

Region of variability of two subclasses of univalent functions

S. Ponnusamy*, A. Vasudevarao

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai-600 036, India

Received 20 July 2006

Available online 8 December 2006

Submitted by B.C. Berndt

Abstract

Let \mathcal{F}_1 (\mathcal{F}_2 respectively) denote the class of analytic functions f in the unit disk $|z| < 1$ with $f(0) = 0 = f'(0) - 1$ satisfying the condition $\operatorname{Re} P_f(z) < 3/2$ ($\operatorname{Re} P_f(z) > -1/2$ respectively) in $|z| < 1$, where $P_f(z) = 1 + zf''(z)/f'(z)$. For any fixed z_0 in the unit disk and $\lambda \in [0, 1)$, we shall determine the region of variability for $\log f'(z_0)$ when f ranges over the class $\{f \in \mathcal{F}_1: f''(0) = -\lambda\}$ and $\{f \in \mathcal{F}_2: f''(0) = 3\lambda\}$, respectively.

© 2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Analytic; Univalent; Close-to-convex; Starlike functions; Variability region

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{D} := \{z: |z| < 1\}$ be the unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} and \mathcal{H} denote the space of all analytic functions on \mathbb{D} . Here we think of \mathcal{H} as a topological vector space endowed with the topology of uniform convergence over compact subsets of \mathbb{D} . Further, let $\mathcal{A} := \{f \in \mathcal{H}: f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0\}$ and \mathcal{S} denote the class of *univalent* functions in \mathcal{A} .

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is called *starlike* if $f(\mathbb{D})$ is a starlike domain with respect to the origin, and the class of univalent starlike functions is denoted by \mathcal{S}^* . It is called *convex* if $f(\mathbb{D})$ is a convex domain. Finally, it is called *close-to-convex* if there exists a convex (univalent) function g and a number $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\phi} f'(z)/g'(z)) > 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Each univalent starlike function f is

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: samy@iitm.ac.in (S. Ponnusamy), alluvasu@iitm.ac.in (A. Vasudevarao).

characterized by the analytic condition $\operatorname{Re}(zf'(z)/f(z)) > 0$ in \mathbb{D} . Also, it is known that zf' is starlike if and only if f is convex, and that every close-to-convex function is univalent in \mathbb{D} . For a general reference about these definitions we refer [3,4].

Let \mathcal{F}_1 (\mathcal{F}_2 respectively) denote the subclass of locally univalent normalized functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} P_f(z) < \frac{3}{2} \quad \left(\operatorname{Re} P_f(z) > -\frac{1}{2} \text{ respectively} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where

$$P_f(z) = 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (1.1)$$

It is known that (see [7, Eq. (16)] and [8]) $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{S}^*$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 \subset \mathcal{K}$. Here \mathcal{K} denotes the class of all close-to-convex functions. For $f \in \mathcal{F}_j$ ($j = 1, 2$), we denote by $\log f'$ the single-valued branch of the logarithm of f' with $\log f'(0) = 0$. Using the well-known Herglotz representation for analytic function with positive real part in \mathbb{D} , we can write that if $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$, then there exists a unique positive unit measure μ on $(-\pi, \pi]$ such that

$$3 - 2 \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1 + ze^{-it}}{1 - ze^{-it}} d\mu(t).$$

This easily gives

$$\log f'(z) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log(1 - ze^{-it}) d\mu(t).$$

It follows that for each fixed $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ the region of variability

$$V_1(z_0) = \{\log f'(z_0) : f \in \mathcal{F}_1\}$$

coincides with the set $\{\log(1 - z) : |z| \leq |z_0|\}$. Similarly if $f \in \mathcal{F}_2$ then applying the Herglotz formula we may write

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1 + ze^{-it}}{1 - ze^{-it}} d\mu(t)$$

from which we can easily deduce that

$$\log f'(z) = 3 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log \left(\frac{1}{1 - ze^{-it}} \right) d\mu(t)$$

and so for each fixed $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ the region of variability $V_2(z_0) = \{\log f'(z_0) : f \in \mathcal{F}_2\}$ coincides with the set $\{-3 \log(1 - z) : |z| \leq |z_0|\}$.

For our discussion, we need alternate representations for functions in \mathcal{F}_j ($j = 1, 2$). Let \mathcal{B}_0 be the class of analytic functions ω in \mathbb{D} such that $|\omega(z)| \leq 1$ in \mathbb{D} and $\omega(0) = 0$. It is a simple exercise to see that each f in \mathcal{F}_1 (\mathcal{F}_2 respectively) has the representation $P_f(0) = 1$ and

$$\omega_f(z) = \frac{P_f(z) - 1}{P_f(z) + 2} \quad \left(\frac{P_f(z) - 1}{P_f(z) + 2} \text{ respectively} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad (1.2)$$

for some $\omega_f(z) \in \mathcal{B}_0$, and conversely. A simple application of the Schwarz lemma shows that if $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$, then $|f''(0)| = |-w'_f(0)| \leq 1$, whereas if $f \in \mathcal{F}_2$, then one has $|f''(0)| = |3w'_f(0)| \leq 3$.

One might question the significance of the classes \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 but on the positive side, we give a precise description of the region of variability of $\log f'(z_0)$ which always is a nice feature. To make this point precise, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: |z| \leq 1\}$ and for $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ fixed, we define

$$\mathcal{C}_1(\lambda) = \{f \in \mathcal{F}_1: f''(0) = -\lambda\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_2(\lambda) = \{f \in \mathcal{F}_2: f''(0) = 3\lambda\},$$

$$V_j(z_0, \lambda) = \{\log f'(z_0): f \in \mathcal{C}_j(\lambda)\}, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2.$$

Recently, the region of variability for functions of bounded derivative and of positive real part has been discussed in [9]. Also, the region of variability of $\log f'(z_0)$ when f ranges over the class of convex functions f with $f''(0) = 2\lambda$ has been investigated in [10]. See also [3, Exercises 10, 11 and 13 in Chapter 2].

In the present paper we wish to determine explicitly the region of variability $V_j(z_0, \lambda)$ of $\log f'(z_0)$ when f ranges over the class $\mathcal{C}_j(\lambda)$, $j = 1, 2$.

We need some more preparation before we proceed to achieve our goal. For a positive integer p , let

$$(\mathcal{S}^*)^p = \{f = f_0^p: f_0 \in \mathcal{S}^*\}$$

and recall the following special result.

Lemma 1.3. *Let f be an analytic function in \mathbb{D} with $f(z) = z^p + \dots$. If*

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(z \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > -1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

then $f \in (\mathcal{S}^)^p$.*

Although we could not find any historical reference for a proof of Lemma 1.3, it might be well known (see [4,5]), and we refer to [9] for an analytic proof of the lemma.

2. Basic properties of V_1, V_2 , and main results

We now begin our discussion with a number of basic properties of the set $V_j(z_0, \lambda)$, $j = 1, 2$.

(1) For each $j = 1, 2$, $V_j(z_0, \lambda)$ is compact. For each $j = 1, 2$, since $\mathcal{C}_j(\lambda)$ is compact subset of \mathcal{A} , it follows that $V_j(z_0, \lambda)$ is compact.

(2) For each $j = 1, 2$, $V_j(z_0, \lambda)$ is convex. Indeed, if $f_0, f_1 \in \mathcal{C}_j(\lambda)$ ($j = 1, 2$) and $0 \leq t \leq 1$, then the function

$$f_t(z) = \int_0^z \exp\{(1-t)\log f'_0(\zeta) + t\log f'_1(\zeta)\} d\zeta = \int_0^z (f'_0(\zeta))^{1-t} (f'_1(\zeta))^t d\zeta$$

also belongs to $\mathcal{C}_j(\lambda)$. Since $\log f'_t(z_0) = (1-t)\log f'_0(z_0) + t\log f'_1(z_0)$, the convexity of $V_j(z_0, \lambda)$ is evident.

(3) If $|\lambda| = 1$ or $z_0 = 0$, then

$$V_1(z_0, \lambda) = \{\log(1 - \lambda z_0)\} \quad \text{and} \quad V_2(z_0, \lambda) = \{-3\log(1 - \lambda z_0)\}.$$

For $|\lambda| < 1$ and $z_0 \neq 0$, the set $V_1(z_0, \lambda)$ has $\log(1 - \lambda z_0)$ as an interior point, whereas the set $V_2(z_0, \lambda)$ has $-3 \log(1 - \lambda z_0)$ as an interior point.

Indeed, if $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and if $|\lambda| = |\omega'_f(0)| = 1$, then it follows from the Schwarz lemma that $\omega_f(z) = \lambda z$, which implies that

$$P_f(z) = \frac{1 - 2\lambda z}{1 - \lambda z} \quad \text{and} \quad \log f'(z) = \log(1 - \lambda z)$$

showing that $V_1(z_0, \lambda) = \{\log(1 - \lambda z_0)\}$. This also trivially holds for $z_0 = 0$. Now, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ and $a \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, we introduce

$$\delta(z, \lambda) = \frac{z + \lambda}{1 + \bar{\lambda}z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

$$F_{a,\lambda}(z) = \int_0^z \exp \left\{ \int_0^{\zeta_2} \frac{\delta(a\zeta_1, \lambda)}{\zeta_1 \delta(a\zeta_1, \lambda) - 1} d\zeta_1 \right\} d\zeta_2, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$H_{a,\lambda}(z) = \int_0^z \exp \left\{ \int_0^{\zeta_2} \frac{3\delta(a\zeta_1, \lambda)}{1 - \zeta_1 \delta(a\zeta_1, \lambda)} d\zeta_1 \right\} d\zeta_2, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (2.2)$$

Then it is a simple exercise to see that

$$1 + \frac{zF''_{a,\lambda}(z)}{F'_{a,\lambda}(z)} = 1 - \frac{z\delta(az, \lambda)}{1 - z\delta(az, \lambda)} = \frac{1 - 2z\delta(az, \lambda)}{1 - z\delta(az, \lambda)}$$

from which we can easily conclude that $F_{a,\lambda} \in \mathcal{C}_1(\lambda)$ and

$$\omega_{F_{a,\lambda}}(z) = z\delta(az, \lambda). \quad (2.3)$$

For a fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ and $z_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ the function

$$\mathbb{D} \ni a \mapsto \log F'_{a,\lambda}(z_0) = \int_0^{z_0} \frac{\delta(a\zeta, \lambda)}{\zeta \delta(a\zeta, \lambda) - 1} d\zeta$$

is a non-constant analytic function of $a \in \mathbb{D}$, and hence is an open mapping. To show that $\mathbb{D} \ni a \mapsto \log F'_{a,\lambda}(z_0)$ is non-constant, we let

$$h(z) = \frac{-2}{(1 - \lambda^2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left\{ \log F'_{a,\lambda}(z) \right\} \Big|_{a=0}.$$

We see that

$$h(z) = 2 \int_0^z \frac{\zeta}{(1 - \lambda\zeta)^2} d\zeta = z^2 + \cdots$$

so that

$$1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} = \frac{2}{1 - \lambda z}$$

and therefore,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right\} > \frac{2}{1 + |\lambda|} > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

By Lemma 1.3 there exists $h_0 \in \mathcal{S}^*$ with $h = h_0^2$. The univalence of h_0 and $h_0(0) = 0$ implies that $h(z_0) \neq 0$ for all $z_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Consequently the mapping $\mathbb{D} \ni a \mapsto \log F'_{a,\lambda}(z_0)$ is a non-constant analytic function of a and hence it is an open mapping. It follows now that $\log F'_{0,\lambda}(z_0) = \log(1 - \lambda z_0)$ is an interior point of $\{\log F'_{a,\lambda}(z_0): a \in \mathbb{D}\} \subset V_1(z_0, \lambda)$.

Similarly, we find that

$$1 + \frac{zH''_{a,\lambda}(z)}{H'_{a,\lambda}(z)} = 1 + \frac{3z\delta(az, \lambda)}{1 - z\delta(az, \lambda)} = \frac{1 + 2z\delta(az, \lambda)}{1 - z\delta(az, \lambda)}$$

which implies that $H_{a,\lambda} \in \mathcal{C}_2(\lambda)$ and so, we obtain

$$\omega_{H_{a,\lambda}}(z) = z\delta(az, \lambda).$$

Now, by defining

$$g(z) = \frac{2}{3(1 - \lambda^2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left\{ \log H'_{a,\lambda}(z) \right\} \Big|_{a=0} = 2 \int_0^z \frac{\xi}{(1 - \lambda\xi)^2} d\xi$$

we easily see that for a fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ and $z_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ the function

$$\mathbb{D} \ni a \mapsto \log H'_{a,\lambda}(z_0) = \int_0^{z_0} \frac{3\delta(a\xi, \lambda)}{1 - \xi\delta(a\xi, \lambda)} d\xi$$

is a non-constant analytic function of $a \in \mathbb{D}$, and hence is an open mapping. Consequently, $\log H'_{0,\lambda}(z_0) = -3\log(1 - \lambda z_0)$ is an interior point of $\{\log H'_{a,\lambda}(z_0): a \in \mathbb{D}\} \subset V_2(z_0, \lambda)$.

(4) For each $j = 1, 2$, we easily obtain that $V_j(e^{i\theta}z_0, \lambda) = V_j(z_0, e^{i\theta}\lambda)$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. This is a consequence of the fact that $e^{-i\theta}f(e^{i\theta}z) \in \mathcal{C}_j(e^{i\theta}\lambda)$ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{C}_j(\lambda)$.

In view of the last property it is sufficient to determine $V_j(z_0, \lambda)$ for $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $z_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Hence from now onwards, it suffices to consider the classes

$$\mathcal{C}_1(\lambda) = \{f \in \mathcal{F}_1: f''(0) = -\lambda\} \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_2(\lambda) = \{f \in \mathcal{F}_2: f''(0) = 3\lambda\} \quad (2.5)$$

only for $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. In each case, since $V_j(z_0, \lambda)$ is a compact convex subset of \mathbb{C} and has non-empty interior, the boundary $\partial V_j(z_0, \lambda)$ is a Jordan curve and $V_j(z_0, \lambda)$ is the union of $\partial V_j(z_0, \lambda)$ and its inner domain.

Our main results can now be stated.

Theorem 2.6. For $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $z_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$, the boundary $\partial V_1(z_0, \lambda)$ is the Jordan curve given by

$$(-\pi, \pi] \ni \theta \mapsto \log F'_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}(z_0) = \int_0^{z_0} \frac{\delta(e^{i\theta}z, \lambda)}{z\delta(e^{i\theta}z, \lambda) - 1} dz, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (2.7)$$

If $\log f'(z_0) = \log F'_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}(z_0)$ for some $f \in \mathcal{C}_1(\lambda)$ and $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$, then $f(z) = F_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}(z)$. Here $F_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}(z)$ is given by (2.1).

Theorem 2.8. For $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $z_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$, the boundary $\partial V_2(z_0, \lambda)$ is the Jordan curve given by

$$(-\pi, \pi] \ni \theta \mapsto \log H'_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}(z_0) = \int_0^{z_0} \frac{3\delta(e^{i\theta}z, \lambda)}{1 - z\delta(e^{i\theta}z, \lambda)} dz, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (2.9)$$

If $\log f'(z_0) = \log H'_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}(z_0)$ for some $f \in \mathcal{C}_2(\lambda)$ and $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$, then $f(z) = H_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}(z)$. Here $H_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}(z)$ is given by (2.2).

The proof of Theorem 2.6 will be given in Section 3. Since Theorem 2.8 is similar, we omit its proof although we present the necessary details in Section 4.

3. Region of variability of $V_1(z_0, \lambda)$

Proposition 3.1. For $f \in \mathcal{C}_1(\lambda)$ we have

$$\left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} - c(z, \lambda) \right| \leq r(z, \lambda), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$c(z, \lambda) = -\frac{\lambda(1 - |z|^2) + \bar{z}(|z|^2 - \lambda^2)}{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - 2\lambda \operatorname{Re} z + |z|^2)},$$

$$r(z, \lambda) = \frac{(1 - \lambda^2)|z|}{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - 2\lambda \operatorname{Re} z + |z|^2)}.$$

For each $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$, equality holds if and only if $f = F_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_1(\lambda)$. Then, as pointed out in the introduction, there exists an $\omega_f \in \mathcal{B}_0$ such that

$$\omega_f(z) = \frac{P_f(z) - 1}{P_f(z) - 2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $P_f(z)$ is defined by (1.1). Since $\omega_f \in \mathcal{B}_0$ satisfies $\omega'_f(0) = -f''(0) = \lambda$, it follows from the Schwarz lemma that

$$\left| \frac{\frac{\omega_f(z)}{z} - \lambda}{1 - \lambda \frac{\omega_f(z)}{z}} \right| \leq |z| \quad (3.3)$$

which by the definition of P_f is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} - A(z, \lambda)}{\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} + B(z, \lambda)} \right| \leq |z| |\tau(z, \lambda)|, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$A(z, \lambda) = -\frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda z}, \quad B(z, \lambda) = -\frac{1}{z - \lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(z, \lambda) = \frac{z - \lambda}{1 - \lambda z}. \quad (3.5)$$

A simple calculation shows that the inequality (3.4) is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{A(z, \lambda) + |z|^2 |\tau(z, \lambda)|^2 B(z, \lambda)}{1 - |z|^2 |\tau(z, \lambda)|^2} \right| \leq \frac{|z| |\tau(z, \lambda)| |A(z, \lambda) + B(z, \lambda)|}{1 - |z|^2 |\tau(z, \lambda)|^2}. \quad (3.6)$$

Again, a computation shows that

$$1 - |z|^2 |\tau(z, \lambda)|^2 = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - 2\lambda \operatorname{Re} z + |z|^2)}{|1 - \lambda z|^2}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$A(z, \lambda) + B(z, \lambda) = -\frac{1 - \lambda^2}{(1 - \lambda z)(z - \bar{\lambda})}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$A(z, \lambda) + |z|^2 |\tau(z, \lambda)|^2 B(z, \lambda) = -\frac{\lambda(1 - |z|^2) + \bar{z}(|z|^2 - \lambda^2)}{|1 - \lambda z|^2}.$$

Using these, we easily have

$$\frac{A(z, \lambda) + |z|^2 |\tau(z, \lambda)|^2 B(z, \lambda)}{1 - |z|^2 |\tau(z, \lambda)|^2} := c(z, \lambda)$$

and

$$\frac{|z| |\tau(z, \lambda)| |A(z, \lambda) + B(z, \lambda)|}{1 - |z|^2 |\tau(z, \lambda)|^2} := r(z, \lambda).$$

Now the inequality (3.2) follows from the last two equalities and (3.6).

It is easy to see that the equality occurs for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$ in (3.2), when $f = F_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Conversely if equality occurs for some $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ in (3.2), then equality must hold in (3.3). Thus from the Schwarz lemma there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\omega_f(z) = z\delta(e^{i\theta}z, \lambda)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. This gives that $f = F_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}$. \square

For $\lambda = 0$, we have the following interesting information which may be compared with the known estimate for the class of starlike functions in \mathbb{D} .

Corollary 3.9. *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_1(0)$. Then we have*

$$\left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} + \frac{\bar{z}|z|^2}{1 - |z|^4} \right| \leq \frac{|z|}{1 - |z|^4}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

In particular,

$$(1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq |z|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

We define the norm for locally univalent functions f by

$$\|f\| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right|.$$

It is well known that $\|f\| \leq 6$ if f is univalent in \mathbb{D} , and conversely if $\|f\| \leq 1$ then f is univalent in \mathbb{D} , and these bounds are sharp (see [1]). On the other hand, Corollary 3.9 shows that $f \in \mathcal{C}_1(0)$, then $\|f\| \leq 1$. For recent investigations on the quantity $\|f\|$ when f runs over various subclasses of locally univalent functions, we refer to [2,6].

Corollary 3.10. *Let $\gamma: z(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1$) be a C^1 -curve in \mathbb{D} with $z(0) = 0$ and $z(1) = z_0$. Then we have*

$$V_1(z_0, \lambda) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}(C(\lambda, \gamma), R(\lambda, \gamma)) = \{w \in \mathbb{C}: |w - C(\lambda, \gamma)| \leq R(\lambda, \gamma)\},$$

where

$$C(\lambda, \gamma) = \int_0^1 c(z(t), \lambda) z'(t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad R(\lambda, \gamma) = \int_0^1 r(z(t), \lambda) |z'(t)| dt.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_1(\lambda)$. Then, we have

$$\int_0^1 \frac{f''(z(t))}{f'(z(t))} z'(t) dt = \log f'(z_0),$$

and therefore, from Proposition 3.1 we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |\log f'(z_0) - C(\lambda, \gamma)| &= \left| \log f'(z_0) - \int_0^1 c(z(t), \lambda) z'(t) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{f''(z(t))}{f'(z(t))} - c(z(t), \lambda) \right\} z'(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 r(z(t), \lambda) |z'(t)| dt = R(\lambda, \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\log f'(z_0) \in V_1(z_0, \lambda)$ was arbitrary, the conclusion follows. \square

Lemma 3.11. [10] For $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, the function

$$G(z) = \int_0^z \frac{e^{i\theta} \zeta}{\{1 + \lambda(e^{i\theta} - 1)\zeta - e^{i\theta} \zeta^2\}^2} d\zeta, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

has a double zero at the origin and no zeros elsewhere in \mathbb{D} . Furthermore, there exists a starlike univalent function G_0 in \mathbb{D} such that $G = 2^{-1} e^{i\theta} G_0^2$ and $G_0(0) = G'_0(0) - 1 = 0$.

Proposition 3.12. Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Then for $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$ we have $\log F'_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}(z_0) \in \partial V_1(z_0, \lambda)$. Furthermore if $\log f'(z_0) = \log F'_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}(z_0)$ for some $f \in \mathcal{C}_1(\lambda)$ and $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$, then $f = F_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}$.

Proof. Recall from (2.1)

$$\frac{F''_{a, \lambda}(z)}{F'_{a, \lambda}(z)} = \frac{\delta(az, \lambda)}{z\delta(az, \lambda) - 1} = \frac{az + \lambda}{az^2 + \lambda(1-a)z - 1}.$$

Thus we have from (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F''_{a, \lambda}(z)}{F'_{a, \lambda}(z)} - A(z, \lambda) &= \frac{(1 - \lambda^2)az}{(1 - \lambda z)(az^2 + \lambda(1-a)z - 1)}, \\ \frac{F''_{a, \lambda}(z)}{F'_{a, \lambda}(z)} + B(z, \lambda) &= \frac{1 - \lambda^2}{(z - \lambda)(az^2 + \lambda(1-a)z - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

and hence we may rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F''_{a,\lambda}(z)}{F'_{a,\lambda}(z)} - c(z, \lambda) &= \frac{F''_{a,\lambda}(z)}{F'_{a,\lambda}(z)} - \frac{A(z, \lambda) + |z|^2 |\tau(z, \lambda)|^2 B(z, \lambda)}{1 - |z|^2 |\tau(z, \lambda)|^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2 |\tau(z, \lambda)|^2} \left\{ \frac{F''_{a,\lambda}(z)}{F'_{a,\lambda}(z)} - A(z, \lambda) - |z|^2 |\tau(z, \lambda)|^2 \left(\frac{F''_{a,\lambda}(z)}{F'_{a,\lambda}(z)} + B(z, \lambda) \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{(1 - \lambda^2) \{a(1 - \lambda \bar{z})z - |z|^2 (\bar{z} - \lambda)\}}{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - 2\lambda \operatorname{Re} z + |z|^2)(az^2 + \lambda(1 - a)z - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by substituting $a = e^{i\theta}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F''_{e^{i\theta},\lambda}(z)}{F'_{e^{i\theta},\lambda}(z)} - c(z, \lambda) &= \frac{(1 - \lambda^2)e^{i\theta} z \overline{\{1 + \lambda(e^{i\theta} - 1)z - e^{i\theta} z^2\}}}{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - 2\lambda \operatorname{Re} z + |z|^2)(1 + \lambda(e^{i\theta} - 1)z - e^{i\theta} z^2)} \\ &= \frac{(1 - \lambda^2)e^{i\theta} z |1 + \lambda(e^{i\theta} - 1)z - e^{i\theta} z^2|^2}{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - 2\lambda \operatorname{Re} z + |z|^2)(1 + \lambda(e^{i\theta} - 1)z - e^{i\theta} z^2)^2} \\ &= r(z, \lambda) \frac{|1 + \lambda(e^{i\theta} - 1)z - e^{i\theta} z^2|^2 e^{i\theta} z}{(1 + \lambda(e^{i\theta} - 1)z - e^{i\theta} z^2)^2 |z|}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.11, we can rewrite the last expression as

$$\frac{F''_{e^{i\theta},\lambda}(z)}{F'_{e^{i\theta},\lambda}(z)} - c(z, \lambda) = r(z, \lambda) \frac{G'(z)}{|G'(z)|}. \quad (3.13)$$

Since the function G_0 is starlike, for any $z_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ the linear segment joining 0 and $G_0(z_0)$ lies entirely in $G_0(\mathbb{D})$. Define γ_0 by

$$\gamma_0: z(t) = G_0^{-1}(tG_0(z_0)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \quad (3.14)$$

Since $G(z(t)) = 2^{-1}e^{i\theta}G_0(z(t))^2 = 2^{-1}e^{i\theta}(tG_0(z_0))^2 = t^2G(z_0)$, we have

$$G'(z(t))z'(t) = 2tG(z_0), \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.15)$$

From this and (3.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log F'_{e^{i\theta},\lambda}(z_0) - C(\lambda, \gamma_0) &= \int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{F''_{e^{i\theta},\lambda}(z(t))}{F'_{e^{i\theta},\lambda}(z(t))} - c(z(t), \lambda) \right\} z'(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 r(z(t), \lambda) \frac{G'(z(t))z'(t)}{|G'(z(t))z'(t)|} |z'(t)| dt \\ &= \frac{G(z_0)}{|G(z_0)|} \int_0^1 r(z(t), \lambda) |z'(t)| dt \\ &= \frac{G(z_0)}{|G(z_0)|} R(\lambda, \gamma_0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Thus we have $\log F'_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}(z_0) \in \partial \overline{\mathbb{D}}(C(\lambda, \gamma_0), R(\lambda, \gamma_0))$. From Corollary 3.10 we have also $\log F'_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}(z_0) \in V_1(z_0, \lambda) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}(C(\lambda, \gamma_0), R(\lambda, \gamma_0))$. Hence we have $\log F'_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}(z_0) \in \partial V_1(z_0, \lambda)$.

Next, we deal with uniqueness. Suppose that $\log f'(z_0) = \log F'_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}(z_0)$ for some $f \in \mathcal{C}_1(\lambda)$ and $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$. Introduce

$$h(t) = \frac{\overline{G(z_0)}}{|G(z_0)|} \left\{ \frac{f''(z(t))}{f'(z(t))} - c(z(t), \lambda) \right\} z'(t),$$

where $\gamma_0: z(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1$, as in (3.14). Then $h(t)$ is continuous function on $[0, 1]$ and satisfies $|h(t)| \leq r(z(t), \lambda)|z'(t)|$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Furthermore we have from (3.16)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \operatorname{Re} h(t) dt &= \int_0^1 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\overline{G(z_0)}}{|G(z_0)|} \left\{ \frac{f''(z(t))}{f'(z(t))} - c(z(t), \lambda) \right\} z'(t) \right\} dt \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\overline{G(z_0)}}{|G(z_0)|} \{ \log f'(z_0) - C(\lambda, \gamma_0) \} \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\overline{G(z_0)}}{|G(z_0)|} \{ \log F'_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}(z_0) - C(\lambda, \gamma_0) \} \right\} \\ &= \int_0^1 r(z(t), \lambda) |z'(t)| dt \end{aligned}$$

which gives that $h(t) = r(z(t), \lambda)|z'(t)|$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. From (3.13) and (3.15) this implies that

$$\frac{f''}{f'} = \frac{F''_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}}{F'_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}}$$

on the curve γ_0 . Using the identity theorem for analytic functions, we conclude that the last equality holds in \mathbb{D} and hence, by normalization, we obtain that $f = F_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}$ in \mathbb{D} . \square

Proof of Theorem 2.6. We need to prove that the closed curve $(-\pi, \pi] \ni \theta \mapsto \log F'_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}(z_0)$ is simple. Suppose that $\log F'_{e^{i\theta_1}, \lambda}(z_0) = \log F'_{e^{i\theta_2}, \lambda}(z_0)$ for some $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in (-\pi, \pi]$ with $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$. Then, from Proposition 3.12, we have $F_{e^{i\theta_1}, \lambda} = F_{e^{i\theta_2}, \lambda}$. From (2.3) this gives a contradiction

$$e^{i\theta_1} z = \tau \left(\frac{\omega F_{e^{i\theta_1}, \lambda}}{z}, \lambda \right) = \tau \left(\frac{\omega F_{e^{i\theta_2}, \lambda}}{z}, \lambda \right) = e^{i\theta_2} z.$$

Thus the curve is simple.

Since $V_1(z_0, \lambda)$ is a compact convex subset of \mathbb{C} and has nonempty interior, the boundary $\partial V_1(z_0, \lambda)$ is a simple closed curve. From Proposition 3.1 the curve $\partial V_1(z_0, \lambda)$ contains the curve $(-\pi, \pi] \ni \theta \mapsto \log F'_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}(z_0)$. Note that a simple closed curve cannot contain any simple closed curve other than itself. Thus, $\partial V_1(z_0, \lambda)$ is given by $(-\pi, \pi] \ni \theta \mapsto \log F'_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}(z_0)$. \square

4. Region of variability $V_2(z_0, \lambda)$

Proposition 4.1. For $f \in \mathcal{C}_2(\lambda)$ we have

$$\left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} + 3c(z, \lambda) \right| \leq 3r(z, \lambda), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $c(z, \lambda)$ and $r(z, \lambda)$ given in Proposition 3.1. For each $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$, equality holds if and only if $f = H_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_2(\lambda)$. Then, as stated in the introduction, there exists an $\omega_f \in \mathcal{B}_0$ such that

$$\omega_f(z) = \frac{P_f(z) - 1}{P_f(z) + 2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $P_f(z)$ is defined by (1.1). Since $\omega'_f(0) = \lambda$, it follows from the Schwarz lemma that

$$\left| \frac{\frac{\omega_f(z)}{z} - \lambda}{1 - \lambda \frac{\omega_f(z)}{z}} \right| \leq |z|$$

which may be written equivalently as

$$\left| \frac{\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} + 3A(z, \lambda)}{\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} - 3B(z, \lambda)} \right| \leq |z| |\tau(z, \lambda)|,$$

where $A(z, \lambda)$, $B(z, \lambda)$ and $\tau(z, \lambda)$ are defined by (3.5). The remaining part of the proof follows exactly in the same lines of the proof of Proposition 3.1 and so, we omit the details. \square

For $\lambda = 0$, we have the following interesting information which may be compared with the known estimate for the class of close-to-convex functions in \mathbb{D} .

Corollary 4.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_2(0)$. Then we have

$$\left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{3\bar{z}|z|^2}{1 - |z|^4} \right| \leq \frac{3|z|}{1 - |z|^4}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

In particular,

$$(1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 3|z|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

and $\|f\| \leq 3$.

Corollary 4.3. Let $\gamma: z(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, be a C^1 -curve in \mathbb{D} with $z(0) = 0$ and $z(1) = z_0$. Then we have

$$V_2(z_0, \lambda) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}(-3C(\lambda, \gamma), 3R(\lambda, \gamma)) = \{w \in \mathbb{C}: |w + 3C(\lambda, \gamma)| \leq 3R(\lambda, \gamma)\},$$

where $C(\lambda, \gamma)$ and $R(\lambda, \gamma)$ are defined in Corollary 3.10.

Proof. Proof exactly follows from Proposition 4.1 using the method of proof of Corollary 3.10. \square

Finally, we state the following result which can be proved with the help of the proof of Proposition 3.12.

Proposition 4.4. Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Then for $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$ we have $\log H'_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}(z_0) \in \partial V_2(z_0, \lambda)$. Furthermore if $\log f'(z_0) = \log H'_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}(z_0)$ for some $f \in \mathcal{C}_2(\lambda)$ and $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$, then $f = H_{e^{i\theta}, \lambda}$.

References

- [1] J. Becker, Ch. Pommerenke, Schlichtheitskriterien und Jordangebiete, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 354 (1984) 74–94.
- [2] J.H. Choi, Y.C. Kim, S. Ponnusamy, T. Sugawa, Norm estimates for the Alexander transforms of convex functions of order α , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 303 (2005) 661–668.
- [3] P.L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., vol. 259, Springer, New York, 1983.
- [4] A.W. Goodman, *Univalent Functions*, vols. I and II, Mariner Publishing Co., Tampa, Florida, 1983.
- [5] D.J. Hallenbeck, A.E. Livingston, Applications of extreme point theory to classes of multivalent functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 221 (1976) 339–359.
- [6] Y.C. Kim, S. Ponnusamy, T. Sugawa, Mapping properties of nonlinear integral operators and pre-Schwarzian derivatives, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 299 (2004) 433–447.
- [7] S. Ponnusamy, S. Rajasekaran, New sufficient conditions for starlike and univalent functions, *Soochow J. Math.* 21 (1995) 193–201.
- [8] S. Ponnusamy, V. Singh, Univalence of certain integral transforms, *Glas. Mat. Ser. III* 31 (51) (1996) 253–261.
- [9] H. Yanagihara, Regions of variability for functions of bounded derivatives, *Kodai Math. J.* 28 (2005) 452–462.
- [10] H. Yanagihara, Regions of variability for convex functions, *Math. Nachr.* 279 (2006) 1723–1730.