



The Koplienke-Neidhardt trace formula for unitaries – A new proof



Arup Chattopadhyay*, Soma Das, Chandan Pradhan

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati, 781039, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 April 2021
Available online 7 July 2021
Submitted by P. Exner

Dedicated to Professor Kalyan Bidhan Sinha on the occasion of his 75th birthday

Keywords:

Spectral shift function
Trace formula
Perturbations
Trace class
Hilbert Schmidt class

ABSTRACT

Koplienke [11] found a trace formula for perturbations of self-adjoint operators by operators of Hilbert-Schmidt class $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$. Later in 1988, a similar formula was obtained by Neidhardt [19] in the case of unitary operators. In this article, we give a still another proof of Koplienke-Neidhardt trace formula in the case of unitary operators by reducing the problem to a finite dimensional one as in the proof of Krein's trace formula by Voiculescu [35], Sinha and Mohapatra [30,31].

© 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

One of the fundamental concepts in perturbation theory is the existence of spectral shift function and the associated trace formula. The notion of first order spectral shift function originated from Lifshits' work on theoretical physics [15] and later the mathematical theory of this object elaborated by M.G. Krein in a series of papers, starting with [12]. In [12] (see also [14]), Krein proved that given two self-adjoint operators H and H_0 (possibly unbounded) such that $H - H_0$ is trace class, then there exists a unique real valued $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ -function ξ such that

$$\text{Tr} \{ \phi(H) - \phi(H_0) \} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi'(\lambda) \xi(\lambda) d\lambda \tag{1.1}$$

holds for sufficiently nice functions ϕ . The function ξ is known as Krein's spectral shift function and the relation (1.1) is called Krein's trace formula. The original proof of Krein uses analytic function theory.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: arupchatt@iitg.ac.in, 2003arupchattopadhyay@gmail.com (A. Chattopadhyay), soma18@iitg.ac.in, dsoma994@gmail.com (S. Das), chandan.math@iitg.ac.in, chandan.pradhan2108@gmail.com (C. Pradhan).

Later in [4] (see also [3]), Birman and Solomyak approached the trace formula (1.1) using the theory of double operator integrals, though they failed to prove the absolute continuity of the spectral shift. In 1985, Voiculescu [35] gave an alternative proof of the trace formula (1.1) by adapting the proof of classical Weyl-von Neumann theorem for the case of bounded self-adjoint operators and later Sinha and Mohapatra extended Voiculescu's method to the unbounded self-adjoint case [30]. A similar result was obtained by Krein in [13] for pair of unitary operators $\{U, U_0\}$ such that $U - U_0$ is trace class. For each such pair there exists a real valued $L^1([0, 2\pi])$ -function ξ , unique modulo an additive constant, (called a spectral shift function for $\{U, U_0\}$) such that

$$\operatorname{Tr} \{ \phi(U) - \phi(U_0) \} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d}{dt} \{ \phi(e^{it}) \} \xi(t) dt, \quad (1.2)$$

whenever ϕ' has absolutely convergent Fourier series. Later in [31], Sinha and Mohapatra also obtained the above formula (1.2) using Voiculescu's method. Recently, Aleksandrov and Peller [1] extended the formula (1.2) for arbitrary operator Lipschitz functions ϕ on the unit circle \mathbb{T} . Moreover, Peller [23] describe completely the class of functions (viz, the class of operator Lipschitz functions on \mathbb{R}), for which the Krein's trace formula (1.1) holds.

The modified second order spectral shift function for Hilbert-Schmidt perturbations was introduced by Koplienko in [11]. Let H and H_0 be two self-adjoint operators in a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $H - H_0 = V \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$. Sometimes H_0 is known as the initial operator, V is known as the perturbation operator, and $H = H_0 + V$ is known as the final operator. In this case the difference $\phi(H) - \phi(H_0)$ is no longer of trace-class and one has to consider instead

$$\phi(H) - \phi(H_0) - \left. \frac{d}{ds} \left(\phi(H_0 + sV) \right) \right|_{s=0},$$

where $\left. \frac{d}{ds} \left(\phi(H_0 + sV) \right) \right|_{s=0}$ denotes the Gâteaux derivative of ϕ at H_0 in the direction V (see [2]) and find a trace formula for the above expression under certain assumptions on ϕ . Under the above hypothesis, Koplienko's formula asserts that there exists a unique function $\eta \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \phi(H) - \phi(H_0) - \left. \frac{d}{ds} \left(\phi(H_0 + sV) \right) \right|_{s=0} \right\} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi''(\lambda) \eta(\lambda) d\lambda \quad (1.3)$$

for rational functions ϕ with poles off \mathbb{R} . The function η is known as Koplienko spectral shift function corresponding to the pair (H_0, H) . In 2007, Gesztesy, Pushnitski and Simon [9] gave an alternative proof of the formula (1.3) for the bounded case and in 2009, Dykema and Skripka [8,32], and earlier Boyadzhiev [6] obtained the formula (1.3) in the semi-finite von Neumann algebra setting. Later in 2012, Sinha and the first author of this article provide an alternative proof of the formula (1.3) using the idea of finite dimensional approximation method as in the works of Voiculescu [35], Sinha and Mohapatra [30,31]. In this connection it is worth mentioning that in 1984, Koplienko also conjectured about the existence of the higher order spectral shift measures ν_n , $n > 2$, for the perturbation $V \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{H})$ and it is remarkable to note that recently Potapov, Skripka and Sukochev resolve affirmatively Koplienko's conjecture and establishes the existence of higher order spectral shift function in their outstanding and beautiful paper [24] using the concept of multiple operator integral.

A similar problem for unitary operators was considered by Neidhardt [19]. Let U and U_0 be two unitary operators on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $U - U_0 \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$. Then $U = e^{iA}U_0$, where A is a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$. Note that we interpret U_0 as the initial operator, A as the perturbation

operator, and $U = e^{iA}U_0$ as the final operator. Denote $U_s = e^{isA}U_0$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then it was shown in [19] that there exists a $L^1([0, 2\pi])$ -function η (unique upto an additive constant) such that

$$\text{Tr} \left\{ \phi(U) - \phi(U_0) - \left. \frac{d}{ds} \phi(U_s) \right|_{s=0} \right\} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \{ \phi(e^{it}) \} \eta(t) dt, \tag{1.4}$$

whenever ϕ'' has absolutely convergent Fourier series. The function η is known as Koplienko spectral shift function corresponding to the pair (U_0, U) . In [22], Peller obtained better sufficient conditions on functions ϕ , under which trace formulae (1.3) and (1.4) hold. In this connection, it is also worth mentioning that recently Potapov, Skripka and Sukochev proved higher order analogs of the formula (1.4) in [27]. For more about trace formulas and related topics, we refer the reader to ([16–18,20,21,25,26,28,33,34]) and the references cited therein.

In this article we once again supply the new proof of Koplienko-Neidhardt trace formula (1.4), we believe for the first time, using the idea of finite dimensional approximation method as in the works of Voiculescu, Sinha and Mohapatra, referred earlier. The major differences between our method and the method applied in [11,19] are the following.

- In [11,19], the authors have reduced the problem by truncating only the perturbation operator (and not the initial operator) via finite rank projections but still, they were in an infinite-dimensional setting to deal with the problem which makes a major contrast in comparison to our context. In other words, in our setting, we reduce the problem into a finite dimensional one by truncating both the initial operator and the perturbation operator simultaneously via finite dimensional projections $\{P_n\}$ obtained by Weyl-von Neumann type construction (see Lemma 3.2). Moreover, the authors have obtained the expression of the shift function in [11,19] for the reduced system as a consequence of Theorem 3 of [3] and Krein’s spectral shift function whereas in our context we calculate the shift function explicitly by performing integration by-parts and using spectral theorem for unitary matrices (see Theorem 2.2).
- A concept like the continuity of the perturbation determinant has been used in [11,19] to approximate the formula in infinite dimension but in our setting we do not need it to get the required approximation (see Theorem 3.7).
- In [11,19], the authors dealt with the dual of $C([0, 2\pi])$ (set of all continuous functions defined on $[0, 2\pi]$) to get the shift function in an infinite dimension whereas we use pre-dual of $L^\infty([0, 2\pi])$ (set of all bounded measurable functions defined on $[0, 2\pi]$) to get the same (see Theorem 4.1).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give a proof of Koplienko-Neidhardt trace formula when $\dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$. Section 3 is devoted to the reduction of the problem to finite dimensions and in Section 4 we prove the trace formula by a limiting argument.

2. Koplienko-Neidhardt trace formula in finite dimension

Here, \mathcal{H} will denote the separable Hilbert space we work in; $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ the set of bounded, trace class, Hilbert-Schmidt class operators in \mathcal{H} respectively with $\|\cdot\|$, $\|\cdot\|_1$, $\|\cdot\|_2$ as the associated norms and $\text{Tr}\{A\}$ denote the trace of a trace class operator A .

Theorem 2.1. *Let U and U_0 be two unitary operators on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $U - U_0 \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$. Then there exists a self-adjoint operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ such that $U = e^{iA}U_0$.*

Proof. Since UU_0^* is a unitary operator, then there is a self-adjoint operator A with the spectrum in $(-\pi, \pi]$ (that is, $\sigma(A) \subseteq (-\pi, \pi]$) such that $UU_0^* = e^{iA}$ and hence $U = e^{iA}U_0$. Let $\{f_i\}$ be any orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} . Then from the inequality $|x| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}|e^{ix} - 1|$ for $x \in (-\pi, \pi]$ and by using the spectral theorem we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_2^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|Af_i\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\lambda|^2 \|E(d\lambda)f_i\|^2 \leq \frac{\pi^2}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |e^{i\lambda} - 1|^2 \|E(d\lambda)f_i\|^2 \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{4} \|e^{iA} - I\|_2^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{4} \|U - U_0\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $E(\cdot)$ is the spectral measure corresponding to the self-adjoint operator A . Thus from the hypothesis we conclude that $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$. This completes the proof. \square

The following theorem states Koplienko-Neidhardt trace formula in finite dimension.

Theorem 2.2. Let U and U_0 be two unitary operators in a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $U - U_0 \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ and $p(\lambda) = \lambda^r$ ($r \in \mathbb{Z}$), $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$.

(i) Then

$$\frac{d}{ds}(p(U_s)) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} U_s^{r-k-1} (iA) U_s^{k+1} & \text{if } r \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } r = 0, \\ -\sum_{k=0}^{|r|-1} (U_s^*)^{|r|-k} (iA) (U_s^*)^k & \text{if } r \leq -1, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $U_s = e^{isA}U_0$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

(ii) If furthermore $\dim(\mathcal{H}) < \infty$, then there exists a $L^1([0, 2\pi])$ -function η (unique upto an additive constant) such that

$$\text{Tr} \left\{ p(U) - p(U_0) - \frac{d}{ds}(p(U_s)) \Big|_{s=0} \right\} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \{p(e^{it})\} \eta(t) dt, \quad (2.2)$$

where $p(\cdot)$ is any trigonometric polynomial on \mathbb{T} with complex coefficients and

$$\eta(t) = \int_0^1 \text{Tr} \{A[E_0(t) - E_s(t)]\} ds, \quad t \in [0, 2\pi] \quad (2.3)$$

where $E_s(\cdot)$ is the spectral measure of the unitary operator U_s . Moreover,

$$\text{Tr} \left\{ p(U) - p(U_0) - \frac{d}{ds}(p(U_s)) \Big|_{s=0} \right\} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \{p(e^{it})\} \eta_o(t) dt, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\eta_o(t) = \eta(t) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \eta(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, 2\pi] \quad \text{and} \quad \|\eta_o\|_{L^1([0, 2\pi])} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \|A\|_2^2.$$

Proof. (i) Since $U - U_0 \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$, then by the above Theorem 2.1 there exists a self-adjoint operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ such that $U = e^{iA}U_0$. Denote $U_s = e^{isA}U_0$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and note that each U_s is a unitary operator. For $p(\lambda) = \lambda^r$ ($r \geq 1$), $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$, we have

$$\frac{p(U_{s+h}) - p(U_s)}{h} = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} U_{s+h}^{r-k-1} [U_{s+h} - U_s] U_s^k = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} U_{s+h}^{r-k-1} [e^{ihA} - I] U_s^{k+1},$$

which converges in operator norm to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} U_s^{r-k-1} (iA) U_s^{k+1} \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

Similarly for $p(\lambda) = \lambda^r$ ($r \leq -1$), $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p(U_{s+h}) - p(U_s)}{h} &= \frac{1}{h} \sum_{k=0}^{|r|-1} (U_{s+h}^*)^{|r|-k-1} [U_{s+h}^* - U_s^*] (U_s^*)^k \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \sum_{k=0}^{|r|-1} (U_{s+h}^*)^{|r|-k-1} (U_s^*) [e^{-ihA} - I] (U_s^*)^k, \end{aligned}$$

which again converges in operator norm to

$$- \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (U_s^*)^{|r|-k} (iA) (U_s^*)^k \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

(ii) By using the cyclicity of trace and noting that the trace now is a finite sum, we have that for $p(\lambda) = \lambda^r$ ($r \geq 1$), $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} \left\{ p(U) - p(U_0) - \left. \frac{d}{ds} p(U_s) \right|_{s=0} \right\} &= \text{Tr} \left\{ \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} (p(U_s)) ds \right\} - \text{Tr} \left\{ \left. \frac{d}{ds} p(U_s) \right|_{s=0} \right\} \\ &= \int_0^1 \text{Tr} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} U_s^{r-k-1} (iA) U_s^{k+1} \right\} ds - \text{Tr} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} U_0^{r-k-1} (iA) U_0^{k+1} \right\} \\ &= \int_0^1 r \text{Tr} (iA U_s^r) ds - \int_0^1 r \text{Tr} (iA U_0^r) ds = \text{Tr} \left\{ r(iA) \int_0^1 ds \int_0^{2\pi} e^{irt} (E_s(dt) - E_0(dt)) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $E_s(\cdot)$ and $E_0(\cdot)$ are the spectral measures determined uniquely by the unitary operators U_s and U_0 respectively such that the spectral measures are continuous at $t = 0$, that is, $E_s(0) = 0 = E_0(0)$ (see page 281, [29]). Next by performing integration by-parts we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} \left\{ p(U) - p(U_0) - \left. \frac{d}{ds} p(U_s) \right|_{s=0} \right\} \\ = \text{Tr} \left\{ r(iA) \int_0^1 ds \left(e^{irt} [E_s(t) - E_0(t)] \Big|_{t=0}^{2\pi} - ir \int_0^{2\pi} e^{irt} [E_s(t) - E_0(t)] dt \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_0^{2\pi} (ir)^2 e^{irt} \left(\int_0^1 \text{Tr} \{A[E_0(t) - E_s(t)]\} ds \right) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} [p(e^{it})] \eta(t) dt,$$

where we have set

$$\eta(t) = \int_0^1 \text{Tr} \{A[E_0(t) - E_s(t)]\} ds.$$

In similar manner, we can prove the identity (2.2) for $p(\lambda) = \lambda^r$ ($r \leq -1$), $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$.

Now it is clear that $\eta \in L^1([0, 2\pi])$ and therefore it makes sense to define

$$\eta_o(t) = \eta(t) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \eta(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, 2\pi].$$

Thus the assertion (2.4) follows from the following observation

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{imt} \eta_o(t) dt &= \int_0^{2\pi} e^{imt} \left[\eta(t) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \eta(s) ds \right] dt \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} e^{imt} \eta(t) dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \eta(s) ds \int_0^{2\pi} e^{imt} dt = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{imt} \eta(t) dt \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $f \in L^\infty([0, 2\pi])$, and consider

$$f_o = f - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(s) ds.$$

Then it is easy to observe that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(t) \eta_o(t) dt = \int_0^{2\pi} f_o(t) \eta(t) dt, \quad \int_0^{2\pi} f_o(t) dt = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_o\|_\infty \leq 2\|f\|_\infty.$$

Therefore by using the expression (2.3) of η and using Fubini's theorem to interchange the orders of integration and integrating by-parts, we have for $g(e^{it}) = \int_0^t f_o(s) ds$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) \eta_o(t) dt &= \int_0^{2\pi} f_o(t) \eta(t) dt = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d}{dt} [g(e^{it})] \left(\int_0^1 \text{Tr}[A(E_0(t) - E_s(t))] ds \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 ds \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d}{dt} [g(e^{it})] \text{Tr}[A(E_0(t) - E_s(t))] dt \\ &= \int_0^1 ds \left\{ g(e^{it}) \text{Tr}[A(E_0(t) - E_s(t))] \Big|_{t=0}^{2\pi} - \int_0^{2\pi} g(e^{it}) \text{Tr}[A(E_0(dt) - E_s(dt))] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= - \int_0^1 ds \int_0^{2\pi} g(e^{it}) \operatorname{Tr}[A(E_0(dt) - E_s(dt))] = \int_0^1 \operatorname{Tr}[A\{g(U_s) - g(U_0)\}] ds. \tag{2.5}$$

On the other hand by using the idea of double operator integrals, introduced by Birman and Solomyak [3–5] we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(U_s) - g(U_0) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [g(e^{i\lambda}) - g(e^{i\mu})] E_s(d\lambda) E_0(d\mu) \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{g(e^{i\lambda}) - g(e^{i\mu})}{e^{i\lambda} - e^{i\mu}} E_s(d\lambda)(U_s - U_0) E_0(d\mu) \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{g(e^{i\lambda}) - g(e^{i\mu})}{e^{i\lambda} - e^{i\mu}} \mathcal{G}(d\lambda \times d\mu)(U_s - U_0), \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where $\mathcal{G}(\Delta \times \delta)(V) = E_s(\Delta)V E_0(\delta)$ ($V \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ and $\Delta \times \delta \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$) extends to a spectral measure on \mathbb{R}^2 in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ (equipped with the inner product derived from the trace) and its total variation is less than or equal to $\|V\|_2$. Thus by using the standard inequality $\left| \frac{g(e^{i\lambda}) - g(e^{i\mu})}{e^{i\lambda} - e^{i\mu}} \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \|f_o\|_\infty \leq \pi \|f\|_\infty$, for $\lambda, \mu \in [0, 2\pi]$, we conclude from (2.6) that

$$\|g(U_s) - g(U_0)\|_2 \leq \pi \|f\|_\infty \|U_s - U_0\|_2, \tag{2.7}$$

which combining with (2.5) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) \eta_o(t) dt \right| &\leq \int_0^1 \|A\|_2 \|g(U_s) - g(U_0)\|_2 ds \leq \pi \|f\|_\infty \|A\|_2 \int_0^1 \|U_s - U_0\|_2 ds \\ &\leq \pi \|f\|_\infty \|A\|_2 \int_0^1 s \|A\|_2 ds = \frac{\pi}{2} \|f\|_\infty \|A\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by Hahn-Banach theorem we conclude that

$$\|\eta_o\|_{L^1([0,2\pi])} = \sup_{f \in L^\infty([0,2\pi]): \|f\|_\infty=1} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) \eta_o(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \|A\|_2^2.$$

This completes the proof. \square

3. Reduction to the finite dimension

The following lemma deals with the fact that given a unitary operator U_0 , by suitably rotating the spectrum of U_0 , or equivalently defining a new unitary operator $U'_0 = e^{-i\phi} U_0$ we get a self-adjoint operator H_0 such that U'_0 is the Cayley transform of H_0 , that is $U'_0 = (i - H_0)(i + H_0)^{-1}$. Note that the proof of this lemma is available in [31, Theorem 1.1] but for reader’s convenience we are providing a proof herewith.

Lemma 3.1. *Let U_0 be an unitary operator in a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then there exists $\phi \in (-\pi, \pi]$ such that $(e^{i\phi} + U_0)$ is one to one, and hence invertible. Furthermore, the operator*

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= -i(-e^{i\phi} + U_0)(e^{i\phi} + U_0)^{-1} = i(I - e^{-i\phi}U_0)(I + e^{-i\phi}U_0)^{-1} \\ &\equiv i(I - U'_0)(I + U'_0)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

is self-adjoint.

Proof. Since \mathcal{H} is separable, then the eigenvalues of U_0 are at most countable. Therefore there exists some $\phi \in (-\pi, \pi]$ such that $-e^{i\phi} \notin \sigma_p(U_0)$ (set of eigenvalues of U_0) and hence $(I + U'_0)$ is invertible, where $U'_0 = e^{-i\phi}U_0$. Note that the following identity

$$\text{Ran}(I + U'_0)^\perp = \text{Ker}(I + U'_0)^* = \text{Ker}(I + U'_0) = \{0\}$$

implies that the operator H_0 in (3.1) is densely defined and furthermore H_0 is also symmetric in this domain. Next we also observe that the ranges of $i + H_0 = 2i(I + U'_0)^{-1}$ and of $i - H_0 = 2iU'_0(I + U'_0)^{-1}$ are the whole Hilbert space since $\text{Ran}\{(I + U'_0)^{-1}\} = \text{Dom}(I + U'_0) = \mathcal{H}$ and U'_0 is unitary. Thus H_0 is self-adjoint and hence the proof. \square

In this section we prove some estimates similar to those in Section 3 of [7,30,31] and use them to reduce the problem in finite dimension. Now we begin with a lemma collecting some results [7,10,30,31] following from the Weyl-von Neumann type construction.

Lemma 3.2. *Let U_0 and H_0 be as above. Then given a set of normalized vectors $\{f_l\}_{1 \leq l \leq L}$ in \mathcal{H} and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a finite rank projection P such that*

- (i) $\|P^\perp f_l\| < \epsilon$ for $1 \leq l \leq L$,
- (ii) $P^\perp H_0 P \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ and $\|P^\perp H_0 P\|_2 < \epsilon$,
- (iii) $\|P^\perp (i \pm H_0)^{-1} P\|_2 < \epsilon$,
- (iv) for any integer m , $\|P^\perp U_0^m P\|_2 < 2|m|\epsilon$.

Proof. Let $F(\cdot)$ be the spectral measure associated with the self-adjoint operator H_0 . As in the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [7] we set $a, F_k = F(\Delta_k)$, where $\Delta_k = \left(\frac{2k-n-2}{n}a, \frac{2k-n}{n}a\right]$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$, and

$$g_{kl} = \begin{cases} \frac{F_k f_l}{\|F_k f_l\|} & \text{if } F_k f_l \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } F_k f_l = 0, \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $1 \leq l \leq L$ in such a way so that $\|[I - F((-a, a))]f_l\| < \epsilon$ for $1 \leq l \leq L$ and $g_{kl} \in F_k \mathcal{H} \subseteq \text{Dom}(H_0)$. Let P be the orthogonal projection onto the subspace generated by $\{g_{kl} : 1 \leq k \leq n; 1 \leq l \leq L\}$. We need to prove only (iii) and (iv) since the first two are given in Proposition 3.1 of [7]. Since F_k commutes with H_0 , $(H_0 \pm i)^{-1} g_{kl} = F_k (H_0 \pm i)^{-1} f_l / \|F_k f_l\| \in F_k \mathcal{H}$. Thus by setting $\lambda_k = \frac{2k-n-1}{n}a$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\{(H_0 \pm i)^{-1} - (\lambda_k \pm i)^{-1}\}g_{kl}\|^2 &= \int_{\Delta_k} \left|(\lambda \pm i)^{-1} - (\lambda_k \pm i)^{-1}\right|^2 \|F(d\lambda)g_{kl}\|^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\Delta_k} \left|\lambda - \lambda_k\right|^2 \|F(d\lambda)g_{kl}\|^2 \leq \left(\frac{a}{n}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $P^\perp(H_0 \pm i)^{-1}g_{kl} \in F_k\mathcal{H}$ and therefore we have for any $u \in \mathcal{H}$ (using the Gram-Schmidt orthonormal set made out of $\{g_{kl}\}$ which are also in $\text{Dom}(H_0)$)

$$\begin{aligned} \|P^\perp(H_0 \pm i)^{-1}Pu\|^2 &= \left\| P^\perp(H_0 \pm i)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^L \langle u, g_{kl} \rangle g_{kl} \right\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^L \langle u, g_{kl} \rangle P^\perp(H_0 \pm i)^{-1}g_{kl} \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| \sum_{l=1}^L \langle u, g_{kl} \rangle P^\perp(H_0 \pm i)^{-1}g_{kl} \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| \sum_{l=1}^L \langle u, g_{kl} \rangle P^\perp((H_0 \pm i)^{-1} - (\lambda_k \pm i)^{-1})g_{kl} \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\sum_{l=1}^L |\langle u, g_{kl} \rangle| \|P^\perp((H_0 \pm i)^{-1} - (\lambda_k \pm i)^{-1})g_{kl}\| \right]^2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{a}{n}\right)^2 \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{l=1}^L |\langle u, g_{kl} \rangle| \right)^2 \leq \left(\frac{a}{n}\right)^2 L \|u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the Hilbert-Schmidt norm can be estimated to be

$$\|P^\perp(H_0 \pm i)^{-1}P\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\dim(P)} \|P^\perp(H_0 \pm i)^{-1}P\| \leq \sqrt{nL} \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \sqrt{L} = L \left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{n}}\right). \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover for $m = \pm 1$ the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} P^\perp U_0^{\pm 1} P &= P^\perp \left[e^{\pm i\phi} (i \mp H_0) (i \pm H_0)^{-1} \right] P = P^\perp \left[e^{\pm i\phi} \{ 2i (i \pm H_0)^{-1} - I \} \right] P \\ &= 2i e^{\pm i\phi} P^\perp \left[(i \pm H_0)^{-1} \right] P \end{aligned}$$

along with the above equation (3.2) implies that $\|P^\perp U_0^{\pm 1} P\|_2 \leq 2|\pm 1|L \left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ and finally principle of mathematical induction procedure leads to $\|P^\perp U_0^m P\|_2 \leq 2|m|L \left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ for general m . The proof concludes by choosing n sufficiently large. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let U and U_0 be two unitary operators in a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $U - U_0 \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ and let A be the corresponding self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ such that $U = e^{iA}U_0$. Then given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a projection P of finite rank such that for any integer m and for all t with $|t| \leq T$,*

- (i) $\|P^\perp U_0^m P\|_2 < 2|m|\epsilon, \quad \|P^\perp A\|_2 < 2\epsilon,$
- (ii) $\|P^\perp e^{itA} P\|_2 < 2Te^{T\|A\|} \epsilon, \quad \|P^\perp U^m P\|_2 < 2|m|(e^{\|A\|} + 1) \epsilon.$

Proof. Let $A(\cdot) = \sum_{l=1}^\infty \tau_l \langle \cdot, f_l \rangle f_l$ be the canonical form of A with $\sum_{l=1}^\infty \tau_l^2 < \infty$. Next choose L in such a way so that $\|A - A_L\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{l=L+1}^\infty \tau_l^2} < \epsilon$, where $A_L(\cdot) = \sum_{l=1}^L \tau_l \langle \cdot, f_l \rangle f_l$ and $\epsilon' = \min \left\{ \epsilon, \frac{\epsilon}{\sum_{l=1}^L |\tau_l|} \right\} > 0$. Next, we apply Lemma 3.2 with H_0 as the corresponding self-adjoint operator associated with U_0 (see (3.1)), $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_L\}$ and ϵ' in place of ϵ . Hence we get a finite rank projection P in \mathcal{H} such that

$$\|P^\perp f_l\| < \epsilon' < \epsilon \quad \text{for } 1 \leq l \leq L \quad \text{and} \quad \|P^\perp U_0^m P\|_2 < 2|m|\epsilon' < 2|m|\epsilon \quad \text{for any integer } m.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P^\perp A\|_2 &\leq \|P^\perp (A - A_L)\|_2 + \|P^\perp A_L\|_2 \leq \|A - A_L\|_2 + \|P^\perp A_L\|_2 \\ &< \epsilon + \left\| \sum_{l=1}^L \tau_l \langle \cdot, f_l \rangle P^\perp f_l \right\|_2 < \epsilon + \epsilon' \left(\sum_{l=1}^L |\tau_l| \right) < 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

For (ii), by the same calculation as in page 831 of [30], it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t) &= \|P^\perp e^{itA} P\|_2 = \|P^\perp (e^{itA} - I) P\|_2 \\ &\leq \|A\| \int_0^t \alpha(s) ds + T \|P^\perp A P\|_2 \leq \|A\| \int_0^t \alpha(s) ds + 2T\epsilon \quad \text{for } |t| \leq T \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

solving this Gronwall-type inequality (3.3) leads to

$$\alpha(t) = \|P^\perp e^{itA} P\|_2 \leq 2T\epsilon e^{t\|A\|} \leq 2Te^{T\|A\|}\epsilon \quad \text{uniformly for } t \text{ with } |t| \leq T.$$

Moreover by using (i) (for $m = \pm 1$) and (ii) (for $t = \pm 1$) we conclude

$$\|P^\perp U P\|_2 = \|P^\perp e^{iA} U_0 P\|_2 = \|P^\perp e^{iA} (P^\perp + P) U_0 P\|_2 < 2(1 + e^{\|A\|}) \epsilon$$

and

$$\|P^\perp U^{-1} P\|_2 = \|P^\perp U_0^{-1} e^{-iA} P\|_2 = \|P^\perp U_0^{-1} (P + P^\perp) e^{-iA} P\|_2 < 2(1 + e^{\|A\|}) \epsilon.$$

Finally mathematical induction procedure leads to $\|P^\perp U^m P\|_2 < 2|m|(e^{\|A\|} + 1) \epsilon$ for general m . This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let U and U_0 be two unitary operators in a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $U - U_0 \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ and let A be the corresponding self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ such that $U = e^{iA} U_0$. Then for $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a finite rank projection P such that for any integers m, k and $|s| \leq T$*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad &\|P^\perp (e^{iA} - I)\|_2 < 2\epsilon, \quad \|(e^{isA} - e^{isA_P}) P\|_2 < 2T\epsilon, \\ &\|P^\perp (e^{iA} - iA - I)\|_1 < 2\|A\|_2 \|A\|^{-2} (e^{\|A\|} - \|A\| - 1)\epsilon, \\ (ii) \quad &\|(U_0^m - U_{0,P}^m) P\|_2 < 2|m|\epsilon, \quad \|P (U^m - U_P^m) P\|_2 < 2|m|\epsilon \left\{ (|m| - 1)e^{\|A\|} + (|m| + 1) \right\}, \\ (iii) \quad &|\text{Tr} \{ P U_P^m (e^{iA} - e^{iA_P}) U_0^k \}| < 4\epsilon^2 e^{\|A\|}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the above $U_{0,P} = e^{i\phi}(i - PH_0P)(i + PH_0P)^{-1}$, $U_P = e^{(iPAP)} U_{0,P}$ and $A_P = PAP$.

Remark 3.5. Now observe that P commutes with $(i \pm PH_0P)$, $(i \pm PH_0P)^{-1}$ and PAP and hence P commutes with $U_{0,P}$ and U_P . Thus $P U_{0,P} P$ and $P U_P P$ can be looked upon as unitary operators on the Hilbert space $P\mathcal{H}$

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Given U_0 and A construct H_0 and P as in Lemma 3.3 respectively.

(i) First we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P^\perp(e^{iA} - I)\|_2 &= \left\| \int_0^1 iP^\perp A e^{isA} ds \right\|_2 \leq \|P^\perp A\|_2 < 2\epsilon, \\ \|(e^{isA} - e^{isA_P})P\|_2 &= \left\| \int_0^1 e^{istA} is(A - A_P)P e^{is(1-t)A_P} dt \right\|_2 \leq T\|P^\perp AP\|_2 < 2T\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \|P^\perp(e^{iA} - iA - I)\|_1 &= \left\| P^\perp A^2 \left(\sum_{k=2}^\infty \frac{(iA)^{k-2}}{k!} \right) \right\|_1 \\ &\leq \|P^\perp A\|_2 \|A\|_2 \left\| \sum_{k=2}^\infty \frac{(iA)^{k-2}}{k!} \right\| \leq 2\|A\|_2 \|A\|^{-2} (e^{\|A\|} - \|A\| - 1)\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Now we set $U_0^{\#m} = U_0^{\pm m}$ and $U_{0,P}^{\#m} = U_{0,P}^{\pm m}$, $m \geq 1$. Thus by using Lemma 3.2 (ii), Remark 3.5 and the identity

$$(U_0^\# - U_{0,P}^\#)P = \mp 2ie^{\pm i\phi} (i \pm H_0)^{-1} [P^\perp H_0 P] (i \pm PH_0 P)^{-1} P$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(U_0^{\#m} - U_{0,P}^{\#m})P\|_2 &= \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} U_0^{\#m-j-1} (U_0^\# - U_{0,P}^\#) U_{0,P}^{\#j} P \right\|_2 \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left\| U_0^{\#m-j-1} (i \pm H_0)^{-1} [P^\perp H_0 P] (i \pm PH_0 P)^{-1} U_{0,P}^{\#j} P \right\|_2 \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \|P^\perp H_0 P\|_2 < 2|m|\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Now first we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(U - U_P)P\|_2 &= \|P(e^{iA}U_0 - e^{iA_P}U_{0,P})P\|_2 \\ &\leq \|Pe^{iA}(U_0 - U_{0,P})P\|_2 + \|P(e^{iA} - e^{iA_P})U_{0,P}P\|_2 \\ &\leq \|(U_0 - U_{0,P})P\|_2 + \|P(e^{iA} - e^{iA_P})\|_2 < 4\epsilon, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

by using (i), (ii). Furthermore, since P commutes with U_P , we have for $m \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(U^m - U_P^m)P\|_2 &= \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} P U^{m-j-1} (U - U_P) U_P^j P \right\|_2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \left\| P U^{m-j-1} P^\perp (U - U_P) U_P^j P \right\|_2 + \left\| P U^{m-j-1} P (U - U_P) P U_P^j P \right\|_2 \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left\{ 2 \left\| P U^{m-j-1} P^\perp \right\|_2 + \left\| P (U - U_P) P \right\|_2 \right\} < 2m\epsilon \left\{ (m-1)e^{\|A\|} + (m+1) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

by using the above equation (3.4) and Lemma 3.3 (ii). Finally the estimate for $m \leq -1$ follows by taking the adjoint.

(iii) Now by applying trace properties and using Lemma 3.3 (i), (ii) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \text{Tr} \left\{ P U_P^m (e^{iA} - e^{iA_P}) U_0^k \right\} \right| = \left| \text{Tr} \left[P U_P^m \left(\int_0^1 \left\{ e^{isA} i(A - A_P) P e^{i(1-s)A_P} \right\} ds \right) U_0^k \right] \right| \\ & = \left| \int_0^1 \text{Tr} \left[P U_P^m e^{isA} P^\perp A P e^{i(1-s)A_P} U_0^k \right] ds \right| = \left| \int_0^1 \text{Tr} \left[P^\perp A P e^{i(1-s)A_P} U_0^k P U_P^m P e^{isA} P^\perp \right] ds \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \|P^\perp A P\|_2 \|P e^{isA} P^\perp\|_2 ds < 4\epsilon^2 e^{\|A\|}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.6. We can reformulate the above set of lemmas by saying that there exists a sequence $\{P_n\}$ of finite rank projections such that for $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|s| \leq T$,

- (i) $\|P_n^\perp H_0 P_n\|_2, \|P_n^\perp U_0^m P_n\|_2, \|P_n^\perp U^m P_n\|_2, \|P_n^\perp A\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (ii) $\|P_n^\perp (e^{iA} - I)\|_2, \|(U_0^m - U_{0,n}^m) P_n\|_2, \|P_n (U^m - U_n^m) P_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (iii) $\|(e^{isA} - e^{isA_{P_n}}) P_n\|_2, \|P_n^\perp e^{isA} P_n\|_2, |\text{Tr} \{P_n U_n^m (e^{iA} - e^{iA_{P_n}}) U_0^k\}| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (iv) $\|P_n^\perp (e^{iA} - iA - I)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

where $A_n = P_n A P_n, U_{0,n} = e^{i\phi}(i - P_n H_0 P_n)(i + P_n H_0 P_n)^{-1}, U_n = e^{(iA_n)} U_{0,n}$ and $U_{s,n} = e^{(isA_n)} U_{0,n}$.

The next theorem show how the above set of lemmas can be used to reduce the relevant problem into a finite dimensional one.

Theorem 3.7. Let U and U_0 be two unitary operators in a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $U - U_0 \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ and let $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ be the corresponding self-adjoint operator as in Theorem 2.1 such that $U = e^{iA} U_0$. Let $U_s = e^{isA} U_0, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p(\cdot)$ be any trigonometric polynomial on \mathbb{T} with complex coefficients. Then there exists a sequence $\{P_n\}$ of finite rank projections in \mathcal{H} such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr} \left\{ p(U) - p(U_0) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} p(U_s) \right\} \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr} \left[P_n \left\{ p(U_n) - p(U_{0,n}) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} p(U_{s,n}) \right\} P_n \right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $A_n = P_n A P_n, U_{0,n} = e^{i\phi}(i - P_n H_0 P_n)(i + P_n H_0 P_n)^{-1}, U_n = e^{(iA_n)} U_{0,n}$ and $U_{s,n} = e^{(isA_n)} U_{0,n}$.

Proof. It will be sufficient to prove the theorem for $p(\lambda) = \lambda^r, r \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Note that for $r = 0$, both sides of (3.5) are identically zero. First we prove for $r \geq 1$. Using the sequence $\{P_n\}$ of finite rank projections as obtained in Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 and using an expression similar to (2.1) in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr} \left\{ \left[p(U) - p(U_0) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} p(U_s) \right] - P_n \left[p(U_n) - p(U_{0,n}) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} p(U_{s,n}) \right] P_n \right\} \\ & = \text{Tr} \left\{ \left[U^r - U_0^r - \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} U_0^{r-j-1} (iA) U_0^{j+1} \right] - P_n \left[U_n^r - U_{0,n}^r - \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} U_{0,n}^{r-j-1} (iA_n) U_{0,n}^{j+1} \right] P_n \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Tr} \left\{ \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} U^{r-j-1}(U - U_0)U_0^j - \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} U_0^{r-j-1}(iA)U_0^{j+1} \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - P_n \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} U_n^{r-j-1}P_n(U_n - U_{0,n})P_nU_{0,n}^j - \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} U_{0,n}^{r-j-1}(iA_n)U_{0,n}^{j+1} \right] P_n \right\} \\
 &= \text{Tr} \left\{ \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} U^{r-j-1}(e^{iA} - I)U_0^{j+1} - \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} U_0^{r-j-1}(iA)U_0^{j+1} \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - P_n \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} U_n^{r-j-1}P_n(e^{iA_n} - I)P_nU_{0,n}^{j+1} - \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} U_{0,n}^{r-j-1}(iA_n)U_{0,n}^{j+1} \right] P_n \right\} \\
 &= \text{Tr} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left[U^{r-j-1}(e^{iA} - iA - I)U_0^{j+1} + (U^{r-j-1} - U_0^{r-j-1})(iA)U_0^{j+1} \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - P_n \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left[U_n^{r-j-1}P_n(e^{iA_n} - iA_n - I)P_nU_{0,n}^{j+1} + (U_n^{r-j-1} - U_{0,n}^{r-j-1})(iA_n)U_{0,n}^{j+1} \right] P_n \right) \right\} \\
 &= \text{Tr} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left[U^{r-j-1}(e^{iA} - iA - I)U_0^{j+1} - P_nU_n^{r-j-1}P_n(e^{iA_n} - iA_n - I)P_nU_{0,n}^{j+1}P_n \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left[(U^{r-j-1} - U_0^{r-j-1})(iA)U_0^{j+1} - P_n(U_n^{r-j-1} - U_{0,n}^{r-j-1})P_n(iA_n)U_{0,n}^{j+1}P_n \right] \right\}. \tag{3.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the results obtained in Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, the first term of the expression (3.6) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \text{Tr} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left[U^{r-j-1}(e^{iA} - iA - I)U_0^{j+1} - P_nU_n^{r-j-1}P_n(e^{iA_n} - iA_n - I)P_nU_{0,n}^{j+1}P_n \right] \right\} \right| \\
 &= \left| \text{Tr} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left[(U^{r-j-1} - U_n^{r-j-1})P_n(e^{iA} - iA - I)U_0^{j+1} + U^{r-j-1}P_n^\perp(e^{iA} - iA - I)U_0^{j+1} \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + U_n^{r-j-1}P_n(e^{iA} - iA - I - e^{iA_n} + iA_n + I)U_0^{j+1} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + U_n^{r-j-1}P_n(e^{iA_n} - iA_n - I)P_n(U_0^{j+1} - U_{0,n}^{j+1}) \right] \right\} \right| \\
 &= \left| \text{Tr} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left[P_n(U^{r-j-1} - U_n^{r-j-1})P_n(e^{iA} - iA - I)U_0^{j+1} + P_n^\perp U^{r-j-1}P_n(e^{iA} - iA - I)U_0^{j+1} \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + U^{r-j-1}P_n^\perp(e^{iA} - iA - I)U_0^{j+1} + U_n^{r-j-1}P_n(e^{iA} - iA - e^{iA_n} + iA_n + I)U_0^{j+1} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + U_n^{r-j-1}P_n(e^{iA_n} - iA_n - I)P_n(U_0^{j+1} - U_{0,n}^{j+1}) \right] \right\} \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left\{ \|P_n(U^{r-j-1} - U_n^{r-j-1})P_n\|_2 \| (e^{iA} - iA - I) \|_2 + \|P_n^\perp U^{r-j-1}P_n\|_2 \|e^{iA} - iA - I\|_2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \|P_n^\perp(e^{iA} - iA - I)\|_1 + \left| \text{Tr} (P_nU_n^{r-j-1}P_n(e^{iA} - iA - e^{iA_n} + iA_n)U_0^{j+1}P_n) \right| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \| (e^{iA_n} - iA_n - I) \|_2 \|P_n(U_0^{j+1} - U_{0,n}^{j+1})\|_2 \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq (e^{\|A\|} - \|A\| - 1)\|A\|^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left\{ \|P_n(U^{r-j-1} - U_n^{r-j-1})P_n\|_2 + \|P_n^\perp U^{r-j-1}P_n\|_2 \right\} \\
 &\quad + r\|P_n^\perp(e^{iA} - iA - I)\|_1 + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left| \text{Tr} (P_n U_n^{r-j-1} P_n (e^{iA} - e^{iA_n}) U_0^{j+1} P_n) \right| \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \|P_n U_n^{r-j-1} P_n A P_n^\perp U_0^{j+1} P_n\|_1 + (e^{\|A\|} - \|A\| - 1)\|A\|^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \|P_n(U_0^{j+1} - U_{0,n}^{j+1})\|_2 \\
 &\leq (e^{\|A\|} - \|A\| - 1)\|A\|^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left\{ \|P_n(U^{r-j-1} - U_n^{r-j-1})P_n\|_2 + \|P_n^\perp U^{r-j-1}P_n\|_2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \|P_n(U_0^{j+1} - U_{0,n}^{j+1})\|_2 \right\} + r\|P_n^\perp(e^{iA} - iA - I)\|_1 \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left| \text{Tr} (P_n U_n^{r-j-1} P_n (e^{iA} - e^{iA_n}) U_0^{j+1} P_n) \right| + \|P_n A P_n^\perp\|_2 \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \|P_n^\perp U_0^{j+1} P_n\|_2, \tag{3.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

and the estimate of the second term of the right hand side of (3.6) is as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \text{Tr} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} [(U^{r-j-1} - U_0^{r-j-1})AU_0^{j+1} - P_n(U_n^{r-j-1} - U_{0,n}^{r-j-1})P_n A_n U_{0,n}^{j+1}] \right) \right| \\
 &= \left| \text{Tr} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left[\{(U^{r-j-1} - U_0^{r-j-1}) - (U_n^{r-j-1} - U_{0,n}^{r-j-1})\} P_n A U_0^{j+1} \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + (U^{r-j-1} - U_0^{r-j-1}) P_n^\perp A U_0^{j+1} + (U_n^{r-j-1} - U_{0,n}^{r-j-1}) P_n (A - A_n) U_0^{j+1} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + (U_n^{r-j-1} - U_{0,n}^{r-j-1}) P_n A_n P_n (U_0^{j+1} - U_{0,n}^{j+1}) \right] \right) \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left\{ \left(\| (U^{r-j-1} - U_n^{r-j-1}) P_n \|_2 + \| (U_0^{r-j-1} - U_{0,n}^{r-j-1}) P_n \|_2 \right) \| P_n A U_0^{j+1} \|_2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \| U^{r-j-1} - U_0^{r-j-1} \|_2 \| P_n^\perp A U_0^{j+1} \|_2 + \| (U_n^{r-j-1} - U_{0,n}^{r-j-1}) P_n \|_2 \| P_n A P_n^\perp \|_2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2\|A\|_2 \| P_n (U_0^{j+1} - U_{0,n}^{j+1}) \|_2 \right\} \\
 &\leq \|A\|_2 \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left\{ \| (U^{r-j-1} - U_n^{r-j-1}) P_n \|_2 + \| (U_0^{r-j-1} - U_{0,n}^{r-j-1}) P_n \|_2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2\|P_n (U_0^{j+1} - U_{0,n}^{j+1})\|_2 \right\} + \frac{r(r-1)}{2} \|A\|_2 \|P_n^\perp A\|_2. \tag{3.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now using all estimates listed in Remark (3.6) we conclude that the right hand sides of (3.7) and (3.8) tend to zero as n approaches to infinity. Hence from (3.6) we deduce the desire approximation (3.5). On the other hand for $p(\lambda) = \lambda^r$, $r \leq -1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{Tr} \left\{ \left[p(U) - p(U_0) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} p(U_s) \right] - P_n \left[p(U_n) - p(U_{0,n}) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} p(U_{s,n}) \right] P_n \right\} \\
 &= \text{Tr} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{|r|-1} \left(U^{*|r|-j-1} U_0^* (e^{-iA} - 1) U_0^{*j} + U_0^{*|r|-j-1} U_0^* (iA) U_0^{*j} \right) \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - P_n \sum_{j=0}^{|r|-1} \left(U_n^{*|r|-j-1} U_{0,n}^* (e^{-iA_n} - 1) U_{0,n}^{*j} + U_{0,n}^{*|r|-j-1} U_{0,n}^* (iA_n) U_{0,n}^{*j} \right) P_n \Big\} \\
 = & \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{|r|-1} \left[U_0^{*|r|-j-1} U_0^* (e^{-iA} + iA - I) U_0^{*j} - P_n U_n^{*|r|-j-1} U_{0,n}^* P_n (e^{-iA_n} + iA_n - I) P_n U_{0,n}^{*j} P_n \right] \right. \\
 & \left. - \sum_{j=0}^{|r|-1} \left[(U_n^{*|r|-j-1} - U_0^{*|r|-j-1}) U_0^* (iA) U_0^{*j} - P_n (U_n^{*|r|-j-1} - U_{0,n}^{*|r|-j-1}) U_{0,n}^* P_n (iA_n) U_{0,n}^{*j} P_n \right] \right\}. \tag{3.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as above with an appropriate rearrangement and using Remark 3.6, one can show that the right-hand side of (3.9) approaches to zero as n tends to infinity. This completes the proof. \square

4. Existence of shift function

In this section, we derive the trace formula corresponding to the pair (U, U_0) . The following theorem is one of the main results in this section.

Theorem 4.1. *Let U and U_0 be two unitary operators in a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $U - U_0 \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ and let $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ be the corresponding self-adjoint operator as in Theorem 2.1 such that $U = e^{iA}U_0$. Denote $U_s = e^{isA}U_0$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for any trigonometric polynomial $p(\cdot)$ on \mathbb{T} with complex coefficients, $\left\{ p(U) - p(U_0) - \frac{d}{ds}p(U_s) \Big|_{s=0} \right\} \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ and there exists an $L^1([0, 2\pi])$ -function η (unique upto an additive constant) such that*

$$\operatorname{Tr} \left\{ p(U) - p(U_0) - \frac{d}{ds}p(U_s) \Big|_{s=0} \right\} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \{p(e^{it})\} \eta(t) dt.$$

Moreover, $\|\eta\|_{L^1([0, 2\pi])} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \|A\|_2^2$.

Proof. By Theorems 2.2 and 3.7, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ p(U) - p(U_0) - \frac{d}{ds}p(U_s) \Big|_{s=0} \right\} \\
 = & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Tr} \left[P_n \left\{ p(U_n) - p(U_{0,n}) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} p(U_{s,n}) \right\} P_n \right] \\
 = & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \{p(e^{it})\} \eta_n(t) dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \{p(e^{it})\} \eta_{o,n}(t) dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\eta_{o,n}(t) = \eta_n(t) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \eta_n(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, 2\pi] \quad \text{and} \quad \|\eta_{o,n}\|_{L^1([0, 2\pi])} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \|A\|_2^2. \tag{4.1}$$

Next we want to show that $\{\eta_{o,n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^1([0, 2\pi])$. Indeed, for any $f \in L^\infty([0, 2\pi])$ we consider

$$f_o(t) = f(t) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(s) ds.$$

Now it is easy to observe that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(t) \{ \eta_{o,n}(t) - \eta_{o,m}(t) \} dt = \int_0^{2\pi} f_o(t) \{ \eta_n(t) - \eta_m(t) \} dt, \quad \int_0^{2\pi} f_o(t) dt = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_o\|_\infty \leq 2\|f\|_\infty.$$

Therefore by following the idea contained in the paper of Gestezy et al. [9] (see also [7]), using the expression (2.3) of η , using Fubini's theorem to interchange the orders of integration and integrating by-parts, we have

for $g(e^{it}) = \int_0^t f_o(s) ds$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) \{ \eta_{o,n}(t) - \eta_{o,m}(t) \} dt = \int_0^{2\pi} f_o(t) \{ \eta_n(t) - \eta_m(t) \} dt \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d}{dt} \{ g(e^{it}) \} \left(\int_0^1 \text{Tr} \left[A_n \{ E_{0,n}(t) - E_{s,n}(t) \} - A_m \{ E_{0,m}(t) - E_{s,m}(t) \} \right] ds \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 ds \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d}{dt} \{ g(e^{it}) \} \text{Tr} \left[A_n \{ E_{0,n}(t) - E_{s,n}(t) \} - A_m \{ E_{0,m}(t) - E_{s,m}(t) \} \right] dt \\ &= \int_0^1 ds \left(g(e^{it}) \text{Tr} \left[A_n \{ E_{0,n}(t) - E_{s,n}(t) \} - A_m \{ E_{0,m}(t) - E_{s,m}(t) \} \right] \Big|_{t=0}^{2\pi} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^{2\pi} g(e^{it}) \text{Tr} \left[A_n \{ E_{0,n}(dt) - E_{s,n}(dt) \} - A_m \{ E_{0,m}(dt) - E_{s,m}(dt) \} \right] \right) \\ &= - \int_0^1 ds \int_0^{2\pi} g(e^{it}) \text{Tr} \left[A_n \{ E_{0,n}(dt) - E_{s,n}(dt) \} - A_m \{ E_{0,m}(dt) - E_{s,m}(dt) \} \right] \\ &= \int_0^1 ds \text{Tr} \left[A_n \{ g(U_{s,n}) - g(U_{0,n}) \} - A_m \{ g(U_{s,m}) - g(U_{0,m}) \} \right] \\ &= \int_0^1 ds \text{Tr} \left[A_n \{ \{ g(U_{s,n}) - g(U_s) \} - \{ g(U_{0,n}) - g(U_0) \} \} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - A_m \{ \{ g(U_{s,m}) - g(U_s) \} - \{ g(U_{0,m}) - g(U_0) \} \} + (A_n - A_m) \{ g(U_s) - g(U_0) \} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $E_{s,n}(\cdot)$ and $E_{0,n}(\cdot)$ are the spectral measures determined uniquely by the unitary operators $U_{s,n}$ and $U_{0,n}$ respectively such that they are continuous at $t = 0$ and noted that all the boundary terms vanish. Next we note that as in (2.6)

$$P_n \{ g(U_{s,n}) - g(U_s) \} P_n = P_n \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{g(e^{i\lambda}) - g(e^{i\mu})}{e^{i\lambda} - e^{i\mu}} \mathcal{G}_n(d\lambda \times d\mu) \left(P_n \{ U_{s,n} - U_s \} \right) \right\} P_n,$$

where $\mathcal{G}_n(\Delta \times \delta)(V) = E_{s,n}(\Delta)VE_s(\delta)$ ($V \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$, $\Delta \times \delta \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $E_s(\cdot)$ is the spectral measure determined uniquely by the unitary operator U_s such that it is continuous at 0) extends to a spectral measure on \mathbb{R}^2 in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ (equipped with the inner product derived from the trace) and its total variation is less than or equal to $\|V\|_2$. Therefore

$$\|P_n\{g(U_{s,n}) - g(U_s)\}P_n\|_2 \leq \pi\|f\|_\infty\|P_n\{U_{s,n} - U_s\}\|_2,$$

since $\left| \frac{g(e^{i\lambda}) - g(e^{i\mu})}{e^{i\lambda} - e^{i\mu}} \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\|f_o\|_\infty \leq \pi\|f\|_\infty$, for $\lambda, \mu \in [0, 2\pi]$. But on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_n(U_{s,n} - U_s)\|_2 &\leq \|P_n(e^{isA_n} - e^{isA})U_{0,n} + P_n e^{isA}(U_{0,n} - U_0)\|_2 \\ &\leq \|P_n(e^{isA_n} - e^{isA})\|_2 + \|P_n e^{isA}P_n(U_{0,n} - U_0)\|_2 + \|P_n e^{isA}P_n^\perp(U_{0,n} - U_0)\|_2 \\ &\leq \|P_n(e^{isA_n} - e^{isA})\|_2 + \|P_n(U_{0,n} - U_0)\|_2 + 2\|P_n e^{isA}P_n^\perp\|_2 \\ &\leq \|P_nAP_n^\perp\|_2 + \|P_n(U_{0,n} - U_0)\|_2 + 2s\|AP_n^\perp\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\left| \text{Tr} \left[A_n \{g(U_{s,n}) - g(U_s)\} \right] \right| \leq \pi\|f\|_\infty\|A\|_2 \left\{ \|P_nAP_n^\perp\|_2 + \|P_n(U_{0,n} - U_0)\|_2 + 2s\|AP_n^\perp\|_2 \right\}. \tag{4.2}$$

Similarly we conclude that

$$\left| \text{Tr} \left[A_n \{g(U_{0,n}) - g(U_0)\} \right] \right| \leq \pi\|f\|_\infty\|A\|_2 \|P_n(U_{0,n} - U_0)\|_2. \tag{4.3}$$

Furthermore we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \text{Tr} \left[(A_n - A_m) \{g(U_s) - g(U_0)\} \right] \right| &\leq \pi\|f\|_\infty \|A_n - A_m\|_2 \|U_s - U_0\|_2 \\ &\leq \pi\|f\|_\infty \|A_n - A_m\|_2 (s\|A\|_2), \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

by using the estimate as in (2.7). Therefore using equations (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) \{ \eta_{o,n}(t) - \eta_{o,m}(t) \} dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 ds \left| \text{Tr} \left[A_n \left\{ \{g(U_{s,n}) - g(U_s)\} - \{g(U_{0,n}) - g(U_0)\} \right\} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - A_m \left\{ \{g(U_{s,m}) - g(U_s)\} - \{g(U_{0,m}) - g(U_0)\} \right\} + (A_n - A_m) \{g(U_s) - g(U_0)\} \right] \right| \\ &\leq K_{m,n}\|f\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_{m,n} = \pi\|A\|_2 \left[\left\{ \|P_nAP_n^\perp\|_2 + \|P_n(U_{0,n} - U_0)\|_2 + \|AP_n^\perp\|_2 + \|P_n(U_{0,n} - U_0)\|_2 \right\} \right. \\ \left. + \left\{ \|P_mAP_m^\perp\|_2 + \|P_m(U_{0,m} - U_0)\|_2 + \|AP_m^\perp\|_2 + \|P_m(U_{0,m} - U_0)\|_2 \right\} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \|A_n - A_m\|_2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by Hahn-Banach theorem

$$\|\eta_{o,n} - \eta_{o,m}\|_1 = \sup_{f \in L^\infty([0, 2\pi]): \|f\|_\infty = 1} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) \{\eta_{o,n}(t) - \eta_{o,m}(t)\} dt \right| \leq K_{m,n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty,$$

by using Remark 3.6 and hence $\{\eta_{o,n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^1([0, 2\pi])$. Therefore there exists a $\eta \in L^1([0, 2\pi])$ such that $\eta_{o,n}$ converges to η in $L^1([0, 2\pi])$ norm. Thus

$$\text{Tr} \left\{ p(U) - p(U_0) - \frac{d}{ds} p(U_s) \Big|_{s=0} \right\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \{p(e^{it})\} \eta_{o,n}(t) dt = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \{p(e^{it})\} \eta(t) dt. \quad (4.5)$$

Moreover, from (4.1) it follows that $\|\eta\|_{L^1([0, 2\pi])} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \|A\|_2^2$. Regarding uniqueness of η , let η_1 and η_2 be two $L^1([0, 2\pi])$ functions which satisfy (4.5) for any polynomial $p(\cdot)$ on \mathbb{T} . Now by considering $p(z) = z^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ we get

$$\int_0^{2\pi} e^{int} \{\eta_1(t) - \eta_2(t)\} dt = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\},$$

and consequently uniqueness of Fourier series implies $(\eta_1 - \eta_2)$ is constant. This completes the proof. \square

Our next aim is to extend the class of functions ϕ for which the trace formula (1.4) holds true.

Lemma 4.2. Let $f_n(s) = a_n U_s^n$, where $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $U_s = e^{isA} U_0$ as in the statement of Theorem 4.1 be such that $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n^2 |a_n| < \infty$. Then

$$\frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(s) \right) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} f_n(s) \right), \quad (4.6)$$

where the infinite series on both sides of (4.6) converge in operator norm.

Proof. The expression in (2.1) along with the fact $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^2 |a_n| < \infty$ implies both infinite series in (4.6) converge in operator norm. Next we denote $\tau_n = \text{sgn}(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the definition of Gâteaux derivative and the following estimate

$$\left\| \frac{1}{s} \left[\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n U_s^{\tau_n |n|} - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n U_0^{\tau_n |n|} \right] - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} U_0^{|n|-j-1} (iA) U_s^{j+1} & \text{if } n \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ - \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} (U_0^*)^{|n|-j} (iA) (U_0^*)^j & \text{if } n \leq -1, \end{cases} \right\|$$

$$\leq \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\{|a_n| + |a_{-n}|\} \cdot \left[\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \|A\|^2 + n (e^{\|A\|} - \|A\| - 1) \right] \right) \right\} \cdot |s| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } s \rightarrow 0,$$

yields equation (4.6). \square

Let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{T}} := \left\{ \Phi \mid \Phi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \Phi(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n \text{ with } \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n^2 |a_n| < \infty \right\}$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let U and U_0 be two unitary operators in an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $U - U_0 \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$. Then for any $\Phi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{T}}$, $\left\{ \Phi(U) - \Phi(U_0) - \frac{d}{ds} \Phi(U_s) \Big|_{s=0} \right\} \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ and there exists an $L^1([0, 2\pi])$ -function η , unique up to an additive constant, such that*

$$\text{Tr} \left\{ \Phi(U) - \Phi(U_0) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \Phi(U_s) \right\} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \{ \Phi(e^{it}) \} \eta(t) dt.$$

Proof. Using the above Lemma 4.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(U) - \Phi(U_0) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \Phi(U_s) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n U^{\tau_n |n|} - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n U_0^{\tau_n |n|} - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n U_s^{\tau_n |n|} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n \left[U^{\tau_n |n|} - U_0^{\tau_n |n|} - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} U_s^{\tau_n |n|} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Moreover, using (2.1) we conclude that $\left(U^{\tau_n |n|} - U_0^{\tau_n |n|} - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} U_s^{\tau_n |n|} \right)$ is trace class and the following trace norm estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| U^{\tau_n |n|} - U_0^{\tau_n |n|} - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} U_s^{\tau_n |n|} \right\|_1 \\ &= \left\| U^{\tau_n |n|} - U_0^{\tau_n |n|} - \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} U_0^{|n|-j-1} (iA) U_s^{j+1} & \text{if } n \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ - \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} (U_0^*)^{|n|-j} (iA) (U_0^*)^j & \text{if } n \leq -1 \end{cases} \right\|_1 \\ &\leq \left[\frac{|n|(|n|-1)}{2} + |n| \|A\|^{-2} \left(e^{\|A\|} - \|A\| - 1 \right) \right] \|A\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_n| \left\| U^n - U_0^n - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} U_s^n \right\|_1 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |a_{-n}|) \left[\frac{n(n-1)}{2} + n \|A\|^{-2} \left(e^{\|A\|} - \|A\| - 1 \right) \right] \|A\|_2^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the series in (4.7) converges in trace norm and hence $\left\{ \Phi(U) - \Phi(U_0) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \Phi(U_s) \right\}$ is trace class and furthermore

$$\text{Tr} \left\{ \Phi(U) - \Phi(U_0) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \Phi(U_s) \right\} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n \text{Tr} \left[U^n - U_0^n - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} U_s^n \right]. \tag{4.8}$$

Thus by combining Theorem 4.1 and (4.8) and applying Fubini’s theorem we get

$$\operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \Phi(U) - \Phi(U_0) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \Phi(U_s) \right\} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} (-n^2 a_n e^{int}) \eta(t) dt = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \{ \Phi(e^{it}) \} \eta(t) dt.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.4. *If U and U_0 are two unitary operators in an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $U - U_0 \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$. Then there exists an $L^1([0, 2\pi])$ -function η , unique up to an additive constant, such that for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| \neq 1$,*

$$\operatorname{Tr} \left\{ (U - z)^{-1} - (U_0 - z)^{-1} - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} (U_s - z)^{-1} \right\} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \{ (e^{it} - z)^{-1} \} \eta(t) dt.$$

Acknowledgments

The research of the first named author is supported by the Mathematical Research Impact Centric Support (MATRICS) grant, File No: MTR/2019/000640, by the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), Department of Science & Technology (DST), Government of India, File No. MTR/2019/000640. The second and the third named author gratefully acknowledge the support provided by IIT Guwahati, Government of India.

References

- [1] A.B. Aleksandrov, V.V. Peller, Kreĭn's trace formula for unitary operators and operator Lipschitz functions, *Funkc. Anal. Prilozh.* 50 (3) (2016) 1–11 (in Russian); translation in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 50 (3) (2016) 167–175, MR 3646713.
- [2] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer, New York, 1997, MR 1477662.
- [3] M.S. Birman, A.B. Pushnitski, Spectral shift function, amazing and multifaceted, Dedicated to the memory of Mark Grigorievich Krein (1907–1989), *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory* 30 (2) (1998) 191–199, MR 1607900.
- [4] M.S. Birman, M.Z. Solomyak, Remarks on the spectral shift function, in: *Boundary Value Problems of Mathematical Physics and Related Questions in the Theory of Functions*, vol. 6, *Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* 27 (1972) 33–46 (in Russian); English transl. *J. Sov. Math.* 3 (1975) 408–419, MR 0315482.
- [5] M.S. Birman, M.Z. Solomyak, Double operator integrals in a Hilbert space, *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory* 47 (2003) 131–168, MR 2002663.
- [6] K.N. Boyadzhiev, Mean value theorems for traces, *Math. Jpn.* 38 (2) (1993) 217–224, MR 1213382.
- [7] A. Chattopadhyay, K.B. Sinha, Koplienko trace formula, *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory* 73 (4) (2012) 573–587, MR 2956815.
- [8] K. Dykema, A. Skripka, Higher order spectral shift, *J. Funct. Anal.* 257 (2009) 1092–1132, MR 2535464.
- [9] F. Gesztesy, A. Pushnitski, B. Simon, On the Koplienko spectral shift function I. Basics, *Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom.* 4 (1) (2008) 63–107, 202, MR 2404174.
- [10] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory of Linear Operators*, 2nd edn., Springer, New York, 1976, MR 0407617.
- [11] L.S. Koplienko, Trace formula for perturbations of nonnuclear type, *Sib. Mat. Zh.* 25 (5) (1984) 62–71 (in Russian); English transl. in *Sib. Math. J.* 25 (1984) 735–743, MR 0762239.
- [12] M.G. Kreĭn, On the trace formula in perturbation theory, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* 33 (75) (1953) 597–626 (in Russian), MR 0060742.
- [13] M.G. Kreĭn, On perturbation determinants and a trace formula for unitary and self-adjoint operators, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 144 (1962) 268–271 (in Russian), MR 0139006.
- [14] M.G. Kreĭn, *Topics in Differential and Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983, MR 815109.
- [15] I.M. Lifšic, On a problem of the theory of perturbations connected with quantum statistics, *Usp. Mat. Nauk (N.S.)* 7 (1(47)) (1952) 171–180 (in Russian), MR 0049490.
- [16] M.M. Malamud, H. Neidhardt, V.V. Peller, A trace formula for functions of contractions and analytic operator Lipschitz functions, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 355 (7) (2017) 806–811, MR 3673056.
- [17] M.M. Malamud, H. Neidhardt, V.V. Peller, Analytic operator Lipschitz functions in the disk and a trace formula for functions of contractions, *Funkc. Anal. Prilozh.* 51 (3) (2017) 33–55 (in Russian); English transl. in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 51 (3) (2017) 185–203, MR 3685301.
- [18] M.M. Malamud, H. Neidhardt, V.V. Peller, Absolute continuity of spectral shift, *J. Funct. Anal.* 276 (5) (2019) 1575–1621, MR 3912785.
- [19] H. Neidhardt, Spectral shift function and Hilbert-Schmidt perturbation: extensions of some work of L. S. Koplienko, *Math. Nachr.* 138 (1988) 7–25, MR 0975197.

- [20] V.V. Peller, Hankel operators in the theory of perturbations of unitary and self-adjoint operators, *Funkc. Anal. Prilozh.* 19 (2) (1985) 37–51 (in Russian); translation: *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 19 (1985) 111–123, MR 0800919.
- [21] V.V. Peller, Multiple operator integrals and higher operator derivatives, *J. Funct. Anal.* 223 (2006) 515–544, MR 2214586.
- [22] V.V. Peller, An extension of the Koplienke-Neidhardt trace formulae, *J. Funct. Anal.* 221 (2) (2005) 456–481, MR 2124872.
- [23] V.V. Peller, The Lifshitz-Krein trace formula and operator Lipschitz functions, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 144 (12) (2016) 5207–5215, MR 3556265.
- [24] D. Potapov, A. Skripka, F. Sukochev, Spectral shift function of higher order (English summary), *Invent. Math.* 193 (3) (2013) 501–538, MR 3091975.
- [25] D. Potapov, A. Skripka, F. Sukochev, On Hilbert-Schmidt compatibility, *Oper. Matrices* 7 (1) (2013) 1–34, MR 3076457.
- [26] D. Potapov, A. Skripka, F. Sukochev, Higher-order spectral shift for contractions, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 108 (2) (2014) 327–349, MR 3166355.
- [27] D. Potapov, A. Skripka, F. Sukochev, Functions of unitary operators: derivatives and trace formulas, *J. Funct. Anal.* 270 (6) (2016) 2048–2072, MR 3460234.
- [28] D. Potapov, F. Sukochev, Koplienke spectral shift function on the unit circle, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 309 (3) (2012) 693–702, MR 2885605.
- [29] F. Riesz, B. Sz.-Nagy, [Funct. Anal.] *Functional Analysis*, translation of *Leçons d’Analyse Fonctionnelle*, 2nd ed. (Budapest, 1953), Dover, New York, 1990, MR 1068530.
- [30] K.B. Sinha, A.N. Mohapatra, Spectral shift function and trace formula, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* 104 (4) (1994) 819–853, MR 1319886.
- [31] K.B. Sinha, A.N. Mohapatra, Spectral shift function and trace formula for unitaries a new proof, *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory* 24 (3) (1996) 285–297, MR 1375976.
- [32] A. Skripka, Higher order spectral shift, II. Unbounded case, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 59 (2) (2010) 691–706, MR 2648082.
- [33] A. Skripka, Estimates and trace formulas for unitary and resolvent comparable perturbations, *Adv. Math.* 311 (2017) 481–509, MR 3628221.
- [34] A. Skripka, A. Tomskova, *Multilinear Operator Integrals. Theory and Applications*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 2250, Springer, Cham, 2019, MR 3971571.
- [35] D. Voiculescu, On a trace formula of M. G. Krein, in: H. Helson, B. Sz-Nagy, F.-H. Vasilescu, D. Voiculescu, Gr. Arsene (Eds.), *Operators in Indefinite Metric Spaces, Scattering Theory and other Topics*, *Papers from the Tenth International Conference on Operator Theory Held in Bucharest, August 26-September 5, 1985*, in: *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, vol. 24, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel etc., 1987, pp. 329–332, MR 0903085 MR 0903059 (collection).