



Isoperimetric type inequalities for harmonic functions

David Kalaj^{a,*}, Romeo Meštrović^b^a Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, University of Montenegro, Džordža Vašingtona bb, 81000 Podgorica, Montenegro^b Maritime Faculty, University of Montenegro, Dobrota 36, 85330 Kotor, Montenegro

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 20 November 2009

Available online 6 August 2010

Submitted by Richard M. Aron

Keywords:

Harmonic Bergman space

Harmonic Hardy space

Isoperimetric inequality

ABSTRACT

For $0 < p < +\infty$ let h^p be the harmonic Hardy space and let b^p be the harmonic Bergman space of harmonic functions on the open unit disk \mathbb{U} . Given $1 \leq p < +\infty$, denote by $\|\cdot\|_{b^p}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{h^p}$ the norms in the spaces b^p and h^p , respectively. In this paper, we establish the harmonic h^p -analogue of the known isoperimetric type inequality $\|f\|_{b^{2p}} \leq \|f\|_{h^p}$, where f is an arbitrary holomorphic function in the classical Hardy space H^p . We prove that for arbitrary $p > 1$, every function $f \in h^p$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|f\|_{b^{2p}} \leq a_p \|f\|_{h^p},$$

where $a_p > 1$ is a suitable constant depending only on p . Furthermore, by using the Carleman inequality in the form $\|f\|_{b^4} \leq \|f\|_{h^2}$ with $f \in H^2$, we prove the following refinement of the above inequality for $p = 2$

$$\|f\|_{b^4} \leq \sqrt[4]{1.5 + \sqrt{2}} \|f\|_{h^2}.$$

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper we let $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ be the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , and let $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ be the unit circle in \mathbb{C} . The normalized area measure on \mathbb{U} will be denoted by $d\sigma$. In terms of real (rectangular and polar) coordinates, we have

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{\pi} dx dy = \frac{1}{\pi} r dr dt, \quad z = x + iy = re^{it}.$$

Further, $dt/2\pi$ denotes the normalized Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T} .

For $1 \leq p < +\infty$ let $L^p(\mathbb{U}, \sigma) = L^p$ denote the familiar Lebesgue space on \mathbb{U} with respect to the measure σ . For such a p , the harmonic Bergman space b^p is the space of all (complex-valued) harmonic functions f on the disk \mathbb{U} such that

$$\|f\|_{b^p} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} |f(z)|^p d\sigma \right)^{1/p} < +\infty. \quad (1.1)$$

Recall that the Bergman space A^p is the space of all holomorphic functions on \mathbb{U} such that the integral in (1.1) is finite. We denote by A_0^p the set of all functions $f \in A^p$ for which $f(0) = 0$.

The harmonic Hardy space h^p is defined as the space of (complex-valued) harmonic functions f on \mathbb{U} such that

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: davidk@ac.me (D. Kalaj), romeo@ac.me (R. Meštrović).

$$\|f\|_{h^p} := \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{it})|^p \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right)^{1/p} < \infty. \quad (1.2)$$

If $f \in h^p$ then by [1, Theorem 6.13] the *radial limit*

$$f(e^{it}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} f(re^{it})$$

exists for almost every e^{it} in \mathbb{T} and the boundary function $f(e^{it})$ is integrable on \mathbb{T} . It is well known that

$$\|f\|_{h^p}^p = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{it})|^p \frac{dt}{2\pi} = \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{it})|^p \frac{dt}{2\pi}.$$

The *Hardy space* H^p equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{h^p}$ defined above, consists of all holomorphic functions $f \in h^p$. For more information on Bergman and Hardy spaces, see the books [7] and [5].

The starting point of this paper is the well-known isoperimetric inequality for Jordan domains and isoperimetric inequality for minimal surfaces due to Carleman [4]. Among the other results, Carleman in [4] proved that for any smooth harmonic function u on the closed disk $\bar{\mathbb{U}}$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{U}} e^{2u} dx dy \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} e^u dt \right)^2.$$

By using a similar approach as Carleman, Strebel in [10, Theorem 19.9, pp. 96–98] proved that if f is in H^1

$$\int_{\mathbb{U}} |f(z)|^2 dx dy \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(e^{it})| dt \right)^2 \quad (1.3)$$

with “=” instead of “ \leq ” if and only if

$$f(z) = \frac{\alpha}{(1-az)^2},$$

where $|a| < 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. This inequality has been proved independently by Mateljević and Pavlović in [9]. More than one approach can be found in the expository papers by Gamelin and Khavinson [8] and Bénéteau and Khavinson [2] along with a brief history of the problem.

It is useful to observe that for our purposes the inequality (1.3) may be written in terms of the A^2 and H^1 norms as

$$\|f\|_{b^2} \leq \|f\|_{h^1}, \quad f \in H^1. \quad (1.4)$$

Further, Burbea [3] generalized the inequality (1.3) as

$$\frac{n-1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{U}} |f(z)|^{np} (1-|z|^2)^{n-2} dx dy \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{it})|^p dt \right)^n,$$

where $n \geq 2$ is a positive integer and $f \in H^p$ for some $0 < p < +\infty$.

Strebel in [10, Theorem 19.9, pp. 96–98] proved the previous inequality with $n = 2$ and arbitrary $0 < p < +\infty$. The same result is obtained by Vukotić [11, Theorem 1], where it is written in the form

$$\|f\|_{b^{2p}} \leq \|f\|_{h^p}, \quad f \in H^p. \quad (1.5)$$

Recently, Hang, Wang and Yang [6] extended the related isoperimetric type inequality for harmonic functions defined on the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 3$.

Although it is impossible to establish the harmonic version of the inequality (1.3) (cf. Example 1.5), in this paper we prove its harmonic h^p -analogue for arbitrary $p > 1$ as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ with $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Then every function $f \in h^p$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\|f\|_{b^{2p}} \leq a_p \|f\|_{h^p},$$

where

$$a_p = \begin{cases} (\frac{p}{p-1})^{1/p}, & \text{if } 1 < p \leq 2 \text{ and } f \text{ is real harmonic;} \\ \sqrt{2}(\frac{p}{p-1})^{1/p}, & \text{if } 1 < p \leq 2 \text{ and } f \text{ is complex harmonic;} \\ 1 + (\frac{q}{q-1})^{1/q}, & \text{if } p > 2 \text{ and } f \text{ is real harmonic;} \\ 2^{1-1/p}(1 + (\frac{q}{q-1})^{1/q}), & \text{if } p > 2 \text{ and } f \text{ is complex harmonic.} \end{cases}$$

Recall that the proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the Riesz theorem [5, Theorem 4.1 and Exercise 5, p. 67]. Furthermore, by using the Carleman inequality in the form $\|f\|_{b^4} \leq \|f\|_{h^2}$ with $f \in H^2$, we give a direct proof of the refinement of the above inequality for $p = 2$ as follows.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose f is a nonzero function in the space h^2 . Then f belongs to b^4 and

$$\|f\|_{b^4} \leq \sqrt[4]{1.5 + \sqrt{2}} \|f\|_{h^2}. \quad (1.6)$$

Remark 1.3. Notice that the constant $\sqrt[4]{(3 + 2\sqrt{2})/2} \approx 1.306563$ of Theorem 1.2 is less than the estimate $a_2 = \sqrt{2}$ from Theorem 1.1. Further, in terms of integrals, the inequality (1.6) can be written in the form

$$\int_{\mathbb{U}} |f(z)|^4 dx dy < b_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(e^{it})|^2 dt \right)^2, \quad (1.7)$$

where $b_2 \leq (3 + 2\sqrt{2})/(8\pi)$.

Remark 1.4. Motivated by the inequality (1.5), the question arises whether we can replace $|f(z)|^4$ and $|f(e^{it})|^2$ in (1.7) by $|f(z)|^2$ and $|f(e^{it})|^1$, respectively. The answer is negative (see Example 1.5 below). Furthermore, it remains an open question whether the inequality (1.7) is sharp. The function from Example 1.6 shows that the best constant b_2 in the previous inequality is greater than or equal to $5/(8\pi)$.

Example 1.5. For $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|a| < 1$ let f_a be the harmonic function on \mathbb{U} defined as

$$f_a(z) = \frac{1 - |a|^2 |z|^2}{|1 - za|^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Then for such a we have $\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f_a(e^{it})| dt = 2\pi$, and hence $f_a \in h^1$. This together with $\int_{\mathbb{U}} |f_a(z)|^2 d\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ as $a \rightarrow 1$ establish the fact that the inequality of type (1.3) cannot be extended to the harmonic Hardy space h^1 .

Example 1.6. As noticed previously, the inequality (1.3) is sharp. This is also true for the inequality (1.5) (see [11, Theorem 1]). The following example suggests that our inequality (1.7) could be sharp.

For $|a| < 1$, let f_a be the function defined on \mathbb{U} as

$$f_a(z) = \operatorname{Re} \frac{z}{1 - az}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Then as $a \rightarrow 1^-$, after integration in polar coordinates, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{U}} |f_a(re^{it})|^4 r dr dt / \left(\frac{3 + 2\sqrt{2}}{8\pi} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f_a(e^{it})|^2 dt \right)^2 \right) \rightarrow \frac{5}{3 + 2\sqrt{2}} \approx 0.857864,$$

or, equivalently

$$\frac{\|f_a\|_{b^4}}{\|f_a\|_{h^2}} \rightarrow \sqrt[4]{2.5} \approx 1.257433 \quad (\text{cf. Theorem 1.2}).$$

2. The general case

A function s is said to be simple if its range is a finite set. A simple function with range in \mathbb{R} always has the following representation

$$s(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \chi_{E_i},$$

where c_i are distinct values of s and $E_i = s^{-1}\{c_i\}$. If E_i are measurable subsets of a measure space (X, μ) , then the integral of s over X is defined by

$$\int_X s d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mu(E_i).$$

Let $f : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be a measurable function. Consider the set S_f of all measurable simple functions s such that $0 \leq s \leq f$. The integral of f over X is defined as

$$\int_X f d\mu = \sup_{s \in S_f} \int_X s d\mu.$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, and let u, v be real functions belonging to the measure space (E, μ) , where $\mu(E) < \infty$. Then

$$\|u\|_p + \|v\|_p \leq 2^{1-\min\{1/2, 1/p\}} \|u + iv\|_p. \quad (2.1)$$

The inequality is sharp. For $p \leq 2$, the equality is attained if $u = v$. If $p > 2$, then the equality is attained for $u = \chi_{E_1}$ and $v = \chi_{E_2}$, where $\mu(E_1) = \mu(E_2)$ and $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$.

Proof. We will prove (2.1) applying the following sharp inequality

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq 2^{1-\min\{1/2, 1/p\}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (u_i^2 + v_i^2)^{p/2} \right)^{1/p}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Assume for the moment that (2.2) is proved. Take the disjoint family of measurable sets E_i such that $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i$ and take $s^u = \sum_{i=1}^n u^i \chi_{E_i}$, and $s^v = \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \chi_{E_i}$ such that the functions s^u and s^v satisfy $s^u \leq u$ and $s^v \leq v$. Then $s = |s^u + is^v|$ is a simple function and it satisfies the condition $s \leq |u + iv|$. It is enough to prove that

$$\|s^u\|_p + \|s^v\|_p \leq 2^{1-\min\{1/2, 1/p\}} \|s^u + is^v\|_p. \quad (2.3)$$

Take

$$u_i = |u^i| \mu(E_i)$$

and

$$v_i = |v^i| \mu(E_i).$$

Now (2.2) coincides with (2.3). Let us prove (2.2). Put

$$A := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (u_i^2 + v_i^2)^{p/2} \right)^{1/p},$$

$$B := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

and

$$C := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

We will solve the extremal problem of finding the supremum of $f(u, v) := B + C \rightarrow \sup$, for $A = A_0$ i.e. for $(u, v) := (u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \{(u, v) : A(u, v) = A_0\}$, $A_0 > 0$. It is clear that the set K is compact in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . If $p = 1$, then our inequalities follow from the obvious inequality

$$|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}.$$

For $p > 1$, the function

$$f(u, v) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^{2\frac{p}{p-2}} \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n v_i^{2\frac{p}{p-2}} \right)^{1/p}$$

is continuous on K and smooth on $K \setminus \{(u, v) : B = 0 \vee C = 0\}$, and therefore according to the Weierstrass theorem, $f(u, v)$ achieves its minimum and its maximum on K . If $B = 0$ or $C = 0$ then our inequality is trivial. Now assume that $B \neq 0$ and $C \neq 0$. The stationary points of the Lagrangian satisfy

$$B_{u_i} = \lambda A_{u_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$C_{v_i} = \lambda A_{v_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.5)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{u_i^{p-1}}{B^{p-1}} = \lambda \frac{u_i(u_i^2 + v_i^2)^{p/2-1}}{A^{p-1}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\frac{v_i^{p-1}}{C^{p-1}} = \lambda \frac{v_i(u_i^2 + v_i^2)^{p/2-1}}{A^{p-1}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.7)$$

Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $u_i \neq 0$ and $v_i \neq 0$ for $i \leq m \leq n$, and $u_i = 0$ or $v_i = 0$ for $i > m$. Then from (2.6) we obtain that there exists $t \neq 0$ such that

$$v_i = tu_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (2.8)$$

Set

$$a^p := \sum_{i=1}^m |u_i|^p, \quad (2.9)$$

$$b^p := \sum_{i=m+1}^n |v_i|^p, \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$c^p := \sum_{i=m+1}^n |u_i|^p. \quad (2.11)$$

Then (2.2) is equivalent to

$$(t^p a^p + b^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} + (a^p + c^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 2^{1-\min\{1/2, 1/p\}} ((1+t^2)^{p/2} a^p + b^p + c^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (2.12)$$

If $a = 0$, then (2.12) is equivalent to

$$b + c \leq 2^{1-\min\{1/2, 1/p\}} (b^p + c^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The last inequality follows from the following well-known inequality

$$\frac{b+c}{2} \leq \left(\frac{b^p + c^p}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (2.13)$$

Consider now the case when $a > 0$. Dividing the inequality (2.12) by a , and substituting $x = b/a$ and $y = c/a$ into (2.12), we arrive to the inequality

$$(t^n + x^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} + (1 + y^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 2^{1-\min\{1/2, 1/p\}} ((1+t^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} + x^p + y^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (2.14)$$

The case $p \geq 2$. Let $\varphi(s) = s^p$. Then φ is a convex function and therefore, according to the inequality $x^p + 1 \leq (1+x^2)^{p/2}$ with $x \geq 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}((t^p + x^p)^{1/p} + (1 + y^p)^{1/p})\right) &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\varphi((t^p + x^p)^{1/p}) + \varphi((1 + y^p)^{1/p})) \\ &= \frac{1 + t^p + x^p + y^p}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{(1+t^2)^{p/2} + x^p + y^p}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Now (2.12) follows from (2.15).

The case $1 \leq p < 2$. We again use the inequality

$$\varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}((t^p + x^p)^{1/p} + (1 + y^p)^{1/p})\right) \leq \frac{1 + t^p + x^p + y^p}{2}.$$

In this case it is enough to prove the inequality

$$\frac{1 + t^p + x^p + y^p}{2} \leq \frac{(1 + t^2)^{p/2} + x^p + y^p}{2^{p/2}}. \quad (2.16)$$

The inequality (2.16) follows from the inequality

$$\left(\frac{1 + t^p}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \frac{1 + t^2}{2}. \quad (2.17)$$

Taking now the convex function $\psi(s) = s^{\frac{2}{p}}$, we obtain

$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}(1 + t^p)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\psi(1) + \psi(t^p)). \quad (2.18)$$

Then (2.18) coincides with (2.17). If $m = n$, then from (2.6) and (2.7) it follows that $t = 1$. This yields $u = v$. In this case (2.2) reduces to the equality for $p \leq 2$. On the other hand, taking $u_1 = 1$, $u_i = 0$, $i \neq 1$ and $v_2 = 1$ and $v_j = 0$ for $j \neq 2$, (2.2) becomes the equality for $p \geq 2$. The proof is now completed. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume first that $1 < p \leq 2$. By the Riesz theorem [5, Theorem 4.1 and Exercise 5, p. 67], for the holomorphic function F on \mathbb{U} we have

$$\|F\|_{h^p} \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{1/p} \|\operatorname{Re} F\|_{h^p}. \quad (2.19)$$

If f is a real function, then $f = g + \bar{g}$ for some function g holomorphic on \mathbb{U} . Therefore, by using the isoperimetric inequality (1.5) for holomorphic functions and (2.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{2p}^{2p} &\leq 2^{2p} \|g\|_{2p}^{2p} \\ &\leq 2^{2p} \|g\|_{h^p}^{2p} \\ &\leq 2^{2p} \frac{p^2}{(p-1)^2} \|\operatorname{Re} g\|_{h^p}^{2p} \\ &\leq \frac{p^2}{(p-1)^2} \|f\|_{h^p}^{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|f\|_{2p} \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{1/p} \|f\|_{h^p}. \quad (2.20)$$

Let us now consider a complex harmonic function f such that

$$f = g + \bar{h} = u + iv.$$

From (2.20) and Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{2p} &\leq \|u\|_{2p} + \|v\|_{2p} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{1/p} (\|u\|_{h^p} + \|v\|_{h^p}) \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{1/p} \|f\|_{h^p}. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes the case $p \leq 2$.

Assume now that $p \geq 2$. If f is holomorphic, then from the proof of [5, Theorem 4.1] we obtain

$$\|\operatorname{Im} f\|_{h^p} \leq \left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right)^{1/q} \|\operatorname{Re} f\|_{h^p},$$

and therefore

$$\|f\|_{h^p} \leq \left(1 + \left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right)^{1/q}\right) \|\operatorname{Re} f\|_{h^p}. \quad (2.21)$$

Combining (2.21) with Lemma 2.1 we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f + \bar{g}\|_{2p} &\leq \|\operatorname{Re}(f + g)\|_{2p} + \|\operatorname{Re} i(f - g)\|_{2p} \\ &\leq \|f + g\|_{2p} + \|i(f - g)\|_{2p} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right)^{1/q}\right) (\|\operatorname{Re}(f + g)\|_{h^p} + \|\operatorname{Re} i(f - g)\|_{h^p}) \\ &\leq 2^{1-1/p} \left(1 + \left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right)^{1/q}\right) \|f + \bar{g}\|_{h^p}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

3. A refinement of the case $p = 2$

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we will need some auxiliary results.

Lemma 3.1. For any complex number z the following equality holds

$$|z \operatorname{Re}(z)| = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} |z| \sqrt{|z|^2 + \operatorname{Re}(z^2)}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. An easy calculation shows that

$$|z^2 + |z|^2| = \sqrt{2} |z| \sqrt{|z|^2 + \operatorname{Re}(z^2)}.$$

Now (3.1) follows from the previous identity and the identity $|z^2 + |z|^2| = 2|z \operatorname{Re}(z)|$. \square

Lemma 3.2. Let h be a function in A_0^1 . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{U}} h(z) d\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{U}} \bar{h}(z) d\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{U}} \operatorname{Re}(h(z)) d\sigma = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Since $h(0) = 0$, we can write $h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$. Therefore, the first two equalities in (3.2) immediately follow from the fact that $\int_0^{2\pi} e^{int} dt = 0$ for each $n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. From this and the identity $2 \operatorname{Re}(h(z)) = h(z) + \bar{h}(z)$ it follows that $\int_{\mathbb{U}} \operatorname{Re}(h(z)) d\sigma = 0$. \square

Lemma 3.3. If h is a function in the space A_0^2 , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{U}} |h(z)|^2 d\sigma = 2 \int_{\mathbb{U}} |\operatorname{Re}(h(z))|^2 d\sigma. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Re}(h(z))|^2 &= \left| \frac{h(z) + \bar{h}(z)}{2} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{4} (h(z) + \bar{h}(z))(\bar{h}(z) + h(z)) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (2|h(z)|^2 + h^2(z) + \bar{h}^2(z)) = \frac{1}{2} |h(z)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(h^2(z)), \end{aligned}$$

by integrating this and applying the fact that by Lemma 3.2, $\int_{\mathbb{U}} \operatorname{Re}(h^2(z)) d\sigma = 0$, we obtain (3.3). \square

Lemma 3.4. Let h be a function in the space A_0^2 that is not identically zero on \mathbb{U} . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{U}} |h(z) \operatorname{Re}(h(z))| d\sigma < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{U}} |h(z)|^2 d\sigma. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. By the identity (3.1) of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$|h(z) \operatorname{Re}(h(z))| = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} |h(z)| \sqrt{|h(z)|^2 + \operatorname{Re}(h^2(z))}. \quad (3.5)$$

By applying the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and the fact that by Lemma 3.2, $\int_{\mathbb{U}} \operatorname{Re}(h^2(z)) d\sigma = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} |h(z)| \sqrt{|h(z)|^2 + \operatorname{Re}(h^2(z))} d\sigma \right)^2 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{U}} |h(z)|^2 d\sigma \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} (|h(z)|^2 + \operatorname{Re}(h^2(z))) d\sigma \right) \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} |h(z)|^2 d\sigma \right)^2 + \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} |h(z)|^2 d\sigma \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} \operatorname{Re}(h^2(z)) d\sigma \right) \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} |h(z)|^2 d\sigma \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The above inequality and (3.5) immediately yield the desired inequality (3.4) with “ \leq ” instead of “ $<$ ”. In order to show the strict inequality, we first observe that the equality in the previously applied Cauchy–Schwarz inequality holds if and only if

$$|h^2(z)| = \lambda \sqrt{|h(z)|^2 + \operatorname{Re}(h^2(z))} \quad (3.6)$$

for almost every $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and a nonnegative constant λ . If $\lambda = 0$ then obviously, we have $h \equiv 0$ on \mathbb{U} . If $\lambda > 0$ then (3.6) implies that $\operatorname{Re}(h^2(z)) = \frac{1-\lambda^2}{\lambda^2} |h^2(z)|$ for almost every $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Therefore, by the continuity of the functions h^2 and $\operatorname{Re}(h^2)$ on the disk \mathbb{U} , it follows that $\operatorname{Re}(h^2(z)) = \frac{1-\lambda^2}{\lambda^2} |h^2(z)|$ for each $z \in \mathbb{U}$. The last equality yields

$$\Delta |h^2(z)| = 4 |h'(z)|^2 = 0$$

and hence

$$\operatorname{Re}(h^2(z)) = 0.$$

Thus, h is a constant function on \mathbb{U} . Since $h(0) = 0$, we obtain $h \equiv 0$ on \mathbb{U} . This contradiction completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since the unit disk is a simply connected set, we have the representation $f = g + \bar{h}$, where g and h are holomorphic functions on the unit disk \mathbb{U} such that $h(0) = 0$. Direct calculations yield

$$|f|^4 = |g|^4 + |h|^4 + 4|g|^2|h|^2 + 4(|g|^2 + |h|^2) \operatorname{Re}(hg) + 2 \operatorname{Re}((hg)^2). \quad (3.7)$$

Suppose $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $h(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m z^m$ are the Taylor expansions on \mathbb{U} of functions g and h , respectively. Since $f \in h^2$, we have $f(e^{it}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{int} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \bar{b}_m e^{-imt}$ for almost every $e^{it} \in \mathbb{T}$. This together with $|f|^2 = f \bar{f}$ and the orthogonality relation $\int_0^{2\pi} e^{ikt} dt = 0$ for $k = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, immediately yields

$$\|f\|_{h^2}^2 = \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{it})|^2 \frac{dt}{2\pi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |b_m|^2 < +\infty.$$

Hence, both sums on the right hand side of the above equality are finite, and so the functions h and g belong to H^2 . Therefore, according to (1.3), g and h are also in A^4 . Thus, the previous equality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{h^2}^4 &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |b_m|^2 \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |g(e^{it})|^2 \frac{dt}{2\pi} + \int_0^{2\pi} |h(e^{it})|^2 \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right)^2 \\ &= (\|g\|_{h^2}^2 + \|h\|_{h^2}^2)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

From this and the identity $gh = ((g+h)^2 - (g-h)^2)/4$ we see that gh is in A_0^2 . Therefore, all the terms on the right of (3.7) are integrable on \mathbb{U} . Therefore, we have $f \in b^4$ or equivalently,

$$\|f\|_{b^4}^4 = \int_{\mathbb{U}} |f(z)|^4 d\sigma < +\infty.$$

Applying the inequality (1.4) to the functions $g^2, h^2 \in H^1$, respectively, we immediately obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{U}} |g(z)|^4 d\sigma = \|g^2\|_{b^2}^2 \leq \|g^2\|_{h^1}^2 = \|g\|_{h^2}^4, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{U}} |h(z)|^4 d\sigma = \|h^2\|_{b^2}^2 \leq \|h^2\|_{h^1}^2 = \|h\|_{h^2}^4. \quad (3.10)$$

Since $gh \in A^2$, the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality together with inequalities (3.9) and (3.10) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{U}} |g(z)|^2 |h(z)|^2 d\sigma &\leq \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{U}} |g(z)|^4 d\sigma} \cdot \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{U}} |h(z)|^4 d\sigma} \\ &= \|g^2\|_{b^2} \cdot \|h^2\|_{b^2} \\ &\leq \|g^2\|_{h^1} \cdot \|h^2\|_{h^1} \\ &= \|g\|_{h^2}^2 \cdot \|h\|_{h^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Using the facts that $h(0)g(0) = 0$, $gh \in A_0^2$, and applying Lemma 3.3 to the holomorphic function gh , the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, and the estimates (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{U}} (|h(z)|^2 + |g(z)|^2) \operatorname{Re}(h(z)g(z)) d\sigma \right| &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{U}} (|h(z)|^2 + |g(z)|^2)^2 d\sigma \right|^{1/2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{U}} \operatorname{Re}(h(z)g(z))^2 d\sigma \right|^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} (|h(z)|^2 + |g(z)|^2)^2 d\sigma \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} \frac{1}{2} |h(z)|^2 |g(z)|^2 d\sigma \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} (|h(z)|^2 + |g(z)|^2)^2 d\sigma \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} \frac{1}{8} (|h(z)|^2 + |g(z)|^2)^2 d\sigma \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{U}} (|h(z)|^4 + 2|g(z)|^2 |h(z)|^2 + |g(z)|^4) d\sigma \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} (\|h\|_{h^2}^4 + 2\|g\|_{h^2}^2 \|h\|_{h^2}^2 + \|g\|_{h^2}^4). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 3.2,

$$\int_{\mathbb{U}} \operatorname{Re}(h^2(z)g^2(z)) d\sigma = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

Finally, after integration of (3.7) on the disk \mathbb{U} by all the terms in the appropriate sum, and substituting the relations (3.8)–(3.13) into this, we immediately obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{b^4}^4 &\leq (1 + \sqrt{2})(\|g\|_{h^2}^4 + \|h\|_{h^2}^4) + 2(2 + \sqrt{2})\|g\|_{h^2}^2 \|h\|_{h^2}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{3 + 2\sqrt{2}}{2} (\|g\|_{h^2}^4 + 2\|g\|_{h^2}^2 \|h\|_{h^2}^2 + \|h\|_{h^2}^4) \\ &= \frac{3 + 2\sqrt{2}}{2} \|f\|_{h^2}^4. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that in the second inequality the inequality $a^4 + b^4 + 2\sqrt{2}a^2b^2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}+1}{2}(a^2 + b^2)^2$ is applied for real numbers a and b .

From the above, the inequality (1.6) clearly follows. The equality in the last inequality of (3.12) is attained if and only if $g = h$ almost everywhere on \mathbb{U} . Thus if the equality in (1.6) is attained, then it must be that $g = h$. Further, the equality in (3.4) is attained if and only if $g^2 \equiv 0$ on \mathbb{U} . This means that we have the strict inequality in (1.6) and the proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed. \square

Acknowledgment

We thank the referee for providing constructive comments and help in improving the contents of this paper.

References

- [1] S. Axler, P. Bourdon, W. Ramey, Harmonic Function Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [2] C. Bénéteau, D. Khavinson, The isoperimetric inequality via approximation theory and free boundary problems, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* 6 (2006) 253–274.
- [3] J. Burbea, Sharp inequalities for holomorphic functions, *Illinois J. Math.* 31 (1987) 248–264.
- [4] T. Carleman, Zur Theorie der Minimalflächen, *Math. Z.* 9 (1921) 154–160.
- [5] P.L. Duren, *Theory of H^p Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970, reprinted by Dover, Mineola, NY, 2000.
- [6] F. Hang, X. Wang, X. Yan, Sharp integral inequalities for harmonic functions, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 61 (2008) 54–95.
- [7] H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum, K. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [8] T.W. Gamelin, D. Khavinson, The isoperimetric inequality and rational approximation, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 96 (1989) 18–30.
- [9] M. Mateljević, M. Pavlović, New proofs of the isoperimetric inequality and some generalizations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 98 (1984) 25–30.
- [10] K. Strebel, *Quadratic Differentials*, *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.* (3), vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [11] D. Vukotić, The isoperimetric inequality and a theorem of Hardy and Littlewood, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 110 (2003) 532–536.