

Journal Pre-proof

On Seven Conjectures of Kedlaya and Medvedovsky

Noah Taylor

PII: S0022-314X(21)00182-7
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2021.05.006>
Reference: YJNTH 6791

To appear in: *Journal of Number Theory*

Received date: 1 July 2020
Revised date: 28 April 2021
Accepted date: 2 May 2021

Please cite this article as: N. Taylor, On Seven Conjectures of Kedlaya and Medvedovsky, *J. Number Theory* (2021), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2021.05.006>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier.



On Seven Conjectures of Kedlaya and Medvedovsky

Noah Taylor¹

5734 S University Ave, Chicago, IL 60637

Abstract

In a paper of Kedlaya and Medvedovsky [KM19], the number of distinct dihedral mod 2 modular representations of prime level N was calculated, and a conjecture on the dimension of the space of level N weight 2 modular forms giving rise to each representation was stated. In this paper we prove this conjecture.

1. Introduction

Let $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2)$ be a finite-image two-dimensional mod 2 Galois representation. (Here and for the rest of this note, we assume all representations, finite or not, are continuous.) We say $\bar{\rho}$ is dihedral if the image of $\pi \circ \bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(2, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2)$ is isomorphic to a finite dihedral group, where $\pi : \mathrm{GL}(2) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(2)$ is the usual projection. We say $\bar{\rho}$ is modular of level N if it is the reduction of a representation ρ_f associated to a modular eigenform $f \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N), \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_2)$ mod the maximal ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ (call this ideal \mathfrak{M}). Here, ρ is associated to a normalized eigenform f if, for all $\ell \nmid 2N$, the coefficient a_ℓ equals the trace $\mathrm{Tr} \rho(\mathrm{Frob}_\ell)$. (When we write $S_2(\Gamma_0(N), R)$ we will always mean $S_2(\Gamma_0(N), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes R$, so for example we exclude Katz forms that are not reductions of characteristic 0 forms.) Additionally, reduction of a representation mod \mathfrak{M} makes sense because given a characteristic 0 representation $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(V)$ where V is a vector space over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_2$, we may choose an invariant lattice isomorphic to $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ inside V , so that the image of ρ is inside $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_2)$ and reduction mod \mathfrak{M} is defined (independent of the choice of lattice up to semisimplification).

We say that ρ is ordinary at 2 if its restriction to the inertia at 2 is reducible. We also say a normalized eigenform f with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ is ordinary if the coefficient a_2 of q^2 in its q -expansion is a unit mod \mathfrak{M} . The terminology is consistent, because by theorems of Deligne and Fontaine, if $\rho = \rho_f$ is modular, then ρ_f is ordinary if and only if f is ordinary.

In [KM19], Kedlaya and Medvedovsky prove that if a characteristic 2 representation is dihedral, modular and ordinary of prime level N , then it must be the induction of a nontrivial odd-order character of the class group $\mathrm{Cl}(K)$ of a quadratic extension $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\pm N})/\mathbb{Q}$ to \mathbb{Q} [KM19, Section 5.2]. They then analyze all cases of $N \bmod 8$ to determine how many distinct mod 2 representations arise from this construction. Finally, they conjecture lower bounds for the number of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ eigenforms whose mod \mathfrak{M} representations $\bar{\rho}_f$ are isomorphic to each of the representations obtained above [KM19, Conjecture 13]. The purpose of the current paper is to prove this conjecture, reproduced below.

¹The author is supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-1701703.

We let \mathbb{T}_2^{an} denote the anemic Hecke algebra inside $\text{End}(S_2(\Gamma_0(N), \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_2))$ generated as a \mathbb{Z}_2 -algebra by the Hecke operators T_k for $(k, 2N) = 1$, and we let \mathbb{T}_2 denote the full Hecke algebra, namely $\mathbb{T}_2 = \mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}[T_2, U_N]$. Maps $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$ correspond to classes of mod 2 eigenforms, up to the coefficients of even and divisible-by- N powers of q , where the image of T_k is mapped to the coefficient a_k of the form. The kernel of such a map is a maximal ideal which determines the map up to Galois conjugation of the image. Thus maximal ideals of \mathbb{T}_2^{an} correspond to Galois-conjugate classes of modular representations via the Eichler-Shimura construction, and we attach properties of the representation such as ordinariness or reducibility to the maximal ideal, which are invariant under Galois-conjugation and hence well-defined properties of the ideal. We say that \mathfrak{m} is K -dihedral if the representation corresponding to \mathfrak{m} is dihedral in the above sense, and the quadratic extension from which it is an induction is K . (Notice that given $\bar{\rho}$, K is uniquely determined as the quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} inside the fixed field of the kernel of $\bar{\rho}$ that is ramified at all primes at which $\bar{\rho}$ is ramified.) We write $S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ to denote the space of all mod 2 modular forms on which \mathfrak{m} acts nilpotently.

Theorem 1.1 ([KM19, Conjecture 13]). *Let N be an odd prime and \mathfrak{m} a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}(N)$.*

1. *Suppose $N \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.*
 - (a) *If \mathfrak{m} is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$ -dihedral, then $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 4$.*
 - (b) *If \mathfrak{m} is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$ -dihedral, then $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq h(-N)^{\text{even}}$.*
 - (c) *If \mathfrak{m} is reducible, then $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq \frac{h(-N)^{\text{even}} - 2}{2}$.*
2. *Suppose $N \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$.*
 - (a) *If \mathfrak{m} is ordinary $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$ -dihedral, then $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 4$.*
 - (b) *If \mathfrak{m} is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$ -dihedral, then $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 2$.*
3. *Suppose $N \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\pm N})$.*
 - (a) *If \mathfrak{m} is ordinary K -dihedral, then $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 2$.*

The methods we use in proving this conjecture vary somewhat among the cases listed above. Moreover, though part 3 is listed as a single case, we break up its proof into the cases $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$. Thus we recognize [KM19, Conjecture 13] as 7 separate conjectures, explaining the title of this note.

1.1. Eigenspace dimension and modular exponent

There is a relation between our work and the problem of understanding the parity of the modular exponent of a modular abelian variety $A = A_f$ as studied in [ARS12]. The problems are not exactly the same, however: the dimension of $S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is greater than 1 if and only if there exists two distinct eigenforms f and f' with $\bar{\rho}_f = \bar{\rho}_{f'} = \bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. On the other hand, the modular degree is even only when there exists a congruence mod \mathfrak{p} between eigenforms which are not $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -conjugate, for some prime \mathfrak{p} above 2. For example, in the case $N = 29$, we know that $S_2(29)$ is 2 dimensional, spanned by $f = q + (-1 + \sqrt{2})q^2 + (1 - \sqrt{2})q^3 + \dots$ and $f' = q + (-1 - \sqrt{2})q^2 + (1 + \sqrt{2})q^3 + \dots$. These have the same mod 2 representation; in fact, they are even congruent mod 2. But the corresponding quotient of $J_0(29)$ is $J_0(29)$ itself, which is simple, so the modular exponent of these forms is 1.

In some cases, such as when the abelian variety is an ordinary elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} , the problems coincide, and thus this paper is related to (and generalizes) arguments from [CE09]. If A is a (modular) ordinary rational elliptic curve, then there is a corresponding homomorphism $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ where \mathbb{T} is the Hecke algebra over \mathbb{Z} of level equal to the conductor of A . If A has even modular degree, then there certainly exist 2-adic congruences between the modular eigenform f associated to A and other forms, and hence an eigenform $f' \neq f$ with $\bar{\rho}_f = \bar{\rho}_{f'}$. Conversely, suppose that there exists such an f' . Because f has coefficients over \mathbb{Q} , the form f' cannot be a Galois conjugate of f . Thus it suffices to show that the equality $\bar{\rho}_f = \bar{\rho}_{f'}$ can be upgraded to a congruence between f and f' . The only ambiguity arises from the coefficients of q^2 and q^N . By Theorem 1.5 below, we see that the coefficient of q^2 is determined up to its inverse by the mod 2 representation. Yet, for A , the coefficient of q^2 is automatically 1 by ordinarity and rationality. We also prove in Lemma 5.1 that U_N is in the Hecke algebra \mathbb{T}_2^{an} , and thus f must be congruent to f' .

1.2. Reduction

Given a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of \mathbb{T}_2^{an} , we wish to count the dimension of the space Λ of \mathbb{Z}_2 -module maps

$$\phi : \mathbb{T}_2 \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{F}}_2 \text{ so that } \mathfrak{m}^k(\phi|_{\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}}) = 0 \text{ for some } k \geq 0$$

as an $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_2$ -vector space, where \mathbb{T}_2^{an} acts on ϕ by $x\phi(y) = \phi(xy)$. We know that \mathbb{T}_2 and \mathbb{T}_2^{an} are finite and flat over \mathbb{Z}_2 , and thus complete semilocal rings. It then follows that we can write

$$\mathbb{T}_2 = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a} \text{ maximal}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}},$$

and a similar statement for \mathbb{T}_2^{an} , where $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is the localization (or equivalently completion) of \mathbb{T}_2 at the ideal \mathfrak{a} . We thus study $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}}$ and remove the restriction that \mathfrak{m} is nilpotent.

Proposition 1.2. *The dimension of Λ equals*

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}} [k_{\mathfrak{a}} : \mathbb{F}_2] \dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2),$$

where the sum runs over all maximal ideals \mathfrak{a} of \mathbb{T}_2 containing \mathfrak{m} , and $k_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is the residue field corresponding to \mathfrak{a} .

Proof. The inclusion of \mathbb{T}_2^{an} into \mathbb{T}_2 induces an inclusion $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}}$ into $\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, and so the dimension

of Λ is the dimension of the $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_2$ -space of maps $\phi : \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{F}}_2$. Any such map can be split into separate maps $\phi_{\mathfrak{a}}$, and all $\phi_{\mathfrak{a}}$ factor through $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2)$. So the dimension of Λ is

$$\dim_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_2} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \left(\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}, \bar{\mathbb{F}}_2 \right) = \sum_{\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}} \dim_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_2} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_2} (\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2), \bar{\mathbb{F}}_2) = \sum_{\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2) = \sum_{\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}} [k_{\mathfrak{a}} : \mathbb{F}_2] \dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2).$$

□

The trivial lower bound $\dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2) \geq 1$ gives a lower bound on the dimension of Λ . In the case that $\bar{\rho}$ arising from \mathfrak{m} is totally real and absolutely irreducible, we prove a better bound $\dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2) \geq 2$. This happens when \mathfrak{m} is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$ -dihedral for $N > 0$. Let $J_0(N)$ denote the

Jacobian of the modular curve $X_0(N)$, so that $\bar{\rho}$ appears as a subrepresentation of the 2-torsion points $J_0(N)[2]$. For some maximal ideal \mathfrak{a} containing \mathfrak{m} , let $A = J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}]$ be the subscheme of points that are killed by \mathfrak{a} . By the main result of [BLR91], if $\bar{\rho}$ is absolutely irreducible, A is the direct sum of copies of $\bar{\rho}$.

Proposition 1.3. *If \mathfrak{m} is a maximal ideal of \mathbb{T}_2^{an} for which the corresponding representation $\bar{\rho}$ is absolutely irreducible and totally real, then for any maximal ideal \mathfrak{a} of \mathbb{T}_2 containing \mathfrak{m} , we have the inequality*

$$\dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2) \geq 2 \cdot \text{multiplicity of } \bar{\rho} \text{ inside } A.$$

Proof. Since $\bar{\rho}$ is a representation of the Galois group of a totally real field, we know that the points of A are all real. Since A also has a $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ -action with annihilator \mathfrak{a} , A is a $k_{\mathfrak{a}}$ -vector space, whose dimension is twice the multiplicity of $\bar{\rho}$. We prove the inequality below, from which the proposition follows quickly.

Lemma 1.4. *If W denotes the Witt vector functor, then*

$$\dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} (A) \leq \text{rank}_{W(k_{\mathfrak{a}})}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}).$$

Proof. We follow [CE09, Section 3.2]. A proposition of Merel states that the real variety $J_0(N)(\mathbb{R})$ is connected if N is prime [Mer96, Proposition 5]. If g is the genus of $X_0(N)$, then we know that $J_0(N)(\mathbb{C}) = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$, and therefore $J_0(N)(\mathbb{R}) = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^g$. And we also know that

$$J_0(N)[2](\mathbb{R}) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g.$$

Additionally, as we know that $\mathbb{T}_2 = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, and all $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ are free \mathbb{Z}_2 -modules, say of rank $g(\mathfrak{a})$, we know that

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{a}} g(\mathfrak{a}) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{T}_2) = g.$$

A lemma of Mazur shows that the \mathfrak{a} -adic Tate module, $\varprojlim J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}^i]$, is a $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ -module of rank 2 [Maz77, Lemma 7.7], and therefore a free \mathbb{Z}_2 -module of rank $2g(\mathfrak{a})$, so $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}^{\infty}](\mathbb{C}) = (\mathbb{Q}_2/\mathbb{Z}_2)^{2g(\mathfrak{a})}$. We therefore know that the 2-torsion points of this scheme are

$$J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}^{\infty}, 2](\mathbb{C}) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2g(\mathfrak{a})}.$$

If σ acting on $J_0(N)(\mathbb{C})$ denotes complex conjugation, then $(\sigma - 1)^2 = 2 - 2\sigma$ kills all 2-torsion, and $\sigma - 1$ itself kills all real points. So within the scheme $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}^{\infty}, 2](\mathbb{C})$, applying $\sigma - 1$ once kills all real points and maps all points to real points, and so

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}^{\infty}, 2](\mathbb{R}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}^{\infty}, 2](\mathbb{C}) = g(\mathfrak{a}).$$

But $J_0(N)[2](\mathbb{R})$ breaks up into its \mathfrak{a}^{∞} pieces, $J_0(N)[2](\mathbb{R}) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a}} J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}^{\infty}, 2](\mathbb{R})$. Taking dimensions on both sides gives

$$g = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}^{\infty}, 2](\mathbb{R}) \geq \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} g(\mathfrak{a}) = g,$$

so equality must hold everywhere.

Since all points of $A = J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}]$ are real, we find that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} A \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}^{\infty}, 2](\mathbb{R}) = g(\mathfrak{a}) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}).$$

Dividing both sides by $[k_{\mathfrak{a}} : \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}] = \text{rank}(W(k_{\mathfrak{a}})/\mathbb{Z}_2)$, we have the result. \square

Returning to the proof of Proposition 1.3, we therefore know that

$$\dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2) = \dim_{W(k_{\mathfrak{a}})} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}} \geq 2 \cdot \text{multiplicity of } \bar{\rho}.$$

□

For reference, we recall a theorem of Wiles that describes the characteristic 0 representation ρ restricted to the decomposition group at 2:

Theorem 1.5 ([Wil88, Theorem 2]). *If ρ_f is an ordinary 2-adic representation corresponding to a weight 2 level $\Gamma_0(N)$ form f , then $\rho_f|_{D_2}$, the restriction of ρ_f to the decomposition group at a prime above 2, is of the shape*

$$\rho|_{D_2} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \chi\lambda^{-1} & * \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

for λ the unramified character $G_{\mathbb{Q}_2} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_2^\times$ taking Frob_2 to the unit root of $X^2 - a_2X + 2$, and χ is the 2-adic cyclotomic character.

2. $N \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$

2.1. $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$

Theorem 2.1. *If $N \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, and \mathfrak{m} is a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}(N)$ that is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$ -dihedral, then $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 4$.*

Proof. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$ and denote the fixed field of the kernel of $\bar{\rho}$ as L . In this K , the prime (2) factors as $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{q}$ for distinct \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{q} , and $\bar{\rho}$ must be unramified at 2 so Frob_2 , as a conjugacy class containing $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}$, must lie in $\text{Gal}(L/K)$. Moreover, $\bar{\rho}$ must be semisimple at 2, because if $\bar{\rho} = \text{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\chi}$ for $\bar{\chi}$ a character of the unramified extension $\text{Gal}(L/K)$, then $\bar{\rho}|_{\text{Gal}(L/K)} = \bar{\chi} \oplus \bar{\chi}^g$ for some fixed $g \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \text{Gal}(L/K)$ and $\bar{\chi}^g(h) = \chi(hgh^{-1})$ for $h \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$.

Theorem 1.5 and this semisimplicity statement tell us that the decomposition group at 2 in the mod 2 representation looks like $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$, because the cyclotomic character is always 1 mod 2.

So we find that the polynomial $\det(x \text{Id}_2 - \bar{\rho})$ has coefficients that are unramified at 2, and a_2 is a root of $P(x) := \det(x \text{Id}_2 - \bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_2))$. There are thus three cases: either P has no roots already in $k := \mathbb{T}^{\text{an}}/\mathfrak{m}$, or it has distinct roots lying in k , or it has a repeated root.

If P has no roots in k , then $[k_{\mathfrak{a}} : k] \geq 2$ for \mathfrak{a} the extension of \mathfrak{m} , so Propositions 1.2 and 1.3 say that the dimension of the space is at least

$$[k_{\mathfrak{a}} : \mathbb{F}_2] \dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2) \geq [k_{\mathfrak{a}} : k] \dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2) \geq 2 \cdot 2 = 4.$$

If P has distinct roots in k , then there are at least 2 extensions of \mathfrak{m} to \mathbb{T}_2 . Namely, if x_1 and x_2 are lifts of the roots of P to $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}}$, the two ideals $\mathfrak{a}_1 = (\mathfrak{m}, T_2 - x_1)$ and $\mathfrak{a}_2 = (\mathfrak{m}, T_2 - x_2)$ are two maximal ideals. So in this case the dimension is at least

$$[k_{\mathfrak{a}_1} : \mathbb{F}_2] \dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}_1}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}_1}/(2) + [k_{\mathfrak{a}_2} : \mathbb{F}_2] \dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}_2}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}_2}/(2) \geq \dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}_1}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}_1}/(2) + \dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}_2}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}_2}/(2) \geq 2 + 2 = 4.$$

Finally, suppose P has a double root. There is at least one maximal ideal \mathfrak{a} of \mathbb{T}_2 above \mathfrak{m} . Because we know that $\bar{\rho}|_{D_2}$ is semisimple with determinant 1, the double root must be 1 and $\bar{\rho}|_{D_2}$ is

trivial. Then Wiese proves that since all dihedral representations arise from Katz weight 1 modular forms (as Wiese proves in [Wie04]), the multiplicity of $\bar{\rho}$ in A is 2 [Wie07, Corollary 4.5]. In this case the dimension is at least

$$[k_{\mathfrak{a}} : \mathbb{F}_2] \dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2) \geq \dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}_1}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}_1}/(2) \geq 2 \cdot \text{multiplicity of } \bar{\rho} \geq 4.$$

□

2.2. $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$

Theorem 2.2. *If $N \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, and \mathfrak{m} is a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}(N)$ that is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$ -dihedral, then $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 2^e$ where $2^e = |\text{Cl}(K)[2^\infty]|$.*

Proof. We first recall a well-known proposition of genus theory:

Proposition 2.3. *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ be an imaginary quadratic field with $d > 0$ squarefree.*

- (a) *The \mathbb{F}_2 -dimension of the 2-torsion of the class group of K is one less than the number of primes dividing the discriminant $\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$.*
- (b) *If $d \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ is a prime, then the 2-part of the class group of K is cyclic of order 2.*
- (c) *If $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ is a prime, then the 2-part of the class group of K is cyclic of order at least 4.*

A proof of the final two parts can be found as [CE05, Proposition 4.1].

We return to the case $N \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Proposition 2.3 tells us that the 2-part of the class group is cyclic so there is an unramified $\mathbb{Z}/(2^e)$ -extension L'/K , say $\text{Gal}(L'/K) = \langle g \rangle$ with $g^{2^e} = \text{Id}$. If we as before denote by L the fixed field of the kernel of $\bar{\rho}$, and we let $M = L \cdot L'$, the character $\bar{\chi}$ of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ whose induction equals $\bar{\rho}$, and which is nontrivial by definition of a dihedral ideal, can be extended to a character $\bar{\chi}' : \text{Gal}(M/K) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_2}[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)^\times$ given by mapping g to x . This can be done because $L \cap L' = K$, because $[L : K]$ is odd and $[L' : K]$ is a power of 2. Then the induction of $\bar{\chi}$ to $\bar{\rho}$ also extends from $\bar{\chi}'$ to $\bar{\rho}' : \text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}_2}[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1))$. We will prove this representation is modular by describing a q -expansion with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_2}[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)$ whose reduction mod 2 gives the desired Frobenius traces as coefficients, and proving that the expansion is modular via the embeddings of this coefficient ring into \mathbb{C} . Then by the q -expansion principle we will have the result.

Let us suppose we have chosen a primitive 2^e th root of unity $\eta := \zeta_{2^e}$ inside $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. We may lift $\bar{\chi}$ to a character $\chi : \text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^{\text{ur}}$. We may therefore also lift $\bar{\chi}'$ to a character $\chi' : \text{Gal}(M/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^{\text{ur}}[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)$. We may tensor with \mathbb{Q}_2 , and identifying $\mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)$ with $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{e-1} \mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}(\zeta_{2^i})$ by sending x to $\eta^{2^{e-i}}$ gives us $e + 1$ representations

$$\chi_i : \text{Gal}(M/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}(\zeta_{2^i})^\times \text{ and } \rho_i = \text{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}} \chi_i : \text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}(\zeta_{2^i})).$$

These are all finite image odd dihedral representations whose coefficients are algebraic and therefore may be compatibly embedded in \mathbb{C} . All twists of ρ_i are dihedral or nontrivial cyclic, and therefore all have analytic L -functions. So by the converse theorem of Weil and Langlands (see [Ser77, Theorem 1], for instance), each ρ_i corresponds to a weight 1 eigenform f_i with level equal to the conductor of the representation and nebentypus equal to its determinant. Here, the conductor is $4N$ and the nebentypus is the nontrivial character of $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$. This nebentypus, because K has discriminant $4N$, is the character $\lambda_{4N} := \lambda_4 \lambda_N$ where λ_4 and λ_N are the nontrivial order 2

characters of $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$; $\lambda_{4N}(p) = 1$ if and only if Frob_p is the identity in $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ if and only if p splits in K .

Each f_i is a simultaneous eigenvector for the entirety of the weight 1 Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}(4N)$, with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}(\zeta_{2^e})$, so by returning to $\mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)$ we obtain a weight 1 form f with coefficients in this ring, which is therefore an eigenform by multiplicity 1 results. (Remember that we defined $S_1(\Gamma_0(4N), \mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1))$ to equal $S_1(\Gamma_0(4N), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)$, so this eigenform is only a formal linear combination of holomorphic weight 1 forms with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)$, and may be better understood as corresponding to a ring map $\mathbb{T}(4N) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)$.) We can easily check that the traces of the representation $\rho' = \text{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}} \chi' : \text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1))$ correspond to the coefficients of f , and so since χ' and therefore ρ' are defined over $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\text{ur}}[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)$, f also has coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\text{ur}}[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)$.

Now we take the characteristic 0 form f and multiply by a modular form of weight 1, level $\Gamma_1(4N)$ and nebentypus χ_{4N} whose q -expansion is congruent to 1 mod 2. That will give us a weight 2 level $\Gamma_0(4N)$ form whose mod 2 reduction is equal to the q -expansion of a form coming from $\bar{\rho}'$. We find such a form:

Lemma 2.4. *The q -expansion $\sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{m^2 + Nn^2}$ describes a (non-cuspidal) modular form g in $M_1(\Gamma_0(4N), \mathbb{Z}_2, \lambda_{4N})$.*

Proof. This follows from properties of the Jacobi theta function $\vartheta(\tau) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{k^2}$, but we give a

different proof. Let δ range over all characters of the class group H of K , or equivalently over all unramified characters of $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/K)$. By Weil-Langlands, $\text{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}} \delta$ as a representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ gives us a weight 1 modular form. The determinant of this induction is always equal to $\chi_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$, the nontrivial character of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$, and the conductor is always equal to $4N$. For two of the characters, δ trivial and δ the nontrivial character of $\text{Gal}(K(i)/K)$, $\text{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}} \delta$ is reducible and the weight 1 modular forms are the Eisenstein series

$$E^{\chi_{4N}, 1}(q) = L(\chi_{4N}, 0)/2 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} q^m \sum_{\substack{d \text{ odd}, \\ d|m}} (-1)^{(d-1)/2} \left(\frac{d}{N}\right)$$

and

$$E^{\chi_N, \chi_4}(q) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} q^m \sum_{\substack{d \text{ odd}, \\ de=m}} (-1)^{(d-1)/2} \left(\frac{e}{N}\right)$$

respectively. The constant term of the former is, by the class number formula, equal to $h(-N)/2$ where $h(-N) = |\text{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N}))|$ is the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$. Otherwise, the forms are cusp forms f_δ with no constant term.

Lemma 2.5. *The q -expansion of f_δ is given by $f_\delta = \sum_{m \geq 1} q^m \sum_{I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K: N(I)=m} \delta(I)$.*

Proof. If p is a prime inert in K , then there is no I with $N(I) = p$. In the representation $\text{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}} \delta$, Frob_p is antidiagonal, so it has trace 0, which is therefore the Hecke eigenvalue. So for p inert in K , the coefficient is correct. If $p = \mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2$ for distinct primes \mathfrak{p}_1 and \mathfrak{p}_2 of K , then $\sum_{I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K: N(I)=p} \delta(I) = \delta(\mathfrak{p}_1) + \delta(\mathfrak{p}_2)$, and the trace of Frob_p in the representation is also $\delta(\mathfrak{p}_1) + \delta(\mathfrak{p}_2)$ because the restriction of $\text{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}} \delta$ to G_K is diagonal with characters δ and δ^g for g a lift of the nontrivial element of $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$

and $\delta^g(h)$ meaning $\delta(ghg^{-1})$. Since all primes over p are conjugate, $\delta^g(\mathfrak{p}_1) = \delta(\mathfrak{p}_2)$ and so the trace of Frob_p is $\delta(\mathfrak{p}_1) + \delta(\mathfrak{p}_2)$ as we needed.

If $p = N$, the ideal over N is principal, and so splits completely in M/K ; on inertia invariants, therefore, its Frobenius is trivial and the coefficient of q^N is 1, as is necessary since $\delta((\sqrt{-N})) = 1$ because δ is a character of the class group. And if $p = 2$, the ideal \mathfrak{p} over 2 has order 2 in the class group. The inertia subgroup for some prime over 2 in M is generated by some lift of the nontrivial element of $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$, and the decomposition group is the product of this group with the subgroup of $\text{Gal}(M/K)$ corresponding to the class of \mathfrak{p} . And so on inertia invariants, the eigenvalue of the decomposition group is the eigenvalue of $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, which is $\delta(\mathfrak{p})$. So the coefficient for q^2 is correct as well.

Finally, we can check using multiplicativity of both Hecke operators and the norm map, as well as the formula for the Hecke operators T_{p^k} , that the coefficients of q^m for composite m are as described also. \square

We compute the sum $\sum_{\delta} f_{\delta}$ over all characters δ , cusp forms with their multiplicity (stemming from δ and δ^{-1} giving the same form) and the Eisenstein series once. By independence of characters, for each ideal I where $\delta(I) = 1$ for all δ , that is I is in the identity of the class group, the corresponding term in the sum is $h(-N)$, and for each other nonzero ideal I , the term vanishes in the sum. The sum is thus

$$\begin{aligned} L(\chi_{4N}, 0)/2 + h(-N) \sum_{0 \neq I = (\alpha)} q^{N(I)} &= h(-N)/2 + \frac{h(-N)}{|\mathcal{O}_K^{\times}|} \sum_{0 \neq \alpha = a + b\sqrt{-N} \in \mathcal{O}_K} q^{N(\alpha)} \\ &= \frac{h(-N)}{2} \left(1 + \sum_{(0,0) \neq (a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{a^2 + Nb^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by $h(-N)/2$ gives the required form, which we call g . \square

As an aside, there is a form (not an eigenform) of lower level $\Gamma_1(N)$ which lifts the Hasse invariant. It is a linear combination of the Eisenstein series $E^{\epsilon, 1}(q)$ for ϵ ranging over all $2^{v_2(N)}$ -order characters of $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}^{\times}$, and has the correct nebentypus when reduced because all 2-power roots of unity are 1 mod the maximal ideal over 2 in $\mathbb{Z}[\eta]$. This form is described by MathOverflow user Electric Penguin in [hp], and we could use it instead of g in what follows, but we will not use this form further.

So we take fg and reduce the coefficients mod the maximal ideal over 2 and get a form $h \in S_2(\Gamma_0(4N), \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1))$, and hence a corresponding \mathbb{Z}_2 -module map $\mathbb{T}(4N) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)$, if $\mathbb{T}(4N)$ now represents the Hecke algebra acting on weight 2 forms of level $\Gamma_0(4N)$. We know that h remains an eigenform because for odd primes, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ so increasing the weight doesn't change the Hecke action on the coefficients, and for 2 increasing the weight does not change the action of U_2 on q -expansions. Because h is an eigenform, we get a ring homomorphism $\overline{\gamma} : \mathbb{T}(4N) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)$. The image of this map tensored with $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$ is the entirety of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)$: we have prime ideals of K in all elements of the class group, so if μ is some nonzero element in the image of $\overline{\gamma}$ not equal to 1, then both $\mu x + \mu^{-1}x^{-1}$ and $\mu x^{-1} + \mu^{-1}x$ are in the image of $\overline{\gamma}$, so that

$$\mu^{-1}(\mu x^{-1} + \mu^{-1}x) + \mu(\mu x + \mu^{-1}x^{-1}) = (\mu^2 + \mu^{-2})x$$

is in the $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$ vector space generated by the image of $\overline{\gamma}$, and hence x is also. And since $\overline{\gamma}$ is a ring homomorphism, all powers of x lie in the filled out image.

As described in [CE09, Section 3.3], we may find a representation

$$G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)),$$

in the following way: we let \mathfrak{a}' denote the kernel of $\mathbb{T}(4N) \xrightarrow{\bar{\gamma}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1) \xrightarrow{x \mapsto 1} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$, and we let $\mathbb{T}(4N)_{\mathfrak{a}'}$ denote the completion of $\mathbb{T}(4N)$ with respect to that ideal. The Galois action on $J_0(4N)[\mathfrak{a}']$ breaks into isomorphic 2-dimensional representations $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}(4N)/\mathfrak{a}')$, and Carayol constructs a lift $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}(4N)_{\mathfrak{a}'})$ [Car94, Theorem 3]. We pushforward this map along $\mathbb{T}(4N)_{\mathfrak{a}'} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)$ which also has full image to get a representation $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1))$. It's clear that this representation is isomorphic to $\bar{\rho}' = \mathrm{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\chi}'$ by looking at traces. So $\bar{\rho}'$ is modular of level $\Gamma_0(4N)$.

We know that h is an eigenform for U_2 , and the operator U_2 lowers the level from $4N$ to $2N$. So $h = U_2 h$ is an eigenform of level $\Gamma_0(2N)$. We recall the level lowering theorem of Calegari and Emerton; here A is an Artinian local ring of residue field k of characteristic 2.

Theorem 2.6 ([CE09, Theorem 3.14]). *If $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(A)$ is a modular Galois representation of level $\Gamma_0(2N)$, such that*

1. $\bar{\rho}$ is (absolutely) irreducible,
2. $\bar{\rho}$ is ordinary and ramified at 2, and
3. ρ is finite flat at 2,

then ρ arises from an A -valued Hecke eigenform of level N .

Our $\bar{\rho}'$, pushed forward through the map $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$ and restricting to its true image, is irreducible, ordinary and ramified. All that remains in order to apply the theorem is to check that $\bar{\rho}'$ is finite flat at 2. It's enough to show this after restricting to $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_2/\mathbb{Q}_2^{\mathrm{ur}})$. But the representation has only degree two ramification, so the image of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_2/\mathbb{Q}_2^{\mathrm{ur}})$ is order 2. And furthermore, it's easy to see that it arises as the generic fiber of $D^{\oplus 2^e}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathrm{ur}}$, where D is the nontrivial extension of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by μ_2 discussed in [Maz77, Proposition 4.2], represented for example by $\mathbb{Z}_2[x, y]/(x^2 - x, y^2 + 2x - 1)$ with comultiplication

$$x \rightarrow x_1 + x_2 - 2x_1x_2 \text{ and } y \rightarrow y_1y_2 - 2x_1x_2y_1y_2.$$

So we may apply Theorem 2.6, and deduce that our modular form h is a modular form of level N .

We have therefore constructed a surjective map $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[x]/(x^{2^e} - 1)$, so the $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$ -dimension of $S_2(\Gamma_0(N), \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ must be at least 2^e . Note that Proposition 2.3 shows that this dimension is at least 4. \square

2.3. \mathfrak{m} is reducible

Theorem 2.7. *If $N \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, and \mathfrak{m} is a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}_2^{\mathrm{an}}(N)$ for which $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is reducible, then $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq \frac{h(-N)^{\mathrm{even}} - 2}{2}$.*

Proof. We know that $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathbb{T}^{\mathrm{an}}$ is generated by T_{ℓ} and 2 for all primes $\ell \nmid 2N$. In [CE05, Corollary 4.9] and the discussion after Proposition 4.11, Calegari and Emerton prove that $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathrm{an}}/(2)$ must be isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^{2^e - 1})$, where $2^e = h(-N)^{\mathrm{even}}$. They accomplish this by setting up a deformation problem, namely deformations of $(\overline{V}, \overline{L}, \overline{\rho})$ where $\overline{\rho}$ is the mod 2 representation $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \phi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$,

ϕ is the additive character $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ that arises as the nontrivial character of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q})$, and \bar{L} is a line in \bar{V} not fixed by $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. With the conditions set on the deformation, they find that it is representable by some \mathbb{Z}_2 -algebra R .

Next, they prove an $R = \mathbb{T}$ -type theorem, namely that $R = \mathbb{T}$ where \mathbb{T} is the completion at the Eisenstein ideal of the Hecke algebra acting on all modular forms of level $\Gamma_0(N)$, including the Eisenstein series. Finally they study $R/2$ which represents the deformation functor to characteristic 2 rings, and show that if ρ^{univ} is the universal deformation, then ρ^{univ} factors through the largest unramified 2-extension of K . This combined with their fact that a map $R \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^n)$ can be surjective if and only if $n \leq 2^{e-1}$ proves that $R/2 = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^{2^{e-1}})$.

Therefore, the same holds for the Eisenstein Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}/2$. So we know that \mathbb{T} is a free \mathbb{Z}_2 -module of rank $\frac{h(-N)^{\text{even}}}{2}$. But we may split off a one-dimensional subspace corresponding to the Eisenstein series, so that the cuspidal Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}}$ has rank one less, and therefore has rank $\frac{h(-N)^{\text{even}}}{2} - 1$. (In fact, the full Hecke algebra is determined also, because in any reducible mod 2 representation, T_2 and U_N must both map to 1, as U_N is unipotent and T_2 maps to the image of Frobenius under a mod 2 character unramified at every prime not equal to 2. But there are no nontrivial such characters.) And therefore the dimension of the space $S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the dimension of the space $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}}, \bar{\mathbb{F}}_2)$, which is dimension $\frac{h(-N)^{\text{even}}}{2} - 1$, as desired. \square

[KM19] partially prove this theorem using [CE05], doing the case of $N \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$. As we see, the method works equally well for $N \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$. The only difference between the two cases is that [CE05] prove that for $N \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$, the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}}$ is a discrete valuation ring, and therefore a domain, but that plays no role here.

3. $N \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$

3.1. $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$

Theorem 3.1. *If $N \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, and \mathfrak{m} is a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}(N)$ that is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$ -dihedral, then $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 4$.*

Proof. Because 2 is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$, we know that $\bar{\rho}|_{D_2}$ is of size 2. Then the image of $\bar{\rho}$ is a subgroup of a 2-Sylow subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_2)$, and therefore is isomorphic to an upper-triangular idempotent representation $\bar{\rho}|_{D_2} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. If we compare to Theorem 1.5, we find that in an eigenform for all T_p including T_2 that corresponds to this representation, $a_2 = 1$. So the three methods of section 2.1 do not work.

Recall Proposition 1.3 that says if the representation $\bar{\rho}$ is totally real, then $\dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2) \geq 2 \cdot \text{multiplicity of } \bar{\rho}$, so if this multiplicity is at least 2 inside $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}]$ for some \mathfrak{a} containing \mathfrak{m} , we're done. So we assume that $\bar{\rho}$ occurs once in every $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}]$. However, we know by [Wie07, Theorem 4.4] that since $\bar{\rho}$ comes from a Katz modular form of weight 1 and level N , and the multiplicity of $\bar{\rho}$ on $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{a}]$ is 1, that the multiplicity of $\bar{\rho}$ in $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}]$ is 2. So by Propositions 1.2 and 1.3, we know the dimension of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}/(2)$ has dimension at least twice 2, or dimension 4, and so $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 4$ as required. \square

3.2. $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$

Theorem 3.2. *If $N \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, and \mathfrak{m} is a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}(N)$ that is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$ -dihedral, then $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 2$.*

This follows in a similar way to Theorem 2.2. Proposition 2.3 proves that the 2 part of the class group of K is order 2, so applying the results of section 2.2 proves the theorem in this case. The only difficulties are in verifying the conditions of Theorem 2.6; that is, $\bar{\rho}$ is absolutely irreducible, ordinary, and ramified, and ρ itself is finite flat at 2. It's clear that the first three conditions hold, and the final condition holds because $\mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}(\sqrt{-N}) = \mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{ur}}(i)$ even though $N \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, as $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{N}) = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{5})$ is unramified over \mathbb{Q}_2 . So the group scheme in this case is the same as the group scheme in section 2.2, and we have verified all necessary conditions.

4. $N \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

4.1. $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$

Theorem 4.1. *If $N \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, and \mathfrak{m} is a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}(N)$ that is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N})$ -dihedral, then $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 2$.*

Proof. We let \mathfrak{a} be a prime of \mathbb{T}_2 containing \mathfrak{m} . Then again recalling Proposition 1.3, since K and therefore $\bar{\rho}$ are totally real, we calculate that the dimension is at least

$$\dim_{k_{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}/(2) \geq 2 \cdot \text{multiplicity of } \bar{\rho} \geq 2$$

as required. □

4.2. $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$

Theorem 4.2. *If $N \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, and \mathfrak{m} is a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}(N)$ that is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$ -dihedral, then $\dim S_2(N)_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 4$.*

Proof. This was shown in [KM19, Proposition 14] using essentially the same method as we use in sections 2.2 and 3.2. The only differences are that K/\mathbb{Q} is unramified at 2 so the Artin conductor of $\bar{\rho}'$ is N , not $4N$, so no level-lowering is required; and that we obtain a second eigenspace from our modular form f coming from the reduction of f^2 . □

5. The effect of U_N

In none of our proofs did we ever exploit the fact that U_N is not defined to be in \mathbb{T}_2^{an} as we did with T_2 , and the following gives an explanation why.

Lemma 5.1. *There is an inclusion $U_N \in \mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}$, so $\mathbb{T}_2 = \mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}}[T_2]$.*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{T}_2^{\text{an}} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}}$, it suffices to prove that $U_N \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}}$ for each maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Let

$$\bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}}/\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2)$$

denote the residual representation associated to \mathfrak{m} . If $\bar{\rho}$ is not irreducible, then it is Eisenstein. The Eisenstein ideal $\mathfrak{J} \subseteq \mathbb{T}_2$ is generated by $1 + \ell - T_{\ell}$ for $\ell \neq N$ and by $U_N - 1$. Let $\mathfrak{a} = (2, \mathfrak{J})$ denote the corresponding maximal ideal of \mathbb{T}_2 . By [Maz77, Proposition 17.1], the ideal \mathfrak{a} is actually generated by $\eta_{\ell} := 1 + \ell - T_{\ell}$ for a suitable good prime $\ell \neq 2, N$. But this implies that $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}} = \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and that U_N (and T_2) lie in $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{an}}$. Hence we assume that $\bar{\rho}$ is irreducible.

If $\bar{\rho}$ is irreducible but not absolutely irreducible, then its image would have to be cyclic of degree prime to 2. Since the image of inertia at N is unipotent it has order dividing 2. Thus this would force $\bar{\rho}$ to be unramified at N . There are no nontrivial odd cyclic extensions of \mathbb{Q} ramified only at 2, and thus this does not occur, and we may assume that $\bar{\rho}$ is absolutely irreducible.

Tate proved in [Tat94] the following theorem:

Theorem 5.2 (Tate). *Let G be the Galois group of a finite extension K/\mathbb{Q} which is unramified at every odd prime. Suppose there is an embedding $\rho : G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(k)$, where k is a finite field of characteristic 2. Then $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2})$ and $\mathrm{Tr} \rho(\sigma) = 0$ for each $\sigma \in G$.*

If $\bar{\rho}$ is unramified at N , then $\det \bar{\rho}$ is a character of odd order unramified outside 2, which by Kronecker-Weber must be trivial, so $\bar{\rho}$ maps to $\mathrm{SL}_2(k)$. We may apply Theorem 5.2 to determine that $\bar{\rho}$ has unipotent image, which therefore is not absolutely irreducible. Hence we may assume that $\bar{\rho}$ is ramified at N . By local-global compatibility at N , the image of inertia at N of $\bar{\rho}$ is unipotent. Because it is nontrivial, it thus has image of order exactly 2.

Let $\{f_i\}$ denote the collection of \mathbb{Q}_2 -eigenforms such that $\bar{\rho}_{f_i} = \bar{\rho}$. Associated to each f_i is a field E_i generated by the eigenvalues T_l for $l \neq 2, N$. There exists a corresponding Galois representation:

$$\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathrm{an}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}) = \prod \mathrm{GL}_2(E_i).$$

The traces of ρ at Frobenius elements land inside $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathrm{an}}$, and hence the traces of all elements land inside $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathrm{an}}$. By a result of Carayol, there exists a choice of basis so that ρ is valued inside $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathrm{an}})$; that is, there exists a free $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathrm{an}}$ -module of rank 2 with a Galois action giving rise to ρ . Each representation ρ_{f_i} has the property that, locally at N , the image of inertia is unipotent. In particular, $\rho|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_N}}$ is tamely ramified. Let $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$ denote the Galois group of the maximal tamely ramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_N , where σ is a lift of Frobenius and τ a pro-generator of tame inertia, so $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} = \tau^N$. We claim that there exists a basis of $(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathrm{an}})^2$ such that

$$\bar{\rho}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_N}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note, first of all, that it is true modulo \mathfrak{m} by assumption (because $\bar{\rho}$ is ramified). Choose a lift $e_2 \in (\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathrm{an}})^2$ of a vector which is not fixed by $\bar{\rho}(\tau)$, and then let $e_1 = (\rho(\tau) - 1)e_2$. Since the reduction of e_1 and e_2 generate $(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathrm{an}}/\mathfrak{m})^2$, by Nakayama's lemma they generate $(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathrm{an}})^2$. Finally we have $(\rho(\tau) - 1)^2 = 0$ since $(\rho_{f_i}(\tau) - 1)^2 = 0$ for each i .

Now consider the image of σ . Writing

$$\rho(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathrm{an}}),$$

the condition that $\rho(\sigma)\rho(\tau) = \rho(\tau)^N\rho(\sigma)$ forces $c = 0$. But then if

$$\rho(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathrm{an}}),$$

then for every specialization ρ_{f_i} , the action of Frobenius on the unramified quotient is x . But for each ρ_{f_i} , the action of Frobenius on the unramified quotient is the image $U_N(f_i)$ of U_N . Hence this implies that $x = U_N$, and thus that $U_N \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathrm{an}}$. \square

6. Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Frank Calegari for the many useful conversations leading toward the proofs in sections 1 through 4, and from whom the proof of Lemma 5.1 was communicated.

References

- [ARS12] Amod Agashe, Kenneth A. Ribet, and William A. Stein. The modular degree, congruence primes, and multiplicity one. In *Number theory, analysis and geometry*, pages 19–49. Springer, New York, 2012.
- [BLR91] Nigel Boston, Hendrik W. Lenstra, Jr., and Kenneth A. Ribet. Quotients of group rings arising from two-dimensional representations. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 312(4):323–328, 1991.
- [Car94] Henri Carayol. Formes modulaires et représentations galoisiennes à valeurs dans un anneau local complet. In *p-adic monodromy and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture (Boston, MA, 1991)*, volume 165 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 213–237. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [CE05] Frank Calegari and Matthew Emerton. On the ramification of Hecke algebras at Eisenstein primes. *Invent. Math.*, 160(1):97–144, 2005.
- [CE09] Frank Calegari and Matthew Emerton. Elliptic curves of odd modular degree. *Israel J. Math.*, 169:417–444, 2009.
- [Edi92] Bas Edixhoven. The weight in Serre’s conjectures on modular forms. *Invent. Math.*, 109(3):563–594, 1992.
- [hp] Electric Penguin (<https://mathoverflow.net/users/85372/electric-penguin>). Lifting the hasse invariant mod 2. MathOverflow. URL:<https://mathoverflow.net/q/228596> (version: 2017-02-13).
- [KM19] Kiran Kedlaya and Anna Medvedovsky. Mod-2 dihedral galois representations of prime conductor. *The Open Book Series*, 2(1):325–342, jan 2019.
- [Maz77] B. Mazur. Modular curves and the Eisenstein ideal. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (47):33–186 (1978), 1977.
- [Mer96] Loïc Merel. L’accouplement de Weil entre le sous-groupe de Shimura et le sous-groupe cuspidal de $J_0(p)$. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 477:71–115, 1996.
- [Rib90] K. A. Ribet. On modular representations of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$ arising from modular forms. *Invent. Math.*, 100(2):431–476, 1990.
- [Ser77] J.-P. Serre. Modular forms of weight one and Galois representations. In *Algebraic number fields: L-functions and Galois properties (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Durham, Durham, 1975)*, pages 193–268, 1977.
- [Ser87] Jean-Pierre Serre. Sur les représentations modulaires de degré 2 de $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$. *Duke Math. J.*, 54(1):179–230, 1987.
- [Tat94] John Tate. The non-existence of certain Galois extensions of \mathbf{Q} unramified outside 2. In *Arithmetic geometry (Tempe, AZ, 1993)*, volume 174 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 153–156. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

- [Wie04] Gabor Wiese. Dihedral Galois representations and Katz modular forms. *Doc. Math.*, 9:123–133, 2004.
- [Wie07] Gabor Wiese. Multiplicities of Galois representations of weight one. *Algebra Number Theory*, 1(1):67–85, 2007. With an appendix by Niko Naumann.
- [Wil88] A. Wiles. On ordinary λ -adic representations associated to modular forms. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 94(3):529–574, 1988.

Journal Pre-proof