



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Number Theory

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt)



# On the Stickelberger ideal of a quadratic twist of a cyclotomic field



Akira Endô

*Department of Mathematics, Kumamoto University, Kumamoto 860-8555, Japan*

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 4 July 2014

Received in revised form 4 November 2014

Accepted 5 November 2014

Available online 14 January 2015

Communicated by David Goss

### MSC:

11R20

11R29

### Keywords:

Cyclotomic field

Stickelberger ideal

Relative class number

## ABSTRACT

A system of generators of the minus part of the Stickelberger ideal of a quadratic twist of a cyclotomic field is obtained.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Let  $p$  be an odd prime number and  $n$  a natural number. As usual  $Q$  and  $Z$  mean the field of rational numbers and the ring of rational integers, respectively. Skula [4] obtained a system of generators of the minus part of the Stickelberger ideal of the  $p^n$ -th cyclotomic field  $F = Q(\zeta)$ , where  $\zeta = \zeta_{p^n}$  is a primitive  $p^n$ -th root of unity, and gave an alternative proof for the formula of Iwasawa [3] concerning the relative class number of  $F$ . In the previous paper [1] we considered the Stickelberger ideal of a quadratic extension  $L$  of  $F$  obtained by adjoining  $\sqrt{m}$ , where  $m$  is a square-free rational integer.

*E-mail address:* [endou@sci.kumamoto-u.ac.jp](mailto:endou@sci.kumamoto-u.ac.jp).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2014.11.003>

0022-314X/© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Now,  $L$  has an imaginary subfield  $K$  distinct from  $F$  with  $[L : K] = 2$ ; we call  $K$  a quadratic twist of  $F$ . In this paper we get a system of generators of the minus part of the Stickelberger ideal of  $K$ . Obviously  $K$  is a quadratic extension of the maximal real subfield  $F^+$  of  $F$ , and coincides with  $F^+((\zeta - \zeta^{-1})\sqrt{m})$  or  $F^+(\sqrt{m})$  according as  $m > 0$  or  $m < 0$ .

We may assume without loss of generality that  $m$  is prime to  $p$ . Let  $g$  be a primitive root modulo  $p^n$ , and put  $r = (1/2)(p-1)p^{n-1}$ . The Galois group  $\text{Gal}(L/Q)$  has two generators  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^\sigma &= \zeta^g, & \sqrt{m}^\sigma &= \sqrt{m}, \\ \zeta^\tau &= \zeta, & \sqrt{m}^\tau &= -\sqrt{m}.\end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we denote the restrictions of  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  to  $K$  again by  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ , respectively. Then the Galois group  $G = \text{Gal}(K/Q)$  is generated by  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ , and we see that  $\sigma^r = \tau$  if  $m > 0$ , and  $\sigma^r = 1$  if  $m < 0$ . Let  $\chi$  be a quadratic Dirichlet character associated with  $Q(\sqrt{m})$ , and  $d$  the conductor of  $\chi$ . We note that  $\chi(-1) = 1$  or  $-1$  according as  $m > 0$  or  $m < 0$ .

For a rational integer  $k \geq 0$ , let  $g_k$  be a rational integer which satisfies  $1 \leq g_k \leq p^n - 1$  and  $g_k \equiv g^k \pmod{p^n}$ . The Stickelberger element  $\theta$  of level  $dp^n$  in  $Z[G]$  is defined as the restriction of that for the  $dp^n$ -th cyclotomic field to its subfield  $K$ :  
when  $m > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\theta &= \frac{1}{dp^n} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \left( \left( \sum_a^{(i)+} (ap^n + g_i) + \sum_a^{(i+r)-} (ap^n + g_{i+r}) \right) \sigma^{-i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \sum_a^{(i)-} (ap^n + g_i) + \sum_a^{(i+r)+} (ap^n + g_{i+r}) \right) \sigma^{-i} \tau \right),\end{aligned}$$

and when  $m < 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\theta &= \frac{1}{dp^n} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \left( \left( \sum_a^{(i)+} (ap^n + g_i) + \sum_a^{(i+r)+} (ap^n + g_{i+r}) \right) \sigma^{-i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \sum_a^{(i)-} (ap^n + g_i) + \sum_a^{(i+r)-} (ap^n + g_{i+r}) \right) \sigma^{-i} \tau \right).\end{aligned}$$

Herein  $\sum_a^{(k)+}$  and  $\sum_a^{(k)-}$  denote the summations taken over  $0 \leq a \leq d-1$  such that  $\chi(ap^n + g_k) = 1$  and  $\chi(ap^n + g_k) = -1$ , respectively. Let  $S = Z[G]\theta \cap Z[G]$ , which is called the Stickelberger ideal of  $Z[G]$ .

Note that  $\tau$  acts on  $K$  as complex conjugation. For a  $Z[G]$ -module  $M$ , we denote by  $M^-$  the submodule of all elements  $\alpha$  in  $M$  satisfying  $\tau\alpha = -\alpha$ .

Now, let  $\sum_{j=0}^{r-1}(x_j\sigma^j + y_j\sigma^j\tau)$  be an element in  $Z[G]$ . We then consider the condition for

$$\xi = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (x_j\sigma^j + y_j\sigma^j\tau) \right) \theta$$

to be in  $Z[G]^-$ . For  $k \geq 0$ , let  $A_k^+ = \sum_a^{(k)+} a$  and  $A_k^- = \sum_a^{(k)-} a$ . Since  $g_k + g_{k+r} = p^n$  for  $k \geq 0$ , we see

$$\theta = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} ((A_i^+ + A_{i+r}^- + \frac{\varphi(d)}{2})\sigma^{-i} + (A_i^- + A_{i+r}^+ + \frac{\varphi(d)}{2})\sigma^{-i}\tau) & \text{if } m > 0, \\ \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} ((A_i^+ + A_{i+r}^+ + \frac{\varphi(d)}{2})\sigma^{-i} + (A_i^- + A_{i+r}^- + \frac{\varphi(d)}{2})\sigma^{-i}\tau) & \text{if } m < 0. \end{cases}$$

Herein  $\varphi(d)$  is the Euler function. Since  $\chi((d-1-a)p^n + g_{i+r}) = \chi(-1)\chi(ap^n + g_i)$ , we have that when  $m > 0$ ,

$$A_i^+ + A_{i+r}^+ = A_i^- + A_{i+r}^- = \frac{\varphi(d)}{2}(d-1),$$

and when  $m < 0$ ,

$$A_i^+ + A_{i+r}^- = A_i^- + A_{i+r}^+ = \frac{\varphi(d)}{2}(d-1).$$

Then we obtain that

$$\theta = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \left( \left( \frac{1}{d}(A_i^+ - A_i^-) + \frac{\varphi(d)}{2} \right) \sigma^{-i} + \left( \frac{1}{d}(A_i^- - A_i^+) + \frac{\varphi(d)}{2} \right) \sigma^{-i}\tau \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \xi = & \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left( \left( \frac{1}{d}(x_j - y_j)(A_{i+j}^+ - A_{i+j}^-) + \frac{\varphi(d)}{2}(x_j + y_j) \right) \sigma^{-i} \right. \\ & \left. + \left( \frac{1}{d}(x_j - y_j)(A_{i+j}^- - A_{i+j}^+) + \frac{\varphi(d)}{2}(x_j + y_j) \right) \sigma^{-i}\tau \right). \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

**Lemma 1.** We have  $\xi \in Z[G]$  unless  $m = -1$  or  $-3$ . When  $m = -1$ ,  $\xi \in Z[G]$  if and only if  $\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} x_j \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} y_j \pmod{2}$ , and when  $m = -3$ ,  $\xi \in Z[G]$  if and only if  $\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} x_j \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} y_j \pmod{3}$ .

**Proof.** From (\*), for  $\xi$  to be in  $Z[G]$ , it suffices to show  $d\xi \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ . We see from the definition of  $\theta$  that

$$dp^n\theta \equiv \begin{cases} (B^+ + B^-) \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (\sigma^{-i} + \sigma^{-i}\tau) \pmod{d} & \text{if } m > 0, \\ 2 \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (B^+ \sigma^{-i} + B^- \sigma^{-i}\tau) \pmod{d} & \text{if } m < 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $B^+$  and  $B^-$  are sums of  $1 \leq a \leq d-1$  such that  $\chi(a) = 1$  and  $\chi(a) = -1$ , respectively. We here note that  $B^+ + B^- \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ . Hence we have that

$$dp^n \xi \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{d} & \text{if } m > 0, \\ 2 \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} ((B^+ \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} x_j + B^- \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} y_j) \sigma^{-i} \\ \quad + (B^- \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} x_j + B^+ \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} y_j) \sigma^{-i} \tau) \pmod{d} & \text{if } m < 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that if  $m \leq -5$ , then  $B^+ \equiv B^- \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ , if  $m = -1$  or  $-3$ , then  $B^+ \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$  and  $B^- \equiv -1 \pmod{d}$ , and if  $m = -2$ , then  $B^+ \equiv B^- \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ . Thus we have the assertion.  $\square$

On the other hand, from  $(*)$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \xi &= \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left( \left( \frac{1}{d} (x_j - y_j) (A_{i+j}^- - A_{i+j}^+) + \frac{\varphi(d)}{2} (x_j + y_j) \right) \sigma^{-i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \frac{1}{d} (x_j - y_j) (A_{i+j}^+ - A_{i+j}^-) + \frac{\varphi(d)}{2} (x_j + y_j) \right) \sigma^{-i} \tau \right) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\xi + \tau \xi = \varphi(d) \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (x_j + y_j) (\sigma^{-i} + \sigma^{-i} \tau),$$

which implies the following:

**Lemma 2.** *We have  $\tau \xi = -\xi$  if and only if  $\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (x_j + y_j) = 0$ .*

We now put

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= \omega_3 (1 - \tau) \theta \\ &= \frac{2\omega_3}{d} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (A_i^+ - A_i^-) (\sigma^{-i} - \sigma^{-i} \tau) \end{aligned}$$

with  $\omega_3 = 3$  or  $1$  according as  $m = -3$  or not, and for  $1 \leq j \leq r-1$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_j &= (1 - \sigma^j) \theta \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} ((A_i^+ - A_i^-) - (A_{i+j}^+ - A_{i+j}^-)) (\sigma^{-i} - \sigma^{-i} \tau), \end{aligned}$$

all of which are in  $S^-$  by Lemmas 1 and 2.

We have the following:

**Theorem.** We have  $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}\}$  as a system of generators of  $S^-$ , and see that  $S^-$  is of finite index in  $Z[G]^-$  if and only if  $m > 0$  or  $\chi(p) = -1$ , in which case

$$(Z[G]^- : S^-) = \begin{cases} h_K^- & \text{if } m \geq -1, \\ 2h_K^- & \text{if } m < -1, \end{cases}$$

where  $h_K^-$  denotes the relative class number of  $K$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $x_0, \dots, x_{r-1}, y_0, \dots, y_{r-1} \in Z$  satisfy  $\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (x_j + y_j) = 0$  and moreover  $\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} x_j \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} y_j \pmod{\omega_3}$ . We then see from (\*) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (x_j \sigma^j + y_j \sigma^j \tau) \right) \theta \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (x_j - y_j) (A_{i+j}^+ - A_{i+j}^-) (\sigma^{-i} - \sigma^{-i} \tau) \\ &= \frac{1}{d} (x_0 - y_0) \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (A_i^+ - A_i^-) (\sigma^{-i} - \sigma^{-i} \tau) \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} (x_j - y_j) \left( \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (A_i^+ - A_i^-) (\sigma^{-i} - \sigma^{-i} \tau) - \alpha_j \right) \\ &= \left( \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (x_j - y_j) \right) \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (A_i^+ - A_i^-) (\sigma^{-i} - \sigma^{-i} \tau) - \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} (x_j - y_j) \alpha_j \\ &= \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (x_j - y_j)}{2\omega_3} \alpha_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} (x_j - y_j) \alpha_j, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}$  form a system of generators of  $S^-$ . We define a determinant  $D_{p^n}(\chi)$  of degree  $r$  by

$$D_{p^n}(\chi) = \det \left( \frac{1}{d} (A_{i+j}^+ - A_{i+j}^-) \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq r-1}.$$

It is easy to see that the absolute value of the determinant of the transformation of  $\{\sigma^{-i} - \sigma^{-i} \tau, 0 \leq i \leq r-1\}$ , a system of generators of  $Z[G]^-$ , to  $\{\alpha_i, 0 \leq i \leq r-1\}$  equals  $2\omega_3 |D_{p^n}(\chi)|$ , and hence that  $S^-$  is of finite index in  $Z[G]^-$  if and only if  $D_{p^n}(\chi) \neq 0$ , in which case  $(Z[G]^- : S^-) = 2\omega_3 |D_{p^n}(\chi)|$ . In [2] we calculated  $|D_{p^n}(\chi)|$  as follows:

$$|D_{p^n}(\chi)| = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} |\frac{1}{2} B_{1, \psi^{2i+1} \chi}| & \text{if } m > 0, \\ (1 - \chi(p)) \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} |\frac{1}{2} B_{1, \psi^{2i} \chi}| & \text{if } m < 0. \end{cases}$$

Herein  $\psi$  is a primitive Dirichlet character of degree  $2r$  associated with  $F$ , and  $B_{1,\psi^k\chi}$  is the generalized Bernoulli number belonging to  $\psi^k\chi$ . Thus we see that  $D_{p^n}(\chi) \neq 0$  if and only if  $m > 0$  or  $\chi(p) = -1$ . The analytic formula for  $h_K^-$  says

$$h_K^- = \begin{cases} 2Q_K \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \frac{-1}{2} B_{1,\psi^{2i+1}\chi} & \text{if } m > 0, \\ Q_K w_K \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \frac{-1}{2} B_{1,\psi^{2i}\chi} & \text{if } m < 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $Q_K$  is the unit index of  $K$  and  $w_K$  is the number of roots of unity in  $K$  (cf. [5]). When  $m \neq -1$  it is easy to see  $Q_K = 1$ . When  $m = -1$ , we also see  $Q_K = 1$ ; otherwise there would be a unit  $(1 + \sqrt{-1})/a$  of  $K$  with  $a \in F^+$ , from which 2 would be ramified in  $F^+$ , a contradiction. From the argument above the assertion follows.  $\square$

## References

- [1] A. Endô, The relative class number of certain imaginary abelian fields, *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg.* 58 (1988) 237–243.
- [2] A. Endô, On the Stickelberger ideal of  $(2, \dots, 2)$ -extensions of a cyclotomic number field, *Manuscripta Math.* 69 (1990) 107–132.
- [3] K. Iwasawa, A class number formula for a cyclotomic field, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 76 (1962) 171–179.
- [4] L. Skula, Another proof of Iwasawa's class number formula, *Acta Arith.* 39 (1981) 1–6.
- [5] L. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, 2nd ed., *Grad. Texts in Math.*, vol. 83, Springer-Verlag, 1997.