

# On normal families and differential polynomials for meromorphic functions<sup>☆</sup>

Qian Lu

Department of Mathematics, Southwest University of Science and Technology, Mianyang, Sichuan 621010, PR China

Received 3 November 2006

Available online 30 August 2007

Submitted by A.V. Isaev

## Abstract

We consider the normality criterion for a families  $\mathcal{F}$  meromorphic in the unit disc  $\Delta$ , and show that if there exist functions  $a(z)$  holomorphic in  $\Delta$ ,  $a(z) \neq 1$ , for each  $z \in \Delta$ , such that there not only exists a positive number  $\varepsilon_0$  such that  $|a_n(a(z) - 1) - 1| \geq \varepsilon_0$  for arbitrary sequence of integers  $a_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and for any  $z \in \Delta$ , but also exists a positive number  $B > 0$  such that for every  $f(z) \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $B|f'(z)| \leq |f(z)|$  whenever  $f(z)f''(z) - a(z)(f'(z))^2 = 0$  in  $\Delta$ . Then  $\{ \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} : f(z) \in \mathcal{F} \}$  is normal in  $\Delta$ .

© 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Differential polynomials; Meromorphic functions; Zeros; Normality

## 1. Introduction and the main result

Hayman[5] proved in 1959 that if  $f$  is meromorphic in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and if  $f(z) \neq 0$  and  $f' \neq 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $f$  is constant. The corresponding normality criterion is due to Gu [4]: the family of all functions  $f$  meromorphic in a domain  $D$  and having the property that  $f(z) \neq 0$  and  $f' \neq 1$  for all  $z \in D$  is normal. In 2000, W. Bergweiler [1] generalized Gu's results above by allowing  $f$  to have zeros, and obtained the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** (See Theorem 1 in [1].) *Let  $A, \varepsilon$  be positive real numbers. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of all functions  $f(z)$  meromorphic in  $D$  which satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i) *If  $f(z) = 0$ , then  $0 < |f'(z)| \leq A$ .*
- (ii) *If  $z \in D$ , then  $f'(z) \neq 1$ .*
- (iii) *If  $\Delta$  is a disk in  $D$  and if  $f$  has  $m \geq 2$  zeros  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m \in \Delta$ , then for  $m > 2$  there exists  $k \in \{-1\} \cup \{1, 2, \dots, m - 2\}$  such that*

<sup>☆</sup> Supported by "11.5" researching & studying program of SWUST (No. 06zx2116).  
E-mail address: [luqiankuo1965@hotmail.com](mailto:luqiankuo1965@hotmail.com).

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^m f'(z_j)^k - m^{k+1} \right| \geq \varepsilon; \tag{1.1}$$

for  $m = 2$ ,  $z_1, z_2$  satisfy the following inequality

$$\left| \frac{1}{f'(z_1)} + \frac{1}{f'(z_2)} - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon. \tag{1.2}$$

Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal in  $D$ .

If  $m = 2$  in (iii), then the only possible choice for  $k$  is  $k = -1$ , and (1.1) reduces to (1.2). The choice  $k = 0$  has been excluded in (iii) because (1.1) is never satisfied in this case. In 2005, W.C. Lin, H.X. Yi [8] obtained one result corresponding to the case  $m = 2$  in (iii) of Theorem 1.1 as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** (See Proposition in [8].) Let  $A, B, \varepsilon$  be positive real numbers. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of all functions  $f(z)$  meromorphic in  $D$  which satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) If  $f(z) = 0$ , then  $0 < |f'(z)| \leq A$ .
- (ii) If  $f'(z) = 1$ , then  $|f(z)| \geq B$ .
- (iii) If  $\Delta$  is a disk in  $D$  and if  $f$  has  $m \geq 2$  zeros  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m \in \Delta$ , then

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^m f'(z_j)^{-1} - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon. \tag{1.3}$$

Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal in  $D$ .

In this paper, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $A, B, \varepsilon$  be positive real numbers and  $b(z)$  be a non-vanishing holomorphic function in a domain  $D$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of all functions  $f(z)$  meromorphic in  $D$  which satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) If  $f(z) = 0$ , then  $0 < |f'(z)| \leq A$ .
- (ii) If  $f'(z) = b(z)$ , then  $|f(z)| \geq B$ .
- (iii) If  $\Delta$  is a disk in  $D$  and if  $f(z)$  has  $m \geq 2$  zeros  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m \in \Delta$ , the following formula always holds

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^m f'(z_j)^{-1} b(z) - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon. \tag{1.4}$$

Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal in  $D$ .

For families  $\mathcal{F}$  of meromorphic functions in  $D$ , W. Bergweiler [1] applied a family  $\{f/f': f(z) \in \mathcal{F}\}$  to Theorem 1.1 and obtained the following result, whose corresponding result for families of holomorphic functions is due to Schwick [6].

**Theorem 1.4.** (See Theorem 3 in [1].) Let  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a domain and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of all functions  $f$  meromorphic in  $D$  such that  $f$  and  $f''$  do not have zeros. Then  $\{f/f': f \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is normal.

In 2005, W.C. Lin, H.X. Yi [8] applied a family  $\{\frac{f}{(a-1)f'}: f \in \mathcal{F}\}$  to Theorem 1.2 and obtained the following result.

**Theorem 1.5.** (See Theorem 2 in [8].) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of all functions  $f$  meromorphic in the unit disc  $\Delta$  and let constants  $a \neq 1, \frac{n+1}{n}$  for positive integers  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $f(z)f''(z) - a(f'(z))^2 \neq 0$  in  $\Delta$ . Then  $\{\frac{f'}{f}: f \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is normal in  $\Delta$ .

In the present paper, for families  $\mathcal{F}$  of meromorphic functions, and for a function  $a(z)$  holomorphic in a domain  $D$ , we also consider that the normality of the family  $\{\frac{f'}{\mathcal{F}}: f \in \mathcal{F}\}$  and obtain the following theorem as an application of Theorem 1.3.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of functions meromorphic in the unit disc  $\Delta$ . Suppose that there exist holomorphic functions  $a(z)$  in  $\Delta$ ,  $a(z) \neq 1$ , for each  $z \in \Delta$ , such that*

(i) *there exists a positive number  $\varepsilon_0$  such that for any integer  $a_n$ , and any  $z \in \Delta$ , the following inequality holds*

$$|a_n(a(z) - 1) - 1| \geq \varepsilon_0, \tag{1.5}$$

(ii) *there exists a positive number  $B > 0$  such that for every  $f(z) \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $B|f'(z)| \leq |f(z)|$  whenever  $f(z)f''(z) - a(z)(f'(z))^2 = 0$  in  $\Delta$ .*

Then  $\{\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}: f(z) \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is normal in  $\Delta$ .

For the restriction (i) of  $a(z)$  in Theorem 1.6, we have the following notes,

**Remark 1.** If  $a(z)$  is a constant  $a$  in  $\Delta$ , then from Lemma 3.1 in [8], it follows that  $a(z)$  satisfies the condition (i) in Theorem 1.6 if and only if  $a \neq 1 \pm \frac{1}{n}$ , for every positive integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Remark 2.** If  $a(z)$  is not identically equal to a constant  $a$  in  $\Delta$ , and satisfies the condition (i) in Theorem 1.6, then we immediately deduce that

$$a(z) \neq 1 \pm \frac{1}{n} \tag{1.6}$$

for every positive integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and every  $z \in \Delta$ .

**Remark 3.** Taking  $a(z) = 1 + 3e^z$ ,  $z \in \Delta = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ , and  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n(z) \mid f_n(z) = e^{nz}, z \in \Delta, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , so we immediately have that

- (a)  $a(z) \neq 1$ , for every  $z \in \Delta$ ,
- (b) there exists a positive number  $\varepsilon_0 = \frac{3}{e} - 1 > 0$  such that  $|a_n(a(z) - 1) - 1| \geq \varepsilon_0$  for arbitrary sequences of integers  $a_n$  and any  $z \in \Delta$ , and
- (c)  $f_n(z)f''_n(z) - a(z)(f'_n(z))^2 \neq 0$ , for every  $f_n(z) \in \mathcal{F}$ , and any  $z \in \Delta$ .

Then from Theorem 1.6, we deduce that  $\{\frac{f'_n(z)}{f_n(z)}: f_n(z) \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is normal in  $\Delta$ . In fact, it is clear that  $\{\frac{f'_n(z)}{f_n(z)}: f_n(z) \in \mathcal{F}\} = \{n: f_n(z) \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is normal in  $\Delta$ .

This example  $f_n(z) = e^{nz}$  only implies that there indeed exists function  $a(z)$ , which does not identically equal to a constant  $a$  in  $\Delta$ , such that  $a(z)$  satisfies condition (i) and a decadent case of (ii) in Theorem 1.6, in which  $f_n(z)$  satisfies  $f_n(z)f''_n(z) - a(z)(f'_n(z))^2 \neq 0$  for any  $z \in \Delta$ .

In particular, from Remark 1 above we have that if holomorphic function  $a(z)$  in Theorem 1.6 is a constant  $a$ , then we have a corollary of Theorem 1.6 as follows.

**Corollary 1.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of functions meromorphic in the unit disc  $\Delta$ . Suppose that there exists a constant  $a$ ,  $a \neq 1, \frac{n \pm 1}{n}$ , such that there exists a positive number  $B > 0$  such that for every  $f(z) \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $B|f'(z)| \leq |f(z)|$  whenever  $f(z)f''(z) - a(f'(z))^2 = 0$  in  $\Delta$ . Then  $\{\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}: f(z) \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is normal in  $\Delta$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

For the case that  $f(z)f''(z) - a \cdot (f'(z))^2 \neq 0$ , for  $z \in \Delta$ , Corollary 1.7 properly is Theorem 1.5 proved by W.C. Lin, H.X. Yi (see Theorem 2 in [8]). In fact, we also have the following corollary from Theorem 1.6.

**Corollary 1.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of meromorphic functions and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of functions meromorphic in the unit disc  $\Delta$ . Suppose that there exists a function  $a(z)$  holomorphic in  $\Delta$ ,  $a(z) \neq 1$ , for each  $z \in \Delta$ , such that*

(i) *there exists a positive number  $\varepsilon_0$  such that*

$$|a_n(a(z) - 1) - 1| \geq \varepsilon_0 \tag{1.7}$$

*for any integer  $a_n$ , and any  $z \in \Delta$ .*

(ii) *For every  $f(z) \in \mathcal{F}$ , and each  $z \in \Delta$ ,  $f(z)f''(z) - a(z)(f'(z))^2 \neq 0$ .*

*Then  $\{ \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} : f(z) \in \mathcal{F} \}$  is normal in  $\Delta$ .*

If  $a(z)$  is identically equal to a constant  $a$  in  $\Delta$ , and  $a \neq 1$ ,  $\frac{n \pm 1}{n}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), then from  $|a_n(a - 1) - 1| \geq \varepsilon_0$  of Lemma 3.1 of [8], it follows that  $a(z)$  satisfies (1.7). Also in this case the condition  $ff^{(2)} - af^2 \neq 0$  is the same as condition (ii) in Corollary 1.8. Therefore, from Corollary 1.8 we immediately deduce that  $\{ \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} : f(z) \in \mathcal{F} \}$  is normal in  $\Delta$ . This shows that Corollary 1.8 is a generalizations of Theorem 1.5. From this meaning, Theorem 1.6 generalizes Theorem 1.5 due to Lin and Yi [8].

**2. Some lemmas**

To prove the above theorems, we need some lemmas as follow:

**Lemma 2.1.** *(See [2].) Let  $g(z)$  be a transcendental meromorphic function with finite order. If  $g(z)$  has only finitely many critical values, then  $g(z)$  has only finitely many asymptotic values.*

**Lemma 2.2.** *(See [1,7].) Let  $g(z)$  be a transcendental meromorphic function and suppose that the set of all finite critical and asymptotic values of  $g(z)$  is bounded. Then there exists  $R > 0$  such that if  $|z| > R$  and  $|g(z)| > R$ , then*

$$\frac{|g'(z)|}{|g(z)|} \geq \frac{\log |g(z)|}{16\pi |z|}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *(See [3].) Let  $f(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z_{n-1} + \dots + a_0 + \frac{p(z)}{q(z)}$ , where  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$  are constants with  $a_n \neq 0$ ,  $p(z)$  and  $q(z)$  are two co-prime polynomials with  $\deg p(z) < \deg q(z)$ , let  $k$  be a positive integer. If  $f^{(k)}(z) \neq 1$ , then*

$$f(z) = \frac{z^k}{k!} + \dots + a_0 + \frac{1}{(az + b)^m}$$

*where  $a (\neq 0)$ ,  $b$  are constants,  $m$  is a positive integer.*

**Lemma 2.4.** *(See [9].) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of meromorphic functions on the unit disc  $\Delta$ , all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least  $k$ , and suppose there exists  $A \geq 1$  such that  $|f^{(k)}(z)| \leq A$  whenever  $f(z) = 0$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then if  $\mathcal{F}$  is not normal, there exist, for each  $0 \leq \alpha \leq k$ :*

- (a) *a number  $r$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ ,*
- (b) *points  $z_n$ ,  $|z_n| < r$ ,*
- (c) *functions  $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$ , and*
- (d) *positive numbers  $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$  such that*

$$\frac{f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi)}{\rho_n^\alpha} = g_n(\xi) \rightarrow g(\xi)$$

*locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric, where  $g(\xi)$  is a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$  such that*

$$g^\#(\xi) \leq g^\#(0) = kA + 1.$$

From Lemma 2.2, we have

**Lemma 2.5.** (See [8].) *Let  $f(z)$  be a meromorphic function with finite order, all of whose zeros are of multiplicity (at least)  $k$ , and let  $A$  be a positive real number. If  $|f^{(k)}(z)| \leq A$  when  $f(z) = 0$ , then for each  $l, 1 \leq l \leq k, f^{(l)}(z)$  assumes any finite non-zero value infinitely often.*

**Lemma 2.6.** (See [1].) *Let  $f(z) = z + a + \frac{b}{(z+c)^l}$  with  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}, b \neq 0, l \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $p \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, l\}$ , then*

$$\text{Res} \left[ \frac{(f')^p}{f}, -c \right] = 1 - (l + 1)^p.$$

### 3. Proofs of theorems

#### 3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is not normal in  $D$ , then there exists point  $z_0 \in D$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is not normal at  $z_0$ . From Lemma 2.4, there exist function family  $f_n \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , points  $z_n, z_n \rightarrow z_0$ , positive numbers  $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$  such that

$$\frac{f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi)}{\rho_n} = g_n(\xi) \rightarrow g(\xi) \tag{3.1}$$

locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric, where  $g(\xi)$  is a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$  such that

$$g^\#(\xi) \leq g^\#(0) = A + 1, \tag{3.2}$$

$$f'_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi) = g'_n(\xi) \rightarrow g'(\xi). \tag{3.3}$$

We may claim that the following conclusions are true.

(I)  $|g'(\xi)| \leq A$  whenever  $g(\xi) = 0$ .

In fact, suppose that there exists point  $\xi_0$  such that  $g(\xi_0) = 0$ , by Hurwitz’s Theorem, there exists point sequence  $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi_0$  such that  $g_n(\xi_n) = 0$ , so  $f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi_n) = 0$ . It follows that  $|g'(\xi_0)| \leq A$  from the conditions that  $0 < |f'(z)| \leq A$ , whenever  $f(z) = 0$ .

(II)  $g'(\xi) \neq b(z_0)$ .

Suppose that  $g'(\xi_0) = b(z_0) \neq 0$ , if  $g'(\xi) \equiv b(z_0)$ , then  $g(\xi) \equiv b(z_0)\xi + b_0$  and  $|b(z_0)| \leq A$ . We may deduce that

$$g^\#(0) = \frac{|b(z_0)|}{1 + |b_0|^2} \leq A < A + 1$$

which is a contradiction to formula (3.2), thus  $g'(\xi) \neq b(z_0)$ . Now again by Hurwitz’s Theorem, there exists point sequence  $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi_0$  such that  $g'_n(\xi_n) = b(z_n + \rho_n \xi_n)$ , so  $f'_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi_n) = b(z_n + \rho_n \xi_n)$ . It follows that  $g(\xi_0) = \infty$  from the conditions that  $|f(z)| \geq B > 0$  whenever  $f'(z) = b(z)$ . This is also a contradiction.

(III)  $g(\xi)$  is non-polynomials rational function.

Suppose not, then  $g(\xi)$  is either polynomials function or meromorphic and transcendental function with order 2 at most. Suppose that  $g(\xi)$  is polynomials function, we distinguish two cases.

Case 1.  $\deg g(\xi) \geq 2$ , then there exists a point  $\xi$  such that  $g'(\xi) = b(z_0)$ , this is a contradiction to the conclusion (II).

Case 2.  $\deg g(\xi) = 1$ , then  $g(\xi) = a\xi + b$  where  $|a| \leq A$  and  $g^\#(0) = \frac{|a|}{1 + |b|^2} \leq A < A + 1$ , a contradiction.

If  $g(\xi)$  is meromorphic and transcendental function with order 2 at most, we can deduce a contradiction from Lemma 2.5 above. Thereby, the conclusion (III) also holds.

Now again from Lemma 2.3, we have the expression of  $g(\xi)$

$$g(\xi) = b(z_0)\xi + a + \frac{b}{(\xi + c)^k} \tag{3.4}$$

where  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}, b \neq 0, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We write  $m := k + 1$  and  $R > \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |\xi_j|$ , where  $\xi_j (1 \leq j \leq m)$  are the zeros of  $g(\xi)$ . For sufficiently large  $n$  we find  $m$  distinct zeros  $\xi_{j,n} \in D(0, R)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ) such that  $g_n(\xi_{j,n}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Denoting  $\zeta_{j,n} := z_n + \rho_n \xi_{j,n}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , then  $\zeta_{j,n}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ) are the zeros of  $f_n$ . Moreover,  $\zeta_{j,n} \in \Delta_n := D(z_n, \rho_n R)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , and for sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $\Delta_n \subset D$  and  $f_n$  has no further zeros in  $\Delta_n$ . Therefore, by (3.3), we deduce the next limit as follows:

$$\sum_{j=1}^m f'_n(\zeta_{j,n})^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^m g'_n(\xi_{j,n})^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^m \operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{1}{g_n}, \xi_{j,n}\right) \rightarrow \sum_{\xi \in g^{-1}(0)} \operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{1}{g}, \xi\right). \tag{3.5}$$

On the other hand, from (3.4) we have that

$$\frac{1}{g(\xi)} = \frac{1}{b(z_0)\xi} + O\left(\frac{1}{\xi^2}\right)$$

as  $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ , and hence by (3.4), (3.5) we obtain the following limits

$$\sum_{j=1}^m f'_n(\zeta_{j,n})^{-1} \rightarrow \frac{1}{b(z_0)}.$$

This is a contradiction to (1.3). Therefore, the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 holds.

### 3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.6

In the sequel, we shall give the complete proof of Theorem 1.6 by Theorem 1.3.

Setting

$$h(z) := -\frac{f(z)}{f'(z)}, \quad f(z) \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{3.6}$$

Then we only have to prove that the family  $\mathcal{H} := \{h(z), f \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is normal in  $\Delta$ .

Now we counter the first derivative  $h'(z)$  of  $h(z)$  and we have

$$h'(z) = \frac{f(z)f''(z) - (f'(z))^2}{(f'(z))^2} = \frac{ff'' - a(z)(f')^2}{(f')^2} + b(z) \tag{3.7}$$

where  $b(z) \equiv a(z) - 1$ . It is clear to see that the following inequality from the condition (i) in Theorem 1.6. is

$$|a_n b(z) - 1| \geq \varepsilon_0 \tag{3.8}$$

for any integer  $a_n$  and for every  $z \in \Delta$ . So, we have that

$$b(z) \neq \pm \frac{1}{n}, \quad z \in \Delta. \tag{3.9}$$

Firstly, by a simple computations, we have that if  $\xi$  is a zero or a pole of  $f(z)$  with an order  $n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $h'(\xi) = \mp \frac{1}{n}$ .

We may claim that

- (i) If  $h(\xi) = 0, \xi \in \Delta$ , then  $0 < |h'(\xi)| \leq 1$ .
- (ii) If there exist points  $z \in \Delta$  such that  $h'(z) = b(z)$ , then  $|h(z)| \geq B$ .
- (iii) If  $\Delta_1$  is a disk in  $\Delta$  and if  $h(z)$  has  $m \geq 2$  zeros  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m \in \Delta_1$ , then

$$\left| b(z) \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^m h'(z_j)^{-1} \right) - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon_0. \tag{3.10}$$

In fact, if  $\xi$  is a zero of  $h(z)$ , from (3.6) we know that  $\xi$  is either  $f(\xi) = 0$  or  $f(\xi) = \infty$ , thus  $h'(\xi) = \mp \frac{1}{n}$ . Then the claim (i) above holds.

Now suppose that there exists a point  $z_0$ ,  $z_0 \in \Delta$ , such that  $h'(z_0) = b(z_0)$ , then from (3.7) we may deduce similarly that

$$f(z_0)f''(z_0) - a(z_0)(f'(z_0))^2 = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

So  $B|f'(z_0)| \leq |f(z_0)|$ . On the other hand, from (3.11) we have that  $f'(z_0) \neq 0$ . Otherwise, if  $f'(z_0) = 0$ , we know that  $f(z_0) = 0$  or  $f''(z_0) = 0$  from (3.11). If  $f(z_0) = 0$ , then we obtain that  $h'(z_0) = -\frac{1}{n}$  which contradicts (3.9). Thus, we have  $f(z_0) \neq 0$ . Combining with  $f'(z_0) = 0$ , we deduce that  $h(z_0) = \infty$  which contradicts  $h'(z_0) = b(z_0)$ . Hence, we deduce that  $f'(z_0) \neq 0$  and arrive at  $|h(z_0)| \geq B$ . That is, the claim (ii) above holds.

Finally, suppose that  $\Delta_1 \subset \Delta$  is a disk and  $h(z)$  has  $m \geq 2$  zeros  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m$  in  $\Delta_1$ . Denoting

$$a_m = \sum_{j=1}^m h'(z_j)^{-1}.$$

It is not difficult to see that  $a_m$  is a sequence of integers. From (3.8), we have that there exists a positive  $\varepsilon_0$  such that

$$\left| b(z) \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^m h'(z_j)^{-1} \right) - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon_0. \quad (3.12)$$

Thereby, the claim (iii) above is true also.

Above all, by Theorem 1.3 and from the claim (i)–(iii), we deduce immediately that  $\mathcal{H}$  is normal in  $\Delta$ . Thereby, the conclusion of Theorem 1.6 holds. This gives the complete proof of Theorem 1.6.

## Acknowledgment

The author thanks the referee for his/her thorough review and valuable suggestions towards improvement of the paper.

## References

- [1] W. Bergweiler, Normality and exceptional values of derivatives, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (1) (2000) 121–129.
- [2] W. Bergweiler, A. Eremenko, On the singularities of the inverse to a meromorphic function of finite order, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 11 (1995) 355–373.
- [3] Y.F. Wang, M.L. Fang, Picard values and normal families of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros, Acta Math. Sin. (Chin. Ser.) 41 (1998) 743–748.
- [4] Y.X. Gu, A normal criterion of meromorphic functions, Sci. Sinica Math. (I) (1979) 267–274.
- [5] W.K. Hayman, Picard values of meromorphic functions and its derivatives, Ann. of Math. 70 (1959) 9–42.
- [6] W. Schwick, Normality criteria for families of meromorphic functions, J. Anal. Math. 52 (1989) 241–289.
- [7] P.J. Rippon, G.M. Stallard, Iteration of a class of hyperbolic meromorphic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 115 (2) (1999) 355–362.
- [8] W.C. Lin, H.X. Yi, On homogeneous differential polynomials of meromorphic functions, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 21 (2) (2005) 261–266.
- [9] X.C. Pang, L. Zalcman, Normal families and shared values, Bull. London Math. Soc. 32 (2000) 325–331.