



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Number Theory

www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt

Quantitative versions of the joint distributions of Hecke eigenvalues

Hengcai Tang^a, Yingnan Wang^{b,*}^a School of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Institute of Modern Mathematics, Henan University, Kaifeng, Henan 475004, PR China^b College of Mathematics and Statistics, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518060, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 24 November 2015

Received in revised form 10 May 2016

Accepted 10 May 2016

Available online 19 July 2016

Communicated by David Goss

Keywords:

Holomorphic cusp forms

Maass cusp forms

Hecke eigenvalues

Joint distribution

Quantitative version

ABSTRACT

In 2009, Omar and Mazhouda proved that as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $\{\lambda_f(p^2) : f \in H_k\}$ and $\{\lambda_f(p^3) : f \in H_k\}$ are equidistributed with respect to some measures respectively, where H_k is the set of all the normalized primitive holomorphic cusp forms of weight k for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. In this paper, we obtain a quantitative version of Omar and Mazhouda's result. Moreover, we find out that $\{\lambda_f(p^4) : f \in H_k\}$ and $\{\lambda_f(p^r) - \lambda_f(p^{r-2}) : f \in H_k \text{ and } r \geq 2\}$ follow some nice distribution laws respectively as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and get quantitative versions of these distributions. In the context of Maass cusp forms, we establish analogous results.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let H_k be the set of normalized primitive holomorphic cusp forms of even integral weight k for the modular group $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Given any $f \in H_k$ and prime p , the

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: hctang@henu.edu.cn (H. Tang), ynwang@szu.edu.cn (Y. Wang).

distribution of the normalized Hecke eigenvalues $\lambda_f(p)$ is an interesting and difficult problem. The generalized Ramanujan conjecture for primitive holomorphic cusp forms implies that $|\lambda_f(p)| \leq 2$ for any $f \in H_k$ and prime p . This conjecture was proved by Deligne [4] in 1974.

Inspired by the Sato–Tate conjecture, Serre studied the asymptotic distribution of the Hecke eigenvalues $\lambda_f(p)$, as f is fixed and the primes p vary. In the 1960’s, Serre conjectured that for any $f \in H_k$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda_f(p)$, $p \leq x$, are equidistributed in $[-2, 2]$ with respect to the Sato–Tate measure

$$d\mu_\infty(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2}{4}} dx & \text{if } x \in [-2, 2], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which is also called the Sato–Tate conjecture. This conjecture was proved by Barnet-Lamb, Geraghty, Harris and Taylor [1] in 2011.

For a fixed prime p , as $k \rightarrow \infty$, the values of $\lambda_f(p)$, $f \in H_k$, also follow some nice distribution laws. Conrey, Duke and Farmer [3] and Serre [11] figured out that they are equidistributed with respect to the p -adic measure

$$d\mu_p(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{p+1}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{4-x^2}}{(p^{1/2}+p^{-1/2})^2-x^2} dx & \text{if } x \in [-2, 2], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Moreover, Murty and Sinha [8] proved that the rate of convergence to the above distribution is $O(\frac{\log p}{\log k})$. Lau and Wang [7] later generalized Murty and Sinha’s result to a joint distribution.

On the other hand, it is well-known that for any $m, n \geq 1$

$$\lambda_f(m)\lambda_f(n) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} \lambda_f\left(\frac{mn}{d^2}\right)$$

and so

$$\lambda_f(p^n) = X_n\left(\frac{\lambda_f(p)}{2}\right),$$

where X_n is the n th Chebychev polynomial of the second kind. One may naturally consider the distribution of $\{\lambda_f(p^n) : f \in H_k\}$ for some fixed prime p and as k goes to infinity. In this direction, Omar and Mazhouda [9] proved that $\{\lambda_f(p^2) : f \in H_k\}$ and $\{\lambda_f(p^3) : f \in H_k\}$ are equidistributed with respect to the measures

$$d\mu_{p,2}(x) = \begin{cases} m_{p,2}(x) dx & \text{if } x \in [-1, 3], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$d\mu_{p,3}(x) = \begin{cases} m_{p,3}(x) dx & \text{if } x \in [-4, 4], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

respectively. Here

$$m_{p,2}(x) = \frac{p+1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{(p^{1/2} + p^{-1/2})^2 - (x+1)} \sqrt{\frac{3-x}{1+x}}$$

and $m_{p,3}$ is given by [9, formula (3.1)] with

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(x) &= \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{3} \cos \frac{2\pi + \arccos \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{8}x}{3}, \quad \phi_2(x) = \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{3} \cos \frac{4\pi + \arccos \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{8}x}{3}, \\ \phi_3(x) &= \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{3} \cos \frac{\arccos \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{8}x}{3} \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in \left[-\frac{4\sqrt{6}}{9}, \frac{4\sqrt{6}}{9}\right]$. In fact, when $n < 5$, we can easily solve the following types of inequalities

$$a \leq X_n \left(\frac{\lambda_f(p)}{2} \right) \leq b \quad (2)$$

for some real numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then applying Lau and Wang's result, we obtain a quantitative version of Omar and Mazhouda's theorems. Furthermore, we also get a quantitative version of the joint distribution of $\{\lambda_f(p^4) : f \in H_k\}$.

Theorem 1. *Let $r = 2, 3, 4$. There exists a small constant $\delta > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large k ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|H_k|} \# \{f \in H_k : (\lambda_f(p_1^r), \dots, \lambda_f(p_N^r)) \in I_r\} \\ &= \int_{I_r} \prod_{n=1}^N d\mu_{p_n, r} + O\left(\frac{r^N \log(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_N)}{\log k}\right) \end{aligned}$$

holds uniformly for any integer $N \geq 1$ and distinct primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N satisfying

$$r^N \log(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_N) \leq \delta \log k,$$

and uniformly for

$$I_2 = \prod_{n=1}^N [a_{2,n}, b_{2,n}] \subset [-1, 3]^N, \quad I_3 = \prod_{n=1}^N [a_{3,n}, b_{3,n}] \subset [-4, 4]^N,$$

and

$$I_4 = \prod_{n=1}^N [a_{4,n}, b_{4,n}] \subset [-5/4, 5]^N.$$

Here, the intervals $[a_{2,n}, b_{2,n}]$, $[a_{3,n}, b_{3,n}]$ and $[a_{4,n}, b_{4,n}]$ are any subintervals of $[-1, 3]$, $[-4, 4]$ and $[-5/4, 5]$, respectively. Furthermore,

$$d\mu_{p,4}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x+\frac{5}{4}}} \left(m_{p,2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{x+\frac{5}{4}} \right) + m_{p,2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{x+\frac{5}{4}} \right) \right) dx & \text{if } x \in [-5/4, 5]; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

When $n \geq 5$, the n th Chebychev polynomial is complicated and it is not easy to solve the inequality (2). We cannot figure out the precise distributions of $\{\lambda_f(p^n) : f \in H_k\}$ for $n \geq 5$. However, we find some interesting relationships between $\lambda_f(p^n)$ and $\lambda_f(p^{n-2})$ for $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 2. *There exists a small constant $\delta > 0$ such that for any integer $r \geq 2$ and all sufficiently large k ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|H_k|} \# \{f \in H_k : (\lambda_f(p_1^r) - \lambda_f(p_1^{r-2}), \dots, \lambda_f(p_N^r) - \lambda_f(p_N^{r-2})) \in I\} \\ &= \int_I \prod_{n=1}^N d\mu_{p_n, r}^*(x) + O\left(\frac{rN \log(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_N)}{\log k}\right) \end{aligned}$$

holds uniformly for any integer $N \geq 1$ and distinct primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N satisfying

$$rN \log(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_N) \leq \delta \log k,$$

and uniformly for

$$I = \prod_{n=1}^N [a_n, b_n] \subset [-2, 2]^N.$$

Here, $d\mu_{p,r}^*(x)$ is defined by

$$d\mu_{p,r}^*(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{4-x^2}} \frac{p^r + p^{1-r} - (1+p)(x^2-2)}{(p^{r/2} + p^{-r/2})^2 - x^2} dx & \text{if } x \in [-2, 2] \text{ and } r \geq 3 \text{ is odd;} \\ \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{4-x^2}} \frac{p^{r/2} + p^{1-r/2} - (1+p)x}{(p^{r/4} + p^{-r/4})^2 - 2(1+x)} dx & \text{if } x \in [-2, 2] \text{ and } r \geq 2 \text{ is even;} \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| > 2. \end{cases}$$

In the context of Maass forms, we have similar results. Let \mathcal{C} denote the space consisting of all the Maass cusp forms for $\Gamma = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\{u_j : j \geq 0\}$ be a complete

orthonormal basis for \mathcal{C} consisting of common eigenfunctions of the Hecke operators T_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and the Laplacian $\Delta = -y^2((\partial/\partial x)^2 + (\partial/\partial y)^2)$ with

$$T_n u_j = \lambda_j(n) u_j \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta u_j = (1/4 + t_j^2) u_j,$$

where u_0 is a constant function. It is well-known that $0 < t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots$ and (Weyl's law, see [5])

$$r(T) = \#\{j : 0 < t_j \leq T\} = \frac{1}{12} T^2 + O(T \log T).$$

Moreover, we have $\lambda_j(n) \in \mathbb{R}$ and the generalized Ramanujan conjecture for Maass forms asserts that

$$|\lambda_j(p)| \leq 2$$

for any prime p . Unfortunately, this conjecture is still open and the best result

$$|\lambda_j(p)| \leq p^{7/64} + p^{-7/64} \quad \text{for any prime } p \quad (3)$$

was obtained by Kim and Sarnak [6].

Let

$$x_j = \{(\lambda_j(2), \lambda_j(3), \lambda_j(5), \dots)\}.$$

Then $x_j \in \prod_p [-p^{7/64} - p^{-7/64}, p^{7/64} + p^{-7/64}]$. In [10], Sarnak pointed out that $\{x_j\}$, $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ is equidistributed with respect to $\prod_p d\mu_p(x)$. Inspired by Murty and Sinha [8], Lau and Wang [7] obtained a quantitative version of Sarnak's result.

It is well-known that for any $m, n \geq 1$

$$\lambda_j(m) \lambda_j(n) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} \lambda_j\left(\frac{mn}{d^2}\right) \quad (4)$$

and

$$\lambda_j(p^n) = X_n \left(\frac{\lambda_j(p)}{2} \right),$$

where X_n is the n th Chebychev polynomial of the second kind. We consider the analogues of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 and obtain the following two theorems.

Theorem 3. *Let $r = 2, 3, 4$. There exists a small constant $\delta > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large T ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{r(T)} \# \{0 < t_j \leq T : (\lambda_j(p_1^r), \dots, \lambda_j(p_N^r)) \in I_r\} \\ &= \int_{I_r} \prod_{n=1}^N d\mu_{p_n}^m(x) + O\left(\frac{r^N \log(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_N)}{\log T}\right) \end{aligned}$$

holds uniformly for any integer $N \geq 1$ and distinct primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N satisfying

$$r^N \log(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_N) \leq \delta \log T,$$

and uniformly for

$$I_2 = \prod_{n=1}^N [a_{2,n}, b_{2,n}] \subset [-1, 3]^N, \quad I_3 = \prod_{n=1}^N [a_{3,n}, b_{3,n}] \subset [-4, 4]^N,$$

and

$$I_4 = \prod_{n=1}^N [a_{4,n}, b_{4,n}] \subset [-5/4, 5]^N.$$

Theorem 4. *There exists a small constant $\delta > 0$ such that for any integer $r \geq 2$ and all sufficiently large T ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{r(T)} \# \{0 < t_j \leq T : (\lambda_j(p_1^r) - \lambda_j(p_1^{r-2}), \dots, \lambda_j(p_N^r) - \lambda_j(p_N^{r-2})) \in I\} \\ &= \int_I \prod_{n=1}^N d\mu_{p_n, r}^*(x) + O\left(\frac{r^N \log(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_N)}{\log T}\right) \end{aligned}$$

holds uniformly for any integer $N \geq 1$ and distinct primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N satisfying

$$r^N \log(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_N) \leq \delta \log T,$$

and uniformly for

$$I = \prod_{n=1}^N [a_n, b_n] \subset [-2, 2]^N.$$

We shall omit the proofs of [Theorem 1](#) and [Theorem 2](#) because they are very similar to the proofs of [Theorem 3](#) and [Theorem 4](#) respectively.

2. Proof of Theorem 3

By (4), we have

$$\lambda_j(p^2) = \lambda_j^2(p) - 1.$$

Then $\lambda_j(p_n^2) \in [a_{2,n}, b_{2,n}] \subset [-1, 3]$ is equivalent to

$$\lambda_j(p_n) \in U_{2,n} = \left[\sqrt{a_{2,n} + 1}, \sqrt{b_{2,n} + 1} \right] \cup \left[-\sqrt{b_{2,n} + 1}, -\sqrt{a_{2,n} + 1} \right].$$

For $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$, put

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\sqrt{a_{2,n} + 1}, \sqrt{b_{2,n} + 1} \right] &= 1 \times \left[\sqrt{a_{2,n} + 1}, \sqrt{b_{2,n} + 1} \right], \\ \left[-\sqrt{b_{2,n} + 1}, -\sqrt{a_{2,n} + 1} \right] &= -1 \times \left[\sqrt{a_{2,n} + 1}, \sqrt{b_{2,n} + 1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$U_2 = \bigcup_{n=1}^N \bigcup_{\epsilon_n = \pm 1} \prod_{n=1}^N \epsilon_n \times \left[\sqrt{a_{2,n} + 1}, \sqrt{b_{2,n} + 1} \right].$$

Then $(\lambda_j(p_1^2), \dots, \lambda_j(p_N^2)) \in I_2$ is equivalent to $(\lambda_j(p_1), \dots, \lambda_j(p_N)) \in U_2$. Hence, by [7, Theorem 1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{r(T)} \# \{0 < t_j \leq T : (\lambda_j(p_1^2), \dots, \lambda_j(p_N^2)) \in I_2\} \\ &= \frac{1}{r(T)} \# \{0 < t_j \leq T : (\lambda_j(p_1), \dots, \lambda_j(p_N)) \in U_2\} \\ &= \int \prod_{n=1}^N d\mu_{p_n}(x) + O\left(\frac{2^N \log(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_N)}{\log T}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Making a change of variable $x^2 - 1 \rightarrow t$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{r(T)} \# \{0 < t_j \leq T : (\lambda_j(p_1^2), \dots, \lambda_j(p_N^2)) \in I_2\} \\ &= \int \prod_{n=1}^N d\mu_{p_n,2}(x) + O\left(\frac{2^N \log(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_N)}{\log k}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\lambda_j(p^3) = \lambda_j^3(p) - 2\lambda_j(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_j(p^4) = \lambda_j^2(p^2) - \lambda_j(p^2) - 1,$$

we can prove Theorem 3 for $r = 3, 4$ similarly. \square

3. Preparations for Theorem 4

To begin with, we cite some notation and results from [2,7,12] which will be used to prove Theorem 4. Let $\varphi_{u,v} : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the normalized characteristic functions defined as

$$\varphi_{u,v}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u < x - n < v \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } u - x \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ or if } v - x \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $u < v < u + 1$. For our purpose, we take $0 \leq u < v \leq 1/2$ and define

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{u,v}(x) = \varphi_{u,v}(x) + \varphi_{-v,-u}(x) \in [0, 1]$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, since the two intervals (u, v) and $(-v, -u)$ do not overlap in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . Furthermore, Barton, Montgomery and Vaaler [2, §2] obtained

$$\varphi_{u,v}(x) = (v - u) + \psi(u - x) + \psi(x - v)$$

with $\psi(x) = x - [x] - 1/2$ for $x \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and $\psi(x) = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let M be a positive integer to be determined later. Define

$$k_M(x) = \sum_{|\ell| \leq M} \left(1 - \frac{|\ell|}{M+1}\right) e(\ell x) = \frac{1}{M+1} \left(\frac{\sin \pi(M+1)x}{\sin \pi x} \right)^2 \quad (5)$$

and

$$j_M(x) = \sum_{|\ell| \leq M} \hat{J}\left(\frac{\ell}{M+1}\right) e(\ell x),$$

where

$$\hat{J}(t) = \begin{cases} \pi t(1 - |t|) \cot \pi t + |t|, & \text{if } 0 < |t| < 1; \\ 1, & \text{if } t = 0. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Furthermore, define

$$\tilde{\beta}_{u,v}(x) = (2M+2)^{-1} (k_M(x-u) + k_M(x-v) + k_M(x+u) + k_M(x+v))$$

and

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{u,v}(x) = \varphi_{u,v} * j_M(x) + \varphi_{-v,-u} * j_M(x), \quad (7)$$

where $f * g$ is the convolution given by

$$f * g(x) = \int_0^1 f(y)g(x-y)dy.$$

By direct calculation, we rewrite $\tilde{\alpha}_{u,v}$ and $\tilde{\beta}_{u,v}$ in cosine series (see [7, (2.6)])

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{u,v}(x) = \hat{\alpha}_{u,v}(0) + \sum_{1 \leq |\ell| \leq M} \hat{\alpha}_{u,v}(\ell) \cos(2\pi\ell x) \quad (8)$$

and

$$\tilde{\beta}_{u,v}(x) = (2M+2)^{-1} \sum_{|\ell| \leq M} \hat{\beta}_{u,v}(\ell) \cos(2\pi\ell x), \quad (9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha}_{u,v}(\ell) &= \begin{cases} (\pi\ell i)^{-1} \hat{J}\left(\frac{\ell}{M+1}\right) (e(-\ell u) - e(-\ell v)) & \text{if } \ell \neq 0; \\ 2(v-u) & \text{if } \ell = 0; \end{cases} \\ \hat{\beta}_{u,v}(\ell) &= \begin{cases} 2\left(1 - \frac{\ell}{M+1}\right) (e(-\ell u) - e(-\ell v)) & \text{if } \ell \neq 0; \\ 4 & \text{if } \ell = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Moreover, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, it is known that (see [2, (2.6)] or [7, (2.4)])

$$|\tilde{\varphi}_{u,v}(x) - \tilde{\alpha}_{u,v}(x)| \leq \tilde{\beta}_{u,v}(x) \quad (11)$$

and (see [7, (2.8)] and the line below it)

$$0 \leq \tilde{\alpha}_{u,v}(x) \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \tilde{\beta}_{u,v}(x) \leq 2. \quad (12)$$

Define

$$\Phi_{\underline{u}, \underline{v}}(\underline{x}) = \prod_{n=1}^N \tilde{\varphi}_{u_n, v_n}(x_n), \quad (13)$$

where $\underline{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N)$ and $\underline{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N)$ with $0 \leq u_n < v_n \leq 1/2$ and $u_n(M+1), v_n(M+1) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$. For simplicity, we put

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}_n(x) &= \tilde{\varphi}_{u_n, v_n}(x), \quad \tilde{\alpha}_n(x) = \tilde{\alpha}_{u_n, v_n}(x), \quad \tilde{\beta}_n(x) = \tilde{\beta}_{u_n, v_n}(x), \\ \hat{\alpha}_n(\ell) &= \hat{\alpha}_{u_n, v_n}(\ell), \quad \hat{\beta}_n(\ell) = \hat{\beta}_{u_n, v_n}(\ell). \end{aligned}$$

The following result is one of our main tools.

Lemma 3.1 ([7, Proposition 1]). Let $\Phi_{\underline{u}, \underline{v}} : (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as in (13) where $0 \leq u_n < v_n \leq 1/2$ and $u_n(M+1), v_n(M+1) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then for $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$,

$$|\Phi_{\underline{u}, \underline{v}}(\underline{x}) - \tilde{\alpha}(\underline{x})| \leq B(\underline{x}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}(\underline{x}) &= \prod_{n=1}^N \tilde{\alpha}_n(x_n) = \sum_{\underline{\ell} \in ([-M, M] \cap \mathbb{Z})^N} \hat{\alpha}(\underline{\ell}) \cos(2\pi \underline{\ell} \diamond \underline{x}), \\ B(\underline{x}) &= \sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{\beta}_n(x_n) = \frac{1}{2(M+1)} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{|m| \leq M} \hat{\beta}_n(m) \cos(2\pi m x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\hat{\alpha}(\underline{\ell}) = \prod_{n=1}^N \hat{\alpha}_n(\ell_n), \quad \cos(2\pi \underline{\ell} \diamond \underline{x}) = \prod_{n=1}^N \cos(2\pi \ell_n x_n) \quad (14)$$

and $\underline{\ell} \diamond \underline{x} := (\ell_1 x_1, \dots, \ell_N x_N)$ denotes the Hadamard product; the values of $\hat{\alpha}_n(\ell)$ and $\hat{\beta}_n(m)$ are defined in (10). Moreover,

$$|\hat{\alpha}_n(\ell)| \leq 2\hat{k}_M(\ell), \quad |\hat{\beta}_n(m)| \leq 4\hat{k}_M(m) \quad (15)$$

where $\hat{k}_M(\ell) = (1 - |\ell|/(M+1))$.

Another main tool is the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 ([7, Lemma 3.4]). Let S be a finite set of distinct primes. Then we have for $m_p \geq 1$ ($p \in S$),

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1 \leq j \leq r(T)} \prod_{p \in S} (a_p \lambda_j(p^{m_p}) + b_p \lambda_j(p^{m_p-2})) \\ &= \frac{T^2}{12} \prod_{p \in S} \delta_{2|m_p} \left(\frac{a_p}{p^{m_p/2}} + \frac{b_p}{p^{m_p/2-1}} \right) + O \left(2^{\#(S)} T^{2-\kappa} \prod_{p \in S} (p^{m_p \eta} \max(|a_p|, |b_p|)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{2|h} = 1$ if $2|h$ and 0 otherwise, a_p, b_p are constants depending only on p , $\#(S)$ denotes the cardinality of S , $0 < \kappa < \kappa_0 = \frac{11}{155}$ and $\eta > \eta_0 = \frac{43}{620}$ are any absolute constants. When $m_p = 1$, $\lambda_j(p^{m_p-2})$ denotes 0.

Further, for $M \geq 1$, we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq r(T)} \prod_{p \in S} \lambda_j(p^M)^2 \ll r(T) \prod_{p \in S} \frac{\sigma(p^M)}{p^M} + T^{2-\kappa} \left(\prod_{p \in S} p \right)^{2M\eta},$$

where $\sigma(m) = \sum_{d|m} d$.

The Hecke eigenvalue $\lambda_j(p)$ can be expressed in the form $\lambda_j(p) = \alpha_{j,p} + \beta_{j,p}$ with $\alpha_{j,p}, \beta_{j,p} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha_{j,p}\beta_{j,p} = 1$. By (3), $|\alpha_{j,p}| \leq p^\theta$ with $\theta = 7/64$. Hence, there exists a unique $\theta_j(p) \in [0, \pi] \cup i(0, \theta \log p] \cup \pi + i(0, \theta \log p]$ such that $\alpha_{j,p} = e^{i\theta_j(p)}$ and

$$\lambda_j(p) = 2 \cos \theta_j(p).$$

It is well-known that

$$\lambda_j(p^r) = X_r(\cos \theta_j(p)) = \frac{\sin(r+1)\theta_j(p)}{\sin \theta_j(p)}. \quad (16)$$

Then we easily obtain that for $r \geq 2$,

$$\lambda_j(p^r) - \lambda_j(p^{r-2}) = 2 \cos r\theta_j(p) \in [-2, 2]$$

and $\lambda_j(p^r) - \lambda_j(p^{r-2}) \in (a, b) \subset [-2, 2]$ is equivalent to

$$r\theta_j(p)/(2\pi) \in (k_{r,j,p} + b^*, k_{r,j,p} + a^*) \cup (k_{r,j,p} - a^*, k_{r,j,p} - b^*)$$

for some integer $k_{r,j,p}$ depending on r, p and j , where

$$a^* = \frac{\arccos(a/2)}{2\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad b^* = \frac{\arccos(b/2)}{2\pi}.$$

Hence $\lambda_j(p^r) - \lambda_j(p^{r-2}) \in (a, b) \subset [-2, 2]$ if and only if $\tilde{\varphi}_{b^*, a^*}(r\theta_j(p)/(2\pi)) = 1$. Therefore, for any $(a, b) \subset [-2, 2]$ and $r \geq 2$

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r(T) \\ \lambda_j(p^r) - \lambda_j(p^{r-2}) \in (a, b)}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r(T) \\ \theta_j(p) \in [0, \pi]}} \tilde{\varphi}_{b^*, a^*}\left(\frac{r}{2\pi}\theta_j(p)\right). \quad (17)$$

Let p_1, \dots, p_N be distinct primes. To prove Theorem 4, we shall consider

$$\Phi_{\underline{u}, \underline{v}}\left(\frac{m}{2\pi}\underline{\theta}_j(\underline{p})\right),$$

where $\underline{\theta}_j(\underline{p}) = (\theta_j(p_1), \dots, \theta_j(p_N))$ and $\theta_j(p_n)$ is defined as above. In light of Lemma 3.1, we are led to prove the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. For $r\underline{\ell} = (r\ell_1, \dots, r\ell_N)$ where $|\ell_n| \leq M$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r(T)} \tilde{\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{2\pi}\underline{\theta}_j(\underline{p})\right) - r(T) \sum_{\underline{\ell} \in ([-M, M] \cap \mathbb{Z})^N} \hat{\alpha}(\underline{\ell}) \mathbf{c}_{\underline{p}}(r\underline{\ell}) \right| \ll (2M+2)^N T^{2-\kappa} (p_1 \cdots p_N)^{2rM\eta}, \quad (18)$$

where $\mathbf{c}_{\underline{p}}(r\underline{\ell}) = \prod_{n=1}^N c_{p_n}(r\ell_n)$ with $c_p(0) = 1$, and

$$c_p(r\ell) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}p^{-|\ell|r/2}(1-p) & \text{if } r\ell \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } r\ell \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Here $0 < \kappa < \kappa_0 = \frac{11}{155}$ and $\eta > \eta_0 = \frac{43}{620}$ are any absolute constants and the implied constant in (18) depends only on η .

Proof. By (15), we only have to prove

$$\left| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r(T)} \mathbf{cos}(r\ell \diamond \underline{\theta}_j(\underline{p})) - r(T)\mathbf{c}_{\underline{p}}(r\ell) \right| \ll T^{2-\kappa}(p_1 \cdots p_N)^{rM\eta}. \quad (19)$$

Using $2 \cos(\ell\theta) = X_{|\ell|}(2 \cos \theta) - X_{|\ell|-2}(2 \cos \theta)$ for $|\ell| \geq 2$, we have

$$\cos(\ell\theta_j(p)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_j(p^{|\ell|}) - \lambda_j(p^{|\ell|-2})), & |\ell| \geq 2, \\ \frac{1}{2}\lambda_j(p), & |\ell| = 1, \\ 1, & \ell = 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, if we denote $Q(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}(X - Y)$, then

$$\mathbf{cos}(r\ell \diamond \underline{\theta}_j(\underline{p})) = \prod_{n: |\ell_n| \geq 1} Q(\lambda_j(p_n^{r|\ell_n|}), \lambda_j(p_n^{r|\ell_n|-2})).$$

Applying Lemma 3.2 with $a_{p_n} = 1/2$, $b_{p_n} = -1/2$ and $m_{p_n} = r|\ell_n|$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r(T)} \prod_{n: |\ell_n| \geq 1} Q(\lambda_j(p_n^{r|\ell_n|}), \lambda_j(p_n^{r|\ell_n|-2})) \\ &= \frac{T^2}{12} \prod_{n: |\ell_n| \geq 1} \delta_{2|r\ell_n|} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{p^{r|\ell_n|/2}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{p^{r|\ell_n|/2-1}} \right) + O\left(T^{2-\kappa} \prod_{n: |\ell_n| \geq 1} p_n^{r|\ell_n|\eta}\right) \\ &= \frac{T^2}{12} \mathbf{c}_{\underline{p}}(r\ell) + O(T^{2-\kappa}(p_1 \cdots p_N)^{rM\eta}). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the assumption $|\ell_n| \leq M$ in the last step. The proof is complete. \square

Define

$$F_{p,r}(y) = \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} c_p(r\ell)e(\ell y), \quad (20)$$

where $c_p(r\ell)$ is defined as in Lemma 3.3. By the identity

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} t^m \cos mx = \frac{1 - t \cos x}{|1 - te^{ix}|^2} \quad (t, x \in \mathbb{R}, |t| < 1),$$

we get

$$F_{p,r}(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{p^r + p^{1-r} - (1+p) \cos 4\pi y}{(p^{r/2} + p^{-r/2})^2 - 4 \cos^2(2\pi y)} & \text{if } r \text{ is odd;} \\ \frac{p^{r/2} + p^{1-r/2} - (1+p) \cos 2\pi y}{(p^{r/4} + p^{-r/4})^2 - 4 \cos^2(\pi y)} & \text{if } r \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Note that $F_{p,r}(y) \geq 0$ and $\int_0^1 F_{p,r}(y) dy = 1$, yielding a probability density function on the space \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} .

Lemma 3.4. Let $\widehat{\alpha}(\underline{\ell})$ and $\mathbf{c}_p(r\underline{\ell})$ be defined as in Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3, respectively. Then we have

$$\sum_{\underline{\ell} \in ([-M, M] \cap \mathbb{Z})^N} \widehat{\alpha}(\underline{\ell}) \mathbf{c}_p(r\underline{\ell}) = \prod_{n=1}^N \int_{u_n}^{v_n} 2F_{p_n,r}(y) dy + O\left(\frac{N}{M}\right).$$

Proof. By the definition of $\widehat{\alpha}(\underline{\ell})$, $\mathbf{c}_p(r\underline{\ell})$, we have

$$\sum_{\underline{\ell} \in ([-M, M] \cap \mathbb{Z})^N} \widehat{\alpha}(\underline{\ell}) \mathbf{c}_p(r\underline{\ell}) = \prod_{n=1}^N \sum_{|\ell_n| \leq M} \widehat{\alpha}_n(\ell_n) c_{p_n}(r\ell_n) = \prod_{n=1}^N \Sigma_{p_n,r},$$

where

$$\Sigma_{p_n,r} = \sum_{|\ell_n| \leq M} \widehat{\alpha}_n(\ell_n) c_{p_n}(r\ell_n).$$

By (8) and (20), we obtain

$$\Sigma_{p_n,r} = \int_0^1 \widetilde{\alpha}_{u_n,v_n}(y) F_{p_n,r}(-y) dy = \widetilde{\alpha}_{u_n,v_n} * F_{p_n,r}(0).$$

By the nonnegativity of $F_{p_n,r}(y)$ (see (21)) and (12), we have

$$0 \leq \Sigma_{p_n,r} = \int_0^1 \widetilde{\alpha}_{u_n,v_n}(y) F_{p_n,r}(-y) dy \leq \int_0^1 F_{p_n,r}(-y) dy = 1. \quad (22)$$

Moreover, we have

$$|\Sigma_{p_n,r} - \widetilde{\varphi}_{u_n,v_n} * F_{p_n,r}(0)| = \left| \int_0^1 (\widetilde{\alpha}_{u_n,v_n}(y) - \widetilde{\varphi}_{u_n,v_n}(y)) F_{p_n,r}(-y) dy \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_0^1 |\tilde{\alpha}_{u_n, v_n}(y) - \tilde{\varphi}_{u_n, v_n}(y)| F_{p_n, r}(-y) dy \\
&\leq \tilde{\beta}_{u_n, v_n} * F_{p_n, r}(0).
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Here we have used (11) in the last step. By the nonnegativity of $\tilde{\beta}_{u_n, v_n}$ (see (12)) and (9), we have

$$\tilde{\beta}_{u_n, v_n} * F_{p_n, r}(0) \leq \max_{y \in [0, 1]} |F_{p_n, r}(y)| \int_0^1 \tilde{\beta}_{u_n, v_n}(y) dy \ll \frac{1}{M+1}. \tag{24}$$

Recall that $0 \leq u < v \leq 1/2$. It is easy to see

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{u, v} * F_{p, r}(0) = \int_u^v 2F_{p, r}(y) dy \in [0, 1]. \tag{25}$$

Combining (25) with (23) and (24), we get

$$\Sigma_{p_n, r} = \int_{u_n}^{v_n} 2F_{p_n, r}(y) dy + O\left(\frac{1}{M}\right). \tag{26}$$

Suppose for $S \geq 1$, we have

$$\prod_{n=1}^S \Sigma_{p_n, r} = \prod_{n=1}^S \int_{u_n}^{v_n} 2F_{p_n, r}(y) dy + O\left(\frac{S}{M}\right).$$

By (22), (25) and (26), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{p_{S+1}, r} \times \prod_{n=1}^S \Sigma_{p_n, r} &= \Sigma_{p_{S+1}, r} \times \prod_{n=1}^S \int_{u_n}^{v_n} 2F_{p_n, r}(y) dy + O\left(\frac{S}{M}\right) \\
&= \prod_{n=1}^{S+1} \int_{u_n}^{v_n} 2F_{p_n, r}(y) dy + O\left(\frac{1}{M}\right) + O\left(\frac{S}{M}\right) \\
&= \prod_{n=1}^{S+1} \int_{u_n}^{v_n} 2F_{p_n, r}(y) dy + O\left(\frac{S+1}{M}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by induction,

$$\sum_{\underline{\ell} \in ([-M, M] \cap \mathbb{Z})^N} \hat{\alpha}(\underline{\ell}) \mathbf{c}_{\underline{p}}(r \underline{\ell}) = \prod_{n=1}^N \Sigma_{p_n, r} = \prod_{n=1}^N \int_{u_n}^{v_n} 2F_{p_n, r}(y) dy + O\left(\frac{N}{M}\right). \quad \square$$

4. Proof of Theorem 4

For $N \log(p_1 \cdots p_N) \leq \delta \log T$, we have $N \ll \sqrt{\log T}$ as $p_i \geq 2$. Define

$$\Theta_T(p) = \{1 \leq j \leq r(T) : \theta_j(p) \in [0, \pi]\}.$$

We have the following result.

Lemma 4.1 ([7, Lemma 4.3]). *Let p be a prime. Then for all sufficiently large T ,*

$$\frac{1}{r(T)}(r(T) - |\Theta_T(p)|) \ll \left(\frac{\log p}{\log T}\right)^2$$

where $|\Theta_T(p)|$ denotes the cardinality of $\Theta_T(p)$ and the implied constant is absolute.

Define

$$\Theta = \bigcap_{n=1}^N \Theta_T(p_n)$$

and

$$\Theta' = \{j : 1 \leq j \leq r(T)\} \setminus \Theta = \bigcup_{n=1}^N (\{j : 1 \leq j \leq r(T)\} \setminus \Theta_T(p_n)).$$

Here we suppress symbols for the dependence on T and p_1, \dots, p_N , as no ambiguity will arise. By Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$|\Theta'| \ll \frac{r(T)}{(\log T)^2} \sum_{n=1}^N (\log p_n)^2 \ll r(T) \left(\frac{\log(p_1 \cdots p_N)}{\log T}\right)^2. \quad (27)$$

With the notation as in Section 3, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\prod_{n=1}^N [u_n, v_n] \subset [0, 1]^N$ satisfy the conditions in Lemma 3.1. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{r(T)} \sum_{j \in \Theta} \Phi_{\underline{u}, \underline{v}}\left(\frac{r}{2\pi} \underline{\theta}_j(\underline{p})\right) \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^N \int_{u_n}^{v_n} 2F_{p_n, r}(y) dy + O\left(\frac{rN \log(p_1 \cdots p_N)}{\log T}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq T} \tilde{\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{2\pi}\theta_j(\underline{p})\right) - r(T) \prod_{n=1}^N \int_{u_n}^{v_n} 2F_{p_n, r}(y) dy \right| \ll (2M+2)^N T^{2-\kappa} (p_1 \cdots p_N)^{2rM\eta} + r(T) \frac{N}{M}. \quad (29)$$

Note that the complete sum in (29) shows that it suffices to estimate

$$\sum_{j \in \Theta'} \tilde{\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{2\pi}\theta_j(\underline{p})\right).$$

If $\lambda_j(p_n) \in [-2, 2]$, then $\theta_j(p_n)$ is real and by (12)

$$|\tilde{\alpha}_n(\frac{r}{2\pi}\theta_j(p_n))| \leq 1.$$

If $|\lambda_j(p_n)| > 2$, then $\theta_j(p_n) = i\vartheta_j(p_n)$ or $\pi + i\vartheta_j(p_n)$ for some real $\vartheta_j(p_n)$. By (8) and (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\alpha}_{u,v}(r\theta_j(p_n)/(2\pi))| &\leq \sum_{|\ell| \leq M} |\hat{\alpha}_n(\ell)| \cosh(\ell r\vartheta_j(p_n)) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{|\ell| \leq M} \hat{k}_M(\ell) \cosh(\ell r\vartheta_j(p_n)) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{|\ell| \leq M} \hat{k}_M(\ell) \cosh(2\ell r\vartheta_j(p_n)) \end{aligned}$$

as $\cosh(\phi) \leq \cosh(2\phi)$ for real ϕ . Thus by (5) the last line gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\alpha}_{u,v}(r\theta_j(p_n)/(2\pi))| &\leq 2k_M\left(\frac{r\theta_j(p_n)}{\pi}\right) \\ &= \frac{2}{M+1} \left(\frac{\sin(M+1)r\theta_j(p_n)}{\sin r\theta_j(p_n)} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

It is easy to see that (noting that $r \geq 2$)

$$\begin{aligned} (\sin r\theta_j(p_n))^2 &= (e^{2r\vartheta_j(p_n)} + e^{-2r\vartheta_j(p_n)} - 2)/4 \\ &> (e^{2\vartheta_j(p_n)} + e^{-2\vartheta_j(p_n)} - 2)/4 \\ &= (\sin \theta_j(p_n))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above formula with (30), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{\alpha}_{u,v}(r\theta_j(p_n)/(2\pi))| &\leq \frac{2}{M+1} \left(\frac{\sin(M+1)r\theta_j(p_n)}{\sin\theta_j(p_n)} \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{2}{M+1} (X_{(M+1)r-1}(\cos(\theta_j(p_n))))^2 \\
&= \frac{2}{M+1} \lambda_j(p_n^{rM+r-1})^2
\end{aligned}$$

by (16). Therefore, for both cases, we have

$$\tilde{\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{2\pi}\underline{\theta}_j(\underline{p})\right) \ll \prod_{n=1}^N \left(1 + \frac{2\lambda_j(p_n^{rM+r-1})^2}{M+1}\right).$$

By the second part of Lemma 3.2 and the fact $\sigma(p^M)/p^M \leq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j \in \Theta'} \tilde{\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{2\pi}\underline{\theta}_j(\underline{p})\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{j \in \Theta'} \prod_{n=1}^N \left(1 + \frac{2}{M+1} \lambda_j(p_n^{rM+r-1})^2\right) \\
&\ll |\Theta'| + T^2 \sum_{h=1}^N \binom{N}{h} \left(\frac{4}{M+1}\right)^h + 2^N T^{2-\kappa} (p_1 \cdots p_N)^{2(rM+r-1)\eta} \\
&\ll |\Theta'| + r(T) \frac{N}{M}
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

if

$$M \leq \frac{\kappa}{4r\eta} \frac{\log T}{\log(p_1 \cdots p_N)}. \tag{32}$$

Here we have used the fact that the sum over h is $\ll (1 + \frac{4}{M+1})^N - 1 \ll N/M$.

By Lemma 3.1, we also need to estimate

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq T} B\left(\frac{r}{2\pi}\underline{\theta}_j(\underline{p})\right).$$

By (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq T} B\left(\frac{r}{2\pi}\underline{\theta}_j(\underline{p})\right) &= \frac{r(T)}{2M+2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{|\ell| \leq M} \hat{\beta}_n(\ell) c_{p_n}(r\ell) + O\left(T^{2-\kappa} \sum_{n=1}^N p_n^{2rM\eta}\right) \\
&\ll r(T) \frac{N}{M}
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

provided that (32) holds.

Combining (33), (29), (31) and (27) with Lemma 3.1, we conclude that

$$\left| \sum_{j \in \Theta} \Phi_{\underline{u}, \underline{v}} \left(\frac{r}{2\pi} \theta_j(\underline{p}) \right) - r(T) \prod_{n=1}^N \int_{u_n}^{v_n} 2F_{p_n, r}(y) dy \right| \ll r(T) \frac{rN \log(p_1 \cdots p_N)}{\log T}$$

by taking

$$M = \left\lceil \frac{\kappa}{4r\eta} \frac{\log T}{\log(p_1 \cdots p_N)} \right\rceil. \quad \square \quad (34)$$

Now we are ready to complete the proof. Let T be sufficiently large, and write $I = \prod_{n=1}^N [a_n, b_n]$ with $[a_n, b_n] \subset (-2, 2)$ where $n = 1, \dots, N$. Then $2 \cos r\theta \in [a_n, b_n]$ is equivalent to

$$r\theta/(2\pi) \in (k_{r,\theta} + b_n^*, k_{r,\theta} + a_n^*) \cup (k_{r,\theta} - a_n^*, k_{r,\theta} - b_n^*)$$

for some integer $k_{r,\theta}$ depending on r and θ , where

$$a_n^* = \frac{\arccos(a_n/2)}{2\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad b_n^* = \frac{\arccos(b_n/2)}{2\pi}.$$

Hence $2 \cos r\theta \in [a_n, b_n]$ if and only if $\tilde{\varphi}_{b_n^*, a_n^*}(r\theta/(2\pi)) = 1$. Therefore,

$$\chi_{[a_n, b_n]}(2 \cos r\theta) = \tilde{\varphi}_{b_n^*, a_n^*}(r\theta/(2\pi)),$$

where $\chi_{[a,b]}$ denotes the characteristic function over $[a, b]$.

Next, we choose $[u_n, v_n] \subset [b_n^*, a_n^*] \subset [u'_n, v'_n] \subset [0, 1/2]$ such that $u(M+1), v(M+1) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $(u, v) = (u_n, v_n)$ and (u'_n, v'_n) , the complement has a small measure

$$|[u'_n, v'_n] \setminus [u_n, v_n]| \ll 1/M$$

where M takes the value as in (34), and also,

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{u_n, v_n}(r\theta/(2\pi)) \leq \chi_{[a_n, b_n]}(2 \cos r\theta) \leq \tilde{\varphi}_{u'_n, v'_n}(r\theta/(2\pi)).$$

We denote

$$\underline{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_N), \quad \underline{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_N),$$

and write $\underline{u}', \underline{v}'$ similarly. Applying Lemma 4.2 to $\Phi_{\underline{u}, \underline{v}}$ and $\Phi_{\underline{u}', \underline{v}'}$, we obtain lower and upper bounds of the form in the right-side of (28) for

$$\frac{1}{r(T)} \# \{1 \leq j \leq r(T) : (\lambda_j(p_1^r) - \lambda_j(p_1^{r-2}), \dots, \lambda_j(p_N^r) - \lambda_j(p_N^{r-2})) \in I\}.$$

Write $\underline{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_N)$ and $\underline{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_N)$. It remains to show

$$\prod_{n=1}^N \int_{u_n}^{v_n} 2F_{p_n, r}(y) dy = \int_I \prod_{n=1}^N d\mu_{p_n, r}^*(x) + O\left(\frac{N}{M}\right) \quad (35)$$

for $(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) = (\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ and $(\underline{u}', \underline{v}')$. For odd r , we make a change of variable $x = 2 \cos 2\pi y$. Then we get that (with the subscript n suppressed)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_u^v 2F_{p, r}(y) dy &= 2 \int_u^v \frac{p^r + p^{1-r} - (1+p) \cos 4\pi y}{(p^{r/2} + p^{-r/2})^2 - 4 \cos^2(2\pi y)} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{2 \cos 2\pi v}^{2 \cos 2\pi u} \frac{p^r + p^{1-r} - (1+p)(x^2 - 2)}{(p^{r/2} + p^{-r/2})^2 - x^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 - x^2}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

As $[2 \cos 2\pi v, 2 \cos 2\pi u] \subset [a, b] \subset [2 \cos 2\pi v', 2 \cos 2\pi u']$, we get

$$\int_u^v 2F_{p, r}(y) dy = \int_a^b d\mu_{p, r}^*(x) + O(1/M)$$

and (35) follows. By similar arguments, we get (35) for even r . Finally we relax the condition $[a_n, b_n] \subset (-2, 2)$ to $[-2, 2]$ with (35) and the proof is complete.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the anonymous referee for his or her careful reading and very valuable suggestions. Tang is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11301142). Wang is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11501376), Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (Grant No. 2015A030310241) and Natural Science Foundation of Shenzhen University (Grant No. 201541).

References

- [1] T. Barnet-Lamb, D. Geraghty, M. Harris, R. Taylor, A family of Calabi–Yau varieties and potential automorphy II, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 47 (2011) 29–98.
- [2] J.T. Barton, H.L. Montgomery, J.D. Vaaler, Note on a Diophantine inequality in several variables, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 129 (2000) 337–345.
- [3] J.B. Conrey, W. Duke, D.W. Farmer, The distribution of the eigenvalues of Hecke operators, *Acta Arith.* 78 (1997) 405–409.
- [4] P. Deligne, La conjecture de Weil I, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 43 (1974) 273–307.
- [5] D.A. Hejhal, The Selberg Trace Formula for $PSL(2, R)$, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 548, 1973, and vol. 1001, 1983.
- [6] H. Kim, P. Sarnak, Functoriality for the exterior square of GL_4 and the symmetric fourth of GL_2 , Appendix 2 in H. Kim, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 16 (2003) 139–183.

- [7] Y.-K. Lau, Y. Wang, Quantitative version of the joint distribution of eigenvalues of the Hecke operators, *J. Number Theory* 131 (2011) 2262–2281.
- [8] M. Ram Murty, K. Sinha, Effective equidistribution of eigenvalues of Hecke operators, *J. Number Theory* 129 (2009) 681–714.
- [9] S. Omar, K. Mazhouda, Equirépartition des coefficients de Fourier des fonctions L de carrés de cubes symétriques, *Ramanujan J.* 20 (2009) 81–89.
- [10] P. Sarnak, Statistical properties of eigenvalues of the Hecke operators, in: *Analytic Number Theory and Diophantine Problems*, Stillwater, 1984., in: *Progr. Math.*, vol. 70, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1987, pp. 321–331.
- [11] J.-P. Serre, Répartition asymptotique des valeurs propres de l'opérateur de Hecke T_p , *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 10 (1997) 75–102.
- [12] J.D. Vaaler, Some extremal functions in Fourier analysis, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 12 (1985) 183–216.