

## NOTE

### An Extension of Carlson's Inequality

Kuang Jichang

*Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha,  
Hunan, 410081, People's Republic of China*  
E-mail: jckuang@hotmail.com

and

Lokenath Debnath

*Department of Mathematics, University of Texas-Pan American,  
Endinburg, Texas 78539*  
E-mail: debnathl@panam.edu

*Submitted by William F. Ames*

Received July 30, 2001; published online January 24, 2002

An extension of Carlson's inequality is made by using the Euler–Maclaurin summation formula. The integral analogues of this inequality are also presented. © 2002 Elsevier Science (USA)

*Key Words:* Carlson's inequality; Euler–Maclaurin summation formula; integral analogues of Carlson's inequality.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

If  $a_n \geq 0$  and  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 a_n^2 < \infty$ , then Carlson's inequality (see Carlson [1], Beckenbach and Bellman [2], and Kuang [3]) is

$$\left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right)^4 < \pi^2 \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 a_n^2 \right), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\pi^2$  is the best possible.

If  $f(x) \geq 0$ , then the integral analogue of Carlson's inequality is

$$\left( \int_0^{\infty} f(x) dx \right)^4 \leq \pi^2 \left( \int_0^{\infty} f^2(x) dx \right) \left( \int_0^{\infty} x^2 f^2(x) dx \right), \quad (1.2)$$



where  $\pi^2$  is the best possible, provided the integrals on the right-hand side of (1.2) exist.

*Remark 1.* It is a well-known fact that (1.1) holds for  $a_n > 0$  and that not all  $a_n$  are zero. Mikhlin [4, p. 7] noted that  $f(x) \geq 0$  and  $f(x) \neq 0$  represent conditions for inequality in (1.2). However, these conditions are not sufficient since equality in (1.2) holds when  $f(x) = (\alpha + \beta x^2)^{-1}$  for any positive numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

In recent years, considerable attention has been given to generalizations of Carlson's inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) by several authors, including Mikhlin [4]. As pointed out by Mikhlin, inequality (1.1) may be strengthened as

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\right)^4 < \pi^2 \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2\right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 a_n^2\right). \quad (1.3)$$

The main purpose of this paper is to study an improvement and extension of (1.1) and (1.2). More precisely, we prove the following:

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $S_\alpha = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^\alpha a_n^p$ ,  $S_\beta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^\beta a_n^p$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $0 < \beta < p - 1 < \alpha$ . Suppose  $a_n \geq 0$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $0 < S_\alpha, S_\beta < \infty$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\right)^p &< 2 \left\{ \frac{S_\alpha^{\lambda_\alpha}}{(\alpha - \beta) S_\beta^{\lambda_\beta}} B(\lambda_\beta, (-\lambda_\alpha)) - c(p, \alpha, \beta) \right\}^{p/q} \\ &\times \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^\alpha a_n^p\right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^\beta a_n^p\right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$\lambda_\alpha = \frac{p - \alpha q}{p(\alpha - \beta)}, \quad \lambda_\beta = \frac{p - \beta q}{p(\alpha - \beta)}, \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$c(p, \alpha, \beta) = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{(S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta)^{q/p}} - \frac{1}{(S_\alpha + S_\beta)^{q/p}} > 0 \quad (1.6)$$

and  $B(u, v)$  is the Beta function.

*Remark 2.* When  $p = q = \alpha = 2$  and  $\beta = 0$ , inequality (1.4) reduces to

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\right)^4 < (\pi - 2c)^2 \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2\right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 a_n^2\right), \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$c = G(S) = \arctan S - \frac{S}{1 + S^2} > 0, \quad S = \left(\frac{S_0}{S_2}\right)^{1/2}, \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$S_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n, \quad S_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 a_n^2.$$

Hence, inequalities (1.4) and (1.7) are new improvement and extension of (1.1).

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f(x) \geq 0$ ,  $a > 0$ ,  $0 < \beta < p - 1 < \alpha$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , and the integrals  $S_\alpha = \int_a^\infty x^\alpha f^p(x) dx$  and  $S_\beta = \int_a^\infty x^\beta f^p(x) dx$  exist. If  $0 < S_\alpha$ ,  $S_\beta < \infty$ , then  $f \in L[a, \infty)$  and*

$$\left( \int_a^\infty f(x) dx \right)^p \leq 2 \left\{ \frac{S_\alpha^{\lambda_\alpha}}{(\alpha - \beta)S_\beta^{\lambda_\beta}} B(\lambda_\beta, (-\lambda_\alpha)) - c(p, \alpha, \beta) \right\}^{p/q} \times \left( \int_a^\infty x^\alpha f^p(x) dx \right) \left( \int_a^\infty x^\beta f^p(x) dx \right), \tag{1.9}$$

with equality occurring only if  $f(x) = (S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta)^{-q/p}$ , where

$$c(p, \alpha, \beta) = \int_0^a (S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta)^{-q/p} dx > 0 \tag{1.10}$$

and  $\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta$  are defined by (1.5).

*Remark 3.* When  $p = q = \alpha = 2$  and  $\beta = 0$ , then the inequality (1.9) reduces to

$$\left( \int_a^\infty f(x) dx \right)^4 < \left\{ \pi - 2 \arctan \left( \frac{S_0}{S_2} \right)^{1/2} a \right\}^2 \left( \int_a^\infty f^2(x) dx \right) \times \left( \int_a^\infty x^2 f^2(x) dx \right), \tag{1.11}$$

with equality occurring only if  $f(x) = (S_2 + S_0 x^2)^{-1}$ .

When  $a \rightarrow 0$ , Inequality (1.11) reduces to (1.2). This shows that Inequalities (1.9) and (1.11) are a new improvement and extension of (1.2).

## 2. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1 AND 2

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $g$  be defined as

$$g(x) = (S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta)^{-q/p}. \tag{2.1}$$

If  $0 < \beta < p - 1 < \alpha$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , we prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n) < \frac{S_\alpha^{\lambda_\alpha}}{(\alpha - \beta)S_\beta^{\lambda_\beta}} B(\lambda_\beta, (-\lambda_\alpha)) - c(p, \alpha, \beta), \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\lambda_\alpha$ ,  $\lambda_\beta$ , and  $c(p, \alpha, \beta)$  are defined by (1.5) and (1.6), respectively.

In fact, by the Euler–Maclaurin summation formula, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n) < \int_1^{\infty} g(x) dx + g(1) = \int_0^{\infty} g(x) dx - \left( \int_0^1 g(x) dx - g(1) \right).$$

Direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} g(x) dx &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta)^{q/p}} \\ &= S_\beta^{-q/p} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{-q\beta/p} dx}{(S_\alpha/S_\beta + x^{(\alpha-\beta)})^{q/p}} = \frac{S_\alpha^{\lambda_\alpha}}{(\alpha - \beta) S_\beta^{\lambda_\beta}} B(\lambda_\beta, (-\lambda_\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g$  is a strictly decreasing function on  $[0, 1]$ , i.e.,

$$g(x) > g(1) \quad (x < 1),$$

$c(p, \alpha, \beta) = \int_0^1 g(x) dx - g(1) > 0$ . This yields (2.2).

Applying the Hölder inequality and (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (S_\beta n^\alpha + S_\alpha n^\beta)^{1/p} (S_\beta n^\alpha + S_\alpha n^\beta)^{-1/p} \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p (S_\beta n^\alpha + S_\alpha n^\beta) \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (S_\beta n^\alpha + S_\alpha n^\beta)^{-q/p} \right\}^{1/q} \\ &= (2S_\alpha S_\beta)^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n) \right\}^{1/q} \\ &< (2S_\alpha S_\beta)^{1/p} \left\{ \frac{S_\alpha^{\lambda_\alpha}}{(\alpha - \beta) S_\beta^{\lambda_\beta}} B(\lambda_\beta, (-\lambda_\alpha)) - c(p, \alpha, \beta) \right\}^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (1.4). Theorem 1 is proved.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Application of Hölder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^{\infty} f(x) dx &= \int_a^{\infty} f(x) (S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta)^{1/p} (S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta)^{-1/p} dx \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_a^{\infty} f^p(x) (S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta) dx \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \int_a^{\infty} (S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta)^{-q/p} dx \right\}^{1/q} \\ &= (2S_\alpha S_\beta)^{1/p} \left\{ \int_a^{\infty} (S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta)^{-q/p} dx \right\}^{1/q}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

If  $g(x) = (S_\beta x^\alpha + S_\alpha x^\beta)^{-q/p}$ , then direct computation yields

$$\int_0^\infty g(x) dx = \frac{S_\alpha^{\lambda_\alpha}}{(\alpha - \beta) S_\beta^{\lambda_\beta}} B(\lambda_\beta, (-\lambda_\alpha)). \quad (2.4)$$

Hence, from (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_a^\infty f(x) dx \right)^p &\leq 2S_\alpha S_\beta \left( \int_a^\infty g(x) dx \right)^{p/q} \\ &= 2S_\alpha S_\beta \left\{ \int_0^\infty g(x) dx - \int_0^a g(x) dx \right\}^{p/q} \\ &= 2S_\alpha S_\beta \left\{ \frac{S_\alpha^{\lambda_\alpha}}{(\alpha - \beta) S_\beta^{\lambda_\beta}} B(\lambda_\beta, (-\lambda_\alpha)) - \int_0^a g(x) dx \right\}^{p/q}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the desired result. The proof is complete.

*Remark 4.* Our proofs are simpler than those in [1, 2].

#### REFERENCES

1. F. Carlson, Une inegalite, *Ark. Mat.* **25B** (1934), 1–5.
2. E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, "Inequalities," pp. 175–177 & 186, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1961.
3. Kuang Jichang, "Applied Inequalities," 2nd ed., Hunan Education Press, Changsha, China, 1993 [in Chinese].
4. S. G. Mikhlin, "Constants in Some Inequalities of Analysis," Wiley, New York, 1986.
5. T. M. Apostol, "Mathematical Analysis," 2nd ed., Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1974.