



Lebesgue–Bochner spaces, decomposable sets and strong weakly compact generation [☆]

Sebastián Lajara ^a, José Rodríguez ^{b,*}

^a Departamento de Matemáticas, Escuela de Ingenieros Industriales, Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, 02071 Albacete, Spain

^b Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Facultad de Informática, Universidad de Murcia, 30100 Espinardo (Murcia), Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 1 December 2011

Available online 13 December 2011

Submitted by Richard M. Aron

Keywords:

Strongly generated Banach space

Lebesgue–Bochner space

Decomposable set

Uniform Eberlein compact space

Smoothness

ABSTRACT

Let X be a Banach space and μ a probability measure. We prove that X is strongly reflexive (resp. super-reflexive) generated if, and only if, there exist a reflexive (resp. super-reflexive) Banach space Z and a bounded linear operator $S: Z \rightarrow L^1(\mu, X)$ such that for each weakly compact decomposable set $K \subset L^1(\mu, X)$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subset nS(B_Z) + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\mu, X)}$. This answers partially a question posed by Schlichtermann and Wheeler. Some applications are also given.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let X be a Banach space and let B_X be its closed unit ball. We say that X is *strongly generated* by a Banach space Y (or that Y strongly generates X) if there is a bounded linear operator $T: Y \rightarrow X$ such that for each weakly compact set $K \subset X$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subset nT(B_Y) + \varepsilon B_X$. The space X is said to be *strongly \mathcal{P} generated* (for a given property \mathcal{P} of Banach spaces) if there is a Banach space with property \mathcal{P} that strongly generates X .

Of particular interest for us are the classes of strongly reflexive generated and strongly super-reflexive generated Banach spaces. An application of the factorization theorem of Davis, Figiel, Johnson and Pełczyński (see e.g. [10, Theorem 13.22]) yields that X is strongly reflexive generated if, and only if, X is strongly weakly compactly generated. The space X is called *strongly weakly compactly generated* if there is a weakly compact set $G \subset X$ such that for each weakly compact set $K \subset X$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subset nG + \varepsilon B_X$. This class of spaces was introduced by Schlichtermann and Wheeler [16] and has also been studied in [11,15]. Every strongly weakly compactly generated space is weakly compactly generated, but the converse does not hold in general (for instance, c_0 is not strongly weakly compactly generated). Typical examples of spaces in this class are the reflexive spaces, separable spaces with the Schur property (which are strongly generated by ℓ^2) and $L^1(\mu)$ for any probability measure μ (this space is strongly generated by $L^2(\mu)$, see [11, Proposition 12]).

In [1] it was proved that every weakly compact subset of $L^1(\mu)$ is *uniform Eberlein*, i.e. it is homeomorphic to a weakly compact subset of a Hilbert space. In fact, the same statement holds true for any strongly super-reflexive generated space (see [11, Corollary 11]). Such a space admits an equivalent uniformly weak Hadamard smooth norm, a property that lies between the classical notions of uniformly Gâteaux and uniformly Fréchet smoothness (see [11, Theorem 7 and Corollary 8]).

[☆] Partially supported by MCINN and FEDER (project MTM2008-05396) and Fundación Séneca (project 08848/PI/08).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: sebastian.lajara@uclm.es (S. Lajara), joser@um.es (J. Rodríguez).

There exist reflexive Banach spaces which do not admit an equivalent uniformly Gâteaux smooth norm (see e.g. [3, IV.6]), so the class of strongly reflexive generated spaces is strictly larger than the class of strongly super-reflexive generated spaces.

Given a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) , we denote by $L^1(\mu, X)$ the Banach space made up of all (equivalence classes of) Bochner integrable functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$, equipped with the norm $\|f\|_1 := \int_{\Omega} \|f(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega)$. A set $D \subset L^1(\mu, X)$ is called *decomposable* if $f1_A + g1_{\Omega \setminus A} \in D$ for every $f, g \in D$ and every $A \in \Sigma$ (where 1_E stands for the characteristic function of $E \in \Sigma$). This concept has proved to be a useful tool in control theory, optimization and mathematical economics (see e.g. [14]) and plays an important role in the study of weak compactness in Lebesgue–Bochner spaces. Decomposable sets arise as collections of Bochner integrable selectors of suitable set-valued functions [12,13]. In particular, if W is any subset of X , then the set

$$L(W) = \{f \in L^1(\mu, X) : f(\omega) \in W \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } \omega \in \Omega\},$$

is decomposable. In [6] (see also [7, Corollary 2.6]) it was shown that if W is relatively weakly compact (resp. weakly compact and convex) then $L(W)$ is relatively weakly compact (resp. weakly compact).

In [16, Section 4] it was asked if the space $L^1(\mu, X)$ is strongly weakly compactly generated whenever X is strongly weakly compactly generated. We point out that the corresponding problem for weakly compact generation was solved affirmatively in [5]. Our main result provides a positive answer to that question (and the analogous problem for strongly super-reflexive generated spaces) when restricted to weakly compact decomposable subsets of $L^1(\mu, X)$, as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a Banach space and (Ω, Σ, μ) a probability space. Then X is strongly reflexive (resp. super-reflexive) generated if, and only if, there exist a reflexive (resp. super-reflexive) Banach space Z and a bounded linear operator*

$$S : Z \rightarrow L^1(\mu, X)$$

such that for each weakly compact decomposable set $K \subset L^1(\mu, X)$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subset nS(B_Z) + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\mu, X)}$.

As application we obtain a version of the aforementioned statements on uniform Eberlein weakly compact sets and uniformly weak Hadamard smooth renormings in the setting of Lebesgue–Bochner spaces (Corollaries 6 and 7).

We follow standard Banach space notation and terminology which can be found, for instance, in [10]. For the basics of Lebesgue–Bochner spaces, see [8].

2. Proof of Theorem 1 and consequences

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following result.

Lemma 2. *Let X be a Banach space and (Ω, Σ, μ) a probability space. Suppose X is strongly reflexive generated and fix a weakly compact absolutely convex set $G_0 \subset X$ such that for each weakly compact set $K_0 \subset X$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K_0 \subset nG_0 + \varepsilon B_X$. Then for each weakly compact decomposable set $K \subset L^1(\mu, X)$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subset nL(G_0) + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\mu, X)}$.*

In the proof of Lemma 2 we shall use the following easy fact.

Lemma 3. *Let X be a Banach space and (Ω, Σ, μ) a probability space. Let $V \subset X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is a strongly measurable function such that $f(\omega) \in V + \varepsilon B_X$ for μ -a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$, then for every $\eta > 0$ there is a strongly measurable function $g : \Omega \rightarrow X$ taking values in V such that $\|f(\omega) - g(\omega)\| \leq \varepsilon + \eta$ for μ -a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$.*

Proof. Since f is strongly measurable, there is a countable disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset \Sigma$ with $\mu(\bigcup \mathcal{A}) = 1$ such that, for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\mu(A) > 0$ and

$$\sup_{\omega, \omega' \in A} \|f(\omega) - f(\omega')\| \leq \eta$$

(see e.g. [8, p. 42, Corollary 3]). For every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we can choose $\omega_A \in A$ and $x_A \in V$ such that $\|f(\omega_A) - x_A\| \leq \varepsilon$. Fix $x \in V$ arbitrary. Define $g : \Omega \rightarrow X$ by

$$g(\omega) := \begin{cases} x_A & \text{if } \omega \in A \text{ for some } A \in \mathcal{A}, \\ x & \text{if } \omega \notin \bigcup \mathcal{A}. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that g satisfies the required properties. \square

Proof of Lemma 2. We can assume without loss of generality that (Ω, Σ, μ) is complete. We begin by proving the following particular case.

PARTICULAR CASE. *Suppose $K \subset L^1(\mu, Y)$ for some separable closed subspace $Y \subset X$. Since K is weakly compact and decomposable, by [13, Corollary 3.10] there is a set-valued function $F : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ satisfying the following properties:*

- (a) $F(\omega)$ is relatively weakly compact for every $\omega \in \Omega$;
- (b) $\{\omega \in \Omega: F(\omega) \cap U \neq \emptyset\} \in \Sigma$ for every norm open set $U \subset Y$;
- (c) for every $f \in K$ we have $f(\omega) \in F(\omega)$ for μ -a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $A_n := \{\omega \in \Omega: F(\omega) \subset nG_0 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}B_X\}$. Observe that $nG_0 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}B_X$ is weakly closed (because G_0 is weakly compact) and convex, hence it is norm closed. Since

$$A_n = \Omega \setminus \left\{ \omega \in \Omega: F(\omega) \cap \left(Y \setminus \left(nG_0 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}B_X \right) \right) \neq \emptyset \right\},$$

property (b) ensures that $A_n \in \Sigma$. Observe that $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (bear in mind that G_0 is balanced) and that, moreover, $\Omega = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ (because $F(\omega)$ is relatively weakly compact for every $\omega \in \Omega$). The weak compactness of K implies that K is uniformly integrable (see [8, p. 104, Theorem 4]), hence we can choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough such that

$$\sup_{f \in K} \int_{\Omega \setminus A_n} \|f(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \tag{1}$$

We claim that $K \subset nL(G_0) + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\mu, X)}$. Fix $f \in K$ and set $\tilde{f} := f1_{A_n}$. By (c) we have $\tilde{f}(\omega) \in nG_0 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}B_X$ for μ -a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$. Lemma 3 applied to \tilde{f} guarantees the existence of $g \in nL(G_0)$ such that $\|\tilde{f}(\omega) - g(\omega)\| \leq \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}$ for μ -a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$, thus $\|\tilde{f} - g\|_1 \leq \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}$. This inequality and (1) yield $\|f - g\|_1 \leq \varepsilon$. This shows that $K \subset nL(G_0) + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\mu, X)}$, as claimed.

GENERAL CASE. We argue by contradiction. Suppose that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $f_n \in K$ such that $f_n \notin nL(G_0) + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\mu, X)}$. Since the f_n 's are strongly measurable, we can assume without loss of generality that $f_n \in L^1(\mu, Y)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where Y is some separable closed subspace of X .

Let H be the decomposable hull of the sequence (f_n) , i.e. H is the intersection of all decomposable subsets of $L^1(\mu, X)$ containing all f_n 's. Clearly, $H \subset L^1(\mu, Y)$. Let \tilde{H} be the weak closure of H in $L^1(\mu, Y)$. We claim that \tilde{H} is decomposable and weakly compact. Indeed, given any $A \in \Sigma$, the mapping

$$\phi_A : L^1(\mu, Y) \times L^1(\mu, Y) \rightarrow L^1(\mu, Y), \quad \phi_A(f, g) := f1_A + g1_{\Omega \setminus A},$$

is continuous when $L^1(\mu, Y)$ is equipped with its weak topology and so

$$\phi_A(\tilde{H} \times \tilde{H}) \subset \overline{\phi_A(H \times H)}^{\text{weak}} = \tilde{H}.$$

Thus, \tilde{H} is decomposable. Since $H \subset K$ (because K is decomposable and $f_n \in K$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$) and K is weakly compact, $\tilde{H} \subset K$ is weakly compact as well.

Finally, the PARTICULAR CASE applied to \tilde{H} ensures the existence of some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $\tilde{H} \subset nL(G_0) + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\mu, X)}$, thus contradicting the choice of $f_n \in \tilde{H}$. The proof is over. \square

Now, we proceed with the proof of Theorem 1. As usual, we denote by $L^2(\mu, X)$ the Banach space made up of all (equivalence classes of) strongly measurable functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ such that $\|f\|_2 := (\int_{\Omega} \|f(\omega)\|^2 d\mu(\omega))^{1/2} < \infty$. By a result of Figiel and Pisier (cf. [3, Chapter IV, Corollary 4.5]) it follows that $L^2(\mu, X)$ is super-reflexive whenever X is super-reflexive. The analogous assertion for reflexive spaces also holds true (cf. [8, p. 100, Corollary 2]).

Proof of Theorem 1. “Only if” part. Let Y be a reflexive (resp. super-reflexive) Banach space and let $T : Y \rightarrow X$ be a bounded linear operator such that for every weakly compact set $K_0 \subset X$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K_0 \subset nT(B_Y) + \varepsilon B_X$. Since reflexivity (resp. super-reflexivity) is inherited by quotients (cf. [3, Chapter IV, Corollary 4.6]) we can assume that T is one-to-one. It is clear that the formula

$$S(f) := T \circ f$$

defines a bounded linear operator S from $L^2(\mu, Y)$ into $L^1(\mu, X)$. We claim that $L(T(B_Y)) \subset S(B_{L^2(\mu, Y)})$. Indeed, let $g : \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a strongly measurable function such that $g(\Omega) \subset T(B_Y)$. We can write $g = T \circ f$ for some function $f : \Omega \rightarrow B_Y$. Since g is strongly measurable, Y is reflexive and T is one-to-one, an appeal to [4, Proposition 4.3] allows us to conclude that f is strongly measurable, hence $f \in B_{L^2(\mu, Y)}$ and so $g \in S(B_{L^2(\mu, Y)})$.

Now, Lemma 2 tells us that if $K \subset L^1(\mu, X)$ is any weakly compact decomposable set and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$K \subset nL(T(B_Y)) + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\mu, X)} \subset nS(B_{L^2(\mu, Y)}) + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\mu, X)}.$$

Since the space $L^2(\mu, Y)$ is reflexive (resp. super-reflexive) our assertion is satisfied.

“If” part. Suppose that there exist a reflexive (resp. super-reflexive) Banach space Z and a bounded linear operator $S : Z \rightarrow L^1(\mu, X)$ such that for each weakly compact decomposable set $K \subset L^1(\mu, X)$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $K \subset nS(B_Z) + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\mu, X)}$. Let us write, for each $f \in L^1(\mu, X)$,

$$P(f) := \int_{\Omega} f(\omega) d\mu(\omega),$$

and set $T := P \circ S$. Then T defines a bounded linear operator from Z into X .

Let K_0 be a weakly compact subset of X and pick $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $K := L(\overline{\text{co}}(K_0))$ is a weakly compact decomposable subset of $L^1(\mu, X)$, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subset nS(B_Z) + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\mu, X)}$, and consequently

$$P(K) \subset nP(S(B_Z)) + \varepsilon P(B_{L^1(\mu, X)}) \subset nT(B_Z) + \varepsilon B_X.$$

It remains to show that $K_0 \subset P(K)$. Take $x \in K_0$ and set $f(\omega) := x$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$. Then $f \in L(K_0) \subset K$, and thus $x = P(f) \in P(K)$. So, $K_0 \subset P(K)$ and the proof is finished. \square

Remark 4. A careful examination of the proof of Theorem 1 shows that X is strongly weakly compactly generated if, and only if, there is a weakly compact decomposable set $G \subset L^1(\mu, X)$ such that for each weakly compact decomposable set $K \subset L^1(\mu, X)$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subset nG + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\mu, X)}$.

Remark 5. The statement of Theorem 1 is also valid if “reflexivity” and “super-reflexivity” are replaced by the property of “being a Hilbert space”. We stress that being strongly Hilbert generated is strictly stronger than being strongly super-reflexive generated. If $1 < p < \infty$ and $p \neq 2$ then none of the spaces ℓ^p and $L^p(\mu)$ is strongly Hilbert generated. Indeed, if X and Y are reflexive Banach spaces and Y strongly generates X , then X is a quotient of Y (see [11, Lemma 5]). In particular, every reflexive strongly Hilbert generated space is a Hilbert space.

As a consequence of Theorem 1 we have the following result.

Corollary 6. Let X be a strongly super-reflexive generated Banach space and μ a probability measure. Then every weakly compact decomposable subset of $L^1(\mu, X)$ is uniform Eberlein.

Proof. Let us consider a super-reflexive Banach space Z and a bounded linear operator $S : Z \rightarrow L^1(\mu, X)$ as in Theorem 1. The super-reflexivity of Z ensures that B_Z is uniform Eberlein [9]. Since the class of uniform Eberlein compact spaces is stable under continuous images (see [2, Theorem 3.1]), $S(B_Z)$ is uniform Eberlein as well. Take any weakly compact decomposable set $K \subset L^1(\mu, X)$. By the choice of S , for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a uniform Eberlein weakly compact set $K_\varepsilon \subset L^1(\mu, X)$ (of the form $n_\varepsilon S(B_Z)$ for some $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$) such that $K \subset K_\varepsilon + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\mu, X)}$. This property implies that K is uniform Eberlein (see [11, Proposition 11]). \square

We end this note with an application of Theorem 1 to the study of smooth renormings in Lebesgue–Bochner spaces. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and let S_X be its unit sphere. Given a collection \mathcal{B} of bounded subsets of X , we say that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is \mathcal{B} -smooth if for every $x \in S_X$ there is $f_x \in X^*$ such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{h \in B} \left| \frac{\|x + th\| - \|x\|}{t} - f_x(h) \right| = 0 \quad \text{for every } B \in \mathcal{B}.$$

If in addition the above limit is uniform for $x \in S_X$, then we say that $\|\cdot\|$ is uniformly \mathcal{B} -smooth. In [11, Theorem 7] it is shown that if X is strongly super-reflexive generated, then X admits an equivalent norm which is uniformly smooth with respect to the collection of all weakly compact subsets of X (i.e. a uniformly weak Hadamard smooth norm). A variant of the argument employed there together with Theorem 1 yield the following result.

Corollary 7. Let X be a strongly reflexive (resp. super-reflexive) generated Banach space and μ a probability measure. Let \mathcal{B} be the collection of all weakly compact decomposable subsets of $L^1(\mu, X)$. Then $L^1(\mu, X)$ admits an equivalent \mathcal{B} -smooth (resp. uniformly \mathcal{B} -smooth) norm.

Proof. By Theorem 1, there exist a reflexive Banach space Z and a bounded linear operator $S : Z^* \rightarrow L^1(\mu, X)$ with the property that for each $K \in \mathcal{B}$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subset nS(B_{Z^*}) + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\mu, X)}$. According to a result of Troyanski (see e.g. [3, Chapter VII, Corollary 1.13]), Z^* admits an equivalent dual locally uniformly rotund norm $|\cdot|$. Moreover, if X is strongly super-reflexive generated, then Z can be chosen super-reflexive and we can assume further that $|\cdot|$ is uniformly rotund. In both cases the formula

$$\|\varphi\|^2 := \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\mu, X)^*}^2 + |S^*(\varphi)|^2, \quad \varphi \in L^1(\mu, X)^*,$$

defines an equivalent dual norm on $L^1(\mu, X)^*$ whose predual norm satisfies the required differentiability property (see the proof of [11, Theorem 7]). \square

References

- [1] S. Argyros, V. Farmaki, On the structure of weakly compact subsets of Hilbert spaces and applications to the geometry of Banach spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 289 (1985) 409–427.
- [2] Y. Benyamini, M.E. Rudin, M. Wage, Continuous images of weakly compact subsets of Banach spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* 70 (1977) 309–324.
- [3] R. Deville, G. Godefroy, V. Zizler, Smoothness and Renormings in Banach Spaces, *Pitman Monogr. Surv. Pure Appl. Math.*, vol. 64, Longman, Harlow, 1993.
- [4] R. Deville, J. Rodríguez, Integration in Hilbert-generated spaces, *Israel J. Math.* 177 (2010) 285–306.
- [5] J. Diestel, L^1_X is weakly compactly generated if X is, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 48 (2) (1975) 508–510.
- [6] J. Diestel, Remarks on weak compactness in $L_1(\mu, X)$, *Glasg. Math. J.* 18 (1977) 87–91.
- [7] J. Diestel, W.M. Ruess, W. Schachermayer, On weak compactness in $L^1(\mu, X)$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 118 (2) (1993) 447–453.
- [8] J. Diestel, J.J. Uhl, *Vector Measures*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1977.
- [9] M. Fabian, G. Godefroy, V. Zizler, The structure of uniformly Gâteaux smooth Banach spaces, *Israel J. Math.* 124 (2001) 243–252.
- [10] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, V. Zizler, *Banach Space Theory: The Basis for Linear and Nonlinear Analysis*, CMS Books Math., Springer, New York, 2011.
- [11] M. Fabian, V. Montesinos, V. Zizler, On weak compactness in L_1 spaces, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 39 (6) (2009) 1885–1893.
- [12] F. Hiai, H. Umegaki, Integrals, conditional expectations and martingales of multivalued functions, *J. Multivariate Anal.* 7 (1) (1977) 149–182.
- [13] H.A. Klei, A compactness criterion in $L^1(E)$ and Radon–Nikodým theorems for multimeasures, *Bull. Sci. Math.* (2) 112 (3) (1988) 305–324.
- [14] E. Klein, A.C. Thompson, *Theory of Correspondences*, *Canad. Math. Soc. Ser. Monogr. Adv. Texts*, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1984.
- [15] M. Kunze, G. Schlüchtermann, Strongly generated Banach spaces and measures of noncompactness, *Math. Nachr.* 191 (1998) 197–214.
- [16] G. Schlüchtermann, R.F. Wheeler, On strongly WCG Banach spaces, *Math. Z.* 199 (1988) 387–398.