



# Rademacher functions in Morrey spaces <sup>☆</sup>



Sergei V. Astashkin <sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Lech Maligranda <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, Samara State University, Acad. Pavlova 1, 443011 Samara, Russia

<sup>b</sup> Samara State Aerospace University (SSAU), Moskovskoye shosse 34, 443086, Samara, Russia

<sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematics, Luleå University of Technology, SE-971 87 Luleå, Sweden

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 20 January 2016

Available online 14 July 2016

Submitted by P.G. Lemarie-Rieusset

### Keywords:

Rademacher functions

Morrey spaces

Korenblum–Krein–Levin spaces

Marcinkiewicz spaces

Complemented subspaces

## ABSTRACT

The Rademacher sums are investigated in the Morrey spaces  $M_{p,w}$  on  $[0, 1]$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and weight  $w$  being a quasi-concave function. They span  $l_2$  space in  $M_{p,w}$  if and only if the weight  $w$  is smaller than  $\log_2^{-1/2} \frac{2}{t}$  on  $(0, 1)$ . Moreover, if  $1 < p < \infty$  the Rademacher subspace  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$  is complemented in  $M_{p,w}$  if and only if it is isomorphic to  $l_2$ . However, the Rademacher subspace  $\mathcal{R}_{1,w}$  is not complemented in  $M_{1,w}$  for any quasi-concave weight  $w$ . In the last part of the paper geometric structure of Rademacher subspaces in Morrey spaces  $M_{p,w}$  is described. It turns out that for any infinite-dimensional subspace  $X$  of  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$  the following alternative holds: either  $X$  is isomorphic to  $l_2$  or  $X$  contains a subspace which is isomorphic to  $c_0$  and is complemented in  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$ .

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

The well-known Morrey spaces introduced by Morrey in 1938 [20] in relation to the study of partial differential equations were widely investigated during last decades, including the study of classical operators of harmonic analysis: maximal, singular and potential operators—in various generalizations of these spaces. In the theory of partial differential equations, along with the weighted Lebesgue spaces, Morrey-type spaces also play an important role. They appeared to be quite useful in the study of the local behavior of the solutions of partial differential equations, a priori estimates and other topics.

Let  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $w$  be a non-negative non-decreasing function on  $[0, \infty)$ , and  $\Omega$  a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The Morrey space  $M_{p,w} = M_{p,w}(\Omega)$  is the class of Lebesgue measurable real functions  $f$  on  $\Omega$  such that

<sup>☆</sup> Research of the first named author was partially supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [astash@samsu.ru](mailto:astash@samsu.ru) (S.V. Astashkin), [lech.maligranda@ltu.se](mailto:lech.maligranda@ltu.se) (L. Maligranda).

$$\|f\|_{M_{p,w}} = \sup_{0 < r < \text{diam}(\Omega), x_0 \in \Omega} w(r) \left( \frac{1}{|B_r(x_0)|} \int_{B_r(x_0) \cap \Omega} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad (1)$$

where  $B_r(x_0)$  is a ball with the center at  $x_0$  and radius  $r$ . It is a quasi-Banach ideal space on  $\Omega$ . The so-called ideal property means that if  $|f| \leq |g|$  a.e. on  $\Omega$  and  $g \in M_{p,w}$ , then  $f \in M_{p,w}$  and  $\|f\|_{M_{p,w}} \leq \|g\|_{M_{p,w}}$ . In particular, if  $w(r) = 1$  then  $M_{p,w}(\Omega) = L_\infty(\Omega)$ , if  $w(r) = r^{1/p}$  then  $M_{p,w}(\Omega) = L_p(\Omega)$  and in the case when  $w(r) = r^{1/q}$  with  $0 < p \leq q < \infty$   $M_{p,w}(\Omega)$  are the classical Morrey spaces, denoted shortly by  $M_{p,q}(\Omega)$  (see [14, Part 4.3], [15,23] and [29]). Moreover, as a consequence of the Hölder–Rogers inequality we obtain monotonicity with respect to  $p$ , that is,

$$M_{p_1,w}(\Omega) \xhookrightarrow{1} M_{p_0,w}(\Omega) \quad \text{if } 0 < p_0 \leq p_1 < \infty.$$

For two quasi-Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  the symbol  $X \xhookrightarrow{C} Y$  means that the embedding  $X \subset Y$  is continuous and  $\|f\|_Y \leq C\|f\|_X$  for all  $f \in X$ .

It is easy to see that in the case when  $\Omega = [0, 1]$  quasi-norm (1) can be defined as follows

$$\|f\|_{M_{p,w}} = \sup_I w(|I|) \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}, \quad (2)$$

where the supremum is taken over all intervals  $I$  in  $[0, 1]$ . In what follows  $|E|$  is the Lebesgue measure of a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

The main purpose of this paper is the investigation of the behavior of Rademacher sums

$$R_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k r_k(t), \quad a_k \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

in general Morrey spaces  $M_{p,w}$ . Recall that the Rademacher functions on  $[0, 1]$  are defined by  $r_k(t) = \text{sign}(\sin 2^k \pi t)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

By  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$  we denote the subspace spanned by the Rademacher functions  $r_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  in  $M_{p,w}$ .

The most important tool in studying Rademacher sums in the classical  $L_p$ -spaces and in general rearrangement invariant spaces is the so-called *Khintchine inequality* (cf. [11, p. 10], [1, p. 133], [16, p. 66] and [4, p. 743]): if  $0 < p < \infty$ , then there exist constants  $A_p, B_p > 0$  such that for any sequence of real numbers  $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^n$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$A_p \left( \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \|R_n\|_{L_p[0,1]} \leq B_p \left( \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (3)$$

Therefore, for any  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the Rademacher functions span in  $L_p$  an isomorphic copy of  $l_2$ . Also, the subspace  $[r_n]$  is complemented in  $L_p$  for  $1 < p < \infty$  and is not complemented in  $L_1$  since no complemented infinite dimensional subspace of  $L_1$  can be reflexive. In  $L_\infty$ , the Rademacher functions span an isometric copy of  $l_1$ , which is uncomplemented.

The only non-trivial estimate for Rademacher sums in a general rearrangement invariant (r.i.) space  $X$  on  $[0, 1]$  is the inequality

$$\|R_n\|_X \leq C \left( \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (4)$$

where a constant  $C > 0$  depends only on  $X$ . The reverse inequality to (4) is always true because  $X \subset L_1$  and we can apply the left-hand side inequality from (3) for  $L_1$ . Already in 1930, Paley and Zygmund [22] proved estimate (4) for  $X = G$ , where  $G$  is the closure of  $L_\infty[0, 1]$  in the Orlicz space  $L_M[0, 1]$  generated by the function  $M(u) = e^{u^2} - 1$ . The proof can be found in Zygmund's classical books (see [30, p. 134] or [31, p. 214]).

Later on Rodin and Semenov [25] showed that estimate (4) holds if and only if  $G \subset X$ . This inclusion means that  $X$  in a certain sense “lies far” from  $L_\infty[0, 1]$ . In particular,  $G$  is contained in every  $L_p[0, 1]$  for  $p < \infty$ . Moreover, Rodin–Semenov [26] and Lindenstrauss–Tzafriri [17, pp. 134–138] proved that  $[r_n]$  is complemented in  $X$  if and only if  $G \subset X \subset G'$ , where  $G'$  denotes the Köthe dual space to  $G$ .

In contrast, Astashkin [3] studied the Rademacher sums in r.i. spaces which are situated very “close” to  $L_\infty$ . In such a case a rather precise description of their behavior may be obtained by using the real method of interpolation (cf. [10]). Namely, every interpolation space  $X$  between the spaces  $L_\infty$  and  $G$  can be represented in the form  $X = (L_\infty, G)_{\Phi}^K$ , for some parameter  $\Phi$  of the real interpolation method, and then  $\|\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k r_k\|_X \approx \|\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty\|_F$ , where  $F = (l_1, l_2)_\Phi^K$ .

Investigations of Rademacher sums in r.i. spaces are well presented in the books by Lindenstrauss–Tzafriri [17], Krein–Petunin–Semenov [13] and Astashkin [4]. At the same time, a very few papers are devoted to considering Rademacher functions in Banach function spaces, which are not r.i. Recently, Astashkin–Maligranda [6] initiated studying the behavior of Rademacher sums in a weighted Korenblyum–Krein–Levin space  $K_{p,w}$ , for  $0 < p < \infty$  and a quasi-concave function  $w$  on  $[0, 1]$ , equipped with the quasi-norm

$$\|f\|_{K_{p,w}} = \sup_{0 < x \leq 1} w(x) \left( \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \quad (5)$$

(cf. [12,18], [28, pp. 469–470], where  $w(x) = 1$ ). If the supremum in (2) is taken over all subsets of  $[0, 1]$  of measure  $x$ , then we obtain an r.i. counterpart of the spaces  $M_{p,w}$  and  $K_{p,w}$ , the Marcinkiewicz space  $M_{p,w}^{(*)}[0, 1]$ , with the quasi-norm

$$\|f\|_{M_{p,w}^{(*)}} = \sup_{0 < x \leq 1} w(x) \left( \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f^*(t)^p dt \right)^{1/p}, \quad (6)$$

where  $f^*$  denotes the non-increasing rearrangement of  $|f|$ .

In what follows we consider only function spaces on  $[0, 1]$ . Therefore, the weight  $w$  will be a non-negative non-decreasing function on  $[0, 1]$  and without loss of generality we will assume in the rest of the paper that  $w(1) = 1$ . Then, we have

$$L_\infty \xhookrightarrow{1} M_{p,w}^{(*)} \xhookrightarrow{1} M_{p,w} \xhookrightarrow{1} K_{p,w} \xhookrightarrow{1} L_p \quad (7)$$

because the corresponding suprema in (5), (2) and (6) are taken over larger classes of subsets of  $[0, 1]$ .

Observe that if  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} w(t) > 0$ , then  $M_{p,w} = M_{p,w}^{(*)} = L_\infty$ , and if  $\sup_{0 < t \leq 1} w(t)t^{-1/p} < \infty$ , then  $M_{p,w} = M_{p,w}^{(*)} = L_p$  with equivalent quasi-norms. To avoid these trivial cases, throughout the paper we will assume also that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} w(t) = \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{t^{1/p}}{w(t)} = 0. \quad (8)$$

In particular, the latter assumptions ensure that the second and the third inclusions in (7) are proper.

**Proposition 1.** (i)  $K_{p,w} \setminus M_{p,w} \neq \emptyset$ .

(ii) If  $w(t)t^{-1/p}$  is a non-increasing function on  $(0, 1]$ , then  $M_{p,w} \setminus M_{p,w}^{(*)} \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** (i) In view of (8), there exists a sequence  $\{t_k\} \subset (0, 1]$  such that  $t_k \searrow 0, t_1 \leq 1/2$  and  $w(t_k)t_k^{-1/p} \nearrow \infty$ . Let us denote  $v(t) = w(t)t^{-1/p}$  and

$$g(s) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( v(t_k)^{-p/2} - v(t_{k+1})^{-p/2} \right)^{1/p} (t_k - t_{k+1})^{-1/p} \chi_{(t_{k+1}, t_k]}(s).$$

By definition,  $\text{supp } g \subset [0, 1/2]$ . Then, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{t_k} |g(s)|^p ds &= \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \int_{t_{i+1}}^{t_i} |g(s)|^p ds \\ &= \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{v(t_i)^{-p/2} - v(t_{i+1})^{-p/2}}{t_i - t_{i+1}} (t_i - t_{i+1}) = v(t_k)^{-p/2}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we see that  $g \in L_p$ . Let  $f(t) := g(t + \frac{1}{2})$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Then  $\|f\|_p = \|g\|_p$ , and therefore  $f \in L_p$ . Moreover, since  $\text{supp } f \subset [1/2, 1]$ , we obtain  $f \in K_{p,w}$ . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{K_{p,w}} &= \sup_{0 < x \leq 1} w(x) \left( \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} = \sup_{\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1} \frac{w(x)}{x^{1/p}} \left( \int_{1/2}^x |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \\ &\approx \sup_{\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1} \left( \int_{1/2}^x |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} = \|f\|_{L_p} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

At the same time, if  $I_k := [\frac{1}{2}, t_k + \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , we have

$$w(|I_k|) \left( \frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} = v(t_k) \left( \int_0^{t_k} |g(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} = v(t_k) \cdot v(t_k)^{-1/2} = v(t_k)^{1/2}.$$

Since  $v(t_k) \nearrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude that  $f \notin M_{p,w}$ .

(ii) By using the conditions of proposition, it is easy to find a function  $g \in L_p \setminus M_{p,w}^{(*)}$ . Next, by the main result of the paper [2], there exists a function  $f \in M_{p,w}$  and constants  $c_0 > 0$  and  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that

$$\left| \{t \in [0, 1] : |f(t)| > \lambda\} \right| \geq c \left| \{t \in [0, 1] : |g(t)| > \lambda\} \right|$$

for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ . Clearly, since  $g \notin M_{p,w}^{(*)}$ , from the last inequality it follows that  $f \notin M_{p,w}^{(*)}$ .  $\square$

In particular, the proof of Proposition 1 (ii) shows that the Morrey space  $M_{p,w}$  is not an r.i. space provided that its conditions hold.

For a normed ideal space  $X = (X, \|\cdot\|)$  on  $[0, 1]$  the Köthe dual (or associated space)  $X'$  is the space of all real-valued Lebesgue measurable functions defined on  $[0, 1]$  such that the associated norm

$$\|f\|_{X'} := \sup_{g \in X, \|g\|_X \leq 1} \int_0^1 |f(x)g(x)| dx$$

is finite. The Köthe dual  $X'$  is a Banach ideal space. Moreover,  $X \xrightarrow{1} X''$  and we have  $X = X''$  isometrically if and only if the norm in  $X$  has the *Fatou property*, that is, if  $0 \leq f_n \nearrow f$  a.e. on  $[0, 1]$  and  $\sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \|f_n\| < \infty$ , then  $f \in X$  and  $\|f_n\| \nearrow \|f\|$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{D}$  the set of all dyadic intervals  $I_k^n = [(k-1)2^{-n}, k2^{-n}]$ , where  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ . If  $f$  and  $g$  are nonnegative functions (or quasi-norms), then the symbol  $f \approx g$  means that  $C^{-1}g \leq f \leq Cg$  for some  $C \geq 1$ . Moreover, we write  $X \simeq Y$  if Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  are isomorphic.

The paper is organized as follows. After Introduction, in Section 2 the behavior of Rademacher sums in Morrey spaces is described (see Theorem 1). The main result of Section 3 is Theorem 2, which states that the Rademacher subspace  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , is complemented in the Morrey space  $M_{p,w}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$  is isomorphic to  $l_2$  or equivalently if  $\sup_{0 < t \leq 1} w(t) \log_2^{1/2}(2/t) < \infty$ . In the case when  $p = 1$  situation is different, which is the contents of Section 4, where we are proving in Theorem 3 that the subspace  $\mathcal{R}_{1,w}$  is not complemented in  $M_{1,w}$  for any quasi-concave weight  $w$ . Finally, in Section 5, the geometric structure of Rademacher subspaces in Morrey spaces is investigated (see Theorem 4).

## 2. Rademacher sums in Morrey spaces

We start with the description of behavior of Rademacher sums in the Morrey spaces  $M_{p,w}$  defined by quasi-norms (2), where  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $w$  is a non-decreasing function on  $[0, 1]$  satisfying the doubling condition  $w(2t) \leq C_0 w(t)$  for all  $t \in (0, 1/2]$  with a certain  $C_0 \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 1.** *With constants depending only on  $p$  we have*

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k r_k \right\|_{M_{p,w}} \approx \|\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\|_{l_2} + \sup_{m \in \mathbf{N}} \left( w(2^{-m}) \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k| \right). \quad (9)$$

**Proof.** Firstly, let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Consider an arbitrary interval  $I \in \mathcal{D}$ , i.e.,  $I = I_k^m$ , with  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^m$ . Then, for every  $f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k r_k$ , we have

$$\left( \int_I |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} = \left( \int_I \left| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \varepsilon_k + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r_k(t) \right|^p dt \right)^{1/p},$$

where  $\varepsilon_k = \text{sign } r_k|_I$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Since the functions

$$\sum_{k=1}^m a_k \varepsilon_k + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r_k(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \varepsilon_k - \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r_k(t)$$

are equimeasurable on the interval  $I$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_I |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_I \left| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \varepsilon_k + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r_k(t) \right|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_I \left| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \varepsilon_k - \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r_k(t) \right|^p dt \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

whence by the Minkowski inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_I |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} &\geq \left( \int_I \left| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \varepsilon_k \right|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \\ &= 2^{-m/p} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \varepsilon_k \right| \end{aligned}$$

for every  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ . Clearly, one may find  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^m\}$  such that  $r_k|_{I_i^m} = \text{sign } a_k$ , for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Therefore, for every  $m = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\left( \frac{1}{|I_i^m|} \int_{I_i^m} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \geq \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k|,$$

and so

$$\|f\|_{M_{p,w}} \geq \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} w(2^{-m}) \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k|. \quad (10)$$

On the other hand, by (7) and (3) we have

$$\|f\|_{M_{p,w}} \geq \|f\|_{L_p} \geq A_p \|\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty\|_{l_2}.$$

Combining these inequalities, we obtain

$$\|f\|_{M_{p,w}} \geq \frac{A_p}{2} \left( \|\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty\|_{l_2} + \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} w(2^{-m}) \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k| \right).$$

Let us prove the reverse inequality. For a given interval  $I \subset [0, 1]$  we can find two adjacent dyadic intervals  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  of the same length such that

$$I \subset I_1 \cup I_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} |I_1| \leq |I| \leq 2 |I_1|. \quad (11)$$

If  $|I_1| = |I_2| = 2^{-m}$ , then by the Minkowski triangle inequality and inequality in (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{I_1} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} &= \left( \int_{I_1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \varepsilon_k + \sum_{k=m+1}^\infty a_k r_k(t) \right|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left( \int_{I_1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \varepsilon_k \right|^p dt \right)^{1/p} + \left( \int_{I_1} \left| \sum_{k=m+1}^\infty a_k r_k(t) \right|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq 2^{-m/p} \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k| + 2^{-m/p} \left( \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=m+1}^\infty a_k r_{k-m}(t) \right|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq 2^{-m/p} \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k| + 2^{-m/p} B_p \|\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty\|_{l_2}. \end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds also for the integral  $(\int_{I_2} |f(t)|^p dt)^{1/p}$ . Therefore, by (11),

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} &\leq 2^{1/p} \left( \frac{1}{|I_1|} \int_{I_1} |f(t)|^p dt + \frac{1}{|I_2|} \int_{I_2} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq 4^{1/p} B_p \left( \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k| + \|\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty\|_{l_2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and, by the doubling condition,

$$\begin{aligned} w(|I|) \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} &\leq w(2 \cdot 2^{-m}) 4^{1/p} B_p \left( \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k| + \|\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty\|_{l_2} \right) \\ &\leq C_0 \cdot 4^{1/p} B_p w(2^{-m}) \left( \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k| + \|\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty\|_{l_2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using definition of the norm in  $M_{p,w}$ , we obtain

$$\|f\|_{M_{p,w}} \leq C_0 \cdot 4^{1/p} B_p \left( \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} w(2^{-m}) \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k| + \|\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty\|_{l_2} \right).$$

The same proof works also in the case when  $0 < p < 1$  with the only change that the corresponding  $L_p$ -triangle inequality holds with the constant  $2^{1/p-1}$ .  $\square$

In the rest of the paper, a weight function  $w$  is assumed to be *quasi-concave on*  $[0, 1]$ , that is,  $w(0) = 0$ ,  $w$  is non-decreasing, and  $w(t)/t$  is non-increasing on  $(0, 1]$ . Moreover, as above, we assume that  $w(1) = 1$ .

Recall that a basic sequence  $\{x_k\}$  in a Banach space  $X$  is called *subsymmetric* if it is unconditional and is equivalent in  $X$  to any subsequence of  $\{x_k\}$ .

**Corollary 1.** *For every  $1 \leq p < \infty$   $\{r_k\}$  is an unconditional and not subsymmetric basic sequence in  $M_{p,w}$ .*

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . The Rademacher functions span  $l_2$  space in  $M_{p,w}$  if and only if*

$$\sup_{0 < t \leq 1} w(t) \log_2^{1/2}(2/t) < \infty. \quad (12)$$

**Proof.** If (12) holds, then for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $w(2^{-m}) m^{1/2} \leq C$ . Using the Hölder–Rogers inequality, we obtain

$$w(2^{-m}) \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k| \leq w(2^{-m}) \left( \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} m^{1/2} \leq C \left( \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, from (9) it follows that  $\|\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k r_k\|_{M_{p,w}} \approx \|\{a_k\}\|_{l_2}$ .

Conversely, suppose that condition (12) does not hold. Then, by the quasi-concavity of  $w$ , there exists a sequence of natural numbers  $m_k \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$w(2^{-m_k}) m_k^{1/2} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (13)$$

Consider the Rademacher sums  $R_k(t) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^k r_i(t)$  corresponding to the sequences of coefficients  $a^k = (a_i^k)_{i=1}^{m_k}$ , where  $a_i^k = m_k^{-1/2}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m_k$ . We have  $\|a^k\|_{l_2} = 1$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . However,  $\sum_{i=1}^{m_k} a_i^k = m_k^{1/2}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ), which together with (13) and (9) imply that  $\|R_k\|_{M_{p,w}} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.** The Rademacher functions span  $l_2$  in each of the spaces  $M_{p,w}^{(*)}$ ,  $M_{p,w}$  and  $K_{p,w}$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  (see embeddings (7)). In fact, the Orlicz space  $L_M$  generated by the function  $M(u) = e^{u^2} - 1$  coincides with the Marcinkiewicz space  $M_{1,v}^{(*)}$  with  $v(t) = \log_2^{-1/2}(2/t)$  (cf. [4, Lemma 3.2]). Recalling that  $G$  is the closure of  $L_\infty$  in  $M_{1,v}^{(*)}$  we note that the embedding  $G \subset M_{p,w}^{(*)}$  holds if and only if (12) is satisfied. Therefore, by already mentioned Rodin–Semenov theorem (cf. [25]; see also [17, Theorem 2.b.4]), the Rademacher functions span  $l_2$  in  $M_{p,w}^{(*)}$  if and only if (12) holds.

Moreover, it is instructive to compare the behavior of Rademacher sums in the spaces  $M_{1,w}^{(*)}$ ,  $M_{1,w}$  and  $K_{1,w}$  in the case when  $w(t) = \log_2^{-1/q}(2/t)$ , where  $q > 2$ . Then (12) does not hold and

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k r_k \right\|_{M_{1,w}^{(*)}} \approx \|\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\|_{l_2} + \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} m^{-1/q} \sum_{k=1}^m a_k^*,$$

where  $\{a_k^*\}$  is the non-increasing rearrangement of  $\{|a_k|\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  (cf. Rodin–Semenov [25, p. 221] and Pisier [24]; see also Marcus–Pisier [19, pp. 277–278]),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k r_k \right\|_{M_{1,w}} &\approx \|\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\|_{l_2} + \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} m^{-1/q} \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k| \quad \text{by (9), and} \\ \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k r_k \right\|_{K_{1,w}} &\approx \|\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\|_{l_2} + \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} m^{-1/q} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \right| \quad (\text{cf. [6, Theorem 2]}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we pass to studying the problem of complementability of the closed linear span  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w} := [r_n]_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in the space  $M_{p,w}$ . Since the results turn out to be different for  $p > 1$  and  $p = 1$ , we consider these cases separately.

### 3. Complementability of Rademacher subspaces in Morrey spaces $M_{p,w}$ for $p > 1$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . The subspace  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$  is complemented in the Morrey space  $M_{p,w}$  if and only if condition (12) holds.*

To prove this theorem we will need the following auxiliary assertion.

**Proposition 2.** *If condition (12) does not hold, then the subspace  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$  contains a complemented (in  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$ ) subspace isomorphic to  $c_0$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $w$  is quasi-concave, by the assumption, we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(2^{-n})\sqrt{n} = \infty. \quad (14)$$

We select an increasing sequence of positive integers as follows. Let  $n_1$  be the least positive integer satisfying the inequality  $w(2^{-n_1})\sqrt{n_1} \geq 2$ . As it is easy to see  $w(2^{-n_1})\sqrt{n_1} < 2^2$ . By induction, assume that the numbers  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_{k-1}$  are chosen. Applying (14), we take for  $n_k$  the least positive integer such that

$$w(2^{-n_k})\sqrt{n_k - n_{k-1}} \geq 2^k. \quad (15)$$

Then, obviously,

$$w(2^{-n_k})\sqrt{n_k - n_{k-1}} < 2^{k+1}. \quad (16)$$



Thus, we obtain a sequence  $0 = n_0 < n_1 < \dots$  satisfying inequalities (15) and (16) for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let us consider the block basis  $\{v_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of the Rademacher system defined as follows:

$$v_k = \sum_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} a_i r_i, \quad \text{where} \quad a_i = \frac{1}{(n_k - n_{k-1}) w(2^{-n_k})} \quad \text{for} \quad n_{k-1} < i \leq n_k.$$

Let us recall that, by Theorem 1, if  $R = \sum_{k=1}^\infty b_k r_k$ , then  $\|R\|_{M_{p,w}} \approx \|R\|_{l_2} + \|R\|_w$ , where

$$\|R\|_{l_2} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^\infty b_k^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|R\|_w = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left( w(2^{-m}) \sum_{k=1}^m |b_k| \right).$$

Now, we estimate the norm of  $v_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , in  $M_{p,w}$ . At first, by (15),

$$\left( \sum_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} a_i^2 \right)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_k - n_{k-1}} w(2^{-n_k})} \leq 2^{-k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (17)$$

Moreover, taking into account (15), (16) and the choice of  $n_k$ , for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n_{k-1} < i \leq n_k$  we have

$$w(2^{-i}) \sum_{j=n_{k-1}+1}^i a_j = \frac{w(2^{-i})(i - n_{k-1})}{(n_k - n_{k-1})w(2^{-n_k})} \leq \frac{2^{k+1}\sqrt{i - n_{k-1}}}{2^k \sqrt{n_k - n_{k-1}}} \leq 2.$$

As a result, from preceding estimates and Theorem 1 (see also inequality (10) from its proof) it follows that

$$1 = w(2^{-n_k}) \sum_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} a_i \leq \|v_k\|_{M_{w,p}} \leq C \quad (18)$$

for some constant  $C > 0$  and every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $\{v_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a semi-normalized block basis of  $\{r_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $M_{p,w}$ .

Further, let us select a subsequence  $\{m_i\} \subset \{n_k\}$  such that

$$w(2^{-m_{i+1}}) \leq \frac{1}{2} w(2^{-m_i}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (19)$$

and denote by  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  the corresponding subsequence of  $\{v_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ . Then,  $u_i$  can be represented as follows:

$$u_i = \sum_{k=l_i}^{m_i} a_k r_k, \quad \text{where} \quad l_i = n_{j_i-1} + 1, \quad m_i = n_{j_i}, \quad j_1 < j_2 < \dots$$

We show that the sequence  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is equivalent in  $M_{p,w}$  to the unit vector basis of  $c_0$ .

Let  $f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \beta_i u_i$ ,  $\beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, we have

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \beta_i \sum_{k=l_i}^{m_i} a_k r_k = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \gamma_k r_k,$$

where  $\gamma_k = \beta_i a_k$ ,  $l_i \leq k \leq m_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\gamma_k = 0$  if  $k \notin \cup_{i=1}^\infty [l_i, m_i]$ . To estimate  $\|f\|_w$ , assume, at first, that  $m_s \leq q < l_{s+1}$  for some  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$\sum_{k=1}^q |\gamma_k| = \sum_{i=1}^s |\beta_i| \sum_{k=l_i}^{m_i} a_k = \sum_{i=1}^s |\beta_i| \frac{1}{w(2^{-m_i})} \leq \|(\beta_i)\|_{c_0} \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{w(2^{-m_i})},$$

and from (19) together with the fact that  $w$  increases it follows that

$$w(2^{-q}) \sum_{k=1}^q |\gamma_k| \leq \|(\beta_i)\|_{c_0} \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{w(2^{-m_s})}{w(2^{-m_i})} \leq \|(\beta_i)\|_{c_0} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-i} = 2 \|(\beta_i)\|_{c_0}.$$

Otherwise, we have  $l_s \leq q < m_s, s \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^q |\gamma_k| &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \frac{1}{w(2^{-m_i})} + \sum_{k=l_s}^q a_k \right) \|(\beta_i)\|_{c_0} \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \frac{1}{w(2^{-m_i})} + \frac{q - l_s + 1}{(m_s - l_s + 1) w(2^{-m_s})} \right) \|(\beta_i)\|_{c_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $m_s = n_{j_s}$  and  $l_s = n_{j_s-1} + 1$  for some  $j_s \in \mathbb{N}$ , in view of (15), (19) and the choice of  $n_{j_s}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w(2^{-q}) \sum_{k=1}^q |\gamma_k| &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \frac{w(2^{-m_{s-1}})}{w(2^{-m_i})} + \frac{w(2^{-q})(q - l_s + 1)}{(m_s - l_s + 1) w(2^{-m_s})} \right) \|(\beta_i)\|_{c_0} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-i} + \frac{2^{j_s+1} \sqrt{q - l_s + 1}}{2^{j_s} \sqrt{m_s - l_s + 1}} \right) \|(\beta_i)\|_{c_0} \leq 4 \|(\beta_i)\|_{c_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the previous estimate, we obtain that  $\|f\|_w \leq 4 \|(\beta_i)\|_{c_0}$ . Moreover, by (17),

$$\|f\|_{l_2}^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i^2 \sum_{k=l_i}^{m_i} a_k^2 \leq \|(\beta_i)\|_{c_0}^2.$$

Therefore, again by Theorem 1,

$$\|f\|_{M_{w,p}} \leq C (\|f\|_{l_2} + \|f\|_w) \leq 5C \|(\beta_i)\|_{c_0}.$$

In opposite direction, taking into account the fact that  $\{u_i\}$  is an unconditional sequence in  $M_{p,w}$ , by (18), we obtain

$$\|f\|_{M_{w,p}} \geq c \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\beta_i| \|u_i\|_{M_{w,p}} \geq c \|(\beta_i)\|_{c_0},$$

for some constant  $c > 0$ . Thus, we have proved that  $E := [u_n]_{M_{p,w}} \simeq c_0$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$  is separable, Sobczyk's theorem (see, for example, [1, Corollary 2.5.9]) implies that  $E$  is a complemented subspace in  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.** At first, let us assume that relation (12) holds. Then, by Corollary 2,  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w} \simeq l_2$ . Therefore, since  $M_{p,w} \xrightarrow{1} L_p$ , by the Khintchine inequality, the orthogonal projection  $P$  generated by the Rademacher system satisfies the following:

$$\|Pf\|_{M_{p,w}} \approx \|Pf\|_{L_p} \leq \|P\|_{L_p \rightarrow L_p} \|f\|_{L_p} \leq \|P\|_{L_p \rightarrow L_p} \|f\|_{M_{p,w}},$$

because  $P$  is bounded in  $L_p, 1 < p < \infty$ . Hence,  $P : M_{p,w} \rightarrow M_{p,w}$  is bounded.

Conversely, we argue in a similar way as in the proof of Theorem 4 in [5]. Suppose that the subspace  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w} = [r_n]_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is complemented in  $M_{p,w}$  and let  $P_1 : M_{p,w} \rightarrow M_{p,w}$  be a bounded linear projection whose range is  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$ . By Proposition 2, there is a subspace  $E$  complemented in  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$  and such that  $E \simeq c_0$ . Let

$P_2 : \mathcal{R}_{p,w} \rightarrow E$  be a bounded linear projection. Then  $P := P_2 \circ P_1$  is a linear projection bounded in  $M_{p,w}$  whose image coincides with  $E$ . Thus,  $M_{p,w}$  contains a complemented subspace  $E \simeq c_0$ .

Since  $M_{p,w}$  is a conjugate space (more precisely,  $M_{p,w} = (H^{q,u})^*$ , where  $H^{q,u}$  is the “block space” and  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ —see, for example, [29, Proposition 5]; see also [9] and [21]), this contradicts the well-known result due to Bessaga–Pełczyński saying that arbitrary conjugate space cannot contain a complemented subspace isomorphic to  $c_0$  (see [8, Corollary 4] and [7, Theorem 4 with its proof]). This contradiction proves the theorem.  $\square$

#### 4. Rademacher subspace $\mathcal{R}_{1,w}$ is not complemented in Morrey space $M_{1,w}$

**Theorem 3.** *For every quasi-concave weight  $w$  the subspace  $\mathcal{R}_{1,w}$  is not complemented in the Morrey space  $M_{1,w}$ .*

In the proof we consider two cases separately, depending if the condition (12) is satisfied or not.

**Proof of Theorem 3: the case when (12) does not hold.** On the contrary, we suppose that  $\mathcal{R}_{1,w}$  is complemented in  $M_{1,w}$ . Then, if  $Q$  is a bounded linear projection from  $M_{1,w}$  onto  $\mathcal{R}_{1,w}$ , by Theorem 1, for every  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $f \in M_{p,w}$ , we have

$$\|Qf\|_{M_{p,w}} \approx \|Qf\|_{M_{1,w}} \leq \|Q\| \|f\|_{M_{1,w}} \leq \|Q\| \|f\|_{M_{p,w}}.$$

Thus,  $Q$  is a bounded projection from  $M_{p,w}$  onto  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$ , which contradicts Theorem 2.  $\square$

To prove the assertion in the case when (12) holds, we will need auxiliary results.

Let  $M_{p,w}^d$  be the dyadic version of the space  $M_{p,w}$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , consisting of all measurable functions  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\|f\|_{M_{p,w}^d} = \sup_{I \in \mathcal{D}} w(|I|) \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

**Lemma 1.** *For every  $1 \leq p < \infty$   $M_{p,w} = M_{p,w}^d$  and*

$$\|f\|_{M_{p,w}^d} \leq \|f\|_{M_{p,w}} \leq 4 \|f\|_{M_{p,w}^d}. \quad (20)$$

**Proof.** The left-hand side inequality in (20) is obvious. To prove the right-hand side one, we observe that for any interval  $I \subset [0, 1]$  we can find adjacent dyadic intervals  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  of the same length such that  $I \subset I_1 \cup I_2$  and  $\frac{1}{2}|I_1| \leq |I| \leq 2|I_1|$ . Then, by the quasi-concavity of  $w$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} w(|I|) \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} &= \frac{w(|I|)}{|I|} \left( |I|^{p-1} \int_I |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \frac{w(\frac{1}{2}|I_1|)}{\frac{1}{2}|I_1|} \left[ 2^{p-1} |I_1|^{p-1} \left( \int_{I_1} |f(t)|^p dt + \int_{I_2} |f(t)|^p dt \right) \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq 2^{2-1/p} w(|I_1|) \left( \frac{1}{|I_1|} \int_{I_1} |f(t)|^p dt + \frac{1}{|I_2|} \int_{I_2} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 4 \sup_{I \in \mathcal{D}} w(|I|) \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} = 4 \|f\|_{M_{p,w}^d}.$$

Taking the supremum over all intervals  $I \subset [0, 1]$ , we obtain the right-hand side inequality in (20).  $\square$

Let  $P$  be the orthogonal projection generated by the Rademacher sequence, i.e.,

$$Pf(t) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 f(s) r_k(s) ds \cdot r_k(t).$$

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . If  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$  is a complemented subspace in  $M_{p,w}$ , then the projection  $P$  is bounded in  $M_{p,w}$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 1, it is sufficient to prove the same assertion for the dyadic space  $M_{p,w}^d$ . We almost repeat the arguments from the proof of the similar result for r.i. function spaces (see [26] or [4, Theorem 3.4]).

Let  $t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i 2^{-i}$  and  $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i 2^{-i}$  ( $\alpha_i, \beta_i = 0, 1$ ) be binary expansions of the numbers  $t, u \in [0, 1]$ . Define the following operation:

$$t \oplus u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} [(\alpha_i + \beta_i) \bmod 2].$$

One can easily verify that this operation transforms the segment  $[0, 1]$  into a compact Abelian group. For every  $u \in [0, 1]$ , the transformation  $w_u(s) = s \oplus u$  preserves the Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ , i.e., for any measurable  $E \subset [0, 1]$ , its inverse image  $w_u^{-1}(E)$  is measurable and  $|w_u^{-1}(E)| = |E|$ . Moreover,  $w_u$  maps any dyadic interval onto some dyadic interval. Hence, the operators  $T_u f = f \circ w_u$  ( $0 \leq u \leq 1$ ) act isometrically in  $M_{p,w}^d$ . From the definition of the Rademacher functions it follows that the subspace  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$  is invariant with respect to these operators. Therefore, by the Rudin theorem (see [27, Theorem 5.18, pp. 134–135]), there exists a bounded linear projection  $Q$  acting from  $M_{p,w}^d$  onto  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$  and commuting with all operators  $T_u$  ( $0 \leq u \leq 1$ ). We show that  $Q = P$ .

First of all, the projection  $Q$  has the representation

$$Qf(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i(f) r_i(t), \quad (21)$$

where by Theorem 1,  $Q_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are linear bounded functionals on  $M_{p,w}^d$ . It is obvious that

$$Q_i(r_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

Consider the sets

$$U_i = \left\{ u \in [0, 1] : u = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j 2^{-j}, \alpha_i = 0 \right\}, \quad U_i^c = [0, 1] \setminus U_i.$$

One can check that

$$r_i(t \oplus u) = \begin{cases} r_i(t) & \text{if } u \in U_i, \\ -r_i(t) & \text{if } u \in U_i^c. \end{cases}$$

Due to the relation  $T_u Q = Q T_u$  ( $0 \leq u \leq 1$ ) this implies

$$Q_i(T_u f) = \begin{cases} Q_i(f) & \text{if } u \in U_i, \\ -Q_i(f) & \text{if } u \in U_i^c. \end{cases}$$

Taking into account that  $|U_i| = |U_i^c| = 1/2$ , we find that

$$\int_{U_i} Q_i(T_u f) du = \frac{1}{2} Q_i(f) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{U_i^c} Q_i(T_u f) du = -\frac{1}{2} Q_i(f).$$

Thanks to the boundedness of  $Q_i$ , this functional can be moved outside the integral; therefore, we obtain

$$Q_i(f) = Q_i\left(\int_{U_i} T_u f du - \int_{U_i^c} T_u f du\right). \quad (23)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \{s \in [0, 1] : s = t \oplus u, \quad u \in U_i\} &= \begin{cases} U_i & \text{if } t \in U_i, \\ U_i^c & \text{if } t \in U_i^c, \end{cases} \\ \{s \in [0, 1] : s = t \oplus u, \quad u \in U_i^c\} &= \begin{cases} U_i^c & \text{if } t \in U_i, \\ U_i & \text{if } t \in U_i^c, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and the transformation  $\omega_u$  preserves Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ , we have

$$\int_{U_i} T_u f(t) du = \int_{U_i} f(s) ds \cdot \chi_{U_i}(t) + \int_{U_i^c} f(s) ds \cdot \chi_{U_i^c}(t)$$

and

$$\int_{U_i^c} T_u f(t) du = \int_{U_i^c} f(s) ds \cdot \chi_{U_i}(t) + \int_{U_i} f(s) ds \cdot \chi_{U_i^c}(t).$$

It is easy to see that  $r_i(t) = \chi_{U_i}(t) - \chi_{U_i^c}(t)$ . Therefore, from the last two relations it follows that

$$\int_{U_i} T_u f(t) du - \int_{U_i^c} T_u f(t) du = \int_0^1 f(s) r_i(s) ds \cdot r_i(t).$$

This and (21)–(23) yield

$$Q_i(f) = \int_0^1 f(s) r_i(s) ds, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

i.e.,  $Q = P$ , and Proposition 3 is proved.  $\square$

The following result, in fact, is known. However, we provide its proof for completeness.

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that the Rademacher sequence is equivalent in a Banach function lattice  $X$  on  $[0, 1]$  to the unit vector basis in  $l_2$ , i.e., for some constant  $C > 0$  and all  $a = (a_k)_{k=1}^\infty \in l_2$

$$C^{-1} \|a\|_{l_2} \leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k r_k \right\|_X \leq C \|a\|_{l_2}. \quad (24)$$

Moreover, let  $\{r_k\} \subset X'$ , where  $X'$  is the Köthe dual space for  $X$ . Then, the orthogonal projection  $P$  is bounded in  $X$  if and only if there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that for every  $a = (a_k)_{k=1}^\infty \in l_2$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k r_k \right\|_{X'} \leq C_1 \|a\|_{l_2}. \quad (25)$$

**Proof.** First, suppose that (25) holds. For arbitrary  $f \in X$ , we set

$$c_k(f) = \int_0^1 f(s) r_k(s) ds, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

By (25), for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n c_k(f)^2 &= \int_0^1 f(s) \sum_{k=1}^n c_k(f) r_k(s) ds \leq \|f\|_X \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n c_k(f) r_k \right\|_{X'} \\ &\leq C_1 \|f\|_X \left( \sum_{k=1}^n c_k(f)^2 \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, taking into account (24), we obtain

$$\|Pf\|_X \leq C \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(f)^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C \cdot C_1 \|f\|_X.$$

Thus,  $P$  is bounded in  $X$ .

Conversely, if  $P$  is a bounded projection in  $X$ , then from (24) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 f(t) \sum_{k=1}^n a_k r_k(t) dt &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \cdot c_k(f) \leq \|a\|_{l_2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n c_k(f)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \|a\|_{l_2} \|Pf\|_X \leq C \|P\|_{X \rightarrow X} \|a\|_{l_2} \|f\|_X \end{aligned}$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , all  $a = (a_k)_{k=1}^\infty \in l_2$  and  $f \in X$ . Hence,

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k r_k \right\|_{X'} \leq C \|P\|_{X \rightarrow X} \|a\|_{l_2},$$

and (25) is proved.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3: the case when (12) holds.** In view of Lemmas 1, 2 and Proposition 2 it is sufficient to prove that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n r_k \right\|_{(M_{1,w}^d)'} = \infty. \quad (26)$$

For every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sqrt{m/2} \in \mathbb{N}$  we consider the set

$$E_m := \{t \in [0, 1] : 0 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{2m} r_k(t) \leq \sqrt{m/2}\}.$$

Clearly,  $E_m = \bigcup_{k \in S_m} I_k^{2m}$ , where  $S_m \subset \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{2m}\}$ . Also, it is easy to see that  $|E_m| \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Denoting

$$f_m := \frac{1}{w(|E_m|)} \chi_{E_m}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

we show that

$$\|f_m\|_{M_{1,w}^d} \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (27)$$

In fact, let  $I$  be a dyadic interval from  $[0, 1]$ . Clearly, we can assume that  $I \cap E_m \neq \emptyset$ . Then, by using the quasi-concavity of  $w$ , we have

$$\frac{w(|I|)}{|I|} \int_I |f_m(t)| dt = \frac{w(|I|)}{|I|} \cdot \frac{|I \cap E_m|}{w(|E_m|)} \leq \frac{w(|I|)}{|I|} \cdot \frac{|I \cap E_m|}{w(|I \cap E_m|)} \leq 1,$$

and (27) is proved.

From (27) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{2m} r_k \right\|_{(M_{1,w}^d)'} &\geq \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=1}^{2m} r_k(t) \right| \cdot f_m(t) dt = \frac{1}{w(|E_m|)} \int_{E_m} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{2m} r_k(t) \right| dt \\ &= \frac{1}{w(|E_m|)} 2^{-2m} \sum_{i \in S_m} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{2m} \varepsilon_k^i \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon_k^i = \text{sign } r_k|_{\Delta_i^{2m}}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2m$ ,  $i \in S_m$ . Denoting  $\sigma_m := \sum_{i \in S_m} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{2m} \varepsilon_k^i \right|$ , by the definition of  $E_m$ , we obtain

$$\sigma_m = 2 \cdot \sum_{m - \sqrt{m/2} \leq k \leq m} C_k^{2m}(m-k) = 2 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\sqrt{m/2}} C_{m-k}^{2m} \cdot k, \quad (28)$$

where  $C_i^n = \frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Let us estimate the ratio  $C_{m-k}^{2m}/C_m^{2m}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq \sqrt{m/2}$  from below. At first,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C_{m-k}^{2m}}{C_m^{2m}} &= \frac{(m!)^2}{(m-k)!(m+k)!} = \frac{(m-k+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (m-1) \cdot m}{(m+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (m+k-1) \cdot (m+k)} \\ &= \frac{m}{m+k} \cdot \frac{(m-k+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (m-1)}{(m+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (m+k-1)} = \frac{m}{m+k} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1 - \frac{j}{m}}{1 + \frac{j}{m}} \\ &= \frac{m}{m+k} \cdot \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \log \frac{1 - \frac{j}{m}}{1 + \frac{j}{m}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we will need the following elementary inequality

$$\log \frac{1-t}{1+t} + 2t + 2t^3 \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (29)$$

Indeed, we set

$$\varphi(t) := \log \frac{1-t}{1+t} + 2t + 2t^3.$$

Then,  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . Moreover, for all  $t \in [0, 1/2]$  we have

$$\varphi'(t) = -\frac{2}{1-t^2} + 2 + 6t^2 = \frac{2t^2(2-3t^2)}{1-t^2} \geq 0.$$

Thus,  $\varphi(t)$  increases on the interval  $[0, 1/2]$ , and (29) is proved.

From the above formula, inequality (29) and the condition  $1 \leq k \leq \sqrt{m/2}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C_{m-k}^{2m}}{C_m^{2m}} &\geq \frac{m}{m+k} \exp\left(-\frac{2}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j - \frac{2}{m^3} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j^3\right) \\ &= \frac{m}{m+k} \exp\left(\frac{-k(k-1)}{m}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-(k-1)^2 k^2}{2m^3}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(-\frac{k^2}{m} - \frac{1}{m}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this estimate with equation (28), we infer

$$\sigma_m = 2 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\sqrt{m/2}} \frac{C_{m-k}^{2m}}{C_m^{2m}} \cdot k \cdot C_m^{2m} \geq C_m^{2m} \cdot e^{-1/m} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\sqrt{m/2}} e^{-\frac{k^2}{m}} \cdot k. \quad (30)$$

The function  $\psi(u) = e^{-\frac{u^2}{m}} \cdot u$  increases on the interval  $[0, \sqrt{m/2}]$  because of

$$\psi'(u) = e^{-\frac{u^2}{m}} + u e^{-\frac{u^2}{m}} (-2u/m) = e^{-\frac{u^2}{m}} (1 - 2u^2/m) \geq 0$$

for  $0 \leq u \leq \sqrt{m/2}$ . Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\sqrt{m/2}} e^{-\frac{k^2}{m}} \cdot k > \sum_{k=1}^{\sqrt{m/2}} \int_{k-1}^k e^{-\frac{u^2}{m}} \cdot u \, du = \frac{m}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{3}m.$$

Moreover, an easy calculation, by using the Stirling formula, shows that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} C_m^{2m} 4^{-m} \sqrt{\pi m} = 1.$$

Thus, from the above and (30) it follows that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{2m} r_k \right\|_{(M_{1,w}^d)'} \geq \frac{1}{w(|E_m|)} 2^{-2m} \sum_{i \in S_m} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{2m} \varepsilon_k^i \right| = \frac{1}{w(|E_m|)} 2^{-2m} \sigma_m$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \frac{1}{w(|E_m|)} 2^{-2m} \cdot C_m^{2m} \cdot e^{-1/m} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\sqrt{m/2}} e^{-\frac{k^2}{m}} \cdot k \\
&\geq \frac{1}{w(|E_m|)} 4^{-m} \cdot C_m^{2m} \cdot e^{-1/m} \cdot \frac{1}{3} m \approx \frac{\sqrt{m}}{3\sqrt{\pi} w(|E_m|)}
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sqrt{m/2} \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $|E_m| \rightarrow 0$ , then by (12)  $w(|E_m|) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, the preceding inequality implies (26) and the proof is complete.  $\square$

## 5. Structure of Rademacher subspaces in Morrey spaces

Applying Theorem 1 allows us also to study the geometric structure of Rademacher subspaces in Morrey spaces  $M_{p,w}$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} w(t) = 0$ . Then every infinite-dimensional subspace of  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$  is either isomorphic to  $l_2$  or contains a subspace, which is isomorphic to  $c_0$  and is complemented in  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$ .*

The following two propositions are main tools in the proof of the above theorem.

**Proposition 4.** *Suppose that  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} w(t) = 0$ . Then the Rademacher functions form a shrinking basis in  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$ .*

**Proof.** To prove the shrinking property of  $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  we need to show that for every  $\varphi \in (M_{p,w})^*$  we have

$$\|\varphi|_{[r_n]_{n=m}^\infty}\|_{(M_{p,w})^*} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \quad (31)$$

Assume that (31) does not hold. Then there exist  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\varphi \in (M_{p,w})^*$  with  $\|\varphi\|_{(M_{p,w})^*} = 1$ , and a sequence of functions

$$f_n = \sum_{k=m_n}^\infty a_k^{m_n} r_k, \quad \text{where } m_1 < m_2 < \dots,$$

such that  $\|f_n\|_{M_{p,w}} = 1$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and

$$\varphi(f_n) \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (32)$$

Let us construct two sequences of positive integers  $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  and  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ ,  $1 \leq q_1 < p_1 < q_2 < p_2 < \dots$  as follows. Setting  $q_1 = m_1$ , we can find  $p_1 > q_1$ , so that  $\|\sum_{n=p_1+1}^\infty a_k^{q_1} r_k\|_{M_{p,w}} \leq \varepsilon/2$ . Now, if the numbers  $1 \leq q_1 < p_1 < q_2 < p_2 < \dots < q_{i-1} < p_{i-1}$ ,  $i \geq 2$ , are chosen, we take for  $q_i$  the smallest of numbers  $m_n$ , which is larger than  $p_{i-1}$  such that

$$w(2^{-q_i}) \leq \frac{1}{2} w(2^{-q_{i-1}}). \quad (33)$$

Moreover, let  $p_i > q_i$  be such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=p_i+1}^\infty a_k^{q_i} r_k \right\|_{M_{p,w}} \leq \varepsilon/2. \quad (34)$$

We set  $\alpha_k^i := a_k^{q_i}$  if  $q_i \leq k \leq p_i$ , and  $\alpha_k^i := 0$  if  $p_i < k < q_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then, the sequence

$$u_i := \sum_{k=q_i}^{q_{i+1}-1} \alpha_k^i r_k, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

is a block basis of the Rademacher sequence. Moreover, by the definition of  $u_i$ ,

$$\sup_{i=1,2,\dots} \|u_i\|_{M_{p,w}} \leq 2, \quad (35)$$

and from the choice of the functional  $\varphi$  and (34) it follows that

$$\varphi(u_i) = \varphi\left(\sum_{k=q_i}^{p_i} a_k^{q_i} r_k\right) = \varphi(f_i) - \varphi\left(\sum_{k=p_i+1}^{\infty} a_k^{q_i} r_k\right) \geq \varphi(f_i) - \left\|\sum_{k=p_i+1}^{\infty} a_k^{q_i} r_k\right\|_{M_{p,w}} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (36)$$

Let  $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be an arbitrary sequence of positive numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n^2 < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty. \quad (37)$$

We show that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n u_n$  converges in  $M_{p,w}$ . To this end, we set  $b_k := \alpha_k^i \cdot \gamma_i$  if  $q_i \leq k < q_{i+1}$ . For every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , by Theorem 1,

$$\left\|\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \gamma_n u_n\right\|_{M_{p,w}} = \left\|\sum_{k=q_m}^{\infty} b_k r_k\right\|_{M_{p,w}} \approx \left(\sum_{k=q_m}^{\infty} b_k^2\right)^{1/2} + \sup_{l \geq q_m} w(2^{-l}) \cdot \sum_{k=q_m}^l |b_k|. \quad (38)$$

Let us estimate both summands from the right-hand side of (38). At first, from (35) and Theorem 1 it follows that

$$\sum_{k=q_m}^{\infty} b_k^2 = \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \gamma_i^2 \sum_{k=q_i}^{q_{i+1}-1} (\alpha_k^i)^2 \leq C_1 \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \gamma_i^2. \quad (39)$$

Similarly, if  $q_m < \dots < q_{m+r} \leq l < q_{m+r+1}$  for some  $r = 1, 2, \dots$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=q_m}^l |b_k| &= \sum_{i=m}^{m+r-1} |\gamma_i| \sum_{k=q_i}^{q_{i+1}-1} |\alpha_k^i| + |\gamma_{m+r}| \sum_{k=q_{m+r}}^l |\alpha_k^{m+r}| \\ &\leq C_2 \left( \sum_{i=m}^{m+r-1} \frac{|\gamma_i|}{w(2^{-q_{i+1}})} + \frac{|\gamma_{m+r}|}{w(2^{-l})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this inequality together with (33), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w(2^{-l}) \sum_{k=q_m}^l |b_k| &\leq C_2 \left( \sum_{i=m}^{m+r-1} |\gamma_i| \frac{w(2^{-q_{m+r}})}{w(2^{-q_{i+1}})} + |\gamma_{m+r}| \right) \\ &\leq C_2 \left( \sum_{i=m}^{m+r-1} |\gamma_i| 2^{-m-r+i+1} + |\gamma_{m+r}| \right) \\ &\leq C_2 \max_{i \geq m} |\gamma_i| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} 2^{1+j-r} + 1 \right) < 3 C_2 \max_{i \geq m} |\gamma_i|. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the latter estimate holds also in the simpler case when  $q_m \leq l < q_{m+1}$ . Thus, for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sup_{l \geq q_m} w(2^{-l}) \sum_{k=q_m}^l |b_k| \leq 3 C_2 \max_{i \geq m} |\gamma_i|. \quad (40)$$

From (37)–(40) it follows that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n u_n$  converges in  $M_{p,w}$ . At the same time, since  $\varphi \in (M_{p,w})^*$ , by (36) and (37), we have

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n u_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n \varphi(u_n) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty,$$

and so (31) is proved.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** Under assumptions of Proposition 4:

- (i)  $r_k \rightarrow 0$  weakly in  $M_{p,w}$ .
- (ii) The Rademacher functions form a basis in the dual space  $(\mathcal{R}_{p,w})^*$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is the biorthogonal system to  $\{r_n\}$  itself, (ii) follows from Proposition 4 and Proposition 1.b.1 in [16].  $\square$

**Proposition 5.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} w(t) = 0$ . Suppose that

$$u_n = \sum_{k=m_n}^{m_{n+1}-1} a_k r_k, \quad 1 = m_1 < m_2 < \dots$$

is a block basis such that  $\|u_n\|_{M_{p,w}} = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sum_{k=m_n}^{m_{n+1}-1} a_k^2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, let

$$w(2^{-m_{n+1}}) \leq \frac{1}{2} w(2^{-m_n}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (41)$$

Then the sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  contains a subsequence equivalent in  $M_{p,w}$  to the unit vector basis of  $c_0$ .

**Proof.** Passing to a subsequence if it is needed, without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\sum_{k=m_n}^{m_{n+1}-1} a_k^2 \leq 2^{-n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (42)$$

Suppose that  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n u_n \in \mathcal{R}_{p,w}$ . Setting  $b_k = a_k \beta_i$  if  $m_i \leq k < m_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , by Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\|f\|_{M_{p,w}} = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k r_k \right\|_{M_{p,w}} \approx \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k^2 \right)^{1/2} + \sup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} w(2^{-l}) \sum_{k=1}^l |b_k|. \quad (43)$$

At first, by (42),

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i^2 \sum_{k=m_i}^{m_{i+1}-1} a_k^2 \leq \left( \sup_{i=1,2,\dots} |\beta_i| \right)^2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \leq \|(\beta_i)\|_{c_0}^2.$$

Moreover, precisely in the same way as in the proof of [Proposition 4](#) from (41) and the equations  $\|u_n\|_{M_{p,w}} = 1$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  it follows that for some constant  $C' > 0$

$$\sup_{l=1,2,\dots} w(2^{-l}) \sum_{k=1}^l |b_k| \leq C' \|(\beta_i)\|_{c_0}.$$

Combining the last two inequalities together with (43), we conclude that  $\|f\|_{M_{p,w}} \leq C \|(\beta_i)\|_{c_0}$  for some constant  $C > 0$ .

Conversely, since  $\{u_n\}$  is an unconditional sequence in  $M_{p,w}$  and  $\|u_n\|_{M_{p,w}} = 1$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , by [Theorem 1](#),  $\|f\|_{M_{p,w}} \geq c|\beta_i|$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , with some constant  $c > 0$ . Hence,  $\|f\|_{M_{p,w}} \geq c \|(\beta_i)\|_{c_0}$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 4.** Assume that  $X$  is an infinite-dimensional subspace of  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$  such that for every  $f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k r_k \in X$  we have

$$\|f\|_{M_{p,w}} \approx \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

with a constant independent of  $b_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then,  $X$  is isomorphic to some subspace of  $l_2$  and so to  $l_2$  itself.

Therefore, if  $X$  is not isomorphic to  $l_2$ , then there is a sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset X$ ,  $f_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{n,k} r_k$ , such that  $\|f_n\|_{M_{p,w}} = 1$  and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{n,k}^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (44)$$

Observe that  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  does not contain any subsequence converging in  $M_{p,w}$ -norm. In fact, if  $\|f_{n_k} - f\|_{M_{p,w}} \rightarrow 0$  for some  $\{f_{n_k}\} \subset \{f_n\}$  and  $f \in X$ , then from [Theorem 1](#) and (44) it follows that  $f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k r_k$ , where  $b_k = 0$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence,  $f = 0$ . On the other hand, obviously,  $\|f\|_{M_{p,w}} = 1$ , and we come to a contradiction.

Thus, passing if it is needed to a subsequence, we can assume that

$$\|f_n - f_m\|_{M_{p,w}} \geq \varepsilon > 0 \quad \text{for all } n \neq m. \quad (45)$$

Recall that, by [Corollary 3](#), the sequence  $\{r_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a basis of the space  $(\mathcal{R}_{p,w})^*$ . Applying the diagonal process, we can find the sequence  $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ , such that for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  there exists  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 r_i(s) f_{n_k}(s) ds$ . Then,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 r_i(s) (f_{n_{2k+1}}(s) - f_{n_{2k}}(s)) ds = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence, since the sequence  $\{f_{n_{2k+1}} - f_{n_{2k}}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is bounded in  $M_{p,w}$  we infer that  $f_{n_{2k+1}} - f_{n_{2k}} \rightarrow 0$  weakly in  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$  (with respect to the norm of  $M_{p,w}$ ). Now, taking into account (45) and applying the well-known Bessaga–Pełczyński Selection Principle (cf. [1, [Proposition 1.3.10](#), p. 14]), we may construct a subsequence of the sequence  $\{f_{n_{2k+1}} - f_{n_{2k}}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  (we keep for it the same notation) and a block basis

$$u_k = \sum_{j=m_k}^{m_{k+1}-1} a_j r_j, \quad 1 = m_1 < m_2 < \dots,$$

such that

$$\|u_k - (f_{n_{2k+1}} - f_{n_{2k}})\|_{M_{p,w}} \leq B_0^{-1} \cdot 2^{-k-1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (46)$$

where  $B_0$  is the basis constant of  $\{r_k\}$  in  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$ , and

$$w(2^{-m_{k+1}}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot w(2^{-m_k}), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

From (46) it follows that the sequences  $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  and  $\{f_{n_{2k+1}} - f_{n_{2k}}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  are equivalent in  $M_{p,w}$  (cf. [16, Proposition 1.a.9]). Moreover, by Theorem 1 and (44),

$$\sum_{j=m_k}^{m_{k+1}-1} a_j^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

According to the latter relations we can apply Proposition 5, which implies that the sequence  $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  (and so  $\{f_{n_{2k+1}} - f_{n_{2k}}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ ) contains a subsequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of  $c_0$ . Since  $\{f_{n_{2k+1}} - f_{n_{2k}}\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset X$ , then  $X$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $c_0$ . Complementability of this subspace in  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w}$  is an immediate consequence of Sobczyk's theorem (see [1, Corollary 2.5.9]).  $\square$

**Remark 2.** If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} w(t) > 0$ , then  $M_{p,w} = L_\infty$  and  $\{r_k\}$  is equivalent in  $M_{p,w}$  to the unit vector basis of  $l_1$  (cf. Theorem 1). Observe also that if  $\sup_{0 < t \leq 1} w(t) \log_2^{1/2}(2/t) < \infty$ , then we get another trivial situation:  $\mathcal{R}_{p,w} \simeq l_2$  (see Corollary 2).

## References

- [1] F. Albiac, N.J. Kalton, Topics in Banach Space Theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 233, Springer, New York, 2006.
- [2] J. Alvarez Alonso, The distribution function in the Morrey space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (4) (1981) 693–699.
- [3] S.V. Astashkin, About interpolation of subspaces of rearrangement invariant spaces generated by Rademacher system, Int. J. Math. Sci. 25 (7) (2001) 451–465.
- [4] S.V. Astashkin, Rademacher functions in symmetric spaces, Sovrem. Mat. Fundam. Napravl. 32 (2009) 3–161, English transl. in J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 169 (6) (2010) 725–886.
- [5] S.V. Astashkin, M. Leibov, L. Maligranda, Rademacher functions in BMO, Studia Math. 205 (1) (2011) 83–100.
- [6] S.V. Astashkin, L. Maligranda, Rademacher functions in Cesàro type spaces, Studia Math. 198 (3) (2010) 235–247.
- [7] C. Bessaga, A. Pełczyński, On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach spaces, Studia Math. 17 (1958) 151–164.
- [8] C. Bessaga, A. Pełczyński, Some remarks on conjugate spaces containing subspaces isomorphic to the space  $c_0$ , Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys. 6 (1958) 249–250.
- [9] O. Blasco, A. Ruiz, L. Vega, Non-interpolation in Morrey–Campanato and block spaces, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 28 (1) (1999) 31–40.
- [10] Yu.A. Brudnyi, N.Ya. Krugljak, Interpolation Functors and Interpolation Spaces, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991.
- [11] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow, A. Tonge, Absolutely Summing Operators, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [12] B.I. Korenblyum, S.G. Krein, B.Ya. Levin, On certain nonlinear questions of the theory of singular integrals, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N. S.) 62 (1948) 17–20 (Russian).
- [13] S.G. Krein, Yu.I. Petunin, E.M. Semenov, Interpolation of Linear Operators, Nauka, Moscow, 1978 (Russian), English transl. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1982.
- [14] A. Kufner, O. John, S. Fučík, Function Spaces, Academia, Prague, 1977.
- [15] P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset, Multipliers and Morrey spaces, Potential Anal. 38 (2013) 741–752.
- [16] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach Spaces, I. Sequence Spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1977.
- [17] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach Spaces, II. Function Spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1979.
- [18] W.A.J. Luxemburg, A.C. Zaanen, Some examples of normed Köthe spaces, Math. Ann. 162 (1966) 337–350.
- [19] M.B. Marcus, G. Pisier, Characterizations of almost surely continuous  $p$ -stable random Fourier series and strongly stationary processes, Acta Math. 152 (3–4) (1984) 245–301.
- [20] C.B. Morrey, On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 43 (1938) 126–166.
- [21] E. Nakai, Orlicz–Morrey spaces and their preduals, in: M. Kato, L. Maligranda, T. Suzuki (Eds.), Banach and Function Spaces III. Proc. of the Third Internat. Symp. on Banach and Function Spaces, ISBFS2009, 14–17 Sept. 2009, Kitakyushu, Japan, Yokohama Publishers, 2011, pp. 173–186.

- [22] R.E.A.C. Paley, A. Zygmund, On some series of functions. I, II, *Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 26 (1930) 337–357, 458–474.
- [23] J. Peetre, On the theory of  $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$  spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* 4 (1969) 71–87.
- [24] G. Pisier, De nouvelles caractérisations des ensembles de Sidon (Some new characterizations of Sidon sets), in: *Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Part B*, in: *Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud.*, vol. 7b, Academic Press, New York/London, 1981, pp. 685–726.
- [25] V.A. Rodin, E.M. Semyonov, Rademacher series in symmetric spaces, *Anal. Math.* 1 (3) (1975) 207–222.
- [26] V.A. Rodin, E.M. Semenov, The complementability of a subspace that is generated by the Rademacher system in a symmetric space, *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 13 (2) (1979) 91–92, English transl. in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 13 (2) (1979) 150–151.
- [27] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, 2nd edition, MacGraw-Hill, New York, 1991.
- [28] A.C. Zaanan, *Riesz Spaces II*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [29] C.T. Zorko, Morrey spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 98 (4) (1986) 586–592.
- [30] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometrical Series*, Fundusz Kultury Narodowej, Warszawa/Lwów, 1935.
- [31] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Vols. I, II, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, New York, 1959.