



Initial value/boundary value problems for fractional diffusion-wave equations and applications to some inverse problems

Kenichi Sakamoto¹, Masahiro Yamamoto*

Department of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba Meguro, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18 October 2010

Available online 28 April 2011

Submitted by P. Sacks

Keywords:

Fractional diffusion equation

Initial value/boundary value problem

Well-posedness

Inverse problem

ABSTRACT

We consider initial value/boundary value problems for fractional diffusion-wave equation: $\partial_t^\alpha u(x, t) = Lu(x, t)$, where $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, where L is a symmetric uniformly elliptic operator with t -independent smooth coefficients. First we establish the unique existence of the weak solution and the asymptotic behavior as the time t goes to ∞ and the proofs are based on the eigenfunction expansions. Second for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we apply the eigenfunction expansions and prove (i) stability in the backward problem in time, (ii) the uniqueness in determining an initial value and (iii) the uniqueness of solution by the decay rate as $t \rightarrow \infty$, (iv) stability in an inverse source problem of determining t -dependent factor in the source by observation at one point over $(0, T)$.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^d with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. We consider a partial differential equation with the fractional derivative in time t :

$$\partial_t^\alpha u(x, t) = (Lu)(x, t) + F(x, t), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 2. \quad (1.1)$$

Here ∂_t^α denotes the Caputo fractional derivative with respect to t and is defined by

$$\partial_t^\alpha g(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{n-\alpha-1} \frac{d^n}{d\tau^n} g(\tau) d\tau, & n-1 < \alpha < n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \frac{d^n}{d\tau^n} g(t), & \alpha = n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

Γ is the Gamma function and the operator L is a symmetric uniformly elliptic operator and F is a given function in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ and $T > 0$ is a fixed value. Note that if $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = 2$, then Eq. (1.1) represents a parabolic equation and a hyperbolic equation respectively. Since we are interested mainly in the fractional cases, we restrict the order α to the two cases $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $1 < \alpha < 2$.

We will solve Eq. (1.1) satisfying the following initial/boundary value conditions:

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (1.2)$$

$$u(x, 0) = a(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (1.3)$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: kens@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp (K. Sakamoto), myama@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp (M. Yamamoto).

¹ K. Sakamoto's present address: Mathematical Science & Technology Research Lab., Advanced Technology Research Laboratories, Technical Development Bureau, Nippon Steel Corporation, 20-1 Shintomi, Futtsu, Chiba 293-8511, Japan.

and

$$\partial_t u(x, 0) = b(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \text{ if } 1 < \alpha < 2. \quad (1.4)$$

In the case of $0 < \alpha < 1$, Eq. (1.1) is called a fractional diffusion equation, while the equation is called a fractional diffusion-wave equation or a fractional wave equation in the case $1 < \alpha < 2$. The fractional diffusion equation has been introduced in physics by Nigmatullin [34] to describe diffusions in media with fractal geometry. Adams and Gelhar [1] pointed out that field data show anomalous diffusion in a highly heterogeneous aquifer. Hatano and Hatano [15] applied the continuous-time random walk for better simulations for the anomalous diffusion in an underground environmental problem. One can regard (1.1) as a macroscopic model derived from the continuous-time random walk. Metzler and Klafter [30] demonstrated that a fractional diffusion equation describes a non-Markovian diffusion process with a memory. Roman and Alemany [41] investigated continuous-time random walks on fractals and showed that the average probability density of random walks on fractals obeys a diffusion equation with a fractional time derivative asymptotically. Ginoia, Cerbelli and Roman [13] presented a fractional diffusion equation describing relaxation phenomena in complex viscoelastic materials. Mainardi [27] pointed out that the fractional wave equation governs the propagation of mechanical diffusive waves in viscoelastic media.

Here we refer to several works on the mathematical treatments for Eq. (1.1). Kochubei [19,20] applied the semigroup theory in Banach spaces, and Eidelman and Kochubei [9] constructed the fundamental solution in \mathbb{R}^d and proved the maximum principle for the Cauchy problem. Schneider and Wyss [46] used the Mellin transform and Fox H -functions for an integrodifferential equation which is equivalent to the fractional diffusion equation (1.1). However, these mathematical treatments are made in unbounded domain. Mainardi [26,28] solved a fractional diffusion-wave equation using the Laplace transform in a one-dimensional bounded domain. See also Mainardi [25]. Gejji and Jafari [11] solved a nonhomogeneous fractional diffusion-wave equation in a one-dimensional bounded domain. Fujita [10] discussed an integrodifferential equation which interpolates the heat equation and the wave equation in an unbounded domain. Agarwal [3] solved a fractional diffusion equation using a finite sine transform technique and presented numerical results in a one-dimensional bounded domain. As for an inverse problem of determining a coefficient and the order α in the case where the spatial dimension is one, see Cheng, Nakagawa, Yamamoto and Yamazaki [6].

As source books related with fractional derivatives, see Samko, Kilbas and Marichev [44] which is an encyclopedic treatment of the fractional calculus and also Gorenflo and Mainardi [14], Kilbas, Srivastava and Trujillo [18], Mainardi [29], Miller and Ross [31], Oldham and Spanier [35], Podlubny [37].

In spite of the importance, to the authors' best knowledge, there are not many works published concerning the unique existence of the solution to (1.1)–(1.4) and the properties which are remarkably different from the standard diffusion and wave equations. In Prüss [40] (especially in Chapter I.3), one can refer to the methods for (1.1). In particular, Theorem 2.4 (p. 62) in [40] gives the regularity of solution for Hölder continuous F in t and see also Theorem 3.3 (pp. 77–78) in [40]. Also see [7].

In Luchko [22], the maximum principle for an initial value/boundary value problem is established. In Luchko [23] and [24], the author constructed solutions by the eigenfunction expansion in the case of $F = 0$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and discussed the unique existence of the generalized solution to (1.1)–(1.3).

For discussions on inverse problems and qualitative properties of solutions to (1.1)–(1.4), representation formulae of solutions by the eigenfunctions, are very convenient, and we need the regularity property of solutions given by the eigenfunctions. See [6] for example as a paper where the eigenfunction expansions of solutions to (1.1)–(1.3) are used for the study of an inverse problem. To the authors' best knowledge, except for [23] and [24], there are no works published concerning the regularity properties of the eigenfunction expansions of the solutions and the regularity should correspond to the results in Chapter 3 of Lions and Magenes [21] and Pazy [36] for example. The first purpose of this paper is to prove the well-posedness and the regularity of the solution given by the eigenfunction expansions. Second we establish several uniqueness results for related inverse problems.

The remainder of this paper is composed of three sections. In Section 2, we state the main results on the eigenfunction expansions of solutions to (1.1)–(1.4) and properties such as a priori estimates, asymptotic behavior, which mean the well-posedness of (1.1)–(1.4). In Section 3, we prove them by means of the eigenfunction expansion, and in Section 4, we apply the results in Section 2 to inverse problems.

2. Well-posedness of the initial value/boundary value problems

Let $L^2(\Omega)$ be a usual L^2 -space with the scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) , and $H^\ell(\Omega)$, $H_0^m(\Omega)$ denote Sobolev spaces (e.g., Adams [2], Gilbarg and Trudinger [12]). In what follows, let L be given by

$$\mathcal{L}u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u(x) \right) + C(x)u(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where $A_{ij} = A_{ji}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq d$. Moreover, we assume that the operator \mathcal{L} is uniformly elliptic on $\overline{\Omega}$ and that its coefficients are smooth: there exists a constant $\nu > 0$ such that

$$\nu \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^d A_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and the coefficients satisfy

$$A_{ij} \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}), \quad C \in C(\overline{\Omega}), \quad C(x) \leq 0, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

We define an operator L in $L^2(\Omega)$ by

$$(Lu)(x) = (\mathcal{L}u)(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \mathcal{D}(-L) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Then the fractional power $(-L)^\gamma$ is defined for $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ (e.g., [36]) and $\mathcal{D}((-L)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = H_0^1(\Omega)$ for example. Henceforth we set $\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}((-L)^\gamma)} = \|(-L)^\gamma u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. We note that the norm $\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}((-L)^\gamma)}$ is stronger than $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ for $\gamma > 0$.

Since $-L$ is a symmetric uniformly elliptic operator, the spectrum of $-L$ is entirely composed of eigenvalues and counting according to the multiplicities, we can set: $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$. By $\varphi_n \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ we denote the orthonormal eigenfunction corresponding to $-\lambda_n$: $L\varphi_n = -\lambda_n\varphi_n$. Then the sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega)$. Then we see that

$$\mathcal{D}((-L)^\gamma) = \left\{ \psi \in L^2(\Omega); \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2\gamma} |\langle \psi, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

and that $\mathcal{D}((-L)^\gamma)$ is a Hilbert space with the norm:

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{D}((-L)^\gamma)} = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2\gamma} |\langle \psi, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We have $\mathcal{D}((-L)^\gamma) \subset H^{2\gamma}(\Omega)$ for $\gamma > 0$. In particular, $\mathcal{D}((-L)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = H_0^1(\Omega)$. Since $\mathcal{D}((-L)^\gamma) \subset L^2(\Omega)$, identifying the dual $(L^2(\Omega))'$ with itself, we have $\mathcal{D}((-L)^\gamma) \subset L^2(\Omega) \subset (\mathcal{D}((-L)^\gamma))'$. Henceforth we set $\mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\gamma}) = (\mathcal{D}((-L)^\gamma))'$, which consists of bounded linear functionals on $\mathcal{D}((-L)^\gamma)$. For $f \in \mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\gamma})$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{D}((-L)^\gamma)$, by ${}_{-\gamma}\langle f, \psi \rangle_\gamma$, we denote the value which is obtained by operating f to ψ . We note that $\mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\gamma})$ is a Hilbert space with the norm:

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\gamma})} = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{-2\gamma} |{}_{-\gamma}\langle f, \varphi_n \rangle_\gamma|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We further note that

$${}_{-\gamma}\langle f, \psi \rangle_\gamma = (f, \psi) \quad \text{if } f \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ and } \psi \in \mathcal{D}((-L)^\gamma)$$

(e.g., Chapter V in Brezis [4]).

Henceforth C_j denote positive constants which are independent of F in (1.1), a, b in (1.3) and (1.4), but may depend on α and the coefficients of the operator L . The numbering in C_j can be independent in the succeeding different sections.

Moreover we define the Mittag-Leffler function by

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary constants. By the power series, we can directly verify that $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ is an entire function of $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 2.1. We call u a weak solution to (1.1)–(1.3) if (1.1) holds in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $u(\cdot, t) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ for almost all $t \in (0, T)$ and $u \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\gamma}))$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(\cdot, t) - a\|_{\mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\gamma})} = 0$$

with some $\gamma > 0$. Moreover we call u a weak solution to (1.1)–(1.4) if (1.1) holds in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $u(\cdot, t) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ for almost all $t \in (0, T)$ and $u, \partial_t u \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\gamma}))$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(\cdot, t) - a\|_{\mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\gamma})} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\partial_t u(\cdot, t) - b\|_{\mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\gamma})} = 0$$

with some $\gamma > 0$. Here $\gamma > 0$ may depend on a, b .

We are ready to state our main theorems on the unique existence of solution to (1.1)–(1.4).

Theorem 2.1. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and let $F = 0$.*

- (i) *Let $a \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then there exists a unique weak solution $u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C((0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ to (1.1)–(1.3) such that $\partial_t^\alpha u \in C((0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$. Moreover there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} \|u\|_{C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))} \leq C_1 \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \\ \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|\partial_t^\alpha u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_1 t^{-\alpha} \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

and we have

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \varphi_n(x) \tag{2.2}$$

in $C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C((0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$. Moreover $u : (0, T] \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is analytically extended to a sector $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; z \neq 0, |\arg z| < \frac{1}{2}\pi\}$.

- (ii) *We assume that $a \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Then the unique weak solution u further belongs to $L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$, $\partial_t^\alpha u \in L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$ and there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ satisfying the following inequality:*

$$\|u\|_{L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega))} + \|\partial_t^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))} \leq C_2 \|a\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \tag{2.3}$$

and we have (2.2) in the corresponding space on the right-hand side of (2.3).

- (iii) *We assume that $a \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$. Then the unique weak solution u belongs to $C([0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$, $\partial_t^\alpha u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C((0, T]; H_0^1(\Omega))$ and the following inequality holds:*

$$\|u\|_{C([0, T]; H^2(\Omega))} + \|\partial_t^\alpha u\|_{C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))} \leq C_3 \|a\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \tag{2.4}$$

and we have (2.2) in the corresponding space on the right-hand side of (2.4).

Theorem 2.2.

- (i) *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and let $a = 0$. Let $F \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$. Then there exists a unique weak solution $u \in L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ to (1.1)–(1.3) such that $\partial_t^\alpha u \in L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$. In particular, for any γ satisfying $\gamma > \frac{d}{4} - 1$, we have $u \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\gamma}))$,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(\cdot, t) - a\|_{\mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\gamma})} = 0,$$

and if $d = 1, 2, 3$, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(\cdot, t) - a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0.$$

Moreover there exists a constant $C_4 > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega))} + \|\partial_t^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))} \leq C_4 \|F\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))} \tag{2.5}$$

and we have

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau), \varphi_n) (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n (t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) \varphi_n(x), \tag{2.6}$$

in the corresponding space on the right-hand side of (2.5).

- (ii) *Let $1 < \alpha < 2$ and let $a = b = 0$. Let $F \in L^2(0, T; \mathcal{D}((-L)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$. Let $\gamma > \frac{d}{4} + 1$. Then there exists a unique weak solution $u \in C([0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ to (1.1)–(1.4) such that $\partial_t^\alpha u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$. In particular,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\partial_t u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\gamma})} = 0.$$

Moreover there exists a constant $C_4 > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{C([0, T]; H^2(\Omega))} + \|\partial_t^\alpha u\|_{C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))} \leq C_4 \|F\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathcal{D}((-L)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))},$$

and the series (2.6) holds in the corresponding space.

Remark. As shown in Lemma 3.3 below, for $0 < \alpha < 1$, the function $E_{\alpha,1}(-t^\alpha)$ in $t > 0$ is completely monotonic (e.g., Gorenflo and Mainardi [14]), while for $1 < \alpha < 2$, the function $E_{\alpha,1}(-t^\alpha)$ in $t > 0$ is not completely monotonic. As a consequence, the regularity requirements for F in Theorem 2.2(i) and (ii) are different.

We do not exploit the maximal regularity of u for $F \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ or $F \in L^2(0, T; \mathcal{D}((-L)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$. As for other maximal regularity, see Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.5.

Theorem 2.3. Let $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $F = 0$.

(i) Let $a \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $b \in \mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}})$. Then there exists a unique weak solution $u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C((0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ to (1.1)–(1.4) with $\partial_t^\alpha u \in C((0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$. Moreover there exist constants $C_5 > 0$ and $C_6 > 0$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{C([0,T];L^2(\Omega))} + \|\partial_t u\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}))} &\leq C_5(\|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|b\|_{\mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}})}), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(\cdot, t) - a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\partial_t u(\cdot, t) - b\|_{\mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}})} &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \|\partial_t u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_6(t^{-1}\|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|b\|_{L^2(\Omega)}), \\ \|\partial_t^\alpha u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_6(t^{-\alpha}\|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + t^{1-\alpha}\|b\|_{L^2(\Omega)}). \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

Moreover $u : (0, T] \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is analytically extended to $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; z \neq 0, |\arg z| < \frac{1}{2}\pi\}$.

(ii) Let $a \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $b \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Then there exists a unique weak solution $u \in C([0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ to (1.1)–(1.4) and $\partial_t^\alpha u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$. Moreover there exists a constant $C_7 > 0$ satisfying

$$\|u\|_{C^1([0,T];L^2(\Omega))} + \|u\|_{C([0,T];H^2(\Omega))} + \|\partial_t^\alpha u\|_{C([0,T];L^2(\Omega))} \leq C_7(\|a\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|b\|_{H^1(\Omega)}). \tag{2.9}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{ (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) + (b, \varphi_n) t E_{\alpha,2}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \} \varphi_n(x), \\ \partial_t u(x, t) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{ -\lambda_n t^{\alpha-1} (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) + (b, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \} \varphi_n(x) \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

in the corresponding spaces in (i) and (ii).

In Theorem 2.2, if F is smoother, then the regularity of $\partial_t^\alpha u$ is improved. We set

$$C^\theta([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) = \left\{ F \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)); \sup_{0 \leq t < s \leq T} \frac{\|F(\cdot, t) - F(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}}{|t - s|^\theta} < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\|F\|_{C^\theta([0,T];L^2(\Omega))} = \|F\|_{C([0,T];L^2(\Omega))} + \sup_{0 \leq t < s \leq T} \frac{\|F(\cdot, t) - F(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}}{|t - s|^\theta}.$$

For $F \in C^\theta([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$, we can state the same maximal regularity for the solution to (1.1)–(1.4) for any $\alpha \in (0, 2)$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $0 < \alpha < 2$ and let $a \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, $b = 0$ if $1 < \alpha < 2$, $F \in C^\theta([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$. Then for the solution u given by

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) + \int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau), \varphi_n) (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n (t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right\} \varphi_n(x), \tag{2.11}$$

we have:

(1) For every $\delta > 0$,

$$\|Lu\|_{C^\theta([\delta,T];L^2(\Omega))} + \|\partial_t^\alpha u\|_{C^\theta([\delta,T];L^2(\Omega))} \leq \frac{C_8}{\delta} (\|F\|_{C^\theta([\delta,T];L^2(\Omega))} + \|a\|_{H^2(\Omega)}).$$

(2) $\|Lu\|_{C([0,T];L^2(\Omega))} + \|\partial_t^\alpha u\|_{C([0,T];L^2(\Omega))} \leq C_9(\|a\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|F\|_{C^\theta([0,T];L^2(\Omega))})$.

(3) If $a = 0$ and $F(\cdot, 0) = 0$, then

$$\|Lu\|_{C^\theta([0,T];L^2(\Omega))} + \|\partial_t^\alpha u\|_{C^\theta([0,T];L^2(\Omega))} \leq C_{10} \|F\|_{C^\theta([0,T];L^2(\Omega))}.$$

Corollary 2.5. Let $1 < \alpha < 2$, $a = b = 0$ and $F \in L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$. Then for u given by (2.6), we have

$$u \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{D}((-L)^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}})) \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$\|u\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{D}((-L)^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}))} \leq C_{11} \|F\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (0,T))}. \tag{2.13}$$

Corollary 2.6. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $a \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $F = 0$. Then for the unique weak solution $u \in C([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)) \cap C((0, \infty); H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ to (1.1)–(1.3), there exists a constant $C_{12} > 0$ such that

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C_{12}}{1 + \lambda_1 t^\alpha} \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{2.14}$$

Moreover there exists a constant $C_{12} > 0$ such that

$$u \in C^\infty((0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)), \quad \|\partial_t^m u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C_{13}}{t^m} \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad t > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.15}$$

Corollary 2.7. Let $1 < \alpha < 2$, $a \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, $b \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $F = 0$. Then for the unique weak solution $u \in C([0, \infty); H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega))$ to (1.1)–(1.4), there exists a constant $C_{14} > 0$ satisfying

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C_{14}}{1 + \lambda_1 t^\alpha} \{ \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + t \|b\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$\|\partial_t u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C_{14}}{1 + \lambda_1 t^\alpha} (t^{\alpha-1} \|a\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|b\|_{L^2(\Omega)}), \quad t \geq 0. \tag{2.17}$$

Moreover, for some $C_{15} > 0$, we have

$$\|\partial_t^m u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_{15} (t^{-m} \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + t^{-m+1} \|b\|_{L^2(\Omega)}), \quad t > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.18}$$

The eigenfunction expansions (2.2), (2.6) and (2.11) of the solutions to (1.1)–(1.4) can be derived by the Fourier method. That is, we multiply both sides of (1.1) by $\varphi_n(x)$ and integrate the equation with respect to x . Using the Green formula and $\varphi_n|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, we obtain

$$\partial_t^\alpha u_n(t) = -\lambda_n u_n(t) + F_n(t), \quad t > 0, \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(0) &= (a, \varphi_n) \quad \text{in the case } 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ u_n(0) &= (a, \varphi_n), \quad \frac{du_n}{dt}(0) = (b, \varphi_n) \quad \text{in the case } 1 < \alpha < 2, \end{aligned}$$

where $u_n(t) = (u(\cdot, t), \varphi_n)$ and $F_n(t) = (F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n)$. The formulae of solutions to the initial value problem for (2.19) are given in [14,18,37] for example, and we can formally obtain the expansions.

2.1. Comparison of our results with standard results for the case of $\alpha = 1, 2$

- (1) In the case of $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have no smoothing property like the classical diffusion equation (i.e., $\alpha = 1$). For $F = 0$, there is the smoothing property in space with order 2 which means that $u(\cdot, t) \in H^2(\Omega)$ for any $t > 0$ and any $u(\cdot, 0) \in L^2(\Omega)$, while (2.15) means that the regularity in time immediately becomes stronger in t , and is of infinity order (i.e., u is of C^∞ for $t > 0$). In Section 4, we show that the smoothing in $H^2(\Omega)$ is the best possible and the solution cannot be smoother than $H^2(\Omega)$ at $t > 0$ if $u(\cdot, 0) \in L^2(\Omega)$.
- (2) In Theorem 2.3(i), estimate (2.7) generalizes the result in the case of $\alpha = 2$ which is proved e.g., in [21].
- (3) In the case of $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $a = 0$, estimate (2.5) in Theorem 2.2 is the corresponding regularity of solution to the case of $\alpha = 1$ (e.g., Theorem 1.1 (p. 5) of Chapter 4 in [21]).
- (4) Theorem 2.4 means that for $0 < \alpha < 2$, the same regularity properties hold for the nonhomogeneous equation in the case of $\alpha = 1$ (i.e., Theorem 3.5 (p. 114) in [36]). Theorem 2.4(3) is proved in Theorem 2.4 (p. 62) and Theorem 3.3 (pp. 77–78) in [40] by a different method.
- (5) Corollary 2.5 gives a well-known result for $\alpha = 2$ (e.g., [21]).
- (6) Corollaries 2.6 and 2.7 show the decay of solution with order $t^{-\alpha}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, which is slower than the exponential decay in the case of $\alpha = 1$. In Section 4, we state other property on the decay.

3. Proof of Theorems 2.1–2.4 and Corollaries 2.5–2.6

We first state two lemmata.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $0 < \alpha < 2$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. We suppose that μ is such that $\pi\alpha/2 < \mu < \min\{\pi, \pi\alpha\}$. Then there exists a constant $C_1 = C_1(\alpha, \beta, \mu) > 0$ such that*

$$|E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)| \leq \frac{C_1}{1 + |z|}, \quad \mu \leq |\arg(z)| \leq \pi. \tag{3.1}$$

The proof can be found on p. 35 in Podlubny [37].

Lemma 3.2. *For $\lambda > 0, \alpha > 0$ and positive integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\frac{d^m}{dt^m} E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda t^\alpha) = -\lambda t^{\alpha-m} E_{\alpha,\alpha-m+1}(-\lambda t^\alpha), \quad t > 0 \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} (t E_{\alpha,2}(-\lambda t^\alpha)) = E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda t^\alpha), \quad t \geq 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Since $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ is an entire function of z , the function $E_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ is real analytic and the series $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} = E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ is termwise differentiable in \mathbb{R} . Since t^α is also real analytic in $t > 0$, so is $E_{\alpha,\beta}(-\lambda t^\alpha)$ in $t > 0$. Therefore the equations above obtained by termwise differentiation are valid. \square

We proceed to the proof of the theorems and the corollaries stated in Section 2.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. (i). We will show that (2.2) certainly gives the weak solution to (1.1)–(1.3). We first have

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^\infty |(a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty C_2'^2 (a, \varphi_n)^2 \leq C_2 \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\|Lu(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C_3 \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 t^{-2\alpha}, \quad t > 0. \tag{3.5}$$

In (3.4), since $\sum_{n=1}^\infty (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \varphi_n$ is convergent in $L^2(\Omega)$ uniformly in $t \in [0, T]$, we see that $u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$. Moreover in (3.5), since $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \varphi_n$ is convergent in $L^2(\Omega)$ uniformly in $t \in [\delta, T]$ with any given $\delta > 0$, we see that $Lu \in C((0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$, that is, $u \in C((0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$. Therefore we obtain that $u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C((0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$.

By (1.1) we see that $\partial_t^\alpha u \in C((0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ and estimate (2.1).

We have to prove

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(\cdot, t) - a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

In fact,

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^\infty |(a, \varphi_n)|^2 (E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) - 1)^2$$

and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) - 1) = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty |(a, \varphi_n)|^2 |E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) - 1|^2 \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left\{ \left(\frac{C_1}{1 + \lambda_n t^\alpha} \right)^2 + 1 \right\} |(a, \varphi_n)|^2 < \infty$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T$. The Lebesgue theorem yields (3.6).

Next we prove the uniqueness of the weak solution to (1.1)–(1.3) within the class given in Definition 2.1. Under the conditions $a = 0$ and $F = 0$, we have to prove that system (1.1)–(1.3) has only a trivial solution. Since $\varphi_n(x)$ is the eigenfunctions to the following eigenvalue problem:

$$(L\varphi_n)(x) = -\lambda_n \varphi_n(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \varphi_n(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega,$$

in terms of the regularity of u , taking the duality pairing ${}_{-\gamma}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\gamma}$ of (1.1) with φ_n and setting $u_n(t) = {}_{-\gamma}\langle u(\cdot, t), \varphi_n \rangle_{\gamma}$, we obtain

$$\partial_t^\alpha u_n(t) = -\lambda_n u_n(t), \quad \text{almost all } t \in (0, T).$$

Since $u(\cdot, t) \in L^2(\Omega)$ for almost all $t \in (0, T)$ and $u_n(t) \equiv {}_{-\gamma}\langle u(\cdot, t), \varphi_n \rangle_{\gamma} = (u(\cdot, t), \varphi_n)$ where ${}_{-\gamma}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\gamma}$ denotes the duality pairing between $\mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\gamma})$ and $\mathcal{D}((-L)^{\gamma})$, it follows from $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\gamma})} = 0$ that $u_n(0) = 0$. Due to the existence and uniqueness of the ordinary fractional differential equation (e.g., Chapter 3 in [18], [37]), we obtain that $u_n(t) = 0$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Since $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a complete orthonormal system in $L^2(\Omega)$, we have $u = 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$.

Finally we prove the analyticity of $u(\cdot, t)$ in $S \equiv \{z \in \mathbb{C}; z \neq 0, |\arg z| < \frac{1}{2}\pi\}$. It follows that $E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)$ is analytic in S because $E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n z)$ is an entire function (e.g., Section 1.8 in [18], [37]). Therefore $u_N(\cdot, t) = \sum_{n=1}^N (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \varphi_n$ is analytic in S . Furthermore by (3.1)

$$\|u_N(\cdot, z) - u(\cdot, z)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |(a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n z^\alpha)|^2 \leq C_3 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |(a, \varphi_n)|^2, \quad z \in \bar{S}.$$

Hence $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|u_N - u\|_{L^\infty(S; L^2(\Omega))} = 0$, so that also u is analytic in S . Thus the proof of Theorem 2.1(i) is complete.

(ii). By (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq C_4 \|Lu(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C_4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2 |(a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)|^2 \\ &= C_4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}} (a, \varphi_n) (\lambda_n t^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}} E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)|^2 t^{-\alpha} \\ &\leq C_4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| ((-L)^{\frac{1}{2}} a, \varphi_n) \frac{C_1 (\lambda_n t^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + \lambda_n t^\alpha} \right|^2 t^{-\alpha} \leq C_4 \|a\|_{H^1(\Omega)} t^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

By $0 < \alpha < 1$, we see $\|u\|_{L^2(0,T; H^2(\Omega))} \leq C_4 \|a\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$. Therefore we have $u \in L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|\partial_t^\alpha u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt &= \int_0^T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a, \varphi_n)|^2 \lambda_n^2 |E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)|^2 dt \\ &\leq \frac{C_8^2 T^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, \varphi_n)^2 \lambda_n \\ &\leq C_5 \|a\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.1) we have $\partial_t^\alpha u = Lu$, which yields $\partial_t^\alpha u \in L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$ and the proof of Theorem 2.1(ii) is complete.

(iii). Let $a \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq C_6 \|Lu(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, \varphi_n)^2 E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)^2 \lambda_n^2 \leq C_6 \|a\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.1) we have

$$\|\partial_t^\alpha u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C_7 \|a\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad t > 0.$$

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.1 (i), we can prove (2.4), and the proof of Theorem 2.1 (iii) is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. (i). First we show

Lemma 3.3. For $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have

$$E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\eta) \geq 0, \quad \eta \geq 0.$$

As for the proof, see Miller and Samko [32], Schneider [47], and also see Pollard [38]. Lemma 3.3 is also seen from (3.2) and the fact that $E_{\alpha,1}(-t^\alpha)$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$ is completely monotonic (e.g., Gorenflo and Mainardi [14]), that is, $(-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dt^n} E_{\alpha,1}(-t^\alpha) \geq 0$ for all $t > 0$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. We can refer to Section 4 of Chapter 1 in Prüss [40] for completely monotonic functions.

By Lemmata 3.2 and 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\eta |t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)| dt &= \int_0^\eta t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \int_0^\eta \frac{d}{dt} E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) dt = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} (1 - E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n \eta^\alpha)), \quad \eta > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

In [14], pp. 140–141 in [18], p. 140 in [37], by means of the Laplace transform, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^\alpha \int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau), \varphi_n)(t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \\ = -\lambda_n \int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau), \varphi_n)(t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau + (F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

By (3.7), (3.8) and the Young inequality for the convolution, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \partial_t^\alpha \int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau), \varphi_n)(t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 \\ &\leq C_8 \int_0^T |(F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n)|^2 dt + C_8 \left(\int_0^T |(F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n)|^2 dt \right) \left(\int_0^T |\lambda_n t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)| dt \right)^2 \\ &\leq C_9 \int_0^T |(F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n)|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (0,T))}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^T \left| \partial_t^\alpha \left(\int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau), \varphi_n)(t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) \right|^2 dt \\ &\leq C_9 \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^T |(F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n)|^2 dt = C_9 \|F\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (0,T))}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.1), we see also $\|Lu\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (0,T))} \leq C_9 \|F\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (0,T))}$, which implies (2.5).

Finally we have to prove

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\gamma})} = 0.$$

In fact, by (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\gamma})}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left| \int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau), \varphi_n)(t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{2\gamma}} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} |(F(\cdot, \tau), \varphi_n)|^2 \left| \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \\ &\leq C_8 \|F\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{2\gamma+2}} (1 - E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lambda_n \geq C'_8 n^{\frac{2}{d}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

(e.g., Courant and Hilbert [8]), we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n^{2\gamma+2}} \leq \frac{C''_8}{n^{\frac{4(\gamma+1)}{d}}}.$$

By $\gamma > \frac{d}{4} - 1$, we have $\frac{4(\gamma+1)}{d} > 1$, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{2\gamma+2}} (1 - E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)) < \infty$. Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (1 - E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)) = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Lebesgue theorem implies $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\gamma})} = 0$. The uniqueness of weak solution is already proved in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Thus the proof of Theorem 2.2(i) is complete.

(ii). First by $F \in L^2(0, T; \mathcal{D}((-L)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}))$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Lu(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2 \left| \int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau), \varphi_n) (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2 \int_0^t |(F(\cdot, \tau), \varphi_n)|^2 d\tau \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{2\alpha-2} |E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n(t - \tau)^\alpha)|^2 d\tau \\ &\leq C_9 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2 \lambda_n^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \int_0^t |((-L)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} F(\cdot, \tau), \varphi_n)|^2 d\tau \int_0^t \left| \frac{(\lambda_n \tau^\alpha)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}}{1 + \lambda_n \tau^\alpha} \right|^2 d\tau \lambda_n^{-\frac{2\alpha-2}{\alpha}} \\ &\leq C'_9 t \|F\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathcal{D}((-L)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}))}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

By (3.9) we can estimate also $\|\partial_t^\alpha u\|_{C([0,T];L^2(\Omega))}$ and we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0$. Next applying $\frac{d}{dt}(t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda t^\alpha)) = t^{\alpha-2} E_{\alpha,\alpha-1}(-\lambda t^\alpha)$ (e.g., formula (1.83) on p. 22 of [37]) and $\lambda_n^{2\gamma-2} \geq C'_9 n^{\gamma_1}$ with $\gamma_1 > 1$ by $\gamma > \frac{d}{4} + 1$ and $\lambda_n \geq C'_8 n^{\frac{2}{d}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\gamma})}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{2\gamma}} \left| \int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau), \varphi_n) \lambda_n (t - \tau)^{\alpha-2} E_{\alpha,\alpha-1}(-\lambda_n(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T} |(F(\cdot, \tau), \varphi_n)|^2 \left| \int_0^t \tau^{\alpha-2} E_{\alpha,\alpha-1}(-\lambda_n \tau^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{2\gamma-2}} \leq C_9 \|F\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 t^{2\alpha-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\partial_t u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\gamma})}^2 = 0$. Thus the proof of Theorem 2.2(ii) is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3. (i). The uniqueness of weak solution is verified similarly to Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. As for the initial condition, we first consider

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t) - a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a, \varphi_n) (E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) - 1) + t(b, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha,2}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)|^2 \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a, \varphi_n)|^2 |E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) - 1|^2 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |((-L)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} b, \varphi_n)|^2 (\lambda_n t^\alpha)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{C_1}{1 + \lambda_n t^\alpha} \right)^2 \\ &\equiv S_1(t) + S_2(t) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 3.1. Therefore similarly to Theorem 2.1, we can see that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} S_1(t) = 0$. Since

$$\sup_{\eta > 0} \frac{\eta^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{1 + \eta} = \frac{1}{\alpha} (\alpha - 1)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}, \tag{3.10}$$

we see that $S_2(t) \leq 2 \|(-L)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} b\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$. Therefore

$$\|u\|_{C([0,T];L^2(\Omega))} \leq C_5 (\|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|b\|_{\mathcal{D}((-L)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}})}).$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\lambda_n t^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{1 + \lambda_n t^\alpha} = 0$, by (3.10), the Lebesgue theorem yields $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} S_2(t) = 0$, that is, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(\cdot, t) - a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0$. Next we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t u(\cdot, t) - b\|_{\mathcal{D}(((-L)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}))}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |-\lambda_n t^{\alpha-1}(a, \varphi_n) \lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) + \lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} (E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) - 1)(b, \varphi_n)|^2 \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a, \varphi_n)|^2 \left(\frac{C_1 (\lambda_n t^\alpha)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2\alpha}}}{1 + \lambda_n t^\alpha} \right)^2 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(((-L)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} b, \varphi_n)|^2 |E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) - 1|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the Lebesgue theorem, we see that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\partial_t u(\cdot, t) - b\|_{\mathcal{D}(((-L)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}))} = 0$ and

$$\|\partial_t u\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{D}(((-L)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}))} \leq C_5 (\|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|b\|_{\mathcal{D}(((-L)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}))}).$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) + (b, \varphi_n) t E_{\alpha, 2}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)|^2 \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, \varphi_n)^2 \left(\frac{C_1}{1 + \lambda_n t^\alpha} \right)^2 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b, \varphi_n)^2 \left(\frac{C_1 t}{1 + \lambda_n t^\alpha} \right)^2 \leq C_{11} (\|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|b\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a, \varphi_n) (-\lambda_n) t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) + (b, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)|^2 \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ (a, \varphi_n)^2 t^{-2} \left(\frac{C_1 \lambda_n t^\alpha}{1 + \lambda_n t^\alpha} \right)^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b, \varphi_n)^2 \left(\frac{C_1}{1 + \lambda_n t^\alpha} \right)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Since $\partial_t^\alpha (E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)) = -\lambda_n E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)$ and $\partial_t^\alpha (t E_{\alpha, 2}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)) = -\lambda_n t E_{\alpha, 2}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)$ (e.g., [14,18]), we have

$$\partial_t^\alpha u(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{ -\lambda_n (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) - \lambda_n (b, \varphi_n) t E_{\alpha, 2}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \} \tag{3.12}$$

and similarly we can prove

$$\|\partial_t^\alpha u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_{10} (t^{-\alpha} \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + t^{1-\alpha} \|b\|_{L^2(\Omega)}).$$

The analyticity of $u(\cdot, t)$ is proved similarly to Theorem 2.1. Thus the proof of Theorem 2.3(i) is complete.

(ii). By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Lu(\cdot, t), Lu(\cdot, t)) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) + \lambda_n (b, \varphi_n) t E_{\alpha, 2}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)|^2 \\ &\leq 2C_1^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \lambda_n^2 (a, \varphi_n)^2 + \lambda_n (b, \varphi_n)^2 \frac{\lambda_n t^\alpha}{(1 + \lambda_n t^\alpha)^2} t^{2-\alpha} \right\} \\ &\leq C_{11} (\|a\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + T^{2-\alpha} \|b\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to (3.11) and (3.12), we can argue to complete the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.4. It is sufficient to prove the theorem in the case of $0 < \alpha < 1$, because the case of $\alpha = 1$ is similar to Section 3 of Chapter 4 in [36] for example. We first prove

Lemma 3.4. Let $F \in C^\theta([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$. We set

$$v(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left(\int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau) - F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n)(t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) \varphi_n(x).$$

Then $v \in C^\theta([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ and

$$\|v\|_{C^\theta([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))} \leq C_{12} \|F\|_{C^\theta([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))}.$$

Proof. We take $0 \leq t < t+h \leq T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 v(x, t+h) - v(x, t) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left\{ \int_0^{t+h} (F(\cdot, \tau) - F(\cdot, t+h), \varphi_n)(t+h-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n(t+h-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau) - F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n)(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n(t-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right\} \varphi_n(x) \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left\{ \int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau) - F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n)((t+h-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n(t+h-\tau)^\alpha) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n(t-\tau)^\alpha)) d\tau \right\} \varphi_n(x) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left\{ \int_0^t (F(\cdot, t) - F(\cdot, t+h), \varphi_n)(t+h-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n(t+h-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right\} \varphi_n(x) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left\{ \int_t^{t+h} (F(\cdot, \tau) - F(\cdot, t+h), \varphi_n)(t+h-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n(t+h-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right\} \varphi_n(x) \\
 &= I_1(x, t) + I_2(x, t) + I_3(x, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

We estimate each of the three terms separately.

For $0 < t-\tau < t-\tau+h \leq T$, by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|\lambda_n \{ (t+h-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n(t+h-\tau)^\alpha) - (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n(t-\tau)^\alpha) \}| \\
 &= \lambda_n \left| \int_{t-\tau}^{t-\tau+h} s^{\alpha-2} E_{\alpha, \alpha-1}(-\lambda_n s^\alpha) ds \right| \leq \lambda_n \int_{t-\tau}^{t-\tau+h} \frac{C_1 s^{\alpha-2}}{1 + \lambda_n s^\alpha} ds \\
 &\leq C_1 \int_{t-\tau}^{t-\tau+h} s^{-2} ds = \frac{C_1 h}{(t-\tau+h)(t-\tau)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

At first equality, we have used formula (1.83) on p. 22 in [37]: $\frac{d}{dt}(t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda t^\alpha)) = t^{\alpha-2} E_{\alpha, \alpha-1}(-\lambda t^\alpha)$.

We set $C_{13} = \|F\|_{C^\theta([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))}$. Then by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|I_1(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2 \left\{ \int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau) - F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n)((t+h-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n(t+h-\tau)^\alpha) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n(t-\tau)^\alpha)) d\tau \right\}^2 \\
 &\leq C_1^2 h^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2 \left| \int_0^t \{ |F(\cdot, \tau) - F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n| (t+h-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (t-\tau)^{-\frac{\theta+1}{2}} \} \{ (t+h-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (t-\tau)^{\frac{\theta-1}{2}} \} d\tau \right|^2 \\
 &\leq C_1^2 h^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau) - F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n)^2 (t+h-\tau)^{-1} (t-\tau)^{-\theta-1} d\tau \int_0^t (t+h-\tau)^{-1} (t-\tau)^{\theta-1} d\tau \\
 &\leq C_{13}^2 C_1^2 h^2 \left(\int_0^t (t+h-\tau)^{-1} (t-\tau)^{-1+\theta} d\tau \right)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by $0 < \theta < 1$, we have

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\eta^{\theta-1}}{\eta+h} d\eta = \frac{\pi h^{\theta-1}}{\sin(\theta\pi)}$$

(e.g., Prudnikov, Brychkov and Marichev [39, vol. I, formula 2.2.4-25 in Chapter 2]). Hence

$$\left(\int_0^t (t+h-\tau)^{-1} (t-\tau)^{-1+\theta} d\tau \right)^2 \leq \left(\int_0^t \frac{\eta^{\theta-1}}{\eta+h} d\eta \right)^2 \leq \left(\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\eta^{\theta-1}}{\eta+h} d\eta \right)^2 \leq C_{14} h^{2\theta-2}.$$

Hence

$$\|I_1(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_{15} C_{13}^2 h^{2\theta}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_2(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (F(\cdot, t) - F(\cdot, t+h), \varphi_n)^2 \left(\int_0^t \lambda_n (t+h-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n(t+h-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (F(\cdot, t) - F(\cdot, t+h), \varphi_n)^2 (E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n h^\alpha) - E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n(t+h)^\alpha))^2 \\ &\leq C_{16}^2 C_{13}^2 h^{2\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_3(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2 \left(\int_t^{t+h} (F(\cdot, \tau) - F(\cdot, t+h), \varphi_n) (t+h-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n(t+h-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right)^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_t^{t+h} (F(\cdot, \tau) - F(\cdot, t+h), \varphi_n)^2 (t+h-\tau)^{-\theta-1} d\tau \\ &\quad \times \int_t^{t+h} (t+h-\tau)^{2\alpha+\theta-1} \left(\frac{C_1 \lambda_n}{1 + \lambda_n(t+h-\tau)^\alpha} \right)^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_t^{t+h} C_{13}^2 (t+h-\tau)^{2\theta} (t+h-\tau)^{-\theta-1} d\tau \right) \left(\int_t^{t+h} (t+h-\tau)^{\theta-1} \left(\frac{C_1 \lambda_n (t+h-\tau)^\alpha}{1 + \lambda_n(t+h-\tau)^\alpha} \right)^2 d\tau \right) \\ &\leq C_1^2 C_{13}^2 \left(\int_t^{t+h} (t+h-\tau)^{\theta-1} d\tau \right)^2 = C_{17} C_{13}^2 h^{2\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof of Lemma 3.4 is complete. \square

Now we complete the proof of Theorem 2.4(i). By (3.8) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^\alpha u(x, t) &= - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left\{ (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau), \varphi_n) (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n(t-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right\} \varphi_n(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n) \varphi_n(x) \\ &= - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \varphi_n(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n) \varphi_n(x) \\ &\quad - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left(\int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau) - F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n) (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n(t-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) \varphi_n(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left(\int_0^t (F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n)(t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) \varphi_n(x) \\
 &= - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \varphi_n(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n) \varphi_n(x) \\
 & - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left(\int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau) - F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n)(t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) \varphi_n(x) \\
 & - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n) (1 - E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)) \varphi_n(x) \\
 &= \left\{ - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \varphi_n(x) \right\} + \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n) E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \varphi_n(x) \right\} \\
 & + \left\{ - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left(\int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau) - F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n)(t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) \varphi_n(x) \right\} \\
 &= v_1(x, t) + v_2(x, t) - v(x, t).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

From Lemma 3.4, it follows that $\|v_3\|_{C^\theta([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))} \leq C_{18} \|F\|_{C^\theta([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_2(x, t+h) - v_2(x, t) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (F(\cdot, t+h) - F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n) E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n(t+h)^\alpha) \varphi_n(x) \\
 & - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n) \{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) - E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n(t+h)^\alpha)\} \varphi_n(x) \equiv I_4(x, t) + I_5(x, t),
 \end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|I_4(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (F(\cdot, t+h) - F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n)^2 E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n(t+h)^\alpha)^2 \\
 &\leq C_1^2 C_{13}^2 h^{2\theta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate I_5 , by Lemmata 3.1 and 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) - E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n(t+h)^\alpha)| &= \left| \int_{t+h}^t \lambda_n \tau^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n \tau^\alpha) d\tau \right| \\
 &\leq \int_t^{t+h} \lambda_n \tau^{\alpha-1} \frac{C_1}{1 + \lambda_n \tau^\alpha} d\tau \leq C_1 \int_t^{t+h} \tau^{-1} d\tau.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Then for $\delta \leq t \leq T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|I_5(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n)^2 |E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) - E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n(t+h)^\alpha)|^2 \\
 &\leq C_1^2 \|F\|_{C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))}^2 \left(\int_t^{t+h} \tau^{-1} d\tau \right)^2 = C_1^2 C_{13}^2 \left(\log \left(1 + \frac{h}{t} \right) \right)^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{C_1^2 C_{13}^2 h^2}{\delta^2} \leq \frac{C_{19} C_{13}^2 h^{2\theta}}{\delta^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we use also $\log(1 + \eta) \leq \eta$ for $\eta > 0$.

Finally we will estimate $v_1(x, t)$. By Lemmata 3.1 and 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_1(\cdot, t+h) - v_1(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2(a, \varphi_n)^2 (E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) - E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n(t+h)^\alpha))^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2(a, \varphi_n)^2 \left(\int_t^{t+h} \lambda_n \tau^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n \tau^\alpha) d\tau \right)^2 \\ &\leq C_1^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2(a, \varphi_n)^2 \left(\int_t^{t+h} \frac{\lambda_n \tau^{\alpha-1}}{1 + \lambda_n \tau^\alpha} d\tau \right)^2 \\ &\leq C_1^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ((-L)a, \varphi_n)^2 \left(\int_t^{t+h} \lambda_n \tau^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{\lambda_n \tau^\alpha} d\tau \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C_1^2 \|a\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 h^2}{\delta^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof of (i) is complete. The proof of Theorem 2.4(ii) follows from (3.13) and Lemma 3.4.

Finally we will complete the proof of Theorem 2.4(iii). From (3.13) and Lemma 3.4, it is sufficient to prove that $I_5 \in C^\theta([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$. Since $F(\cdot, 0) = 0$ implies $\|F\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_{13} t^\theta$, by (3.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_5(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (F(\cdot, t), \varphi_n)^2 |E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) - E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n(t+h)^\alpha)|^2 \\ &\leq C_1^2 C_{13}^2 t^{2\theta} \left(\int_t^{t+h} \tau^{-1} d\tau \right)^2 \leq C_1^2 C_{13}^2 \left(\int_t^{t+h} t^\theta \tau^{-1} d\tau \right)^2 \\ &\leq C_1^2 C_{13}^2 \left(\int_t^{t+h} \tau^{\theta-1} d\tau \right)^2 = \frac{C_1^2 C_{13}^2}{\theta^2} \{(t+h)^\theta - t^\theta\}^2 \leq \frac{C_1^2 C_{13}^2 h^{2\theta}}{\theta^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof of (iii) is complete. \square

Proof of Corollary 2.5. We first have

$$\begin{aligned} (-L)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} u(\cdot, t) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau), \varphi_n) (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n(t-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) (-L)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} \varphi_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau), \varphi_n) (\lambda_n(t-\tau)^\alpha)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n(t-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) \varphi_n. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, we see from Lemma 3.1 that

$$|(\lambda_n(t-\tau)^\alpha)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n(t-\tau)^\alpha)| \leq C_1 \sup_{\eta>0} \frac{\eta^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}}{1+\eta} \leq \frac{C_1(\alpha-1)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}}{\alpha}.$$

Therefore, in terms of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-L)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau), \varphi_n) (\lambda_n(t-\tau)^\alpha)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n(t-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C_1^2(\alpha-1)^{\frac{2(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}}}{\alpha^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_0^t (F(\cdot, \tau), \varphi_n) d\tau \right|^2 \leq \frac{C_1^2 T(\alpha-1)^{\frac{2(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}}}{\alpha^2} \int_0^T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(F(\cdot, \tau), \varphi_n)|^2 d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore estimate (2.13) is seen, and the proof of Corollary 2.5 is complete. \square

Proof of Corollary 2.6. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, \varphi_n)^2 E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, \varphi_n)^2 \left(\frac{C_1}{1 + \lambda_n t^\alpha}\right)^2 \leq \left(\frac{C_1}{1 + \lambda_1 t^\alpha} \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)^2, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\partial_t^m u(\cdot, t) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n t^{\alpha-m} (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha, \alpha-m+1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \varphi_n$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, so that

$$\|\partial_t^m u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{C_{21}}{t^{2m}} \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \quad \square$$

Proof of Corollary 2.7. By Lemma 3.2, for $m \geq 2$, we have

$$\partial_t^m u(\cdot, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{-\lambda_n (a, \varphi_n) t^{\alpha-m} E_{\alpha, \alpha-m+1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) - \lambda_n (b, \varphi_n) t^{\alpha-(m-1)} E_{\alpha, \alpha-(m-1)+1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)\} \varphi_n.$$

Henceforth, in terms of Lemma 3.1, we can argue to complete the proof. \square

4. Applications of the eigenfunction expansion

We apply the eigenfunction expansion of the solution only in the case of $0 < \alpha < 1$. The arguments in the case of $1 < \alpha < 2$ are similar. Let L be the same elliptic operator defined in Section 2.

4.1. Backward problem in time

Theorem 4.1. *Let $T > 0$ be arbitrarily fixed. For any given $a_1 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, there exists a unique weak solution $u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C((0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ to (1.1) and (1.2) with $F = 0$ such that $u(\cdot, T) = a_1$. Moreover there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that*

$$C_1 \|u(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|u(\cdot, T)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C_2 \|u(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \tag{4.1}$$

Here C_1, C_2 are independent of choices of a_1 .

The backward problem of the classical diffusion equation (e.g., $\alpha = 1$) is severely ill-posed (e.g., Isakov [17]), and any estimate of Lipschitz type by Sobolev norm is impossible.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By (2.2), we have

$$u(x, T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n T^\alpha) \varphi_n(x).$$

Hence we note that $u(\cdot, T) \in H^2(\Omega)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, \varphi_n)^2 \lambda_n^2 E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n T^\alpha)^2 < \infty.$$

Since $E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)$, $t > 0$, is completely monotonic (e.g., [14]),

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \leq 0, \quad t > 0 \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \geq 0, \quad t > 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Hence by (4.2) and (4.3), we obtain

$$E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) > 0, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{4.4}$$

In fact, we assume that there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t_0^\alpha) = 0$. Then $E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) = 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$ by (4.2) and (4.3). Therefore the analyticity in t implies that $E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, which contradicts $E_{\alpha,1}(0) \neq 0$.

For $a_1 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, we have

$$C'_1 \|a_1\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2 (a_1, \varphi_n)^2 \leq C'_2 \|a_1\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

By (4.4) we can set

$$c_n = \frac{(a_1, \varphi_n)}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n T^\alpha)}.$$

In terms of (4.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, \varphi_n)^2}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n T^\alpha)^2} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2 T^{2\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)^2 (a_1, \varphi_n)^2 \left(\frac{1}{1 + O(\lambda_n^{-1} t^{-\alpha})} \right)^2 \leq C_3 T^{2\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2 (a_1, \varphi_n)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \varphi_n$ and denoting the solution to (1.1)–(1.3) with this initial value a by $u(x, t)$, we have $a_1 = u(\cdot, T)$ and $\|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_4 \|u(\cdot, T)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}$. The second inequality in (4.1) is already proved in Theorem 2.1. \square

4.2. Uniqueness of solution to a boundary value problem

We note that $-L$ defines the fractional power $(-L)^\beta$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\|u\|_{H^{2\beta}(\Omega)} \leq C_5 \|(-L)^\beta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

(e.g., [36]).

Theorem 4.2. *Let $a \in \mathcal{D}((-L)^{2\beta})$ with $\beta > \frac{d}{4}$. Let $u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C((0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ satisfy (1.1) and (1.2) with $F = 0$. Let $\omega \subset \Omega$ be an arbitrarily chosen subdomain and let $T > 0$. Then $u(x, t) = 0, x \in \omega, 0 < t < T$, implies $u = 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$.*

This theorem corresponds to Corollary 2.3 in Schmidt and Weck [45] and see Nakagiri [33] for similar arguments for other inverse problems. For $\alpha = 1$, we have that the uniqueness holds without (1.2), which is the unique continuation (e.g., [17]). However for $\alpha \neq 1$, we do not know whether the uniqueness holds without (1.2).

Proof of Theorem 4.2. By $\lambda_n = O(n^{\frac{2}{d}})$ and $a \in \mathcal{D}((-L)^{2\beta})$ and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\|\varphi_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C''_6 \|\varphi_n\|_{H^{2\beta}(\Omega)} \leq C'_6 \|(-L)^\beta \varphi_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_6 |\lambda_n|^\beta$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a, \varphi_n)| \|\varphi_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &\leq C_6 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a, \varphi_n)| |\lambda_n|^\beta = C_6 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a, \varphi_n)| |\lambda_n|^{2\beta} |\lambda_n|^{-\beta} \\ &\leq C_6 \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a, \varphi_n)|^2 |\lambda_n|^{4\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n|^{2\beta}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_7 \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{4\beta}{d}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a, \varphi_n)|^2 (|\lambda_n|^{2\beta})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Then, by Lemma 3.1, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \varphi_n(x)$ can be extended analytically in t to $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; z \neq 0, |\arg z| \leq \mu_0\}$ with some $\mu_0 > 0$. Therefore, since

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \varphi_n(x) = 0, \quad x \in \omega, \quad 0 < t < T,$$

we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \varphi_n(x) = 0, \quad x \in \omega, \quad t > 0. \tag{4.6}$$

We set $\sigma(-L) = \{\mu_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and we denote by $\{\varphi_{kj}\}_{1 \leq j \leq m_k}$ an orthonormal basis of $\text{Ker}(\mu_k + L)$. Note that we consider $\sigma(-L)$ as set, not as sequence with multiplicities. Therefore we can rewrite (4.6) by

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} (a, \varphi_{kj}) \varphi_{kj}(x) \right) E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_k t^\alpha) = 0, \quad x \in \omega, t > 0. \tag{4.7}$$

By (4.5) and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} |(a, \varphi_{kj}) \varphi_{kj}(x)| |E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_k t^\alpha)| \leq C_8 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} |(a, \varphi_{kj})| \|\varphi_{kj}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \infty.$$

Hence the Lebesgue convergence theorem yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} (a, \varphi_{kj}) \varphi_{kj}(x) E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_k t^\alpha) \right) dt \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} (a, \varphi_{kj}) \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-zt} E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_k t^\alpha) dt \right) \varphi_{kj}(x), \quad x \in \omega, \text{Re } z > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

We take the Laplace transform to have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-zt} E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_k t^\alpha) dt = \frac{z^{\alpha-1}}{z^\alpha + \mu_k}, \quad \text{Re } z > 0. \tag{4.9}$$

In fact, we can take the Laplace transforms termwise in the power series defining $E_{\alpha,1}(z)$ to obtain

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-zt} E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_k t^\alpha) dt = \frac{z^{\alpha-1}}{z^\alpha + \mu_k}, \quad \text{Re } z > \mu_k^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

(cf. formula (1.80) on p. 21 in [37]). Since $\sup_{t \geq 0, k \in \mathbb{N}} |E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_k t^\alpha)| < \infty$ by Lemma 3.1, we see that $\int_0^\infty e^{-zt} E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_k t^\alpha) dt$ is analytic with respect to z in $\text{Re } z > 0$. Therefore the analytic continuation yields (4.9) for $\text{Re } z > 0$.

Hence (4.8) and (4.9) yield

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} (a, \varphi_{kj}) \frac{z^{\alpha-1}}{z^\alpha + \mu_k} \varphi_{kj}(x) = 0, \quad x \in \omega, \text{Re } z > 0,$$

that is,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} (a, \varphi_{kj}) \frac{1}{\eta + \mu_k} \varphi_{kj}(x) = 0, \quad x \in \omega, \text{Re } \eta > 0. \tag{4.10}$$

By (4.5), we can analytically continue both sides of (4.10) in η , so that (4.10) holds for $\eta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-\mu_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. We can take a suitable disk which includes $-\mu_\ell$ and does not include $\{-\mu_k\}_{k \neq \ell}$. Integrating (4.10) in a disk, we have

$$u_\ell(x) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{m_\ell} (a, \varphi_{\ell j}) \varphi_{\ell j}(x) = 0, \quad x \in \omega.$$

Since $(L + \mu_\ell)u_\ell = 0$ in Ω , and $u_\ell = 0$ in ω , the unique continuation (e.g., Isakov [17]) implies $u_\ell = 0$ in Ω for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\{\varphi_{\ell j}\}_{1 \leq j \leq m_\ell}$ is linearly independent in Ω , we see that $(a, \varphi_{\ell j}) = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq m_\ell, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $u = 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$. Thus the proof of Theorem 4.2 is complete. \square

4.3. Decay rate at $t = \infty$

We state a different version of Corollary 2.6. In fact, the following theorem asserts that the solution cannot decay faster than $\frac{1}{t^m}$ with any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ if the solution does not vanish identically. It is a remarkable property of the fractional diffusion equation because the classical diffusion equation with $\alpha = 1$ admits non-zero solutions decaying exponentially. This is one description of the slower diffusion, compared to the classical one.

Theorem 4.3. Let $a \in \mathcal{D}((-L)^{2\beta})$ with $\beta > \frac{d}{4}$ and let $\omega \subset \Omega$ be an arbitrary subdomain. Let $u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C((0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ satisfy (1.1) and (1.2) with $F = 0$. We assume that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $C(m) > 0$ such that

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\omega)} \leq \frac{C(m)}{t^m} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \tag{4.11}$$

Then $u = 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$.

Proof. By (4.5), the series

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} (a, \varphi_{kj}) E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_k t^\alpha) \varphi_{kj}(x)$$

converges uniformly for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $\delta \leq t \leq T$ with any $\delta, T > 0$. Hence, by Theorem 1.4 (pp. 33–34) in [37], for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$u(x, t) = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \sum_{\ell=1}^p \frac{(-1)^\ell}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\mu_k^\ell t^{\alpha\ell}} (a, \varphi_{kj}) \varphi_{kj}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_k^{p+1} t^{\alpha(p+1)}}\right) (a, \varphi_{kj}) \varphi_{kj}(x) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

We note that $\Gamma(1-\alpha) \neq 0$ by $1-\alpha > 0$. Setting $m = 1$ in (4.11) and $p = 1$, multiplying t^α and letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\mu_k} (a, \varphi_{kj}) \varphi_{kj}(x) = 0, \quad x \in \omega.$$

By $0 < \alpha < 1$, there exists $\{\ell_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \ell_j = \infty$ and $\alpha \ell_j \notin \mathbb{N}$. In fact, let $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Then $\ell \alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$ for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$. Set $\alpha = \frac{n_1}{m_1}$ where $m_1, n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ have no common divisors except for 1. There exist infinitely many $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ possessing no common divisors with m_1 , and $\ell \alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$. Then $\Gamma(1-\alpha \ell_j) \neq 0$.

Therefore, setting $p = 2, 3, \dots$ and repeating the above argument, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu_k^{\ell_i}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} (a, \varphi_{kj}) \varphi_{kj}(x) \right) = 0, \quad x \in \omega, \ell_i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} (a, \varphi_{1j}) \varphi_{1j}(x) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_k} \right)^{\ell_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} (a, \varphi_{kj}) \varphi_{kj}(x) = 0, \quad x \in \omega, \ell_i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By (4.5) and $0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_k} \right)^{\ell_i} (a, \varphi_{kj}) \varphi_{kj} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \left| \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_k} \right|^{\ell_i} |(a, \varphi_{kj})| \|\varphi_{kj}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} \right|^{\ell_i} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} |(a, \varphi_{kj})| \|\varphi_{kj}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_9 \left| \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} \right|^{\ell_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\ell_i \rightarrow \infty$ and $|\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2}| < 1$, we see that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} (a, \varphi_{1j}) \varphi_{1j}(x) = 0, \quad x \in \omega.$$

Similarly we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} (a, \varphi_{kj}) \varphi_{kj}(x) = 0, \quad x \in \omega, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $a = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} (a, \varphi_{kj}) \varphi_{kj})$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, we can conclude that $u = 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$. Thus the proof of Theorem 4.3 is complete. \square

4.4. Inverse source problem

For

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha u(x, t) = Lu(x, t) + f(x)p(t), & x \in \Omega, 0 < t < T, \\ u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, 0 < t < T, \\ u(x, 0) = 0, & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{4.12}$$

we discuss

Inverse source problem. Let f be given and $x_0 \in \Omega$ be given. Determine $p(t)$, $0 < t < T$, by $u(x_0, t)$, $0 < t < T$.

In this inverse problem, given a spatial distribution of a source, we are required to determine a time varying factor $p(t)$. As for this kind of inverse problem for parabolic equation, see e.g., Cannon and Esteve [5], Saitoh, Tuan and Yamamoto [42,43] for example. Here we prove a stability estimate in one simple case:

Theorem 4.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}((-L)^\beta)$ with $\beta > 1 + \frac{3d}{4}$ and let u satisfy (4.12) for $p \in C[0, T]$. We assume that

$$f(x_0) \neq 0.$$

Then there exist constants $C_{10}, C_{11} > 0$ such that

$$C_{10} \|\partial_t^\alpha u(x_0, \cdot)\|_{C[0, T]} \leq \|p\|_{C[0, T]} \leq C_{11} \|\partial_t^\alpha u(x_0, \cdot)\|_{C[0, T]}. \tag{4.13}$$

In the theorem, the condition $f(x_0) \neq 0$ yields the both-sided Lipschitz stability, and $f(x_0) \neq 0$ means that the observation point is on the inside of the source, and the choice as observation point is not realistic because in practical inverse source problems, it is assumed that one cannot have access to the source and has to determine by data away from the source. In the case of $f(x_0) = 0$, the stability estimate is expected to be worse (e.g., [5,42,43] for the parabolic case) and for the fractional diffusion equation, we can discuss the case of $f(x_0) = 0$, but here we discuss only the case $f(x_0) \neq 0$.

Proof of Theorem 4.4. By $p \in C[0, T]$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}((-L)^\beta)$, we apply Theorem 2.2 to obtain

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^t p(\tau)(f, \varphi_n)(t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) \varphi_n(x)$$

in $L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$ and

$$\partial_t^\alpha u(x, t) = p(t)f(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} -\lambda_n \left(\int_0^t p(\tau)(f, \varphi_n)(t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) \varphi_n(x) \tag{4.14}$$

in $L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$. By $f \in \mathcal{D}((-L)^\beta)$ with $\beta > 1 + \frac{3d}{4}$ and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_n(f, \varphi_n)\varphi_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &\leq C_{12} \|\lambda_n(f, \varphi_n)\varphi_n\|_{H^{2\beta-2-d}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C_{13} \|\lambda_n(f, \varphi_n)(-L)^{\beta-1-\frac{d}{2}}\varphi_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &= C_{13} \|\lambda_n^{\beta-\frac{d}{2}}(f, \varphi_n)\varphi_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_{13} \lambda_n^{-\frac{d}{2}} |((-L)^\beta f, \varphi_n)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by [8], we see that $\lambda_n \geq C'_{13} n^{\frac{2}{d}}$, for $(x, t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \lambda_n p(\tau)(f, \varphi_n)(t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \varphi_n(x) \right| \\ &\leq C_{13} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|p\|_{C[0, T]} \frac{1}{n} |((-L)^\beta f, \varphi_n)| \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau \\ &\leq C_{14} \|p\|_{C[0, T]} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |((-L)^\beta f, \varphi_n)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_{15} \|p\|_{C[0, T]} \|(-L)^\beta f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C_{15} \|p\|_{C[0, T]}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Therefore we see that $\partial_t^\alpha u \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$, the series (4.14) is convergent in $C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$ and

$$\|\partial_t^\alpha u\|_{C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])} \leq C_{15} \|p\|_{C[0, T]}.$$

Hence the first inequality in (4.13) is proved.

Since the series (4.14) is convergent in $C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$, we have

$$\partial_t^\alpha u(x_0, t) = p(t)f(x_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t p(\tau) \{-\lambda_n(f, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n(t-\tau)^\alpha) \varphi_n(x_0)\} (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau$$

for $0 < t < T$. Setting

$$Q(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} -\lambda_n(f, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \varphi_n(x_0),$$

similarly to (4.15) we can see that $Q \in C[0, T]$. Therefore

$$\partial_t^\alpha u(x_0, t) = p(t)f(x_0) + \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} Q(t-\tau)p(\tau) d\tau, \quad 0 < t < T,$$

that is,

$$p(t) = \frac{\partial_t^\alpha u(x_0, t)}{f(x_0)} - \frac{1}{f(x_0)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} Q(t-\tau)p(\tau) d\tau, \quad 0 < t < T$$

by $f(x_0) \neq 0$. Hence

$$|p(t)| \leq C_{16} \|\partial_t^\alpha u(x_0, \cdot)\|_{C[0, T]} + C_{16} \|Q\|_{C[0, T]} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} |p(\tau)| d\tau, \quad 0 < t < T.$$

Applying an inequality of Gronwall type with weakly singular kernel $(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1}$ (e.g., Lemma 7.1.1 (pp. 188–189) in [16]), we see

$$|p(t)| \leq C_{17} \|\partial_t^\alpha u(x_0, \cdot)\|_{C[0, T]}, \quad 0 < t < T,$$

that is, the second inequality in (4.13) is proved. Thus the proof of Theorem 4.4 is complete. \square

Acknowledgments

The first named author was supported partly by the 21st Century COE program, the GCOE program and the Doctoral Course Research Accomplishment Cooperation System at Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences of The University of Tokyo, and the Japan Student Services Organization. Both authors thank the anonymous referee for very valuable comments. In particular, by a comment of the referee, the proof of Theorem 4.1 could be simplified.

References

- [1] E.E. Adams, L.W. Gelhar, Field study of dispersion in a heterogeneous aquifer 2. Spatial moments analysis, *Water Resources Res.* 28 (1992) 3293–3307.
- [2] R.A. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [3] O.P. Agarwal, Solution for a fractional diffusion-wave equation defined in a bounded domain, *Nonlinear Dynam.* 29 (2002) 145–155.
- [4] H. Brezis, *Analyse Fonctionnelle*, Masson, Paris, 1983.
- [5] J.R. Cannon, S.P. Esteve, An inverse problem for the heat equation, *Inverse Problems* 2 (1986) 395–403.
- [6] J. Cheng, J. Nakagawa, M. Yamamoto, T. Yamazaki, Uniqueness in an inverse problem for one-dimensional fractional diffusion equation, *Inverse Problems* 25 (2009) 115002.
- [7] P. Clément, S.-O. Londen, G. Simonett, Quasilinear evolutionary equations and continuous interpolation spaces, *J. Differential Equations* 196 (2004) 418–447.
- [8] R. Courant, D. Hilbert, *Methods of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 1, Interscience, New York, 1953.
- [9] S.D. Eidelman, A.N. Kochubei, Cauchy problem for fractional diffusion equations, *J. Differential Equations* 199 (2004) 211–255.
- [10] Y. Fujita, Integrodifferential equation which interpolates the heat equation and the wave equation, *Osaka J. Math.* 27 (1990) 309–321, 797–804.
- [11] V.D. Gejji, H. Jafari, Boundary value problems for fractional diffusion-wave equation, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 3 (2006) 1–8.
- [12] D. Gilbarg, N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [13] M. Ginoia, S. Cerbelli, H.E. Roman, Fractional diffusion equation and relaxation in complex viscoelastic materials, *Phys. A* 191 (1992) 449–453.
- [14] R. Gorenflo, F. Mainardi, Fractional calculus: Integral and differential equations of fractional order, in: A. Carpinteri, F. Mainardi (Eds.), *Fractals and Fractional Calculus in Continuum Mechanics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997, pp. 223–276.
- [15] Y. Hatano, N. Hatano, Dispersive transport of ions in column experiments: an explanation of long-tailed profiles, *Water Resources Res.* 34 (1998) 1027–1033.

- [16] D. Henry, Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.
- [17] V. Isakov, Inverse Problems for Partial Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [18] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [19] A.N. Kochubei, A Cauchy problem for evolution equations of fractional order, J. Differential Equations 25 (1989) 967–974.
- [20] A.N. Kochubei, Fractional order diffusion, J. Differential Equations 26 (1990) 485–492.
- [21] J.L. Lions, E. Magenes, Non-homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications, vols. I, II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- [22] Y. Luchko, Maximum principle for the generalized time-fractional diffusion equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 351 (2009) 218–223.
- [23] Y. Luchko, Initial-boundary value problems for the generalized time-fractional diffusion equation, in: Proceedings of 3rd IFAC Workshop on Fractional Differentiation and Its Applications (FDA08), Ankara, Turkey, 05–07 November 2008.
- [24] Y. Luchko, Some uniqueness and existence results for the initial-boundary value problems for the generalized time-fractional diffusion equation, Comput. Math. Appl. 59 (2010) 1766–1772.
- [25] F. Mainardi, On the initial value problem for the fractional diffusion-wave equation, in: S. Rionero, T. Ruggeri (Eds.), Waves and Stability in Continuous Media, World Scientific, Singapore, 1994, pp. 246–251.
- [26] F. Mainardi, The time fractional diffusion-wave equation, Radiophys. and Quantum Electronics 38 (1995) 13–24.
- [27] F. Mainardi, Fractional diffusive waves in viscoelastic solids, in: J.L. Wegner, F.R. Norwood (Eds.), Nonlinear Waves in Solids, ASME/AMR, Fairfield, 1995, pp. 93–97.
- [28] F. Mainardi, The fundamental solutions for the fractional diffusion-wave equation, Appl. Math. Lett. 9 (1996) 23–28.
- [29] F. Mainardi, Fractional calculus: some basic problems in continuum and statistical mechanics, in: A. Carpinteri, F. Mainardi (Eds.), Fractals and Fractional Calculus in Continuum Mechanics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997, pp. 291–348.
- [30] R. Metzler, J. Klafter, Boundary value problems for fractional diffusion equations, Phys. A 278 (2000) 107–125.
- [31] K.S. Miller, B. Ross, An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations, Wiley, New York, 1993.
- [32] K.S. Miller, S.G. Samko, Completely monotonic functions, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 12 (2001) 389–402.
- [33] S. Nakagiri, Identifiability of linear systems in Hilbert spaces, SIAM J. Control Optim. 21 (1983) 501–530.
- [34] R.R. Nigmatullin, The realization of the generalized transfer equation in a medium with fractal geometry, Phys. Stat. Sol. B 133 (1986) 425–430.
- [35] K.B. Oldham, J. Spanier, The Fractional Calculus: Theory and Applications of Differentiation and Integration to Arbitrary Order, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [36] A. Pazy, Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [37] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [38] H. Pollard, The completely monotonic character of the Mittag-Leffler function $E_\alpha(-x)$, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 54 (1948) 115–116.
- [39] A.P. Prudnikov, Yu.A. Brychkov, O.I. Marichev, Integrals and Series, vol. I, Gordon & Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1990.
- [40] J. Prüss, Evolutionary Integral Equations and Applications, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1993.
- [41] H.E. Roman, P.A. Alemany, Continuous-time random walks and the fractional diffusion equation, J. Phys. A 27 (1994) 3407–3410.
- [42] S. Saitoh, V.K. Tuan, M. Yamamoto, Reverse convolution inequalities and applications to inverse heat source problems, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 3 (5) (2002), Article 80, <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/>.
- [43] S. Saitoh, V.K. Tuan, M. Yamamoto, Convolution inequalities and applications, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 4 (3) (2003), Article 50, <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/>.
- [44] S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas, O.I. Marichev, Fractional Integrals and Derivatives, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Philadelphia, 1993.
- [45] E.J.P. Georg Schmidt, N. Weck, On the boundary behavior of solutions to elliptic and parabolic equations – with applications to boundary control for parabolic equations, SIAM J. Control Optim. 16 (1978) 593–598.
- [46] W.R. Schneider, W. Wyss, Fractional diffusion and wave equations, J. Math. Phys. 30 (1989) 134–144.
- [47] W.R. Schneider, Completely monotone generalized Mittag-Leffler functions, Expo. Math. 14 (1996) 3–16.