



# Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of quantised intervals

Thomas Gotfredsen, Jens Kaad, David Kyed\*

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55,  
DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark



## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 14 September 2020  
Available online 8 March 2021  
Submitted by S. Eilers

### Keywords:

Quantum metric spaces  
Podleś sphere  
Gromov-Hausdorff distance

## ABSTRACT

The Podleś quantum sphere  $S_q^2$  admits a natural commutative  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $I_q$  with spectrum  $\{0\} \cup \{q^{2k} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ , which may therefore be considered as a quantised version of a classical interval. We study here the compact quantum metric space structure on  $I_q$  inherited from the corresponding structure on  $S_q^2$ , and provide an explicit formula for the metric induced on the spectrum. Moreover, we show that the resulting metric spaces vary continuously in the deformation parameter  $q$  with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff distance, and that they converge to a classical interval of length  $\pi$  as  $q$  tends to 1.

© 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The study of compact quantum metric spaces dates back to the work of Connes [2], in which he studied metrics on state spaces of spectral triples. This notion was later formalised in the works of Rieffel [8,9,11], in which the weak  $*$ -topology on the state space is metrised by the Monge-Kantorovich metric coming from a so-called Lip-norm on a  $C^*$ -algebra (see Section 2 for details). As shown by Rieffel, the classical Gromov-Hausdorff distance admits an analogue, known as quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance, for compact quantum metric spaces, and this notion was later refined by Latrémolière through his notion of propinquity [5]. Although examples of compact quantum metric spaces are abundant, some of the most basic examples from non-commutative geometry are not well understood from this point of view, and only very recently, Aguilar and Kaad [1] showed that the Podleś standard sphere  $S_q^2$ , introduced as a homogeneous space of Woronowicz'  $q$ -deformed  $SU(2)$  [7,13], admits a natural compact quantum metric space structure stemming from its non-commutative geometry. More precisely, Aguilar and Kaad show that the Lip-norm arising from the Dirac operator  $D_q$  of the Dąbrowski-Sitarz spectral triple [3], does indeed provide a quantum metric structure on  $S_q^2$ . The main question left open in [1] is that of quantum Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of  $S_q^2$  to the classical 2-sphere  $S^2$  as the deformation parameter tends to 1. This question seems rather

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: thgot@imada.sdu.dk (T. Gotfredsen), kaad@imada.sdu.dk (J. Kaad), dkyed@imada.sdu.dk (D. Kyed).

difficult to settle,<sup>1</sup> and the aim of the present paper is to show that the Podleś sphere  $S_q^2$  contains a natural commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $I_q$  for which the corresponding convergence question can be settled, and that the answer supports the more general conjecture that  $S_q^2$  converges to  $S^2$  as  $q$  tends to 1. The Podleś sphere is generated by a self-adjoint operator  $A$  and a non-normal operator  $B$  (see Section 2 for precise definitions), and the  $C^*$ -algebra  $I_q$  is simply the unital  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $A$  inside  $S_q^2$ . Since  $S_q^2$  admits a rather accessible representation on  $B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0))$  [7, Proposition 4], the spectrum of the self-adjoint generator  $A \in S_q^2$  is easily derivable, and one finds that for  $q \in (0, 1)$  this is exactly the set

$$X_q = \{0\} \cup \{q^{2k} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\},$$

which can therefore be viewed as a quantised version of a classical interval. The Lip-norm  $L_{D_q}$  coming from the Dirac operator on  $S_q^2$  therefore, in particular, provides a metric on the state space of  $I_q \cong C(X_q)$  and embedding  $X_q$  into the state space of  $C(X_q)$  as point-evaluations, we obtain a metric  $d_q$  on  $X_q$ . Our first main result determines an explicit formula for this metric.

**Theorem A.** *For  $q \in (0, 1)$ , the metric  $d_q$  on  $X_q$  is given by the following formula:*

$$d_q(x, y) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ \sum_{k=\min\{m,n\}}^{\max\{m,n\}-1} \frac{(1-q^2)q^k}{\sqrt{1-q^{2(k+1)}}} & \text{if } x = q^{2n} \text{ and } y = q^{2m} \text{ with } n \neq m \\ \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^2)q^k}{\sqrt{1-q^{2(k+1)}}} & \text{if } x = q^{2n} \text{ and } y = 0 \text{ or } x = 0 \text{ and } y = q^{2n}. \end{cases}$$

When  $q = 1$ , the spectrum of the operator  $A$  becomes  $X_1 := [0, 1]$  and in Section 3.1 we will show that when  $X_1$  is equipped with the metric  $d_1$  inherited from the classical 2-sphere  $S^2$ , then the space  $(X_1, d_1)$  becomes isometrically isomorphic to  $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  with its standard Euclidian metric. Our second main theorem therefore confirms that the quantised intervals do indeed converge to the appropriate classical interval as the deformation parameter tends to 1:

**Theorem B.** *The metric spaces  $(X_q, d_q)$  vary continuously with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff distance in the deformation parameter  $q \in (0, 1)$  and converge to the interval  $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  with its standard metric as  $q$  tends to 1.*

On the class of commutative compact quantum metric spaces, convergence in both Latrémolière's propinquity [5] and Rieffel's quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance [10] is implied by convergence in classical Gromov-Hausdorff distance (see Remark 3.7) and Theorem B therefore settles all the natural convergence question for the algebras  $I_q \cong C(X_q)$ .

The paper is structured as follows: In the first part we introduce the basic definitions concerning quantum metric spaces, Gromov-Hausdorff distance,  $SU_q(2)$  and the standard Podleś sphere and the associated Dąbrowski-Sitarz spectral triple. In the second part we first give a description of  $I_q$  in the continuum case, i.e. when  $q = 1$ , followed by a thorough treatment of the quantised case, where  $SU(2)$  is deformed by a parameter  $q \in (0, 1)$ . For this we provide a detailed treatment of the metric  $d_q$ , on  $X_q$  and its Lipschitz semi-norm from which we can prove Theorem A, and finally we use this to prove Theorem B.

<sup>1</sup> We are currently working on this.

### Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the Independent Research Fund Denmark through grant no. 7014-00145B and grant no. 9040-00107B. We are also grateful for the comments and suggestions provided by the anonymous referee.

### Standing conventions

The semi-norms appearing in this text are defined everywhere on unital  $C^*$ -algebras and may take the value infinity.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Quantum metric spaces

We begin this section by recalling some basic facts about metric spaces. Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space. The *Lipschitz semi-norm*,  $L_d: C(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , on  $C(X)$  is defined by the formula

$$L_d(f) := \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)} : x \neq y \right\}; \quad f \in C(X).$$

A continuous function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is then said to be a Lipschitz function when  $L_d(f) < \infty$  and in this case  $L_d(f)$  agrees with the Lipschitz constant. The Lipschitz functions on  $X$  form a  $*$ -subalgebra which we denote by  $C_{\text{Lip}}(X) \subset C(X)$ . Given subsets  $A, B \subset X$ , their Hausdorff-distance is defined as

$$\text{dist}_H^d(A, B) := \inf \{r \geq 0 \mid A \subset \mathbb{B}(B, r) \text{ and } B \subset \mathbb{B}(A, r)\},$$

where  $\mathbb{B}(A, r)$  denotes the set  $\{x \in X : \exists a \in A : d(x, a) < r\}$ . For two metric spaces  $(X, d_X), (Y, d_Y)$ , their *Gromov-Hausdorff distance* is defined as

$$\text{dist}_{GH}(X, Y) = \inf \{\text{dist}_H^{d_Z}(\iota_X(X), \iota_Y(Y))\},$$

where the infimum ranges over all metric spaces  $(Z, d_Z)$  and all isometric embeddings  $\iota_X: X \rightarrow Z$  and  $\iota_Y: Y \rightarrow Z$ . Next, we will recall the relevant definitions for quantum metric spaces.

**Definition 2.1** ([8, 9, 11]). Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $L: A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a semi-norm. We say that  $(A, L)$  is a *compact quantum metric space*, and that  $L$  is a *Lip-norm*, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $\text{Dom}(L) := \{a \in A : L(a) < \infty\}$  is dense in  $A$ ;
- (2)  $L$  is  $*$ -invariant and lower semi-continuous on  $A$ ;
- (3)  $\ker(L) := \{a \in A : L(a) = 0\} = \mathbb{C}1_A$ ;
- (4) The Monge-Kantorovich metric on the state space  $S(A)$  of  $A$ , given by

$$\text{mk}_L(\mu, \nu) := \sup\{|\mu(a) - \nu(a)| : a \in A, L(a) \leq 1\}, \quad \text{for } \mu, \nu \in S(A)$$

metrises the weak  $*$ -topology.

The model example for a compact quantum metric space is, unsurprisingly,  $(C(X), L_d)$  where  $(X, d)$  is a compact metric space. In this case it is a well-known fact that the Monge-Kantorovich metric recaptures the metric  $d$  on  $X$  when the latter is viewed as a subset of the state space of  $C(X)$ :

$$d(x, y) = \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : f \in C(X), L_d(f) \leq 1\}.$$

Another interesting class of examples, which dates back to the work of Connes [2], comes from certain spectral triples: the setting is thus that of a separable Hilbert space  $H$  with a self-adjoint densely defined operator  $D: \text{Dom}(D) \rightarrow H$ , and a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  represented on  $H$  via a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\rho: A \rightarrow B(H)$ . Then one can define the *Lipschitz algebra*  $\text{Lip}_D(A)$ , to consist of all elements  $x \in A$  which preserve  $\text{Dom}(D)$ , and for which  $[D, \rho(x)]: \text{Dom}(D) \rightarrow H$  admits a bounded extension to  $H$ , which will be denoted by  $\partial(x) \in B(H)$ . Clearly,  $\text{Lip}_D(A) \subset A$  is a  $*$ -subalgebra and it follows from the definition of a spectral triple that  $\text{Lip}_D(A) \subset A$  is norm-dense. From the spectral triple  $(A, H, D)$ , we also obtain a semi-norm as follows:

**Definition 2.2.** Define  $L_D: A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  by the formula

$$L_D(x) := \sup \{ |\langle \xi, \rho(x^*)D\eta \rangle - \langle \rho(x)D\xi, \eta \rangle| : \xi, \eta \in \text{Dom}(D), \|\xi\| = \|\eta\| = 1 \}.$$

A first result says that  $x \in \text{Lip}_D(A)$  exactly when  $L_D(x)$  is finite, and in this case  $L_D(x) = \|\partial(x)\|$ , see e.g. [1, Lemma 2.3]. Moreover,  $L_D: A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is lower semi-continuous and  $*$ -invariant, see [9, Proposition 3.7]. The above construction does in general not yield a quantum metric space, but due to the work of Rieffel, there are tools available for verifying whether or not this is the case (see for instance [8, Theorem 1.8]).

Quantum analogues of the Gromov-Hausdorff distance have been defined by Rieffel and Latrémolière, and we refer the reader to [10, 5] for concrete definitions. For our purposes, it suffices to know that when the compact quantum metric spaces in question are of the form  $(C(X), L_d)$ , then both analogues are dominated by the classical Gromov-Hausdorff distance, see Remark 3.7.

## 2.2. The standard Podleś sphere

The central object of interest in this paper is the standard Podleś quantum sphere, which is defined as a particular  $C^*$ -subalgebra of Woronowicz' [13] quantum group  $SU_q(2)$  as given below. Fix  $q \in (0, 1]$ , and let  $SU_q(2)$  denote the universal unital  $C^*$ -algebra with generators  $a$  and  $b$  defined such that the following relations are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} ba &= qab, & b^*a &= qab^*, & bb^* &= b^*b \\ a^*a + q^2bb^* &= 1 = aa^* + bb^*. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the unital  $*$ -subalgebra generated by  $a$  and  $b$  by  $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ , and by  $\mathcal{O}(S_q^2)$  the unital  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$  generated by the elements

$$A := b^*b \quad \text{and} \quad B := ab^*.$$

The standard Podleś quantum sphere,  $S_q^2$ , is defined as the norm-closure of  $\mathcal{O}(S_q^2) \subset SU_q(2)$  [7]. We remark that from the defining relations of  $SU_q(2)$  we obtain a similar set of relations for  $A$  and  $B$ :

$$\begin{aligned} AB &= q^2BA, & A &= A^* \\ BB^* &= q^{-2}A(1-A), & B^*B &= A(1-q^2A). \end{aligned}$$

The  $C^*$ -algebra  $SU_q(2)$  comes equipped with a natural faithful state, called the Haar state, which we denote by  $h: SU_q(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , see e.g. [4, Section 11.3.2]. We let  $L^2(SU_q(2))$  denote the separable Hilbert space obtained by applying the GNS-construction to the  $C^*$ -algebra  $SU_q(2)$  equipped with the Haar state.

From now on, we assume that  $q \neq 1$ . Define an automorphism  $\partial_k$  on  $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$  by  $\partial_k(x) = q^{\frac{1}{2}}x$  if  $x \in \{a, b\}$ , and  $\partial_k(x) = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}x$  if  $x \in \{a^*, b^*\}$ , and for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define the vector subspaces

$$\mathcal{A}_n := \{x \in \mathcal{O}(SU_q(2)) : \partial_k(x) = q^{n/2}x\} \subset \mathcal{O}(SU_q(2)).$$

It turns out that  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{O}(S_q^2)$  and that the algebra structure on  $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$  allows us to consider each  $\mathcal{A}_n$  as a left module over  $\mathcal{O}(S_q^2)$ . We let  $H_+$  and  $H_-$  denote the separable Hilbert spaces obtained by taking the Hilbert space closures of  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{-1}$  (respectively) when considered as subspaces of  $L^2(SU_q(2))$ . The GNS-representation of  $SU_q(2)$  on  $L^2(SU_q(2))$  (when properly restricted) then provides us with two unital  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\rho_+ : S_q^2 \rightarrow B(H_+)$  and  $\rho_- : S_q^2 \rightarrow B(H_-)$ .

By [3] there exists an even spectral triple,  $(S_q^2, H_+ \oplus H_-, D_q)$ , where the representation in question is given by the direct sum  $\rho : \rho_+ \oplus \rho_- : S_q^2 \rightarrow B(H_+ \oplus H_-)$ . For an explicit construction of the Dirac operator  $D_q : \text{Dom}(D_q) \rightarrow H_+ \oplus H_-$ , we refer to [3,6] or [1].

For  $x \in \text{Lip}_{D_q}(S_q^2)$ , the associated operator  $\partial(x)$  (obtained as the closure of  $[D_q, \rho(x)]$ ) takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_2(x) \\ \partial_1(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix} : H_+ \oplus H_- \rightarrow H_+ \oplus H_-,$$

where  $\partial_1 : \text{Lip}_{D_q}(S_q^2) \rightarrow B(H_+, H_-)$  and  $\partial_2 : \text{Lip}_{D_q}(S_q^2) \rightarrow B(H_-, H_+)$  are derivations satisfying  $\partial_2(x^*) = -\partial_1(x)^*$  (remark in this respect that  $B(H_+, H_-)$  and  $B(H_-, H_+)$  can be considered as bimodules over  $S_q^2$  via the representations  $\rho_+$  and  $\rho_-$ ). Consequently the Lip-norm is, for  $x \in \text{Lip}_{D_q}(S_q^2)$ , given by

$$L_{D_q}(x) = \max \{ \|\partial_1(x)\|, \|\partial_1(x^*)\| \}.$$

By [7, Proposition 4],  $S_q^2$  admits a faithful representation,  $\pi : S_q^2 \rightarrow B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0))$ , defined by

$$\pi(A)(e_k) := q^{2k}e_k, \quad \pi(B)(e_k) = q^k \sqrt{1 - q^{2(k+1)}}e_{k+1}, \quad (1)$$

where  $e_k$  denotes the characteristic function on the point-set  $\{k\} \subset \mathbb{N}_0$ . In fact, this representation even provides a  $*$ -isomorphism to the unitisation of the compact operators on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ . Using this representation it is easy to see that the spectrum of the operator  $A$  for a specific  $q \in (0, 1)$  is given by

$$X_q := \{0\} \cup \{q^{2k} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

Hence the indicator functions  $\chi_{\{q^{2k}\}} : X_q \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  are continuous for all  $k$ . In fact, these indicator functions and the unit generate  $C(X_q)$ , since any continuous function,  $f : X_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , can be written as  $f(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (f(q^{2k}) - f(0)) \cdot \chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}$ , where  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(q^{2k}) = f(0)$ . By [1, Theorem 8.3],  $(S_q^2, L_{D_q})$  is a compact quantum metric space, and consequently so is  $I_q := C^*(A, 1) \cong C(X_q)$  with the restricted Lip-norm. The compact quantum metric space  $(I_q, L_{D_q})$  is our main object of interest in the present paper. As  $I_q$  is commutative, the Lip-norm  $L_{D_q}$  defines a genuine metric  $d_q$  on  $X_q$  when the latter is considered as a subset of the state space  $S(S_q^2)$ . In order to describe  $d_q$  explicitly, the following lemma will be key:

**Lemma 2.3** ([1, Lemma 5.3]). *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and let  $q \in (0, 1)$ . We have that  $\chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}(A) \in \text{Lip}_{D_q}(S_q^2)$  and the derivative is given by*

$$\partial_1(\chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}(A)) = \frac{1}{q^{2k}(1 - q^2)} \chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}(A) \cdot b^* a^* - \frac{1}{q^{2(k-1)}(1 - q^2)} \chi_{\{q^{2(k-1)}\}}(A) \cdot b^* a^*.$$

In particular, we obtain that

$$\partial_1(f(A)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})}{q^{2k}(1 - q^2)} \chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}(A) \cdot b^* a^* \quad (2)$$

for every  $f \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\chi_{\{q^{2k}\}} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ .

**Remark 2.4.** The formula in (2) for  $\partial_1(f(A))$  is related to the notion of  $q$ -differentiation from  $q$ -calculus. Indeed, the  $q^2$ -differentiation of  $f \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\chi_{\{q^{2k}\}} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  would be given by

$$\mathcal{D}_{q^2}(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})}{q^{2k}(1 - q^2)} \chi_{\{q^{2k}\}},$$

see for example [4, Chapter 2.2]. The extra term  $b^*a^*$  appearing in (2) comes from the geometry of the quantised 2-sphere as it operates between the Hilbert space completions  $H_+$  and  $H_-$  of the quantised spinor bundles  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{-1}$ .

### 3. Metric properties of the quantised interval

In this section we first provide the explicit descriptions of the compact metric spaces  $(X_q, d_q)$  which encode the compact quantum metric space structure of  $(I_q, L_{D_q})$ . More precisely, the algebra of Lipschitz functions of the metric space  $(X_q, d_q)$  must agree with the Lipschitz algebra  $\text{Lip}_{D_q}(S_q^2) \cap I_q$  and the two semi-norms must agree, in the sense that  $L_{D_q}(f(A)) = L_{d_q}(f)$  whenever  $f$  is a Lipschitz function on  $(X_q, d_q)$ . This analysis is separated into the case  $q = 1$ , referred to as the continuum case, and the case  $q < 1$ , referred to as the quantised case.

#### 3.1. The continuum case

We consider the 2-sphere  $S^2 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1\}$  whereas  $S^3 = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z|^2 + |w|^2 = 1\}$  both equipped with the subspace topology coming from the usual topology on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

In the situation where  $q = 1$  we have a homeomorphism between the characters of  $SU_q(2)$  and the 3-sphere  $S^3$ , which sends  $(z, w) \in S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  to the unique character  $\chi_{z,w}$  satisfying that  $\chi_{z,w}(a) = z$  and  $\chi_{z,w}(b) = w$  (see [13]). Consequently, we can identify  $SU_q(2)$  with  $C(S^3)$  such that  $a(z, w) = z$  and  $b(z, w) = w$ . We may moreover view the 2-sphere  $S^2$  as the quotient space of  $S^3$  under the circle action  $\lambda \cdot (z, w) := (\lambda \cdot z, \lambda \cdot w)$  and this identification happens via the Hopf-fibration

$$S^3 \ni (z, w) \longmapsto (2 \operatorname{Re}(z\bar{w}), 2 \operatorname{Im}(z\bar{w}), |z|^2 - |w|^2) \in S^2.$$

Since both  $A(z, w) = (b^*b)(w) = |w|^2$  and  $B(z, w) = z\bar{w}$  are invariant under the circle action we may consider them as continuous function on  $S^2$  and as such they are given by

$$A(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{1 - x_3}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad B(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{x_1 + ix_2}{2}.$$

It is now clear that  $A$  has range  $[0, 1]$  and so we have a  $*$ -isomorphism  $C([0, 1]) \cong I_1$ . Let  $d_1$  be the metric on  $[0, 1]$  obtained from the standard round metric on  $S^2$  so that

$$d_1(s, t) := \inf \{d_{S^2}((x_1, x_2, 1 - 2s), (y_1, y_2, 1 - 2t)) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 + (1 - 2s)^2 = 1 = y_1^2 + y_2^2 + (1 - 2t)^2\}$$

for all  $s, t \in [0, 1]$ . We record the following elementary result:

**Proposition 3.1.** *The map  $\phi : [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  given by  $\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sin(t)$  is an isometric isomorphism when  $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  is equipped with the standard Euclidean metric  $d$  and  $[0, 1]$  is equipped with the metric  $d_1$ . In particular, we have a  $*$ -isomorphism  $\beta : C([-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]) \rightarrow I_1$ ,  $\beta(f) = (f \circ \phi^{-1})(A)$ , which maps  $C_{\text{Lip}}([-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}])$  onto  $I_1 \cap C_{\text{Lip}}(S^2)$  and satisfies  $L_{d_{S^2}}(\beta(f)) = L_d(f)$ .*

**Remark 3.2.** For completeness, we note that when  $q = 1$ , the standard Podleś sphere is of course isomorphic to  $C(S^2)$ . Indeed, the continuous maps corresponding to  $A$  and  $B$  separate points in  $S^2$  and the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem then shows that  $S_1^2 = C^*(1, A, B) \cong C(S^2)$ .

### 3.2. The quantised case

We will now address the case of a fixed  $q \in (0, 1)$ . We let  $X_q$  denote the spectrum of  $A \in S_q^2$ , and, as we already saw,  $X_q = \{0\} \cup \{q^{2k} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ . As explained in the introduction, the Lip-norm  $L_{D_q}$  gives rise to a metric on the state space of  $C^*(A, 1) \cong C(X_q)$ , which therefore, in particular, determines a metric  $d_q$  on  $X_q$  when the latter is viewed as a subset of the state space via point evaluations. The aim of the current section is to find an explicit formula for this metric, and show that the metric spaces  $(X_q, d_q)$  converge in the Gromov-Hausdorff distance to the Euclidean interval  $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  as  $q$  tends to 1.

To this end, we consider the function  $\rho_q : [-1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\rho_q(x) := \frac{\sqrt{1 - q^{2(x+1)}}}{(1 - q^2)q^x}.$$

**Definition 3.3.** Define the metric  $d_q : X_q \times X_q \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$d_q(x, y) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ \sum_{k=\min\{m,n\}}^{\max\{m,n\}-1} \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)} & \text{if } x = q^{2m} \text{ and } y = q^{2n} \text{ with } m \neq n \\ \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)} & \text{if } x = q^{2n} \text{ and } y = 0 \text{ or } x = 0 \text{ and } y = q^{2n}. \end{cases}$$

Remark that the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)}$  is convergent as can be seen from the estimate

$$\frac{1}{\rho_q(k)} = \frac{q^k(1 - q^2)}{\sqrt{1 - q^{2(k+1)}}} \leq q^k \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (3)$$

In order to prove Theorem A, we need several lemmas, the first of which shows that the Lipschitz seminorm on  $C(X_q)$  defined by the metric  $d_q$  and the Lip-norm  $L_{D_q}$  on  $I_q$  agree on all finite linear combinations of characteristic functions on  $X_q$ :

**Lemma 3.4.** For any  $f \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\chi_{\{q^{2k}\}} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \subset C(X_q)$ , it holds that  $f(A) \in \text{Lip}_{D_q}(S_q^2) \cap I_q$ . Moreover, we have the identities

$$L_{D_q}(f(A)) = \max\{\rho_q(k) \cdot |f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = L_{d_q}(f).$$

In particular,  $f$  is also Lipschitz with respect to the metric  $d_q$ .

Note that the maximum is indeed well-defined, since  $f$  is non-zero at no more than finitely many elements from  $X_q$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\chi_{\{q^{2k}\}} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  be given. The fact that  $f(A) \in \text{Lip}_{D_q}(S_q^2) \cap I_q$  is a consequence of Lemma 2.3. Moreover, from Lemma 2.3 and the defining identities for  $SU_q(2)$  we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_1(f(A))\partial_1(f(A))^* &= A(1 - q^2 A) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})|^2}{q^{4k}(1 - q^2)^2} \chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}(A) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_q(k)^2 \cdot |f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})|^2 \chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}(A).\end{aligned}$$

The continuous functional calculus applied to  $A \in I_q$  then implies that

$$\|\partial_1(f(A))\|^2 = \max\{\rho_q(k) \cdot |f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}. \quad (4)$$

The identity

$$L_{D_q}(f(A)) = \max\{\rho_q(k) \cdot |f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$$

now follows since the formula in (4) implies that  $\|\partial_2(f(A))\| = \|\partial_1(\bar{f}(A))\| = \|\partial_1(f(A))\|$ .

For the second equality, choose  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that

$$\rho_q(l) \cdot |f(q^{2l}) - f(q^{2(l+1)})| = \max\{\rho_q(k) \cdot |f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

This choice of  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$  implies that

$$|f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| \leq |f(q^{2l}) - f(q^{2(l+1)})| \cdot \frac{\rho_q(l)}{\rho_q(k)}$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Thus, for every  $m < n$  we may now estimate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}|f(q^{2m}) - f(q^{2n})| &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} |f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| \leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} |f(q^{2l}) - f(q^{2(l+1)})| \cdot \frac{\rho_q(l)}{\rho_q(k)} \\ &= |f(q^{2l}) - f(q^{2(l+1)})| \cdot \rho_q(l) \cdot d_q(q^{2m}, q^{2n}).\end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

This shows that  $f : X_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is Lipschitz with  $L_{d_q}(f) \leq L_{D_q}(f(A))$ . The fact that equality is achieved is then a consequence of Definition 3.3. Indeed, we obtain that

$$L_{D_q}(f(A)) = |f(q^{2l}) - f(q^{2(l+1)})| \cdot \rho_q(l) = \frac{|f(q^{2l}) - f(q^{2(l+1)})|}{d_q(q^{2l}, q^{2(l+1)})} \leq L_{d_q}(f). \quad \square$$

The next lemma computes the Lipschitz semi-norms of general continuous functions on  $X_q$  and provides information on the behaviour of the Lipschitz constants of a particularly interesting approximation.

**Lemma 3.5.** *For any  $f \in C(X_q)$  one has*

$$L_{d_q}(f) = \sup\{|f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| \cdot \rho_q(k) : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

Moreover, if  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f$  is Lipschitz with respect to the metric  $d_q$ , then the sequence  $\{L_{d_q}(f \cdot \chi_{\{q^{2k} : k \leq n\}})\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is bounded.

**Proof.** We first notice that Definition 3.3 implies the inequality

$$\sup\{|f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| \cdot \rho_q(k) : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \leq L_{d_q}(f)$$

(see also the proof of Lemma 3.4 for more details).



We then claim that

$$\frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d_q(x, y)} \leq \sup\{|f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| \cdot \rho_q(k) : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \quad (6)$$

whenever  $x, y \in X_q \setminus \{0\}$  satisfy  $x \neq y$ . We have to be careful at this point since the inequality in (6) is not an immediate consequence of Definition 3.3: the right hand side of our inequality only uses successive elements as exponents (i.e.  $k$  and  $k + 1$ ) whereas  $x = q^{2n}$  and  $y = q^{2m}$  for some  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  without any further constraints (except for  $n \neq m$ ). The inequality in (6) does however follow by an application of Lemma 3.4 to a suitable restriction of  $f$ .

Thus, to establish the claimed identity, it only remains to be shown that the supremum in (6) is still an upper bound when  $x = q^{2n}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $y = 0$ . However, this follows immediately from the estimate in (6) together with continuity of the function  $f$  and the metric  $d_q$ .

For the second part, we assume that  $f$  is Lipschitz and that  $f(0) = 0$ . By Lemma 3.4 it suffices to show that the sequence  $\{|f(q^{2n})| \cdot \rho_q(n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is bounded. To this end, we first note that since  $f$  is Lipschitz we may find a constant  $C$  such that  $|f(q^{2n})| \leq C \cdot d_q(q^{2n}, 0)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . It follows that

$$\rho_q(n) \cdot |f(q^{2n})| \leq C \cdot \sum_{k=n}^\infty \frac{\rho_q(n)}{\rho_q(k)} = C \cdot \sum_{k=0}^\infty q^k \frac{\sqrt{1 - q^{2(n+1)}}}{\sqrt{1 - q^{2(k+n+1)}}} \leq C \cdot \sum_{k=0}^\infty q^k = \frac{C}{1 - q}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . This ends the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

The metric  $d_q : X_q \times X_q \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  yields a Lipschitz algebra  $C_{\text{Lip}}(X_q) \subset C(X_q)$  and the semi-norm  $L_{D_q} : I_q \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  yields an *a priori different* Lipschitz algebra  $\text{Lip}_{D_q}(I_q) \subset I_q$ . The Lipschitz algebras  $C_{\text{Lip}}(X_q)$  and  $\text{Lip}_{D_q}(I_q)$  agree with the domains of the semi-norms  $L_{d_q}$  and  $L_{D_q}$ , respectively (recall that the domain consists of the elements where a semi-norm is finite). Moreover, the two unital commutative  $C^*$ -algebras  $C(X_q)$  and  $I_q$  are related by the  $*$ -isomorphisms  $f \mapsto f(A)$ . We are going to show that the  $*$ -isomorphism  $f \mapsto f(A)$  restricts to a  $*$ -isomorphism  $C_{\text{Lip}}(X_q) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_{D_q}(I_q)$  which is moreover isometric with respect to the semi-norms  $L_{d_q}$  and  $L_{D_q}$ .

Suppressing the identification  $C(X_q) \cong I_q$  we have by now proved that the two semi-norms  $L_{d_q}$  and  $L_{D_q}$  agree on *finite* linear combinations of the indicator functions  $\chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  (Lemma 3.4) and we have moreover succeeded in computing the semi-norm  $L_{d_q} : C(X_q) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  (Lemma 3.5).

The passage from finite linear combinations of indicator functions to general Lipschitz elements is however quite subtle. To explain a bit what the subtle point is, we let  $\mathcal{I}_q \subset I_q$  denote the smallest unital  $*$ -subalgebra containing all the projections  $\chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}(A)$ . Then even though  $\mathcal{I}_q \subset I_q$  is norm-dense and the derivation  $\partial : \text{Lip}_{D_q}(I_q) \rightarrow B(H_+ \oplus H_-)$  is closed, it is not true that  $\text{Lip}_{D_q}(I_q)$  can be recovered by taking the closure of the restriction  $\partial : \mathcal{I}_q \rightarrow B(H_+ \oplus H_-)$ . In particular, for a general element  $f(A) = f(0) + \sum_{k=0}^\infty (f(q^{2k}) - f(0)) \cdot \chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}(A) \in \text{Lip}_{D_q}(I_q)$  we cannot a priori compute  $\partial(f(A)) \in B(H_+ \oplus H_-)$  by using Lemma 2.3 and applying the derivation  $\partial$  term by term.

After these clarifications we are ready to state and prove the first main result of this section:

**Theorem 3.1.** *The Lip-algebra of  $I_q$  associated with the Dąbrowski-Sitarz spectral triple  $(S_q^2, H_+ \oplus H_-, D_q)$  agrees with  $\{f(A) : f \in C_{\text{Lip}}(X_q)\}$ , and for  $f \in C_{\text{Lip}}(X_q)$ , we have  $L_{D_q}(f(A)) = L_{d_q}(f)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f \in C(X_q)$  be given.

Suppose first that  $L_{D_q}(f(A)) < \infty$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we define the projection  $Q_n := \sum_{k=0}^n \chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}(A)$ . Since  $\partial_1$  is a derivation, we obtain from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_1(f(A))Q_n &= \partial_1(f(A)Q_n) - f(A)\partial_1(Q_n) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})) \frac{1}{q^{2k}(1-q^2)} \chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}(A) \cdot b^* a^*.\end{aligned}$$

Following the proof of Lemma 3.4 we then get that

$$\|\partial_1(f(A))Q_n\| = \max\{|f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| \cdot \rho_q(k) : k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}\} \quad (7)$$

and hence (using that  $Q_n$  is an orthogonal projection) we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\sup\{|f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| \cdot \rho_q(k) : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} &= \sup\{\|\partial_1(f(A))Q_n\| : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \\ &\leq \|\partial_1(f(A))\|.\end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

By Lemma 3.5 this shows that  $f$  is Lipschitz with respect to the metric  $d_q$  and that

$$L_{d_q}(f) \leq \|\partial_1(f(A))\|.$$

To prove that equality holds, we observe that by [12, Theorem 6.2.17],

$$h(Q_n) = (1 - q^2) \sum_{k=0}^n q^{2k} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1,$$

where  $h$  denotes the Haar state on  $SU_q(2)$ . Since  $h$  is faithful and  $\{Q_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is an increasing sequence of projections,  $Q_n$  converges to the identity in the strong operator topology on  $B(L^2(SU_q(2)))$ , and hence also on  $B(H_+)$ . It now follows from (8) and Lemma 3.5 that for any  $\xi$  in the unit ball of  $H_+$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_1(f(A))\xi\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\partial_1(f(A))Q_n\xi\| \leq \sup\{\|\partial_1(f(A))Q_n\| : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \\ &= L_{d_q}(f),\end{aligned}$$

and hence that  $\|\partial_1(f(A))\| = L_{d_q}(f)$ . Since we moreover have the identities

$$\|\partial_2(f(A))\| = \|\partial_1(\bar{f}(A))\| = L_{d_q}(f)$$

we may conclude that  $L_{D_q}(f(A)) = L_{d_q}(f)$ .

Suppose next that  $f \in C(X_q)$  is Lipschitz with respect to the metric  $d_q$ . Since subtracting a constant changes neither the Lipschitz constant of  $f$  nor  $L_{D_q}(f(A))$ , we may, without loss of generality, assume that  $f(0) = 0$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  define the function  $f_n := f \cdot \chi_{\{q^{2k} : k \leq n\}}$ . By Lemma 3.5, the sequence  $\{L_{d_q}(f_n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is then bounded and moreover  $f_n(A)$  converges to  $f(A)$  in operator norm.

Hence, since  $L_{D_q}(f_n(A)) = L_{d_q}(f_n)$  by Lemma 3.4, we obtain by lower semi-continuity of  $L_{D_q} : I_q \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  that

$$L_{D_q}(f(A)) \leq \sup\{L_{D_q}(f_n(A)) : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} < \infty.$$

This shows that  $f(A) \in \text{Lip}_{D_q}(I_q)$  and this ends the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

Theorem A now follows easily:

**Proof of Theorem A.** The metric  $d'_q$  on  $X_q$  induced by  $L_{D_q}$  is by definition given by

$$d'_q(x, y) := \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : f \in C(X_q), L_{D_q}(f(A)) \leq 1\}.$$

However, by Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_q(x, y) &= \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : f \in C(X_q), L_{d_q}(f) \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : f \in C(X_q), L_{D_q}(f(A)) \leq 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence the two metrics agree.  $\square$

In the following, we will consider the behaviour of  $(X_q, d_q)$  with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff metric, and provide a proof of Theorem B. To this end, we first establish a preliminary result about the diameter of  $X_q$ :

**Lemma 3.6.** *It holds that  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} d_q(0, 1) = \pi$ .*

**Proof.** Observe that the function  $\frac{1}{\rho_q} : x \mapsto (1 - q^2) \frac{q^x}{\sqrt{1 - q^{2(x+1)}}}$  is positive and decreasing on  $(-1, \infty)$ . This yields the estimates

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\rho_q(x)} dx \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)} \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\rho_q(x)} dx. \quad (9)$$

Furthermore, it can be verified that  $F(x) := \frac{1 - q^2}{q \ln(q)} \arcsin(q^{x+1})$  is an antiderivative of  $\frac{1}{\rho_q(x)}$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = 0$ . We therefore obtain the inequalities

$$-\frac{1 - q^2}{q \ln(q)} \arcsin(q^2) \leq d_q(0, 1) \leq -\frac{1 - q^2}{q \ln(q)} \arcsin(q).$$

Since  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - q^2}{q \ln(q)} = -2$  and  $\arcsin(1) = \frac{\pi}{2}$  we may conclude that  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} d_q(0, 1) = \pi$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem B.** For each  $q \in (0, 1)$ , we consider the isometric embedding  $\iota_q : X_q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $\iota_q(x) = d_q(1, x) - \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

We start by proving continuity at a fixed  $q_0 \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Choose a  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that  $J := [q_0 - \delta_0, q_0 + \delta_0] \subset (0, 1)$ . From the estimate in (3) we obtain that

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)} : q \in J \right\} \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sup \{q^k \sqrt{1 - q^2} : q \in J\} \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty (q_0 + \delta_0)^k < \infty.$$

We may therefore choose an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that

$$\sum_{k=n_0}^\infty \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad (10)$$

for all  $q \in J = [q_0 - \delta_0, q_0 + \delta_0]$ . Now, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the function  $q \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{n_0-1} \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)}$  is continuous and we may thus choose a  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$  such that

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)} - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\rho_{q_0}(k)} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad (11)$$

for all  $m \in \{1, \dots, n_0\}$  and all  $q \in (q_0 - \delta, q_0 + \delta)$ .

Let now  $q \in (q_0 - \delta, q_0 + \delta) \subset J$  be given. It then follows immediately from (11) that

$$|\iota_q(q^{2m}) - \iota_{q_0}(q_0^{2m})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} < \varepsilon$$

for all  $m \in \{1, \dots, n_0\}$ . Moreover, for  $m > n_0$  we apply (10) and (11) to estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} |\iota_q(q^{2m}) - \iota_{q_0}(q_0^{2m})| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n_0-1} \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)} + \sum_{k=n_0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)} - \sum_{k=0}^{n_0-1} \frac{1}{\rho_{q_0}(k)} - \sum_{k=n_0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\rho_{q_0}(k)} \right| \\ &\leq |\iota_q(q^{2n_0}) - \iota_{q_0}(q_0^{2n_0})| + \sum_{k=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)} + \sum_{k=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\rho_{q_0}(k)} \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument also shows that  $|\iota_q(0) - \iota_{q_0}(0)| < \varepsilon$ . We conclude that

$$\text{dist}_H(\iota_q(X_q), \iota_{q_0}(X_{q_0})) \leq \varepsilon$$

and hence that  $(0, 1) \ni q \mapsto (X_q, d_q)$  varies continuously in Gromov-Hausdorff distance.

For convergence, it suffices to show that the Hausdorff distance between  $\iota_q(X_q)$  and  $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  converges to 0 as  $q \rightarrow 1$ . To this end, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. By Lemma 3.6, we may find a  $q_1 \in (0, 1)$  such that for any  $q \in (q_1, 1)$ , we have  $|\iota_q(0) - \frac{\pi}{2}| < \varepsilon$ . Moreover, since  $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \iota_q(x) \leq \iota_q(0)$  for all  $x \in X_q$ , it follows that for every  $x \in X_q$  there exists a  $y \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  with  $|\iota_q(x) - y| < \varepsilon$ . It remains to be shown that we can find a  $q_2 \in (0, 1)$  such that given any  $y \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  and any  $q \in (q_2, 1)$ , we can find  $x \in X_q$  such that  $|y - \iota_q(x)| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\frac{1}{\rho_q(0)} = \sqrt{1 - q^2} \xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} 0$  and  $d_q(0, 1) \xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} \pi$  by Lemma 3.6 we can find a  $q_2 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\frac{1}{\rho_q(0)} < \varepsilon$  and  $|\iota_q(0) - \frac{\pi}{2}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for all  $q \in (q_2, 1)$ . Let now  $q \in (q_2, 1)$  be given. It follows that  $|y - \iota_q(0)| < \varepsilon$  for  $y \in (\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ . On the other hand, we may for each  $y \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}]$  find an  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $y \in [\iota_q(q^{2n}), \iota_q(q^{2(n+1)})]$  and consequently

$$|y - \iota_q(q^{2n})| \leq |\iota_q(q^{2n}) - \iota_q(q^{2(n+1)})| = \frac{1}{\rho_q(n)} \leq \frac{1}{\rho_q(0)} < \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

**Remark 3.7.** As stated in the introduction, Theorem B also applies if we replace the classical Gromov-Hausdorff distance with respectively the quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance of Rieffel [10] or Latrémolière's propinquity. To see this, note that by [5, Corollary 6.4] the former is dominated by two times the latter and by [5, Theorem 6.6], propinquity is dominated by the classical Gromov-Hausdorff distance on the class of compact metric spaces, and hence the convergence and continuity are also obtained for these distances.

## References

- [1] Konrad Aguilar, Jens Kaad, The Podleś sphere as a spectral metric space, *J. Geom. Phys.* 133 (2018) 260–278.
- [2] Alain Connes, Compact metric spaces, Fredholm modules, and hyperfiniteness, *Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst.* 9 (2) (1989) 207–220.
- [3] Ludwik Dąbrowski, Andrzej Sitarz, Dirac operator on the standard Podleś quantum sphere, in: *Noncommutative Geometry and Quantum Groups*, Warsaw, 2001, in: Banach Center Publ., vol. 61, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math, Warsaw, 2003, pp. 49–58.

- [4] Anatoli Klimyk, Konrad Schmüdgen, Quantum Groups and Their Representations, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [5] Frédéric Latrémolière, The quantum Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 368 (1) (2016) 365–411.
- [6] Sergey Neshveyev, Lars Tuset, A local index formula for the quantum sphere, Commun. Math. Phys. 254 (2) (2005) 323–341.
- [7] Piotr Podleś, Quantum spheres, Lett. Math. Phys. 14 (3) (1987) 193–202.
- [8] Marc Rieffel, Metrics on states from actions of compact groups, Doc. Math. 3 (1998) 215–229.
- [9] Marc Rieffel, Metrics on state spaces, Doc. Math. 4 (1999) 559–600.
- [10] Marc Rieffel, Gromov-Hausdorff distance for quantum metric spaces, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 168 (796) (2004) 1–65.
- [11] Marc Rieffel, Compact quantum metric spaces, in: Operator Algebras, Quantization, and Noncommutative Geometry, in: Contemp. Math., vol. 365, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 315–330.
- [12] Thomas Timmermann, An Invitation to Quantum Groups and Duality. From Hopf Algebras to Multiplicative Unitaries and Beyond, EMS Textbooks in Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008.
- [13] Stanisław L. Woronowicz, Twisted  $SU(2)$  group. An example of a noncommutative differential calculus, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 23 (1) (1987) 117–181.