



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Number Theory

www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt



The Hasse principle for bilinear symmetric forms over a ring of integers of a global function field



Rony A. Bitan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 March 2015
 Received in revised form 11 April 2016
 Accepted 14 April 2016
 Available online 2 June 2016
 Communicated by David Goss

Keywords:

Global function field
 Bilinear forms
 Étale cohomology
 Hasse principle

ABSTRACT

Let C be a smooth projective curve defined over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q (q is odd) and let $K = \mathbb{F}_q(C)$ be its function field. Removing one closed point $C^{\text{af}} = C - \{\infty\}$ results in an integral domain $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}} = \mathbb{F}_q[C^{\text{af}}]$ of K , over which we consider a non-degenerate bilinear and symmetric form f with orthogonal group \mathbf{O}_V . We show that the set $\text{Cl}_\infty(\mathbf{O}_V)$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ -isomorphism classes in the genus of f of rank $n > 2$ is bijective as a pointed set to the abelian groups $H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \mu_2) \cong \text{Pic}(C^{\text{af}})/2$, i.e. it is an invariant of C^{af} . We then deduce that any such f of rank $n > 2$ admits the local-global Hasse principal if and only if $|\text{Pic}(C^{\text{af}})|$ is odd. For rank 2 this principle holds if the integral closure of $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ in the splitting field of $\mathbf{O}_V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}} K$ is a UFD.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let C be a smooth, projective, geometrically connected curve defined over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q with q odd, and let $K = \mathbb{F}_q(C)$ be its function field. For any prime \mathfrak{p} of K , let $v_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the induced discrete valuation on K . We remove one closed point ∞ from C , resulting in an affine curve C^{af} , and consider the following ring of $\{\infty\}$ -integers of K :

E-mail address: rony.bitan@gmail.com.

$$\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}} = \mathbb{F}_q[C^{\text{af}}] := \{a \in K \mid v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) \geq 0 \ \forall \mathfrak{p} \neq \infty\}.$$

Throughout the paper, an *integral form* on $V \cong \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}^n$ refers to a bilinear and symmetric map $f : V \times V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$. It will be called *unimodular* if it is non-degenerate at any closed point of C^{af} , which is equivalent in this case to $\det(f) \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Two integral forms f and g on V are $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ -*isomorphic* if there exists $Q \in \mathbf{GL}(V)$ such that $f(u, v) = g(Qu, Qv)$ for all $u, v \in V$.

The standard approach to classifying bilinear forms over a global field such as K basically relies on the Hasse–Minkowski principle which states that this classification, expressed by the first Galois cohomology set $H^1(K, \mathbf{O}_V)$ where \mathbf{O}_V stands for the orthogonal group of f , is equivalent to that obtained locally everywhere, namely, by $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} H^1(\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}, (\mathbf{O}_V)_{\mathfrak{p}})$ where $\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the complete localization of K at a prime \mathfrak{p} and $(\mathbf{O}_V)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the geometric fiber of \mathbf{O}_V there. However, if one considers the classification of integral forms, then this local-global principle fails, leading to the notion of a *genus* of a form. In this paper, we aim to describe geometrically the violation of this principle. We express the classification of integral unimodular forms from the same genus via $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \mathbf{SO}_V)$ (Proposition 4.2), where \mathbf{SO}_V is the special orthogonal group scheme of f defined over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$, and then show that this set is bijective as a pointed set for ranks $n > 2$ to the abelian group $H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \mu_2)$, i.e. it is an invariant of C^{af} (Proposition 4.4). Furthermore, by proving that the Brauer group of the affine curve C^{af} is trivial (Lemma 3.3), we conclude that $H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \mu_2) \cong \text{Pic}(C^{\text{af}})/2$ (Corollary 3.4).

This description leads us to assert the validity of the Hasse principle for unimodular integral forms of rank $n > 2$ if and only if $|\text{Pic}(C^{\text{af}})|$ is odd. For $n = 2$, the Hasse principle holds if the integral closure of $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ in the splitting field of the generic fiber of \mathbf{O}_V is a UFD (Theorem 4.5). This result can be considered as a generalization of Theorem 3.1 in [Ger1] in which the elementary case of $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}} = \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ is treated (Example 4.6). Its proof was initially based on the reduction by Harder, of the unimodular theory over $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$, to the theory of spaces over \mathbb{F}_q (see [Ger2, Theorem 7.13]).

2. Classification over rings of integers

The geometrically connected projective curve C remains geometrically connected after removing the closed point ∞ , resulting in C^{af} . In order to classify integral forms, we shall refer to the fundamental group $\pi_1(C^{\text{af}}, a)$ of C^{af} w.r.t. some geometric base point a , as defined by Grothendieck in [SGA1, V, §4 and §7]. Up to isomorphism, this group (as a topological group) does not depend on the choice of the base point (see [Mil, Ch. I, Remark 5.1]). Therefore, where one is only concerned with the group-theoretic structure of $\pi_1(C^{\text{af}}, a)$, we may omit the base-point and write just $\pi_1(C^{\text{af}})$.

For any prime \mathfrak{p} of K , let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the discrete valuation ring of K w.r.t. to $v_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and let $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be its fraction field. Let $\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the completion of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and let $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be its ring of integers. Let $k_{\mathfrak{p}} = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}$ be the corresponding (finite) residue field. Let $\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{ur}}$ be the maximal unramified extension of $\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and let $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{sh}}$ be its ring of integers. Given a

smooth group scheme $\underline{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ defined over $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the set $H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \underline{G}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is bijective to the Galois cohomology set $H^1(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \underline{G}_{\mathfrak{p}})$, while the Galois group taken under consideration is $\text{Gal}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{sh}}/\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}_{\mathfrak{p}}/k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ where $\bar{k}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ stands for the algebraic closure of $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$. For a smooth group scheme \underline{G} defined over $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$, by writing $H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{G}) \cong H_{\text{flat}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{G})$ we shall refer to the action of the aforementioned (total) fundamental group $\pi_1(C^{\text{af}})$ (see [SGA4, VIII Corollaire 2.3] for the étale, flat and Galois cohomology sets bijections in the smooth case).

3. Integral schemes and étale cohomology

Let \underline{G} be an affine, flat and smooth group scheme defined over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ with generic fiber G . For any prime \mathfrak{p} of K , the localization $(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a base change of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus the bijection $\text{Spec } (\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}})_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is faithfully flat (see [Liu, Theorem 3.16]) and so \underline{G} , extended to be defined over $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and denoted by $\underline{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, is also smooth. Under these settings, we shall refer to the adelic group $\underline{G}(\mathbb{A})$ and to its subgroup over the ring of $\{\infty\}$ -integral adèles $\mathbb{A}_{\infty} := \hat{K}_{\infty} \times \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \neq \infty} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Definition 1. The *class set* of \underline{G} is the set of double cosets $\text{Cl}_{\infty}(\underline{G}) := \underline{G}(\mathbb{A}_{\infty}) \backslash \underline{G}(\mathbb{A}) / G(K)$. It is finite (cf. [Con1, Thm. 1.3.1]). Its cardinality, denoted by $h_{\infty}(\underline{G})$, is called the *class number* of \underline{G} .

Theorem 3.1. (Ye. Nisnevich, [Nis, Theorem I.3.5].) *There is an exact sequence of pointed sets:*

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Cl}_{\infty}(\underline{G}) \rightarrow H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{G}) \rightarrow H^1(K, G) \times \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \neq \infty} H^1(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \underline{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose \underline{G} (being affine, flat and smooth defined over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$) is connected, and that G is almost simple, simply connected and \hat{K}_{∞} -isotropic. Then $H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{G}) = 0$.*

Proof. At any prime \mathfrak{p} , as $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Henselian, we have $H^i(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \underline{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong H^i(k_{\mathfrak{p}}, \bar{G}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for $i \geq 0$ where $\bar{G}_{\mathfrak{p}} := \underline{G}_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}} k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (see Remark 3.11(a) in [Mil, Ch. III, §3]). The right set for $i = 1$ is trivial by Lang’s Theorem (see [Ser, Ch. VI, Prop. 5]). Furthermore, $H^1(K, G)$ is trivial in the simply connected case due to Harder’s result (see [Hard, Satz A]), and so Nisnevich’s sequence from Theorem 3.1 obtained for \underline{G} , shows that $\text{Cl}_{\infty}(\underline{G})$ is bijective to $H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{G})$. But as G is almost simple, simply connected and \hat{K}_{∞} -isotropic, it admits the strong approximation property w.r.t. $S = \{\infty\}$ (see [Pra, Theorem A]), which means that $\text{Cl}_{\infty}(\underline{G})$ is trivial, and the assertion follows. \square

Lemma 3.3. $\text{Br}(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}) = 1$.

Proof. As C^{af} is smooth, $H_{\acute{e}t}^2(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{G}_m) = \text{Br}(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}})$ classifying Azumaya $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ -algebras (see [Mil, §2]). Let $[D]$ be a class of central simple algebras in $\text{Br}(K)$. At any prime \mathfrak{p} ,

$[D]$ is associated by the residue map $r_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with an extension of $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$, representing thus a class in $H^1(k_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(k_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ (the Galois action is trivial). The latter term is isomorphic to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} , as the absolute Galois group of any finite field $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is isomorphic to $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. The ramification map $a := \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} r_{\mathfrak{p}}$ yields then the exact sequence from Class Field Theory (see Theorem 6.5.1 in [GS]):

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Br}(K) \xrightarrow{a = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} r_{\mathfrak{p}}} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{Cor}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 1 \tag{3.1}$$

in which the corestriction map $\text{Cor}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for any \mathfrak{p} is an isomorphism induced by the Hasse-invariant $\text{Br}(\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ (cf. [GS, Proposition 6.3.9]). On the other hand, as all residue fields of K are finite thus perfect, and C^{af} is a one-dimensional regular scheme over \mathbb{F}_q , it admits due to Grothendieck the following exact sequence (see [Gro, Proposition 2.1] and [Mil, Example 2.22, case (a)]):

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Br}(\mathbb{F}_q[C^{\text{af}}] = \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\mathbb{F}_q(C^{\text{af}}) = K) \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \neq \infty} r_{\mathfrak{p}}} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \neq \infty} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \tag{3.2}$$

which means that $\text{Br}(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}})$ is the subgroup of $\text{Br}(K)$ of classes that vanish under $r_{\mathfrak{p}}$ at any $\mathfrak{p} \neq \infty$. Thus omitting these $r_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{p} \neq \infty$ in sequence (3.1), results in $\text{Br}(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}) = \ker[\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\text{Cor}_{\infty}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}] = 1$. \square

Corollary 3.4. *There is an isomorphism of abelian groups: $\text{Pic}(C^{\text{af}})/2 \cong H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mu}_2)$.*

Proof. Étale cohomology applied on the Kummer’s exact sequence defined over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$:

$$1 \rightarrow \underline{\mu}_2 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{G}}_m \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{G}}_m \rightarrow 1$$

gives rise to the following long exact sequence:

$$\text{Pic}(C^{\text{af}}) \xrightarrow{\phi: [\mathcal{L}] \mapsto [2\mathcal{L}]} \text{Pic}(C^{\text{af}}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mu}_2) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}) \stackrel{(3.3)}{=} 1$$

in which $\text{Pic}(C^{\text{af}})/2 = \text{coker}(\phi) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mu}_2)$. \square

Definition 2. Let S be a scheme and G an S -group. G is an S -torus of rank r if it is locally isomorphic in the fpqc-topology to $\underline{\mathbb{G}}_m^r$ (cf. [SGA3, Exp. IX, Def. 1.3]).

Lemma 3.5. *Let $h : S' \rightarrow S$ be a finite surjective morphism of integral schemes, where S is Noetherian, normal and one dimensional. Then $\underline{N} := R_{S'/S}^{(1)}(\underline{\mathbb{G}}_m)$ is an S -torus iff h is étale.*

Proof. Under the Lemma’s hypothesis on h , it is locally free (cf. [Sza, Lemma 5.2.4]). Hence the induced Weil restriction functor $\underline{R} := R_{S'/S}(\underline{\mathbb{G}}_m)$ exists (cf. [BLR, §7.6 Theorem 4]), and so \underline{N} , being equal to $\ker[\underline{R} \xrightarrow{\det} \underline{\mathbb{G}}_m]$ (see Ex. ¶9(c), p. 148 [Bou]), is well defined.

S' is integral thus connected. So given that h is étale over the normal scheme S , it admits a Galois closure $S'' \rightarrow S$ that factors through it (see [Sza, Proposition 5.3.9] and [BS, Theorem 4']). Thus the associated fundamental group Γ admits a subgroup $\Gamma_0 := \text{Aut}(S''|S') \subset \Gamma$ consisting of automorphisms of S'' that fix S' , such that: $S' \otimes_S S'' \cong (S'')^{|\Gamma/\Gamma_0|}$ (see [Sza, Proposition 5.3.8]). Therefore: $\underline{R} \otimes_S S'' \cong \underline{\mathbb{G}}_{m,S''}^{|\Gamma/\Gamma_0|}$ and so:

$$\underline{N} \otimes_S S'' \cong \ker \left[\underline{\mathbb{G}}_{m,S''}^{|\Gamma/\Gamma_0|} \xrightarrow{\det} \underline{\mathbb{G}}_{m,S''} \right] \cong \underline{\mathbb{G}}_{m,S''}^{|\Gamma/\Gamma_0|-1},$$

i.e. \underline{N} is an S -torus.

Conversely, if h ramifies at some prime, then \underline{N} is not reductive there (see [Vos, §10.5]). So given that h is locally free thus flat, it must be étale as well. \square

4. The Hasse principle and the class group of the orthogonal group

Let \mathcal{X} be the scheme of invertible symmetric $n \times n$ -matrices with entries in $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$. It is a $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ -scheme, and its points correspond to (non-degenerate) n -dimensional integral forms, on which $\underline{\mathbf{GL}}_n$ defined over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ acts by

$$\forall g \in \underline{\mathbf{GL}}_n, F \in \mathcal{X} : g * F = g^t F g.$$

Let f be an integral unimodular form represented by $F \in \mathcal{X}$. Then the *orthogonal group* $\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V$ associated to (V, f) is the stabilizer of F . Since this action is defined over $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$, it is an affine scheme defined over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$. Its generic fiber is $\mathbf{O}_V := \underline{\mathbf{O}}_V \otimes_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}} K$. As 2 is a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$, the *special orthogonal group* $\underline{\mathbf{SO}}_V$ is $\ker[\det : \underline{\mathbf{O}}_V \rightarrow \underline{\mu}_2]$, where $\underline{\mu}_2 := \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}[x]/(x^2 - 1)$ (see Definition 1.6 and Corollary 2.5 in [Con2]). For the same reason (2 is a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$), $\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V$ is smooth regardless of the parity of n , and $\underline{\mathbf{SO}}_V$ is a smooth closed subgroup with connected fibers [Con2, Theorem 1.7]. If n is even, then $\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V$ is a semidirect product of $\underline{\mathbf{SO}}_V$ and $\underline{\mu}_2$ (see Corollary 2.5 and Remark 2.6 in [Con2]), and it is a direct product of these if n is odd (cf. [Con2, Proposition 3.4]).

Definition 3. Two integral forms share the same *genus* if they are isomorphic over K and over $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all primes \mathfrak{p} . We denote by $\text{gen}(f)$ the set of all integral forms of the same genus as f .

Definition 4. Given an integral form f , let $c(f)$ denote the number of $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ -isomorphism classes in $\text{gen}(f)$. We say that the *Hasse principle* holds for f if $c(f) = 1$.

Platonov and Rapinchuk have shown in [PR, Prop. 8.4] – in the number field case – that $c(f)$ equals the class number of its orthogonal group. In the following, we shall sketch briefly their proof, this time in the function field case:

We consider the above $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ -scheme $\underline{\mathbf{GL}}_n$ (in which $\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V$ is embedded), its subgroup $\underline{\mathbf{SL}}_n$ and their extensions defined over $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ at any prime \mathfrak{p} (see Section 3) while referring to their adelic groups. Any element of $\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V(\mathbb{A})$ can be put in $\underline{\mathbf{SL}}_n(\mathbb{A})$ by multiplying by a suitable element of $\underline{\mathbf{GL}}_n(\mathbb{A}_{\infty})$. Since the K -group \mathbf{SL}_n is split, simple and simply connected, it admits the strong approximation property whence $\underline{\mathbf{SL}}_n(\mathbb{A}) = \underline{\mathbf{SL}}_n(\mathbb{A}_{\infty})\mathbf{SL}_n(K)$ (cf. [Pra, Theorem A]). It follows that $\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V(\mathbb{A}) \subseteq \underline{\mathbf{GL}}_n(\mathbb{A}_{\infty})\mathbf{GL}_n(K)$. Now according to the Stabilizer Formula [PR, Theorem 8.2], $c(f)$ is equal to the number of double cosets $\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V(\mathbb{A}_{\infty}) \cdot x \cdot \underline{\mathbf{O}}_V(K)$ in the principal coset $\underline{\mathbf{GL}}_n(\mathbb{A}_{\infty})\mathbf{GL}_n(K)$ which is $h_{\infty}(\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V)$.

Corollary 4.1. $c(f) = h_{\infty}(\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V)$.

Proposition 4.2. *There is a bijection of finite pointed sets: $\text{Cl}_{\infty}(\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mathbf{SO}}_V)$.*

Proof. Being affine, flat and smooth, $\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V$ admits by the Nisnevich’s Theorem 3.1 the exact sequence of pointed sets:

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Cl}_{\infty}(\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mathbf{O}}_V) \rightarrow H^1(K, \mathbf{O}_V) \times \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \neq \infty} H^1(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, (\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V)_{\mathfrak{p}}). \tag{4.1}$$

Let $W(*)$ denote the Witt ring for the ring $*$. By Witt’s Theorem, two forms are isomorphic if and only if they belong to the same Witt class and have the same rank (see [MH, Cor. 3.3]), whence $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, (\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V)_{\mathfrak{p}})$ injects into $W(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $H^1(\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}, (\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V)_{\mathfrak{p}})$ into $W(\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Since $\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is complete, $W(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = W(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ injects into $W(\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, (\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V)_{\mathfrak{p}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}, (\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V)_{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}) & \hookrightarrow & W(\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \end{array}$$

which shows that $H^1(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, (\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V)_{\mathfrak{p}})$ embeds into $H^1(\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}, (\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V)_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for any \mathfrak{p} . Then due to Corollary 3.6 in [Nis], sequence (4.1) simplifies to:

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Cl}_{\infty}(\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mathbf{O}}_V) \rightarrow H^1(K, \mathbf{O}_V). \tag{4.2}$$

As C^{af} is assumed to be smooth, $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q[C^{\text{af}}]$ is a normal scheme, i.e. it is integrally closed locally everywhere. In this case any finite étale covering of C^{af} arises by the normalization of $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ in some separable unramified extension of K (see [Len, Theorem 6.13]). Thus any non-trivial 1-cocycle in $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mu}_2)$ remains non-trivial after tensoring with K , i.e. $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mu}_2)$ is embedded in its generic fiber. Hence, $\underline{\mu}_2$

being a direct or semidirect summand in $\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V$ (see at the beginning of this section), can be canceled in sequence (4.2), leading to:

$$\text{Cl}_\infty(\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V) \cong \ker[H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mathbf{SO}}_V) \rightarrow H^1(K, \mathbf{SO}_V)].$$

The situation for $\underline{\mathbf{SO}}_V$ is simpler: having (smooth) connected fibers, $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, (\underline{\mathbf{SO}}_V)_{\mathfrak{p}})$ vanishes for all primes \mathfrak{p} (by Lang’s Lemma), thus not only admitting again due to Corollary 3.6 in [Nis] the exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Cl}_\infty(\underline{\mathbf{SO}}_V) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mathbf{SO}}_V) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H^1(K, \mathbf{SO}_V), \tag{4.3}$$

which shows that $\text{Cl}_\infty(\underline{\mathbf{O}}_V) = \text{Cl}_\infty(\underline{\mathbf{SO}}_V)$, but can be simplified even more to (cf. [Nis, I.3.5.2] and [Gon1, Theorem 3.4]):

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Cl}_\infty(\underline{\mathbf{SO}}_V) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mathbf{SO}}_V) \rightarrow \text{III}_{\{\infty\}}^1(K, \mathbf{SO}_V) \rightarrow 1$$

in which the right term is the first Tate–Shafarevich group w.r.t. $\{\infty\}$, namely:

$$\text{III}_{\{\infty\}}^1(K, \mathbf{SO}_V) := \ker \left[H^1(K, \mathbf{SO}_V) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \neq \infty} H^1(\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}, (\mathbf{SO}_V)_{\mathfrak{p}}) \right].$$

The pointed set $H^1(K, \mathbf{SO}_V)$ properly (i.e. by $\det = 1$ isomorphisms) classifies K -forms isomorphic to f over some finite Galois extensions of K , therefore sharing all the same rank and discriminant. So according to the Hasse–Minkowsky principle (cf. [Lam, VI.3.1]), these forms are classified via their Hasse-invariant locally everywhere. But as the base point f is unimodular, representatives of any class in $H^1(K, \mathbf{SO}_V)$ are $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ -regular, thus their Hasse-invariants locally everywhere belong to $\text{Br}(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}})$, being trivial by Lemma 3.3. This means that $\text{Cl}_\infty(\underline{\mathbf{SO}}_V)$ surjects on $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mathbf{SO}}_V)$. On the other hand, $\text{Cl}_\infty(\underline{\mathbf{SO}}_V)$ is bijective to the first Nisnevich’s cohomology set $H_{\text{Nis}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mathbf{SO}}_V)$ (cf. [Nis, I. Theorem 2.8] and [Mor, 4.1]), classifying $\underline{\mathbf{SO}}_V$ -torsors in the Nisnevich’s topology. But Nisnevich’s covers are étale, so it is a subset of $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mathbf{SO}}_V)$, and the assertion follows. \square

In particular, Proposition 4.2 plus Corollary 4.1 yield:

Corollary 4.3. *The Hasse principle holds for an integral unimodular form iff $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mathbf{SO}}_V) = 0$.*

For rank $n > 2$, we consider the following construction (see in [Bas, §2]):

Let $\mathbf{C}(f)$ be the Clifford algebra associated to f . It is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra. The linear map $v \mapsto -v$ on V extends to an algebra automorphism $\alpha : \mathbf{C}(f) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}(f)$ (acting as the

identity on the even part and negation on the odd part). The Clifford group associated to (V, f) is

$$\mathbf{CL}(f) := \{u \in \mathbf{C}(f)^\times : \alpha(u)vu^{-1} \in V \ \forall v \in V\}.$$

The identity map on V (viewed as its inclusion in the opposite algebra of $\mathbf{C}(f)$) extends to an anti-automorphism of $\mathbf{C}(f)$ which we denote by t . The composition $\alpha \circ t$ mapping $v \mapsto \bar{v}$ gives rise to the norm $N : \mathbf{CL}(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}^\times = \mathbb{F}_q^\times : v \mapsto v\bar{v}$ (for $v \in V$ it is just $N(v) = -v^2 = -f(v, v)$). We define $\mathbf{Pin}_V(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}) := \ker(N)$. This group admits an underlying group scheme over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ which we denote by \mathbf{Pin}_V . The homomorphism $\pi : \mathbf{Pin}_V \rightarrow \mathbf{SO}_V$ sending v to the isometry stabilizing it, is a double covering, yielding the following short exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ -group schemes:

$$1 \rightarrow \underline{\mu}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Spin}_V \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{SO}_V \rightarrow 1 \tag{4.4}$$

where $\mathbf{Spin}_V := \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{SO}_V) \subset \mathbf{Pin}_V$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let (V, f) be an integral unimodular space of rank $n > 2$. Then $Cl_\infty(\mathbf{SO}_V)$ is bijective as a pointed set to $H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mu}_2)$ (being isomorphic to $\text{Pic}(C^{\text{af}}/2)$).*

Proof. The schemes in sequence (4.4) are smooth, whence étale cohomology yields the exact sequence of pointed sets:

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \mathbf{Spin}_V) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \mathbf{SO}_V) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mu}_2) \tag{4.5}$$

in which since $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ is of Douai type – see Definition 5.2 and Example 5.4(iii) in [Gon2] – and as $\mathbf{SO}_V = \mathbf{Spin}_V^{\text{ad}}$ while: $Z(\mathbf{Spin}_V) = \underline{\mu}_2$, δ is surjective. Furthermore, \mathbf{Spin}_V is affine, smooth and connected, and its generic fiber is simple, simply connected. As $\det(f) \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, the generic fiber of (V, f) admits a regular model over $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty$ (see [OMe, 92:1]). Its reduction at ∞ remains regular of dimension $n \geq 3$ over the finite field k_∞ thus isotropic ([OMe, 62:1b]). Then its lift back to $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty$ is again isotropic due to Hensel’s Lemma (see [EKM, III. Lemma 19.4]), as well as \mathbf{Spin}_V over \hat{K}_∞ . Thus $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \mathbf{Spin}_V)$ is trivial by Lemma 3.2, which means due to the exactness that $\ker(\delta) = \{0\}$. This does not imply yet that δ is injective, since \mathbf{SO}_V is non-commutative for $n > 2$, whence $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \mathbf{SO}_V)$ has no reason to be a group. In order to deduce the injectivity of δ , we consider the following diagram induced by some non-trivial \mathbf{SO}_V -torsor P , as described in [Gir, Cha. IV, Proposition 4.3.4]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \mathbf{SO}_V) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mu}_2) \\ \cong \downarrow \theta_P & & \cong \downarrow r \\ H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, {}^P\mathbf{SO}_V) & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mu}_2) \end{array}$$

in which the map δ' is the one obtained by applying étale cohomology on the short exact sequence (4.4) while replacing \mathbf{SO}_V by the twisted group scheme ${}^P\mathbf{SO}_V = \mathbf{SO}_{(P,V)}$, θ_P is the induced twisting bijection, and r is the translation by $-\delta(P)$. According to [Gir, Cha. IV, Proposition 4.3.4(i), (ii)] this diagram is commutative and there is a bijection:

$$\{x \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \mathbf{SO}_V) : \delta(x) = \delta(P)\} \cong \ker(\delta).$$

But as shown above, in our case $\ker(\delta)$ is trivial, implying that δ is injective and eventually is a bijection. Due to Proposition 4.2, $\text{Cl}_\infty(\mathbf{O}_V)$ is bijective as a pointed set to $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \mathbf{SO}_V)$, and therefore is bijective as a pointed set to $H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \mu_2)$ as well. The rest is Corollary 3.4. \square

Theorem 4.5. *Let f be a unimodular form of rank n defined over $\mathbb{F}_q[C^{af}]$.*

The Hasse principle holds for f :

for $n = 2$ if the integral closure of $\mathbb{F}_q[C^{af}]$ in the splitting field of \mathbf{SO}_V is a UFD, and:

for $n > 2$ if and only if $|\text{Pic}(C^{af})|$ is odd.

Proof. For rank 2, \mathbf{SO}_V is a one dimensional norm $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ -torus. This derives from being one dimensional and smooth, and from the connectivity of the fibers (see at the beginning of this section). According to Lemma 3.5, such one dimensional norm $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ -tori arise from quadratic étale extensions, hence are being classified by $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. If $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ is a UFD, the Kummer sequence defined over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ implies that $(2 \in \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}^\times)$, hence the scheme $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to μ_2 over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$:

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}^\times / (\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}^\times)^2 = \mathbb{F}_q^\times / (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2 \cong H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).$$

This means that given that $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ is a UFD, any quadratic extension of it, producing a one dimensional norm $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ -torus, arises from a quadratic extension of \mathbb{F}_q (recall that $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$, hence the quadratic Artin–Schreier extensions are not to be considered here). Now if \mathbf{SO}_V splits over $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$, then $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \mathbf{SO}_V) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \mathbb{G}_m) = \text{Pic}(C^{af}) = 0$ as $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ is a UFD. Otherwise, it fits into an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ -tori:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbf{SO}_V \rightarrow \underline{R} := R_{\mathcal{O}'_{\{\infty\}}/\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}}(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1 \tag{4.6}$$

in which $\mathcal{O}'_{\{\infty\}}$ is assumed to be a UFD. As $\overline{\mathbf{SO}}_V := \mathbf{SO}_V \otimes_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}} \mathbb{F}_q$ is connected, by Lang’s Lemma $H^1(\mathbb{F}_q, \overline{\mathbf{SO}}_V) \cong \mathbb{F}_q^\times / \text{Nr}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times) = 1$. But $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times \subseteq \mathcal{O}'_{\{\infty\}}^\times = \underline{R}(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}})$, which means that $\underline{R}(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ is surjective. Moreover, by Shapiro’s Lemma $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{R}) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}'_{\{\infty\}}, \mathbb{G}_m, \mathcal{O}'_{\{\infty\}}) = \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}'_{\{\infty\}})$ being trivial by the assumption. Thus applying étale cohomology on sequence (4.6) implies that $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \mathbf{SO}_V)$ vanishes as well, and the assertion follows from Corollary 4.3.

For higher ranks, this is just Proposition 4.4 plus Corollary 3.4. \square

Example 4.6. Let C be of genus zero having a \mathbb{F}_q -rational point which we assign as ∞ . Then $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}} = \mathbb{F}_q[C^{\text{af}}]$ is a UFD, as well as any scalar extension of it (see [Sam, Theorem 5.1]), whose generic fiber may be the splitting field if $n = 2$ of \mathbf{SO}_V (see in the proof of Theorem 4.5). Therefore the Hasse principle holds for any unimodular form defined over it of any rank. So Theorem 4.5 is a generalization of Theorem 3.1 in [Ger1] in which the elementary case of $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}} = \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ is treated.

Remark 4.7. The unimodularity condition is essential (though not necessary) for the validity of the Hasse principle even if $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ is a UFD. It is necessary for the Clifford algebra construction if $n > 2$, but is also required for rank 2.

For example, let C be the projective line over \mathbb{F}_q and $\infty = (1/x)$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}} = \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ is a UFD. Let f and g be the $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ -forms represented by $F = \text{diag}((1 - x^2)^2, 1)$ and $G = \text{diag}((1 - x)^2, (1 + x)^2)$, respectively. Let

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1+x} & 0 \\ 0 & 1+x \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{GL}_2(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \quad \forall \mathfrak{p} \neq (1+x)$$

and

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{1-x} \\ 1-x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{GL}_2(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \quad \forall \mathfrak{p} \neq (1-x).$$

Then $Q^t F Q = P^t G P = G$. This shows that f and g belong to the same genus. But they are not, however, isomorphic over $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$, since mapping the eigenvalue $(1 - x^2)^2$ in F to $(1 - x)^2$ or $(1 + x)^2$ in G can be done only by dividing by a non-constant element, which is not allowed in $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}} = \mathbb{F}_q[x]$.

Example 4.8. Let C be an elliptic \mathbb{F}_q -curve and suppose ∞ is \mathbb{F}_q -rational (such one must exist). The restriction of C to C^{af} gives rise to an exact sequence (see [Hart, Cha. II, Prop. 6.5(c)]):

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(C) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(C^{\text{af}}) \rightarrow 0$$

in which the first map $1 \mapsto 1 \cdot \{\infty\}$ is injective because the degree of a curve’s divisor is well defined. As we assumed ∞ is \mathbb{F}_q -rational, this sequence splits as abelian groups. The degree map on $\text{Pic}(C)$ yields another exact sequence which again splits as abelian groups:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(C) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

We get an isomorphism of summands $\text{Pic}^0(C) \cong \text{Pic}(C^{\text{af}})$. Together with another isomorphism of abelian groups: $C(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong \text{Pic}^0(C); P \mapsto [P] - [\infty]$ we may deduce that:

$$C(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong \text{Pic}(C^{\text{af}}). \tag{4.7}$$

Hence according to [Theorem 4.5](#), any unimodular form f of rank ≥ 3 defined over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ admits the Hasse principle if and only if there is no element of order 2 in $C(\mathbb{F}_q)$. For example, suppose $q > 3$ and $\infty = (0 : 1 : 0) \in C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is removed, so the remaining (non-singular) affine curve C^{af} is given in affine coordinates by the Weierstrass form

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b \text{ for some } a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

Then f admits the Hasse principle if and only if C^{af} does not have any \mathbb{F}_q -point on the x -axis.

Corollary 4.9. *Let C be an elliptic \mathbb{F}_q -curve and suppose $\infty \in C(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Then for any integral unimodular form f of any rank $n > 2$ one has $c(f) = |C(\mathbb{F}_q)|/2$.*

Lemma 4.10. *Let C be an elliptic \mathbb{F}_q -curve. Suppose that $-1 \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2$ and $\infty \in C(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Then $c(1_2) = |C(\mathbb{F}_q)|$.*

Proof. The orthogonal group scheme over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ of 1_2 is $\underline{\mathbf{O}}_2$. Consider the exact sequence of smooth $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ -schemes (recall that $\text{char}(K)$ is odd):

$$1 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{SO}}_2 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{O}}_2 \rightarrow \underline{\mu}_2 \rightarrow 1.$$

As $-1 \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2$, the one dimensional torus $\underline{\mathbf{SO}}_2$ is split, and so $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mathbf{SO}}_2) = \text{Pic}(C^{\text{af}})$. Then due to isomorphism [\(4.7\)](#), $C(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mathbf{SO}}_2)$, classifying according to [Proposition 4.2](#) the integral forms in $\text{gen}(1_2)$. According to Hilbert 90 Theorem, this set is also equal to $\ker[H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mathbf{SO}}_2) \rightarrow H^1(K, \underline{\mathbf{SO}}_2)]$, so the geometric interpretation of this result is that non-trivial principal $\underline{\mathbf{SO}}_2$ -bundles, which are in this case non-trivial line bundles of C^{af} , become trivial while tensoring with K . This causes the failure of the Hasse principle. \square

Remark 4.11. [Lemma 4.10](#) with isomorphism [\(4.7\)](#) show that the UFD condition for $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ is essential for the validity of the Hasse principle in case of rank 2, even for unimodular forms as 1_2 . Moreover, even if $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ is a UFD, it is still essential to assume for $n = 2$ that the integer closure of $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ in the splitting field of \mathbf{SO}_V is a UFD as well.

For example, the elliptic curve $C := \{ZY^2 = X^3 - XZ^2 - Z^3\}$ defined over \mathbb{F}_3 (in which -1 is not a square) has a single \mathbb{F}_3 -rational point $(0 : 1 : 0)$. Suppose we choose it to be ∞ . Then $C^{\text{af}} = \{y^2 = x^3 - x - 1\}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}} = \mathbb{F}_3(C^{\text{af}})$ is a UFD (by [\(4.7\)](#)). But the extension $\mathcal{O}'_{\{\infty\}}$ by $i = \sqrt{-1}$, being the integer closure of $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ in the splitting field of \mathbf{SO}_2 , gives rise to more rational points of C like $(-1 : i : 1)$. Thus as $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}) = 0$, étale cohomology applied on sequence [\(4.6\)](#) implies the bijection of the non-trivial sets: $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}, \underline{\mathbf{SO}}_2) \cong \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}'_{\{\infty\}})$ (see in the proof of [Theorem 4.5](#)), which shows according to [Corollary 4.3](#) that the Hasse principle fails for the $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ -form 1_2 .

Example 4.12. Let $C = \{Y^2Z = X^3 + XZ^2\}$ defined over \mathbb{F}_5 . Then:

$$C(\mathbb{F}_5) = \{(0 : 0 : 1), (1 : 0 : 2), (1 : 0 : 3), (0 : 1 : 0)\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}.$$

Removing $\infty = (0 : 1 : 0)$, we get the affine elliptic curve:

$$C^{\text{af}} = \{y^2 = x^3 + x\} \text{ with: } \mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}} = \mathbb{F}_5[x, y]/(y^2 - x^3 - x).$$

According to Lemma 4.10, we have four $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ -isomorphism classes in $\text{gen}(1_2)$. The key obstruction here for finding explicit integral forms from the same genus of 1_2 which are not $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ -isomorphic to it, is using the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ is not a UFD in such a way that there exist distinct isomorphisms to 1_2 , defined over integer rings at distinct places.

Explicitly, the affine supports of the points in $C(\mathbb{F}_5)$ are:

$$\{(0, 0), (1/2, 0) = (3, 0), (1/3, 0) = (2, 0), \infty\}.$$

Each one of the first three points corresponds to an intersection between (y) and another prime:

$$(0, 0) \leftrightarrow (y) \cap (x), (3, 0) \leftrightarrow (y) \cap (x - 3), (2, 0) \leftrightarrow (y) \cap (x - 2)$$

while ∞ is associated to the all affine curve C^{af} . For any $t \in \{1, x, x + 2, x - 2\}$, the matrix

$$P_{(t)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 3t & 2 - t \\ 3 + t & 1 + 3t \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\det(P_{(t)}) = 2t$ is invertible in $C^{\text{af}} - \{t\}$ (in all C^{af} if $t = 1$), giving rise to the integral form represented by

$$G_t = P_{(t)}^t P_{(t)} = \begin{pmatrix} 2t & 0 \\ 0 & 2t \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, using the relation (which is due to the fact that $-1 \in (\mathbb{F}_5^\times)^2$):

$$y^2 = x(x + 2)(x - 2)$$

one may define the matrices:

$$Q_{(x)} = \frac{y}{(x - 2)(x + 2)} \begin{pmatrix} x - 1 & x + 1 \\ -(x + 1) & x - 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_{(x-2)} = \frac{y}{x(x + 2)} \begin{pmatrix} x - 1 & x + 3 \\ x + 3 & -(x - 1) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Q_{(x+2)} = \frac{y}{x(x-2)} \begin{pmatrix} x+1 & x+2 \\ x+2 & -(x+1) \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfying each $Q_{(t)}^t Q_{(t)} = G_t$ as well, and being invertible at the remaining place (t) .

We get four non-equivalent 1-cocycles, since $\sqrt{\det(G_{t_1})/\det(G_{t_2})} = t_1/t_2$ is not invertible over $\mathcal{O}_{\{\infty\}}$ for any $t_1 \neq t_2$. The generic fibers of these cocycles are trivial, since both $P_{(t)}$ and $Q_{(t)}$ are well defined over K for any t , and the transition maps $Q_{(t)}^t Q_{(t)} \cdot (P_{(t)}^t P_{(t)})^{-1}$ are trivial. The four corresponding non-isomorphic integral forms in $\text{gen}(1_2)$ are those represented by $\{G_t\}$.

For $n > 2$, however, any unimodular form f defined over this domain $\mathbb{F}_q[C^{\text{af}}]$ will admit according to Corollary 4.9 only $|C(\mathbb{F}_q)/2| = 2$ classes in the genus of f .

Acknowledgments

The author thanks B. Conrad, L. Gerstein, P. Gille and B. Kunyavskii for valuable discussions concerning the topics of the present article, and the anonymous referee for his instructive remarks.

References

- [SGA4] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, J.-L. Verdier, Théorie des Topos et Cohomologie Étale des Schémas, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, 1972/1973, SGA 4.
- [Bas] H. Bass, Clifford algebras and spinor norms over a commutative ring, Amer. J. Math. 96 (1974) 156–206.
- [BS] M. Bhargava, M. Satriano, On a notion of Galois closure for extensions of rings, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 16 (9) (2014) 1881–1913.
- [BLR] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, M. Raynaud, Néron models, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [Bou] N. Bourbaki, Commutative Algebra, Éléments de Mathématique, Hermann, Paris, 1972.
- [Con1] B. Conrad, Finiteness theorems for algebraic groups over function fields, Compos. Math. 148 (2) (2012) 555–639.
- [Con2] B. Conrad, Math 252. Properties of orthogonal groups, [http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/252Page/handouts/O\(q\).pdf](http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/252Page/handouts/O(q).pdf).
- [SGA3] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, Schémas en groupes. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962/64, Tome II, 2011, Réédition de SGA3, P. Gille, P. Polo.
- [EKM] R. Elman, N. Karpenko, A. Merkurjev, The Algebraic and Geometric Theory of Quadratic Forms, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 56, 2008.
- [Ger1] L.J. Gerstein, Unimodular quadratic forms over global function fields, J. Number Theory 11 (1979) 529–541.
- [Ger2] L.J. Gerstein, Basic Quadratic Forms, Grad. Stud. Math., vol. 90, Amer. Math. Soc., 2008.
- [GS] P. Gille, T. Szamueli, Central Simple Algebra and Galois Cohomology, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 101, 2006.
- [Gir] J. Giraud, Cohomologie non abélienne, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1971.
- [Gon2] C.D. González-Avilés, Quasi-abelian crossed modules and nonabelian cohomology, J. Algebra 369 (2012) 235–255.
- [Gon1] C.D. González-Avilés, Abelian class groups of reductive group schemes, Israel J. Math. 196 (2013) 175–214.
- [Gro] A. Grothendieck, Le groupe de Brauer III: Exemples et compléments, in: Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968, pp. 88–188.
- [SGA1] A. Grothendieck, M. Raynaud, Revêtements Étale et Groupe Fondamental, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 224, Springer, Heidelberg, 1971, pp. 1960–1961.

- [Hard] G. Harder, Über die Galoiskohomologie halbeinfacher algebraischer Gruppen, III, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 274/275 (1975) 125–138.
- [Hart] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, 1977.
- [Lam] T.Y. Lam, *Introduction to Quadratic Forms over Fields*, Grad. Stud. Math., vol. 67, Amer. Math. Soc., 2004, Amer. J. Math. 78 (1956) 555–563.
- [Len] H.W. Lenstra, Galois theory for schemes, <http://websites.math.leidenuniv.nl/algebra/GSchemes.pdf>.
- [Liu] Q. Liu, *Algebraic Geometry and Arithmetic Curves*, Oxford University Press, 2002.
- [Mil] J.S. Milne, *Étale Cohomology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1980.
- [MH] J. Milnor, D. Husemoller, *Symmetric Bilinear Forms*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1973.
- [Mor] M. Morishita, On S-class number relations of algebraic tori in Galois extensions of global fields, *Nagoya Math. J.* 124 (1991) 133–144.
- [Nis] Y. Nisnevich, *Étale cohomology and arithmetic of semisimple groups*, PhD thesis, Harvard University, 1982.
- [OMe] O.T. O’Meara, *Introduction to Quadratic Forms*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1971.
- [PR] V. Platonov, A. Rapinchuk, *Algebraic Groups and Number Theory*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1994.
- [Pra] G. Prasad, Strong approximation for semi-simple groups over function fields, *Ann. of Math.* 105 (1977) 553–572.
- [Sam] P. Samuel, On unique factorization domains, *Illinois J. Math.* 5 (1961) 1–17.
- [Ser] J.-P. Serre, *Algebraic Groups and Class Fields*, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [Sza] T. Szamuely, *Galois Groups and Fundamental Groups*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 117, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [Vos] V.E. Voskresenskii, *Algebraic Groups and Their Birational Invariants*, Transl. Math. Monogr., vol. 179, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.