



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Number Theory

www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt



General Section

Monotonicity properties for ranks of overpartitions

Huan Xiong^{a,*}, Wenston J.T. Zang^b^a Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna, 1090 Vienna, Austria^b Institute of Advanced Study of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Heilongjiang 150001, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 4 March 2019

Received in revised form 12 August 2019

Accepted 13 August 2019

Available online xxxx

Communicated by L. Smajlovic

MSC:

11P81

05A17

Keywords:

Overpartition

Partition

Rank

Monotonicity

ABSTRACT

The rank of partitions plays an important role in the combinatorial interpretations of several Ramanujan's famous congruence formulas. In 2005 and 2008, the D -rank and M_2 -rank of an overpartition were introduced by Lovejoy, respectively. Let $\overline{N}(m, n)$ and $\overline{N}_2(m, n)$ denote the number of overpartitions of n with D -rank m and M_2 -rank m , respectively. In 2014, Chan and Mao proposed a conjecture on monotonicity properties of $\overline{N}(m, n)$ and $\overline{N}_2(m, n)$. In this paper, we prove the Chan-Mao monotonicity conjecture. To be specific, we show that for any integer m and nonnegative integer n , $\overline{N}_2(m, n) \leq \overline{N}_2(m, n+1)$; and for $(m, n) \neq (0, 4)$ with $n \neq |m| + 2$, we have $\overline{N}(m, n) \leq \overline{N}(m, n+1)$. Furthermore, when m increases, we prove that $\overline{N}(m, n) \geq \overline{N}(m+2, n)$ and $\overline{N}_2(m, n) \geq \overline{N}_2(m+2, n)$ for any $m, n \geq 0$, which is an analogue of Chan and Mao's result for partitions.

© 2019 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: huan.xiong.math@gmail.com (H. Xiong), zang@hit.edu.cn (W.J.T. Zang).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2019.08.025>

0022-314X/© 2019 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study monotonicity properties of the D -rank and M_2 -rank on overpartitions and therefore prove a conjecture of Chan and Mao [16].

Recall that a partition of a nonnegative integer n is a finite weakly decreasing sequence of positive integers $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ with $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} \lambda_i = n$. Here $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell$ are called parts of the partition λ (see [1]). The rank of a partition was defined by Dyson [20] as the largest part of the partition minus the number of parts. Dyson first conjectured and then proved by Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer [8] that the rank can provide combinatorial interpretations to the following Ramanujan's famous congruence for the partition function modulo 5 and 7, respectively:

$$p(5n + 4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}, \quad (1.1)$$

$$p(7n + 5) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $p(n)$ denotes the number of partitions of n . Since then, various results on the rank of partitions have been obtained by many mathematicians (for example, see [2, 5–7, 9, 10, 13–15, 11, 16–18, 21, 23, 27–34, 39]).

Let $N(m, n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with rank m . Chan and Mao [16] established the following monotonicity properties for $N(m, n)$.

Theorem 1.1 (Chan and Mao [16]). For $n \geq 12$, $m \geq 0$ and $n \neq m + 2$,

$$N(m, n) \geq N(m, n - 1). \quad (1.3)$$

Theorem 1.2 (Chan and Mao [16]). For $n \geq 0$ and $m \geq 0$,

$$N(m, n) \geq N(m + 2, n). \quad (1.4)$$

At the end of their paper, Chan and Mao [16] proposed a conjecture on monotonicity properties of the D -rank and M_2 -rank of an overpartition. Recall that overpartitions were defined by Corteel and Lovejoy [19] as partitions of positive integers in which the first occurrence of a part may be overlined. For example, there are 14 overpartitions of 4:

$$(4), \quad (\bar{4}), \quad (3, 1), \quad (\bar{3}, 1), \quad (3, \bar{1}), \quad (\bar{3}, \bar{1}), \quad (2, 2), \\ (\bar{2}, 2) \quad (2, 1, 1), \quad (\bar{2}, 1, 1), \quad (2, \bar{1}, 1), \quad (\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 1), \quad (1, 1, 1, 1), \quad (\bar{1}, 1, 1, 1).$$

Lovejoy [35] defined the D -rank of an overpartition as the largest part minus the number of parts, which is an analogue of the rank on ordinary partitions. Let $\bar{N}(m, n)$ denote the number of overpartitions of n with D -rank m . Lovejoy [35, Proposition 1.1] gave the following generating function of $\bar{N}(m, n)$:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{N}(m, n) z^m q^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1; q)_k q^{k(k+1)/2}}{(zq; q)_k (q/z; q)_k}. \quad (1.5)$$

Here and throughout the rest of this paper, we adopt the common q -series notation [1]:

$$(a; q)_{\infty} = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^n) \quad \text{and} \quad (a; q)_n = \frac{(a; q)_{\infty}}{(aq^n; q)_{\infty}}.$$

The M_2 -rank on overpartitions was also introduced by Lovejoy [36]. For an overpartition λ , let λ_1 denote the largest part of λ , $\ell(\lambda)$ denote the number of parts of λ , and λ_o denote the partition consisting of the non-overlined odd parts of λ . Then define

$$M_2\text{-rank}(\lambda) = \left\lfloor \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \right\rfloor - \ell(\lambda) + \ell(\lambda_o) - \chi(\lambda), \quad (1.6)$$

where $\chi(\lambda) = 1$ if the largest part of λ is odd and non-overlined, and otherwise $\chi(\lambda) = 0$.

For instance, let $\lambda = (\overline{7}, 5, \overline{4}, 4, \overline{2}, 2, 1, 1)$. Then $\lambda_1 = 7$, $\ell(\lambda) = 8$, $\lambda_o = (5, 1, 1)$, $\ell(\lambda_o) = 3$ and $\chi(\lambda) = 0$. Therefore,

$$M_2\text{-rank}(\lambda) = 3 - 8 + 3 = -2.$$

Let $\overline{N2}(m, n)$ denote the number of overpartitions of n with M_2 -rank m . Lovejoy [36] found the generating function of $\overline{N2}(m, n)$ as follows:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{N2}(m, n) z^m q^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1; q)_{2k} q^k}{(zq^2; q^2)_k (q^2/z; q^2)_k}. \quad (1.7)$$

Various results on the D -rank and M_2 -rank of overpartitions can be found in [3, 4, 12, 22, 24–26, 35–38].

The main purpose of this paper is to give analogues of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. To be specific, we obtain the following two theorems. Theorem 1.3 verifies a conjecture of Chan and Mao [16] in 2014.

Theorem 1.3 (Conjecture of Chan and Mao [16]). For $m, n \geq 0$ with $n \neq m + 2$ and $(m, n) \neq (0, 4)$,

$$\overline{N}(m, n) \geq \overline{N}(m, n - 1). \quad (1.8)$$

For $m, n \geq 0$, we have

$$\overline{N2}(m, n) \geq \overline{N2}(m, n - 1). \quad (1.9)$$

Theorem 1.4. For $m, n \geq 0$, we have

$$\overline{N}(m, n) \geq \overline{N}(m+2, n), \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$\overline{N2}(m, n) \geq \overline{N2}(m+2, n). \quad (1.11)$$

By the generating functions (1.5) and (1.7), it is easy to see that $\overline{N}(-m, n) = \overline{N}(m, n)$ and $\overline{N2}(-m, n) = \overline{N2}(m, n)$. Therefore Theorem 1.3 also holds when we replace the conditions of m, n with $n \neq |m| + 2$ and $(m, n) \neq (0, 4)$ for (1.8); and $m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0$ for (1.9).

This paper is organized as follows. Some preliminary results are given in Section 2. Then in Section 3, we establish a nonnegativity result Lemma 3.1 and use it to give a proof of Theorem 1.3. Section 4 is devoted to prove Theorem 1.4.

2. Preliminary

In order to prove Theorems 1.3 and Theorem 1.4, we need to recall the definition of a function $f_{m,k}(q)$, which was first given by Chan and Mao [16].

Definition 2.1. Define $f_{m,k}(q)$ as coefficients in the following formal power series:

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} z^m f_{m,k}(q) := \frac{1-q}{(zq; q)_k (q/z; q)_k}. \quad (2.1)$$

When $k = 0$, by definition we see that $f_{0,0}(q) = 1 - q$ and $f_{m,0}(q) = 0$ for all $m \neq 0$. Chan and Mao [16, Lemma 9] gave the following expressions for $f_{m,1}(q)$ and $f_{m,2}(q)$.

Theorem 2.2 (Chan and Mao [16]). For all integer m ,

$$f_{m,1}(q) = \sum_{n=|m|}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+n} q^n = \frac{q^{|m|}}{1+q}. \quad (2.2)$$

For $m = 0$,

$$f_{0,2}(q) = -q + \frac{1}{1-q^3} + \frac{q^2}{1-q^4} + \frac{q^8}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)}, \quad (2.3)$$

and for $m \neq 0$,

$$f_{m,2}(q) = q^{|m|} \left(\frac{1-q^{|m|+1}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} + \frac{q^{|m|+3}}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)} \right). \quad (2.4)$$

Chan and Mao [16, Lemma 11] also found the following nonnegative property for $f_{m,k}(q)$ when $k \geq 2$.

For the remainder part of this paper, let $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ denote some sequence of nonnegative integers but not necessarily the same in different equations (that is, we just need to use the condition that each b_n is some nonnegative integer but do not care the exact value of b_n).

Theorem 2.3 (Chan and Mao [16], Lemma 11). When $k \geq 2$,

$$f_{0,k}(q) = -q + q^2 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n; \quad (2.5)$$

$$f_{1,k}(q) = q^{k+2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n; \quad (2.6)$$

$$f_{m,k}(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n, \quad \text{for } m \geq 2. \quad (2.7)$$

Remark 2.4. Note that (2.5) means that for $f_{0,k}(q)$, the coefficient of q is $b_1 - 1$, which is at least -1 (may be large than -1). Also, the coefficient of q^2 is at least 1, and the coefficient of q^n ($n = 0$ or $n \geq 3$) is at least 0.

By definition, it is easy to check that the constant term of $f_{0,k}(q)$ is equal to 1. Hence (2.5) yields the following corollary:

Corollary 2.5. When $k \geq 2$,

$$f_{0,k}(q) = 1 - q + q^2 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n. \quad (2.8)$$

We also need the following two lemmas in [16].

Lemma 2.6 (See Lemma 8 of [16]). When $k \geq 0$, we have

$$f_{m,k+1}(q) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_{n,k}(q) \frac{q^{(k+1)|m-n|}}{1 - q^{2k+2}}.$$

Lemma 2.7 (See Lemma 10 of [16]). For any positive integer m ,

$$\frac{1 - q^{m+1}}{(1 - q^2)(1 - q^3)}$$

has nonnegative power series coefficients.

3. The proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 1.3. To this end, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *For any nonnegative integer a, b and c , the coefficient of q^n in*

$$\frac{q^a}{1+q^c} + \frac{q^b}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)}$$

is nonnegative for $n \geq b+6$.

Proof. It is clear that

$$\frac{q^b}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{b+3i+4j}.$$

Note that for any $n \geq 6$, there exists $i, j \geq 0$ such that $3i+4j = n$. To be specific,

$$(i, j) = \begin{cases} (k, 0) & \text{if } n = 3k; \\ (k-1, 1) & \text{if } n = 3k+1; \\ (k-2, 2) & \text{if } n = 3k+2. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Hence we see that, the coefficient of q^n in

$$\frac{q^b}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)} \quad (3.2)$$

is at least 1. On the other hand,

$$\frac{q^a}{1+q^c} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m q^{cm+a}. \quad (3.3)$$

Evidently, for any nonnegative integer n , the coefficient of q^n in $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m q^{cm+a}$ is either -1 , 0 or 1 . Thus when $n \geq b+6$, the coefficient of q^n in

$$\frac{q^a}{1+q^c} + \frac{q^b}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)}$$

is nonnegative. \square

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We first prove (1.8) with the aid of Lemma 3.1, and then show (1.9).

From (1.5), it is clear to see that

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (\overline{N}(m, n) - \overline{N}(m, n-1)) z^m q^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1; q)_k q^{k(k+1)/2} (1-q)}{(zq; q)_k (q/z; q)_k}. \quad (3.4)$$

By the definition of $f_{m,k}(q)$ (see (2.1)), we derive that

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (\overline{N}(m, n) - \overline{N}(m, n-1)) z^m q^n = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} z^m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1; q)_k q^{k(k+1)/2} f_{m,k}(q). \quad (3.5)$$

Hence for fixed integer $m \neq 0$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\overline{N}(m, n) - \overline{N}(m, n-1)) q^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1; q)_k q^{k(k+1)/2} f_{m,k}(q). \quad (3.6)$$

When $m = 0$, by (3.5), (3.6) and Theorem 2.2 we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\overline{N}(0, n) - \overline{N}(0, n-1)) q^n \\ &= -q + \frac{2q}{1+q} + 2(1+q)q^3 \left(-q + \frac{1}{1-q^3} + \frac{q^2}{1-q^4} + \frac{q^8}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} (-1; q)_k q^{k(k+1)/2} f_{0,k}(q). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

By Corollary 2.5, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\overline{N}(0, n) - \overline{N}(0, n-1)) q^n \\ &= -q - 2q^4 - 2q^5 + \frac{2(1+q)q^3}{1-q^3} + \frac{2(1+q)q^5}{1-q^4} + \frac{2q^{12}}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)} \\ &+ \frac{2q}{1+q} + \frac{2q^{11}}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)} \\ &+ \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} (-1; q)_k q^{k(k+1)/2} \left(1 - q + q^2 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

The last term in (3.8) can be transformed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} (-1; q)_k q^{k(k+1)/2} \left(1 - q + q^2 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} 2(1+q)(-q^2; q)_{k-2} q^{k(k+1)/2} (1 - q + q^2) + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} (-1; q)_k q^{k(k+1)/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n \\
&= \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} 2(1+q^3)(-q^2; q)_{k-2} q^{k(k+1)/2} + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} (-1; q)_k q^{k(k+1)/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n, \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

which clearly has nonnegative coefficients. Moreover, by Lemma 3.1, the coefficient of q^n in

$$\frac{2q}{1+q} + \frac{2q^{11}}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)}$$

is nonnegative for $n \geq 17$. From the above analysis, we see that

$$\overline{N}(0, n) \geq \overline{N}(0, n-1)$$

for $n \geq 17$. It is trivial to check that for $1 \leq n \leq 16$,

$$\overline{N}(0, n) \geq \overline{N}(0, n-1)$$

except for $n = 2$ or $n = 4$. Therefore Theorem 1.3 holds for $m = 0$.

We now assume that $m \geq 1$. Substituting (2.2) and (2.4) into (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\overline{N}(m, n) - \overline{N}(m, n-1)) q^n \\
&= \frac{2q^{m+1}}{1+q} + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} (-1; q)_k q^{k(k+1)/2} f_{m,k}(q) \\
&+ 2(1+q)q^{m+3} \left(\frac{1-q^{m+1}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} + \frac{q^{m+3}}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)} \right). \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 2.3, we see that for $k \geq 3$, $f_{m,k}(q)$ has nonnegative coefficients. We proceed to show the coefficients of q^n in

$$\frac{2q^{m+1}}{1+q} + 2(1+q)q^{m+3} \left(\frac{1-q^{m+1}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} + \frac{q^{m+3}}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)} \right) \tag{3.11}$$

is nonnegative for all $n \geq m+3$.

We first assume that $m \neq 1, 3$. In this case, we transform (3.11) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2q^{m+1}}{1+q} + 2(1+q)q^{m+3} \left(\frac{1-q^{m+1}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} + \frac{q^{m+3}}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)} \right) \\ &= \frac{2q^{m+1}}{1+q} + 2q^{m+4} \frac{1-q^{m+1}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} \\ & \quad + 2q^{m+3} \frac{1-q^{m+1}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} + 2(1+q) \frac{q^{2m+6}}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

By Lemma 2.7, we find that

$$2q^{m+3} \frac{1-q^{m+1}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)}$$

has nonnegative coefficients in q^n for all $n \geq 1$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2q^{m+1}}{1+q} + 2q^{m+4} \frac{1-q^{m+1}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} &= \frac{2q^{m+1}}{1+q} + 2q^{m+4} \frac{1-q^3+q^3-q^{m+1}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} \\ &= \frac{2q^{m+1}}{1+q} + \frac{2q^{m+4}}{1-q^2} + 2q^{m+7} \frac{1-q^{m-2}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} \\ &= 2q^{m+1} \frac{1-q+q^3}{1-q^2} + 2q^{m+7} \frac{1-q^{m-2}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} \\ &= \frac{2q^{m+1}}{1-q^2} - 2q^{m+2} + 2q^{m+7} \frac{1-q^{m-2}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that when $m \neq 1, 3$, by Lemma 2.7 we obtain

$$2q^{m+7} \frac{1-q^{m-2}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n.$$

This yields that (3.12) has nonnegative coefficients in q^n for $n \geq m+3$.

It remains to consider the case $m = 1$ or 3 . For $m = 1$, it is trivial to calculate that (3.11) is equal to

$$\frac{2q^2}{1+q} + \frac{2q^4+2q^5}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)}. \quad (3.13)$$

From Lemma 3.1, we see that for $n \geq 10$, the coefficient of q^n in

$$\frac{2q^2}{1+q} + \frac{2q^4}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)}$$

is nonnegative. Hence we derive that $\overline{N}(1, n) \geq N(1, n-1)$ for $n \geq 10$. It is trivial to check that for $4 \leq n \leq 9$, $\overline{N}(1, n) \geq N(1, n-1)$ also holds. This yields the case for $m = 1$.

Finally, for $m = 3$, (3.11) is equal to:

$$\frac{2q^4}{1+q} + \frac{2q^{12}}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)} + \frac{2q^{13}}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)} + \frac{2(1+q)(1+q^2)q^6}{1-q^3}. \quad (3.14)$$

Using Lemma 3.1, we find that for $n \geq 18$, the coefficient of q^n in

$$\frac{2q^4}{1+q} + \frac{2q^{12}}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)} \quad (3.15)$$

is nonnegative. This yields that $\overline{N}(3, n) \geq \overline{N}(3, n-1)$ for $n \geq 18$. After checking $\overline{N}(3, n) \geq \overline{N}(3, n-1)$ for $6 \leq n \leq 17$, we find that (1.8) is valid for $m = 3$.

We next prove (1.9). From (1.7), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (\overline{N2}(m, n) - \overline{N2}(m, n-1)) z^m q^n \\ &= (1-q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1; q)_{2k} q^k}{(zq^2; q^2)_k (q^2/z; q^2)_k} \\ &= 1 - q + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^2)(-q^2; q)_{2k-2} q^k}{(zq^2; q^2)_k (q^2/z; q^2)_k} \\ &= 1 - q + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-q^2; q)_{2k-2} q^k \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} z^m f_{m,k}(q^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Hence

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\overline{N2}(0, n) - \overline{N2}(0, n-1)) q^n = 1 - q + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-q^2; q)_{2k-2} q^k f_{0,k}(q^2), \quad (3.17)$$

and for $m \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\overline{N2}(m, n) - \overline{N2}(m, n-1)) q^n = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-q^2; q)_{2k-2} q^k f_{m,k}(q^2). \quad (3.18)$$

Similar to the proof of (1.8), we first assume that $m = 0$. From Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.5, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\overline{N2}(0, n) - \overline{N2}(0, n-1)) q^n \\
 &= 1 - q + \frac{2q}{1+q^2} + 2(1+q^2)(1+q^3)q^2 \left(-q^2 + \frac{1}{1-q^6} + \frac{q^4}{1-q^8} + \frac{q^{16}}{(1-q^6)(1-q^8)} \right) \\
 & \quad + 2 \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} (-q^2; q)_{2k-2} q^k \left(1 - q^2 + q^4 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^{2n} \right) \\
 &= 1 - q - 2q^4 - 2q^6 - 2q^7 - 2q^9 + \frac{2q}{1+q^2} + \frac{2q^{18} + 2q^{20} + 2q^{21} + 2q^{23}}{(1-q^6)(1-q^8)} \\
 & \quad + 2(1+q^2)(1+q^3)q^2 \left(\frac{1}{1-q^6} + \frac{q^4}{1-q^8} \right) \\
 & \quad + 2 \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} (-q^2; q)_{2k-2} q^k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^{2n} + 2 \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} (-q^3; q)_{2k-3} q^k (1+q^6). \tag{3.19}
 \end{aligned}$$

Setting $a = 0$, $b = 10$ and replace q with q^2 in Lemma 3.1, we find that for $n \geq 33$, the coefficient of q^n in

$$\frac{2q}{1+q^2} + \frac{2q^{21}}{(1-q^6)(1-q^8)}$$

is nonnegative. Thus the coefficient of q^n in (3.19) is nonnegative for $n \geq 33$, which implies that $\overline{N2}(0, n) \geq \overline{N2}(0, n-1)$ for $n \geq 33$. It is trivial to check that for $1 \leq n \leq 32$, $\overline{N2}(0, n) \geq \overline{N2}(0, n-1)$ also holds. This yields (1.9) for $m = 0$.

We proceed to show that (1.9) holds for $m \geq 1$. From Theorem 2.2 and (3.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\overline{N2}(m, n) - \overline{N2}(m, n-1)) q^n \\
 &= 2q f_{m,1}(q^2) + 2(-q^2; q)_4 q^3 f_{m,3}(q^2) + 2 \sum_{\substack{k=2 \\ k \neq 3}}^{\infty} (-q^2; q)_{2k-2} q^k f_{m,k}(q^2) \\
 &= \frac{2q^{2m+1}}{1+q^2} + 2(-q^2; q)_4 q^3 f_{m,3}(q^2) + 2 \sum_{\substack{k=2 \\ k \neq 3}}^{\infty} (-q^2; q)_{2k-2} q^k f_{m,k}(q^2). \tag{3.20}
 \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.6, we see that

$$f_{m,3}(q) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_{n,2}(q) \frac{q^{3|m-n|}}{1-q^6} = f_{m,2}(q) + f_{m,2}(q) \frac{q^6}{1-q^6} + \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq m}}^{\infty} f_{n,2}(q) \frac{q^{3|m-n|}}{1-q^6}. \tag{3.21}$$

By Theorem 2.3, the coefficient of q^n in $f_{m,2}(q)$ is nonnegative for all integer m and $n \geq 0$. This allows us to transform $f_{m,3}(q)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{m,3}(q) &= f_{m,2}(q) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n \\ &= q^m \left(\frac{1 - q^{m+1}}{(1 - q^2)(1 - q^3)} + \frac{q^{m+3}}{(1 - q^3)(1 - q^4)} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &2(-q^2; q)_4 q^3 f_{m,3}(q^2) \\ &= 2(-q^2; q)_4 q^{2m+3} \left(\frac{1 - q^{2m+2}}{(1 - q^4)(1 - q^6)} + \frac{q^{2m+6}}{(1 - q^6)(1 - q^8)} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n \\ &= 2(-q^4; q)_2 q^{2m+3} \frac{1 - q^{2m+2}}{(1 - q^2)(1 - q^3)} + 2(1 + q^2)(1 + q^5) \frac{q^{4m+9}}{(1 - q^3)(1 - q^4)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n \\ &= 2q^{2m+3} \frac{1 - q^{2m+2}}{(1 - q^2)(1 - q^3)} + 2 \frac{q^{4m+9}}{(1 - q^3)(1 - q^4)} \\ &\quad + 2(q^4 + q^5 + q^9) \left(q^{2m+3} \frac{1 - q^{2m+2}}{(1 - q^2)(1 - q^3)} \right) \\ &\quad + 2(q^2 + q^5 + q^7) \left(\frac{q^{4m+9}}{(1 - q^3)(1 - q^4)} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

From Lemma 2.7, we see that

$$\frac{1 - q^{2m+2}}{(1 - q^2)(1 - q^3)}$$

has nonnegative coefficients. Together with (3.23), we deduce that

$$2(-q^2; q)_4 q^3 f_{m,3}(q^2) = 2q^{2m+3} \frac{1 - q^{2m+2}}{(1 - q^2)(1 - q^3)} + \frac{2q^{4m+9}}{(1 - q^3)(1 - q^4)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n. \quad (3.24)$$

Moreover, from Theorem 2.3, we see that

$$\sum_{\substack{k=2 \\ k \neq 3}}^{\infty} (-q^2; q)_{2k-2} q^k f_{m,k}(q^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n. \quad (3.25)$$

Next we show that $\overline{N2}(m, n) \geq \overline{N2}(m, n - 1)$ for $m \geq 2$. Substituting (3.24) and (3.25) into (3.20), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\overline{N2}(m, n) - \overline{N2}(m, n-1)) q^n \\
&= \frac{2q^{2m+1}}{1+q^2} + 2q^{2m+3} \frac{1-q^{2m+2}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} + \frac{2q^{4m+9}}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n \\
&= \frac{2q^{2m+1}}{1+q^2} + 2q^{2m+3} \frac{1-q^3+q^3-q^{2m+2}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} + \frac{2q^{4m+9}}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n \\
&= \frac{2q^{2m+1}}{1+q^2} + \frac{2q^{2m+3}}{1-q^2} + 2q^{2m+6} \frac{1-q^{2m-1}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} + \frac{2q^{4m+9}}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n \\
&= \frac{2q^{2m+1} + 2q^{2m+5}}{1-q^4} + 2q^{2m+6} \frac{1-q^{2m-1}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} + \frac{2q^{4m+9}}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

By Lemma 2.7, we see that when $m \geq 2$,

$$q^{2m+6} \frac{1-q^{2m-1}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n.$$

This gives $\overline{N2}(m, n) \geq \overline{N2}(m, n-1)$.

Finally, we consider the case $m = 1$. In this case, by (3.24),

$$2(-q^2; q)_4 q^3 f_{1,3}(q^2) = 2q^5 \frac{1+q^2}{1-q^3} + \frac{2q^{13}}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n. \tag{3.27}$$

Substituting (3.25) and (3.27) into (3.20), we see that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\overline{N2}(1, n) - \overline{N2}(1, n-1)) q^n = \frac{2q^3}{1+q^2} + 2q^5 \frac{1+q^2}{1-q^3} + \frac{2q^{13}}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n. \tag{3.28}$$

From Lemma 3.1, we find that for $n \geq 19$, the coefficient of q^n in

$$\frac{2q^3}{1+q^2} + \frac{2q^{13}}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)}$$

is nonnegative. This gives $\overline{N2}(1, n) \geq \overline{N2}(1, n-1)$ for $n \geq 19$. It can be checked that for $1 \leq n \leq 18$, $\overline{N2}(1, n) \geq \overline{N2}(1, n-1)$ still holds. \square

4. The proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 1.4. To this end, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. For integer $k \geq 0$, let

$$\frac{1}{(qz; q)_k (q/z; q)_k} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{k,m}(n) z^m q^n.$$

Then for $m \geq 0$, we have $a_{k,m}(n) \geq a_{k,m+2}(n)$. Equivalently, for $m \geq 0$, the coefficient of $z^m q^n$ in

$$\frac{1 - z^{-2}}{(qz; q)_k (q/z; q)_k}$$

is nonnegative.

Proof. By definition, we see that

$$a_{k,m}(n) = a_{k,-m}(n). \quad (4.1)$$

Moreover, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{k+1,m}(n) z^m q^n &= \frac{1}{(qz; q)_{k+1} (q/z; q)_{k+1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - zq^{k+1})(1 - q^{k+1}/z)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{k,m}(n) z^m q^n \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^r z^{r-2i} q^{r(k+1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{k,m}(n) z^m q^n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Thus we have

$$a_{k+1,m}(n) = \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k+1} \rfloor} \sum_{i=0}^r a_{k,m-r+2i}(n - r(k+1)). \quad (4.3)$$

We prove this lemma by induction on k . For $k = 1$, it is trivial to check that

$$a_{1,m}(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m \equiv n \pmod{2} \text{ and } n \geq |m|; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Set $b_{k,m}(n) = a_{k,m}(n) - a_{k,m+2}(n)$ and assume that $b_{k,m}(n) \geq 0$ for $m \geq 0$. From (4.3), we derive that

$$b_{k+1,m}(n) = \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k+1} \rfloor} \sum_{i=0}^r b_{k,m-r+2i}(n - r(k+1)). \quad (4.4)$$

Moreover, by (4.1), we see that

$$b_{k,m}(n) = -b_{k,-m-2}(n) \quad (4.5)$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{r=m+1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k+1} \rfloor} \sum_{i=0}^{r-m-1} b_{k,m-r+2i}(n-r(k+1)) = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Thus by (4.4) and (4.6), we derive that for $m \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k+1,m}(n) &= \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{i=0}^r b_{k,m-r+2i}(n-r(k+1)) + \sum_{r=m+1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k+1} \rfloor} \sum_{i=0}^r b_{k,m-r+2i}(n-r(k+1)) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{i=0}^r b_{k,m-r+2i}(n-r(k+1)) + \sum_{r=m+1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k+1} \rfloor} \sum_{i=r-m}^r b_{k,m-r+2i}(n-r(k+1)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

From induction hypothesis, we find that each term in the above summation is nonnegative. Thus $b_{k+1,m}(n) \geq 0$. \square

We now give a proof of Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By (1.5), for $m \geq 0$, $\overline{N}(m, n) \geq \overline{N}(m+2, n)$ is equivalent to that the coefficient of z^m in

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1; q)_k q^{k(k+1)/2} (1-z^{-2})}{(zq; q)_k (q/z; q)_k} \quad (4.8)$$

is nonnegative. But by Lemma 4.1,

$$[z^m] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1; q)_k q^{k(k+1)/2} (1-z^{-2})}{(zq; q)_k (q/z; q)_k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1; q)_k q^{k(k+1)/2} [z^m] \frac{1-z^{-2}}{(zq; q)_k (q/z; q)_k}, \quad (4.9)$$

which is clearly has nonnegative coefficients, where $[z^m] f(z)$ denotes the coefficient of z^m in $f(z)$. This yields (1.10).

Similarly, by (1.7), for $m \geq 0$, $\overline{N2}(m, n) \geq \overline{N2}(m+2, n)$ is equivalent to that the coefficient of z^m in

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1; q)_{2k} q^k (1-z^{-2})}{(zq^2; q^2)_k (q^2/z; q^2)_k}$$

is nonnegative. Again using Lemma 4.1, we see that

$$[z^m] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1; q)_{2k} q^k (1 - z^{-2})}{(zq^2; q^2)_k (q^2/z; q^2)_k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1; q)_{2k} q^k [z^m] \frac{(1 - z^{-2})}{(zq^2; q^2)_k (q^2/z; q^2)_k},$$

which has nonnegative coefficients. \square

5. Outlook

The rank of partitions gives combinatorial interpretations of several Ramanujan's famous congruence formulas. In this paper, we derive several monotonicity inequalities of the D -rank and M_2 -rank for overpartitions and use them to prove a conjecture of Chan and Mao [16]. Our proofs are based on the study of generating functions for such ranks of overpartitions, which are analytic. It would be interesting to find bijective proofs for our results. We will work on this in the future.

Acknowledgments

The authors really appreciate the valuable suggestions given by referees for improving the overall quality of the manuscript. This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.11871011), and the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant P400P2_183956). This work was done during the first author's visit to the Harbin Institute of Technology (HIT). The first author would like to thank Prof. Quanhua Xu and the second author for the hospitality.

References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Addison-Wesley, 1976.
- [2] G.E. Andrews, S.H. Chan, B. Kim, The odd moments of ranks and cranks, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 120 (2013) 77–91.
- [3] G.E. Andrews, S.H. Chan, B. Kim, R. Osburnm, The first positive rank and crank moments for overpartitions, *Ann. Comb.* 20 (2) (2016) 193–207.
- [4] G.E. Andrews, A. Dixit, D. Schultz, A.J. Yee, Overpartitions related to the mock theta function $\omega(q)$, *Acta Arith.* 181 (3) (2017) 253–286.
- [5] G.E. Andrews, F.G. Garvan, Dyson's crank of a partition, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 18 (2) (1988) 167–171.
- [6] G.E. Andrews, R. Lewis, The ranks and cranks of partitions moduli 2, 3 and 4, *J. Number Theory* 85 (1) (2000) 74–84.
- [7] A.O.L. Atkin, F.G. Garvan, Relations between the ranks and cranks of partitions, in: *Rankin Memorial Issues, Ramanujan J.* 7 (1–3) (2003) 343–366.
- [8] A.O.L. Atkin, P. Swinnerton-Dyer, Some properties of partitions, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 66 (1954) 84–106.
- [9] K. Bringmann, Asymptotics for rank partition functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 361 (7) (2009) 3483–3500.
- [10] K. Bringmann, B. Kane, Inequalities for differences of Dyson's rank for all odd moduli, *Math. Res. Lett.* 17 (5) (2010) 927–942.
- [11] K. Bringmann, J. Lovejoy, Dyson's rank, overpartitions, and weak Maass forms, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 19 (2007) rnm063.

- [12] K. Bringmann, J. Lovejoy, R. Osburn, Rank and crank moments for overpartitions, *J. Number Theory* 129 (7) (2009) 1758–1772.
- [13] K. Bringmann, K. Mahlburg, Inequalities between ranks and cranks, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 137 (8) (2009) 2567–2574.
- [14] K. Bringmann, K. Mahlburg, Asymptotic inequalities for positive crank and rank moments, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 366 (2014) 1073–1094.
- [15] K. Bringmann, K. Mahlburg, R.C. Rhoades, Asymptotics for crank and rank moments, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 43 (4) (2011) 661–672.
- [16] S.H. Chan, R. Mao, Inequalities for ranks of partitions and the first moment of ranks and cranks of partitions, *Adv. Math.* 258 (2014) 414–437.
- [17] W.Y.C. Chen, K.Q. Ji, W.J.T. Zang, Proof of the Andrews–Dyson–Rhoades conjecture on the spt-crank, *Adv. Math.* 270 (2015) 60–96.
- [18] W.Y.C. Chen, K.Q. Ji, W.J.T. Zang, The spt-crank for ordinary partitions, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 711 (2016) 231–249.
- [19] S. Corteel, J. Lovejoy, Overpartitions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 356 (2004) 1623–1635.
- [20] F.J. Dyson, Some guesses in the theory of partitions, *Eureka (Cambridge)* 8 (1944) 10–15.
- [21] F.G. Garvan, The crank of partitions mod 8, 9 and 10, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 322 (1) (1990) 79–94.
- [22] F.G. Garvan, C. Jennings-Shaffer, The spt-crank for overpartitions, *Acta Arith.* 166 (2) (2014) 141–188.
- [23] F. Garvan, D. Kim, D. Stanton, Cranks and t -cores, *Invent. Math.* 101 (1990) 1–17.
- [24] C. Jennings-Shaffer, Another SPT crank for the number of smallest parts in overpartitions with even smallest part, *J. Number Theory* 148 (2015) 196–203.
- [25] C. Jennings-Shaffer, Higher order SPT functions for overpartitions, overpartitions with smallest part even, and partitions with smallest part even and without repeated odd parts, *J. Number Theory* 149 (2015) 285–312.
- [26] C. Jennings-Shaffer, Overpartition rank differences modulo 7 by Maass forms, *J. Number Theory* 163 (2016) 331–358.
- [27] D.M. Kane, Resolution of a conjecture of Andrews and Lewis involving cranks of partitions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132 (8) (2004) 2247–2256.
- [28] R. Lewis, On the rank and the crank modulo 4, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 112 (4) (1991) 925–933.
- [29] R. Lewis, On the ranks of partitions modulo 9, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 23 (5) (1991) 417–421.
- [30] R. Lewis, On some relations between the rank and the crank, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 59 (1) (1992) 104–110.
- [31] R. Lewis, Relations between the rank and the crank modulo 9, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) 45 (2) (1992) 222–231.
- [32] R. Lewis, The ranks of partitions modulo 2, in: 15-th British Combinatorial Conference, Stirling, 1995, *Discrete Math.* 167/168 (1997) 445–449.
- [33] R. Lewis, The generating functions of the rank and crank modulo 8, *Ramanujan J.* 18 (2) (2009) 121–146.
- [34] R. Lewis, N. Santa-Gadea, On the rank and the crank modulo 4 and 8, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 341 (1) (1994) 449–465.
- [35] J. Lovejoy, Rank and conjugation for the Frobenius representation of an overpartition, *Ann. Comb.* 9 (3) (2005) 321–334.
- [36] J. Lovejoy, Rank and conjugation for a second Frobenius representation of an overpartition, *Ann. Comb.* 12 (1) (2008) 101–113.
- [37] J. Lovejoy, R. Osburn, Rank differences for overpartitions, *Quart. J. Math.* 59 (2008) 257–273.
- [38] J. Lovejoy, R. Osburn, M_2 -rank differences for overpartitions, *Acta Arith.* 144 (2) (2010) 193–212.
- [39] N. Santa-Gadea, On some relations for the rank moduli 9 and 12, *J. Number Theory* 40 (2) (1992) 130–145.