

On the existence of periodic solutions for a class of p -Laplacian system

Shiguo Peng^{a,*}, Zhiting Xu^b

^a College of Applied Mathematics, Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou 510090, PR China

^b Department of Mathematics, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510631, PR China

Received 9 May 2005

Available online 20 February 2006

Submitted by R. Manásevich

Abstract

By using generalized Borsuk theorem in coincidence degree theory, some criteria to guarantee the existence of ω -periodic solutions for a class of p -Laplacian system are derived.

© 2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Periodic solutions; p -Laplacian; Coincidence degree

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, $1 < p < \infty$ is a fixed real number. The conjugate exponent of p is denoted by q , i.e., $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Let $\phi_p : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be the mapping defined by

$$\phi_p(u) = \phi_p(u_1, \dots, u_n) := (|u_1|^{p-2}u_1, \dots, |u_n|^{p-2}u_n)^T.$$

Then ϕ_p is a homeomorphism of \mathbf{R}^n with the inverse ϕ_q .

In this paper, we will consider the existence of periodic solutions of the following system:

$$(\phi_p(u'(t)))' + \frac{d}{dt} \text{grad } F(u(t)) + \text{grad } G(u(t)) = e(t), \quad (1.1)$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: pengzhanghao@tom.com (S. Peng).

¹ Supported by the National Natural Sciences Foundation of the People's Republic of China under Grant 60572073.

where $F \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R})$, $G \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R})$, $e \in C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^n)$, $e(t + \omega) \equiv e(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$, $\omega > 0$ is fixed.

In recent years, the existence of periodic solutions of (1.1) for $p = 2$ has been extensively studied (see [1–7]). In [5], by using Krasnoselskii’s fixed point theorem, Ding proved the following result.

Theorem A. (See [5].) *Suppose that there exist constants $c_0 > 0$, $a_0 > 0$, $a_1 > 0$, $b_0 \geq 0$, $b_1 \geq 0$, and $\alpha > 1$, such that*

- (a) $y^T \frac{\partial^2 F(x)}{\partial x^2} y \geq c_0 |y|_2^2, \forall x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$,
- (b) $G(x) \geq 0$ and $G(x) \geq a_0 |x|_2^\alpha - b_0, \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^n$,
- (c) $x^T \text{grad } G(x) \geq a_1 G(x) - b_1, \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

Then (1.1) has at least one ω -periodic solution for $p = 2$.

Many results were also given by using topological degree theory; see, for example, [1–4,6,7] and the references therein. Some researchers discussed the existence of periodic solutions to scalar p -Laplacian differential equations in [8–11,13,14]. But the existence of periodic solutions of (1.1) for $p \neq 2$ and $n > 1$, as far as we know, has rarely been studied. For general differential systems of p -Laplacian type, M.R. Zhang [12] has considered the Dirichlet boundary value problems

$$-(\phi_p(u'(t)))' = f(t, u(t), u'(t)), \quad t \in [0, \omega], \quad u(0) = u(\omega) = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

R. Manásevich and J. Mawhin [13] have discussed the periodic boundary value problems

$$(\phi(u'(t)))' = f(t, u(t), u'(t)), \quad t \in [0, \omega], \quad u(0) = u(\omega), \quad u'(0) = u'(\omega), \tag{1.3}$$

where the function $\phi : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ satisfies some monotonicity conditions which ensure that ϕ is an homeomorphism onto \mathbf{R}^n . They have also given some applications for $\phi = \phi_p$ in [13]. On basis of application of Schauder’s fixed point theorem, Mawhin [14] generalized the Hartman–Knobloch results on the periodic boundary value problem in [15,16] to perturbations of the vector p -Laplacian ordinary operator of the form

$$(\psi_p(u'))' = f(t, u), \tag{1.4}$$

where $\psi_p : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is defined by $\psi_p(u) = |u|^{p-2}u$.

The purpose of this paper is to establish some criteria to guarantee the existence of ω -periodic solutions for (1.1) by using coincidence degree theory. The methods used to estimated a priori bound of periodic solutions are different from the corresponding ones in [1–7]. Furthermore, the significance of this paper is that Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 do not impose any other condition on the function $F(x)$ besides F is twice continuously differentiable. When $p = 2$, the results in this paper are also different from those in [1–7].

In what follows, we will use $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to denote the Euclidean inner product in \mathbf{R}^n , $|\cdot|_p$ denotes the l^p -norm in \mathbf{R}^n , i.e.,

$$|x|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

The norm in $\mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ is defined by

$$\|A\|_p = \sup_{|x|_p=1, x \in \mathbf{R}^n} |Ax|_p.$$

The corresponding L^p -norm in $L^p([0, \omega], \mathbf{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$\|x\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\omega |x_i(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

The L^∞ -norm in $L^\infty([0, \omega], \mathbf{R}^n)$ is

$$\|x\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|x_i\|_\infty,$$

where $\|x_i\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in [0, \omega]} |x_i(t)|$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$).

2. Preliminaries

Let X and Z be real normed vector spaces, $L : \text{Dom } L \subset X \rightarrow Z$ be a linear mapping, and $N : X \rightarrow Z$ be a continuous mapping. The mapping L will be called a Fredholm mapping of index zero if $\dim \ker L = \text{codim Im } L < +\infty$ and $\text{Im } L$ is closed in Z . If L is a Fredholm mapping of index zero and there exist continuous projections $P : X \rightarrow X$ and $Q : Z \rightarrow Z$ such that $\text{Im } P = \ker L$, $\text{Im } L = \ker Q = \text{Im}(I - Q)$, it follows that $L|_{\text{Dom } L \cap \ker P} : (I - P)X \rightarrow \text{Im } L$ is invertible. We denote the inverse of that mapping by K_P . If Ω is an open bounded subset of X , the mapping N will be called L -compact on $\tilde{\Omega}$ if $QN(\tilde{\Omega})$ is bounded and $K_P(I - Q)N : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow X$ is compact.

In the proof of our results on existence of periodic solutions below, we will use the following generalized Borsuk theorem in coincidence degree of Gaines and Mawhin [17, p. 31].

Lemma 2.1. *Let L be a Fredholm mapping of index zero. Ω is an open bounded subset of X and Ω is symmetric with respect to the origin and contains it. Let $\tilde{N} : \tilde{\Omega} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Z$ be L -compact and such that*

- (a) $\tilde{N}(-x, 0) = -\tilde{N}(x, 0), \forall x \in \tilde{\Omega}$,
- (b) $Lx \neq \tilde{N}(x, \lambda), \forall x \in \text{Dom } L \cap \partial\Omega$.

Then for every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, equation

$$Lx = \tilde{N}(x, \lambda)$$

has at least one solution in Ω .

Let $W = W^{1, p}([0, \omega], \mathbf{R}^n)$ be the Sobolev space.

Lemma 2.2. (See [12].) *Suppose $u \in W$ and $u(0) = u(\omega) = 0$, then*

$$\|u\|_p \leq \frac{\omega}{\pi_p} \|u'\|_p,$$

where

$$\pi_p = 2 \int_0^{(p-1)^{1/p}} \frac{ds}{(1 - \frac{s^p}{p-1})^{1/p}} = \frac{2\pi(p-1)^{1/p}}{p \sin(\frac{\pi}{p})}.$$

In order to use coincidence degree theory to study the existence of ω -periodic solutions for (1.1), we rewrite (1.1) in the following form:

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = \phi_q(y(t)), \\ y'(t) = -\frac{d}{dt} \text{grad } F(x(t)) - \text{grad } G(x(t)) + e(t). \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

If $z(t) = (x^T(t), y^T(t))^T$ is an ω -periodic solution of (2.1), then $x(t)$ must be an ω -periodic solution of (1.1). Thus, the problem of finding an ω -periodic solution for (1.1) reduces to finding one for (2.1).

Let $C_\omega = \{x \in C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^n): x(t + \omega) \equiv x(t)\}$ with norm $\|x\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|x_i\|_\infty$, $X = Z = \{z = (x^T(\cdot), y^T(\cdot))^T \in C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^{2n}): z(t + \omega) \equiv z(t)\}$ with norm $\|z\| = \max\{\|x\|_\infty, \|y\|_\infty\}$. Clearly, X and Z are Banach spaces. Meanwhile, let

$$\begin{aligned} L : \text{Dom } L \subset X &\rightarrow Z, (Lz)(t) = z'(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x'(t) \\ y'(t) \end{pmatrix}, \\ N : X &\rightarrow Z, (Nz)(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_q(y(t)) \\ -\frac{d}{dt} \text{grad } F(x(t)) - \text{grad } G(x(t)) + e(t) \end{pmatrix} := H(z, t). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\ker L = \mathbf{R}^{2n}$, $\text{Im } L = \{z \in Z: \int_0^\omega z(s) ds = 0\}$. So L is a Fredholm operator with index zero. Let $P : X \rightarrow \ker L$ and $Q : Z \rightarrow \text{Im } Q$ be defined by

$$Pu = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega u(s) ds, \quad u \in X; \quad Qv = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega v(s) ds, \quad v \in Z,$$

and let K_P denote the inverse of $L|_{\ker P \cap \text{Dom } L}$. Obviously, $\ker L = \text{Im } Q = \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ and

$$(K_P z)(t) = \int_0^t z(s) ds - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega \int_0^t z(s) ds dt. \tag{2.2}$$

From (2.2), one can easily see that N is L -compact on $\bar{\Omega}$, where Ω is an open bounded subset of X .

3. Existence of periodic solutions

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that there exist constants $a > 0, b > 0, c \geq 0$ and $\alpha > 1$, such that*

- (i) $y^T \frac{\partial^2 F(x)}{\partial x^2} y \geq a|y|_2^2$ or $y^T \frac{\partial^2 F(x)}{\partial x^2} y \leq -a|y|_2^2, \forall x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$,
- (ii) $\langle x, \text{grad } G(x) \rangle \geq b|x|_\alpha^\alpha - c, \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

Then (1.1) has at least one ω -periodic solution for $1 < p \leq 2$.

Proof. For any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, let

$$\tilde{N}(z, \lambda)(t) = \frac{1 + \lambda}{2} H(z, t) - \frac{1 - \lambda}{2} H(-z, t).$$

Consider the following parameter equation:

$$(Lz)(t) = \tilde{N}(z, \lambda)(t). \tag{3.1}$$

Let $z(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix}$ be a possible ω -periodic solution of (3.1) for some $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. One can see $x = x(t)$ is an ω -periodic solution of the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\phi_p(x'(t)))' + \frac{1+\lambda}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \text{grad } F(x(t)) - \frac{1-\lambda}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \text{grad } F(-x(t)) \\ & + \frac{1+\lambda}{2} \text{grad } G(x(t)) - \frac{1-\lambda}{2} \text{grad } G(-x(t)) = \lambda e(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Noticing that $x(t)$ is an ω -periodic solution, we have

$$-\|x'\|_p^p = \int_0^\omega \langle x, (\phi_p(x'))' \rangle dt, \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\omega \left\langle x(t), \frac{d}{dt} \text{grad } F(x(t)) \right\rangle dt &= \langle x(t), \text{grad } F(x(t)) \rangle \Big|_0^\omega - \int_0^\omega \langle \text{grad } F(x(t)), x'(t) \rangle dt \\ &= -F(x(t)) \Big|_0^\omega = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

From (ii), by (3.3) and (3.4), we can use (3.2) to obtain

$$-\|x'\|_p^p + b\|x\|_\alpha^\alpha - c\omega \leq \lambda \int_0^\omega \langle x(t), e(t) \rangle dt \leq \|e\|_\beta \|x\|_\alpha, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1$.

On the other hand,

$$\int_0^\omega \langle x'(t), (\phi_p(x'(t)))' \rangle dt = \int_0^\omega \langle \phi_q(y(t)), y'(t) \rangle dt = 0.$$

From (3.2), we have

$$\int_0^\omega \left\langle x'(t), \frac{1+\lambda}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \text{grad } F(x(t)) - \frac{1-\lambda}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \text{grad } F(-x(t)) \right\rangle dt = \lambda \int_0^\omega \langle x', e(t) \rangle dt.$$

By (i), one can get

$$a\|x'\|_2^2 \leq \|e\|_2 \|x'\|_2.$$

So, we have

$$\|x'\|_2 \leq \frac{\|e\|_2}{a} := R_1. \tag{3.6}$$

It is obvious that there exist $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$c_1|x|_2 \leq |x|_p \leq c_2|x|_2, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x'\|_p^p &= \int_0^\omega |x'(t)|_p^p dt \leq c_2^p \int_0^\omega |x'(t)|_2^p dt \leq c_2^p \left(\int_0^\omega |x'(t)|_2^2 dt \right)^{p/2} \omega^{(2-p)/2} \\ &\leq (c_2 R_1)^p \omega^{(2-p)/2} := R_2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $1 < p \leq 2$.

From (3.5), we can see

$$b \|x\|_\alpha^\alpha - \|e\|_\beta \|x\|_\alpha - c\omega \leq R_2,$$

from which it follows that there exists a positive number R_3 such that

$$\|x\|_\alpha \leq R_3. \tag{3.8}$$

From (3.8), there exists $t_0 \in [0, \omega)$, such that $|x(t_0)|_\alpha \leq R_3 \omega^{-1/\alpha}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} |x_i(t)| &= \left| x_i(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t x'_i(s) ds \right| \leq R_3 \omega^{-1/\alpha} + \sqrt{\omega} \left(\int_0^\omega (x'_i(s))^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq R_3 \omega^{-1/\alpha} + \sqrt{\omega} R_1 := R_4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|x\|_\infty \leq R_4$ and $|x(t)|_p \leq n^{1/p} R_4$.

Since $F \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R})$, $G \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R})$, there exist R_5 and R_6 such that $\|\frac{\partial^2 F(x)}{\partial x^2}\|_p \leq R_5$, $|\text{grad } G(x)|_p \leq R_6$ for $|x|_p \leq n^{1/p} R_4$. From (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\omega |(\phi_p(x'))'|_p dt &\leq R_5 \int_0^\omega |x'|_p dt + R_6 \omega + \int_0^\omega |e(t)|_p dt \\ &\leq R_5 \omega^{1/q} \|x'\|_p + R_6 \omega + \int_0^\omega |e(t)|_p dt \\ &\leq R_5 \omega^{1/q} R_2^{1/p} + R_6 \omega + \int_0^\omega |e(t)|_p dt := R_7. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Clearly, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, there exists $t_i \in (0, \omega)$, such that $x'_i(t_i) = 0$. Thus, for any $t \in [0, \omega]$, we have

$$|y_i(t)| = |\phi_p(x'_i(t))| = |\phi_p(x'_i(t)) - \phi_p(x'_i(t_i))| = \left| \int_{t_i}^t (\phi_p(x'_i(s)))' ds \right| \leq R_7.$$

Therefore $\|y\|_\infty \leq R_7$.

Choose a number $R_8 > \max(R_4, R_7)$, let $\Omega = \{z \in X: \|z\| < R_8\}$, then $Lz \neq \tilde{N}(z, \lambda)$ for any $z \in \text{Dom } L \cap \partial\Omega$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. It is easy to see \tilde{N} is L -compact on $\tilde{\Omega} \times [0, 1]$, $Lz = \tilde{N}(z, 1)$ is (2.1) and $\tilde{N}(-z, 0) = -\tilde{N}(z, 0)$. From Lemma 2.1, (2.1) has at least one ω -periodic solution $\tilde{z}(t) = (\tilde{x}(t), \tilde{y}(t))$, $\tilde{x}(t)$ is an ω -periodic solution of (1.1). \square

Remark 3.1. We see that the conditions in Theorem A are also valid to (1.1) for $1 < p < 2$. When $p = 2$, the conditions in Theorem 3.1 are weaker than those in Theorem A.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that there exist constants $b \geq 0$, $c \geq 0$ and $d > 0$, such that*

- (I) $\langle x, \text{grad } G(x) \rangle \leq b|x|_p^p + c, \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^n$,
- (II) $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, either $x_i[\frac{\partial G(x)}{\partial x_i} - \bar{e}_i] > 0$ or $x_i[\frac{\partial G(x)}{\partial x_i} - \bar{e}_i] < 0$ for $|x_i| > d$, where $\bar{e}_i = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega e_i(t) dt$. Then (1.1) has at least one ω -periodic solution for $b < (\frac{\pi_p}{\omega})^p$.

Proof. We also consider Eqs. (3.1). Let $z(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix}$ be a possible ω -periodic solution of (3.1), from (I) and (3.2), we have

$$-\|x'\|_p^p + b\|x\|_p^p + c\omega \geq \lambda \int_0^\omega \langle x(t), e(t) \rangle dt \geq -\|e\|_q \|x\|_p,$$

i.e.,

$$\|x'\|_p^p \leq b\|x\|_p^p + \|e\|_q \|x\|_p + c\omega. \tag{3.10}$$

Integrating both sides of (3.2) over $[0, \omega]$, we get

$$\frac{1 + \lambda}{2} \int_0^\omega \left[\frac{\partial G(x(t))}{\partial x_i} - \bar{e}_i \right] dt - \frac{1 - \lambda}{2} \int_0^\omega \left[\frac{\partial G(-x(t))}{\partial x_i} - \bar{e}_i \right] dt = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

So there exist $\tilde{t}_i \in [0, \omega]$ such that

$$\frac{1 + \lambda}{2} \left[\frac{\partial G(x(\tilde{t}_i))}{\partial x_i} - \bar{e}_i \right] - \frac{1 - \lambda}{2} \left[\frac{\partial G(-x(\tilde{t}_i))}{\partial x_i} - \bar{e}_i \right] dt = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

From (II), one can see $|x_i(\tilde{t}_i)| \leq d$. Let $\chi_i(t) = x_i(t + \tilde{t}_i) - x_i(\tilde{t}_i)$, $\chi(t) = (\chi_1(t), \dots, \chi_n(t))^T$, then $\chi(0) = \chi(\omega) = 0$, by Lemma 2.2, one can obtain

$$\|\chi\|_p \leq \frac{\omega}{\pi_p} \|\chi'\|_p. \tag{3.11}$$

Noticing the periodicity of $x(t)$, we have

$$\|x_i\|_p^p = \int_0^\omega |x_i(t)|^p dt = \int_0^\omega |x_i(t + \tilde{t}_i)|^p dt \leq \int_0^\omega (|\chi_i(t)| + d)^p dt \leq (\|\chi_i\|_p + \omega^{1/p} d)^p.$$

So from Minkovski’s inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_p &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\|\chi_i\|_p + \omega^{1/p} d)^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \|\chi\|_p + (n\omega)^{1/p} d \leq \frac{\omega}{\pi_p} \|\chi'\|_p + (n\omega)^{1/p} d = \frac{\omega}{\pi_p} \|x'\|_p + (n\omega)^{1/p} d. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

In view of (3.10), we get

$$\|x'\|_p^p \leq b \left(\frac{\omega}{\pi_p} \|x'\|_p + (n\omega)^{1/p} d \right)^p + \|e\|_q \left(\frac{\omega}{\pi_p} \|x'\|_p + (n\omega)^{1/p} d \right) + c\omega. \tag{3.13}$$

Since $b(\frac{\omega}{\pi_p})^p < 1$, from (3.13), there exists a constant $R_9 > 0$, such that

$$\|x'\|_p \leq R_9. \tag{3.14}$$

Therefore,

$$\|x\|_p \leq \frac{\omega}{\pi_p} R_9 + (n\omega)^{1/p} d := R_{10}. \tag{3.15}$$

From (3.14) and (3.15), we know that the rest of the proof of the theorem is similar to that of Theorem 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that there exist constants $b > 0$, $c \geq 0$ and $\alpha > 1$, such that*

$$\langle x, \text{grad } G(x) \rangle \leq -b|x|_\alpha^\alpha + c, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Then (1.1) has at least one ω -periodic solution.

Proof. Consider the parameter equation (3.1), suppose that $z(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix}$ is a possible ω -periodic solution of (3.1). From the condition of this theorem and (3.2), we have

$$-\|x'\|_p^p - b\|x\|_\alpha^\alpha + c\omega \geq \lambda \int_0^\omega \langle x(t), e(t) \rangle dt \geq -\|e\|_\beta \|x\|_\alpha,$$

i.e.,

$$0 \leq \|x'\|_p^p \leq -b\|x\|_\alpha^\alpha + \|e\|_\beta \|x\|_\alpha + c\omega, \tag{3.16}$$

where $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1$.

It follows that there exist two constants $R_{11} > 0$ and $R_{12} > 0$ such that

$$\|x\|_\alpha \leq R_{11}, \quad \|x'\|_p \leq R_{12}. \tag{3.17}$$

From the proof of Theorem 3.1, we know that (1.1) has at least one ω -periodic solution. \square

As applications, we list the following examples.

Example 3.1. Consider the following system:

$$(\phi_p(u'(t)))' + \frac{d}{dt} \text{grad } F(u(t)) + \text{grad } G(u(t)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \sin(2t) \\ 2 - \cos(2t) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.18}$$

where $F \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{R})$, $G \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{R})$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (x_1, x_2)^T, \\ F(x_1, x_2) &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 - \frac{x_1 x_2}{2} - \sqrt{1 + x_1^2}, \\ G(x_1, x_2) &= x_1^4 + x_1^3 - \frac{1}{4} x_1^2 x_2^2 + x_2^4, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$y^T \frac{\partial^2 F(x)}{\partial x^2} y \geq \frac{3 - \sqrt{2}}{2} |y|_2^2, \quad y = (y_1, y_2)^T, \quad \langle x, \text{grad } G(x) \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2} |x|_4^4 - 3, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^2.$$

By Theorem 3.1, (3.18) has at least one π -periodic solution when $1 < p \leq 2$.

Example 3.2. We also consider (3.18). Let $p = 4$, $\omega = \pi$, $G(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{8}x_1^4 - \frac{1}{2}x_2^2$, then

$$\langle x, \text{grad } G(x) \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|_4^4 + \frac{1}{2}, \quad \left(\frac{\pi_4}{\omega}\right)^4 = \frac{3}{4},$$

$$\lim_{|x_1| \rightarrow \infty} x_1 \left(\frac{\partial G(x)}{\partial x_1} - \bar{e}_1 \right) = \lim_{|x_1| \rightarrow \infty} x_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}x_1^3 - 1 \right) = +\infty,$$

$$\lim_{|x_2| \rightarrow \infty} x_2 \left(\frac{\partial G(x)}{\partial x_2} - \bar{e}_2 \right) = \lim_{|x_2| \rightarrow \infty} (-x_2^2 - 2x_2) = -\infty,$$

so there exists $d > 0$, such that $x_1 \left(\frac{\partial G(x)}{\partial x_1} - \bar{e}_1 \right) > 0$ for $|x_1| > d$, $x_2 \left(\frac{\partial G(x)}{\partial x_2} - \bar{e}_2 \right) < 0$ for $|x_2| > d$. By Theorem 3.2, (3.18) has at least one π -periodic solution for any $F \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{R})$.

If we set $G(x_1, x_2) = -x_1^4 - x_1^3 + \frac{1}{4}x_1^2x_2^2 - x_2^4$, then $\langle x, \text{grad } G(x) \rangle \leq -\frac{1}{2}|x|_4^4 + 3$, from Theorem 3.3, one can see that (3.18) has at least one π -periodic solution for any $F \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{R})$ and $p > 1$.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank an anonymous referee for a careful reading of the manuscript and helpful remarks.

References

- [1] N.P. Cac, Periodic solutions of a second order nonlinear system, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 214 (1997) 219–232.
- [2] W. Ge, On the existence of harmonic solutions of Lienard system, Nonlinear Anal. 16 (1991) 183–190.
- [3] J.P. Gossez, P. Omari, Periodic solutions of a second order ordinary differential equations: A necessary and sufficient condition for nonresonance, J. Differential Equations 94 (1991) 67–82.
- [4] R. Reissing, Extension of some results concerning the generalized Lienard equation, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 104 (4) (1975) 269–281.
- [5] W.Y. Ding, On the existence of periodic solutions for Lienard systems, Acta Math. Sinica 25 (1982) 627–632 (in Chinese).
- [6] X. Huang, W. Ge, On the existence of periodic solutions for n -dimensional Lienard system, Acta Math. Appl. Sin. 14 (1991) 368–375.
- [7] S. Peng, S. Zhu, Existence and uniqueness of periodic solutions for periodically perturbed non-conservative systems, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A 24 (2003) 293–298.
- [8] M.A. del Pino, M. Elgueta, R. Manasevich, A homotopic deformation along p of a Leray–Schauder degree result and existence for $(|u'|^{p-2}u')' + f(t, u) = 0$, $u(0) = u(T) = 0$, $p > 1$, J. Differential Equations 80 (1989) 1–13.
- [9] M.A. del Pino, R. Manasevich, Multiple solutions for the p -Laplacian under global nonresonance, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 112 (1991) 131–138.
- [10] C. Fabry, D. Fayyad, Periodic solutions of second order differential equations with a p -Laplacian and asymmetric nonlinearities, Rend. Istit. Univ. Trieste 24 (1992) 207–227.
- [11] W.S. Cheung, J.L. Ren, On the existence of periodic solutions for p -Laplacian generalized Lienard equation, Nonlinear Anal. 60 (2004) 65–75.
- [12] M.R. Zhang, Nonuniform nonresonance at the first eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian, Nonlinear Anal. 29 (1) (1997) 41–51.
- [13] R. Manasevich, J. Mawhin, Periodic solutions for nonlinear systems with p -Laplacian-like operators, J. Differential Equations 145 (1998) 367–393.
- [14] J. Mawhin, Some boundary value problems for Hartman-type perturbations of the ordinary vector p -Laplacian, Nonlinear Anal. 40 (2000) 497–503.
- [15] Ph. Hartman, Ordinary Differential Equations, Wiley, New York, 1964.
- [16] H.W. Knobloch, On the existence of periodic solutions for second order vector differential equations, J. Differential Equations 9 (1971) 67–85.
- [17] R.E. Gaines, J. Mawhin, Coincidence Degree and Nonlinear Differential Equations, Springer, Berlin, 1977.