



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



ScienceDirect

J. Math. Anal. Appl. 330 (2007) 1347–1362

Journal of
MATHEMATICAL
ANALYSIS AND
APPLICATIONS

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

Positive periodic solutions of periodic neutral Lotka–Volterra system with state dependent delays [☆]

Yongkun Li

Department of Mathematics, Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan 650091, People's Republic of China

Received 14 February 2006

Available online 15 September 2006

Submitted by K. Gopalsamy

Abstract

By using a fixed point theorem of strict-set-contraction, some new criteria are established for the existence of positive periodic solutions of the following periodic neutral Lotka–Volterra system with state dependent delays

$$\frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = x_i(t) \left[r_i(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t)x_j(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t)x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t)x'_j(t - \sigma_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where $r_i, a_{ij}, b_{ij}, c_{ij} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are ω -periodic functions and $\tau_{ij}, \sigma_{ij} \in C(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathbb{R})$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are ω -periodic functions with respect to their first arguments, respectively.

© 2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Positive periodic solution; Neutral functional differential equation; Lotka–Volterra system; k -Set contraction

[☆] This work is supported by the National Natural Sciences Foundation of People's Republic of China under Grant 10361006 and the Natural Sciences Foundation of Yunnan Province under Grant 2003A0001M.

E-mail address: yklie@ynu.edu.cn.

1. Introduction

Recently, by using the continuation theorem developed by Gaines and Mawhin [1], Yang and Cao [2] studied the existence of positive periodic solutions for the following neutral Lotka–Volterra system with delays

$$\frac{dN_i(t)}{dt} = N_i(t) \left[a_i(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(t) N_j(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t) N_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t)) \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t) N'_j(t - \gamma_{ij}(t)) \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (1.1)$$

where $a_i, \beta_{ij}, b_{ij}, c_{ij}, \tau_{ij}, \gamma_{ij}$ are nonnegative continuous ω -periodic functions. However, the verification of the important assumption that the operator $N : X \rightarrow X$ is L -compact is incomplete. The reason why the verification is incomplete is the same as that pointed out by Lu and Ge in [3]. Also, by using an existence theorem for neutral functional differential equations developed in [4,5], Fang [6] studied the existence of positive periodic solutions of (1.1). Under the transformation $N_i(t) = e^{x_i(t)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, Fang first rewrote the above equation in the following form

$$\left[x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{c_{ij}(t)}{1 - \tau'_{ij}(t)} e^{x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))} \right]' \\ = a_i(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(t) e^{x_j(t)} - \sum_{j=1}^n \left(b_{ij}(t) - \left(\frac{c_{ij}(t)}{1 - \tau'_{ij}(t)} \right)' \right) e^{x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))}, \\ i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (1.2)$$

and made use of the existence theorem to obtain the existence of at least one periodic solution $x^*(t) = (x_1^*(t), x_2^*(t), \dots, x_n^*(t))^T$ of (1.2). Then he claimed that $N^*(t) = (e^{x_1^*(t)}, e^{x_2^*(t)}, \dots, e^{x_n^*(t)})^T$ is a positive periodic solution of (1.1). Unfortunately, according to his proof, he only proved that (1.2) has at least one continuous periodic solution $x^*(t)$ satisfying that

$$x_i^*(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{c_{ij}(t)}{1 - \tau'_{ij}(t)} e^{x_j^*(t - \tau_{ij}(t))}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

are differentiable. However, in general, $x^*(t)$ is not differentiable. So, $N^*(t)$ is not necessarily a solution of (1.1).

The main purpose of this paper is by using a fixed point theorem of strict-set-contraction to establish new criteria to guarantee the existence of positive periodic solutions of the following neutral Lotka–Volterra system with state dependent delays

$$\frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = x_i(t) \left[r_i(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t) x_j(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t) x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t) x'_j(t - \sigma_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (1.3)$$

where $r_i, a_{ij}, b_{ij}, c_{ij} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are ω -periodic functions and $\tau_{ij}, \sigma_{ij} \in C(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathbb{R})$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are ω -periodic functions with respect to their first arguments, respectively. Obviously, (1.1) is a special case of system (1.3).

For convenience, we introduce the notation

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_i &:= e^{-\int_0^\omega r_i(s) ds}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ M_{ij} &= \int_0^\omega [\delta_j a_{ij}(s) + \delta_j b_{ij}(s) - c_{ij}(s)] ds, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \hat{M}_{ij} &= \int_0^\omega [a_{ij}(s) + b_{ij}(s) + c_{ij}(s)] ds, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ f^M &= \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} \{f(t)\}, \quad f^m = \min_{t \in [0, \omega]} \{f(t)\},\end{aligned}$$

where f is a continuous ω -periodic function.

Throughout this paper, we assume that:

- (H1) $\delta_i := e^{-\int_0^\omega r_i(s) ds} < 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$
- (H2) $\delta_j a_{ij}(t) + \delta_j b_{ij}(t) - c_{ij}(t) \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$
- (H3) $(1 + r_i^m) \frac{\delta_i^2 M_{ij}}{1 - \delta_i} \geq \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} \{a_{ij}(t) + b_{ij}(t) + c_{ij}(t)\},$
 $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$
- (H4) $\frac{\hat{M}_{ij}(r_i^M - 1)}{\delta_i(1 - \delta_i)} \leq \min_{t \in [0, \omega]} \{\delta_j a_{ij}(t) + \delta_j b_{ij}(t) - c_{ij}(t)\},$
 $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$
- (H5) $\left[\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{1 - \delta_i}{\delta_i^2 \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{M_{ij}\}} \right\} \right] \left[\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}^M \right\} \right] < 1.$

2. Preliminaries

In order to obtain the existence of a periodic solution of system (1.3), we first make the following preparations:

Let E be a Banach space and K be a cone in E . The semi-order induced by the cone K is denoted by “ \leqslant ”. That is, $x \leqslant y$ if and only if $y - x \in K$. We also use the notations $\not\leqslant$ and $\not\geqslant$ that mean $x \not\leqslant y$ if and only if $y - x \notin K$ and $x \not\geqslant y$ if and only if $x - y \notin K$, respectively. In addition, for a bounded subset $A \subset E$, let $\alpha_E(A)$ denote the (Kuratowski) measure of non-compactness defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_E(A) &= \inf \left\{ \delta > 0: \text{there is a finite number of subsets } A_i \subset A \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{such that } A = \bigcup_i A_i \text{ and } \text{diam}(A_i) \leq \delta \right\},\end{aligned}$$

where $\text{diam}(A_i)$ denotes the diameter of the set A_i .

Let E, F be two Banach spaces and $D \subset E$, a continuous and bounded map $\Phi : D \rightarrow F$ is called k -set contractive if for any bounded set $S \subset D$ we have

$$\alpha_F(\Phi(S)) \leq k\alpha_E(S).$$

Φ is called strict-set-contractive if it is k -set-contractive for some $0 \leq k < 1$.

The following lemma cited from Refs. [7,8] which is useful for the proof of our main results of this paper.

Lemma 2.1. [7,8] *Let K be a cone of the real Banach space X and $K_{r,R} = \{x \in K : r \leq \|x\| \leq R\}$ with $R > r > 0$. Suppose that $\Phi : K_{r,R} \rightarrow K$ is strict-set-contractive such that one of the following two conditions is satisfied:*

- (i) $\Phi x \not\leq x, \forall x \in K, \|x\| = r$ and $\Phi x \not\geq x, \forall x \in K, \|x\| = R$.
- (ii) $\Phi x \not\geq x, \forall x \in K, \|x\| = r$ and $\Phi x \not\leq x, \forall x \in K, \|x\| = R$.

Then Φ has at least one fixed point in $K_{r,R}$.

In order to apply Lemma 2.1 to system (1.3), we set

$$C_\omega^0 = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T : x \in C^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n), x(t + \omega) = x(t)\}$$

with the norm defined by $\|x\| = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|_0$ where $|x_i|_0 = \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} \{|x_i(t)|\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and

$$C_\omega^1 = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T : x \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n), x(t + \omega) = x(t)\}$$

with the norm defined by $\|x\|_1 = \max\{\|x\|, \|x'\|\}$. Then C_ω^0, C_ω^1 are all Banach spaces. Define the cone K in C_ω^1 by

$$K = \{x : x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in C_\omega^1, x_j(t) \geq \delta_j |x_j|_1, t \in [0, \omega], j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $|x_j|_1 = \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} \{|x_j(t)|, |x'_j(t)|\}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Let the map Φ be defined by

$$(\Phi x)(t) = ((\Phi_1 x)(t), (\Phi_2 x)(t), \dots, (\Phi_n x)(t))^T, \quad (2.2)$$

where $x \in K, t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_i x)(t) &= \int_t^{t+\omega} G_i(t, s)x_i(s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(s)x_j(s) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(s)x_j(s - \tau_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(s)x'_j(s - \sigma_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \right] ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$G_i(t, s) = \frac{e^{-\int_t^s r_i(\theta) d\theta}}{1 - e^{-\int_0^\omega r_i(\theta) d\theta}}, \quad s \in [t, t + \omega], i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

It is easy to see that $G_i(t + \omega, s + \omega) = G_i(t, s)$ and

$$\frac{\delta_i}{1 - \delta_i} \leq G_i(t, s) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \delta_i}, \quad s \in [t, t + \omega], i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

In the following, we will give some lemmas concerning K and Φ defined by (2.1) and (2.2), respectively.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that (H₁)–(H₃) hold.*

- (i) *If $\max\{r_i^M, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \leq 1$, then $\Phi : K \rightarrow K$ is well defined.*
- (ii) *If (H₄) holds and $\min\{r_i^M, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} > 1$, then $\Phi : K \rightarrow K$ is well defined.*

Proof. For any $x \in K$, it is clear that $\Phi x \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. In view of (2.2), for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Phi_i x)(t + \omega) &= \int_{t+\omega}^{t+2\omega} G_i(t + \omega, s)x_i(s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(s)x_j(s) \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(s)x_j(s - \tau_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(s)x'_j(s - \sigma_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \right] ds \\
&= \int_t^{t+\omega} G_i(t + \omega, u + \omega)x_i(u + \omega) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(u + \omega)x_j(u + \omega) \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(u + \omega)x_j(u + \omega - \tau_{ij}(u + \omega, x_1(u + \omega), \dots, x_n(u + \omega))) \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(u + \omega)x'_j(u + \omega - \sigma_{ij}(u + \omega, x_1(u + \omega), \dots, x_n(u + \omega))) \right] du \\
&= \int_t^{t+\omega} G_i(t, u)x_i(u) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(u)x_j(u) \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(u)x_j(u - \tau_{ij}(u, x_1(u), \dots, x_n(u))) \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(u)x'_j(u - \sigma_{ij}(u, x_1(u), \dots, x_n(u))) \right] du \\
&= (\Phi_i x)(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $(\Phi x)(t + \omega) = (\Phi x)(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. So $\Phi x \in C_\omega^1$. In view of (H₂), for $x \in K$, $t \in [0, \omega]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t)x_j(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t)x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t)x'_j(t - \sigma_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t)x_j(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t)x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(u, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t)|x'_j(t - \sigma_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)))| \\
&\geq \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j a_{ij}(t)|x_j|_1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j b_{ij}(t)|x_j|_1 - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t)|x_j|_1 \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n [\delta_j a_{ij}(t) + \delta_j b_{ij}(t) - c_{ij}(t)]|x_j|_1 \\
&\geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Therefore, for $x \in K$, $t \in [0, \omega]$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Phi_i x|_0 &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \delta_i} \int_0^\omega x_i(s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(s)x_j(s) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(s)x_j(s - \tau_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(s)x'_j(s - \sigma_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \right] ds
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Phi_i x)(t) &\geq \frac{\delta_i}{1 - \delta_i} \int_t^{t+\omega} x_i(s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(s)x_j(s) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(s)x_j(s - \tau_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(s)x'_j(s - \sigma_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \right] ds \\
&= \frac{\delta_i}{1 - \delta_i} \int_0^\omega x_i(s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(s)x_j(s) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(s)x_j(s - \tau_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(s)x'_j(s - \sigma_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \right] ds \\
&\geq \delta_i |\Phi_i x|_0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Now, we show that $(\Phi_i x)'(t) \geq \delta_i |(\Phi_i x)'|_0$, $t \in [0, \omega]$. From (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Phi_i x)'(t) &= G_i(t, t + \omega)x_i(t + \omega) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t + \omega)x_j(t + \omega) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t)x_j(t + \omega - \tau_{ij}(t, x_1(t + \omega), \dots, x_n(t + \omega))) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t)x'_j(t + \omega - \sigma_{ij}(t, x_1(t + \omega), \dots, x_n(t + \omega))) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -G_i(t, t)x_i(t) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t)x_j(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t)x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t)x'_j(t - \sigma_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \right] + r_i(t)(\Phi_i x)(t) \\
& = r_i(t)(\Phi_i x)(t) - x_i(t) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t)x_j(t) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t)x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t)x'_j(t - \sigma_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \tag{2.5}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.3) and (2.5) that if $(\Phi_i x)'(t) \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$(\Phi_i x)'(t) \leq r_i(t)(\Phi_i x)(t) \leq r_i^M(\Phi_i x)(t) \leq (\Phi_i x)(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \tag{2.6}$$

On the other hand, from (2.4), (2.5) and (H₃), if $(\Phi_i x)'(t) < 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(\Phi_i x)'(t) \\
& = x_i(t) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t)x_j(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t)x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t)x'_j(t - \sigma_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \right] - r_i(t)(\Phi_i x)(t) \\
& \leq |x_i|_1 \sum_{j=1}^n [a_{ij}(t) + b_{ij}(t) + c_{ij}(t)]|x_j|_1 - r_i^m(\Phi_i x)(t) \\
& \leq (1 + r_i^m) \frac{\delta_i^2}{1 - \delta_i} |x_i|_1 \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ \int_0^\omega [\delta_j a_{ij}(s) + \delta_j b_{ij}(s) - c_{ij}(s)]|x_j|_1 ds \right\} - r_i^m(\Phi_i x)(t) \\
& = (1 + r_i^m) \int_t^{t+\omega} \frac{\delta_i}{1 - \delta_i} \delta_i |x_i|_1 \sum_{j=1}^n [\delta_j a_{ij}(s) + \delta_j b_{ij}(s) - c_{ij}(s)]|x_j|_1 ds - r_i^m(\Phi_i x)(t) \\
& \leq (1 + r_i^m) \int_t^{t+\omega} G_i(t, s)x_i(s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(s)x_j(s) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(s)x_j(s - \tau_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(s)|x'_j(s - \sigma_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s)))| \right] ds - r_i^m(\Phi_i x)(t)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq (1+r_i^m) \int_t^{t+\omega} G_i(t,s)x_i(s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(s)x_j(s) \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(s)x_j(s - \tau_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(s)x'_j(s - \sigma_{ij}(t, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \right] ds - r_i^m(\Phi_i x)(t) \\
&= (1+r_i^m)(\Phi_i x)(t) - r_i^m(\Phi_i x)(t) \\
&= (\Phi_i x)(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \tag{2.7}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.6) and (2.7) that $|(\Phi_i x)'|_0 \leq |\Phi_i x|_0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. So $|\Phi_i x|_1 = |\Phi_i x|_0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. By (2.4) we have $(\Phi_i x)(t) \geq \delta_i |\Phi_i x|_1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence, $\Phi x \in K$. The proof of (i) is complete.

(ii) In view of the proof of (i), we only need to prove that $(\Phi_i x)'(t) \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, imply

$$(\Phi_i x)'(t) \leq (\Phi_i x)(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

From (2.3), (2.5), (H₂) and (H₄), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Phi_i x)'(t) &\leq r_i(t)(\Phi_i x)(t) - \delta_i |x_i|_1 \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t)x_j(t) \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t)x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t)|x'_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)))| \right] \\
&\leq r_i(t)(\Phi_i x)(t) - \delta_i |x_i|_1 \sum_{j=1}^n [\delta_j a_{ij}(t) + \delta_j b_{ij}(t) - c_{ij}(t)] |x_j|_1 \\
&\leq r_i^M(\Phi_i x)(t) - \delta_i |x_i|_1 \frac{r_i^M - 1}{\delta_i(1 - \delta_i)} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^\omega [a_{ij}(s) + b_{ij}(s) + c_{ij}(s)] |x_j|_1 ds \\
&\leq r_i^M(\Phi_i x)(t) - (r_i^M - 1) \int_t^{t+\omega} \frac{1}{1 - \delta_i} |x_i|_1 \\
&\quad \times \sum_{j=1}^n [|x_j|_1 a_{ij}(s) + |x_j|_1 b_{ij}(s) + |x_j|_1 c_{ij}(s)] ds \\
&\leq r_i^M(\Phi_i x)(t) - (r_i^M - 1) \int_t^{t+\omega} G_i(t,s)x_i(s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(s)x_j(s) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(s)x_j(s - \tau_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(s) |x'_j(s - \sigma_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s)))| \Big] ds \\
& \leq r_i^M (\Phi_i x)(t) - (r_i^M - 1) \int_t^{t+\omega} G_i(t, s) x_i(s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(s) x_j(s) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(s) x_j(s - \tau_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(s) x'_j(s - \sigma_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \right] ds \\
& = r_i^M (\Phi_i x)(t) - (r_i^M - 1) (\Phi_i x)(t) \\
& = (\Phi_i x)(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of (ii) is complete. \square

Lemma 2.3. Assume that (H₁)–(H₃) hold and $R \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}^M\} < 1$.

- (i) If $\max\{r_i^M, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \leq 1$, then $\Phi : K \cap \bar{\Omega}_R \rightarrow K$ is strict-set-contractive.
- (ii) If (H₄) holds and $\min\{r_i^M, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} > 1$, then $\Phi : K \cap \bar{\Omega}_R \rightarrow K$ is strict-set-contractive.

Here $\Omega_R = \{x \in C_\omega^1 : \|x\|_1 < R\}$.

Proof. We only need to prove (i), since the proof of (ii) is similar. It is easy to see that Φ is continuous and bounded. Now we prove that $\alpha_{C_\omega^1}(\Phi(S)) \leq (R \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}^M\}) \alpha_{C_\omega^1}(S)$ for any bounded set $S \subset \bar{\Omega}_R$. Let

$$\eta = \alpha_{C_\omega^1}(S).$$

Then, for any positive number $\varepsilon < (R \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}^M\}) \eta$, there is a finite family of subsets $\{S_i\}$ satisfying $S = \bigcup_i S_i$ with $\text{diam}(S_i) \leq \eta + \varepsilon$. Therefore

$$\|x - y\|_1 \leq \eta + \varepsilon \quad \text{for any } x, y \in S_i. \quad (2.8)$$

As S and S_i are precompact in C_ω^0 , it follows that there is a finite family of subsets $\{S_{ij}\}$ of S_i such that $S_i = \bigcup_j S_{ij}$ and

$$\|x - y\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for any } x, y \in S_{ij}. \quad (2.9)$$

In addition, for any $x \in S$ and $t \in [0, \omega]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\Phi_i x)(t)| &= \int_t^{t+\omega} G_i(t, s) x_i(s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(s) x_j(s) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(s) x_j(s - \tau_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \right] ds \\
&= r_i^M (\Phi_i x)(t) - (r_i^M - 1) \int_t^{t+\omega} G_i(t, s) x_i(s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(s) x_j(s) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(s) x_j(s - \tau_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \right] ds \\
&= r_i^M (\Phi_i x)(t) - (r_i^M - 1) (\Phi_i x)(t) \\
&= (\Phi_i x)(t),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t) x'_j(s - \tau_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \Big] ds \\
& \leq \frac{R^2}{1 - \delta_i} \int_0^\omega \sum_{j=1}^n [a_{ij}(s) + b_{ij}(s) + c_{ij}(s)] ds := H_i
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\Phi_i x)'(t)| &= \left| r_i(t)(\Phi_i x)(t) - x_i(t) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t)x_j(t) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t)x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t)x'_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \right] \right| \\
&\leq r_i^M H_i + R^2 \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{ij}^M + b_{ij}^M + c_{ij}^M), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|(\Phi x)\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n H_i$$

and

$$\|(\Phi x)'\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (r_i^M H_i) + R^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{ij}^M + b_{ij}^M + c_{ij}^M).$$

Applying the Arzela–Ascoli theorem, we know that $\Phi(S)$ is precompact in C_ω^0 . Then, there is a finite family of subsets $\{S_{ijk}\}$ of S_{ij} such that $S_{ij} = \bigcup_k S_{ijk}$ and

$$\|\Phi x - \Phi y\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for any } x, y \in S_{ijk}. \quad (2.10)$$

From (2.3), (2.5) and (2.8)–(2.10) and (H₂), for any $x, y \in S_{ijk}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\Phi_i x)' - (\Phi_i y)'\| \\
&= \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} \left\{ \left| r_i(t)(\Phi_i x)(t) - r_i(t)(\Phi_i y)(t) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - x_i(t) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t)x_j(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t)x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t)x'_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \right] \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + y_i(t) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t)y_j(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t)y_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))) \right] \right| \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t) y'_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))) \Big] \Bigg\} \\
& \leq \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} \left\{ |r_i(t)[(\Phi_i x)(t) - (\Phi_i y)(t)]| \right\} \\
& + \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} \left\{ \left| x_i(t) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t) x_j(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t) x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \right. \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t) x'_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \right] \right] \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - y_i(t) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t) y_j(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t) y_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))) \right. \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t) y'_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))) \right] \right] \right\} \\
& \leq r_i^M |(\Phi_i x) - (\Phi_i y)|_0 \\
& + \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} \left\{ \left| x_i(t) \left\{ \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t) x_j(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t) x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \right. \right. \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t) x'_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) \right] \right] \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t) y_j(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t) y_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))) \right. \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t) y'_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))) \right] \right] \right\} \\
& + \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} \left\{ \left| \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t) y_j(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t) y_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))) \right. \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t) y'_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))) \right] [x_i(t) - y_i(t)] \right| \right\} \\
& \leq r_i^M \varepsilon + |x_i|_0 \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}(t)| |x_i(t) - y_i(t)| \right. \\
& \quad \left. + b_{ij}(t) |x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) - y_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t)))| \right. \\
& \quad \left. + c_{ij}(t) |x'_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))) - y'_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t)))| \right\} \\
& + \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} \left\{ \left| \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t) y_j(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(t) y_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))) \right. \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t) y'_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))) \right] \right| \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(t) y'_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t, y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))) \Big] [x_i(t) - y_i(t)] \Bigg\} \\
& \leqslant r_i^M \varepsilon + R \left(\sum_{j=1}^n [a_{ij}^M + b_{ij}^M] \right) \varepsilon + |x_i|_0 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}^M \right) (\eta + \varepsilon) \\
& + R \left(\sum_{j=1}^n [a_{ij}^M + b_{ij}^M + c_{ij}^M] \right) \varepsilon \\
& = |x_i|_0 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}^M \right) \eta + \hat{H}_i \varepsilon,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$\hat{H}_i = r_i^M + 2R \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^M + 2R \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}^M + 2R \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}^M, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

From (2.10) and (2.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi x - \Phi y\|_1 & \leqslant \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|_0 \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}^M \right) \eta + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{H}_i \\
& = R \max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}^M \right\} \eta + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{H}_i \quad \text{for any } x, y \in S_{ijk}.
\end{aligned}$$

As ε is arbitrary small, it follows that

$$\alpha_{C_\omega^1}(\Phi(S)) \leqslant \left(R \max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}^M \right\} \right) \alpha_{C_\omega^1}(S).$$

Therefore, Φ is strict-set-contractive. The proof of Lemma 2.3 is complete. \square

3. Main result

Our main result of this paper is as follows:

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that (H₁)–(H₃), (H₅) hold.*

- (i) *If $\max\{r_i^M, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \leqslant 1$, then system (1.3) has at least one positive ω -periodic solution.*
- (ii) *If (H₄) holds and $\min\{r_i^M, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} > 1$, then system (1.3) has at least one positive ω -periodic solution.*

Proof. We only need to prove (i), since the proof of (ii) is similar. Let $R = \max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \left\{ \frac{1-\delta_i}{\delta_i^2 \min_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} \{M_{ij}\}} \right\}$ and $0 < r < \min_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \left\{ \frac{\delta_i(1-\delta_i)}{\max_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} \{\hat{M}_{ij}\}} \right\}$. Then we have $0 < r < R$. From Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we know that Φ is strict-set-contractive on $K_{r,R}$. In view of (2.5), we see that if there exists $x^* \in K$ such that $\Phi x^* = x^*$, then x^* is one positive ω -periodic solution of system (1.3). Now, we shall prove that condition (ii) of Lemma 2.1 holds.

First, we prove that $\Phi x \not\geq x$, $\forall x \in K$, $\|x\|_1 = r$. Otherwise, there exists $x \in K$, $\|x\|_1 = r$ such that $\Phi x \geq x$. So $\|x\| > 0$ and $\Phi x - x \in K$, which implies that

$$(\Phi_i x)(t) - x_i(t) \geq \delta_i |\Phi_i x - x_i|_1 \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, \omega], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3.1)$$

Moreover, for $t \in [0, \omega]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_i x)(t) &= \int_t^{t+\omega} G_i(t, s)x_i(s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(s)x_j(s) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(s)x_j(s - \tau_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(s)x'_j(s - \sigma_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \right] ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \delta_i} |x_i|_0 \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^\omega [a_{ij}(s) + b_{ij}(s) + c_{ij}(s)] |x_j|_1 ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \delta_i} \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{\hat{M}_{ij}\} |x_i|_0 \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|_1 \\ &= \frac{\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{\hat{M}_{ij}\}}{1 - \delta_i} r |x_i|_0 \\ &< \delta_i |x_i|_0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

From (3.1) and (3.2), we find

$$\|x\| \leq \|\Phi x\| < \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\delta_i\} \|x\| < \|x\|,$$

which is a contradiction. Finally, we prove that $\Phi x \not\leq x$, $\forall x \in K$, $\|x\|_1 = R$ also holds. For this case, we only need to prove that

$$\Phi x \not< x, \quad x \in K, \quad \|x\|_1 = R.$$

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there exists $x \in K$ and $\|x\|_1 = R$ such that $\Phi x < x$. Thus $x - \Phi x \in K \setminus \{0\}$. Furthermore, for any $t \in [0, \omega]$, we have

$$x_i(t) - (\Phi_i x)(t) \geq \delta_i |x_i - \Phi_i x|_1 > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3.3)$$

In addition, for any $t \in [0, \omega]$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_i x)(t) &= \int_t^{t+\omega} G_i(t, s)x_i(s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(s)x_j(s) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(s)x_j(s - \tau_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(s)x'_j(s - \sigma_{ij}(s, x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))) \right] ds \\ &\geq \frac{\delta_i}{1 - \delta_i} \delta_i |x_i|_1 \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|_1 \int_0^\omega [\delta_j a_{ij}(s) + \delta_j b_{ij}(s) - c_{ij}(s)] ds \\ &= \frac{\delta_i^2}{1 - \delta_i} |x_i|_1 \sum_{j=1}^n M_{ij} |x_j|_1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi x\| &= \sum_{i=1}^n |(\Phi_i x)|_0 \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\delta_i^2}{1-\delta_i} |x_i|_1 \sum_{j=1}^n M_{ij} |x_j|_1 \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\delta_i^2 \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{M_{ij}\}}{1-\delta_i} |x_i|_1 \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|_1 \\
&\geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{\delta_i^2 \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{M_{ij}\}}{1-\delta_i} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|_1 \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|_1 \\
&\geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{\delta_i^2 \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{M_{ij}\}}{1-\delta_i} \right\} R^2 = R.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain

$$\|x\| > \|\Phi x\| \geq R,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, condition (ii) of Lemma 2.1 holds. By Lemma 2.1, we see that Φ has at least one nonzero fixed point in $K_{r,R}$. Therefore, system (1.3) has at least one positive ω -periodic solution. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete. \square

Example. Consider the following system

$$\begin{cases} x'_1(t) = x_1(t) \left[\frac{1 + \cos t}{4\pi} - (5 - 2 \sin t)x_2(t) - (2 + \sin t)x_1(t - \tau_{11}(t)) \right. \\ \quad \left. - \frac{1 - \sin t}{20}x'_2(t - \sigma_{12}(t)) \right], \\ x'_2(t) = x_2(t) \left[\frac{1 - \sin t}{20} - (3 - 2 \cos t)x_1(t) - (4 - \sin t)x_2(t - \tau_{21}(t)) \right. \\ \quad \left. - \frac{2 - \cos t}{10}x_1(t - \sigma_{21}(t)) \right], \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

where $\tau_{11}, \tau_{21}, \sigma_{12}, \sigma_{21} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ are 2π -periodic functions. Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned}
r_1(t) &= \frac{1 + \cos t}{4\pi}, & r_2(t) &= \frac{1 - \sin t}{20}, & a_{11}(t) &= 0, & a_{12}(t) &= 5 - 2 \sin t, \\
a_{21}(t) &= 3 - 2 \cos t, & a_{22}(t) &= 0, & b_{11}(t) &= 2 + \sin t, & b_{12}(t) &= 0, \\
b_{21}(t) &= 0, & b_{22}(t) &= 4 - \sin t, & c_{11}(t) &= 0, & c_{12}(t) &= \frac{1 - \sin t}{20}, \\
c_{21}(t) &= \frac{2 - \cos t}{10}, & c_{22}(t) &= 0, & r_1^m &= 0, & r_2^m &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_1 &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}} < 1, & \delta_2 &= e^{-\frac{\pi}{10}} < 1, & M_{11} &= 4\pi\delta_1 = 7.6219, \\
M_{12} &= 10\pi\delta_2 - \frac{\pi}{10} = 22.946, & M_{21} &= 6\pi\delta_1 - \frac{2\pi}{5} = 10.176,
\end{aligned}$$

$$M_{22} = 8\pi\delta_2 = 18.357,$$

$$\min_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2} \{M_{1j}\} = M_{11} = 7.6219, \quad \min_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2} \{M_{2j}\} = M_{21} = 10.176,$$

$$\delta_1 a_{11}(t) + \delta_1 b_{11}(t) - c_{11}(t) = \delta_1 b_{11}(t) = \delta_1(2 + \sin t) > 0,$$

$$\delta_1 a_{21}(t) + \delta_1 b_{21}(t) - c_{21}(t) = \delta_1(3 - 2 \cos t) - \frac{2 - \cos t}{10} \geq e^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{10} > 0,$$

$$\delta_2 a_{12}(t) + \delta_2 b_{12}(t) - c_{12}(t) = 5\delta_2 - \frac{1}{20} - \left(2\delta_2 - \frac{1}{20}\right) \sin t \geq 3\delta_2 > 0,$$

$$\delta_2 a_{22}(t) + \delta_2 b_{22}(t) - c_{22}(t) = \delta_2(4 - \sin t) \geq 0,$$

$$(1 + r_1^m) \frac{\delta_1^2 M_{11}}{1 - \delta_1} > \pi > 3 = \max_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} \{a_{11}(t) + b_{11}(t) + c_{11}(t)\},$$

$$(1 + r_1^m) \frac{\delta_1^2 M_{12}}{1 - \delta_1} > 3\pi > 7.1 = \max_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} \{a_{12}(t) + b_{12}(t) + c_{12}(t)\},$$

$$(1 + r_2^m) \frac{\delta_2^2 M_{21}}{1 - \delta_2} > 2\pi > 5.3 = \max_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} \{a_{21}(t) + b_{21}(t) + c_{21}(t)\},$$

$$(1 + r_2^m) \frac{\delta_2^2 M_{22}}{1 - \delta_2} > 5\pi > 5 = \max_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} \{a_{22}(t) + b_{22}(t) + c_{22}(t)\},$$

$$\left\{ \frac{1 - \delta_1}{\delta_1^2 \min_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2} \{M_{ij}\}} \right\} = \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{4\pi e^{-\frac{3}{2}}} = 0.14033,$$

$$\left\{ \frac{1 - \delta_2}{\delta_2^2 \min_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2} \{M_{ij}\}} \right\} = \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{\pi}{10}}}{e^{-\frac{\pi}{5}} (6\pi e^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{2\pi}{5})} = 0.04966,$$

$$c_{11}^M + c_{12}^M = c_{12}^M = \frac{1}{10} < 1, \quad c_{21}^M + c_{22}^M = c_{22}^M = \frac{3}{10} < 1.$$

Hence

$$\left[\max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2} \left\{ \frac{1 - \delta_i}{\delta_i^2 \min_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2} \{M_{ij}\}} \right\} \right] \left[\max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^2 c_{ij}^M \right\} \right] < 1.$$

Therefore, (H₁)–(H₃), (H₅) hold and $r_i^M \leqslant 1$, $i = 1, 2$. According to Theorem 3.1, system (3.5) has at least one positive 2π -periodic solution.

References

- [1] R.E. Gaines, J.L. Mawhin, Coincide Degree and Nonlinear Differential Equations, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 586, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [2] Z. Yang, J. Cao, Positive periodic solutions of neutral Lotka–Volterra system with periodic delays, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 149 (2004) 661–687.
- [3] S. Lu, W. Ge, Existence of positive periodic solutions for neutral population model with multiple delays, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 153 (2004) 885–902.
- [4] L. Erbe, W. Krawcewicz, J. Wu, A composite coincidence degree with applications to boundary value problems of neutral equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 335 (1993) 459–478.
- [5] W. Krawcewicz, J. Wu, Theory of Degrees with Applications to Bifurcations and Differential Equations, Wiley, New York, 1996.

- [6] H. Fang, Positive periodic solutions of N -species neutral delay systems, Czechoslovak Math. J. 53 (128) (2003) 561–570.
- [7] N.P. C  c, J.A. Gatica, Fixed point theorems for mappings in ordered Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 71 (1979) 547–557.
- [8] D. Guo, Positive solutions of nonlinear operator equations and its applications to nonlinear integral equations, Adv. Math. 13 (1984) 294–310 (in Chinese).