

# Calderon reproducing formula associated with the Gegenbauer operator on the half-line

Vagif S. Guliyev<sup>a,\*</sup>, Elman J. Ibrahimov<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Baku, Azerbaijan*

<sup>b</sup> *Azerbaijan State Oil Academy, Azerbaijan*

Received 15 October 2006

Available online 16 February 2007

Submitted by B.C. Berndt

---

## Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the generalized shift operator generated by the Gegenbauer differential operator  $D_\lambda = (x^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}-\lambda} \frac{d}{dx} (x^2 - 1)^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d}{dx}$ , and define a generalized convolution  $\otimes$  on the half-line corresponding to the Gegenbauer differential operator. We investigate the Calderon reproducing formula associated with the convolution  $\otimes$  involving finite Borel measures, leading to results on the  $L_p$ -norm and pointwise approximation for functions on the half-line.

© 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Gegenbauer differential operator; Generalized shift operator; Generalized convolution; Calderon reproducing formula

---

## 1. Introduction

It is well known that the classical Calderon reproducing formula can be formulated as follows [1]. Let  $g$  and  $h$  be  $L_2$ -functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and

$$\int_0^\infty \hat{g}(a\lambda) \hat{h}(a\lambda) \frac{da}{a} = 1, \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\},$$

---

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [vagif@guliyev.com](mailto:vagif@guliyev.com) (V.S. Guliyev), [elmanibrahimov@yahoo.com](mailto:elmanibrahimov@yahoo.com) (E.J. Ibrahimov).

<sup>1</sup> The author is partially supported by the grants of INTAS (Grant 05-1000008-8157).

where  $\hat{\cdot}$  denotes the classical Fourier transform on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Set

$$g_a(x) = \frac{1}{a} g\left(\frac{x}{a}\right), \quad h_a(x) = \frac{1}{a} h\left(\frac{x}{a}\right),$$

then

$$f = \int_0^\infty f * g_a * h_a \frac{da}{a}, \quad (1)$$

where  $*$  denotes the usual convolution product on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

The formula (1) has many applications in applied mathematics, in particular in wavelet theory (see, for example, [2,4]).

Let  $\mu$  be a finite Borel measure on the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ , then (1) has a natural generalization to

$$f = \int_0^\infty f * \mu_a \frac{da}{a}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\mu_a$  is the dilated measure of  $\mu$ . Under some restrictions on  $\mu$ , the  $L_p$ -norm or a.e. convergence of (2) has been proved by B. Rubin and E. Shamir in [7]. A more general form of (2) has been investigated by B. Rubin in [6]. In [5], M.A. Mourou and K. Trimeche have studied the same problems when in (2) the classical convolution  $*$  is replaced by a generalized convolution on the half-line generated by the Bessel differential operator

$$L_\alpha = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{2\alpha+1}{x} \frac{d}{dx}, \quad \alpha > -\frac{1}{2}. \quad (3)$$

The aim of this paper is to study similar questions when in (2) the classical convolution  $*$  is replaced by a generalized convolution  $\otimes$  on the half-line generated by the Gegenbauer differential operator

$$D_\lambda = (x^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}-\lambda} \frac{d}{dx} (x^2 - 1)^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d}{dx}. \quad (4)$$

The Gegenbauer functions  $P_\alpha^\lambda(x)$ ,  $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $x \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, 1/2)$ , are eigenfunctions of the operator  $D_\lambda$  that satisfy the following differential equation [3]:

$$\left\{ (x^2 - 1) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (2\lambda + 1)x \frac{d}{dx} - \alpha(\alpha + 2\lambda) \right\} P_\alpha^\lambda(x) = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$P_\alpha^\lambda(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2\lambda) \cos \pi \lambda}{\Gamma(\lambda) \Gamma(\alpha + \lambda + 1)} (2x)^{-\alpha-2\lambda} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \lambda, \frac{\alpha}{2} + \lambda + \frac{1}{2}; \alpha + \lambda + 1; x^{-2}\right), \quad (6)$$

and  ${}_2F_1$  denotes the hypergeometric function.

The second linearly-independent solution of Eq. (5) is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} C_\alpha^\lambda(x) = & -\frac{\sin \pi \alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 2\lambda) \Gamma(-\alpha - \lambda)}{\pi \Gamma(\lambda)} (2x)^{-\alpha-2\lambda} \\ & \times {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \lambda, \frac{\alpha}{2} + \lambda + \frac{1}{2}; \alpha + \lambda + 1; x^{-2}\right) \\ & + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda) \Gamma(\alpha + \lambda)} (2x)^\alpha {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{2}; 1 - \alpha - \lambda; x^{-2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $x \in [1, \infty)$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1/2)$ .

We denote by  $L_{p,\lambda}[0, \infty) = L_p(sh^{2\lambda} x dx)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , is the set of all classes of measurable functions  $f$  on  $[0, \infty)$  for which

$$\|f\|_{p,\lambda} \equiv \|f(ch)(\cdot)\|_{p,\lambda} = \left( \int_0^\infty |f(chx)|^p sh^{2\lambda} x dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\infty,\lambda} \equiv \|f(ch)(\cdot)\|_{\infty,\lambda} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \geq 0} |f(chx)| < \infty.$$

Denote by  $M = M([0, \infty))$  the space of all finite Borel measures on the semi-axis  $[0, \infty)$ . For  $\mu \in M$ , we assume

$$\|\mu\| = |\mu|([0, \infty)) = \int_{[0,\infty)} d|\mu|(chx),$$

where  $|\mu|$  is the absolute value of  $\mu$ .

**Definition 1.** For  $f \in L_{1,\lambda}[0, \infty)$ , the Gegenbauer transformations of  $f$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_P(\alpha) &= \int_0^\infty f(cht) P_\alpha^\lambda(cht) sh^{2\lambda} t dt, \quad \alpha \in [1, \infty), \\ \hat{f}_Q(\alpha) &= \int_0^\infty f(cht) Q_\alpha^\lambda(cht) sh^{2\lambda} t dt, \quad \alpha \in [1, \infty), \end{aligned}$$

where  $P_\alpha^\lambda(chx)$  is given by (6) and  $Q_\alpha^\lambda(chx) = \frac{\Gamma(2\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+2\lambda)} C_\alpha^\lambda(chx)$ .

**Definition 2.** The Gegenbauer transformation of the measure  $\mu \in M$  is defined by the formula

$$\hat{\mu}_{\tilde{P}}(\alpha) = \int_{[0,\infty)} \tilde{P}_\alpha^\lambda(chx) d\mu(chx),$$

where  $\tilde{P}_\alpha^\lambda(chx) = P_\alpha^\lambda(chx)/P_\alpha^\lambda(1)$ .

**Definition 3.**

(1) The generalized shift operator  $A_{cht}^\lambda$  is defined by

$$A_{cht}^\lambda f(chx) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^\pi f(chx ch t - shx sh t \cos \varphi) (\sin \varphi)^{2\lambda-1} d\varphi.$$

(2) The generalized convolution  $\otimes$  generated by the generalized shift operator is defined as follows

$$(\mu \otimes f)(chx) = \int_{[0,\infty)} A_{cht}^\lambda f(chx) d\mu(cht),$$

where  $\mu \in M$  and  $f$  is a smooth function.

### Properties.

(1) For the function  $\tilde{P}_\alpha^\lambda(chx)$ , the following “multiplication theorem” holds:

$$A_{cht}^\lambda \tilde{P}_\alpha^\lambda(chx) = \tilde{P}_\alpha^\lambda(cht) Q_\alpha^\lambda(chx).$$

(2) If  $f \in L_{p,\lambda}[0, \infty)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , then for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $A_{cht}^\lambda f \in L_{p,\lambda}$  and

$$\|A_{cht}^\lambda f\|_{p,\lambda} \leq \|f\|_{p,\lambda}.$$

(3) For any  $f \in L_{1,\lambda}[0, \infty)$ , the following equality holds:

$$(\widehat{A_{cht}^\lambda f})_{\tilde{P}}(\alpha) = \hat{f}_{\tilde{P}}(\alpha) Q_\alpha^\lambda(cht), \quad t \geq 0.$$

(4) If  $\mu \in M$  and  $f \in L_{p,\lambda}[0, \infty)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , then

$$\mu \otimes f \in L_{p,\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mu \otimes f\|_{p,\lambda} \leq \|\mu\| \|f\|_{p,\lambda}. \quad (8)$$

By using Minkowsky inequality and property (2), we obtain property (4):

$$\|\mu \otimes f\|_{p,\lambda} \leq \int_0^\infty \|A_{cht}^\lambda f\|_{p,\lambda} d|\mu|(cht) \leq \|f\|_{p,\lambda} \int_0^\infty d|\mu|(cht) = \|\mu\| \|f\|_{p,\lambda}.$$

(5) For  $\mu \in M$  and  $f \in L_{1,\lambda}$ , the equality

$$(\widehat{\mu \otimes f})_{\tilde{P}}(\alpha) = \hat{f}_{\tilde{P}}(\alpha) \hat{\mu}_Q(\alpha) \quad (9)$$

is valid.

In fact, by using the property (3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\mu \otimes f})_{\tilde{P}}(\alpha) &= \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty A_{cht}^\lambda f(chu) d\mu(chu) \right) \tilde{P}_\alpha^\lambda(chx) d\mu(chx) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty \tilde{P}_\alpha^\lambda(chx) A_{cht}^\lambda f(chx) d\mu(chx) \right) d\mu(chu) \\ &= \int_0^\infty (\widehat{A_{cht}^\lambda f})_{\tilde{P}}(\alpha) d\mu(chu) = \hat{f}_{\tilde{P}}(\alpha) \int_0^\infty Q_\alpha^\lambda(chu) d\mu(chu) = \hat{f}_{\tilde{P}}(\alpha) \hat{\mu}_Q(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 4.** Let  $\mu \in M$  and  $a > 0$ . We define the dilated measure  $\mu_a$  of  $\mu$  by

$$\int_{[0,\infty)} \varphi(chx) d\mu_a(chx) = \int_{[0,\infty)} \varphi(chax) d\mu(chx), \quad \varphi \in C_c([0, \infty)),$$

where  $C_c([0, \infty))$  is the space of continuous functions with compact support.

**Properties.**

- (1) Suppose  $d\mu(chx) = g(chx) sh^{2\lambda} x dx$  with  $g \in L_{1,\lambda}[0, \infty)$ , then we give the measure  $\mu_a$ ,  $a > 0$ , by the function

$$g_a(chx) = g\left(ch \frac{x}{a}\right) sh^{2\lambda} \frac{x}{a} / (a sh^{2\lambda} x), \quad x > 0. \quad (10)$$

Hence

$$d\mu_a(chx) = \frac{1}{a} g\left(ch \frac{x}{a}\right) sh^{2\lambda} \frac{x}{a} dx.$$

- (2) For  $\mu \in M$  and  $f \in L_{p,\lambda}[0, \infty)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the following equality holds:

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \|\mu_a \otimes f - \mu([0, \infty))f\|_{p,\lambda} = 0.$$

**Proof.** We have

$$(\mu_a \otimes f)(chx) - \mu([0, \infty))f(chx) = \int_0^\infty [A_{cht}^\lambda f(chx) - f(chx)] d\mu(cht).$$

By the Minkowsky inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu_a \otimes f - \mu([0, \infty))f\|_{p,\lambda} &\leq \int_0^\infty \|A_{cht}^\lambda f - f\|_{p,\lambda} d|\mu|_a(cht) \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \omega_f(at)_{p,\lambda} d|\mu|(cht), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  $\omega_f(\delta)_{p,\lambda} = \sup_{0 < t \leq \delta} \|A_{cht}^\lambda f - f\|_{p,\lambda}$  and  $\omega_f(\delta)_{p,\lambda} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ .

Since  $\|A_{cht}^\lambda f - f\|_{p,\lambda} \leq 2\|f\|_{p,\lambda}$ , the last integral on the right-hand side in (11) tends to zero by the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem.  $\square$

- (3) Let  $f \in L_{1,\lambda}[0, \infty)$  and  $g \in L_{p,\lambda}([0, \infty))$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , then

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \|f \otimes g_a\|_{p,\lambda} = 0. \quad (12)$$

**Proof.** From Young's inequality

$$\|f \otimes g_a\|_{p,\lambda} \leq \|f\|_{1,\lambda} \|g_a\|_{p,\lambda} = \|f\|_{1,\lambda} \|g\|_{p,\lambda} a^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow \infty,$$

then we get (12).  $\square$

## 2. Calderon's reproducing formula associated with the Gegenbauer operator

**Lemma 1.** For  $\mu \in M$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < \delta < \infty$ , assume

$$G_{\varepsilon,\delta}(x) = \mu[x/\delta, x/\varepsilon] / (x sh^{2\lambda} x), \quad x > 0, \quad (13)$$

and

$$K_{\varepsilon, \delta}(\alpha) = \int_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} \left( \int_{[0, \infty)} \tilde{P}_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(ch(tu)) d\mu(chu) \right) \frac{dt}{t}. \quad (14)$$

Then  $G_{\varepsilon, \delta}(x) \in L_{1, \lambda}[0, \infty)$  and

$$\widehat{(G_{\varepsilon, \delta})} \tilde{p}(\alpha) = K_{\varepsilon, \delta}(\alpha) - \mu(\{0\}) \log \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}. \quad (15)$$

**Proof.** By the definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_{\varepsilon, \delta}\|_{1, \lambda} &= \int_0^{\infty} |G_{\varepsilon, \delta}(chx)| sh^{2\lambda} x dx \leq \int_0^{\infty} \left( \int_{x/\delta}^{x/\varepsilon} d|\mu|(cht) \right) \frac{dx}{x} \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} d|\mu|(cht) \int_{\varepsilon t}^{\delta t} \frac{dx}{x} = |\mu|([0, \infty)) \log \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Further, applying Fubini's theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{(G_{\varepsilon, \delta})} \tilde{p}(\alpha) &= \int_{(0, \infty)} \left( \int_{[x/\delta, x/\varepsilon]} d\mu(cht) \right) \tilde{P}_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(chx) \frac{dx}{x} \\ &= \int_{(0, \infty)} \left( \int_{\varepsilon t}^{\delta t} \tilde{P}_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(chx) \frac{dx}{x} \right) d\mu(cht) \\ &= \int_{(0, \infty)} \left( \int_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} \tilde{P}_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(ch(tx)) \frac{dx}{x} \right) d\mu(cht) \\ &= \int_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} \left( \int_{(0, \infty)} \tilde{P}_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(ch(tx)) d\mu(cht) \right) \frac{dx}{x} \\ &= \int_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} \left( \int_{[0, \infty)} \tilde{P}_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(ch(tx)) d\mu(cht) - \mu(\{0\}) \right) \frac{dx}{x} \\ &= K_{\varepsilon, \delta}(\alpha) - \mu(\{0\}) \log \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence Lemma 1 is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\mu \in M$ ,  $f \in L_{p, \lambda}[0, \infty)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , and  $0 < \varepsilon < \delta < \infty$ , then the function

$$f^{\varepsilon, \delta}(chx) = \int_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} (f \otimes \mu_a)(chx) \frac{da}{a} \quad (16)$$

belongs to  $L_{p,\lambda}[0, \infty)$  and can be represented as follows

$$f^{\varepsilon,\delta}(chx) = (f \otimes G_{\varepsilon,\delta})(chx) + \mu(\{0\})f(chx) \log \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}. \quad (17)$$

**Proof.** Applying Fubini's theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\varepsilon,\delta}(chx) &= \int_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} \left( \int_{[0,\infty)} A_{ch(at)}^{\lambda} f(chx) d\mu(cht) \right) \frac{da}{a} \\ &= \int_{[0,\infty)} \left( \int_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} A_{ch(at)}^{\lambda} f(chx) \frac{da}{a} \right) d\mu(cht) \\ &= \mu(\{0\})f(chx) \log \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} + \int_{(0,\infty)} \left( \int_{\varepsilon t}^{\delta t} A_{cha}^{\lambda} f(chx) \frac{da}{a} \right) d\mu(cht) \\ &= \mu(\{0\})f(chx) \log \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} + \int_{(0,\infty)} A_{cha}^{\lambda} f(chx) \left( \int_{a/\delta}^{a/\varepsilon} d\mu(cht) \right) \frac{da}{a} \\ &= \mu(\{0\})f(chx) \log \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} + (f \otimes G_{\varepsilon,\delta})(chx). \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (8) and Lemma 1 it follows that  $f^{\varepsilon,\delta} \in L_{p,\lambda}[0, \infty)$  and the equality (17) holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\mu \in M$ . Then for  $f \in L_{2,\lambda}[0, \infty)$  the equality

$$\widehat{(f^{\varepsilon,\delta})}_{\tilde{P}}(\alpha) = \hat{f}_Q(\alpha) K_{\varepsilon,\delta} + \mu(\{0\})(\hat{f}_{\tilde{P}}(\alpha) - \hat{f}_Q(\alpha)) \log \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}$$

holds, where  $K_{\varepsilon,\delta}$  is the function defined by (14).

**Proof.** In fact, from (9), (15) and (17) it can be easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{(f^{\varepsilon,\delta})}_{\tilde{P}}(\alpha) &= \widehat{(f \otimes G_{\varepsilon,\delta})}(\alpha) + \mu(\{0\})\hat{f}_{\tilde{P}}(\alpha) \log \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \hat{f}_Q(\alpha) \widehat{(G_{\varepsilon,\delta})}(\alpha) + \mu(\{0\})\hat{f}_{\tilde{P}}(\alpha) \log \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \hat{f}_Q(\alpha) K_{\varepsilon,\delta} + \mu(\{0\})(\hat{f}_{\tilde{P}}(\alpha) - \hat{f}_Q(\alpha)) \log \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\mu \in M$  and

$$C_{\mu} = \int_0^{\infty} |\mu([0, x])| \frac{dx}{x} < \infty. \quad (18)$$

If  $f \in L_{p,\lambda}[0, \infty)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , then

$$\lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ \delta \rightarrow \infty}} \|f^{\varepsilon,\delta} - C_{\mu}f\|_{p,\lambda} = 0. \quad (19)$$

**Proof.** From (13) we have

$$G_{\varepsilon, \delta}(x) = G_{\varepsilon}(x) - G_{\delta}(x),$$

where

$$G(x) = \mu([0, x]) / (x sh^{2\lambda} x), \quad G_{\varepsilon}(x) = \mu([0, x/\varepsilon]) / (x sh^{2\lambda} x), \quad x > 0.$$

Hence from (17) we obtain

$$f^{\varepsilon, \delta}(ch x) = (f \otimes G_{\varepsilon})(ch x) - (f \otimes G_{\delta})(ch x). \quad (20)$$

Now we have

$$\|f^{\varepsilon, \delta} - C_{\mu} f\|_{p, \lambda} \leq \|f \otimes G_{\varepsilon} - C_{\mu} f\|_{p, \lambda} + \|f \otimes G_{\delta}\|_{p, \lambda} \quad (21)$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} & (f \otimes G_{\varepsilon})(ch x) - f(ch x) \int_0^{\infty} \mu([0, t]) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} [A_{cht}^{\lambda} f(ch x) - f(ch x)] \mu([0, t/\varepsilon]) \frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Minkowsky inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f \otimes G_{\varepsilon} - f C_{\mu}\|_{p, \lambda} &\leq \int_0^{\infty} \|A_{cht}^{\lambda} - f\|_{p, \lambda} |\mu([0, t/\varepsilon])| \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq \int_0^{\infty} \omega_f(\varepsilon t)_{p, \lambda} |\mu([0, t])| \frac{dt}{t} \leq 2\|f\|_{p, \lambda} \int_0^{\infty} |\mu([0, t])| \frac{dt}{t} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|f \otimes G_{\varepsilon} - C_{\mu} f\|_{p, \lambda} = 0. \quad (22)$$

It remains to prove that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow \infty} \|f \otimes G_{\delta}\|_{p, \lambda} = 0. \quad (23)$$

By the Young's inequality we have

$$\|f \otimes G_{\delta}\|_{p, \lambda} \leq \|f\|_{1, \lambda} \cdot \|G_{\delta}\|_{p, \lambda} \leq \delta^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \|f\|_{1, \lambda} \|G\|_{p, \lambda} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus the proof of the theorem is completed.  $\square$

**Definition 5.** Let  $f$  be locally integrable function on  $[0, \infty)$ , a point  $x \in [0, \infty)$  is a Lebesgue point of  $f$  if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t^{2\lambda+1}} \int_0^t |A_{cht}^{\lambda} f(ch x) - f(ch x)| sh^{2\lambda} u \, du = 0.$$



It is well known that if  $x_0$  is a continuity point of the function  $f$ , then it is a Lebesgue point of  $f$ . Further, if  $f \in L_{1,\lambda}[0, \infty)$ , then almost every points of the interval  $[0, \infty)$  are the Lebesgue points of  $f$ .

We will need the following lemma being analogue of I.P. Natanson's lemma [8, p. 304] later.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $f \in L_{1,\lambda}[0, \infty)$  be a nonnegative function and assume the following condition holds:*

$$\sup_{0 < t < \infty} t^{-2\lambda-1} \int_0^t f(chu) sh^{2\lambda} u du = M < \infty. \quad (24)$$

Then for any nonnegative function  $g \in L_{1,\lambda}[0, \infty)$  decreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , the integral

$$\int_0^\infty f(cht)g(cht) sh^{2\lambda} t dt \quad (25)$$

exists and the inequality

$$\int_0^\infty f(cht)g(cht) sh^{2\lambda} t dt \leq M(2\lambda + 1) \int_0^\infty g(cht) sh^{2\lambda} t dt \quad (26)$$

holds.

**Proof.** We note that if  $g(1) = \infty$ , then the integral (25) exists as a singular integral. If  $g(1) < \infty$ , then the function  $g(cht)$  is bounded and therefore the integral (25) exists as an ordinary Lebesgue integral.

Without loss of generality we assume  $g(\infty) = 0$ . In fact, if it were not so, then we would take  $\tilde{g}(cht)$ , instead of  $g(cht)$  which is defined by

$$\tilde{g}(cht) = \begin{cases} g(cht), & 0 < t < \infty, \\ 0, & t = \infty. \end{cases}$$

If we take  $\tilde{g}(cht)$  instead of  $g(cht)$ , then the value of this function at any isolated point does not effect the value of the integral. So we assume  $g(\infty) = 0$ . Let  $0 < \alpha < \infty$ . The function  $g(cht)$  is bounded on the ray  $[\alpha, \infty)$  and therefore the integral

$$\int_\alpha^\infty f(cht)g(cht) sh^{2\lambda} t dt \quad (27)$$

exists. Assuming

$$F(t) = \int_0^t f(chu) sh^{2\lambda} u du,$$

we can write the integral (27) in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} f(ch t) g(ch t) sh^{2\lambda} t \, dt &= \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} g(ch t) \, dF(t) \\
&= -g(ch \alpha) F(\alpha) + \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} F(t) \, d[-g(ch t)].
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

By using (24) we get

$$0 \leq F(t) \leq M t^{2\lambda+1}, \quad t > 0, \tag{29}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\alpha} g(ch t) sh^{2\lambda} t \, dt &\geq g(ch \alpha) \int_0^{\alpha} sh^{2\lambda} t \, dt \\
&\geq g(ch \alpha) \int_0^{\alpha} t^{2\lambda} \, dt = g(ch \alpha) \frac{\alpha^{2\lambda+1}}{2\lambda+1},
\end{aligned}$$

since  $g(ch t)$  decreases. Hence, it follows that

$$g(ch \alpha) \leq \frac{2\lambda+1}{\alpha^{2\lambda+1}} \int_0^{\alpha} g(ch t) sh^{2\lambda} t \, dt. \tag{30}$$

From (29) and (30) we have

$$0 \leq F(\alpha) g(ch \alpha) \leq M(2\lambda+1) \int_0^{\alpha} g(ch t) sh^{2\lambda} t \, dt, \tag{31}$$

further

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} F(t) \, d[-g(ch t)] &\leq M \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} t^{2\lambda+1} \, d[-g(ch t)] \\
&= M \alpha^{2\lambda+1} g(ch \alpha) + M(2\lambda+1) \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} t^{2\lambda} g(ch t) \, dt \\
&\leq M(2\lambda+1) \int_0^{\infty} g(ch t) sh^{2\lambda} t \, dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Now from (28), (31) and (32) we have

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} f(ch t) g(ch t) sh^{2\lambda} t \, dt \leq M(2\lambda+1) \int_0^{\infty} g(ch t) sh^{2\lambda} t \, dt.$$

Taking limit as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain the assertion of the lemma.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f \in L_{p,\lambda}[0, \infty)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and let  $g(ch(\cdot))$  be a measurable function on  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $g^*$  belongs to  $L_{1,\lambda}[0, \infty)$ , where  $g^*(chx) = \text{ess sup}_{t \geq x} |g(cht)|$ . Then for every Lebesgue point  $x \in [0, \infty)$  of  $f$ , the following equality holds:

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow +0} (f \otimes g_a)(chx) = f(chx) \int_0^\infty g(cht) sh^{2\lambda} t dt.$$

**Proof.** We have

$$g_a^*(chx) = \frac{1}{a} g^*\left(ch \frac{x}{a}\right) sh^{2\lambda} \frac{x}{a} / sh^{2\lambda} x.$$

Since

$$\int_0^\infty dg_a(cht) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{a} g\left(ch \frac{t}{a}\right) sh^{2\lambda} \frac{t}{a} dt = \int_0^\infty g(cht) sh^{2\lambda} t dt,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (f \otimes g_a)(chx) - f(chx) \int_0^\infty dg_a(cht) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^\infty A_{cht}^\lambda f(chx) dg_a(cht) - f(chx) \int_0^\infty dg_a(cht) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^\infty [A_{cht}^\lambda f(chx) - f(chx)] \frac{1}{a} g\left(ch \frac{t}{a}\right) sh^{2\lambda} \frac{t}{a} dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^\infty [A_{cht}^\lambda f(chx) - f(chx)] g_a(cht) sh^{2\lambda} t dt \right| = J_a(x). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Since  $x$  is a Lebesgue point, then for  $\forall a > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta(a) > 0$ ,  $0 < t \leq \delta$ , we have

$$\int_0^t |A_{chu}^\lambda f(chx) - f(chx)| sh^{2\lambda} u du < at^{2\lambda+1}. \quad (34)$$

Now, divide the integral  $J$  into two parts as the following

$$J_a(x) = \int_0^\delta + \int_\delta^\infty = J_{1,a}(x) + J_{2,a}(x). \quad (35)$$

Firstly, we estimate the integral  $J_{1,a}$ ,

$$|J_{1,a}(x)| \leq \int_0^\delta |A_{cht}^\lambda f(chx) - f(chx)| |g_a(cht)| sh^{2\lambda} t dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_0^{\delta} |A_{cht}^{\lambda} f(chx) - f(chx)| g_a^*(cht) sh^{2\lambda} t dt \\
&= \int_0^{\delta} |A_{cht}^{\lambda} f(chx) - f(chx)| \frac{1}{a} g^*\left(ch \frac{t}{a}\right) sh^{2\lambda} \frac{t}{a} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $g^*$  is a decreasing function, we obtain from Lemma 4 the following

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_{1,a}(x)| &\leq a(2\lambda + 1)\delta^{2\lambda+1} \int_0^{\delta} \frac{1}{a} g^*\left(ch \frac{t}{a}\right) sh^{2\lambda} \frac{t}{a} dt \\
&= a(2\lambda + 1)\delta^{2\lambda+1} \int_0^{\delta/a} g^*(chu) sh^{2\lambda} u du \\
&\leq a(2\lambda + 1)\delta^{2\lambda+1} |g^*|_{1,\lambda} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

for all Lebesgue point  $x \in [0, \infty)$ .

Now consider the integral  $J_2$ . By the Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_{2,a}(x)| &\leq \int_{\delta}^{\infty} |A_{cht}^{\lambda} f(chx) - f(chx)| g_a^*(cht) sh^{2\lambda} t dt \\
&\leq \left( \int_{\delta}^{\infty} |A_{cht}^{\lambda} f(chx) - f(chx)| g_a^*(cht) sh^{2\lambda} t dt \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{\delta}^{\infty} g_a^*(cht) sh^{2\lambda} t dt \right)^{1/p'} \\
&= A_{\delta,a}(x) \cdot B_{\delta,a},
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ .

We get

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{\delta,a}(x) &\leq g_a^*(ch\delta) \left( \int_{\delta}^{\infty} |A_{cht}^{\lambda} f(chx) - f(chx)|^p sh^{2\lambda} t dt \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq g_a^*(ch\delta) \|A_{cht}^{\lambda} f - f\|_{p,\lambda} \leq 2g_a^*(ch\delta) \|f\|_{p,\lambda},
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

since  $g_a^*(cht)$  is a decreasing function.

By inequality (30) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g_a^*(ch\delta) &\leq \frac{2\lambda + 1}{\delta^{2\lambda+1}} \int_0^{\delta} g_a^*(cht) sh^{2\lambda} t dt = \frac{2\lambda + 1}{\delta^{2\lambda+1}} \int_0^{\delta} \frac{1}{a} g^*\left(ch \frac{t}{a}\right) sh^{2\lambda} \frac{t}{a} dt \\
&= \frac{2\lambda + 1}{\delta^{2\lambda+1}} \int_0^{\delta/a} g^*(chu) sh^{2\lambda} u du < \frac{2\lambda + 1}{\delta^{2\lambda+1}} \|g^*\|_{1,\lambda}.
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

From (38) and (39) we obtain

$$A_{\delta,a}(x) \leq \frac{2(2\lambda+1)}{\delta^{2\lambda+1}} \|f\|_{p,\lambda} \|g^*\|_{1,\lambda}. \quad (40)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\delta,a}^q &= \int_{\delta}^{\infty} g_a^*(cht) sh^{2\lambda} t \, dt = \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a} g^*\left(ch \frac{t}{a}\right) sh^{2\lambda} \frac{t}{a} \, dt \\ &= \int_{\delta/a}^{\infty} g^*(cht) sh^{2\lambda} t \, dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

since  $g^* \in L_{1,\lambda}[0, \infty)$ .

Hence, for all Lebesgue point  $x \in [0, \infty)$  we get

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} J_{2,a}(x) = 0. \quad (42)$$

By combining (36) and (42), we get the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $f \in L_{p,\lambda}[0, \infty)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and assume  $\mu \in M$  satisfies the following conditions:

$$\int_0^1 |\mu|([0, x]) \frac{dx}{x} < \infty \quad (43)$$

and

$$\int_1^{\infty} |\mu|([0, x]) \frac{dx}{x} < \infty. \quad (44)$$

If  $G^* \in L_{1,\lambda}[0, \infty)$ , where  $G^*(chx) = \text{ess sup}_{t \geq x} |G(cht)|$ , then for every Lebesgue point  $x \in [0, \infty)$  of  $f$ , the following equality holds

$$\lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ \delta \rightarrow \infty}} f^{\varepsilon,\delta}(chx) = C_{\mu} f(chx).$$

**Proof.** From (20) and Theorem 2 it is sufficient to show that  $(f \otimes G_{\delta})(chx) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta \rightarrow \infty$ . From condition (43) it follows that  $\mu(\{0\}) = 0$ , then we have  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow \infty} \mu([0, t/\delta]) = 0$ .

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} (f \otimes G_{\delta})(chx) &= \int_0^1 A_{cht}^{\lambda} f(chx) \mu([0, t/\delta]) \frac{dt}{t} + \int_1^{\infty} A_{cht}^{\lambda} f(chx) \mu([0, t/\delta]) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= A_{\delta,a}(x) + B_{\delta,a}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

For  $\delta \geq 1$ ,

$$|A_{cht}^{\lambda} f(chx) \mu([0, t/\delta]) / t| \leq A_{cht}^{\lambda} |f(chx)| |\mu|([0, t/\delta]) \cdot \frac{1}{t}$$

and from (43), we have

$$\int_0^1 A_{cht}^\lambda |f|(chx) |\mu|([0, t]) \frac{dt}{t} < \infty.$$

Hence from the general theorem of convergence it follows that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow \infty} A_{\delta, a}(x) = 0 \quad (46)$$

for all Lebesgue point  $x \in [0, \infty)$ . From (44)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty |A_{cht}^\lambda f(chx)| |\mu|([0, t]) \frac{dt}{t} &\leq \int_1^\infty \|A_{cht}^\lambda f\|_{p, \lambda} |\mu|([0, t]) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{p, \lambda} \int_1^\infty |\mu|([0, t]) \frac{dt}{t} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since  $A_{cht}^\lambda f \in L_{p, \lambda}[0, \infty)$  for all  $f \in L_{p, \lambda}[0, \infty)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , it follows from the general theorem of convergence that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow \infty} B_{\delta, a}(x) = 0 \quad (47)$$

for all Lebesgue point  $x \in [0, \infty)$ . From (46) and (47), the proof of the theorem is completed.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** Let  $f(ch(\cdot))$  be an essentially bounded function on  $[0, \infty)$ , and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-2\lambda-1} \int_0^t A_{chu}^\lambda f(chx) sh^{2\lambda} u \, du = 0. \quad (48)$$

If  $g \in L_{1, \lambda}[0, \infty)$ , then

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} (f \otimes g_a)(chx) = 0 \quad (49)$$

uniformly for  $x \in [0, \infty)$ .

**Proof.** It is sufficient to consider the case  $f \geq 0$  and  $g(ch(\cdot))$  is continuous on  $[0, R]$ ,  $R > 0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(f \otimes g_a)(chx)| &= \left| \int_0^{aR} A_{cht}^\lambda f(chx) g_a(cht) sh^{2\lambda} t \, dt \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{a} \int_0^{aR} A_{cht}^\lambda f(chx) g\left(ch \frac{t}{a}\right) sh^{2\lambda} \frac{t}{a} \, dt \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Note that,  $sh \frac{t}{a} \leq \frac{1}{a} sh t$  for all  $a \geq 1$ . Then by virtue of (48), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(f \otimes g_a)(chx)| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{a^{2\lambda+1}} \int_0^{aR} A_{cht}^\lambda f(chx) g\left(ch \frac{t}{a}\right) sh^{2\lambda} t dt \right| \\
&\leq \|g\|_{\infty, \lambda} R^{2\lambda+1} \left( \frac{1}{(Ra)^{2\lambda+1}} \int_0^{aR} A_{cht}^\lambda f(chx) sh^{2\lambda} t dt \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of the lemma is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** Assume  $\mu \in M$  satisfies the condition

$$\int_0^\infty |\mu([0, x])| \frac{dx}{x} < \infty,$$

$f \in L_{\infty, \lambda}[0, \infty)$ , and Eq. (48) holds. If  $G^* \in L_{1, \lambda}[0, \infty)$ , where  $G^*(chx) = \text{ess sup}_{t \geq x} |G(cht)|$ , then at each Lebesgue point  $x$  the following equality holds

$$\lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ \delta \rightarrow \infty}} f^{\varepsilon, \delta}(chx) = C_\mu f(chx).$$

**Proof.** The proof of the theorem follows from equality (20), Theorem 3 and Lemma 5.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** Let  $\mu \in M$  and  $\mu([0, \infty)) = 0$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent

- (1)  $\int_0^1 |\mu|([0, t]) \frac{dt}{t} < \infty$  and  $\int_1^\infty |\mu|((t, \infty)) \frac{dt}{t} < \infty$ ;
- (2)  $\int_{[0, \infty)} |\log x| d|\mu|(x) < \infty$ .

**Proof.** We have

$$\int_0^1 |\mu|([0, t]) \frac{dt}{t} = \int_{[0, 1]} |\log x| d|\mu|(x), \quad (51)$$

further, since

$$\mu([0, \infty)) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mu([0, t]) = -\mu((t, \infty)),$$

then

$$\int_1^\infty |\mu|((t, \infty)) \frac{dt}{t} = \int_{(1, \infty)} \log x d|\mu|(x). \quad (52)$$

From (51) and (52), we get the assertion of the lemma.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** Let  $\mu \in M$ ,  $\mu([0, \infty)) = 0$  and let

$$\int_{[0, \infty)} |\log x| d|\mu|(x) < \infty. \quad (53)$$

Then the integral  $C_\mu$  given in (18) is finite and can be represented as follows

$$C_\mu = \int_{[0,\infty)} \log \frac{1}{x} d\mu(x). \quad (54)$$

**Proof.** From (51) we have

$$\int_0^1 \mu([0, t]) \frac{dt}{t} = \int_{[0,1]} \log \frac{1}{x} d\mu(x). \quad (55)$$

On the other hand

$$\int_1^\infty \mu([0, t]) \frac{dt}{t} = \int_{(1,\infty)} \log \frac{1}{x} d\mu(chx). \quad (56)$$

Combining (55) and (56), we get the assertion of the theorem.  $\square$

## References

- [1] A.P. Calderon, Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method, *Studia Math.* 24 (1964) 113–190.
- [2] I. Daubechies, Ten lectures on wavelets, CBMS-NSF Reg. Conf. Ser. Appl. Math., vol. 61, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.
- [3] L. Durand, P.M. Fishbane, L.M. Simmons, Expansion formulas and addition theorems for Gegenbauer functions, *J. Math. Phys.* 17 (11) (1976) 1933–1948.
- [4] M. Frazier, B. Jawerth, G. Weiss, Littlewood–Paley theory and the study of function spaces, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math., vol. 79, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
- [5] M.A. Mourou, K. Trimeche, Calderon’s reproducing formula associated with the Bessel operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 219 (1998) 97–109.
- [6] B. Rubin, Fractional Integrals and Potentials, Pitman Monographs and Surveys Pure Appl. Math., vol. 82, Longman, Harlow, 1996.
- [7] B. Rubin, E. Shamir, Calderon’s reproducing formula and singular integral operators on a real line, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 21 (1) (1995) 77–92.
- [8] I.P. Natanson, Theory of Functions of Real Variables, second ed., revised, Gosudarstv. Izdat. Tehn.-Teoret. Lit., Moscow, 1957.