



A SUPPORT THEOREM FOR GENERALIZED CONVEXITY AND ITS APPLICATIONS.

ANDRZEJ OLBRYŚ

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we introduce a notion of (ω, t) -convexity as a natural generalization of the notion of usual t -convexity, t -strongly convexity, approximate t -convexity, delta t -convexity and many other. The main result of this paper establishes the necessary and sufficient conditions under which an (ω, t) -convex map can be supported at a given point by an (ω, t) -affine support function. Several applications of this support theorem are presented. For instance, new characterizations of inner product spaces among normed spaces involving the notion of (ω, t) -convexity are given.

1. INTRODUCTION AND TERMINOLOGY

Let $t \in (0, 1)$ be a fixed number and let $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ be the smallest field containing the singleton $\{t\}$. Throughout the whole paper (unless explicitly stated otherwise) X denotes a linear space over the field \mathbb{K} , where $\mathbb{Q}(t) \subseteq \mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and D stands for a non-empty t -convex set i.e.

$$tD + (1 - t)D \subseteq D.$$

Now, for a given function $\omega : D \times D \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we introduce a notion of (ω, t) -convexity. A function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be:

(ω, t) -convex, if

$$f(tx + (1 - t)z) \leq tf(x) + (1 - t)f(z) + \omega(x, z, t), \quad x, z \in D,$$

(ω, t) -concave, if

$$tf(x) + (1 - t)f(z) + \omega(x, z, t) \leq f(tx + (1 - t)z), \quad x, z \in D.$$

If f is at the same time (ω, t) -convex and (ω, t) -concave then we say that it is an (ω, t) -affine. In this case f satisfies the following functional equation

$$tf(x) + (1 - t)f(z) + \omega(x, z, t) = f(tx + (1 - t)z), \quad x, z \in D.$$

If $t = \frac{1}{2}$ then f is said to be ω -midpoint convex (ω -midpoint concave, ω -midpoint affine). If the above inequalities are satisfied for all numbers $t \in [0, 1]$ (where D stands for a convex set) then we say that f is ω -convex (ω -concave, ω -affine, respectively).

The notion of ω -convexity is a common generalization of the notion of usual convexity, strong-convexity, approximate-convexity, delta-convexity and many other. The term on the left-hand side of the inequality is the same in all definitions while the right-hand side of all inequalities has different form.

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real normed space, D be a convex subset of X and let $c > 0$. A function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called strongly t -convex ($t \in (0, 1)$) with modulus $c > 0$ if

$$f(tx + (1 - t)y) \leq tf(x) + (1 - t)f(y) - ct(1 - t)\|x - y\|^2,$$

for all $x, y \in D$. If the above inequality is satisfied with $t = \frac{1}{2}$ then f is said to be strongly midpoint convex function. If f is t -strongly convex function for all $t \in [0, 1]$ then we say that it is strongly convex.

Date: September 29, 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26B25, 39B62, 46C15.

Key words and phrases. convexity, t -convexity, midpoint-convexity, support theorem.

Strongly convex functions were introduced by Polyak in [20] and they play an important role in optimization theory and mathematical economics. Many properties and applications of them can be found in the literature (see, for instance [3], [5], [16], [19], [20], [27] and the references therein). It turns out, that if $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *strongly convex* (where I stands for a real interval), then it is bounded from below, its level sets $\{x \in I : f(x) \leq \lambda\}$ are bounded for each λ and f has a unique minimum on every closed subinterval of I . The usual notions of *t-convexity*, *midpoint-convexity*, *convexity* correspond to the case $c = 0$. The *t-strongly convex* functions are (ω, t) -convex with

$$\omega(x, y, t) := -ct(1-t)\|x - y\|^2, \quad x, y \in D.$$

The notion of approximate convexity was introduced by D. H. Hyers and S. M. Ulam [9]. A function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on a convex subset D of a real normed vector space is called ε -convex (where $\varepsilon > 0$) if

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + \varepsilon, \quad x, y \in D, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Another type of approximate convexity was introduced by S. Rolewicz [24]. A function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be γ -paraconvex if for certain $\varepsilon > 0$

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + \varepsilon\|x - y\|^\gamma, \quad x, y \in D, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Rolewicz proved that if $\gamma > 2$ then every γ -paraconvex function is convex. This statement can be understood as a superstability phenomenon—a respective perturbation of convexity still guarantees convexity. The notion of approximate convexity has been recently successively generalized (see [8], [25]). For $c > 0$, $p > 0$, $t \in (0, 1)$ a real valued function f defined on convex subset D of a real normed space is called (c, t, p) -convex if it satisfies

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + ct(1-t)\|x - y\|^p, \quad x, y \in D.$$

The (c, t, p) -convex functions are (ω, t) -convex with

$$\omega(x, y, t) := ct(1-t)\|x - y\|^p, \quad x, y \in D.$$

Now, recall that for given two real normed spaces X, Y , a number $t \in (0, 1)$ and a nonempty open and convex subset $D \subseteq X$ a map $F : D \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *delta-t-convex* with a control function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if

$$\|tF(x) + (1-t)F(y) - F(tx + (1-t)y)\| \leq tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) - f(tx + (1-t)y),$$

holds for all $x, y \in D$. The concept of *delta t-convex* maps generalized the concept of *delta-convex* maps which was introduced and intensively investigated by L. Veselý and L. Zajíček in [26]. Note that, the notion of *delta-convex* mappings has nice properties and seems to be the most natural generalization of functions which are representable as a difference of two convex functions. The *delta t-convex* functions are (ω, t) -convex with

$$\omega(x, y, t) := -\|tF(x) + (1-t)F(y) - F(tx + (1-t)y)\|, \quad x, y \in D.$$

The aim of the present note is to prove some version of a support theorem for above defined (ω, t) -convex as well as for ω -convex maps and to give its several applications. Support type theorems play a central role in the theory of convexity and have many applications. Several theorems of this type are known in the literature (see for instance [1], [5], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [17], [18], [21], [22], [23] and the references therein). The support theorem for *t-convex* functions was proved by Kuhn in [12] and it is a direct consequence of an abstract version of Hahn-Banach theorem due to Rodé [21].

2. MAIN RESULT

We start our investigation with the following proposition.

Proposition 1. Let $D \subseteq X$ be a t -convex set, and let $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an (ω, t) -convex function where $\omega : D \times D \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then for an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the inequality

$$f(t^n x + (1 - t^n)y) \leq t^n f(x) + (1 - t^n)f(y) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t^j \omega(t^{n-1-j}x + (1 - t^{n-1-j})y, y, t). \quad (1)$$

holds.

Proof. For $n = 1$ the inequality (1) follows from the definition of (ω, t) -convexity. Assume that (1) is valid for some n . Then using an (ω, t) -convexity of f and inductive assumption we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(t^{n+1}x + (1 - t^{n+1})y) &= f(t[t^n x + (1 - t^n)y] + (1 - t)y) \\ &\leq t f(t^n x + (1 - t^n)y) + (1 - t)f(y) + \omega(t^n x + (1 - t^n)y, y, t) \\ &\leq t \left[t^n f(x) + (1 - t^n)f(y) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t^j \omega(t^{n-1-j}x + (1 - t^{n-1-j})y, y, t) \right] \\ &\quad + (1 - t)f(y) + \omega(t^n x + (1 - t^n)y, y, t) = t^{n+1}f(x) + (1 - t^{n+1})f(y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^n t^j \omega(t^{n-j}x + (1 - t^{n-j})y, y, t). \end{aligned}$$

□

Recall that a point x_0 is said to be an *algebraically internal* (over the field $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$) for a set $A \subseteq X$ if for every $x \in X$ there exists an $\varepsilon_x > 0$ such that

$$x_0 + \alpha x \in A, \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in (-\varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_x).$$

The set of all algebraically internal points of A (over \mathbb{K}) will be denoted by $\text{algint}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$. A set A is *algebraically open* (over \mathbb{K}) if $A = \text{algint}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$. In the case when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ we will use the standard symbol $\text{algint}(D)$ instead of $\text{algint}_{\mathbb{R}}(D)$.

Following [1] where the definition of quadratic support function was given we introduce the following definition:

Definition 2. A function $a_y : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be an (ω, t) -affine support for a function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at a point $y \in D$, if $a_y(y) = f(y)$, $a_y(x) \leq f(x)$, $x \in D$, and

$$\omega(x, z, t) = a_y(tx + (1 - t)z) - ta_y(x) - (1 - t)a_y(z), \quad x, z \in D.$$

If the above conditions are satisfied for all $x, z \in D$ and all $t \in [0, 1]$ (where D is a convex set) then f is said to be an ω -affine support for f at a point y .

Now, we are able to proof our main result. The following theorem generalized the celebrated support theorem for t -convex functions.

Theorem 3. Let D be a t -convex set and let $y \in \text{algint}_{L(t)}(D)$. Assume that $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an (ω, t) -convex function where $\omega : D \times D \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists an (ω, t) -affine support function $a_y : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of f at y such that $f - a_y$ is t -convex if and only if for all $u, v, x, z \in D$, and $s \in \{t, 1 - t\}$ the function ω satisfies the following three conditions:

- (a) $\omega(y, y, t) = 0$,
- (b) $\omega(x, z, t) = \omega(z, x, 1 - t)$,
- (c) $s\omega(u, z, s) + (1 - s)\omega(v, z, s) - \omega(su + (1 - s)v, z, s) \leq s\omega(u, v, s) - \omega(su + (1 - s)z, sv + (1 - s)z, s)$.

Proof. Suppose that f is an (ω, t) -convex function where ω satisfies the conditions (a)-(c). Let consider the following family of functions

$$\mathcal{H} := \{h : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid h \text{ is an } (\omega, t)\text{-convex, } h \leq f, h(y) = f(y)\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$ because $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Observe that the family \mathcal{H} can be partially ordered using the partial order by letting

$$h_1 \preceq h_2 \text{ if and only if } h_1(x) \leq h_2(x), \text{ for all } x \in D.$$

We shall show that any chain contained in \mathcal{H} has a lower bound in \mathcal{H} . To prove it, fix an arbitrary chain $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ and define the function $h_0 : D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ via the formula

$$h_0(x) := \inf\{h(x) \mid h \in \mathcal{L}\}.$$

First, we show that h_0 takes finite values. To see it, define the sequence of sets D_n by

$$D_n = \left[\frac{y - (1 - t^n)D}{t^n} \right] \cap D, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Observe, that h_0 has a finite value at each point of D_n . Obviously, $h(y) > -\infty$, for all $h \in \mathcal{L}$. Fix an arbitrary $h \in \mathcal{L}$. For $x \in D_n$ there exists an $z \in D$ such that $t^n x + (1 - t^n)z = y$. Hence, in view of Proposition 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &\geq \frac{h(y) - (1 - t^n)h(z) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t^j \omega(t^{n-1-j}x + (1 - t^{n-1-j})z, z, t)}{t^n} \\ &\geq \frac{f(y) - (1 - t^n)f(z) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t^j \omega(t^{n-1-j}x + (1 - t^{n-1-j})z, z, t)}{t^n} > -\infty, \end{aligned}$$

and consequently $h_0(x) > -\infty$, $x \in D_n$.

On the other hand, we show that

$$D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n.$$

For fixed $x \in D$, define the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by the formula

$$x_n := \frac{y - t^n x}{1 - t^n}.$$

Since $y \in \text{algint}_{L(t)}(D)$ then there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$x_n = y + \frac{t^n}{1 - t^n}(y - x) \in D,$$

hence

$$x = \frac{y - (1 - t^n)x_n}{t^n} \in \left[\frac{y - (1 - t^n)D}{t^n} \right] \cap D = D_n.$$

Obviously, $h_0(y) = f(y)$ and $h_0(x) \leq f(x)$, $x \in D$. Note that h_0 is (ω, t) -convex in D . To see it take arbitrary $x, z \in D$ and arbitrary $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$h_0(x) < c_1, \quad h_0(z) < c_2.$$

There exist $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ such that

$$h_1(x) < c_1, \quad h_2(z) < c_2.$$

Hence putting $h_3 = \min\{h_1, h_2\}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} tc_1 + (1 - t)c_2 &> th_1(x) + (1 - t)h_2(z) \geq th_3(x) + (1 - t)h_3(z) \\ &\geq h_3(tx + (1 - t)z) - \omega(x, z, t) \\ &\geq h_0(tx + (1 - t)z) - \omega(x, z, t). \end{aligned}$$

Tending in the above inequalities with $c_1 \rightarrow h_0(x)$, $c_2 \rightarrow h_0(z)$ we get the (ω, t) -convexity of h_0 , therefore $h_0 \in \mathcal{H}$. We have shown that any chain in \mathcal{H} has a lower bound in \mathcal{H} , so by the lemma of Kuratowski and Zorn, there exists a minimal element g of \mathcal{H} . We will show that g is an (ω, t) -affine function. For $z \in D$ we define the function $g_z : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$g_z(x) := \frac{1}{t}[g(tx + (1 - t)z) - (1 - t)g(z) - \omega(x, z, t)].$$

We shall show that $g_z \in \mathcal{H}$. Clearly, by the (ω, t) -convexity of g the inequality

$$g_z(x) \leq g(x), \quad x \in D,$$

holds. To see that g_z is an (ω, t) -convex function fix $u, v \in D$ arbitrarily. By (ω, t) -convexity of g and the inequality (c) applying for $s = t$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_z(tu + (1-t)v) &= \frac{1}{t} \left[g(t[tu + (1-t)v] + (1-t)z) - (1-t)g(z) - \omega(tu + (1-t)v, z, t) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \left[g(t[tu + (1-t)z] + (1-t)[tv + (1-t)z]) - (1-t)g(z) - \omega(tu + (1-t)v, z, t) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t} \left[tg(tu + (1-t)z) + (1-t)g(tv + (1-t)z) + \omega(tu + (1-t)z, tv + (1-t)z, t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (1-t)g(z) - \omega(tu + (1-t)v, z, t) \right] \\ &= t \left[\frac{1}{t} (g(tu + (1-t)z) - (1-t)g(z) - \omega(u, z, t)) \right] + \omega(u, z, t) \\ &\quad + (1-t) \left[\frac{1}{t} (g(tv + (1-t)z) - (1-t)g(z) - \omega(v, z, t)) \right] + \frac{1-t}{t} \omega(v, z, t) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{t} \omega(tu + (1-t)z, tv + (1-t)z, t) - \frac{1}{t} \omega(tu + (1-t)v, z, t) \\ &\leq tg_z(u) + (1-t)g_z(v) + \omega(u, v, t). \end{aligned}$$

Since, in particular $g_y(y) = g(y) = f(y)$ then $g_y \in \mathcal{H}$ and by the minimality of g we infer that

$$g(tx + (1-t)y) = tg(x) + (1-t)g(y) + \omega(x, y, t), \quad x \in D.$$

Analogously, let define the function $\bar{g}_y : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ via the formula

$$\bar{g}_y(x) := \frac{1}{1-t} [g((1-t)x + ty) - tg(y) - \omega(x, y, 1-t)].$$

Using similar argumentation as for function g_y and applying the inequality (c) for $s = 1-t$ one can verify that \bar{g}_y is an (ω, t) -convex function. Because $\bar{g}_y(y) = f(y)$ then $\bar{g}_y \in \mathcal{H}$ and according once more the minimality of g we get

$$\omega(x, y, 1-t) = g((1-t)x + ty) - tg(y) - (1-t)g(x), \quad x \in D.$$

Using this, and condition (b) for any $z \in D$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_z(y) &= \frac{1}{t} [g(ty + (1-t)z) - (1-t)g(z) - \omega(y, z, t)] \\ &= \frac{1}{t} [g((1-t)z + ty) - (1-t)g(z) - \omega(z, y, 1-t)] \\ &= \frac{1}{t} [g((1-t)z + ty) - (1-t)g(z) - g((1-t)z + ty) \\ &\quad + tg(y) + (1-t)g(z)] = \frac{1}{t} tg(y) = g(y) = f(y), \end{aligned}$$

therefore $g_z \in \mathcal{H}$ and using again the minimality of g we conclude that

$$\omega(x, z, t) = g(tx + (1-t)z) - tg(x) + (1-t)g(z), \quad x, z \in D.$$

Obviously, $f - g$ is an (ω, t) -convex. To end the proof of necessity it is enough to put $a_y := g$.

For the proof of sufficiency assume that there exists a function $g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\omega(x, z, t) = g(tx + (1-t)z) - tg(x) - (1-t)g(z), \quad x, z \in D.$$

Clearly, $\omega(y, y, t) = 0$, moreover, for all $x, z \in D$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(x, z, t) &= g(tx + (1-t)z) - tg(x) - (1-t)g(z) \\ &= g((1-t)z + tx) - (1-t)g(z) - tg(x) = \omega(z, x, 1-t).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for arbitrary $u, v, z \in D$ and $s \in \{t, 1-t\}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}s\omega(u, z, s) &+ (1-s)\omega(v, z, s) - \omega(su + (1-s)v, z, s) \\ &= s[g(su + (1-s)z) - sg(u) - (1-s)g(z)] \\ &\quad + (1-s)[g(sv + (1-s)z) - sg(v) - (1-s)g(z)] \\ &\quad - g[s(su + (1-s)v) + (1-s)z] + sg(su + (1-s)v) + (1-s)g(z) \\ &= s[g(su + (1-s)v) - sg(u) - (1-s)g(v)] \\ &\quad - [g(s[su + (1-s)z] + (1-s)[sv + (1-s)z]) \\ &\quad - sg(su + (1-s)z) - (1-s)g(sv + (1-s)z)] \\ &= s\omega(u, v, s) - \omega(su + (1-s)z, sv + (1-s)z, s).\end{aligned}$$

□

It is well-known that convex functions are characterized by having affine support at every point of their domains. An analogous result for t -convex functions is due to Kuhn [12]. The following theorem below states that the existence of a support mapping at an arbitrary point in fact also characterizes an (ω, t) -convexity. (A similar result for ω -convex maps also is true. The details are omitted.)

Theorem 4. *Assume that D is a t -convex set, and let $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If for every $y \in D$ there exists an (ω, t) -affine function $a_y : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $a_y(y) = f(y)$ and*

$$a_y(x) \leq f(x), \quad x \in D.$$

then f is an (ω, t) -convex.

Proof. Fix $x, z \in D$ arbitrarily, and put $y := tx + (1-t)z$. By our assumptions we get

$$\begin{aligned}f(tx + (1-t)z) &= f(y) = a_y(y) = a_y(tx + (1-t)z) \\ &= ta_y(x) + (1-t)a_y(z) + \omega(x, z, t) \\ &\leq tf(x) + (1-t)f(z) + \omega(x, z, t).\end{aligned}$$

It completes the proof. □

It follows from the proof of Theorem 3 that for ω -convex functions the following theorem holds true

Theorem 5. *Assume that D is a convex subset of a real linear space and $y \in \text{algint}(D)$. Let $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an ω -convex function where $\omega : D \times D \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given map. Then there exists an ω -affine support function $a_y : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f - a_y$ is convex if and only if for all $u, v, z \in D$ and all $s, t \in [0, 1]$ the map ω satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) $\omega(y, y, t) = 0$,
- (ii) $\omega(x, z, t) = \omega(z, x, 1-t)$,
- (iii) $s\omega(u, z, t) + (1-s)\omega(v, z, t) - \omega(su + (1-s)v, z, t) \leq t\omega(u, v, s) - \omega(tu + (1-t)z, tv + (1-t)z, s)$.

Observation 6. *Since the function $\omega \equiv 0$ satisfies the conditions (a)-(c) and (i)-(iii) then the well-known classical support theorem for t -convex functions as well as for convex functions are a consequence of our main result.*

From Theorem 3 (Theorem 5) immediately we obtain the following characterization of (ω, t) -convex (ω -convex) maps:

Theorem 7. Let D be a t -convex (convex) set and let $\text{algint}_{\mathbb{Q}(t)}(D) \neq \emptyset$ ($\text{algint}(D) \neq \emptyset$). Assume that $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an (ω, t) -convex (ω -convex) function where $\omega : D \times D \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then there exist a t -convex (convex) function $h : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and an (ω, t) -affine (ω -affine) function $a : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(x) = a(x) + h(x) \quad x \in D,$$

if and only if for some point $y \in \text{algint}_{\mathbb{Q}(t)}(D)$ ($y \in \text{algint}(D)$) ω satisfies the conditions (a)-(c) ((i)-(iii)).

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 7 we obtain the following

Theorem 8. Let D be a t -convex (convex) set, and let $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an (ω, t) -convex (ω -convex) function, where $\omega : D \times D \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the conditions (a)-(c) ((i)-(iii)) for some point $y \in \text{algint}_{\mathbb{Q}(t)}(D)$ ($y \in \text{algint}(D)$). If, moreover,

$$\omega(x, z, t) \geq 0, \quad x, z \in D, \quad (\omega(x, z, t) \geq 0, \quad x, z \in D, \quad t \in [0, 1])$$

then there exist a t -convex (convex) functions $g, h : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(x) = g(x) - h(x), \quad x \in D.$$

The next consequence of our main results reads as follows

Theorem 9. Let D be a t -convex (convex) set, and let $\omega : D \times D \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. If $y \in \text{algint}_{\mathbb{Q}(t)}(D)$ ($y \in \text{algint}(D)$) and ω satisfies the conditions (a)-(c) ((i)-(iii)) then for arbitrary $c \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a t -concave (concave) function $g_y : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g_y(y) = c$, $g_y(x) \leq c$, $x \in D$, and

$$\omega(x, z, t) = g_y(tx + (1-t)z) - tg_y(x) - (1-t)g_y(z), \quad x, z \in D \quad (x, z \in D, \quad t \in [0, 1]).$$

Proof. It is enough to apply the Theorem 3 (Theorem 5) for the function $f(x) := c$, $x \in D$. \square

3. APPLICATIONS

In this section we are going to give some applications of our main results. As we have already seen in section 1 the most frequently form of the function ω appearing in the literature is the following:

$$\omega(x, y, t) = h(t)g(x - y),$$

for some functions $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : D^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $D^* = D - D$. In this case the conditions (a)-(c) have the form:

- (a') $h(t)g(0) = 0$,
- (b') $h(t)g(x - y) = h(1-t)g(y - x)$, $x, y \in D$,
- (c') $h(s)[sg(u - z) + (1-s)g(v - z) - g(s(u - z) + (1-s)(v - z))] \leq h(s)[sg(u - v) - g(s(u - v))]$,
 $u, v, z \in D$, $s \in \{t, 1-t\}$.

Now, we solve the system of the above conditions in the case when $t = \frac{1}{2}$. If ω is not identically equal to 0, then we can rewrite these conditions in the form:

- (1) $g(0) = 0$,
- (2) $g(-x) = g(x)$, $x \in D^*$,
- (3) $g(u - z) + g(v - z) - 2g(\frac{u+v}{2} - z) \leq g(u - v) - 2g(\frac{u-v}{2})$, $u, v, z \in D$.

Let us recall in this place that the abelian group $(X, +)$ is uniquely 2-divisible, if the mapping $u : X \rightarrow X$, $u(x) = 2x$ is bijective. Then both u and u^{-1} are automorphisms of $(X, +)$, and we write $\frac{x}{2}$ for $u^{-1}(x)$. The following theorem gives a characterization of maps which satisfy the conditions (1)-(3).

Theorem 10. Let $(X, +)$ be a 2-divisible abelian group. If $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the conditions (1)-(3) then it is a superquadratic function i.e.

$$2g(u) + 2g(v) \leq g(u + v) + g(u - v), \quad u, v \in X.$$

If, moreover, $g(2x) \leq 4g(x)$, $x \in X$, then g is a quadratic function i.e.

$$g(x) = A(x, x), \quad x \in X,$$

where $A : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a biadditive and symmetric map.

Proof. Putting $z = 0$ in (3) we obtain

$$g(u) + g(v) - 2g\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) \leq g(u-v) - 2g\left(\frac{u-v}{2}\right), \quad u, v \in X.$$

Applying the above inequality with $u := x - y$ and $v := x + y$ we infer that

$$g(x-y) + g(x+y) - 2g(y) \leq g(2x) - 2g(x), \quad x, y \in X.$$

Interchanging the roles of x and y and using the evenness of g leads to

$$g(x-y) + g(x+y) - 2g(x) \leq g(2y) - 2g(y), \quad x, y \in X.$$

Now, summing up these last two inequalities we get the inequality

$$2g(x+y) + 2g(x-y) \leq g(2y) + g(2x), \quad x, y \in X,$$

which is equivalent to the following one

$$2g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + 2g\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) \leq g(x) + g(y), \quad x, y \in X.$$

Setting $x := u + v$ and $y := u - v$ we obtain that

$$2g(u) + 2g(v) \leq g(u+v) + g(u-v), \quad u, v \in X.$$

In particular, $4g(x) \leq g(2x)$, $x \in X$. We have shown that

$$2g\left(\frac{u-v}{2}\right) + 2g\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) \leq g(u) + g(v) \leq \frac{g(u-v) + g(u+v)}{2}, \quad u, v \in X,$$

which together with condition $g(2x) \leq 4g(x)$, $x \in X$ implies that g is a quadratic function, i.e.

$$g(u+v) + g(u-v) = 2g(u) + 2g(v), \quad u, v \in X.$$

So, there exists a biadditive and symmetric functional $A : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) = A(x, x)$, $x \in X$. (see [2], Proposition 1, p. 166). This completes the proof. \square

In the sequel we will use the following counterpart of the previous result.

Proposition 11. *Let X be a linear space over the field \mathbb{K} . If $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, satisfy the conditions (b') and (c') then*

$$[h(t) + h(1-t)] \left[g(x) + g(y) - 2g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right] \leq \frac{2[h(t) + h(1-t)]}{t(1-t)} \left[tg\left(\frac{y-x}{2}\right) - g\left(t\frac{y-x}{2}\right) \right]$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If, moreover, $h(t) + h(1-t) > 0$, then

$$g(x) + g(y) - 2g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{2}{t(1-t)} \left[tg\left(\frac{y-x}{2}\right) - g\left(t\frac{y-x}{2}\right) \right], \quad x, y \in X.$$

Proof. Using the well-known Daroczy and Páles identity of the mean $\frac{x+y}{2}$ (see [6])

$$\frac{x+y}{2} = t \left[t\frac{x+y}{2} + (1-t)y \right] + (1-t) \left[tx + (1-t)\frac{x+y}{2} \right],$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) \left[tg\left(t\frac{x+y}{2} + (1-t)y\right) + (1-t)g\left(tx + (1-t)\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right] \\ \leq h(t) \left[tg\left(\frac{y-x}{2}\right) - g\left(t\frac{y-x}{2}\right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. On the other hand, using the condition (b') we infer that

$$h(t) \left[tg\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + (1-t)g(y) - g\left(t\frac{x+y}{2} + (1-t)y\right) \right] \leq h(1-t) \left[tg\left(\frac{y-x}{2}\right) - g\left(t\frac{y-x}{2}\right) \right],$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Analogously,

$$h(t) \left[tg(x) + (1-t)g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - g\left(tx + (1-t)\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right] \leq h(1-t) \left[tg\left(\frac{y-x}{2}\right) - g\left(t\frac{y-x}{2}\right) \right].$$

Multiplying the second inequality by t and the third by $1-t$ and summing up these three inequalities, after simplification, we obtain

$$h(t)t(1-t) \left[g(x) + g(y) - 2g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right] \leq [h(t) + h(1-t)] \left[tg\left(\frac{y-x}{2}\right) - g\left(t\frac{y-x}{2}\right) \right].$$

Replacing t by $1-t$ in the above inequality leads to

$$[h(t) + h(1-t)] \left[g(x) + g(y) - 2g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right] \leq \frac{2[h(t) + h(1-t)]}{t(1-t)} \left[tg\left(\frac{y-x}{2}\right) - g\left(t\frac{y-x}{2}\right) \right],$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

If additionally, $h(t) + h(1-t) > 0$, then

$$g(x) + g(y) - 2g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{2}{t(1-t)} \left[tg\left(\frac{y-x}{2}\right) - g\left(t\frac{y-x}{2}\right) \right], \quad x, y \in X,$$

which ends the proof. \square

Now, we apply our main result to the proof of a support theorem for strongly t -convex as well as for $(c, t, 2)$ -approximately convex functions. The following theorem for $t = \frac{1}{2}$ and $c > 0$ has been proved in [5].

Theorem 12. *Let $(X, (\cdot|\cdot))$ be a real inner product space, let $D \subseteq X$ be a t -convex set such that $\text{algint}_{\mathbb{Q}(t)}(D) = D$ and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then a function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the inequality*

$$f(tx + (1-t)z) \leq tf(x) + (1-t)f(z) + ct(1-t)\|x - z\|^2, \quad (2)$$

for all $x, z \in D$ if and only if at every point $y \in D$, f has a support $h_y : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$h_y(x) = a(x - y) + f(y) - c\|x - y\|^2, \quad x \in D,$$

where $a : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an additive function (depending on y) and t -homogeneous i.e. $a(tx) = ta(x)$, $x \in X$.

Proof. Suppose that f satisfies the inequality (2) and take an arbitrary point $y \in D$. Define the map $\omega : D \times D \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by formula

$$\omega(x, z, t) := -ct(1-t)\|x - z\|^2, \quad x, z \in D.$$

Clearly, ω satisfies the conditions (a) and (b) from Theorem 3. Observe that ω also satisfies (c). Indeed, for arbitrary $x, z, u, v \in D$ and $s \in \{t, 1-t\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & s\|u - z\|^2 + (1-s)\|x - z\|^2 - \|s(u - z) + (1-s)(x - z)\|^2 \\ &= s\|u - z\|^2 + (1-s)\|x - z\|^2 - s^2\|u - z\|^2 \\ &\quad - (1-s)^2\|x - z\|^2 - 2s(1-s)(u - z|x - z) \\ &= s(1-s)\|u - z\|^2 + s(1-s)\|x - z\|^2 - 2s(1-s)(u - z|x - z) \\ &= s(1-s)\|u - x\|^2 = s\|u - x\|^2 - \|s(u - x)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

On account of Theorem 3 there is an (ω, t) -affine support of f at y i.e. a function $g_y : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions: $g_y(y) = f(y)$, $g_y(x) \leq f(x)$, $x \in D$ and, moreover,

$$-ct(1-t)\|x - z\|^2 = g_y(tx + (1-t)z) - tg_y(x) - (1-t)g_y(z), \quad x, z \in D.$$

On the other hand,

$$ct(1-t)\|x - z\|^2 = tc\|x\|^2 + (1-t)c\|z\|^2 - c\|tx + (1-t)z\|^2, \quad x, z \in D,$$

therefore the function $g_y - c\|\cdot\|^2$ is t -affine, so it has the form

$$g_y(x) - c\|x\|^2 = \bar{a}(x) + b, \quad x \in D,$$

where $\bar{a} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is additive and t -homogeneous function.

Since $f(y) = g_y(y) = \bar{a}(y) + c\|y\|^2 + b$, then $b = f(y) - \bar{a}(y) - c\|y\|^2$, whence we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_y(x) &= \bar{a}(x) + c\|x\|^2 + f(y) - \bar{a}(y) - c\|y\|^2 \\ &= \bar{a}(x - y) + f(y) - c(\|y\|^2 - \|x\|^2) \\ &= f(y) + \bar{a}(x - y) - c\|x - y\|^2 + 2c(x - y|y) \\ &= f(y) + a(x - y) - c\|x - y\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $a(x) := \bar{a}(x) + 2c(x|y)$, $x \in X$ is additive and t -homogeneous function.

Conversely, assume that f has at arbitrary point $y \in D$ a support function $g_y : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$g_y(x) = a(x - y) + f(y) - c\|x - y\|^2, \quad x \in D,$$

where $a : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an additive and t -homogeneous function. It is easy to check that g_y is an (ω, t) -affine function, where $\omega(x, z, t) = ct(1 - t)\|x - z\|^2$, $x, z \in D$. Now, to the end of the proof it remains to apply the Theorem 4. \square

Observe that using similar arguments and Theorem 5 instead of Theorem 3 one can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 13. *Let $(X, (\cdot|\cdot))$ be a real inner product space, let $D \subseteq X$ be a convex set such that $\text{algint}(D) = D$ and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then a function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the inequality*

$$f(tx + (1 - t)z) \leq tf(x) + (1 - t)f(z) + ct(1 - t)\|x - z\|^2,$$

for all $x, z \in D$ and all $t \in [0, 1]$ if and only if at every point $y \in D$, f has a support $h_y : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$h_y(x) = a(x - y) + f(y) - c\|x - y\|^2, \quad x \in D,$$

where $a : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a linear function (depending on y) i.e. additive and homogeneous ($a(tx) = ta(x)$, $x \in X$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$).

The next consequence of our main result is a theorem which gives a characterization of inner product spaces. In the literature there are a number of paper which gives conditions under which the norm in a real-linear space can be defined from an inner product. The first result of this type is due to Jordan and von Neumann [10] who showed that a linear metric space X is an inner product space if and only if it satisfies the parallelogram law i.e.

$$\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2, \quad x, y \in X.$$

A rich collection of such characterizations is contained in the celebrated book of Amir [4].

Theorem 14. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real normed space. The following conditions are equivalent to each other:*

(α) *The map $\omega : D \times D \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the form*

$$\omega(x, y, t) = ct(1 - t)\|x - y\|^2, \quad x, y \in X$$

satisfies the inequalities (c) from Theorem 3, for some $c > 0$ and $t \in (0, 1)$.

(β) *There exist a number $t \in (0, 1)$ and a function $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\|x - y\|^2 = tg(x) + (1 - t)g(y) - g(tx + (1 - t)y), \quad x, y \in X.$$

(γ) *$(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is an inner product space.*

Proof. The implication (α) \Rightarrow (β) follows from Theorem 3, because for non-negative ω there is always a function f which is an (ω, t) -convex (for example any constant function has this property).

To show (β) \Rightarrow (γ), note that, on account of the proof of Theorem 3 the map

$$\omega(x, y, s) := \|x - y\|^2, \quad x, y \in X, \quad s \in [0, 1],$$

satisfies conditions (a) – (c) from Theorem 3. It follows from Proposition 11 that

$$\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 - 2\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\|^2 \leq \frac{2t}{t(1-t)}\left\|\frac{y-x}{2}\right\|^2 - \left\|t\frac{y-x}{2}\right\|^2, \quad x, y \in X,$$

which is equivalent to

$$2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2 \leq \|x-y\|^2 + \|x+y\|^2, \quad x, y \in X.$$

Now, putting $u := x+y$ and $v := x-y$ we get

$$\|u+v\|^2 + \|u-v\|^2 \leq 2\|u\|^2 + 2\|v\|^2, \quad u, v \in X.$$

Since the norm satisfies the two above inequalities, it satisfies the parallelogram law, which implies that $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is an inner product space.

For the proof of implication $(\gamma) \Rightarrow (\alpha)$ take arbitrary $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in (0, 1)$. Put $f(x) := -c\|x\|^2$, $x \in X$. Because the norm is defined from an inner product then

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) - tf(x) - (1-t)f(y) = ct(1-t)\|x-y\|^2, \quad x, y \in X,$$

which finishes our proof. \square

Now, we apply the Theorem 3 to obtain the negative result concerning some inequality which is in the spirit of the concept of t -strongly convexity. In the proof of this result we use the following statement which is a particular case of result proved by Bruce Ebanks [7, Corollary 7].

Theorem 15. *Let G be a uniquely 2-divisible abelian group, and let X be a rational vector space. Then the map $\Delta : G \times G \rightarrow X$ satisfies conditions:*

$$\Delta(x, x) = 0, \quad x \in G,$$

$$\Delta(x, y) = \Delta(y, x), \quad x, y \in G,$$

$$\Delta(x, y) + \Delta(z, w) + 2\Delta\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{z+w}{2}\right) = \Delta(x, z) + \Delta(y, w) + 2\Delta\left(\frac{x+z}{2}, \frac{y+w}{2}\right), \quad x, y, w, z \in G.$$

if and only if there exists a function $f : G \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\Delta(x, y) = f(x) + f(y) - 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right), \quad x, y \in G.$$

We are able to formulate our last result

Theorem 16. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real normed space. Then for arbitrary $t \in (0, 1)$ there is no function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the inequality:*

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) - c\|x-y\|, \quad x, y \in X, \quad (3)$$

where $c > 0$.

Proof. Assume to the contrary that there exist a number $t \in (0, 1)$ and a function f satisfying the inequality (3). From the identity of Daróczy and Páles [6] and the inequality (3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) &\leq tf\left(t\frac{x+y}{2} + (1-t)y\right) + (1-t)f\left(tx + (1-t)\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{c}{2}\|x-y\| \\ &\leq t\left[tf\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + (1-t)f(y) - \frac{c}{2}\|x-y\|\right] \\ &\quad + (1-t)\left[tf(x) + (1-t)f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{c}{2}\|x-y\|\right] - \frac{c}{2}\|x-y\|, \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$t(1-t)f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq t(1-t)\frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2} - \frac{c}{2}\|x-y\|,$$

and consequently,

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2} - \frac{c}{2t(1-t)}\|x-y\|.$$

Now, we apply the Theorem 3 for the map $\omega : D \times D \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the formula

$$\omega(x, y, s) := -\frac{c}{2t(1-t)}\|x - y\|, \quad x, y \in X, \quad s \in [0, 1].$$

It is easy to check that ω satisfies conditions (a)-(c) from Theorem 3. By virtue of this theorem there exists a function $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|x - y\| = \frac{g(x) + g(y)}{2} - g\left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right), \quad x, y \in X.$$

On account of Ebanks theorem the following identity

$$\|x - y\| + \|z - w\| + 2\left\|\frac{x - z + y - w}{2}\right\| = \|x - z\| + \|y - w\| + 2\left\|\frac{x - y + z - w}{2}\right\|,$$

holds for all $x, y, w \in X$. Substituting in the above equality $z = w$ we get

$$\|x - y\| + \|x + y - 2w\| = \|x - w\| + \|y - w\| + \|x - y\|,$$

hence,

$$\|(x - w) + (y - w)\| = \|x - w\| + \|y - w\|, \quad x, y, w \in X,$$

or equivalently,

$$\|a + b\| = \|a\| + \|b\|, \quad a, b \in X.$$

This contradiction ends the proof. □

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Abbaszadeh, M. Eshaghi Gordji, *On the quadratic support of strongly convex functions*, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 7 (2016) No. 1, 15-20.
- [2] J. Aczel, J. Dhombres, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [3] M. Adamek, *On a problem connected with strongly convex functions*, Math. Inequal. Appl. 19 (2016) No. 4, 1287-1293.
- [4] D. Amir, *Characterizations of Inner Product Spaces*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston (1986).
- [5] A. Azócar, J. Giménez, K. Nikodem, J. L. Sánchez, *On strongly midconvex functions*, Opuscula Math. 31 (2011), 15-26.
- [6] Z. Daróczy and Zs. Páles, *Convexity with given infinite weight sequences*, Stochastica 11, (1987), 5-12.
- [7] B. Ebanks, *Characterization of Jensen differences and related forms*, Aequationes Math. 76 (2008) 179-190.
- [8] A. Háy, Zs. Páles, *On approximately t -convex functions*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **66/3-4** (2005), 489-501.
- [9] D. H. Hyers, S. M. Ulam, *Approximately convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3, 821-828.
- [10] P. Jordan, J. v. Neumann, *On inner products in linear metric spaces*, Ann. Math. 36 (1995), 719-723.
- [11] H. König, *On the abstract Hahn-Banach theorem due to Rodé*, Aequationes Math. 34 (1987), 89-95.
- [12] N. Kuhn, *A note on t -convex functions*, General Inequalities 4, (1984), 269-276.
- [13] M. Kuczma, *An introduction to the theory of functional equations and inequalities*, Polish Scientific Publishers and Silesian University Press, Warszawa-Krakow-Katowice, 1985.
- [14] C. P. Niculescu and L. E. Persson, *Convex Functions and their Applications*, A Contemporary Approach CMS Books in Mathematics vol. 23, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2006.
- [15] K. Nikodem, *On the support of midconvex operators*, Aequationes Math. 42 (1991), 182-189.
- [16] K. Nikodem, Zs. Páles, *Characterizations of inner product spaces by strongly convex functions*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **5** (2011) 1, 83-87.
- [17] A. Olbryś, *A support theorem for t -Wright convex functions*, Math. Inequal. Appl. 14 (2011) No. 2, 399-412.
- [18] A. Olbryś, *A support theorem for delta (s, t) -convex mappings*, Aequat. Math 89 (2015), 937-948.
- [19] E. S. Polovinkin, *Strongly convex analysis*, Sb. Mathematics 187/2 (1996), 259-286.
- [20] B. T. Polyak, *Existence theorems and convergence of minimizing sequences in extremum problems with restrictions*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 7 (1966), 72-75.
- [21] G. Rodé, *Eine abstrakte Version des Satzes von Hahn-Banach*, Arch. Math. 31 (1978).
- [22] A. W. Roberts, D. E. Varberg, *Convex Functions*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1973.
- [23] R. T. Rockafeller, *Convex Analysis*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.
- [24] S. Rolewicz, *On γ -paraconvex multifunctions*, Math. Japonica **24** (3), 293-300, 1979.
- [25] Jacek Tabor, Józef Tabor, *Generalized approximate midconvexity*, Control and Cybernetics 38 (2009) No.3.
- [26] L. Veselý, L. Zajíček, *Delta-convex mappings between Banach spaces and applications*, Dissertationes Math. 289, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1989.

- [27] J. P. Vial, *Strong convexity of sets and functions*, J. Math. Economy 9 (1982), 187-205.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SILESIA, 40-007 KATOWICE, UL. BANKOWA 14, POLAND
E-mail address: andrzej.olbrys@math.us.edu.pl