

Gaussian Estimates for Second-Order Operators with Unbounded Coefficients

Stefan Karrmann¹

Universität Ulm, Abteilung Angewandte Analysis, D-89069 Ulm, Germany

E-mail: sk@mathematik.uni-ulm.de

Submitted by Jerome A. Goldstein

Received February 26, 2001

We study second-order differential operators A with lower-order coefficients in some $L_q + L_\infty$. We prove the generation of positive, quasi-contractive C_0 semi-groups on L_p for all $p \in (1, \infty)$. If the second-order coefficients are in some $L_q + L_\infty$, we get upper pseudo-Gaussian bounds of the heat kernel. Maximal regularity, spectral independence on L_p , and analyticity of the generated semi-group on L_1 are studied for these operators. © 2001 Academic Press

Key Words: Gaussian estimates; unbounded coefficients; second order; elliptic; Dirichlet boundary condition; nonsymmetric.

0. INTRODUCTION

There is now an extensive literature on Gaussian bounds for heat kernels associated with strongly elliptic operators (see Davies [8], Robinson [18] and references therein). The best upper bounds have been derived by a technique introduced by Davies in [7]. We use this technique and ideas developed in Stampacchia [19], Daners [6], and Arendt and ter Elst [2] to analyze the Cauchy problem,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} d_t u(t) + Au(t) &= 0 && \text{on } \Omega, \text{ for all } t \in (0, \infty) \\ u(0) &= u_0 && \text{on } \Omega \\ u(t) &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \text{ for all } t \in (0, \infty) \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (\text{CP})$$

¹ The author is supported by the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes.

where Ω is an open subset of \mathbf{R}^n and the operator \mathcal{A} is associated with the closure of the second-order form \mathcal{E} given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(u, v) = & \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{i,j}(x) \partial_i u(x) \partial_j v(x) dx \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} b_{1,j}(x) \partial_i u(x) v(x) dx \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} b_{2,j}(x) u(x) \partial_j v(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} c(x) u(x) v(x) dx. \quad (1)\end{aligned}$$

This form is well defined for all $u, v \in \mathbf{W}_{\infty,c}^1$ if the coefficients $a_{i,j}$, $b_{l,j}$, and c are in $\mathbf{L}_{1,\text{loc}}$ and are real valued.

Suppose that $c_- \in \mathbf{L}_q := \mathbf{L}_q + \mathbf{L}_{\infty}$ and $b_{l,j} \in \mathbf{L}_{2q}$ for some $q \geq 1$. Then we show that the operator $-\mathcal{A}$ generates a semigroup T on \mathbf{L}_2 . We prove that in this case T can be extended to consistent, quasi-contractive, positive C_0 semigroups on \mathbf{L}_p for all $p \in (1, \infty)$. If $b_{l,j} \in \mathbf{L}_p$ and $c_- \in \mathbf{L}_q$ for some $p > 2$, $q > 1$, then $T(t)$ is in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_{\infty})$ and is quasi-ultracontractive, in particular, $\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_{\infty})} \leq Ct^{-n/2}e^{\omega t}$. Of course, in this situation T is associated with a kernel k such that

$$T(t)f(x) = \int_{\Omega} k(t, x, y)f(y) dy \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathbf{L}_1. \quad (2)$$

Assume in addition that $a_{i,j} \in \mathbf{L}_p$ for some $p > 2$. We then prove a pseudo-Gaussian bound of order $m \geq 2$, explicitly

$$|k(t, x, y)| \leq Ct^{-n/2} \exp(\omega_1 t - \omega_2(|x - y|^m/t)^{1/(m-1)}) \quad (3)$$

for almost all $x, y \in \Omega$, $t \in (0, \infty)$ and some C , $\omega_i > 0$. If $a_{i,j} \in \mathbf{L}_{\infty}$, then we show $m = 2$ and obtain a classical Gaussian kernel as an upper bound. This extends the result of Aronson [4], Arendt and ter Elst [2], and Daners [6]. Using estimate (3), we obtain some results about spectral independence, maximal regularity, and analyticity.

The plan of this article is as follows. In Section 1 we state the exact assumptions and the main results. We give some estimates for the coefficients in Section 2. In Section 3 we derive the key estimate (6) and use it to show that the form \mathcal{E} generates a C_0 semigroup on \mathbf{L}_2 . In Section 4, we prove that \mathcal{E} generates quasi-dissipative C_0 semigroups on \mathbf{L}_p ($1 < p < \infty$) and also give an \mathbf{L}_1 – \mathbf{L}_{∞} estimate. We derive the upper Gaussian estimates in Section 5 and apply these results in Section 6.

In this article we fix the open set $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ and use the following notation. By \mathbf{N} and \mathbf{R} we denote the set of all natural numbers (without 0)

and the set of all real numbers, respectively. We use $\mathbf{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$ for short. We write \mathbf{L}_p to denote the real Banach space of all functions from Ω into \mathbf{R} with norm $\|f\|_p = (\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^p dx)^{1/p}$, if $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\|f\|_{\infty} = \text{ess sup}_{x \in \Omega} |f(x)|$. We use $\mathbf{L}_p = \mathbf{L}_p + \mathbf{L}_{\infty}$ for short. If $p = 2$, then \mathbf{L}_2 is a Hilbert space with scalar product $\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x) dx$. By $\mathbf{L}_{1, \text{loc}}$ we denote the set of all real measurable functions that are integrable on every compact subset of Ω . The space $\mathbf{W}_{\infty, c}^1$ is the subset of \mathbf{L}_{∞} whose functions have compact support and (weak) derivatives in \mathbf{L}_{∞} , while \mathcal{D} is the set of all infinitely differentiable functions on Ω with compact support. By \mathbf{H}^1 we denote the Hilbert space of all functions in \mathbf{L}_2 whose first (weak) derivatives are also in \mathbf{L}_2 , with scalar product $\langle u, v \rangle_{\mathbf{H}^1} = \langle u, v \rangle + \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \partial_k u, \partial_k v \rangle$. Finally, \mathbf{H}_0^1 denotes the closure of \mathcal{D} with respect to \mathbf{H}^1 .

For functions with two arguments like $f(t, x)$, we write $f(t)$ for the mapping $x \mapsto f(t, x)$ and $f(x)$ for the mapping $t \mapsto f(t, x)$ if there is no ambiguity. By f_{\pm} we denote $\max\{0, \pm f\}$ for short, while $\text{sgn } f = \mathbf{1}_{\{x; f(x) \neq 0\}} f/|f|$, where $\mathbf{1}_A$ is the characteristic function of the set A . Given a form \mathcal{E} on a Hilbert space H , we write $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(u, v) = \mathcal{E}(u, v) + \alpha \langle u, v \rangle$, where $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$.

A family $(A_p)_{p \in \Pi}$ with $\Pi \subset [1, \infty]$ and $A_p: \mathbf{L}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_p$ for all $p \in \Pi$ is called consistent if for all $p, q \in \Pi$, $f \in \mathbf{L}_p \cap \mathbf{L}_q$ holds $A_p f = A_q f$. A continuous form \mathcal{E} on some Hilbert space H is called coercive if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $\mathcal{E}(u, u) \geq c \|u\|_H^2$ for all $u \in D(\mathcal{E})$.

1. CONDITIONS AND MAIN RESULTS

We distinguish three different conditions; each one enables us to state interesting theorems.

CONDITION 1.1. The coefficients of the form \mathcal{E} satisfy

(a) The growth condition. We have $a_{i,j}, c \in \mathbf{L}_{1, \text{loc}}, b_{l,j} \in \mathbf{L}_{2q}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n, l = 1, 2$, and $c_- \in \mathbf{L}_q$, where

$$q \in \begin{cases} \{1\} & \text{if } n = 1 \\ (1, \infty) & \text{if } n = 2 \\ [n/2, \infty) & \text{if } n > 2. \end{cases}$$

(b) The strong ellipticity condition. There exists $\nu \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\nu |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \quad (4)$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and almost all $x \in \Omega$.

(c) The antisymmetric boundedness condition. For $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, we have $a_{i,j} - a_{j,i} \in \mathbf{L}_\infty$.

These requirements are quite weak. The second-order coefficients are as arbitrary as possible, and the lower-order ones may still be unbounded. The boundedness of $a_{i,j} - a_{j,i}$ is only needed in Lemma 3.5 to prove the continuity of \mathcal{E} . The closure of \mathcal{E} is associated with a generator A and its generated C_0 semigroup T . These weak assumptions are strong enough to prove the following.

THEOREM 1.2. *If Condition 1.1 is satisfied, then there is a consistent family $(T_p)_{p \in (1, \infty)}$ of quasi-contractive, positive C_0 semigroups T_p on \mathbf{L}_p with generator $-A_p$ and $A_2 = A$.*

We cannot expect to always get a quasi-contractive semigroup on \mathbf{L}_1 . A counterexample has been given by Ouhabaz [17, Remark 4.3.a].

CONDITION 1.3. In addition to Condition 1.1, we assume that the coefficients of the form \mathcal{E} satisfy $b_{l,j} \in \mathbf{L}_{p_1}$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, $l = 1, 2$ and $c_- \in \mathbf{L}_{p_0}$, where $p_1 > 2q$ and $p_0 > q$; i.e., any choice of

$$p_1 \in \begin{cases} (2, \infty] & \text{if } n = 1 \\ (n, \infty] & \text{if } n \geq 2 \end{cases} \quad p_0 \in \begin{cases} (1, \infty] & \text{if } n = 1 \\ (n/2, \infty] & \text{if } n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

is possible if the coefficients are appropriate.

This condition is strong enough to prove the following kernel bound.

THEOREM 1.4. *If Condition 1.3 is satisfied, then T is ultracontractive. Moreover,*

$$\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_\infty)} \leq Ct^{-n/2} e^{\beta t} \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, \infty)$$

and some $C, \beta > 0$ (see Theorem 3.3 and Remark 4.7).

CONDITION 1.5. The coefficients of the form \mathcal{E} satisfy Condition 1.3 and $a_{i,j} \in \mathbf{L}_{p_2}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and some $p_2 > 2q$.

THEOREM 1.6. *If Condition 1.5 is satisfied, then the associated semigroup T is given by $(T(t)f)(x) = \int_\Omega k(t, x, y)f(y) dy$, and the kernel $k: (0, \infty) \times \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfies $0 \leq k(t, x, y)$ and a pseudo-Gaussian estimate of order m , i.e.,*

$$k(t, x, y) \leq Ct^{-n/2} \exp\left(\omega_1 t - \omega_2(|x - y|^m/t)^{1/m-1}\right) \quad (5)$$

for all $x, y \in \Omega$, $t \in (0, \infty)$, some $C, \omega_i > 0$, and $m = 2 + \frac{4q}{p_2 - 2q}$. If $m = 2$, then we have the classical Gaussian estimate (of order 2).

THEOREM 1.7. *If Condition 1.5 is satisfied, then $\sigma(A_p) = \sigma(A_2)$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$. If the semigroup extends to a C_0 semigroup on \mathbf{L}_1 with generator A_1 (see Remark 6.4), then $\sigma(A_1) = \sigma(A_2)$ as well.*

THEOREM 1.8. *If Condition 1.5 is satisfied and $a_{i,j} \in \mathbf{L}_\infty$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, then A has maximal \mathbf{L}_p - \mathbf{L}_q regularity for all $1 < p, q < \infty$; i.e., for every $f \in \mathbf{L}_p(\mathbf{R}^+, \mathbf{L}_q(\Omega))$ there exists a unique solution $u \in \mathbf{W}_p^1(\mathbf{R}^+, \mathbf{L}_q(\Omega)) \cap \mathbf{L}_p(\mathbf{R}^+, D(A_q))$ of $u'(t) = Au(t) + f(t)$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}^+$ and $u(0) = 0$.*

THEOREM 1.9. *If Condition 1.5 is satisfied and the associated semigroup $T: \Sigma_\theta \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_2)$ is analytic with $\Sigma_\theta = \{re^{i\varphi}; r > 0, |\varphi| < \theta\}$, where $\theta \in (0, \pi/2]$, then for all $0 < \theta_1 < \theta$ there is an analytic mapping $T_1: \Sigma_{\theta_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_1)$ such that $T(z)$ and $T_1(z)$ are consistent for all $z \in \Sigma_{\theta_1}$.*

If we have a classical Gaussian estimate of the kernel, then we obtain an analytic semigroup on \mathbf{L}_1 , in particular at 0.

2. COEFFICIENTS

As we are discussing quite general forms \mathcal{E} , we introduce \mathbf{L}_p , a larger space than \mathbf{L}_p , as the space from which the coefficients are taken.

DEFINITION 2.1. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, let $\mathbf{L}_p = \mathbf{L}_p + \mathbf{L}_\infty$. Moreover, for $0 \leq \epsilon < \infty$ and $f \in \mathbf{L}_p$, let

$$[f]_{\epsilon, p} = \inf\{k \in [0, \infty]; \exists f_i \in \mathbf{L}_p : f = f_1 + f_2, \|f_1\|_p \leq \epsilon, \|f_2\|_\infty \leq k\}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{L}_p} = \inf\{\epsilon + [f]_{\epsilon, p}; 0 \leq \epsilon < \infty\}.$$

Remark 2.2. Clearly, $(\mathbf{L}_p, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{L}_p})$ is a Banach space and $[f]_{\epsilon, p}$ is nonincreasing in ϵ . For all $f, g \in \mathbf{L}_p$ with $0 \leq f \leq g$, we have $[f]_{\epsilon, p} \leq [g]_{\epsilon, p}$.

Some other properties of the spaces \mathbf{L}_p are collected in the following.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $f_i \in \mathbf{L}_p$, $g \in \mathbf{L}_\infty$ and $0 \leq \epsilon < \infty$. Then*

- (i) $[g]_{\epsilon, p} \leq \|g\|_\infty$
- (ii) for all $\theta \in [0, 1]$, we have $[f_1 + f_2]_{\epsilon, p} \leq [f_1]_{\theta\epsilon, p} + [f_2]_{(1-\theta)\epsilon, p}$
- (iii) for all $\rho \in \mathbf{R}$, we have $[\rho f_1]_{\epsilon|\rho|, p} = |\rho|[f_1]_{\epsilon, p}$
- (iv) If $p < q < \infty$, $\epsilon \neq 0$ and $f \in \mathbf{L}_q$, then $f \in \mathbf{L}_p$ and $[f]_{\epsilon, p} \leq \|f\|_q^{q/(q-p)} \epsilon^{-(p/(q-p))}$.

Proof. Parts (i) and (ii) are clear by the definition of $[f]_\epsilon$. We show part (iii):

$$\begin{aligned} & [\rho f_1]_{\epsilon|\rho|, p} \\ &= \inf\{k \in [0, \infty]; \exists g_i \in \mathbf{L}_p : f = g_1 + g_2, \|\rho g_1\|_p \leq \epsilon|\rho|, \|\rho g_2\|_\infty \leq k\} \\ &= |\rho| \inf\{k \in [0, \infty]; \exists g_i \in \mathbf{L}_p : f = g_1 + g_2, \|g_1\|_p \leq \epsilon, \|g_2\|_\infty \leq k\} \\ &= |\rho|[f_1]_{\epsilon, p}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove part (iv), let $k = \|f\|_1^{q/(q-p)} \epsilon^{-(p/(q-p))}$ and set $f_k = \min\{k, \max\{-k, f\}\}$, $g_k = f - f_k$. Then we have $\|f_k\|_\infty \leq k$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_k\|_p &\leq \|f \mathbf{1}_{\{|f|>k\}}\|_p \leq \|f\|_q \|\mathbf{1}_{\{|f|>k\}}\|_1^{1/p-1/q} \leq \|f\|_q \left\| \frac{|f|}{k} \right\|_q^{q(1/p-1/q)} \\ &\leq \|f\|_q^{q/p} k^{-((q/p)-1)} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $[f]_{\epsilon, p} \leq k$. ■

To estimate terms in which the coefficients appear, we need the following well-known result.

LEMMA 2.4 (Sobolev embedding). *Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and*

$$q \in \begin{cases} \{\infty\} & \text{if } n = 1 \\ [2, \infty) & \text{if } n = 2 \\ [2, \frac{2n}{n-2}] & \text{if } n > 2 \end{cases}.$$

Then there exists a constant $C \in \mathbf{R}^+$ such that

$$\|u\|_q \leq C(\|\nabla u\|_2 + \|u\|_2)$$

for all functions $u \in \mathcal{D}$. We call the infimum of such constants C the Sobolev embedding constant for n and q .

See, for example, Adams [1, Theorem 5.4].

LEMMA 2.5. *Let*

$$q \in \begin{cases} \{2\} & \text{if } n = 1 \\ (2, \infty] & \text{if } n = 2 \\ [n, \infty] & \text{if } n > 2 \end{cases}.$$

For all $a_i \in \mathbf{L}_q$, $v, u \in \mathbf{W}_{\infty, c}^1$, $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i u \partial_i v\|_1 \\ & \leq \epsilon (\|\nabla u\|_2 + \|u\|_2) \|\nabla v\|_2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n [a_i]_{\epsilon/\sqrt{n} C_1, q}^2 \right)^{1/2} \|u\|_2 \|\nabla v\|_2 \\ & \leq \epsilon \|\nabla u\|_2 \|\nabla v\|_2 + \epsilon \|\nabla v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \left(\epsilon^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n [a_i]_{2\epsilon/\sqrt{n} C_1, q}^2 \right) \|u\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

with C_1 the Sobolev embedding constant for n and q .

Proof. For all $\eta > 0$, there are $b_i, c_i \in \mathbf{L}_q$ such that $a_i = b_i + c_i$, $\|b_i\|_q \leq \epsilon/(\sqrt{n} C_1)$ and $\|c_i\|_\infty \leq [a_i]_{\epsilon/(\sqrt{n} C_1), q} + \eta$. Let $1/2 = 1/p + 1/q$. Then, by Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_i u \partial_i v\|_1 & \leq \|b_i u \partial_i v\|_1 + \|c_i u \partial_i v\|_1 \leq \|b_i u\|_2 \|\partial_i v\|_2 + \|c_i\|_\infty \|u \partial_i v\|_1 \\ & \leq \|b_i\|_q \|u\|_p \|\partial_i v\|_2 + ([a_i]_{\epsilon/(\sqrt{n} C_1), q} + \eta) \|u \partial_i v\|_1 \\ & \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}} (\|\nabla u\|_2 + \|u\|_2) \|\partial_i v\|_2 + ([a_i]_{\epsilon/(\sqrt{n} C_1), q} + \eta) \|u \partial_i v\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\eta \searrow 0$, we obtain

$$\|a_i u \partial_i v\|_1 \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}} (\|\nabla u\|_2 + \|u\|_2) \|\partial_i v\|_2 + [a_i]_{\epsilon/(\sqrt{n} C_1), q} \|u \partial_i v\|_1.$$

Summing up and using that $\sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i f\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \|\nabla f\|_2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i u \partial_i v\|_1 \\ & \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}} (\|\nabla u\|_2 + \|u\|_2) \sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i v\|_2 + \sum_{i=1}^n [a_i]_{\epsilon/(\sqrt{n} C_1), q} \|u \partial_i v\|_1 \\ & \leq \epsilon (\|\nabla u\|_2 + \|u\|_2) \|\nabla v\|_2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n [a_i]_{\epsilon/(\sqrt{n} C_1), q}^2 \right)^{1/2} \|u\|_2 \|\nabla v\|_2 \\ & \leq \epsilon \|\nabla u\|_2 \|\nabla v\|_2 + \epsilon \|\nabla v\|_2^2 + (2\epsilon)^{-1} \left(\epsilon^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n [a_i]_{\epsilon/(\sqrt{n} C_1), q}^2 \right) \|u\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

by $xy \leq \epsilon x^2 + (4\epsilon)^{-1} y^2$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. ■

LEMMA 2.6. *Let*

$$q \in \begin{cases} \{1\} & \text{if } n = 1 \\ (1, \infty] & \text{if } n = 2. \\ [n/2, \infty] & \text{if } n > 2 \end{cases}$$

For all $f \in \mathbf{L}_q$, $v, u \in \mathbf{W}_{\infty, c}^1$, $\epsilon \in \mathbf{R}^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|fuv\|_1 &\leq \epsilon (\|\nabla u\|_2 \|\nabla v\|_2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2 + \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2) \\ &\quad + \frac{\epsilon}{4} (\|u\|_2^2 + \|v\|_2^2) + [f]_{\epsilon/C_2^2, q} \|uv\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

with C_2 the Sobolev embedding constant for n and q .

Proof. For all $\eta > 0$, there are $g, h \in \mathbf{L}_q$ such that $f = g + h$, $\|g\|_q \leq \epsilon/C_2^2$ and $\|h\|_\infty \leq [f]_{\epsilon/C_2^2, q} + \eta$. Let $1 = 2/p + 1/q$. Then, by Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \|fuv\|_1 &\leq \|guv\|_1 + \|huv\|_1 \leq \|gu\|_{p/(p-1)} \|v\|_p + \|h\|_\infty \|uv\|_1 \\ &\leq \|g\|_q \|u\|_p \|v\|_p + ([f]_{\epsilon/C_2^2, q} + \eta) \|uv\|_1 \\ &\leq \epsilon (\|\nabla u\|_2 + \|u\|_2) (\|\nabla v\|_2 + \|v\|_2) + ([f]_{\epsilon/C_2^2, q} + \eta) \|uv\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\eta \searrow 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|fuv\|_1 &\leq \epsilon (\|\nabla u\|_2 + \|u\|_2) (\|\nabla v\|_2 + \|v\|_2) + [f]_{\epsilon/C_2^2, q} \|uv\|_1 \\ &\leq \epsilon (\|\nabla u\|_2 \|\nabla v\|_2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2 + \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2) \\ &\quad + \frac{\epsilon}{4} (\|u\|_2^2 + \|v\|_2^2) + [f]_{\epsilon/C_2^2, q} \|uv\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

by $xy \leq x^2 + y^2/4$. ■

3. APPROXIMATION

In this section we prove the key to this article, Theorem 3.3. We use this to prove that \mathcal{E} is a closable, coercive, continuous form. Since we need estimates about \mathbf{L}_p in the next section, we use a substitution and the \mathbf{L}_2 norm. This enables us to approximate the \mathbf{L}_p norm using a technique of Daners [6].

DEFINITION 3.1. For any $x \in \Omega$, $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $k \in [1, \infty)$, $p \in [2, \infty)$, let

$$f_{k,p}(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{p/2} & \text{if } |x| \leq k \\ k^{p/2} + \frac{p}{2}k^{p/2-1}(|x| - k) & \text{if } |x| > k \end{cases},$$

$$g_{k,p}(x) = f_{k,p}(x) \partial f_{k,p}(x),$$

$$w_{k,p,u}(x) = f_{k,p}(u(x)),$$

$$v_{k,p,u}(x) = g_{k,p}(u(x)).$$

We put together some properties of these functions.

LEMMA 3.2. Let $u \in \mathbf{W}_{\text{loc}}^1$, $k \in [1, \infty)$, $p \in [2, \infty)$; then

$$f_{k,p}(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{p/2} & \text{if } |x| \leq k \\ \frac{p}{2}k^{(p/2)-1}(|x| - \frac{p-2}{p}k) & \text{if } |x| > k \end{cases},$$

$$f'_{k,p}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{2}|x|^{(p/2)-2}x & \text{if } |x| \leq k \\ \frac{p}{2}k^{(p/2)-1}|x|^{-1}x & \text{if } |x| > k \end{cases},$$

$$f''_{k,p}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{2}\frac{p-2}{2}|x|^{(p/2)-2} & \text{if } |x| \leq k \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| > k \end{cases},$$

$$f'_{k,p}, g'_{k,p} \in \mathbf{L}_\infty(\mathbf{R}),$$

$$w_{k,p,u}^2 \leq uv_{k,p,u} \leq \frac{p}{2}w_{k,p,u}^2,$$

$$\partial_i uv_{k,p,u} = w_{k,p,u} \partial_i w_{k,p,u} \quad a.e.,$$

$$|u \partial_i v_{k,p,u}| \leq (p-1)w_{k,p,u} |\partial_i w_{k,p,u}| \quad a.e.$$

Proof. We obtain the derivatives of $f_{k,p}$ by simple differentiation and see that $f'_{k,p}, g'_{k,p} \in \mathbf{L}_\infty(\mathbf{R})$. Now we fix k, p , and u and write $w = w_{k,p,u}$ and $v = v_{k,p,u}$ for short. Then we have $w^2 = |u|^p$ and $uv = \frac{p}{2}|u|^2$ if $|u(x)| \leq k$, while $\frac{w^2}{uv} = 1 - \frac{p-2}{p} \frac{k}{|u|} \in [2/p, 1]$ if $|u(x)| \geq k$. This leads to

$$w^2 \leq uv \leq \frac{p}{2}w^2.$$

The next identity is shown using $\partial_i uv = \partial_i u w f'_{k,p}(u) = w \partial_i w$ a.e. by Gilbarg and Trudinger [10, Theorem 7.8]. Again by [10], we have for $|u(x)| \leq k$,

$$u \partial_i v = u \partial_i u \frac{p}{2} |u|^{p-2} \left(\frac{p}{2} + \frac{p-2}{2} \right) = (p-1) w \partial_i w \quad \text{a.e.}$$

and

$$u \partial_i v = u \partial_i u \left(\frac{p}{2} \right)^2 k^{p-2} = \frac{p}{2} k^{p/2-1} |u| \partial_i w \quad \text{a.e.}$$

if $|u(x)| \geq k$. As $k^{p/2-1} |u| = k^{p/2} + k^{p/2-1}(|u| - k) \leq w$ and $\frac{p}{2} \leq p-1$, the last inequality of the Lemma is proved. ■

In Section 5 we need to control δ . Hence we provide its value in the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $k \geq 1$, $\eta, \gamma \in [0, 1)$, $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $u \in \mathbf{W}_{\infty, c}^1$. Assume that Condition 1.1 is satisfied. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(u, v_{k,p,u}) + \delta(p, \eta, \gamma) \|w_{k,p,u}\|_{L_2}^2 \\ \geq \gamma \mathcal{E}'(u, v_{k,p,u}) + \nu \eta (1 - \gamma) \|\nabla w_{k,p,u}\|_{L_2}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(p, \eta, \gamma) &= \frac{3(1-\gamma)(1-\eta)\nu}{8} + \frac{2(p-1)^2}{(1-\gamma)(1-\eta)\nu} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ l=1,2}}^n [b_{l,j}]_{(\nu(1-\eta)(1-\gamma))/(4\sqrt{n}C_1(p-1)), 2q}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{p}{2} [c_-]_{(\nu(1-\eta)(1-\gamma))/(3C_2^2 p), q}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\mathcal{E}'(u, v) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{i,j}(x) \partial_i u(x) \partial_j v(x) dx,$$

and C_1 (resp. C_2) the Sobolev constant for n and q (resp. $2q$). Let $\delta(p) = \delta(p, 1/2, 0)$ for short.

Proof. Let $E_k = \{x \in \Omega; |u(x)| \leq k\}$, $F_k = \Omega \setminus E_k$. Fix the constants k and p and the functions $u, w = w_{k,p,u}$ and $v = v_{k,p,u}$ in the rest of the proof. Note that $w, v \in \mathbf{W}_{\infty, c}^1$ (cf. Gilbarg and Trudinger [10, Theorem 7.8]). This is the reason why we do not use \mathcal{D} to define the form \mathcal{E} , although the closure would be the same.

On E_k , we have

$$\partial_j w \partial_l w = \frac{p}{2(p-1)} \partial_j u \partial_l v \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Applying this and Lemma 3.2 to (4), we obtain a.e.

$$\begin{aligned} (1-\gamma)\nu|\nabla w|^2 &\leq (1-\gamma) \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j} \partial_i w \partial_j w \leq \frac{(1-\gamma)p}{2(p-1)} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j} \partial_i u \partial_j v \\ &\leq -\gamma a'(\cdot, u, v) + a(\cdot, u, v) + \sum_{j=1}^n (|b_{1,j} \partial_j u v| + |b_{2,j} u \partial_j v|) + c_- |uv| \\ &\leq -\gamma a'(\cdot, u, v) + a(\cdot, u, v) + \sum_{j=1}^n (|b_{1,j} w \partial_j w| + (p-1)|b_{2,j} w \partial_j w|) \\ &\quad + \frac{p}{2} c_- w^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $a'(x, u, v) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(x) \partial_i u(x) \partial_j v(x)$ and $a(\cdot, u, v)$ is the integrand of (1).

On F_k , we have $\partial_j w \partial_l w = \partial_j u \partial_l v$ a.e. Applying this and Lemma 3.2 to (4), we obtain a.e.

$$\begin{aligned} (1-\gamma)\nu|\nabla w|^2 &\leq (1-\gamma) \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j} \partial_i w \partial_j w \\ &\leq (1-\gamma) \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j} \partial_i u \partial_j v \\ &\leq -\gamma a'(\cdot, u, v) + a(\cdot, u, v) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n (|b_{1,j} \partial_j u v| + |b_{2,j} u \partial_j v|) + c_- |uv| \\ &\leq -\gamma a'(\cdot, u, v) + a(\cdot, u, v) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \left(|b_{1,j} w \partial_j w| + \frac{p}{2} |b_{2,j} w \partial_j w| \right) + \frac{p}{2} c_- w^2. \end{aligned}$$

As $2 \leq p$ and $E_k \cup F_k = \Omega$, the inequality for E_k holds on Ω . Integration over Ω gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 - \gamma) \nu \|\nabla w\|_{\mathbf{L}_2}^2 \\
& \leq -\gamma \mathcal{E}'(u, v) + \mathcal{E}(u, v) + (p - 1) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ l=1,2}}^n \|b_{l,j} w \partial_j w\|_{\mathbf{L}_1} + \frac{p}{2} \|c_- w^2\|_{\mathbf{L}_1} \\
& \leq -\gamma \mathcal{E}'(u, v) + \mathcal{E}(u, v) \\
& \quad + \frac{p}{2} \left(3\epsilon_2 \|\nabla w\|_{\mathbf{L}_2}^2 + \frac{3\epsilon_2}{2} \|w\|_{\mathbf{L}_2}^2 + [c_-]_{\epsilon_2/C_2^2} \|w\|_2^2 \right) \\
& \quad + (p - 1) 2\epsilon_1 \|\nabla w\|_{\mathbf{L}_2}^2 + \frac{p - 1}{2\epsilon_1} \|w\|_{\mathbf{L}_2}^2 \left(\epsilon_1^2 + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ l=1,2}}^n [b_{l,j}]_{\epsilon_1/(\sqrt{n}C_1)}^2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 2.5, 2.6, and 2.3. By choosing $\epsilon_1 = \frac{\nu(1-\eta)(1-\gamma)}{4(p-1)}$ and $\epsilon_2 = \frac{\nu(1-\eta)(1-\gamma)}{3p}$, the Theorem is proved. ■

We deduce from this the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.4. *The form $\mathcal{E}_{\delta(2, \eta, \gamma)}$ is positive for all $\eta, \gamma \in [0, 1)$ if Condition 1.1 is satisfied.*

Proof. Choose $p = 2$ in (6) and use $u = v_{k,2,u} = w_{k,2,u} \operatorname{sgn} u$ to see the positivity. ■

LEMMA 3.5. *If Condition 1.1 is satisfied, then the form $\mathcal{E}_{\delta(2, \eta, \gamma)}$ is continuous with respect to its graph norm $\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_{\delta(2, \eta, \gamma)}}^2 = (1 + \delta(2, \eta, \gamma)) \|u\|_2^2 + \mathcal{E}(u, u)$ for all $\eta \in [0, 1)$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 and Eq. (4) we know that $0 \leq \gamma \nu \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \leq \gamma \mathcal{E}'(u, u) \leq \mathcal{E}(u, u) + \delta(2, \eta, \gamma) \|u\|_2^2$. Fix $\eta, \gamma \in [0, 1)$ and let $\delta = \delta(2, \eta, \gamma)$ in the following.

Let $\check{\mathcal{E}}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{E}(u, v) - \mathcal{E}(v, u))$ and $\check{\mathcal{E}}'(u, v) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{E}'(u, v) - \mathcal{E}'(v, u))$ be the antisymmetric parts of \mathcal{E} (resp. \mathcal{E}'). Choose $M > 0$ such that $|a_{i,j} - a_{j,i}| \leq M\nu^2$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Then the Hölder inequality and (4) lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
4|\check{\mathcal{E}}'(u, v)|^2 & \leq \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} M\nu^2 |\partial_i u \partial_i v| \right)^2 \leq M^2 \left(\int_{\Omega} \nu^2 |\nabla u| |\nabla v| \right)^2 \\
& \leq M^2 \|\nu \nabla u\|_2^2 \|\nu \nabla v\|_2^2 \leq M^2 \mathcal{E}'(u, u) \mathcal{E}'(v, v) \\
& \leq M^2 \gamma^{-2} \mathcal{E}_{\delta+1}(u, u) \mathcal{E}_{\delta+1}(v, v)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $u, v \in \mathbf{W}_{\infty, c}^1$. Now we can estimate, by Lemma 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2|\check{\mathcal{E}}(u, v) - \check{\mathcal{E}}'(u, v)| \\
 &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^n (b_{1,j} - b_{2,j})(v \partial_j u - u \partial_j v) \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n (\|(b_{1,j} - b_{2,j})v \partial_j u\|_1 + \|(b_{1,j} - b_{2,j})u \partial_j v\|_1) \\
 &\leq \epsilon(\|\nabla u\|_2 + \|u\|_2)\|\nabla v\|_2 \\
 &\quad + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n [b_{1,j} - b_{2,j}]_{\epsilon/(\sqrt{n}C_1), 2q} \right)^{1/2} \|u\|_2 \|\nabla v\|_2 \\
 &\quad + \epsilon(\|\nabla v\|_2 + \|v\|_2)\|\nabla u\|_2 \\
 &\quad + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n [b_{1,j} - b_{2,j}]_{\epsilon/(\sqrt{n}C_1), 2q} \right)^{1/2} \|v\|_2 \|\nabla u\|_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

As $\gamma v \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \leq \gamma \mathcal{E}'(u, u) \leq \mathcal{E}_{\delta+1}(u, u)$ and $\gamma \|u\|_2^2 \leq \gamma \mathcal{E}'_1(u, u) \leq \mathcal{E}_{\delta+1}(u, u)$ by (4) and Lemma 3.3, we can continue the estimate by

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2|\check{\mathcal{E}}(u, v) - \check{\mathcal{E}}'(u, v)| \\
 &\leq 2\mathcal{E}_{\delta+1}(u, u)^{1/2} \mathcal{E}_{\delta+1}(v, v)^{1/2} \\
 &\quad \cdot \gamma^{-1} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\nu} + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\nu}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n [b_{1,j} - b_{2,j}]_{\epsilon/(\sqrt{n}C_1), 2q} \right)^{1/2} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using these inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\check{\mathcal{E}}(u, v)| \\
 &\leq |\check{\mathcal{E}}'(u, v)| + |\check{\mathcal{E}}(u, v) - \check{\mathcal{E}}'(u, v)| \\
 &\leq \mathcal{E}_{\delta+1}(u, u)^{1/2} \mathcal{E}_{\delta+1}(v, v)^{1/2} \\
 &\quad \cdot \gamma^{-1} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\nu} + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\nu}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n [b_{1,j} - b_{2,j}]_{\epsilon/(\sqrt{n}C_1), 2q} \right)^{1/2} + M/2 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Together with the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality for the symmetric part of \mathcal{E}_δ , this implies

$$|\mathcal{E}_\delta(u, v)| \leq K \mathcal{E}_{\delta+1}(u, u)^{1/2} \mathcal{E}_{\delta+1}(v, v)^{1/2}$$

with

$$K = 1 + \delta + \gamma^{-1} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\nu} + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\nu}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n [b_{1,j} - b_{2,j}]_{\epsilon/(\sqrt{n}C_1), 2q} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{M}{2} \right)$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

LEMMA 3.6. *If Condition 1.1 is satisfied, then the form $\mathcal{E}_{\delta(2, \eta, \gamma)}$ is closable for all $\eta \in [0, 1]$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. The closure is a coercive form. The forms $\mathcal{E}_{\delta(2, \eta, \gamma)}$ and \mathcal{E}' define equivalent norms.*

Proof. Let $\hat{\mathcal{E}}'(u, v) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{E}'(u, v) + \mathcal{E}'(v, u))$ be the symmetric part of \mathcal{E}' . Fix $\eta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$ and let $\hat{\delta} = \delta(2, \eta, \gamma)$ in the following. Using Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{E}(u, u)| &\leq |\hat{\mathcal{E}}'(u, u)| + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} |b_{1,j} + b_{2,j}| |u \partial_j u| + \int_{\Omega} |c| u^2 \\ &\leq \hat{\mathcal{E}}'(u, u) + 2\epsilon \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \left(\epsilon^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n [b_{1,j} + b_{2,j}]_{\epsilon/(\sqrt{n}C_1), 2q} \right) \|u\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + 3\epsilon \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \left(\epsilon + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} + [c]_{\epsilon/C_{2,q}^2} \right) \|u\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \mathcal{E}'_1(u, u) \left(1 + \frac{5\epsilon}{\nu} + \frac{3\epsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \sum_{j=1}^n [b_{1,j} + b_{2,j}]_{\epsilon/(\sqrt{n}C_1), 2q} + [c]_{\epsilon/C_{2,q}^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

by (4) and the inequality $\mathcal{E}(u, u) + \delta \|u\|_2^2 \geq \gamma \hat{\mathcal{E}}'(u, u) \geq \gamma \nu \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \geq 0$ derived from Theorem 3.3. Using these inequalities, we obtain

$$c^{-1} \mathcal{E}'_1(u, u) \leq \mathcal{E}_{\hat{\delta}+\gamma}(u, u) \leq \mathcal{E}_{\hat{\delta}+1}(u, u) \leq c \mathcal{E}'_1(u, u),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \gamma^{-1} + \hat{\delta} + 2 + \frac{5\epsilon}{\nu} + \frac{3\epsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \sum_{j=1}^n [b_{1,j} + b_{2,j}]_{\epsilon/(\sqrt{n}C_1), 2q} + [c]_{\epsilon/C_{2,q}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the associated norms are equivalent. By Ma and Röckner [14, I.3, Proposition 3.5] the form $\mathcal{E}_{\delta+\gamma}$ is closable since \mathcal{E}' is closable (see [14, II.2d, Case 1]).

Since \mathcal{E}_δ is continuous, we have $|\mathcal{E}_\delta(u, v)|^2 \leq K^2 \mathcal{E}_{\delta+1}(u, u) \mathcal{E}_{\delta+1}(v, v)$ for some $K > 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{E}_{\delta+1}(u, v)|^2 &\leq (K+1)^2 \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\delta+1}(u, u) \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\delta+1}(v, v) \\ &\leq (K+1)^2 c^2 \mathcal{E}'_1(u, u) \mathcal{E}'_1(v, v). \end{aligned}$$

Together with Ma and Röckner [14, I.3, Proposition 3.5], this shows that the closure of \mathcal{E}_δ is a closed coercive form. ■

DEFINITION 3.7. If Condition 1.1 is satisfied, then $\mathcal{E}_{\delta(2, \eta, \gamma)}$ has a unique, positive, closed, coercive, continuous extension to the real Hilbert space

$$V = \overline{\mathbf{W}_{\infty, c}^1} \quad \text{with respect to} \quad \langle u, v \rangle_V = \langle u, v \rangle + \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{E}'(u, v) + \mathcal{E}'(v, u))$$

for all $\eta \in [0, 1)$, $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. This extension is the well-known Friedrichs extension and is denoted by $\mathcal{E}_{\delta(2, \nu, \gamma)}$. Using this extension, we can extend \mathcal{E} to V by setting $\mathcal{E}(u, v) = \mathcal{E}_{\delta(2, \nu, \gamma)}(u, v) - \delta(2, \nu, \gamma) \langle u, v \rangle$.

It is well known that in this situation \mathcal{E} is associated with an unique operator A with $D(A) = \{u \in V; \exists w \in \mathbf{L}_2 \forall v \in V: \langle w, v \rangle = \mathcal{E}(u, v)\}$ and $Au = w$ for all $u \in D(A)$, where $\langle w, v \rangle = \mathcal{E}(u, v)$ for all $v \in V$. The operator $-A$ is the generator of a C_0 semigroup T on \mathbf{L}_2 .

LEMMA 3.8. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R})$ is piecewise in \mathcal{E}^1 with $f' \in \mathbf{L}_\infty(\mathbf{R})$ and $f(0) = 0$. The substitution $u \mapsto f \circ u$ is continuous on V and on \mathbf{L}_2 .

Proof. First, we must show that the range of the substitution is in V . Let $u \in V$ and choose $u_n \in \mathbf{W}_{\infty, c}^1$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in V . Then, by Eq. (4),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathcal{E}'(f \circ u_n, f \circ u_n) = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i, j=1}^n a_{i, j} \partial_i u_n(x) \partial_j u_n(x) (f' \circ u_n)^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \|f'\|_\infty^2 \mathcal{E}'(u_n, u_n) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

since $\mathcal{E}'(u_n, u_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'(u, u)$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\partial_i f \circ u_n = f' \circ u_n \partial_i u_n$ (see Gilbarg and Trudinger [10, Theorem 7.8]). By the Banach–Alaoglu theorem, $f \circ u_{n_k} \rightarrow v$ weakly in V for some subsequence u_{n_k} of u_n and some $v \in V$. Since $f \circ u_n \rightarrow f \circ u$ in \mathbf{L}_2 , we obtain $f \circ u = v \in V$.

Second, let $u_n \in V$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u \in V$. Then, again by Eq. (4) and Gilbarg and Trudinger [10, Theorem 7.8], we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \mathcal{E}'(f \circ u_n, f \circ u_n) &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j} \partial_i u_n(x) \partial_j u_n(x) (f' \circ u_n)^2 \\ &\leq \|f'\|_{\infty}^2 \mathcal{E}'(u_n, u_n) \rightarrow \|f'\|_{\infty}^2 \mathcal{E}'(u, u) \end{aligned}$$

for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus there exists a subsequence u_{n_k} and a function $g \in \mathbf{L}_1$ such that

$$0 \leq (f' \circ u_{n_k})^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j} \partial_i u_{n_k}(x) \partial_j u_{n_k}(x) \leq g$$

a.e. By the dominated convergence theorem, $\mathcal{E}'(f \circ u_{n_k}, f \circ u_{n_k})$ converges to $\mathcal{E}'(f \circ u, f \circ u)$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies continuity, since there is such a dominated subsequence for all subsequences of u_n .

Third, $f \circ u \in \mathbf{L}_2$ whenever $u \in \mathbf{L}_2$ as $|f \circ u| \leq \|f'\|_{\infty} |u|$ a.e. Finally, $|f \circ u - f \circ v| \leq \|f'\|_{\infty} |u - v|$ a.e. for all $u, v \in \mathbf{L}_2$. Thus we have $\|f \circ u - f \circ v\|_2 \leq \|f'\|_{\infty} \|u - v\|_2$. ■

COROLLARY 3.9. *If Condition 1.1 is satisfied, then the semigroup T associated with \mathcal{E} is positive. The mapping $u \mapsto |u|$ is continuous on V .*

Proof. Fix $\eta, \gamma \in [0, 1)$ and $\delta = \delta(2, \eta, \gamma)$ in the proof. Lemma 3.8 implies that V is a lattice and $u \mapsto |u|$ is continuous on V , since $x \mapsto |x|$ is smooth on $\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$ with essentially bounded derivative. Thus we can apply the Beurling–Deny criteria for nonsymmetric forms (see Ouhabaz [17, Theorem 2.4]). Since $\partial_i u_+ = \partial_i u \mathbf{1}_{\{x \in \Omega; u(x) > 0\}}$, we have $\mathcal{E}_{\delta}(u_+, u_-) = 0$ for any $u \in V$, and we obtain the positivity of T immediately. ■

4. UPPER BOUNDS

From the previous section, we know that there are unique solutions of (CP). In this section we derive upper bounds of these solutions. To do this, we need a simple generalization of Theorem 3.3.

Remark 4.1. Since $f_{k,p}$ and $g_{k,p}$ are piecewise smooth with bounded derivative, (see Lemma 3.2), $w_{k,p,u}, v_{k,p,u} \in V$ for all $u \in V$, by Lemma 3.8.

Obviously Theorem 3.3 holds for all $u \in V$. Since all terms of (6) are defined and the first part of the proof is a pointwise calculation, while the second part only uses that $w_{k,p,u} \in \mathbf{H}^1 \supset V$, the proof carries over to this more general situation.

LEMMA 4.2. *If Condition 1.1 is satisfied and u is an orbit with initial value $u(0) \in D(A)$, then*

$$d_t \|w_{k,p,u}(t)\|_2^2 \leq -\nu \|\nabla w_{k,p,u}(t)\|_2^2 + 2\delta(p, \eta, \gamma) \|w_{k,p,u}(t)\|_2^2$$

for all $t > 0$, $\eta, \gamma \in [0, 1)$.

Proof. Since $u(0) \in D(A)$, we have $u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{R}^+, D(A)) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbf{R}^+, \mathbf{L}_2)$. Thus the mapping $t \mapsto f'_{k,p} \circ u(t): \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_2$ has the derivative $f'_{k,p} \circ u(t) \partial_t u(t)$ a.e. by Gilbarg and Trudinger [10, Theorem 7.8]. Now we see that

$$\begin{aligned} d_t \|w_{k,p,u}(t)\|_2^2 &= 2 \langle f'_{k,p} \circ u(t) \partial_t u(t), w_{k,p,u}(t) \rangle = 2 \langle \partial_t u(t), v_{k,p,u}(t) \rangle \\ &= -2 \langle Au(t), v_{k,p,u}(t) \rangle = -2\mathcal{E}(u(t), v_{k,p,u}(t)) \\ &\leq -\nu \|\nabla w_{k,p,u}(t)\|_2^2 + 2\delta(p, \eta, \gamma) \|w_{k,p,u}(t)\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

as in Remark 4.1. ■

Proof (Proof of Theorem 1.2). By Corollary 3.9, we have the positivity of the semigroup. Thus we need only show the quasi-contractivity on \mathbf{L}_p .

If u is an orbit with initial value $u(0) \in D(A)$, then by Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_t \|w_{k,p,u}(t)\|_2^2 &\leq -\nu \|\nabla w_{k,p,u}(t)\|_2^2 + 2\delta(p) \|w_{k,p,u}(t)\|_2^2 \\ &\leq 2\delta(p) \|w_{k,p,u}(t)\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

From this differential inequality, it follows that

$$\|w_{k,p,u}(t)\|_2^2 \leq \|w_{k,p,u}(0)\|_2^2 e^{2\delta(p)t}.$$

Since $D(A)$ is dense in \mathbf{L}_2 , we can choose any initial value in $\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{L}_p(\Omega)$ by approximation; see Lemma 3.8. Since $w_{k,p,u}(t, x) \nearrow |u(t, x)|^{p/2}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\| |u(t)|^{p/2} \|_2^2 \leq \| |u(0)|^{p/2} \|_2^2 e^{2\delta(p)t}$$

and

$$\|u(t)\|_p \leq \|u(0)\|_p e^{2\delta(p)t/p}.$$

The proposition is finished by a limiting argument. ■

The subsequent \mathbf{L}_p estimates of semigroup kernels are based on an inequality first derived by Nash. See [16] for a discussion of parabolic partial differential equations on $\mathbf{L}_2(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

PROPOSITION 4.3 (Nash inequality). *There exists a constant $M_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\|u\|_2^{2+4/n} \leq M_1 \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \|u\|_1^{4/n}$$

for all $u \in \mathbf{H}_0^1 \cap \mathbf{L}_1$. We call $M_1 = \frac{4\pi n}{n+2} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1+n/2)}{1+n/2} \right)^{2/n}$ the Nash constant.

Proof. Extend the function u by 0 to \mathbf{R}^n and use Nash's result [16, p. 936]; or see Davies [8, Theorem 2.4.6]. ■

LEMMA 4.4. *If Condition 1.1 is satisfied and u is an orbit with initial value $u(0) \in D(A)$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\|w_{k,2p,u}(t)\|_2^{1/p} e^{-(\delta(2p)/p)t} \right)^{-4p/n} \\ & \geq \frac{2\nu}{M_1 n} \int_0^t \left(\|w_{k,p,u}(s)\|_2^{2/p} e^{-(\delta(2p)/p)s} \right)^{-4p/n} ds \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in J := \{t \in \mathbf{R}^+; \inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|u(s)\|_2 > 0\}$, $p \geq 2$, $k > 1$ and M_1 the Nash constant.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d_t \|w_{k,2p,u}(t)\|_2^2 \\ & \leq -\nu \|\nabla w_{k,2p,u}(t)\|_2^2 + 2\delta(2p) \|w_{k,2p,u}(t)\|_2^2 \\ & \leq -\frac{\nu}{M_1} \|w_{k,2p,u}(t)\|_2^{2+4/n} \|w_{k,2p,u}(t)\|_1^{-4/n} + 2\delta(2p) \|w_{k,2p,u}(t)\|_2^2 \\ & \leq -\frac{\nu}{M_1} \|w_{k,2p,u}(t)\|_2^{2+4/n} \|w_{k,p,u}(t)\|_2^{-8/n} + 2\delta(2p) \|w_{k,2p,u}(t)\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality holds by the definition of $w_{k,p,u}$.

For positive functions f with $f' \leq g + cf$ on \mathbf{R}^+ , we have

$$f^{-M}(t) e^{cMt} \geq -M \int_0^t f^{-M-1}(s) g(s) e^{cMs} ds$$

for all $M > 0$. Choosing $M = 2/n$, we obtain

$$\|w_{k,2p,u}(t)\|_2^{-4/n} e^{4(\delta(2p)/n)t} \geq \frac{2\nu}{M_1 n} \int_0^t \|w_{k,p,u}(s)\|_2^{-8/n} e^{4(\delta(2p)/n)s} ds,$$

which implies the claim. ■

LEMMA 4.5. *If Condition 1.3 is satisfied and $r \geq \max\{2 + \frac{4q}{p_1 - 2q}, 1 + \frac{q}{p_0 - q}\}$, then there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $\delta(p) \leq f(p)\delta_0$ for all $p \geq 2$ with $f(p) = (p - 1)^r$. Further, we have $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \log f(2^{j+1}) \leq 3r \log 2$.*

Proof. Choose $\hat{b}_{l,j}, \hat{c} \in \mathbf{L}_{\infty}$, $\check{b}_{l,j} \in \mathbf{L}_{p_1}$, and $\check{c} \in \mathbf{L}_{p_0}$ such that $c_- = \check{c} + \hat{c}$ and $b_{l,j} = \hat{b}_{l,j} + \check{b}_{l,j}$. By direct estimate using Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 2.3(iv), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0 = & \frac{3}{16} \nu + \frac{4}{\nu} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ l=1,2}}^n \left(\|\hat{b}_{l,j}\|_{\infty} + \|\check{b}_{l,j}\|_{p_1}^{p_1/(p_1-2q)} \left(\frac{8\sqrt{n} C_1}{\nu} \right)^{2q/(p_1-2q)} \right)^2 \\ & + \frac{6}{\nu} + \|\hat{c}\|_{\infty} + \|\check{c}\|_{p_0}^{p_0/(p_0-q)} \left(\frac{6C_2^2}{\nu} \right)^{q/(p_0-q)}, \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies the inequality.

The last inequality of this lemma is quite easy, since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \log f(2^{j+1}) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \log(2^{j+1})^r \leq r \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} (j+1) \log 2 \\ &= r \log 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} (j+1) = 3r \log 2, \end{aligned}$$

using the derivative of the geometric series at $1/2$. ■

LEMMA 4.6. *Let $k > 1$, $l \in \mathbf{N}$, $p = 2^l$ and let u be an orbit. Then there exists $C, \gamma > 0$ such that*

$$\|w_{k,p,u}(t)\|_2^{2/p} \leq 2^{-n/4} \sqrt{C} t^{-(n/2)(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} e^{\beta t} \|u(0)\|_2 \quad (8)$$

holds for all $t \in (0, \infty)$ if Condition 1.3 is satisfied.

Remark 4.7. Taking δ_0, f, r as in Lemma 4.5 and choosing $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, the constants in Lemma 4.6 are given by

$$\beta = \epsilon \delta_0 \delta(2) \quad \text{and} \quad C = \left(\frac{M_1 n 12^r}{\nu \epsilon (3^r - \epsilon)} \right)^{n/2}.$$

Proof. Let u be an orbit with initial value $u(0) \in D(A)$, $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, the function $h_2(t) = e^{\delta(2)t}$, and

$$h_{2p}(t) = h_p(t) e^{\delta(2p)\epsilon/(pf(2p))t} \left(\frac{M_1 n}{2\nu 3^r \epsilon (3^r - \epsilon)} \right)^{n/(4p)} (f(2p) - \epsilon)^{n/(4p)}.$$

Then $h_p(t) \leq 2^{-n/4} \sqrt{C} e^{\beta t}$ holds, by Lemma 4.5, and h_p is nondecreasing. To prove the claim, we do an induction over $l = \log_2 p$. We see that $\|w_{k,2}(s)\|_2 = \|u(t)\|_2 \leq h_2(t) \|u(0)\|_2$. By Lemma 4.4 and the uniqueness of the zero solution, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|w_{k,2p,u}(t)\|_2^{1/p} \\ & \leq e^{(\delta(2p)/p)t} \left(\frac{M_1 n}{2\nu} \right)^{n/(4p)} \left(\int_0^t (\|w_{k,p,u}(s)\|_2^{2/p} e^{-(\delta(2p)/p)s})^{-(4p/n)} ds \right)^{-n/(4p)} \\ & \leq e^{(\delta(2p)/p)t} \left(\frac{M_1 n}{2\nu} \right)^{n/(4p)} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_0^t (s^{-(n/2)\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r}} h_p(s) e^{-(\delta(2p)/p)s})^{-(4p/n)} ds \right)^{-n/(4p)} \|u(0)\|_2 \\ & \leq e^{(\delta(2p)/p)t} \left(\frac{M_1 n}{2\nu} \right)^{n/(4p)} h_p(t) \left(\int_{t(1-\epsilon/f(2p))}^t s^{p-2} ds \right)^{-n/(4p)} \\ & \quad \times e^{-(\delta(2p)/p)t(1-\epsilon/f(2p))} \|u(0)\|_2 \\ & \leq e^{(\delta(2p)\epsilon/(pf(2p)))t} \left(\frac{M_1 n}{2\nu} \right)^{n/(4p)} h_p(t) \\ & \quad \times \left(\frac{1}{p-1} t^{p-1} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{f(2p)} \right)^{p-1} \right) \right)^{-n/(4p)} \|u(0)\|_2 \\ & \leq h_{2p}(t) t^{-(n/2)(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2p})} \|u(0)\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

since $1 - (1 - \epsilon/f(2p))^{p-1} \geq \frac{(f(4) - \epsilon)(p-1)}{f(2p) - \epsilon} (\epsilon/f(4))$, which can be seen by the following elementary calculus. First, $g(x) = \frac{e^x - 1}{x}$ is increasing on \mathbf{R} . Second, the function $\gamma(p) = -(p-1)\log(1 - \epsilon/f(2p)) = \epsilon \frac{p-1}{f(2p)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon^j}{(j+1)(f(2p))^j}$ is decreasing, since $f(p) = (p-1)^r$ and $r \geq 2$. Third, $\tilde{\gamma}(x) = -(x - \epsilon)\log(1 - \epsilon/x)$ is increasing for $x > \epsilon$, as its derivative is $\tilde{\gamma}'(x) = -\log(1 - \epsilon/x) - \epsilon/x \geq 0$. Finally, $\tilde{\gamma}(f(2p))g(-\gamma(p)) = \frac{f(2p) - \epsilon}{p-1} (1 - (1 - \epsilon/f(2p))^{p-1})$ is nondecreasing.

Lemma 3.8, with regard to $f_{k,p}$, shows that we can approximate any initial value in \mathbf{L}_2 . ■

COROLLARY 4.8. *If Condition 1.3 is satisfied, then there exists $C, \beta > 0$ such that*

$$\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_2, \mathbf{L}_\infty)} \leq 2^{-n/4} \sqrt{C} t^{-(n/4)} e^{\beta t} \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

The constants are given in Remark 4.7.

Proof. Let $h(t) = 2^{-n/4} \sqrt{C} e^{\beta t}$. By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_2, \mathbf{L}_\infty)} &= \sup_{\|u_0\|_2=1} \|T(t)u_0\|_\infty \leq \sup_{\|u_0\|_2=1} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)u_0\|_{2^j} \\ &= \sup_{\|u_0\|_2=1} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{k,2^j} \circ T(t)u_0\|_2^{2/2^j} \\ &\leq \sup_{\|u_0\|_2=1} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t^{-(n/2)(\frac{1}{2}-2^{-j})} h(t) \|u_0\|_2 \\ &= t^{-(n/4)} h(t). \end{aligned}$$

■

Proof (Proof of Theorem 1.4). By duality, we obtain

$$\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_\infty)} \leq \|T(t/2)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_2, \mathbf{L}_\infty)} \|T(t/2)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_2)} \leq C t^{-n/2} e^{(\delta(2)+\gamma)t}.$$

■

5. GAUSSIAN ESTIMATES

In this section we use a technique developed by Davies [7] to obtain pseudo-Gaussian upper bounds of the kernel of T . We assume Condition 1.5 in this section.

DEFINITION 5.1. Let $\Psi = \{\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^n); |\nabla \psi| \leq 1\}$. For all real numbers ρ and $\psi \in \Psi$, let

$$\begin{aligned} (B_{\rho, \psi} f)(x) &= e^{\rho \psi(x)} f(x) \\ T_{\rho, \psi}(t) &= B_{\rho, \psi} T(t) B_{-\rho, \psi}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5.2. *For any real ρ and $\psi \in \Psi$, the semigroup $T_{\rho, \psi}$ is associated with the form $\mathcal{E}_{\rho, \psi}$ given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\rho, \psi}(u, v) = & \sum_{i, j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{i, j} \partial_i u \partial_j v + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} (b_{1, j, \rho, \psi} \partial_j u v + b_{2, j, \rho, \psi} v \partial_j v) \\ & + \int_{\Omega} c_{\rho, \psi} u v, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{1, j, \rho, \psi} &= b_{1, j} + \rho \sum_{k=1}^n a_{j, k} \partial_k \psi, & b_{2, j, \rho, \psi} &= b_{2, j} - \rho \sum_{k=1}^n a_{k, j} \partial_k \psi, \\ c_{\rho, \psi} &= c - \rho^2 \sum_{i, j=1}^n a_{i, j} \partial_j \psi \partial_i \psi - \rho \sum_{j=1}^n (b_{1, j} - b_{2, j}) \partial_j \psi \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v \in V$.

Proof. For every $u \in D(A)$, $v \in \mathbf{W}_{\infty, c}^1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \langle T_{\rho, \psi}(t) u, v \rangle &= \partial_t \langle T(t) e^{-\rho \psi} u, e^{\rho \psi} v \rangle = \langle AT(t) e^{-\rho \psi} u, e^{\rho \psi} v \rangle \\ &= \mathcal{E}(T(t) e^{-\rho \psi} u, e^{\rho \psi} v) = \mathcal{E}(e^{-\rho \psi} T_{\rho, \psi}(t) u, e^{\rho \psi} v) \\ &= \mathcal{E}_{\rho, \psi}(T_{\rho, \psi}(t) u, v). \end{aligned}$$

By approximation, this holds actually for all $u \in V$, from which the lemma follows by a direct calculation. ■

THEOREM 5.3. *If $\rho \in \mathbf{R}$, $\psi \in \Psi$, $t \in (0, \infty)$, then there exists Cd , $\delta_i > 0$ such that*

$$\|T_{\rho, \psi}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_{\infty})} \leq C t^{-n/2} \exp((\delta_2 |\rho|^m + \delta_1) t), \quad (9)$$

where

$$m = 2 + \frac{4q}{p_2 - 2q} \in [2, \infty). \quad (10)$$

Remark 5.4. The exact values of the constants are not needed in the following, but are given here for completeness. Choosing $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and analyzing the proof provides

$$C = \left(\frac{M_1 n 12^r}{\nu(3^r - \epsilon) \epsilon} \right)^{n/2}, \quad \delta_i = (1 + \epsilon) \hat{\delta}_i,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f(p) &= (p-1)^r, \quad r = \max \left\{ 2 + \frac{4q}{\min\{p_2, p_1\} - 2q}, 1 + \frac{q}{p_0 - q} \right\}, \\
\hat{\delta}_1 &= \hat{\delta}_2 + \frac{12}{\nu} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ l=1,2}}^n \left(\|\hat{b}_{l,j}\|_\infty + \|\check{b}_{l,j}\|_{p_1}^{p_1/(p_1-2q)} \left(\frac{16\sqrt{n} C_1}{\nu} \right)^{2q/(p_1-2q)} \right)^2 \\
&\quad + \|\hat{c}\|_\infty + \|\check{c}\|_{p_0}^{p_0/(p_0-q)} \left(\frac{18C_2^2}{\nu} \right)^{q/(p_0-q)} + \frac{3}{16} \nu, \\
\hat{\delta}_2 &= \frac{12}{\nu} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|\hat{a}_{i,j}\|_\infty \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|\check{a}_{i,j}\|_{p_2}^{p_2/(p_2-2q)} \left(\frac{32n\sqrt{n} C_1}{\nu} \right)^{2q/(p_2-2q)} \right)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|\hat{a}_{j,i}\|_\infty \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|\check{a}_{j,i}\|_{p_2}^{p_2/(p_2-2q)} \left(\frac{32n\sqrt{n} C_1}{\nu} \right)^{2q/(p_2-2q)} \right)^2 \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(\|\hat{a}_{i,j}\|_\infty + \|\check{a}_{i,j}\|_{p_2}^{p_2/(p_2-q)} \left(\frac{18n^2 C_2^2}{\nu} \right)^{q/(p_2-q)} \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\|\hat{b}_{1,j} - \hat{b}_{2,j}\|_\infty + \|\check{b}_{1,j} - \check{b}_{2,j}\|_{p_1}^{2p_1/(p_1-q)} \left(\frac{18nC_2^2}{\nu} \right)^{q/(2p_1-q)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for any choice of $\hat{a}_{i,j}, \hat{b}_{l,j}, \hat{c} \in \mathbf{L}_\infty$, $\check{a}_{i,j} \in \mathbf{L}_{p_2}$, $\check{b}_{l,j} \in \mathbf{L}_{p_1}$, and $\check{c} \in \mathbf{L}_{p_0}$ such that $p_2 > 2q$ or $p_1 = \infty$ and $a_{i,j} = \check{a}_{i,j} + \hat{a}_{i,j}$, $b_{l,j} = \check{b}_{l,j} + \hat{b}_{l,j}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, $l = 1, 2$, and $c_- = \check{c} + \hat{c}$. If $a_{i,j} \in \mathbf{L}_\infty$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, then choose $\check{a}_{i,j} = 0$, $p_2 = \infty$, and $m = 2$.

Proof. Since the form $\mathcal{E}_{p,\psi}$ satisfies Condition 1.3, Theorem 1.4 holds with $\delta_{\rho,\psi}$ corresponding to (7). To estimate $\delta_{\rho,\psi}$, we choose functions $\hat{a}_{i,j}, \hat{b}_{l,j}, \hat{c} \in \mathbf{L}_\infty$, $\check{a}_{i,j} \in \mathbf{L}_{p_2}$, $\check{b}_{l,j} \in \mathbf{L}_{p_1}$, and $\check{c} \in \mathbf{L}_{p_0}$ such that $a_{i,j} = \check{a}_{i,j} + \hat{a}_{i,j}$, $b_{l,j} = \check{b}_{l,j} + \hat{b}_{l,j}$, and $c_- = \check{c} + \hat{c}$. If $a_{i,j} \in \mathbf{L}_\infty$, then choose $\check{a}_{i,j} = 0$, $p_2 = \infty$, and $m = 2$. With the help of these decompositions and Lemma 2.3(ii),(iv), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
[b_{1,j,\rho,\psi}]_\theta &\leq [b_{1,j}]_{\theta/2} + |\rho| \sum_{k=1}^n \|\hat{a}_{j,k}\|_\infty \\
&\quad + |\rho| \sum_{k=1}^n \|\check{a}_{j,k}\|_{p_2}^{p_2/(p_2-2q)} \left(\frac{2n|\rho|}{\theta} \right)^{2q/(p_2-2q)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq [b_{1,j}]_{\theta/2} + |\rho| \sum_{k=1}^n \|\hat{a}_{j,k}\|_{\infty} \\
&\quad + |\rho| \sum_{k=1}^n \|\check{a}_{j,k}\|_{p_2}^{p_2/(p_2-2q)} \left(\frac{2n|\rho|}{\theta} \right)^{2q/(p_2-2q)} \\
[b_{1,j,\rho,\psi}]_{\theta}^2 &\leq 3[b_{1,j}]_{\theta}^2 + 3(1 + |\rho|^m) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|\hat{a}_{j,k}\|_{\infty} \right)^2 \\
&\quad + 3(1 + |\rho|^m) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|\check{a}_{j,k}\|_{p_2}^{p_2/(p_2-2q)} \left(\frac{2n}{\theta} \right)^{2q/(p_2-2q)} \right)^2 \\
[b_{2,j,\rho,\psi}]_{\theta}^2 &\leq 3[b_{2,j}]_{\theta}^2 + 3(1 + |\rho|^m) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|\hat{a}_{k,j}\|_{\infty} \right)^2 \\
&\quad + 3(1 + |\rho|^m) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|\check{a}_{k,j}\|_{p_2}^{p_2/(p_2-2q)} \left(\frac{2n}{\theta} \right)^{2q/(p_2-2q)} \right)^2 \\
[c_{\rho,\psi-}]_{\theta} &\leq [c_-]_{\theta/3} + \rho^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|\hat{a}_{i,j}\|_{\infty} \\
&\quad + \rho^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|\check{a}_{i,j}\|_{p_2}^{p_2/(p_2-q)} \left(\frac{3n\rho^2}{\theta} \right)^{q/(p_2-q)} \\
&\quad + |\rho| \sum_{j=1}^n \|\hat{b}_{1,j} - \hat{b}_{2,j}\|_{\infty} \\
&\quad + |\rho| \sum_{j=1}^n \|\check{b}_{1,j} - \check{b}_{2,j}\|_{p_1}^{p_1/(p_1-q)} \left(\frac{3n|\rho|}{\theta} \right)^{q/(p_1-q)} \\
&\leq [c_-]_{\theta/3} + (1 + |\rho|^m) \\
&\quad \times \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(\|\hat{a}_{i,j}\|_{\infty} + \|\check{a}_{i,j}\|_{p_2}^{p_2/(p_2-q)} \left(\frac{3n^2}{\theta} \right)^{q/(p_2-q)} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\|\hat{b}_{1,j} - \hat{b}_{2,j}\|_{\infty} + \|\check{b}_{1,j} - \check{b}_{2,j}\|_{p_1}^{p_1/(p_1-q)} \left(\frac{3n}{\theta} \right)^{q/(p_1-q)} \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Together with (7), we obtain the estimate $\delta_{\rho,\psi}(p) \leq (\hat{\delta}_1 + |\rho|^m \hat{\delta}_2) f(p)$. Then, by Theorem 1.4 and the values of the constants given in Remark 4.7,

$$\|T_{\rho,\psi}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_{\infty})} \leq Ct^{-n/2} \exp((\delta_2 |\rho|^m + \delta_1)t)$$

Proof (Proof of Theorem 1.6). Let $\rho \in \mathbf{R}$, $\psi \in \Psi$, k and $k_{\rho, \psi}$ be the kernel associated with T and $T_{\rho, \psi}$, respectively. Then $k(t, x, y) = e^{-\rho(\psi(x) - \psi(y))} k_{\rho, \psi}(x, y, t) \geq 0$ for all $t > 0$ and almost all $x, y \in \Omega$, since the semigroup T is positive. By Theorem 5.3, we obtain

$$k(t, x, y) \leq Ct^{-n/2} \exp((\delta_2 |\rho|^m + \delta_1)t - \rho(\psi(x) - \psi(y))).$$

Replacing ρ by $-\rho$, it follows that

$$k(t, x, y) \leq Ct^{-n/2} \exp((\delta_2 |\rho|^m + \delta_1)t - \rho|\psi(x) - \psi(y)|).$$

Since $\sup_{\psi \in \Psi} |\psi(x) - \psi(y)| = |x - y|$, we have

$$k(t, x, y) \leq Ct^{-n/2} \exp((\delta_2 |\rho|^m + \delta_1)t - \rho|x - y|).$$

Choosing $\rho^{m-1} = (\delta_2 m t)^{-1} |x - y|$ proves the claim with $\omega_1 = \delta_1$ and $\omega_2 = (\delta_2 m)^{-(m/(m-1))} (1 - \frac{1}{m})$. ■

6. APPLICATIONS

Here we can use the results in the literature about kernel estimates. First, we get p -independence of the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ using the following.

THEOREM 6.1 (Kunsmann [13, Theorem 1.1]). *Let Q be an integral operator on $\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)$ given by a kernel k which satisfies*

$$|k(x, y)| \leq g(x - y) \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega,$$

where g is a function satisfying

$$x \mapsto \exp(\rho(|x|))g(x) \in \mathbf{L}_1(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap \mathbf{L}_{p_0}(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

for some $p_0 \in (1, \infty]$ and some Ω -admissible function ρ (i.e., $\rho: \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ is nondecreasing and subadditive, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \rho(t) = 0$, and $x \mapsto \exp(-\rho(|x|)) \in \mathbf{L}_1(\tilde{\Omega})$, where $\tilde{\Omega} = \{x - y; x, y \in \Omega\}$). Then Q extends to consistent operators Q_p on all $\mathbf{L}_p(\Omega)$, $p \in [1, \infty]$, and the spectrum $\sigma(Q_p)$ does not depend on p .

COROLLARY 6.2. *Let $m > 1$ and $d \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $n > m(d - 1)$. Let $R_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_2)$ be given by the formula*

$$R_2 f(x) = \int_{\Omega} k(x, y) f(y) dx \quad \forall f \in \mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)$$

with some measurable kernel $k: \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. Assume

$$|k(x, y)| \leq g_{\mu, b}(x - y) = C \int_0^{\infty} t^{-d} e^{-\mu t - b(|x - y|^m / t)^{1/(m-1)}} dt$$

for some $\mu, b, C > 0$. Then there is a consistent family $(R_p \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_p))_{p \in [1, \infty]}$, and the spectrum $\sigma(R_p) = \sigma(R_2)$ is independent of $p \in [1, \infty]$.

Proof. Using the continuous Minkowski inequality and the substitution $x = yt^{1/m}$, we obtain $\|g_{\mu, b}\|_p < \infty$ if $p^{-1} > \frac{m}{n}(d-1)$. Observe that the range of p does not depend on b . For any $c \in (0, b)$ and $a > 0$, we have

$$\sup_{s \geq 0} as - c(s^m/t)^{1/(m-1)} = t \left(\frac{a}{m} \right)^m \left(\frac{m-1}{c} \right)^{m-1} =: t\gamma(a, m, c).$$

Thus, choosing $a > 0$ and $c \in (0, b)$ such that $\gamma(a, m, c) < \mu$, we have

$$|e^{a|x|} g_{\mu_1 b}(x)| \leq g_{\mu - \gamma(a, m, c), b-c}(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Now choose $\rho(r) = ar$ and $p_0 > 1$ such that $p_0^{-1} > \frac{m}{n}(d-1)$. Then the assumptions of Theorem 6.1 are given. Therefore, R_2 extends to a consistent family $(R_p)_{p \in [1, \infty]}$, and the spectrum of R_p is independent of $p \in [1, \infty]$. ■

LEMMA 6.3. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $M_i \subset \{z \in \mathbf{C}; \operatorname{Re} z < w\}$ for some $w \in \mathbf{R}$ and $N_{i, \lambda} = \{(\lambda - z)^n; z \in M_i\}$, where $i = 1, 2$. If $N_{1, \lambda} = N_{2, \lambda}$ for all $\lambda > w$, then $M_1 = M_2$.

Proof. First, let $B(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbf{C}; |x - y| < r\}$, $S_{i, \lambda} = M_i \cap B(\lambda, \frac{\lambda - w}{\cos(\pi/n)})$, and $T_{i, \lambda} = \{(\lambda - z)^n; z \in S_{i, \lambda}\}$. Then $T_{i, \lambda} = N_{i, \lambda} \cap B(0, (\frac{\lambda - w}{\cos(\pi/n)})^n)$, $\lambda - S_{i, \lambda} = (\lambda - M_i) \cap B(0, \frac{\lambda - w}{\cos(\pi/n)})$ is a subset of $\Sigma_{\pi/n} := \{re^{i\varphi}; r > 0, |\varphi| < \pi/n\}$ and $T_{1, \lambda} = T_{2, \lambda}$. Of course the mapping $z \mapsto z^n: \Sigma_{\pi/n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is injective. Thus $\lambda - S_{1, \lambda} = \lambda - S_{2, \lambda}$ and then also $S_{1, \lambda} = S_{2, \lambda}$ for all $\lambda > w$.

Now we finish the proof by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= M_1 \cap \bigcup_{\lambda > w} B\left(\lambda, \frac{\lambda - w}{\cos(\pi/n)}\right) = \bigcup_{\lambda > w} M_1 \cap B\left(\lambda, \frac{\lambda - w}{\cos(\pi/n)}\right) \\ &= \bigcup_{\lambda > w} S_{1, \lambda} = \bigcup_{\lambda > w} S_{2, \lambda} = \bigcup_{\lambda > w} M_2 \cap B\left(\lambda, \frac{\lambda - w}{\cos(\pi/n)}\right) \\ &= M_2 \cap \bigcup_{\lambda > w} B\left(\lambda, \frac{\lambda - w}{\cos(\pi/n)}\right) = M_2, \end{aligned}$$

since $\mathbf{C} = \bigcup_{\lambda > w} B(\lambda, \frac{\lambda - w}{\cos(\pi/n)})$. ■

Proof (Proof of Theorem 1.7). If T generates a C_0 semigroup on \mathbf{L}_1 , then let $P = [1, \infty)$, otherwise $P = (1, \infty)$.

Using the resolvent identity and Theorem 1.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (R(\lambda, A_p))^{n+1} f(x) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} R(\lambda, A_p) f(x) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} t^n e^{-\lambda t} k(t, x, y) dt f(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in \mathbf{L}_p$ and $\lambda > \omega_1$. Applying Corollary 6.2, we obtain $\sigma((R(\lambda, A_2))^{n+1}) = \sigma((R(\lambda, A_p))^{n+1})$ for all $\lambda > \omega_1$ and $p \in P$.

Using the spectral mapping theorem for the resolvent, i.e.,

$$\sigma(R(\lambda, A)) \setminus \{0\} = \frac{1}{\lambda - \sigma(A)} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda - \mu}; \mu \in \sigma(A) \right\},$$

and for bounded operators S and polynomials $g(\sigma(g(S)) = g(\sigma(S)))$, we obtain

$$\left\{ 1/z; z \in \sigma\left((R(\lambda, A_p))^{n+1}\right) \setminus \{0\} \right\} = \left\{ (\lambda - \mu)^{n+1}; \mu \in \sigma(A_p) \right\}$$

for all $p \in P$ and $\lambda > \omega_1$. Applying Lemma 6.3 to $N_{p,\lambda} = (\lambda - \sigma(A_p))^{n+1}$ finishes the proof. ■

Remark 6.4. If $a_{i,j} \in \mathbf{L}_{\infty}$, then, by Theorem 1.6, the kernel associated with the semigroup satisfies a classical Gaussian estimate. Thus the semigroup extends to a C_0 semigroup on \mathbf{L}_1 .

Another way to show that we have a C_0 semigroup on \mathbf{L}_1 uses the \mathbf{L}_1 contractivity of $S(t) = e^{-kt}T(t)$. The semigroup S is \mathbf{L}_1 contractive if $k + c - \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j b_{1,j} \geq 0$ as a distribution. In fact, by Lemma 3.8, $\min\{u_+, 1\} \in V$ whenever $u \in V$. Now direct calculation shows that $\mathcal{E}(u - \min\{u_+, 1\}, \min\{u_+, 1\}) \geq 0$ for all $u \in \mathcal{D}$. This remains true for all $u \in V$, as \mathcal{D} is dense in V . By Ma and Röckner [14, Proposition I.4.3, Theorem I.4.4], T is \mathbf{L}_1 contractive.

The same arguments show that T is quasi- \mathbf{L}_{∞} contractive if there is an $k \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $k + c - \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j b_{2,j} \geq 0$ as a distribution. In general, \mathcal{E} is not quasi- \mathbf{L}_{∞} contractive (see Ouhabaz [17, Remark 4.3.a]), even though Condition 1.5 is satisfied.

Second, we have maximal \mathbf{L}_p - \mathbf{L}_q regularity if Condition 1.5 is satisfied and $a_{i,j} \in \mathbf{L}_{\infty}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Since we get classical Gaussian estimates by Theorem 1.6, we can use the result of Hieber and Pruess [11, Theorem 3.1] to prove Theorem 1.8. Moreover, there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{\infty} \|u(t)\|_q^p dt + \int_0^{\infty} \|u'(t)\|_q^p dt + \int_0^{\infty} \|A_q u(t)\|_q^p dt \leq M \int_0^{\infty} \|f(t)\|_q^p dt$$

for all $f \in \mathbf{L}_p(\mathbf{R}^+, \mathbf{L}_q(\Omega))$.

Third, we can prove Theorem 1.9, getting an analytic mapping on \mathbf{L}_1 in an open sector.

Proof (Proof of Theorem 1.9). This proof uses Theorem 1.6 and a technique of Arendt [3]. Let $0 < (m-1)\theta_1 < (m-1)\theta_2 < \theta_3 < \theta_4 < \theta$. Replacing T by $(e^{-wt}T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ for some $w > \omega_1$, we can assume that

$$\|T(z)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_2)} \leq M_1 \quad \text{for all } z \in \Sigma_{\theta_4},$$

$$0 \leq k(t, x, y) \leq Ct^{-n/2} e^{-b(|x-y|^m/t)^{1/(m-1)}} \quad \text{for all } t > 0, x, y \in \Omega.$$

By Corollary 4.8 and duality, we know that if w is sufficiently large, then

$$\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_2, \mathbf{L}_\infty)} \leq M_2 t^{-n/4}$$

and

$$\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_2)} \leq M_3 t^{-n/4} \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Now choose $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that $\delta t + \mathbf{i}s \in \Sigma_{\theta_4}$ whenever $t + \mathbf{i}s \in \Sigma_{\theta_3}$. For any $z = t + \mathbf{i}s \in \Sigma_{\theta_3}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T(z)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_\infty)} \\ & \leq \|T((1-\delta)t/2)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_2)} \|T(\delta t + \mathbf{i}s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_2)} \|T((1-\delta)t/2)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_2, \mathbf{L}_\infty)} \\ & \leq M_1 M_2 M_3 t^{-n/2} \left(\frac{1-\delta}{2} \right)^{-n/2} =: M_4 (\operatorname{Re} z)^{-n/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying [3, Theorem 4.2], obtain the analyticity of $T: \Sigma_{\theta_3} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_\infty) \sim \mathbf{L}_\infty(\Omega \times \Omega)$. By [3, Lemma 4.1], there exists $K: \Sigma_{\theta_3} \times \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $K(\cdot, x, y)$ is analytic for all $x, y \in \Omega$, $K(z, \cdot, \cdot) \in \mathbf{L}_\infty(\Omega \times \Omega)$ for all $z \in \Sigma_{\theta_3}$ and $T(z)f(x) = \int_\Omega K(z, x, y)f(y) dy$ for all $f \in \mathbf{L}_1 \cap \mathbf{L}_2$. As $\mathbf{L}_\infty(\Omega \times \Omega)$ is an isometric isomorph to $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_\infty)$ by $k \mapsto (f \mapsto \int_\Omega k(\cdot, y)f(y) dy)$, we obtain $|K(z, x, y)| \leq M_4 (\operatorname{Re} z)^{-n/2}$ for all $z \in \Sigma_{\theta_3}$ and almost all $x, y \in \Omega$ and $K(t, x, y) = k(t, x, y)$ for all $t > 0$ and almost all $x, y \in \Omega$.

Applying [3, Lemma 4.4] to $H(z, x, y) = K(z^{m-1}, x, y)z^{(m-1)n/2}$, we obtain the estimate $|K(z, x, y)| \leq M_0 |z|^{-n/2} e^{-\beta(|x-y|^m/|z|)^{1/(m-1)}}$ for all $z \in \Sigma_{\theta_1}$ and almost all $x, y \in \Omega$, where $M_0 = \sup\{M_4, C\}$ and $\beta = \frac{\sin(\gamma - \theta_1)}{\sin \gamma} b$.

Thus $\sup_{z \in \Sigma_{\theta_1}, |z| > r} \|T_p(z)\|_p < \infty$ for all $r > 0$, $p \in [1, \infty)$. Since the operators T_p are consistent, we can finish the proof by applying [3, Theorem 4.2] again. ■

We cannot prove the boundedness of the semigroup T on \mathbf{L}_1 near 0 using only a pseudo-Gaussian estimate of order $m \neq 2$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Professors Matthias Hieber and Wolfgang Arendt for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

1. R. A. Adams, "Sobolev Spaces," Academic Press, New York, 1975.
2. W. Arendt and A. F. M. ter Elst, Gaussian estimates for second order elliptic operators with boundary conditions, *J. Oper. Theory*, **38**(1) (1997), 87–130.
3. W. Arendt, Semigroup properties by Gaussian estimates, *RIMS Kokyuroku* **1009** (1997), 162–180.
4. D. G. Aronson, Nonnegative solutions of linear parabolic equations, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, Sci. Fis. Mat. III. Ser.* **22** (1968), 607–694.
5. P. Auscher, L. Barthélemy, P. Bénéilan, and E.-M. Ouhabaz, Absence de la L^∞ -contractivité pour les semi-groupes associés aux opérateurs elliptique complexes sous forme divergence, *Potential Anal.* **12**, No. 2, (2000), 169–189.
6. D. Daners, Heat kernel estimates for operators with boundary condition, *Mathematische Nachrichten* **217** (2000), 13–41.
7. E. B. Davies, Explicit constants for Gaussian upper bounds on heat kernels, *Am. J. Math.* **109** (1987), 319–333.
8. E. B. Davies, "Heat Kernels and Spectral Theory," Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 92, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 1989.
9. E. B. Fabes and D. W. Stroock, A new proof of Moser's parabolic Harnack inequality using the old ideas of Nash, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **96** (1986), 327–338.
10. D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, "Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order" (2nd ed.), Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 224, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
11. M. Hieber and J. Pruess, Heat kernels and maximal L^p - L^q estimates for parabolic evolution equations, *Comm. Part. Diff. Eq.* **22**(9-10) (1997), 1647–1669.
12. T. Kato, "Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators" (2nd ed., reprint), Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
13. P. C. Kunstmann, "Kernel Estimates and L^p Spectral Independence of Differential and Integral Operators," available at <http://ma1serv.mathematik.uni-karlsruhe.de/page/bul-lbo.html>, 1999.
14. Z.-M. Ma and M. Röckner, "Introduction to the Theory of (Non-symmetric) Dirichlet Forms," Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
15. V. G. Maz'ya, "Sobolev Spaces," Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
16. J. Nash, Continuity of solutions of parabolic and elliptic equations, *Am. J. Math.* **80** (1958), 931–954.
17. E.-M. Ouhabaz, L^∞ -contractivity of semigroups generated by sectorial forms, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. II. Ser.* **46**(2) (1992), 529–542.
18. D. W. Robinson, "Elliptic Operators and Lie Groups," Oxford Science Publications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, U.K., 1991.
19. G. Stampacchia, Le problème de Dirichlet pour les équations elliptiques du second ordre à coefficients discontinus, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, **15**(1) (1965), 189–257.