



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Number Theory

www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt

Iterating the algebraic étale-Brauer set

F. Balestrieri

University of Oxford, Mathematical Institute, Oxford, OX2 6HD, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 28 August 2016

Received in revised form 12 April 2017

Accepted 15 May 2017

Available online xxxx

Communicated by

J.-L. Colliot-Thélène

MSC:

primary 11G35

secondary 14G05, 14G25, 20G30

Keywords:

Rational points

Weak approximation

Brauer–Manin obstruction

Étale-Brauer obstruction

Universal torsors

Algebraic groups

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we iterate the algebraic étale-Brauer set for any nice variety X over a number field k with $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{X})$ finite and we show that the iterated set coincides with the original algebraic étale-Brauer set. This provides some evidence towards the conjectures by Colliot-Thélène on the arithmetic of rational points on nice geometrically rationally connected varieties over k and by Skorobogatov on the arithmetic of rational points on K3 surfaces over k ; moreover, it gives a partial answer to an “algebraic” analogue of a question by Poonen about iterating the descent set.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

E-mail address: balestrieri@maths.ox.ac.uk.*URL:* <http://people.maths.ox.ac.uk/~balestrieri/>.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2017.05.027>

0022-314X/© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

1.1. Notation

In this paper, $k \subset \mathbf{C}$ will be a number field, $\bar{k} \subset \mathbf{C}$ a fixed algebraic closure of k , \mathbf{A}_k the ring of adèles of k , Ω_k the set of places of k , and k_v the completion of k at $v \in \Omega_k$. For any variety X over k , we endow $X(\mathbf{A}_k)$ with the adelic topology and $\prod_{v \in \Omega_k} X(k_v)$ with the product topology; when X is proper, $X(\mathbf{A}_k) = \prod_{v \in \Omega_k} X(k_v)$ and the product and adelic topologies are equivalent. A variety that is smooth, projective, and geometrically integral over k will be called a nice variety over k . Let $\{X_\omega\}_\omega$ be a family of smooth, geometrically integral varieties over k . If $X(\mathbf{A}_k) \neq \emptyset \iff X(k) \neq \emptyset$ for all $X \in \{X_\omega\}_\omega$, we say that $\{X_\omega\}_\omega$ satisfies the Hasse principle (HP), while if $\overline{X(k)} = X(\mathbf{A}_k)$ (that is, the image of the diagonal map $X(k) \rightarrow X(\mathbf{A}_k)$ is dense in $X(\mathbf{A}_k)$) for all $X \in \{X_\omega\}_\omega$, we say that $\{X_\omega\}_\omega$ satisfies strong approximation (SA). When the varieties in the family $\{X_\omega\}_\omega$ are moreover proper, strong approximation is equivalent to weak approximation (WA), i.e. to the property that $\overline{X(k)} = \prod_{v \in \Omega_k} X(k_v)$ (that is, the image of the diagonal map $X(k) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in \Omega_k} X(k_v)$ is dense in $\prod_{v \in \Omega_k} X(k_v)$) for all $X \in \{X_\omega\}_\omega$; in general, however, we just have the chain of implications (SA) \Rightarrow (WA) \Rightarrow (HP). For any smooth variety X over k , the Brauer group of X is $\mathrm{Br} X := H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbf{G}_m)$ and the Brauer–Manin set of X is

$$X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}} := \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathrm{Br} X} \left\{ (x_v) \in X(\mathbf{A}_k) : \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \mathrm{inv}_v(\alpha(x_v)) = 0 \right\},$$

where the $\mathrm{inv}_v : \mathrm{Br} k_v \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$ are the local invariant maps coming from class field theory. The algebraic Brauer group of X is $\mathrm{Br}_1(X) := \ker(\mathrm{Br} X \rightarrow \mathrm{Br} \overline{X})$, where $\overline{X} := X \times_{\mathrm{Spec} k} \mathrm{Spec} \bar{k}$ and where $\mathrm{Br} X \rightarrow \mathrm{Br} \overline{X}$ is the canonical map induced by the natural morphism $\overline{X} \rightarrow X$. We define the algebraic Brauer–Manin set $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_1}$ by restricting the intersection in the definition of the Brauer–Manin set to the elements in $\mathrm{Br}_1 X$. One can show that both $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}}$ and $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_1}$ are closed in $X(\mathbf{A}_k)$ and that $\overline{X(k)} \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}} \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_1}$ (see e.g. [Sko01, §5.2]).

Let $\mathcal{L}_k := \{G : G \text{ is a linear algebraic } k\text{-group}\} / \sim$, where $G_1 \sim G_2$ if and only if G_1 and G_2 are k -isomorphic as k -groups. We will abuse notation and write $G \in \mathcal{L}_k$ also to mean a representative of the k -isomorphism class of G . For any $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{L}_k$, we let

$$\mathrm{Ext}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \{G \in \mathcal{L}_k : G \text{ is an extension of } A \text{ by } B, \text{ for some } A \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } B \in \mathcal{B}\} / \sim.$$

For any $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L}_k$, the \mathcal{S} -descent set is

$$X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathcal{S}} := \bigcap_{G \in \mathcal{S} [f:Y \rightarrow X] \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, G) [\tau] \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, G)} \bigcup f^\tau(Y^\tau(\mathbf{A}_k));$$

when $\mathcal{S} = \emptyset$, we define $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^\emptyset := X(\mathbf{A}_k)$, while when $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{L}_k$, the \mathcal{L}_k -descent set is just called **descent set**. For any $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L}_k$, the set $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^\mathcal{S}$ is closed in $X(\mathbf{A}_k)$ and contains the adelic closure of $X(k)$ (see [CDX16, Prop. 6.4]). Let $\mathcal{F}_k := \{G \in \mathcal{L}_k : G \text{ is finite}\} / \sim$.

The étale-Brauer set of X is

$$X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}} := \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}_k} \bigcap_{[f:Y \rightarrow X] \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, F)} \bigcup_{[\tau] \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, F)} f^\tau(Y^\tau(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}).$$

Similarly, we can define the **algebraic étale-Brauer set** $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}_1}$ by replacing “Br” with “Br₁” in the definition above. Both $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}}$ and $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}_1}$ are closed in $X(\mathbf{A}_k)$ (see the discussion after [CDX16, Prop. 6.6]), and they both contain the adelic closure of $X(k)$. Finally, for any $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{L}_k$ and any $\star \in \{\emptyset, \text{Br}, \text{Br}_1, \text{ét Br}, \text{ét Br}_1, \mathcal{S}'\}$, we define

$$\text{Iter}_{\mathcal{S}}(X/k, \star) := \bigcap_{G \in \mathcal{S}} \bigcap_{[f:Y \rightarrow X] \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, G)} \bigcup_{[\tau] \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, G)} f^\tau(Y^\tau(\mathbf{A}_k)^\star).$$

1.2. Motivation

The aim of this paper is to give some evidence and partial answers to various conjectures and open questions about the arithmetic behaviour of rational points on certain classes of varieties over k . More specifically, the conjectures that we are interested in are the following.

Conjecture 1.1 (Colliot-Thélène, [CT03, p. 174]). *Let X be a nice geometrically rationally connected variety over k . Then $\overline{X(k)} = X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$. In other words, the Brauer–Manin obstruction is the only one for strong (equivalently, weak) approximation.*

(Recall that X is **geometrically rationally connected** if any two general points $x_1, x_2 \in \overline{X}$ can be joined by a chain of \bar{k} -rational curves; examples of geometrically rationally connected varieties include geometrically unirational varieties and Fano varieties.)

Conjecture 1.2 (Skorobogatov). *Let X be a nice K3 surface over k . Then $\overline{X(k)} = X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$. In other words, the Brauer–Manin obstruction is the only one for strong (equivalently, weak) approximation.*

Conjecture 1.3. *Let X be a nice Enriques surface over k . Then $\overline{X(k)} = X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}}$. In other words, the étale-Brauer obstruction is the only one for strong (equivalently, weak) approximation.*

Remark 1.4. In general, K3 surfaces over k do not satisfy $\overline{X(k)} = X(\mathbf{A}_k)$; see [HVA13] for an example over $k = \mathbf{Q}$ violating the Hasse principle. Similarly, in [BBM⁺16], the authors have constructed an Enriques surface X over $k = \mathbf{Q}$ such that $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \neq \emptyset$ but $X(k) = \emptyset$; this implies that, for Enriques surfaces, $\overline{X(k)} = X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$ does not hold in general.

Another source of motivation for this paper is the following: for any nice variety X over k , the étale-Brauer set $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}}$ is currently the smallest general obstruction set known. Unfortunately, the étale-Brauer set is not small enough to explain all the failures of the Hasse principle: see e.g. [Poo10] for a counterexample. We thus want a way to construct obstruction sets smaller than $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}}$. A possible strategy is to mimic the construction of the étale-Brauer set itself: for any nice variety X over k , the results in [Dem09b] and [Sko09] imply that $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}} = X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathcal{L}_k}$; if $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L}_k$ contains the trivial group, then the obstruction set $\text{Iter}_{\mathcal{S}}(X/k, \mathcal{L}_k)$ is certainly *potentially* smaller than $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}}$. It turns out, however, that for certain choices of \mathcal{S} the set $\text{Iter}_{\mathcal{S}}(X/k, \mathcal{L}_k)$ is the same as the original étale-Brauer set: this is the case, for example, when $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{F}_k$ (cf. [Sko09, Thm 1.1]). It is natural to ask about the case when \mathcal{S} is maximal, i.e. when $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{L}_k$; in this case, we can think of $\text{Iter}_{\mathcal{L}_k}(X/k, \mathcal{L}_k)$ as an “iteration” of the descent set.

Question 1.5 (Poonen). Let X be a nice variety over k . Is $\text{Iter}_{\mathcal{L}_k}(X/k, \mathcal{L}_k) = X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}}$?

Remark 1.6. In [CDX16, Thm 7.5], the authors show that $Y(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathcal{L}_k} = Y(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}}$ for any smooth, quasi-projective, geometrically connected variety Y over k , thus removing the properness condition from the earlier results in [Dem09b] and [Sko09]. As a consequence, we have that $\text{Iter}_{\mathcal{L}_k}(X/k, \mathcal{L}_k) = \text{Iter}_{\mathcal{L}_k}(X/k, \text{ét Br})$ for any nice variety X over k .

We focus on a question similar to Question 1.5: we want to iterate the algebraic étale-Brauer set $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}_1}$. To make sense of this, we first need an analogue of the result $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}} = X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathcal{L}_k}$ for $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}_1}$. Such an analogue is given by [Bal16, Thm 5.8]: if X is a nice variety over k , then

$$X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}_1} = X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Ext}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{T}_k)},$$

where $\mathcal{T}_k := \{G \in \mathcal{L}_k : G \text{ is a torus}\} / \sim$.

Question 1.7. Let X be a nice variety over k . Is $\text{Iter}_{\text{Ext}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{T}_k)}(X/k, \text{ét Br}_1) = X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}_1}$?

Remark 1.8. By putting together the results in [CDX16] and [Bal16], we have $Y(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Ext}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{T}_k)} = Y(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}_1}$ for any smooth, quasi-projective, geometrically connected variety Y over k . From this, we can easily deduce that $\text{Iter}_{\text{Ext}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{T}_k)}(X/k, \text{Ext}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{T}_k)) = \text{Iter}_{\text{Ext}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{T}_k)}(X/k, \text{ét Br}_1)$ for any nice variety X over k .

1.3. Main result

Motivated by the above conjectures and questions, our main theorem is the following.

Theorem 1.9 (Main Theorem). Let X be a nice variety over k such that $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{X})$ is finite. Then $\text{Iter}_{\text{Ext}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{T}_k)}(X/k, \text{ét Br}_1) = X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}_1}$.

Some comments:

- Let X be a nice geometrically rationally connected variety over k . Then $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}) = 0$, meaning that [Theorem 1.9](#) holds. (Compare this with the assertion in [\[CTS77b\]](#) that “la descente sur une variété rationnelle, lisse et complète, est une opération en un coup.”) If moreover $\text{Br } \overline{X} = 0$, as is the case when $\dim X = 2$ or $H_{\text{ét}}^3(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1))_{\text{tors}} = 0$ for all primes ℓ (cf. [\[CTS13, Lemma 1.3\]](#)), then $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}_1} = X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1} = X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$. Hence, in this case, [Theorem 1.9](#) tells us that $\text{Iter}_{\mathcal{T}_k}(X/k, \text{ét Br}_1) = X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$, thus giving some evidence for [Conjecture 1.1](#).
- Let X be a nice K3 surface over k . Then $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}) = 0$, and thus the hypotheses of [Theorem 1.9](#) are satisfied. When, moreover, $\text{Br } \overline{X} = 0$ (see e.g. the comment after [\[SZ12, Prop. 5.1\]](#)), then [Theorem 1.9](#) yields $\text{Iter}_{\mathcal{T}_k}(X/k, \text{ét Br}_1) = X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$, thus giving some evidence for [Conjecture 1.2](#).
- Let X be a nice Enriques surface over k . Then $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}) \cong \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$, and so the hypotheses of [Theorem 1.9](#) are satisfied. When $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}} = X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}_1}$ (e.g. in [\[VAV11\]](#)), then $\text{Iter}_{\text{Ext}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{T}_k)}(X/k, \text{ét Br}_1) = X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}}$, which is some evidence for [Conjecture 1.3](#). [Theorem 1.9](#) also applies to some higher-dimensional analogues of Enriques surfaces (cf. [\[BNWS11, §2\]](#)).
- [Theorem 1.9](#) gives a positive answer to [Question 1.7](#), assuming the finiteness of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{X})$; it would be interesting to see whether this condition can be weakened or removed (a possible weakening could be that of considering nice varieties X over k such that $\text{Pic } \overline{Y}$ is finitely generated as a \mathbf{Z} -module for any finite cover $Y \rightarrow X$).
- In the literature, there are several conditional proofs of the existence of nice varieties X over k with $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}) = 0$, $X(k) = \emptyset$, and $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \neq \emptyset$: see [\[SW95\]](#) for an example conditional on Lang’s conjectures, [\[Poo01\]](#) for one conditional on the existence of a complete intersection satisfying certain properties, and [\[Sme17, Thm 4.1\]](#) for one conditional on the *abc* conjecture. [Theorem 1.9](#) would apply to these examples.

2. Some properties of universal torsors

Let X be a variety over k with $\overline{k}[X]^\times = \overline{k}^\times$ and with $\text{Pic } \overline{X}$ finitely generated as a \mathbf{Z} -module. Let $\mathcal{M}_k := \{G \in \mathcal{L}_k : G \text{ is of multiplicative type}\} / \sim$ and let $S \in \mathcal{M}_k$. An S -torsor $Y \rightarrow X$ is a universal torsor for X if its type $\lambda_Y : \widehat{S} \rightarrow \text{Pic } \overline{X}$ is an isomorphism (for the definition of the type of a torsor, see e.g. [\[Sko01, Cor. 2.3.9\]](#)); here $\widehat{S} := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{GrpSch}_k}(\overline{S}, \mathbf{G}_{m, \overline{k}})$ denotes the Cartier dual. As explained in [\[Sko01, §2.3\]](#), universal torsors do not always exist over k , as a universal torsor over \overline{k} might not descend to k . The following proposition, proven in [\[Sko99\]](#) by Skorobogatov (who extended earlier results from [\[CTS76, CTS77b, CTS77a, CTS87\]](#)), gives sufficient conditions for the existence of universal torsors with non-empty sets of adelic points.

Proposition 2.1 ([Sko01, Cor. 6.1.3(1)]). *Let X be a variety over k such that $\bar{k}[X]^\times = \bar{k}^\times$, $\text{Pic } \bar{X}$ is finitely generated as a \mathbf{Z} -module, and $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1} \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists a universal torsor $W \rightarrow X$ with an adelic point.*

When universal torsors exist, they have some desirable properties. The following lemmas (which can be deduced from [CTS76, CTS77b, CTS77a], [CTS87, §2.1, Prop. 2.1.1 and Thm 2.1.2]) give some of these properties.

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a nice variety over k with $\text{Pic } \bar{X}$ torsion-free. Suppose that there exists a universal torsor $W \rightarrow X$ under S (a torus). Then W is geometrically connected, $\bar{k}[W]^\times = \bar{k}^\times$, and $\text{Pic } \bar{W} = 0$.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let W be a smooth, geometrically integral variety over k such that $\bar{k}[W]^\times = \bar{k}^\times$ and $\text{Pic } \bar{W} = 0$. Then $\text{Br}_1(W) = \text{Br } k$. In particular, $W(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1} = W(\mathbf{A}_k)$.*

Finally, universal torsors satisfy the following universal property: let X be a variety over k such that $\text{Pic } \bar{X}$ is finitely generated as a \mathbf{Z} -module and $\bar{k}[X]^\times = \bar{k}^\times$; given a universal torsor $W \rightarrow X$ and any other torsor $Y \rightarrow X$ under some $M \in \mathcal{M}_k$, there is a $[\sigma] \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, M)$ such that there exists a map $W \rightarrow Y^\sigma$ of X -torsors (see the discussion after [Sko01, Defn 2.3.3]).

Remark 2.4. Using universal torsors, one can easily prove results such as the following: if X is a nice variety over k with $\text{Pic } \bar{X}$ finitely generated as a \mathbf{Z} -module, then $\text{Iter}_{\mathcal{M}_k}(X/k, \text{Br}_1) = X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1}$ (compare this with [CDX16, Cor. 4.2]).

Lemma 2.5. *Let W be a smooth and geometrically integral variety over k with $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\bar{W}) = 0$. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and let $U \rightarrow W$ be a torsor under F . Then there exists some $\sigma \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, F)$ such that $U^\sigma \rightarrow W$ is a trivial torsor under F^σ .*

Proof. Since W is geometrically integral, we have the exact sequence of fundamental groups (omitting base-points)

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\bar{W}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(W) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow 1.$$

From the hypothesis that $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\bar{W}) = 0$, we deduce that $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(W) \cong \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$. Since $F \in \mathcal{F}_k$, using the Grothendieck–Galois theory we have that $H_{\text{ét}}^1(W, F) = H^1(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(W), F(\bar{k}))$, where the action of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(W)$ on $F(\bar{k})$ is via $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$. By using [Ser01, §5.8(a)], we deduce that $H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, F) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(W, F)$. Hence, $U \rightarrow W$ is the pullback of some F -torsor $V \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ under the structure morphism $W \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$. Let $\sigma = [V \rightarrow \text{Spec } k] \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, F)$. Since $V^\sigma \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is a trivial F^σ -torsor (that is, it admits a section), by the universal property of pullbacks it follows that the F^σ -torsor $U^\sigma \rightarrow W$ also admits a section, as required. \square

3. Proof of the main theorem

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a nice variety over k with $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{X})$ finite. Then $\text{Pic } \overline{X}$ is finitely generated as a \mathbf{Z} -module.*

Proof. Let $r \in \mathbf{N}$, and consider the Kummer sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_{r,\overline{k}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m,\overline{k}} \xrightarrow{t \mapsto t^r} \mathbf{G}_{m,\overline{k}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Passing to cohomology and identifying (non-canonically) $\mu_{r,\overline{k}}$ with $\mathbf{Z}/r\mathbf{Z}$, we obtain an isomorphism $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Z}/r\mathbf{Z}) \cong (\text{Pic } \overline{X})[r]$, where we have used the fact that $H^0(\overline{X}, \mathbf{G}_m) = \overline{k}[X]^\times = \overline{k}^\times$ is divisible. Further, $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Z}/r\mathbf{Z}) \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}), \mathbf{Z}/r\mathbf{Z})$ (cf. [Fu11, Prop. 5.7.20]), and hence

$$\text{Hom}(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}), \mathbf{Z}/r\mathbf{Z}) \cong (\text{Pic } \overline{X})[r].$$

Since $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{X})$ is finite, say with $|\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{X})| = d$, it follows that $(\text{Pic } \overline{X})[r] = (\text{Pic}^0 \overline{X})[r] = 0$ for any $r \in \mathbf{N}$ with $\gcd(r, d) = 1$. Since \overline{X} is proper, $\text{Pic}^0 \overline{X}$ is an abelian variety over \overline{k} ; if $\text{Pic}^0 \overline{X} \neq 0$, then $\text{Pic}^0 \overline{X}[r] \cong (\mathbf{Z}/r\mathbf{Z})^{2 \dim \text{Pic}^0 \overline{X}}$ is non-trivial for all $r \in \mathbf{N}$, a contradiction to the fact that $(\text{Pic}^0 \overline{X})[r] = 0$ when $\gcd(r, d) = 1$. Hence, $\text{Pic}^0 \overline{X} = 0$, which implies that $\text{Pic } \overline{X} = \text{NS } \overline{X}$ is finitely generated as a \mathbf{Z} -module. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let Y be a smooth and geometrically connected variety over k with $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{Y}) = 0$. Let $W \rightarrow Y$ be a torsor under some connected linear algebraic group T over k . Then $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{W})$ is abelian.*

Proof. Since \overline{k} is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and since the étale fundamental group does not change under base-change over extensions K/\overline{k} of algebraically closed fields (cf. [Sza09, Second proof of Cor. 5.7.6 and Rmk 5.7.8] together with [Gro71, XII] and [Org03]), there is a “Lefschetz principle” and we can work over \mathbf{C} instead of \overline{k} . Let $\mathbf{LFT}_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $\mathbf{AN}_{\mathbf{C}}$ denote, respectively, the category of schemes locally of finite type over \mathbf{C} and the category of complex analytic spaces. The analytification functor $(-)^{\text{an}} : \mathbf{LFT}_{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{AN}_{\mathbf{C}}$ (cf. [Gro71, XII]) induces an equivalence of categories from the category of finite étale covers of $X \in \mathbf{LFT}_{\mathbf{C}}$ to the category of finite étale covers of $X^{\text{an}} \in \mathbf{AN}_{\mathbf{C}}$ (cf. [Gro71, XII, Thm 5.1 “Théorème d’existence de Riemann”]); by [Gro71, XII, Cor. 5.2], if $X \in \mathbf{LFT}_{\mathbf{C}}$ is connected, then (omitting base-points)

$$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X) \cong \widehat{\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X^{\text{an}})}.$$

The fibration obtained by applying $(-)^{\text{an}}$ to the $T_{\mathbf{C}}$ -torsor $W_{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathbf{C}}$ induces the homotopy (exact) sequence

$$\pi_1^{\text{top}}((T_{\mathbf{C}})^{\text{an}}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{top}}((W_{\mathbf{C}})^{\text{an}}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{top}}((Y_{\mathbf{C}})^{\text{an}}) \rightarrow \pi_0^{\text{top}}((T_{\mathbf{C}})^{\text{an}}),$$

where $\pi_1^{\text{top}}((T_{\mathbf{C}})^{\text{an}})$ is abelian (since $(T_{\mathbf{C}})^{\text{an}}$ is a topological group) and where $\pi_0^{\text{top}}((T_{\mathbf{C}})^{\text{an}}) = 0$ as $(T_{\mathbf{C}})^{\text{an}}$ is connected (cf. [Gro71, XII, Prop. 2.4]). Since taking the profinite completion is right-exact (cf. [RZ00, Prop. 3.2.5]), we obtain the exact sequence

$$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(T_{\mathbf{C}}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(W_{\mathbf{C}}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(Y_{\mathbf{C}}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(T_{\mathbf{C}})$ is abelian (as the profinite completion of an abelian group is abelian); since, by assumption (and by the Lefschetz principle) $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(Y_{\mathbf{C}}) = 0$, from the above sequence we deduce that $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(W_{\mathbf{C}})$ (and thus $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{W})$) is a quotient of an abelian group and hence abelian, as required. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let W be a geometrically integral variety over k with $\overline{k}[W]^{\times} = \overline{k}^{\times}$ and $\text{Pic } \overline{W}$ torsion-free. Then $\pi_1^{ab}(\overline{W}) = 0$, where π_1^{ab} denotes the abelianised étale fundamental group.*

Proof. From e.g. [Sko01, pp. 35–36], we have that

$$\pi_1^{ab}(\overline{W}) = \varprojlim_n \text{Hom}(H^1(\overline{W}, \mu_n), \overline{k}^{\times}).$$

For any $n \in \mathbf{N}$, the Kummer sequence yields the short exact sequence of $\text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ -modules (cf. [Sko01, p. 36])

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{k}[W]^{\times} / \overline{k}[W]^{\times, n} \rightarrow H^1(\overline{W}, \mu_n) \rightarrow \text{Pic } \overline{W}[n] \rightarrow 0$$

and, since $\overline{k}[W]^{\times} = \overline{k}^{\times}$ is divisible (implying that $\overline{k}[W]^{\times} / \overline{k}[W]^{\times, n} = 0$) and $\text{Pic } \overline{W}[n] = 0$, we get that $H^1(\overline{W}, \mu_n) = 0$ for each n and thus that $\pi_1^{ab}(\overline{W}) = 0$, as required. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.9. The inclusion $\text{Iter}_{\text{Ext}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{T}_k)}(X/k, \text{ét Br}_1) \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}_1}$ holds by construction, so we just need to prove the opposite inclusion. We may assume that $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}_1} \neq \emptyset$, since otherwise the conclusion of the theorem is trivially true as $\text{Iter}_{\text{Ext}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{T}_k)}(X/k, \text{ét Br}_1) \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}_1}$. Let $(x_v) \in X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}_1}$. We need to prove that, for any $G \in \text{Ext}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{T}_k)$ and for any $[Z \rightarrow X] \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, G)$, there exists some $[\xi] \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, G)$ such that, for any $F' \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and for any $[U \rightarrow Z^{\xi}] \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(Z^{\xi}, F')$, there exists some $[\psi] \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, F')$ such that (x_v) lifts to a point in $U^{\psi}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1}$.

STEP 1. Let $Z \rightarrow X$ be a torsor under G , for some $G \in \text{Ext}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{T}_k)$, say with G fitting into a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow T \rightarrow G \rightarrow F \rightarrow 1,$$

with $T \in \mathcal{T}_k$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}_k$. Let $Y := Z/T$ and decompose the G -torsor $Z \rightarrow X$ into the T -torsor $Z \rightarrow Y$ and the F -torsor $Y \rightarrow X$. By [Dem09a, Lemme 2.2.7] (see also

[CDX16, Lemma 7.1]) there exists some $[\sigma] \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, F)$, some $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_k$, some F_1 -torsor $Y_1 \rightarrow X$, and a X -torsor morphism $Y_1 \rightarrow Y^\sigma$ such that Y_1 is geometrically integral, and (x_v) lifts to a point in $Y_1(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1}$. Moreover, since X is smooth and projective and $Y_1 \rightarrow X$ is étale, it follows that Y is smooth, projective, and geometrically integral over k . By [Dem09a, Prop. 2.2.9] (see also [CDX16, Prop. 7.4]), we have that $[\sigma] \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, F)$ lifts to some $[\tau] \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, G)$, meaning that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Z^\tau & \\ & \downarrow T^\tau & \\ Y_1 & \rightarrow Y^\sigma & \\ \searrow F_1 & \downarrow F^\sigma & \\ & X & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \hookrightarrow \end{array}$$

is commutative.

STEP 2. Since $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{X})$ is finite, so is $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{Y}_1)$. We now construct a geometrically connected torsor $Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ under some $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_k$ such that $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{Y}_2) = 0$. Let $U' \rightarrow \overline{Y}_1$ be a torsor under some $B' \in \mathcal{F}_k$ with $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(U') = 0$ and U' (geometrically) connected. We claim that, up to twisting Y_1 by some element in $H^1(k, F_1)$, there exists some $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and some F_2 -torsor $Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ such that the B' -torsor $U' \rightarrow \overline{Y}_1$ is obtained from the F_2 -torsor $Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ by base-changing k to \overline{k} ; in particular, such a Y_2 is geometrically connected and satisfies $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{Y}_2) = 0$. Indeed, by [HS02, Prop. 2.2 and §3.1] and [HS12, Thm 2.1 and Rmk 2.2(1)], the torsor $U' \rightarrow \overline{Y}_1$ has a k -form over Y_1 if $Y_1(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathcal{F}_k} \neq \emptyset$. But the latter is true, up to twisting Y_1 , by [Sto07, Prop. 5.17]. Hence, $U' \rightarrow \overline{Y}_1$ has a k -form, say $Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ under some $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_k$ satisfying $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{Y}_2) = 0$, as claimed.

We now claim that, without loss of generality, (x_v) lifts to a point in $Y_2(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1}$. Indeed, by [Sko09, Prop. 2.3] there exists a B -torsor $V \rightarrow X$ under some $B \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and a surjective X -torsor morphism $h : E \rightarrow Y_1$ under $\ker(B \rightarrow F_1)$; moreover, when considered as a Y_1 -torsor via h , E admits a surjective Y_1 -torsor morphism to Y_2 . By a modification of [Sko09, Lemma 2.2] (we replace the assumption “ $(x_v) \in X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{desc}}$ ” with “ $(x_v) \in X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét Br}_1}$ ” and then use [Sto07, Prop. 5.17] to check that the proof holds under this new assumption), there exists some $\gamma \in H^1(k, \ker(B \rightarrow F_1))$ and some point $(M_v) \in E^\gamma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1}$ which lifts (x_v) . Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be the image of γ in $H^1(k, F_2)$ under the image of $\ker(B \rightarrow F_1) \rightarrow F_2$. Then $E^\gamma \rightarrow Y_1$ factors through $Y_2^{\tilde{\gamma}} \rightarrow Y_1$, implying that we can use the functoriality of Br_1 to push (M_v) to a point in $Y_2^{\tilde{\gamma}}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1}$ above (x_v) . Hence, without loss of generality (up to twisting everything as above if necessary), we can assume that (x_v) lifts to a point in $Y_2(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1}$.

Let $R := Y_2 \times_{Y^\sigma} Z^\tau \rightarrow Y_2$ be the pullback of $Z^\tau \rightarrow Y^\sigma$ along $Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow Y^\sigma$; this is naturally a T^τ -torsor. By Lemma 3.1, $\text{Pic } \overline{Y}_2$ is finitely generated as a \mathbf{Z} -module and $(\text{Pic } \overline{Y}_2)_{\text{tors}} = 0$; since $Y_2(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1} \neq \emptyset$, by Proposition 2.1 there is a universal torsor $W_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ under a torus $T_2 \in \mathcal{T}_k$ with $W_2(\mathbf{A}_k) \neq \emptyset$. Since the type $\lambda_{W_2} : \widehat{T}_2 \rightarrow \text{Pic } \overline{Y}_2$

is an isomorphism, from the exact sequence of Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc (cf. [CTS87, (2.1.1)])

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{k}[W_2]^\times / \bar{k}^\times \rightarrow \widehat{T_2} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{T_2}} \mathrm{Pic} \bar{Y}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic} \bar{W}_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

we deduce that $\mathrm{Pic} \bar{W}_2 = 0$ and $\bar{k}[W_2]^\times = \bar{k}^\times$. By the universal property of universal torsors, there is also a morphism of Y_2 -torsors $W_2 \rightarrow R^\mu$, for some $[\mu] \in H_{\mathrm{ét}}^1(k, T^\tau)$. Let $\tilde{\mu}$ be the image of μ under the map $Z^1(k, T^\tau) \rightarrow Z^1(k, G^\tau)$. Then $(Z^\tau)^\mu = (Z^\tau)^{\tilde{\mu}}$. Let $t_\tau : Z^1(k, G^\tau) \rightarrow Z^1(k, G)$ be the bijection as in [Ser94, §I.5.3, Prop. 35bis], and let $\nu := t_\tau(\tilde{\mu})$. Then $(Z^\tau)^{\tilde{\mu}} = Z^\nu$, $(G^\tau)^{\tilde{\mu}} = G^\nu$, and $(T^\tau)^\mu = T^\nu$. Since (x_v) lifts to a point in $Y_2(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_1}$ and since by [Sko99, Thm 3] we have that $Y_2(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_1} = Y_2(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathcal{M}_k}$, there is some $[\lambda] \in H_{\mathrm{ét}}^1(k, T_2)$ such that (x_v) lifts to a point in $W_2^\lambda(\mathbf{A}_k)$. Let $\tilde{\lambda}$ be the image of λ under the map $Z^1(k, T_2) \rightarrow Z^1(k, T^\nu)$ induced by the type $\lambda_{R^\mu} : \bar{T}^\nu \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic} \bar{Y}_2$; then we get a morphism of Y_2 -torsors $W^\lambda \rightarrow (R^\mu)^{\tilde{\lambda}}$. Let ω be the image of $\tilde{\lambda}$ under the morphism $H_{\mathrm{ét}}^1(k, T^\nu) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ét}}^1(k, G^\nu)$. Then $(Z^\nu)^{\tilde{\lambda}} = (Z^\nu)^\omega$. Let $t_\nu : Z^1(k, G^\nu) \rightarrow Z^1(k, G)$ be the bijection as in [Ser94, §I.5.3, Prop. 35bis], and let $\xi := t_\nu(\omega)$. Then $(Z^\nu)^\omega = Z^\xi$ and $(G^\nu)^\omega = G^\xi$. Summarising, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W_2^\lambda & \longrightarrow & (R^\mu)^{\tilde{\lambda}} & \longrightarrow & Z^\xi \\ & \searrow \scriptstyle \lambda_{T_2} & \downarrow \scriptstyle T^\xi & & \downarrow \scriptstyle T^\xi \\ & & Y_2 & \longrightarrow & Y_1 \longrightarrow Y^\sigma \xrightarrow{F^\sigma} X. \end{array}$$

STEP 3. Since $\pi_1^{\mathrm{ét}}(\bar{Y}_2) = 0$, by Proposition 3.2 we have that $\pi_1^{\mathrm{ét}}(\bar{W}_2^\lambda)$ is abelian; hence, since W_2^λ is geometrically connected, $\bar{k}[W_2^\lambda]^\times = \bar{k}^\times$, and $\mathrm{Pic} \bar{W}_2^\lambda = 0$, by Lemma 3.3 we deduce that $\pi_1^{\mathrm{ét}}(\bar{W}_2^\lambda) = 0$.

Let $F' \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and let $[U \rightarrow Z^\xi] \in H_{\mathrm{ét}}^1(Z^\xi, F')$. Consider the fibred product $V := W_2^\lambda \times_{Z^\xi} U$; this is naturally an F' -torsor over W_2^λ . Since $\pi_1^{\mathrm{ét}}(\bar{W}_2^\lambda) = 0$, by Lemma 2.5 there exists some $[\rho] \in H_{\mathrm{ét}}^1(k, F')$ such that $V^\rho \rightarrow W_2^\lambda$ is a trivial torsor, that is, it admits a section $W_2^\lambda \rightarrow V^\rho$. Hence, by using $W_2^\lambda(\mathbf{A}_k) = W_2^\lambda(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_1}$, the fact that (x_v) lifts to a point $(w_v) \in W_2^\lambda(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_1}$ implies by functoriality of Br_1 that (x_v) lifts to a point $(u_v) \in V^\rho(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_1}$, which can then be pushed to a point $(u'_v) \in U^\psi(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_1}$ above (x_v) , as required. \square

Acknowledgments

This work was completed as part of the author's DPhil and was supported by the EPSRC scholarship EP/L505031/1. The author would like to warmly thank the anonymous referee for their many useful comments, which greatly improved this manuscript, and for providing help with Step 2 in the proof of the main theorem; her supervisor,

Victor Flynn, for his encouragement and advice; Alexander Betts and Yang Cao, for many helpful remarks and comments.

References

- [Bal16] F. Balestrieri, Obstruction sets and extensions of groups, *Acta Arith.* 173 (2016) 151–181.
- [BBM⁺16] F. Balestrieri, J. Berg, M. Manes, J. Park, B. Viray, Insufficiency of the Brauer–Manin obstruction for Enriques surfaces, in: *Directions in Number Theory: Proceedings of the 2014 WIN3 Workshop*, in: AWM Springer Series, vol. 3, 2016.
- [BNWS11] S. Boissière, M. Nieper-Wisskirchen, A. Sarti, Higher dimensional Enriques varieties and automorphisms of generalized Kummer varieties, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 95 (5) (2011) 553–563.
- [CDX16] Y. Cao, C. Demarche, F. Xu, Comparing descent obstruction and Brauer–Manin obstruction for open varieties, preprint available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1604.02709v3>, 2016.
- [CT03] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, Points rationnels sur les fibrations, in: *Higher Dimensional Varieties and Rational Points*, Budapest, 2001, in: *Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud.*, vol. 12, 2003, pp. 171–221.
- [CTS76] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc, Torseurs sous des groupes de type multiplicatif; applications à l'étude des points rationnels de certaines variétés algébriques, *C.R.A.S. Paris* 282 (1976) 1113–1116.
- [CTS77a] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc, La descente sur une variété rationnelle définie sur un corps de nombres, *C.R.A.S. Paris* 284 (1977) 1215–1218.
- [CTS77b] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc, Variétés de première descente attachées aux variétés rationnelles, *C.R.A.S. Paris* 284 (1977) 967–970.
- [CTS87] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc, La descente sur les variétés rationnelles, II, *Duke Math. J.* 54 (1987) 375–492.
- [CTS13] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, A.N. Skorobogatov, Good reduction of the Brauer–Manin obstruction, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 365 (2013) 579–590.
- [Dem09a] C. Demarche, Méthodes cohomologiques pour l'étude des points rationnels sur les espaces homogènes, PhD thesis, 2009.
- [Dem09b] C. Demarche, Obstruction de descente et obstruction de Brauer–Manin étale, *Algebra Number Theory* 3 (2) (2009) 237–254.
- [Fu11] L. Fu, Étale Cohomology Theory, *Nankai Tracts in Mathematics*, vol. 13, World Scientific Publishing, 2011.
- [Gro71] A. Grothendieck, Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (SGA 1), *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 224, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [HS02] D. Harari, A.N. Skorobogatov, Non-abelian cohomology and rational points, *Compos. Math.* 130 (3) (2002) 241–273.
- [HS12] D. Harari, J. Stix, Descent obstruction and fundamental exact sequence, in: *The Arithmetic of Fundamental Groups — PIA 2010*, in: *Contributions in Mathematical and Computational Science*, vol. 2, Springer, 2012.
- [HVA13] B. Hassett, A. Várilly-Alvarado, Failure of the Hasse principle on general K3 surfaces, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* 4 (12) (2013) 853–877.
- [Org03] F. Orgogozo, Altérations et groupe fondamental premier à p , *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 131 (1) (2003) 123–147.
- [Poo01] B. Poonen, The Hasse principle for complete intersections in projective space, in: *Rational Points on Algebraic Varieties*, in: *Progress in Mathematics*, vol. 199, 2001, pp. 307–311.
- [Poo10] B. Poonen, Insufficiency of the Brauer–Manin obstruction applied to étale covers, *Ann. of Math.* 171 (2) (2010).
- [RZ00] L. Ribes, P. Zalesskii, *Profinite Groups, A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, Springer, 2000.
- [SW95] P. Sarnak, L. Wang, Some hypersurfaces in P^4 and the Hasse principle, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 321 (1995) 319–322.
- [Ser94] J.-P. Serre, *Cohomologie galoisienne*, 5th edition, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 5, Springer, 1994.
- [Ser01] J.-P. Serre, *Galois Cohomology*, Springer, 2001.
- [Sko99] A.N. Skorobogatov, Beyond the Manin obstruction, *Invent. Math.* 135 (2) (1999) 399–424.

- [Sko01] A.N. Skorobogatov, *Torsors and Rational Points*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 144, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [Sko09] A.N. Skorobogatov, Descent obstruction is equivalent to étale Brauer–Manin obstruction, *Math. Ann.* 344 (2009) 501–510.
- [SZ12] A.N. Skorobogatov, Yu.G. Zarhin, The Brauer group of Kummer surfaces and torsion of elliptic curves, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 666 (2012) 115–140.
- [Sme17] A. Smeets, Insufficiency of the étale Brauer–Manin obstruction: towards a simply connected example, *Amer. J. Math.* 139 (2) (2017) 417–431.
- [Sto07] M. Stoll, Finite descent obstructions and rational points on curves, *Algebra Number Theory* 1 (4) (2007) 349–391.
- [Sza09] T. Szamuely, *Galois Groups and Fundamental Groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [VAV11] A. Várilly-Alvarado, B. Viray, Failure of the Hasse principle for Enriques surfaces, *Adv. Math.* 226 (6) (2011) 4884–4901.