11)$$

with equality occurring only if $f(x) = (S_2 + S_0 x^2)^{-1}$.

When $a \rightarrow 0$, Inequality (1.11) reduces to (1.2). This shows that Inequalities (1.9) and (1.11) are a new improvement and extension of (1.2).

2. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1 AND 2

Proof of Theorem 1. Let g be defined as

$$g(x) = (S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta)^{-q/p}. \quad (2.1)$$

If $0 < \beta < p - 1 < \alpha$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, we prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n) < \frac{S_\alpha^{\lambda_\alpha}}{(\alpha - \beta) S_\beta^{\lambda_\beta}} B(\lambda_\beta, (-\lambda_\alpha)) - c(p, \alpha, \beta), \quad (2.2)$$

where λ_α , λ_β , and $c(p, \alpha, \beta)$ are defined by (1.5) and (1.6), respectively.

In fact, by the Euler–Maclaurin summation formula, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n) < \int_1^{\infty} g(x) dx + g(1) = \int_0^{\infty} g(x) dx - \left(\int_0^1 g(x) dx - g(1) \right).$$

Direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} g(x) dx &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta)^{q/p}} \\ &= S_\beta^{-q/p} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{-q\beta/p} dx}{(S_\alpha/S_\beta + x^{(\alpha-\beta)})^{q/p}} = \frac{S_\alpha^{\lambda_\alpha}}{(\alpha - \beta) S_\beta^{\lambda_\beta}} B(\lambda_\beta, (-\lambda_\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

Since g is a strictly decreasing function on $[0, 1]$, i.e.,

$$g(x) > g(1) \quad (x < 1),$$

$c(p, \alpha, \beta) = \int_0^1 g(x) dx - g(1) > 0$. This yields (2.2).

Applying the Hölder inequality and (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (S_\beta n^\alpha + S_\alpha n^\beta)^{1/p} (S_\beta n^\alpha + S_\alpha n^\beta)^{-1/p} \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p (S_\beta n^\alpha + S_\alpha n^\beta) \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (S_\beta n^\alpha + S_\alpha n^\beta)^{-q/p} \right\}^{1/q} \\ &= (2S_\alpha S_\beta)^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n) \right\}^{1/q} \\ &< (2S_\alpha S_\beta)^{1/p} \left\{ \frac{S_\alpha^{\lambda_\alpha}}{(\alpha - \beta) S_\beta^{\lambda_\beta}} B(\lambda_\beta, (-\lambda_\alpha)) - c(p, \alpha, \beta) \right\}^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (1.4). Theorem 1 is proved.

Proof of Theorem 2. Application of Hölder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^{\infty} f(x) dx &= \int_a^{\infty} f(x) (S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta)^{1/p} (S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta)^{-1/p} dx \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_a^{\infty} f^p(x) (S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta) dx \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \int_a^{\infty} (S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta)^{-q/p} dx \right\}^{1/q} \\ &= (2S_\alpha S_\beta)^{1/p} \left\{ \int_a^{\infty} (S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta)^{-q/p} dx \right\}^{1/q}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

If $g(x) = (S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta)^{-q/p}$, then direct computation yields

$$\int_0^\infty g(x) dx = \frac{S_\alpha^{\lambda_\alpha}}{(\alpha - \beta) S_\beta^{\lambda_\beta}} B(\lambda_\beta, (-\lambda_\alpha)). \quad (2.4)$$

Hence, from (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_a^\infty f(x) dx \right)^p &\leq 2S_\alpha S_\beta \left(\int_a^\infty g(x) dx \right)^{p/q} \\ &= 2S_\alpha S_\beta \left\{ \int_0^\infty g(x) dx - \int_0^a g(x) dx \right\}^{p/q} \\ &= 2S_\alpha S_\beta \left\{ \frac{S_\alpha^{\lambda_\alpha}}{(\alpha - \beta) S_\beta^{\lambda_\beta}} B(\lambda_\beta, (-\lambda_\alpha)) - \int_0^a g(x) dx \right\}^{p/q}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the desired result. The proof is complete.

Remark 4. Our proofs are simpler than those in [1, 2].

REFERENCES

1. F. Carlson, Une inegalite, *Ark. Mat.* **25B** (1934), 1–5.
2. E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, “Inequalities,” pp. 175–177 & 186, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1961.
3. Kuang Jichang, “Applied Inequalities,” 2nd ed., Hunan Education Press, Changsha, China, 1993 [in Chinese].
4. S. G. Mikhlin, “Constants in Some Inequalities of Analysis,” Wiley, New York, 1986.
5. T. M. Apostol, “Mathematical Analysis,” 2nd ed., Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1974.