



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

J. Math. Anal. Appl. 299 (2004) 494–507

Journal of
MATHEMATICAL
ANALYSIS AND
APPLICATIONS

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

The Fredholm alternative for the one-dimensional p -Laplacian

Xiaojing Yang

Department of Mathematics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, People's Republic of China

Received 17 October 2002

Available online 16 September 2004

Submitted by P.G.L. Leach

Abstract

In this paper we consider the solvability of the boundary value problem

$$(\varphi_p(u'))' + \lambda_1 \varphi_p(u) = f(u) + h(t), \quad u(0) = u(T) = 0,$$

where $p > 1$, $\varphi_p(u) = |u|^{p-2}u$. By using generalized polar coordinates transformation method, we improve and generalize some results obtained recently in [J. Differential Equations 151 (1999) 386].
© 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: One-dimensional p -Laplacian; Fredholm alternative; Leray–Schauder degree; Generalized polar coordinates

1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the solvability of the following Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$(\varphi_p(u'))' + \lambda_1 \varphi_p(u) = f(u) + h(t) \quad \text{in } (0, T), \tag{1.1}$$

$$u(0) = u(T) = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

E-mail address: yangxj@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn.

where $p > 1$, $T > 0$, $\varphi_p(u) = |u|^{p-2}u$, $\lambda_1 = (p-1)(\pi_p/T)^p$, $\pi_p = 2\pi/(p \sin(\pi/p))$, $f \in C(R)$ is bounded and $\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} f(u) =: f(+\infty)$ exists, $h \in L^\infty(0, T)$.

For $p = 2$, $f(u) \equiv 0$, (1.1)–(1.2) reduces to the linear problem

$$u'' + (\pi/T)^2 u = h(t), \quad (1.3)$$

$$u(0) = u(T) = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

whose solvability is fully described by the classical linear Fredholm alternative, that is, (1.3)–(1.4) is solvable if and only if

$$\int_0^T h(t) \sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{T}\right) dt = 0 \quad \text{for } h \in L^\infty(0, T). \quad (1.5)$$

However, for $p \neq 2$, $f(u) \equiv 0$, (1.1) reduces to

$$(\varphi_p(u'))' + \lambda_1 \varphi_p(u) = h(t). \quad (1.6)$$

In this case, the situation is quite different. For example, in [1] a counter-example is given, which shows that the following condition

$$\int_0^T h(t) \sin_p\left(\frac{\pi_p t}{T}\right) dt = 0 \quad (1.7)$$

is not necessary for the solvability of problem (1.6)–(1.2), where $\sin_p t$ is the unique solution of the initial value problem

$$(\varphi_p(u'))' + (p-1)\varphi_p(u) = 0, \quad (1.8)$$

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u'(0) = 1. \quad (1.9)$$

A more surprising result is obtained recently in [5], the authors obtained the following result.

Theorem A. *Let us assume that $h \in C^1[0, T]$, $h \not\equiv 0$, satisfies condition (1.7). Then problem (1.6)–(1.2) has at least one solution. Moreover, if $p \neq 2$, then the set of all possible solutions is bounded in $C^1[0, T]$.*

Since for $p = 2$, the solution set of (1.3)–(1.4) is an unbounded continuum constituted by a one-dimensional linear manifold. Theorem A and the example in [1] reveal a striking difference between the case $p \neq 2$ and $p = 2$. Moreover, in Theorem 1.1, h is assumed to be in $C^1[0, T]$, while in linear case, h need only to be in $L^\infty(0, T)$. The authors claimed in [5] that they do not know whether the C^1 assumption on h can be weakened to require $h \in L^\infty(0, T)$. For more recent results on this research area, we refer [2–4, 6, 7] and the references therein.

In this paper, by using a different approach, we give an affirmative answer to this question, moreover, we consider the more general problem (1.1)–(1.2).

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Assume $h \in L^\infty(0, T)$, f is continuous and bounded, moreover the limit $\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} f(u) =: f(+\infty)$ exists and $H(t) = f(+\infty) + h(t) \not\equiv 0$. Let

$$I_H = \int_0^T H(t) \sin_p \left(\frac{\pi_p t}{T} \right) dt. \quad (1.10)$$

If $I_H = 0$ and $\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} |x|^{p-1} [f(x) - f(+\infty)] = 0$, then problem (1.1)–(1.2) has at least one solution. Moreover, if $p \neq 2$, the set of all possible solutions is bounded in $C^1[0, T]$.

Remark 1. After the submission of the original manuscript, the referees informed the author of the reference [7]. In [7], the authors obtained the similarly results, but the methods used in [7] and in this paper are different, neither contains the other. Moreover, Eq. (1.1) is more general than the equation in [5] and [7] and the method used in this paper can be easily applied to the Fučík like asymmetric nonlinearity which contains (1.1) as a special case, see the result obtained in Section 4.

2. Generalized polar coordinates

Let $u = \sin_p t$ be the solution of (1.8)–(1.9). Then from [5], for $t \in [0, \pi_p/2]$, it can be implicitly given by the formula

$$t = \int_0^{\sin_p t} \frac{ds}{(1 - s^p)^{1/p}}$$

and for $t \in [\pi_p/2, \pi_p]$, $\sin_p t = \sin_p(\pi_p - t)$; for $t \in [\pi_p, 2\pi_p]$, $\sin_p t = -\sin_p(2\pi_p - t)$. Moreover $\sin_p t$ is a $2\pi_p$ -periodic C^2 function. Let $q = p/(p-1)$ be the conjugate exponent of p , then Eq. (1.1) can be written as first order differential system

$$u' = \varphi_q(v), \quad v' = -\lambda_1 \varphi_p(u) + f(u) + h(t). \quad (2.1)$$

For simplicity, we can assume $T = \pi_p$ in (1.1)–(1.2) in the rest of this paper. In this case, $\lambda_1 = p-1$ and (1.10) becomes

$$I_H = \int_0^{\pi_p} H(t) \sin_p t \, dt. \quad (2.2)$$

First, we introduce the generalized polar coordinates. Let $(S(t), C(t))$ be the solution of the auxiliary system

$$x' = \varphi_q(y), \quad y' = -\lambda_1 \varphi_p(x) \quad (2.3)$$

satisfying the initial condition $(S(0), C(0)) = (0, 1)$.

Then it is easy to verify that $(S(t), C(t))$ is $2\pi_p$ -periodic and

$$S(t) = \sin_p t, \quad C(t) = \varphi_p(S'(t)), \quad (2.4)$$

$$|C(t)|^q + |S(t)|^p \equiv 1, \quad t \in [0, 2\pi_p]. \quad (2.5)$$

Under the generalized polar coordinates transformation $T: (r, \theta) \rightarrow (u, v)$:

$$T: \quad u = r^{q/2} S(\theta), \quad v = r^{p/2} C(\theta), \quad r > 0, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi_p] \quad (2.6)$$

and by using (2.5), system (2.1) is transformed into the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dr}{dt} &= \frac{2}{p} r^{(2-p)/2} (p-1) S'(\theta) [f(r^{q/2} S(\theta)) + h(t)], \\ \frac{d\theta}{dt} &= 1 - r^{-p/2} S(\theta) [f(r^{q/2} S(\theta)) + h(t)], \quad t \in (0, T). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Condition (1.2) can be seen as $\theta(0) = 0$ or $\theta(0) = \pi_p$, $\theta(\pi_p) = k\pi_p$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see $\theta(0) = 0$ and $\theta(0) = \pi_p$ implies that $u'(0) > 0$ and $u'(0) < 0$, respectively. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\theta(0) = 0$.

Let $\rho = r^{p/2}$, $F(\rho S(\theta)) = f(\rho^{1/(p-1)} S(\theta))$, then (2.7) can be further simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\rho}{dt} &= (p-1) S'(\theta) [F(\rho S(\theta)) + h(t)], \\ \frac{d\theta}{dt} &= 1 - \rho^{-1} S(\theta) [F(\rho S(\theta)) + h(t)], \quad t \in (0, \pi_p). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Let $(\rho(t), \theta(t))$ be the solution of (2.8) with initial value $(\rho(0), \theta(0)) = (\rho_0, 0)$. Then for $\rho_0 \gg 1$, we have the following estimates.

Lemma 1. Assume $f \in C(R)$ and f is bounded with finite limit $\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} f(u) = f(+\infty)$, $h \in L^\infty(0, \pi_p)$. Then

$$\theta(\pi_p) = \pi_p - I_F / \rho_0 + O(\rho_0^{-2}) \quad (2.9)$$

as $\rho_0 \rightarrow +\infty$, where $O(\rho_0^{-2})$ is uniform with respect to all $f + h$ with $\|f + h\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_0$ for some $C_0 > 0$ fixed and

$$\begin{aligned} I_F &= \int_0^{\pi_p} H(t) \sin_p t \, dt \\ &= f(+\infty) \frac{2}{p} (p-1)^{2/p} B\left(\frac{2}{p}, 1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \int_0^{\pi_p} h(t) \sin_p t \, dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where $B(r, s) = \int_0^1 t^{r-1} (1-t)^{s-1} dt$ is the β function for $r > 0$, $s > 0$.

Proof. Since f and h are bounded, we obtain from the first equation of (2.8)

$$\rho(t) = \rho_0 + (p-1) \int_0^t S'(\theta(\tau)) [F(\rho S(\theta(\tau))) + h(\tau)] d\tau, \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\rho^{-1}(t) = \rho_0^{-1} - \rho_0^{-2}(p-1) \int_0^t S'(\theta(\tau))[F+h] d\tau + O(\rho_0^{-3}), \quad t \in (0, \pi_p). \quad (2.12)$$

Substituting (2.12) into the second equation of (2.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\theta}{dt} &= 1 - \rho_0^{-1} S(\theta)[F+h] \\ &\quad + (p-1)\rho_0^{-2} S(\theta)[F+h] \int_0^t S'(\theta(\tau))[F+h] d\tau + O(\rho_0^{-3}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

From $\theta(0) = 0$, we obtain for $t \in [0, \pi_p]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(t) &= t - \rho_0^{-1} \int_0^t S(\theta(\tau)) [F(\rho S(\theta(\tau))) + h(\tau)] d\tau \\ &\quad + \rho_0^{-2} \int_0^t S'(\theta(\tau)) [F+h] \int_0^\tau S(\theta(x)) [F+h] dx d\tau \\ &\quad + (p-1)\rho_0^{-2} \int_0^t S(\theta(x)) [F+h] \int_0^x S'(\theta(\tau)) [F+h] d\tau dx + O(\rho_0^{-3}) \\ &= t - \rho_0^{-1} \int_0^t S(\tau) [F+h] d\tau + O(\rho_0^{-2}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

It follows from (2.14) that

$$\theta(\pi_p) = \pi_p - \rho_0^{-1} \int_0^{\pi_p} H(t) S(t) dt + O(\rho_0^{-2}). \quad (2.15)$$

Since $S(t) = \sin_p t$, we obtain from (1.8)–(1.9) that $u = \sin_p t$ satisfies the equation

$$dt = \frac{du}{(1-u^p)^{1/p}}. \quad (2.16)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\pi_p} S(t) dt &= 2 \int_0^{\pi_p/2} \sin_p t dt = 2 \int_0^1 \frac{u du}{(1-u^p)^{1/p}} \\ &= \frac{2}{p} \int_0^1 v^{2/p-1} (1-v)^{-1/p} dv = \frac{2}{p} B\left(\frac{2}{p}, 1 - \frac{1}{p}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which leads to (2.10), where we used the transformation: $v = u^p$. \square

Lemma 2. Let the conditions of Lemma 1 hold and $I_H = 0$. Moreover, we assume

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} |x|^{p-1} [f(x) - f(+\infty)] = 0. \quad (2.17)$$

Then

$$\theta(\pi_p) = \pi_p + \rho_0 J_H + o(\rho_0^{-2}), \quad (2.18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_H = & \frac{2-p}{2} \left[\int_0^{\pi_p/2} \frac{(\int_t^{\pi_p/2} S'(\tau) H(\tau) d\tau)^2}{|S'(t)|^p} dt \right. \\ & \left. + \int_0^{\pi_p/2} \frac{(\int_t^{\pi_p/2} S'(\pi_p - \tau) H(\tau) d\tau)^2}{|S'(\pi_p - \tau)|^p} dt \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Proof. Since $I_H = 0$, it follows from (2.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\pi_p) = & \pi_p - \rho_0^{-1} \int_0^{\pi_p} S(t) [F(\rho(t) S(\theta(t))) - f(+\infty)] dt \\ & + \rho_0^{-2} \left[(p-1) \int_0^{\pi_p} S(t) \left(\int_0^t S'(\tau) [F+h] d\tau \right) (F+h) dt \right. \\ & \left. + \int_0^{\pi_p} S'(t) [F+h] \left(\int_0^t S(\tau) [F+h] d\tau \right) dt \right] + O(\rho_0^{-3}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

From $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} |x|^{p-1} [f(x) - f(+\infty)] = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\rho_0 \rightarrow +\infty} \left| \int_0^{\pi_p} S(t) [F(\rho(t) S(\theta(t))) - f(+\infty)] dt \right| \rho_0^{-1} \\ & \leq \lim_{\rho_0 \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{\pi_p} |S(t)| \rho_0^{-1} | [F(\rho_0 S(t)) - f(+\infty)] | dt = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

It follows from (2.20) and (2.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} J_H = & \int_0^{\pi_p} S'(t) H(t) \left(\int_0^t S(\tau) H(\tau) d\tau \right) dt \\ & + (p-1) \int_0^{\pi_p} S(t) H(t) \left(\int_0^t S'(\tau) H(\tau) d\tau \right) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Set $u(t) = \int_0^t S(\tau)H(\tau) d\tau$, $v(t) = \int_0^t S'(\tau)H(\tau) d\tau$, then by $u(\pi_p) = I_H = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_H &= \int_0^{\pi_p} u(t)v'(t) dt + (p-1) \int_0^{\pi_p} u'(t)v(t) dt \\ &= u(t)v(t)|_0^{\pi_p} + (p-2) \int_0^{\pi_p} u'(t)v(t) dt = (p-2) \int_0^{\pi_p} u'(t)v(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Set $a = \pi_p/2$ and

$$L = \int_0^{\pi_p} u'(t)v(t) dt = \int_0^a u'(t)v(t) dt + \int_a^{\pi_p} u'(t)v(t) dt =: L_1 + L_2.$$

Then $J_H = (p-2)L$ and

$$L_1 = \int_0^a S(t)H(t) \left(\int_0^t S'(\tau)H(\tau) d\tau \right) dt = \int_0^a \left(\int_t^a S(\tau)H(\tau) d\tau \right) S'(t)H(t) dt.$$

Set $U(t) = \int_t^a S'(\tau)H(\tau) d\tau$, $V(t) \int_t^a = S(\tau)H(\tau) d\tau$, then

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= - \int_0^a U'(t)V(t) dt = U(0)V(0) + \int_0^a U(t)V'(t) dt \\ &= U(0)V(0) + \int_0^a \frac{U(t)U'(t)S(t)}{S'(t)} dt \\ &= U(0)V(0) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{U^2(t)S(t)}{S'(t)} \Big|_0^a - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a U^2(t) \left(1 - \frac{S(t)S''(t)}{(S'(t))^2} \right) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Claim 1. $\lim_{t \rightarrow a} U^2(t)S(t)/S'(t) = 0$.

In fact, by the definition of U , S and L'Hospital's rule, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow a} \frac{U^2(t)S(t)}{S'(t)} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow a} \frac{U^2(t)}{S'(t)} \lim_{t \rightarrow a} S(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow a} \frac{U^2(t)}{S'(t)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow a} \frac{2U(t)U'(t)}{S''(t)} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow a} \frac{-2U(t)|S'(t)|^{p-2}S'(t)}{|S(t)|^{p-2}S(t)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Claim 2. $1 - S(t)S''(t)/(S'(t))^2 = 1/|S'(t)|^p$.

In fact, from (2.5) and $S(t)$ satisfies $|u'|^{p-2}u'' + |u|^{p-2}u = 0$, we obtain

$$1 - \frac{S(t)S''(t)}{(S'(t))^2} = 1 + |S(t)|^p / |S'(t)|^p = \frac{1}{|S'(t)|^p}.$$

It follows from (2.23), Claims 1 and 2 that

$$L_1 = U(0)V(0) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a \frac{(\int_t^a S(\tau)H(\tau) d\tau)^2}{|S'(t)|^p} dt. \quad (2.24)$$

Now we calculate L_2 : let $A(t) = \int_0^t S'(\tau)H(\tau) d\tau$, $B(t) = \int_0^t S(\tau)H(\tau) d\tau$, then from $I_H = 0$, we have $B(\pi_p) = 0$ and

$$L_2 = \int_a^{\pi_p} A(t)B'(t) dt = -A(a)B(a) - \int_a^{\pi_p} A'(t)B(t) dt.$$

Let $t = \pi_p - x$, $\tau = \pi_p - y$, then it is easy to verify that

$$\int_a^{\pi_p} A'(t)B(t) dt = \int_0^a S'(\pi_p - x)H(\pi_p - x) \left(\int_0^x S(\pi_p - y)H(\pi_p - y) dy \right) dx.$$

Similar to the calculation of L_1 , we can verify that

$$L_2 = -A(a)B(a) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a \frac{(\int_t^a S'(\pi_p - \tau)H(\pi_p - \tau) d\tau)^2}{|S'(\pi_p - t)|^p} dt. \quad (2.25)$$

It is evident that $A(a) = U(0)$, $B(a) = V(0)$, hence we obtain from (2.23)–(2.25)

$$\begin{aligned} L = L_1 + L_2 = & -\frac{1}{2} \left[\int_0^a \frac{(\int_t^a S'(\tau)H(\tau) d\tau)^2}{|S'(t)|^p} dt \right. \\ & \left. + \int_0^a \frac{(\int_t^a S'(\pi_p - \tau)H(\pi_p - \tau) d\tau)^2}{|S'(\pi_p - t)|^p} dt \right]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. Let $f \equiv 0$, $S(t) = \sin_p t$, $S'(t) = \cos_p t$. Then J_H reduces to

$$J_H = \frac{2-p}{2} \left[\int_0^a \frac{(\int_t^a h(\tau) \cos_p \tau d\tau)^2 + (\int_t^a h(\pi_p - \tau) \cos_p \tau d\tau)^2}{\cos_p^p t} dt \right],$$

which differs from J_h in [5] only by a constant.

Moreover, the expression of J_h in [5] contains a typing error: π_p should be $\pi_p/2 = a$ in the upper limit of the second integral.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

By using a similar method used in [5], we prove Theorem 1.

Let $X = C_0^1[0, \pi_p] = \{u \in C^1[0, \pi_p]: u(0) = u(\pi_p) = 0\}$ and $R^+ = [0, +\infty)$. For $u \in R$, $\bar{F}(u, \cdot) \in L^\infty(0, \pi_p)$ and $\lambda \in R^+$, define an operator $G_{\lambda, \bar{F}}: X \rightarrow X$ by $G_{\lambda, \bar{F}}(v) = u$ if and only if

$$(\varphi_p(u'))' = \lambda[\bar{F}(v, \lambda^{1/p}t) - \varphi_p(v)], \quad (3.1_\lambda)$$

$$u(0) = u(\pi_p) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Standard arguments based on the Arzela–Ascoli theorem implies that $G_{\lambda, \bar{F}}$ is a well-defined operator which is compact from X in X^* . Moreover, $G_{\lambda, \bar{F}}$ depends continuously on the perturbations of \bar{F} and λ .

The following lemma is a direct consequence of the results of [5] and [6].

Lemma 3. *Let $\deg[I - G_{\lambda, \bar{F}}; B_R(0), 0]$ be the Leray–Schauder degree of $I - G_{\lambda, \bar{F}}$ with respect to $B_R(0)$ and 0, where $R > 0$ and $B_R(0) = \{u \in X: \|u\| < R\}$, I is the identity operator. Then for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ and any $R > 0$,*

$$\deg[I - G_{1-\varepsilon, 0}; B_R(0), 0] = 1, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\deg[I - G_{1+\varepsilon, 0}; B_R(0), 0] = -1. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof of Theorem 1. We distinguish between the two cases $1 < p < 2$ and $p > 2$.

Case $1 < p < 2$. By (2.19), $J_H > 0$ and for $t > \pi_p$, we extend H to $[0, 2\pi_p]$ as a L^∞ function.

We claim that there exists a constant $R > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \in [1, 1 + \varepsilon]$ the boundary value problem

$$(\varphi_p(u'))' + \lambda(p-1)\varphi_p(u) = \lambda[f(u) + h(\lambda^{1/p}t)], \quad (3.5)$$

$$u(0) = u(\pi_p) = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

has no solution with $\|u\|_{C^1[0, \pi_p]} \geq R$.

Suppose on the contrary that there exist sequences $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset C_0^1[0, \pi_p]$, $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset [1, 1 + \varepsilon]$, such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \bar{\lambda} \in [1, 1 + \varepsilon]$ and $\|u_n\|_{C^1[0, \pi_p]} \rightarrow \infty$ and u_n, λ_n satisfy (3.5)–(3.6). From (2.6) and $\rho = r^{p/2}$, we know that $\rho_{0,n} \rightarrow +\infty$. In this case, $v_n(t) = u_n(\lambda_n^{-1/p}t)$ solves the equation

$$(\varphi_p(v_n'))' + (p-1)\varphi_p(v_n) = f(v_n) + h(t),$$

$$v_n(0) = 0,$$

with $\rho_{0,n} \rightarrow +\infty$ and $u_n(\pi_p) = v_n(\lambda_n^{1/p}\pi_p) = 0$. But Lemma 2 and $I_H = 0$ imply $\theta_n(\pi_p) > \pi_p$ for $n \gg 1$. This contradicts the fact $u_n(\pi_p) = v_n(\lambda_n^{1/p}\pi_p) = 0$ because $1 \leq \lambda_n \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ for all $n \in N$. Thus the claim is verified.

By this claim we see that for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, the homotopy $\bar{H}: [1, 1 + \varepsilon] \times X \rightarrow X$ defined by $\bar{H}(u, \lambda) = u - G_{\lambda, \bar{F}_\lambda}(u)$, where $\bar{F}_\lambda = f(u) + h(\lambda^{1/p}t)$, satisfies $\bar{H}(u, \lambda) \neq 0$ for all

$\lambda \in [1, 1 + \varepsilon]$ and $\|u\|_{C^1[0, \pi_p]} \geq R$. Thus, from the homotopy invariance property of the Leray–Schauder degree, we obtain by (3.4),

$$\deg[I - G_{1, \bar{F}}; B_R(0), 0] = \deg[I - G_{1+\varepsilon, \bar{F}_{1+\varepsilon}}; B_R(0), 0] = -1.$$

This proves that for given $\bar{F} = f + h$ satisfying $I_H = 0$, the problem (1.1)–(1.2) has at least one solution. Moreover, it follows from above discussions that all possible solutions of (1.1)–(1.2) are priori bounded in the $C^1[0, \pi_p]$ norm.

The case $p > 2$ can be proved similarly. Thus Theorem 1 is proved. \square

Remark 3. With only minor modifications, we can consider the following more general problem:

$$(\varphi_p(u'))' + (p-1)[\alpha\varphi_p(u^+) - \beta\varphi_p(u^-)] = f(u, t), \quad (3.7)$$

$$u(0) = u(T_0) = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

where $p > 1$, $T_0 = \alpha^{-1/p}\pi_p$, $u^\pm = \max\{\pm u, 0\}$, f is bounded and $\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} f(u, t) = H(t) \in L^\infty(0, T_0)$, $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$ and

$$\alpha^{-1/p} + \beta^{-1/p} = 2. \quad (3.9)$$

In this case (2.9) becomes

$$\theta(T_0) = T_0 - \rho_0^{-1}I_H + O(\rho_0^{-2}), \quad \rho_0 \gg 1, \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$I_H = \int_0^{T_0} H(t)S(t) dt,$$

where $(S(t), C(t))$ is the solution of the initial value problem

$$u' = \varphi_q(v), \quad v' = -\alpha\varphi_p(u^+) + \beta\varphi_p(u^-) \quad (3.11)$$

$$u(0) = 0, \quad v(0) = 1, \quad (3.12)$$

which can be given by

$$\begin{aligned} S(t) &= \begin{cases} \alpha^{-1/p} \sin_p(\alpha^{1/p}t), & t \in [0, T_0], \\ -\beta^{-1/p} \sin_p(\beta^{1/p}(t - T_0)), & t \in [T_0, 2\pi_p], \end{cases} \\ C(t) &= \varphi_p(S'(t)), \quad t \in [0, 2\pi_p]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

If $I_H = 0$ and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} |x|^{p-1} [f(x, t) - H(t)] = 0,$$

then

$$\theta(T_0) = T_0 + \rho_0^{-2}J_H + o(\rho_0^{-2}),$$

where

$$J_H = \frac{2-p}{2} \left[\int_0^{T_0/2} \frac{(\int_t^{T_0/2} S'(\tau) H(\tau) d\tau)^2}{|S'(t)|^p} dt + \int_0^{T_0/2} \frac{(\int_t^{T_0/2} S'(T_0 - \tau) H(\tau) d\tau)^2}{|S'(T_0 - t)|^p} dt \right],$$

which implies that if $I_H = 0$ and $H(t) \not\equiv 0$, then the conclusions of Theorem 1 still hold.

4. Neumann boundary value problem

In this section, we state without proof the solvability of the following Neumann boundary value problem

$$(\varphi_p(u'))' + (p-1)[\alpha\varphi_p(u^+) - \beta\varphi_p(u^-)] = f(u, t) \quad \text{in } (0, T_0), \quad (4.1)$$

$$u'(0) = u'(T_0) = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

where $T_0 = \alpha^{-1/p} \pi_p$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and

$$\alpha^{-1/p} + \beta^{-1/p} = 2. \quad (4.3)$$

Let $(\bar{S}(t), \bar{C}(t))$ be the solution of the following system:

$$x' = \varphi_{p'}(y), \quad y' = -(p-1)[\alpha\varphi_p(x^+) - \beta\varphi_p(x^-)]$$

$$x(0) = 1, \quad y(0) = 0.$$

Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Suppose f is continuous and bounded, the limit

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \pm\infty} f(u, t) = H(t)$$

exists and $H(t) \in L^\infty(0, T_0)$. Then

$$\theta(T_0) = T_0 - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho_0} \bar{I}_H + O(\rho_0^{-2}), \quad \rho_0 \gg 1,$$

where

$$\bar{I}_H = \int_0^{T_0} \bar{S}(t) H(t) dt.$$

If $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$ satisfy (4.3) and $\bar{I}_H = 0$, $H(t) \not\equiv 0$. $\bar{L} < +\infty$ where $\bar{L} > 0$ is given by

$$\bar{L} = \int_0^{T_0/2} \left[\frac{(\int_0^t \bar{S}'(\tau) H(\tau) d\tau)^2}{|\bar{S}'(t)|^p} dt + \frac{(\int_0^t \bar{S}'(T_0 - \tau) H(T_0 - \tau) d\tau)^2}{|\bar{S}'(T_0 - t)|^p} dt \right],$$

then

$$\theta(T_0) = T_0 - \rho_0^{-2} \bar{I}_H + o(\rho_0^{-2})$$

with

$$\bar{I}_H = \left(\frac{p-2}{2} \right) \bar{L}.$$

In this case, the problem (4.1)–(4.2) has at least one solution. Moreover, if $p \neq 2$, then the set of all possible solutions is bounded in $C^1[0, T_0]$.

5. Nonhomogeneous problems

In this section, we deal with the solvability of the following nonhomogeneous problem:

$$(\varphi_p(u'))' + \frac{(p-1)q}{p} \varphi_q(u) = f(u, t), \quad t \in (0, \pi_{pq}), \quad (5.1)$$

$$u(0) = u(\pi_{pq}) = 0, \quad (5.2)$$

where $q \geq p > 1$,

$$\pi_{pq} = \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{(1-s^q)^{1/p}} = \frac{2}{q} B\left(\frac{1}{q}, \frac{p}{p-1}\right),$$

f is bounded and continuous.

If $q = p$, then (5.1)–(5.2) reduces to (1.1)–(1.2) with $f(u, t) = f(u) + h(t)$. Therefore we discuss the case $q > p$ only.

Similar to the results of [4], one can define (with minor modification) the following $2\pi_{pq}$ -periodic function $u = \sin_{pq} t$ as the solution of the following initial value problem:

$$(\varphi_p(u'))' + \frac{(p-1)q}{p} \varphi_q(u) = 0, \quad (5.3)$$

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u'(0) = 1, \quad (5.4)$$

which for $t \in [0, \pi_{pq}/2]$ can be given implicitly by the formula

$$t = \int_0^{\sin_{pq} t} \frac{ds}{(1-s^q)^{1/p}} \quad (5.5)$$

and $\sin_{pq} t = \sin_{pq}(\pi_{pq} - t)$ for $t \in [\pi_{pq}/2, \pi_{pq}]$, $\sin_{pq} t = -\sin_{pq}(2\pi_{pq} - t)$ for $t \in [\pi_{pq}, 2\pi_{pq}]$. Define $\cos_{pq} t = \frac{d}{dt}(\sin_{pq} t)$. Then

$$|\sin_{pq} t|^q + |\cos_{pq} t|^p \equiv 1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (5.6)$$

Let $(\tilde{S}(t), \tilde{C}(t))$ be the solution of the following first order system:

$$x' = \varphi_p^*(y), \quad y' = -\frac{q}{p} \varphi_q(x) \quad (5.7)$$

satisfying $(\tilde{S}(0), \tilde{C}(0)) = (0, 1)$, where $p^* = p/(p-1)$. Then we can verify that

$$\tilde{S}(t) = \sin_{pq} t, \quad \tilde{C}(t) = \varphi(\tilde{S}'(t)) = \varphi_p(\cos_{pq} t). \quad (5.8)$$

Moreover, they satisfy

$$|\tilde{S}(t)|^q + |\tilde{C}(t)|^p \equiv 1, \quad t \in R. \quad (5.9)$$

Rewrite (5.1) as

$$u' = \varphi_{p^*}(v), \quad v' = -\frac{q}{p^*} \varphi_q(u) + f(u, t) \quad (5.10)$$

and define the generalized polar coordinates transformation

$$u = r^{p^*/2} \tilde{S}(\theta), \quad v = r^{q/2} \tilde{C}(\theta), \quad r > 0, \theta \in R. \quad (5.11)$$

Then one can verify that system (5.10) is changed into the form

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = (p-1) \tilde{S}'(\theta) f(u, t), \quad (5.12)$$

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = \rho^\sigma - \frac{p}{q} \rho^{-1} \tilde{S}(\theta) f(u, t), \quad (5.13)$$

where $\rho = r^{q/2}$, $u = \rho^{p^*/q} \tilde{S}(\theta)$, $\sigma = (q-p)/(q(p-1)) > 0$.

Theorem 3. *Let $q > p$ and $f : R \times [0, \pi_{pq}] \rightarrow R$ be continuous and bounded. Then the problem (5.1)–(5.2) has infinitely many solutions $u_n(t)$ and the number of zeros of u_n in $(0, \pi_{pq})$ increases to ∞ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, moreover, $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Sketch of the proof. Now suppose $\theta(0) = 0$, then (5.2) is equivalent to $\theta(\pi_{pq}) = k\pi_{pq}$, $k \in Z$. The assumption $q > p$ implies $\sigma > 0$. Let $\rho(0) = \rho_0 \gg 1$, then it follows from (5.12)

$$\rho(t) = \rho_0 + O(1)$$

and

$$\rho^{-1}(t) = \rho_0^{-1} + o(\rho_0^{-1}), \quad t \in [0, \pi_{pq}]. \quad (5.14)$$

Substituting (5.14) into (5.13), we get

$$\theta(\pi_{pq}) = \rho_0^\sigma \pi_{pq} + o(\rho_0^\sigma) = \rho_0^\sigma \pi_{pq} (1 + o(1)). \quad (5.15)$$

From (5.14) and the fact that $\theta(\pi_{pq})$ depends continuously on ρ_0 , we know that there exist infinitely many $n \in N$ such that

$$\theta(\pi_{pq}) = n\pi_{pq}$$

and $\rho_{0,n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Acknowledgment

The author thanks the referees for their suggestions and the information of the reference [7].

References

- [1] P. Binding, P. Drabek, Y. Huang, On the Fredholm alternative for the p -Laplacian, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997) 3555–3559.
- [2] P. Drabek, P. Girg, R. Manasevich, Generic Fredholm alternative-type results for the one dimensional p -Laplacian, Nonlinear Differ. Equations Appl. 8 (2001) 285–298.
- [3] P. Drabek, G. Holubova, Fredholm alternative for the p -Laplacian in higher dimensions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 263 (2001) 182–194.
- [4] P. Drabek, R. Manasevich, On the closed solution to some nonhomogeneous eigenvalue problems with p -Laplacian, Differential Integral Equations 12 (1999) 773–788.
- [5] M. del Pino, P. Drabek, R. Manasevich, The Fredholm alternative at the first eigenvalue for the one-dimensional p -Laplacian, J. Differential Equations 151 (1999) 386–419.
- [6] M. del Pino, M. Elgueta, R. Manasevich, A homotopic deformation alone p of a Leray–Schauder degree result and existence for $(|u'|^{p-2}u')' + f(t, u) = 0$, $u(0) = u(T) = 0$, $p > 1$, J. Differential Equations 80 (1989) 1–13.
- [7] R. Manasevich, P. Takac, On the Fredholm alternative for the p -Laplacian in one dimension, Proc. London Math. Soc. 84 (2002) 324–342.