



Approximation formulas for the constant e and an improvement to a Carleman-type inequality

Chao-Ping Chen*

School of Mathematics and Informatics, Henan Polytechnic University,
Jiaozuo 454000, Henan, China
Email: chenchaoxing@sohu.com

Richard B. Paris
Division of Computing and Mathematics,
University of Abertay, Dundee, DD1 1HG, UK
Email: R.Paris@abertay.ac.uk

Abstract. We give an explicit formula for the determination of the coefficients c_j appearing in the expansion

$$x \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{c_j}{x^j} \right) \left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(x + \frac{1}{2})} \right)^{1/x} = e + O\left(\frac{1}{x^{q+1}}\right)$$

for $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $q \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$. We also derive a pair of recurrence relations for the determination of the constants λ_ℓ and μ_ℓ in the expansion

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{x} \right)^x \sim e \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_\ell}{(x + \mu_\ell)^{2\ell-1}} \right)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Based on this expansion, we establish an inequality for $(1 + 1/x)^x$. As an application, we give an improvement to a Carleman-type inequality.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 41A60; 26D15

Key words and phrases. Constant e ; Asymptotic formula; Inequality

1 Introduction

The constant e can be defined by the limit

$$e = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x} \right)^x.$$

*Corresponding Author.

With the possible exception of π , e is the most important constant in mathematics since it appears in myriad mathematical contexts involving limits and derivatives. Joost Bürgi seems to have been the first to formulate an approximation to e around 1620, obtaining three-decimal-place accuracy (see [13, p. 31], [23], and [29, pp. 26–27]).

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 below were proved by Chen and Mortici [9].

Theorem 1.1. For all $n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$,

$$2(n + \alpha) \left(\frac{2^n n!}{(2n)!} \right)^{1/n} < e \leq 2(n + \beta) \left(\frac{2^n n!}{(2n)!} \right)^{1/n} \quad (1.1)$$

with the best possible constants

$$\alpha = \frac{\ln 2}{2} = 0.34657\dots \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{e}{2} - 1 = 0.35914\dots$$

Theorem 1.2. Let $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be defined by

$$v_n = 2 \left(n + \frac{\ln 2}{2} + \frac{a}{n} + \frac{b}{n^2} \right) \left(\frac{2^n n!}{(2n)!} \right)^{1/n}. \quad (1.2)$$

Then, for

$$a = \frac{3(\ln 2)^2 - 1}{24}, \quad b = \frac{(\ln 2)^3 - \ln 2}{48},$$

we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^4(v_n - e) = -\frac{e(19 - 30(\ln 2)^2 + 15(\ln 2)^4)}{5760}.$$

The speed of convergence of the sequence $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is n^{-4} .

By using the Maple software, we find, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$2n \left(\frac{2^n n!}{(2n)!} \right)^{1/n} = e + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad (1.3)$$

$$2n \left(1 + \frac{\ln 2}{2n} \right) \left(\frac{2^n n!}{(2n)!} \right)^{1/n} = e + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right), \quad (1.4)$$

$$2n \left(1 + \frac{\ln 2}{2n} + \frac{3(\ln 2)^2 - 1}{24n^2} \right) \left(\frac{2^n n!}{(2n)!} \right)^{1/n} = e + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right) \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$2n \left(1 + \frac{\ln 2}{2n} + \frac{3(\ln 2)^2 - 1}{24n^2} + \frac{(\ln 2)^3 - \ln 2}{48n^3} \right) \left(\frac{2^n n!}{(2n)!} \right)^{1/n} = e + O\left(\frac{1}{n^4}\right). \quad (1.6)$$

Motivated by (1.3)-(1.6), we first establish a general approximation formula for e (given in Theorem 2.1, by mainly using the partition function. From this result, we give an explicit formula for the coefficients c_j ($1 \leq j \leq q$) such that

$$2n \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{c_j}{n^j} \right) \left(\frac{2^n n!}{(2n)!} \right)^{1/n} = e + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{q+1}}\right) \quad (1.7)$$

for $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, which contains the formulas (1.3)-(1.6) as special cases.

The second aim of the paper is to derive a pair of recurrence relations for the determination of the constants λ_ℓ and μ_ℓ in the expansion

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x \sim e \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_\ell}{(x + \mu_\ell)^{2\ell-1}}\right)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$ (given in Theorem 3.1). Based on this expansion, we establish an inequality for $(1 + 1/x)^x$ and, as an application, we give an improvement to a Carleman-type inequality (Remark 3.2).

2 The general form of the coefficients c_j in (1.7)

For our later use, we introduce the following set of partitions of an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\mathcal{A}_n := \{(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n : k_1 + 2k_2 + \dots + nk_n = n\}. \quad (2.1)$$

In number theory, the partition function $p(n)$ represents the number of possible partitions of $n \in \mathbb{N}$; that is, the number of distinct ways of representing n as a sum of natural numbers (with order irrelevant). By convention $p(0) = 1$ and $p(n) = 0$ for n negative integers. For more information on the partition function $p(n)$, see [38] and the references therein. The first few values of the partition function $p(n)$ are (starting with $p(0) = 1$) (see [37]):

$$1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 15, 22, 30, 42, \dots$$

It is easy to see that the cardinality of the set \mathcal{A}_n is equal to the partition function $p(n)$.

The following results are needed in our present investigation. The logarithm of the gamma function has the asymptotic expansion (see [28, p. 32]):

$$\ln \Gamma(x+t) \sim \left(x+t-\frac{1}{2}\right) \ln x - x + \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} B_{n+1}(t)}{n(n+1)} \frac{1}{x^n} \quad (2.2)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where $B_n(t)$ denotes the Bernoulli polynomials defined by the following generating function:

$$\frac{xe^{tx}}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(t) \frac{x^n}{n!}. \quad (2.3)$$

Note that the Bernoulli numbers B_n are defined by $B_n := B_n(0)$ in (2.3).

Taking $t = \frac{1}{2}$ in (2.2), we have

$$\ln \Gamma\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) \sim x \ln x - x + \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} B_{n+1}(\frac{1}{2})}{n(n+1)} \frac{1}{x^n} \quad (2.4)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Noting that

$$B_n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = (2^{1-n} - 1)B_n \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

(see [1, p. 805, 23.1.21]), we find from (2.4) that

$$1 + \frac{1}{x} \ln \Gamma\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \ln x - \frac{1}{2x} \ln(\pi) = \frac{\ln 2}{2x} + \sum_{j=2}^q \frac{(-1)^{j-1} (1 - 2^{1-j}) B_j}{(j-1)j} \frac{1}{x^j} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^{q+1}}\right) \quad (2.5)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2.1. *The following approximation formula for the constant e holds true:*

$$x \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{c_j}{x^j} \right) \left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(x + \frac{1}{2})} \right)^{1/x} = e + O\left(\frac{1}{x^{q+1}}\right) \quad (2.6)$$

for $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, with the coefficients c_j ($1 \leq j \leq q$) given by

$$c_j = (-1)^j \sum_{(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_j) \in \mathcal{A}_j} \frac{(-1)^{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_j}}{k_1!k_2!\dots k_j!} \left(\frac{S_1}{1}\right)^{k_1} \left(\frac{S_2}{2}\right)^{k_2} \dots \left(\frac{S_j}{j}\right)^{k_j}, \quad (2.7)$$

where the \mathcal{A}_j (for $j \in \mathbb{N}$) are given in (2.1),

$$S_1 = \frac{\ln 2}{2}, \quad S_j = \frac{(1 - 2^{1-j})B_j}{j-1} \quad (2 \leq j \leq q),$$

and B_n are the Bernoulli numbers.

Proof. To determine the coefficients c_j ($1 \leq j \leq q$), we first express (2.6) in the form

$$\ln \left(1 + \frac{c_1}{x} + \frac{c_2}{x^2} + \dots + \frac{c_q}{x^q} \right) = \frac{\ln 2}{2x} + \sum_{j=2}^q \frac{(-1)^{j-1}(1 - 2^{1-j})B_j}{(j-1)j} \frac{1}{x^j} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^{q+1}}\right) \quad (2.8)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, upon making use of (2.5). From the fundamental theorem of algebra, we see that there exist unique complex numbers x_1, \dots, x_q such that

$$1 + \frac{c_1}{x} + \dots + \frac{c_q}{x^q} = \left(1 + \frac{x_1}{x}\right) \dots \left(1 + \frac{x_q}{x}\right). \quad (2.9)$$

By using the following series expansion:

$$\ln \left(1 + \frac{z}{x} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{(-1)^{j-1} z^j}{j x^j} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^{q+1}}\right)$$

for $|z| < |x|$ and $x \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\ln \left(1 + \frac{c_1}{x} + \dots + \frac{c_q}{x^q} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{(-1)^{j-1} S_j}{j x^j} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^{q+1}}\right), \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$S_j = x_1^j + \dots + x_q^j \quad (1 \leq j \leq q).$$

We then find from (2.8) and (2.10) that

$$S_1 = \frac{\ln 2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad S_j = \frac{(1 - 2^{1-j})B_j}{j-1} \quad (2 \leq j \leq q); \quad (2.11)$$

that is,

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + \cdots + x_q = \frac{\ln 2}{2}, \\ x_1^2 + \cdots + x_q^2 = \frac{B_2}{2}, \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ x_1^q + \cdots + x_q^q = \frac{(1-2^{1-q})B_q}{q-1}. \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

Let

$$P_q(x) = x^q + b_1x^{q-1} + \cdots + b_{q-1}x + b_q$$

be a polynomial with zeros x_1, \dots, x_q satisfying the system of equations (2.12). Then we have

$$P_q(x) = (x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_q). \quad (2.13)$$

The Newton formulas (see, for example, [15] and the references therein) give the connection between the coefficients b_j and the power sums S_j :

$$S_j + S_{j-1}b_1 + S_{j-2}b_2 + \cdots + S_1b_{j-1} + jb_j = 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq q).$$

It is known (see [15]) that the coefficients b_j can be expressed in terms of S_j :

$$b_j = \sum_{(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_j) \in \mathcal{A}_j} \frac{(-1)^{k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_j}}{k_1!k_2!\cdots k_j!} \left(\frac{S_1}{1}\right)^{k_1} \left(\frac{S_2}{2}\right)^{k_2} \cdots \left(\frac{S_j}{j}\right)^{k_j}, \quad (2.14)$$

where the \mathcal{A}_j ($j \in \mathbb{N}$) are given in (2.1).

From (2.13) we therefore obtain

$$\frac{(-1)^q}{x^q} P_q(-x) = \left(1 + \frac{x_1}{x}\right) \cdots \left(1 + \frac{x_q}{x}\right)$$

so that

$$1 - \frac{b_1}{x} + \frac{b_2}{x^2} + \cdots + \frac{(-1)^q b_q}{x^q} = \left(1 + \frac{x_1}{x}\right) \cdots \left(1 + \frac{x_q}{x}\right). \quad (2.15)$$

We see from (2.9) and (2.15) that the coefficients c_j are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} c_j &= (-1)^j b_j \\ &= (-1)^j \sum_{(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_j) \in \mathcal{A}_j} \frac{(-1)^{k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_j}}{k_1!k_2!\cdots k_j!} \left(\frac{S_1}{1}\right)^{k_1} \left(\frac{S_2}{2}\right)^{k_2} \cdots \left(\frac{S_j}{j}\right)^{k_j}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

where the S_j are specified in (2.11). This completes the proof. \square

Noting that

$$2 \left(\frac{2^n n!}{(2n)!} \right)^{1/n} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})} \right)^{1/n} \quad (2.17)$$

holds, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$2n \left(\sum_{j=0}^q \frac{c_j}{n^j} \right) \left(\frac{2^n n!}{(2n)!} \right)^{1/n} = e + O \left(\frac{1}{n^{q+1}} \right), \quad (2.18)$$

where $c_0 = 1$ and the coefficients c_j ($1 \leq j \leq q$) are given by (2.7).

Here we give explicit numerical values of the first few coefficients c_j by using the partition set (2.1) and the formula (2.7). This shows how easy it is to determine the coefficients c_j in (2.7). It is clear that

$$c_1 = - \sum_{k_1=1} \frac{(-1)^{k_1}}{k_1!} \left(\frac{S_1}{1} \right)^{k_1} = \frac{\ln 2}{2}.$$

For $k_1 + 2k_2 = 2$, since $p(2) = 2$, the partition set \mathcal{A}_2 in (2.1) is seen to have 2 elements:

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \{(0, 1), (2, 0)\}.$$

From (2.7) we have

$$c_2 = \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathcal{A}_2} \frac{(-1)^{k_1+k_2}}{k_1!k_2!} \left(\frac{S_1}{1} \right)^{k_1} \left(\frac{S_2}{2} \right)^{k_2} = \frac{3(\ln 2)^2 - 1}{24}.$$

For $k_1 + 2k_2 + 3k_3 = 3$, since $p(3) = 3$, the partition set \mathcal{A}_3 in (2.1) contains 3 elements:

$$\mathcal{A}_3 = \{(0, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0), (3, 0, 0)\}$$

and so we find from (2.7) that

$$c_3 = - \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in \mathcal{A}_3} \frac{(-1)^{k_1+k_2+k_3}}{k_1!k_2!k_3!} \left(\frac{S_1}{1} \right)^{k_1} \left(\frac{S_2}{2} \right)^{k_2} \left(\frac{S_3}{3} \right)^{k_3} = \frac{(\ln 2)^3 - \ln 2}{48},$$

where 0^0 is interpreted as 1.

Likewise, the partition sets \mathcal{A}_4 and \mathcal{A}_5 have $p(4) = 5$ and $p(5) = 7$ elements, respectively, and so

$$\mathcal{A}_4 = \{(0, 0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 1, 0), (0, 2, 0, 0), (2, 1, 0, 0), (4, 0, 0, 0)\};$$

$$\mathcal{A}_5 = \{(0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1, 0, 0), (2, 0, 1, 0, 0), (1, 2, 0, 0, 0), (3, 1, 0, 0, 0), (5, 0, 0, 0, 0)\}$$

which yields

$$c_4 = \frac{19 - 30(\ln 2)^2 + 15(\ln 2)^4}{5760} \quad \text{and} \quad c_5 = \frac{(19 - 10(\ln 2)^2 + 3(\ln 2)^4) \ln 2}{11520}.$$

This then produces the following asymptotic expansion:

$$e \sim 2n \left(1 + \frac{\ln 2}{2n} + \frac{3(\ln 2)^2 - 1}{24n^2} + \frac{(\ln 2)^3 - \ln 2}{48n^3} + \frac{19 - 30(\ln 2)^2 + 15(\ln 2)^4}{5760n^4} + \frac{(19 - 10(\ln 2)^2 + 3(\ln 2)^4) \ln 2}{11520n^5} + \dots \right) \left(\frac{2^n n!}{(2n)!} \right)^{1/n} \quad (2.19)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

3 Approximation formulas for $(1 + 1/x)^x$ and a Carleman-type inequality

Let $a_n \geq 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty$. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)^{1/n} < e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n. \quad (3.1)$$

The constant e is the best possible. The inequality (3.1) was presented in 1922 in [4] by the Swedish mathematician Torsten Carleman and it is now called Carleman's inequality. Carleman discovered this inequality during his important work on quasi-analytical functions.

Carleman's inequality (3.1) has been generalized by Hardy [17] (see also [18, p. 256]) as follows. If $a_n \geq 0$, $\lambda_n > 0$, $\Lambda_n = \sum_{m=1}^n \lambda_m$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n < \infty$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{1/\Lambda_n} < e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n. \quad (3.2)$$

Note that inequality (3.2) is usually referred to as a Carleman-type inequality, or a weighted Carleman-type inequality. In his original paper [17], Hardy himself said that it was Pólya who pointed out this inequality to him. For information about the history of Carleman-type inequalities, see [21, 22, 24, 34].

3.1 Summary of previous results

In [5–7, 11, 12, 14, 25–27, 30, 31, 33, 39–44], some strengthened and generalized results of (3.1) and (3.2) have been given by estimating the weight coefficient $(1 + 1/n)^n$. For example, Mortici and Jang [33] proved that for $0 < x \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} e \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{11}{24}x^2 - \frac{7}{16}x^3 + \frac{2447}{5760}x^4 - \frac{959}{2304}x^5 \right) &< (1+x)^{1/x} \\ &< e \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{11}{24}x^2 - \frac{7}{16}x^3 + \frac{2447}{5760}x^4 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

According to Pólya's proof of (3.1) in [35],

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)^{1/n} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n a_n, \quad (3.4)$$

so that the following strengthened form of Carleman's inequality can be derived directly from the right-hand side of (3.3) as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)^{1/n} < e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{11}{24n^2} - \frac{7}{16n^3} + \frac{2447}{5760n^4} \right) a_n. \quad (3.5)$$

Brothers and Knox [3] (see also [8, 23]) derived, without a formula for the general term, the following expansion:

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{x} \right)^x = e \left(1 - \frac{1}{2x} + \frac{11}{24x^2} - \frac{7}{16x^3} + \frac{2447}{5760x^4} - \frac{959}{2304x^5} + \frac{238043}{580608x^6} - \cdots \right) \quad (3.6)$$

for $x < -1$ or $x \geq 1$. With

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x = e \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_j}{x^j}, \quad (x < -1 \text{ or } x \geq 1), \quad (3.7)$$

Chen and Choi [8] gave an explicit formula for successively determining the coefficients a_j in the form

$$a_0 = 1, \quad a_j = (-1)^j \sum_{(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_j) \in \mathcal{A}_j} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k_1} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{k_2} \cdots \left(\frac{1}{j+1}\right)^{k_j}}{k_1! k_2! \cdots k_j!}, \quad (3.8)$$

where the \mathcal{A}_j ($j \in \mathbb{N}$) are given in (2.1). The above result immediately shows that $(-1)^j a_j > 0$ so that (3.7) is an alternating series for positive x . Recently, Chen and Paris [10] obtained a recurrence relation for $\beta_j = (-1)^j a_j$ given by

$$\beta_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_j = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{k}{k+1} \beta_{j-k} \quad (j \geq 1). \quad (3.9)$$

Use of (3.9) is easily seen to generate the values

$$\beta_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \beta_2 = \frac{11}{24}, \quad \beta_3 = \frac{7}{16}, \quad \beta_4 = \frac{2447}{5760}, \quad \beta_5 = \frac{959}{2304}, \quad \beta_6 = \frac{238043}{580608}, \quad \dots,$$

which are the same coefficients as in (3.6). The representation using a recursive algorithm for the coefficients $(-1)^j \beta_j = a_j$ in (3.9) is more practical for numerical evaluation than the expression in (3.8).

Chen and Paris [10] have given an integral representation for the coefficients β_j and have proved that the sequence $\{\beta_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ is monotonically decreasing. They thereby obtained the following double inequality [10, Theorem 2.1]:

$$e \sum_{j=0}^{2m+1} \frac{(-1)^j \beta_j}{x^j} < \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x < e \sum_{j=0}^{2m} \frac{(-1)^j \beta_j}{x^j} \quad (x \geq 1), \quad (3.10)$$

which develops the double inequality (3.3) to produce a general result. As an application of (3.10), Chen and Paris [10, Theorem 3.1] have given a generalized Carleman-type inequality.

In 2001 Yang [43] conjectured, then Yang [44], Gylletberg and Yan [16], Chen [5], Lü et al. [27], and Hu and Mortici [20] proved that if the following equality holds:

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x = e \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_k}{(1+x)^k}\right) \quad (3.11)$$

for $x > 0$, then $b_k > 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, Yang [44], Gylletberg and Yan [16], and Chen [5] presented the following recurrence relation for determining the coefficients b_k in (3.11):

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad b_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{n+2} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{b_j}{n+2-j} \right) \quad (n \geq 1), \quad (3.12)$$

and then proved $b_k > 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$; see also Lü et al. [27]. Hu and Mortici [20] used an argument of Alzer and Berg [2] to derive an integral representation for b_k , and then obtained some new properties of b_k , including $b_k > 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We remark that the recurrence relation of the coefficients b_k given in [19, Lemma 2.2] is not correct.

Remark 3.1. We give here an explicit formula for determining the coefficients b_k in (3.11):

$$b_j = - \sum_{(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_j) \in \mathcal{A}_j} \frac{(-1)^{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_j}}{k_1!k_2!\dots k_j!} \left(\frac{1}{1 \cdot 2}\right)^{k_1} \left(\frac{1}{2 \cdot 3}\right)^{k_2} \dots \left(\frac{1}{j(j+1)}\right)^{k_j}, \quad (3.13)$$

where the \mathcal{A}_j ($j \in \mathbb{N}$) are given in (2.1).

Noting that $b_k > 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ in (3.11), it follows from (3.11) that

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x < e \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{b_k}{(1+x)^k}\right) \quad (3.14)$$

for $x > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. As an application of (3.14), inequalities (3.2) and (3.1) were strengthened by Yang [44, Corollaries 2 and 3].

In the final part of his paper, Yang [43] remarked that in order to obtain better results, the right-hand side of (3.11) could be replaced by $e[1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (d_n/(x+\varepsilon)^n)]$, where $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ and $d_n = d_n(\varepsilon)$, but information about the values of ε are not provided. In fact, Xie and Zhong [39] proved in 2000 that $x \geq 1$,

$$e \left(1 - \frac{7}{14x+12}\right) < \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x < e \left(1 - \frac{6}{12x+11}\right), \quad (3.15)$$

and then applied it to obtain an improvement of (3.2) as follows: if $0 < \lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n$, $\Lambda_n = \sum_{m=1}^n \lambda_m$, $a_n \geq 0$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n < \infty$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n+1} (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \dots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{1/\Lambda_n} < e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\Lambda_n/\lambda_n + \frac{11}{12}}\right) \lambda_n a_n. \quad (3.16)$$

Recently, Mortici and Hu [32] gave a formula for determining the coefficients d_k such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n &= e \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k}{(\frac{11}{12} + n)^k}\right) \\ &= e \left(1 - \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{n + \frac{11}{12}} - \frac{\frac{5}{288}}{(n + \frac{11}{12})^3} - \frac{\frac{139}{17280}}{(n + \frac{11}{12})^4} - \frac{\frac{119}{23040}}{(n + \frac{11}{12})^5} - \dots\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

which is better than (3.11), since by truncation after $k \geq 3$ terms of series (3.11), the last term is of order $n^{-(k-1)}$, while the last term of series (3.17) truncated after k terms is of order n^{-k} . For the same reason, the formula (3.17) is better than (3.6).

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x &= e \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_k}{(1+x)^k}\right) = e \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k}{(\frac{11}{12} + x)^k}\right), \\ \sigma_m(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{b_k}{(1+x)^k} \quad \text{and} \quad S_m(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{d_k}{(\frac{11}{12} + x)^k}. \end{aligned}$$

Then Ren and Li [36] proved that (i) if $m \geq 6$ is even, we have $S_m(x) > \sigma_m(x)$ for all $x > 0$ and (ii) if $m \geq 7$ is odd, we have $S_m(x) > \sigma_m(x)$ for all $x > 1$. This provides an intuitive explanation for the main result in Mortici and Hu [32].

Recently, You et al. [45] provided continued fraction inequalities related to $(1 + 1/x)^x$, which can be used to refine the inequalities (3.1) and (3.2).

3.2 A new form of approximation for $(1 + 1/x)^x$

Using the Maple software, we find¹

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x \sim e \left(1 - \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{x + \frac{11}{12}} - \frac{\frac{5}{288}}{(x + \frac{343}{450})^3} - \frac{\frac{41683}{15552000}}{(x + \frac{558100391}{787808700})^5} - \dots\right) \quad (3.18)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. This led us to pose the following problem: Find the constants λ_ℓ and μ_ℓ such that

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x \sim e \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_\ell}{(x + \mu_\ell)^{2\ell-1}}\right)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. In this section we solve this problem. Thus, we would appear to obtain an odd-type asymptotic expansion for $(1 + 1/x)^x$. From a computational viewpoint, (3.18) is an improvement on the formulas (3.6), (3.11) and (3.17).

Theorem 3.1. *As $x \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x \sim e \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_\ell}{(x + \mu_\ell)^{2\ell-1}}\right), \quad (3.19)$$

where the constants λ_ℓ and μ_ℓ are given by the pair of recurrence relations

$$\lambda_\ell = a_{2\ell-1} - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} \lambda_k \mu_k^{2\ell-2k} \binom{2\ell-2}{2\ell-2k} \quad (\ell \geq 2) \quad (3.20)$$

and

$$\mu_\ell = -\frac{1}{(2\ell-1)\lambda_\ell} \left\{ a_{2\ell} + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} \lambda_k \mu_k^{2\ell-2k+1} \binom{2\ell-1}{2\ell-2k+1} \right\} \quad (\ell \geq 2), \quad (3.21)$$

with $\lambda_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\mu_1 = \frac{11}{12}$. Here a_j are given in (3.7).

Proof. We first express (3.19) in the form

$$e^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x - 1 \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{x^{2j-1}} \left(1 + \frac{\mu_j}{x}\right)^{-2j+1}.$$

¹Using the Maple software, formula (3.18) is given in the appendix.

Direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{x^{2j-1}} \left(1 + \frac{\mu_j}{x}\right)^{-2j+1} &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{x^{2j-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-2j+1}{k} \frac{\mu_j^k}{x^k} \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{x^{2j-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{k+2j-2}{k} \frac{\mu_j^k}{x^k} \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \lambda_{k+1} \mu_{k+1}^{j-k-1} (-1)^{j-k-1} \binom{j+k-1}{j-k-1} \frac{1}{x^{j+k}} \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{j+2}{2} \rfloor} \lambda_k \mu_k^{j-2k+1} (-1)^{j-1} \binom{j-1}{j-2k+1} \right\} \frac{1}{x^j}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We then obtain

$$e^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x - 1 \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{j+2}{2} \rfloor} \lambda_k \mu_k^{j-2k+1} (-1)^{j-1} \binom{j-1}{j-2k+1} \right\} \frac{1}{x^j}. \quad (3.22)$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.7) that

$$e^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x - 1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_j}{x^j}, \quad (3.23)$$

where a_j are given in (3.8). Equating coefficients of the term x^{-j} on the right-hand sides of (3.22) and (3.23), we obtain

$$a_j = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{j+2}{2} \rfloor} \lambda_k \mu_k^{j-2k+1} (-1)^{j-1} \binom{j-1}{j-2k+1} \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (3.24)$$

Setting $j = 2\ell - 1$ and $j = 2\ell$ in (3.24), respectively, we find

$$a_{2\ell-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \lambda_k \mu_k^{2\ell-2k} \binom{2\ell-2}{2\ell-2k} \quad (3.25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{2\ell} &= - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell+1} \lambda_k \mu_k^{2\ell-2k+1} \binom{2\ell-1}{2\ell-2k+1} \\
 &= - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \lambda_k \mu_k^{2\ell-2k+1} \binom{2\ell-1}{2\ell-2k+1} - \lambda_{\ell+1} \mu_{\ell+1}^{-1} \binom{2\ell-1}{-1} \\
 &= - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \lambda_k \mu_k^{2\ell-2k+1} \binom{2\ell-1}{2\ell-2k+1}.
 \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

From (3.25) and (3.26) we obtain for $\ell = 1$,

$$\lambda_1 = a_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_1 = -\frac{a_2}{\lambda_1} = \frac{11}{12},$$

and for $\ell \geq 2$ we have

$$a_{2\ell-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} \lambda_k \mu_k^{2\ell-2k} \binom{2\ell-2}{2\ell-2k} + \lambda_\ell$$

and

$$a_{2\ell} = -\sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} \lambda_k \mu_k^{2\ell-2k+1} \binom{2\ell-1}{2\ell-2k+1} - (2\ell-1)\lambda_\ell \mu_\ell.$$

We then obtain the recurrence relations (3.20) and (3.21). The proof is complete. \square

We give explicit numerical values of the first few constants λ_ℓ and μ_ℓ by using the formulas (3.20) and (3.21). This demonstrates the ease with which the constants λ_ℓ and μ_ℓ in (3.19) can be determined.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \mu_1 = \frac{11}{12}, \\ \lambda_2 &= a_3 - \lambda_1 \mu_1^2 = -\frac{7}{16} - \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{11}{12}\right)^2 = -\frac{5}{288}, \\ \mu_2 &= -\frac{a_4 + \lambda_1 \mu_1^3}{3\lambda_2} = -\frac{\frac{2447}{5760} + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{11}{12}\right)^3}{3 \cdot \left(-\frac{5}{288}\right)} = \frac{343}{450}, \\ \lambda_3 &= a_5 - \lambda_1 \mu_1^4 - 6\lambda_2 \mu_2^2 = -\frac{959}{2304} - \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{11}{12}\right)^4 - 6 \cdot \left(-\frac{5}{288}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{343}{450}\right)^2 = -\frac{41683}{15552000}, \\ \mu_3 &= -\frac{a_6 + \lambda_1 \mu_1^5 + 10\lambda_2 \mu_2^3}{5\lambda_3} \\ &= -\frac{\frac{238043}{580608} + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{11}{12}\right)^5 + 10 \cdot \left(-\frac{5}{288}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{343}{450}\right)^3}{5 \cdot \left(-\frac{41683}{15552000}\right)} = \frac{558100391}{787808700}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that the values of λ_ℓ and μ_ℓ (for $\ell = 1, 2, 3$) above are equal to the constants appearing in (3.18).

Remark 3.2. By using the Maple software, we can show that for $x > 0$,

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x < e \left(1 - \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{x + \frac{11}{12}} - \frac{\frac{5}{288}}{(x + \frac{343}{450})^3} - \frac{\frac{41683}{15552000}}{(x + \frac{558100391}{787808700})^5}\right). \quad (3.27)$$

We omit the proof.

By virtue of the proof given in [42] and the inequality (3.27), we have the Carleman-type inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n+1} (a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots a_n^{\lambda_n})^{1/\Lambda_n} &< \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Lambda_n/\lambda_n}\right)^{\Lambda_n/\lambda_n} \lambda_n a_n \\ &< e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{(\Lambda_n/\lambda_n) + \frac{11}{12}} - \frac{\frac{5}{288}}{\left((\Lambda_n/\lambda_n) + \frac{343}{450}\right)^3} - \frac{\frac{41683}{15552000}}{\left((\Lambda_n/\lambda_n) + \frac{558100391}{787808700}\right)^5}\right) \lambda_n a_n, \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

which is an improvement on the inequality (3.16).

Finally, we propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3.1. For all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\lambda_\ell < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_\ell > 0. \quad (3.29)$$

Further, we have the inequality

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x < e \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \frac{\lambda_\ell}{(x + \mu_\ell)^{2\ell-1}}\right) \quad (3.30)$$

for $x > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Appendix: A derivation of formula (3.18)

Define the function $F(x)$ by

$$F(x) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x - e \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{x + \mu_1}\right).$$

We are interested in finding the values of the parameters λ_1 and μ_1 such that $F(x)$ converges as fast as possible to zero, as $x \rightarrow \infty$. This provides the best approximation of the form:

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x \approx e \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{x + \mu_1}\right).$$

Using the Maple software, we find, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$F(x) = -\frac{e(1 + 2\lambda_1)}{2x} + \frac{e(24\lambda_1\mu_1 + 11)}{24x^2} - \frac{e(16\lambda_1\mu_1^2 + 7)}{16x^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^4}\right).$$

The two parameters λ_1 and μ_1 , which produce the fastest convergence of the function $F(x)$, are given by

$$\begin{cases} 1 + 2\lambda_1 = 0 \\ 24\lambda_1\mu_1 + 11 = 0, \end{cases}$$

namely, if

$$\lambda_1 = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \mu_1 = \frac{11}{12}.$$

We then obtain, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x = e \left(1 - \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{x + \frac{11}{12}} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^3}\right)\right). \quad (3.31)$$

In view of (3.31), we define the function $G(x)$ by

$$G(x) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x - e \left(1 - \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{x + \frac{11}{12}} + \frac{\lambda_2}{(x + \mu_2)^3}\right).$$

Using the Maple software, we find, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$G(x) = -\frac{e(5 + 288\lambda_2)}{288x^3} + \frac{e(343 + 25920\lambda_2\mu_2)}{8640x^4} - \frac{e(2621 + 248832\lambda_2\mu_2^2)}{41472x^5} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^6}\right).$$

For $\lambda_2 = -\frac{5}{288}$ and $\mu_2 = \frac{343}{450}$, we obtain, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x = e \left(1 - \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{x + \frac{11}{12}} - \frac{\frac{5}{288}}{(x + \frac{343}{450})^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^5}\right)\right). \quad (3.32)$$

In view of (3.32), we define the function $H(x)$ by

$$H(x) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x - e \left(1 - \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{x + \frac{11}{12}} - \frac{\frac{5}{288}}{(x + \frac{343}{450})^3} + \frac{\lambda_3}{(x + \mu_3)^5}\right).$$

Using the Maple software, we find, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$H(x) = -\frac{e(41683 + 15552000\lambda_3)}{15552000x^5} + \frac{e(558100391 + 293932800000\lambda_3\mu_3)}{58786560000x^6} - \frac{e(52111420409 + 37791360000000\lambda_3\mu_3^2)}{2519424000000x^7} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^8}\right).$$

For $\lambda_3 = -\frac{41683}{15552000}$ and $\mu_3 = \frac{558100391}{787808700}$, we obtain, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x = e \left(1 - \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{x + \frac{11}{12}} - \frac{\frac{5}{288}}{(x + \frac{343}{450})^3} - \frac{\frac{41683}{15552000}}{(x + \frac{558100391}{787808700})^5} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^7}\right)\right).$$

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun (Editors), Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, Applied Mathematics Series 55, Ninth printing, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C., 1972.

- [2] H. Alzer and C. Berg, Some classes of completely monotonic functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae*, **27** (2002), 445–460.
- [3] H.J. Brothers and J.A. Knox, New closed-form approximations to the logarithmic constant e , *Math. Intelligencer* **20** (1998), 25–29.
- [4] T. Carleman, Sur les fonctions quasi-analytiques, *Comptes rendus du V^e Congrès des Mathématiciens Scandinaves*, Helsingfors (1922), 181–196.
- [5] H.-W. Chen, On an infinite series for $(1 + 1/x)^x$ and its application, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **29** (2002), 675–680.
- [6] C.-P. Chen, Generalization of weighted Carleman-type inequality, *East J. Approx.* **12** (2006), 63–69.
- [7] C.-P. Chen, W.-S. Cheung and F. Qi, Note on weighted Carleman type inequality, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **3** (2005), 475–481.
- [8] C.-P. Chen and J. Choi, Asymptotic formula for $(1 + 1/x)^x$ based on the partition function, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **121** (2014), 338–343.
- [9] C.-P. Chen and C. Mortici, Sharp form of inequality for the constant e , *Carpathian J. Math.* **27** (2011), 185–191.
- [10] C.-P. Chen and R.B. Paris, An inequality involving the constant e and a generalized Carleman-type inequality, (submitted).
- [11] C.-P. Chen and F. Qi, Generalization of Hardy’s inequality, *Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc.* **7** (2004), 57–61.
- [12] A. Čižmešija, J. Pečarić and L. E. Persson, On strengtened weighted Carleman’s inequality, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **68** (2003), 481–490.
- [13] H.T. Davis, *Tables of the Mathematical Functions*, Vol. **I**, The Principia Press of Trinity University, San Antonio, Texas, 1963.
- [14] S. S. Dragomir and Y.-H. Kim, The strengthened Hardy inequalities and their new generalizations, *Filomat* **20** (2006), 39–49.
- [15] H.W. Gould, The Girard-Waring power sum formulas for symmetric functions and Fibonacci sequences, *Fibonacci Quart.* **37** (1999), 135–140.
- [16] M. Gyllenberg, P. Yan, On a conjecture by Yang, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **264** (2001), 687–690.
- [17] G.H. Hardy, Notes on some points in the integral calculus, *Messenger of Math.* **54** (1925), 150–156.
- [18] G.H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1952.
- [19] Y. Hu, A strengthened Carleman’s inequality, *Commun. Math. Anal.* **1** (2006), 115–119.
- [20] Y. Hu, C. Mortici, On the coefficients of an expansion of $(1 + 1/x)^x$ related to Carleman’s inequality (2014). [arXiv:1401.2236 \[math.CA\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1401.2236)

- [21] M. Johansson, L.E. Persson and A. Wedestig, Carleman's inequality—history, proofs and some new generalizations, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **4** (3) (2003), Art. 53. http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/images/135_02_JIPAM/135_02_www.pdf.
- [22] S. Kaijser, L.E. Persson and A. Öberg, On Carleman and Knopp's inequalities, *J. Approx. Theory* **117** (2002), 140–151.
- [23] J.A. Knox and H.J. Brothers, Novel series-based approximations to e , *College Math. J.* **30** (1999), 269–275.
- [24] A. Kufner and L.E. Persson, *Weighted Inequalities of Hardy Type*, World Scientific, New Jersey/London, Singapore/Hong Kong, 2003.
- [25] J.-L. Li, Notes on an inequality involving the constant e , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **250** (2000), 722–725.
- [26] H.-P. Liu and L. Zhu, New strengthened Carleman's inequality and Hardy's inequality, *J. Inequal. Appl. J. Inequal. Appl.* **2007**, Art. ID 84104, 7 pp. <http://link.springer.com/article/10.1155/2007/84104/fulltext.html>.
- [27] Z. Lü, Y. Gao and Y. Wei, Note on the Carleman's inequality and Hardy's inequality, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59** (2010), 94–97.
- [28] Y.L. Luke, *The Special Functions and their Approximations*, vol. I, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
- [29] E. Maor, *e : The Story of a Number*, Princeton University Press, 1994.
- [30] D. Lu, L. Song and Z. Liu, Some new approximations and inequalities of the sequence $(1 + 1/n)^n$ and improvements of Carleman's inequality, *Ramanujan J.* **43** (2017), 69–82.
- [31] C. Mortici and Y. Hu, On some convergences to the constant e and improvements of Carleman's inequality, *Carpathian J. Math.* **31** (2015), 249–254.
- [32] C. Mortici, Y. Hu, Y, On an infinite series for $(1 + 1/x)^x$ (2014). [arXiv:1406.7814](https://arxiv.org/abs/1406.7814) [math.CA].
- [33] C. Mortici and X.-J. Jang, Estimates of $(1 + x)^{1/x}$ Involved in Carleman's Inequality and Keller's Limit, *Filomat* **29** (2015), 1535–1539.
- [34] J. Pečarić and K.B. Stolarsky, Carleman's inequality: history and new generalizations, *Aequationes Math.* **61** (2001), 49–62.
- [35] G. Pólya, Proof of an inequality, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **24** (1926), 57.
- [36] B. Ren and X. Li, Some inequalities related to two expansions of $(1 + 1/x)^x$, *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2015**, 2015: 393, 8 pages. <https://rd.springer.com/article/10.1186/s13660-015-0928-5>.
- [37] N.J. A. Sloane, $a(n)$ = number of partitions of n (the partition numbers). Maintained by The OEIS Foundation, available at <http://oeis.org/A000041>.

- [38] Wikipedia contributors, Partition (number theory), Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia, available at [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Partition_function_\(number_theory\)#Partition_function](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Partition_function_(number_theory)#Partition_function).
- [39] Z. Xie and Y. Zhong, A best approximation for constant e and an improvement to Hardy's inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **252** (2000), 994–998.
- [40] P. Yan and G.-Z. Sun, A strengthened Carleman's inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **240** (1999), 290–293.
- [41] B.-C. Yang and L. Debnath, Some inequalities involving the constant e , and an application to Carleman's inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **223** (1998), 347–353.
- [42] B.-C. Yang, On Hardy's inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **234** (1999), 717–722.
- [43] X.-J. Yang, On Carleman's inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **253** (2001), 691–694.
- [44] X.-J. Yang, Approximations for constant e and their applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **262** (2001), 651–659.
- [45] X. You, D.-R. Chen and H. Shi, Continued fraction inequalities related to $(1 + 1/x)^x$, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **443** (2016), 1090–1094.