

Accepted Manuscript

Proof of some divisibility results on sums involving binomial coefficients

Ji-Cai Liu

PII: S0022-314X(17)30208-1
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2017.05.006>
Reference: YJNTH 5771

To appear in: *Journal of Number Theory*

Received date: 15 January 2017
Revised date: 26 April 2017
Accepted date: 11 May 2017

Please cite this article in press as: J.-C. Liu, Proof of some divisibility results on sums involving binomial coefficients, *J. Number Theory* (2017), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2017.05.006>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Proof of some divisibility results on sums involving binomial coefficients

Ji-Cai Liu

College of Mathematics and Information Science, Wenzhou University, Wenzhou 325035, PR China
jc2051@163.com

Abstract. By using the Rodriguez-Villegas-Mortenson supercongruences, we prove four supercongruences on sums involving binomial coefficients, which were originally conjectured by Sun. We also confirm a related conjecture of Guo on integer-valued polynomials.

Keywords: Supercongruences; Delannoy number; Legendre symbol; Zeilberger algorithm

MR Subject Classifications: Primary 11A07; Secondary 33C05

1 Introduction

In 2003, Rodriguez-Villegas [11] conjectured 22 supercongruences for hypergeometric Calabi-Yau manifolds of dimension $d \leq 3$. For manifolds of dimension $d = 1$, associated to certain elliptic curves, four conjectural supercongruences were posed. Mortenson [8, 9] first proved these four supercongruences by using the Gross-Koblitz formula.

Theorem 1.1 (*Rodriguez-Villegas-Mortenson*) *Suppose $p \geq 5$ is a prime. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(1/2)_k^2}{(1)_k^2} &\equiv \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \pmod{p^2}, & \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(1/3)_k(2/3)_k}{(1)_k^2} &\equiv \left(\frac{-3}{p}\right) \pmod{p^2}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(1/4)_k(3/4)_k}{(1)_k^2} &\equiv \left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) \pmod{p^2}, & \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(1/6)_k(5/6)_k}{(1)_k^2} &\equiv \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \pmod{p^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$ denotes the Legendre symbol and $(x)_k = x(x+1)\cdots(x+k-1)$.

Sun [12] introduced the following two kinds of polynomials:

$$d_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{x}{k} 2^k \quad \text{and} \quad s_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{x}{k} \binom{x+k}{k}.$$

Note that $d_n(m)$ are the Delannoy numbers, which count the number of paths from $(0, 0)$ to (m, n) , only using steps $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 1)$. For more information on Delannoy numbers, one can refer to [2].

The first aim of this paper is to prove the following result, which was originally conjectured by Sun [12, Conjecture 6.11].

Theorem 1.2 Suppose $p \geq 5$ is a prime. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (2k+1)s_k \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \equiv \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) p^2 \pmod{p^4}, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (2k+1)s_k \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 \equiv \frac{7}{9} \left(\frac{-3}{p}\right) p^2 \pmod{p^4}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (2k+1)s_k \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \equiv \frac{13}{16} \left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) p^2 \pmod{p^4}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (2k+1)s_k \left(-\frac{1}{6}\right)^2 \equiv \frac{31}{36} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) p^2 \pmod{p^4}. \quad (1.4)$$

Recently, Guo [5, Theorem 5.1] showed that for any odd prime p and p -adic integer x ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (2k+1)s_k(x)^2 \equiv p^2 \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} \binom{x+k}{2k} \binom{x}{j} \binom{x+j}{j} \binom{2k}{j+k} \pmod{p^4}. \quad (1.5)$$

Recall that a polynomial $P(x)$ with real coefficients is called *integer-valued*, if $P(x)$ takes integer values for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. The second aim of this paper is to prove the following result, which was originally conjectured by Guo [5, Conjecture 5.5].

Theorem 1.3 Let n and m be positive integers and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon^k (2k+1) d_k(x)^m s_k(x)^m \quad (1.6)$$

is integer-valued.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We need the following lemma (see [7, Theorem 1.3]).

Lemma 2.1 Suppose $p \geq 5$ is a prime. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} \frac{(1/2)_k^2}{(1)_k^2} &\equiv \frac{5}{4} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \pmod{p^2}, & \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} \frac{(1/3)_k (2/3)_k}{(1)_k^2} &\equiv \frac{11}{9} \left(\frac{-3}{p}\right) \pmod{p^2}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} \frac{(1/4)_k (3/4)_k}{(1)_k^2} &\equiv \frac{19}{16} \left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) \pmod{p^2}, & \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} \frac{(1/6)_k (5/6)_k}{(1)_k^2} &\equiv \frac{41}{36} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \pmod{p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We begin with the following identity [4, (2.5)]:

$$\binom{x}{k} \binom{x+k}{k} \binom{x}{j} \binom{x+j}{j} = \sum_{s=0}^{j+k} \binom{j+k}{s} \binom{s}{j} \binom{s}{k} \binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s}. \quad (2.1)$$

Note that

$$\binom{x+k}{2k} \binom{x}{j} \binom{x+j}{j} = \binom{x}{k} \binom{x+k}{k} \binom{x}{j} \binom{x+j}{j} / \binom{2k}{k}. \quad (2.2)$$

Substituting (2.1) and (2.2) into the right-hand side of (1.5) and then exchanging the summation order gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (2k+1) s_k(x)^2 \\ & \equiv p^2 \sum_{s=0}^{2p-2} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} \binom{2k}{j+k} \binom{j+k}{s} \binom{s}{j} \binom{s}{k} \binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s} / \binom{2k}{k} \pmod{p^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

By the Chu-Vandermonde identity, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{2k}{j+k} \binom{j+k}{s} \binom{s}{j} = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{2k}{s} \binom{s}{j} \binom{2k-s}{k-j} = \binom{2k}{s} \binom{2k}{k}. \quad (2.4)$$

It follows from (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (2k+1) s_k(x)^2 \equiv p^2 \sum_{s=0}^{2p-2} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} \binom{2k}{s} \binom{s}{k} \binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s} \pmod{p^4}. \quad (2.5)$$

Applying the following identity [6, (2.6)]:

$$\sum_{k=0}^s \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} \binom{2k}{s} \binom{s}{k} = (-1)^s,$$

we obtain

$$p^2 \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} \binom{2k}{s} \binom{s}{k} \binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s} = p^2 \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} (-1)^s \binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s}. \quad (2.6)$$

On the other hand, we have the following supercongruence [6, (3.2)]:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} \binom{2k}{s} \binom{s}{k} \equiv (-1)^s \left(-1 + \frac{2p}{s+1} \right) \pmod{p^2} \quad (2.7)$$

for $p \leq s \leq 2p - 2$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & p^2 \sum_{s=p}^{2p-2} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} \binom{2k}{s} \binom{s}{k} \binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s} \\ & \equiv 2p^3 \sum_{s=p}^{2p-1} \frac{(-1)^s}{s+1} \binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s} - p^2 \sum_{s=p}^{2p-1} (-1)^s \binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s} \pmod{p^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where we have used the fact that $\binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ for $s = 2p - 1$ and $x = -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{6}$.

Note that

$$\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^s}{s+1} \binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s} = \frac{n(-1)^{n+1}}{x(x+1)} \binom{x}{n} \binom{x+n}{n},$$

which can be easily proved by induction on n . So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=p}^{2p-1} \frac{(-1)^s}{s+1} \binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s} &= \sum_{s=0}^{2p-1} \frac{(-1)^s}{s+1} \binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s} - \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^s}{s+1} \binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

for $x = -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{6}$.

Furthermore, combining (2.5), (2.6), (2.8) and (2.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (2k+1) s_k(x)^2 \\ & \equiv p^2 \left(2 \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} (-1)^s \binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s} - \sum_{s=0}^{2p-1} (-1)^s \binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s} \right) \pmod{p^4} \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

for $x = -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{6}$.

Finally, noting that

$$(-1)^s \binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s} = \frac{(-x)_s (1+x)_s}{(1)_s^2},$$

and then using Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 2.1, we obtain (1.1)-(1.4). This completes the proof. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Chen and Guo [3] defined the following multi-variable Schmidt polynomials:

$$S_n(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+k}{2k} \binom{2k}{k} x_k.$$

The following lemma is a special case of [3, Theorem 1.1]. It has already been used by Guo [5] to prove Sun's conjectures on integer-valued polynomials.

Lemma 3.1 *Let n and m be positive integers. Then all the coefficients in*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon^k (2k+1) S_k(x_0, \dots, x_k)^m$$

are multiples of n .

Lemma 3.2 *For any non-negative integer n , we have*

$$d_n(x) s_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+k}{2k} \binom{2k}{k} \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{x+j}{k+j} \binom{x}{i} \binom{k}{j} \binom{j}{i} 2^i. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Since both sides of (3.1) are polynomials in x of degree $3n$, it suffices to prove that for any non-negative integers n and m ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \binom{m}{i} \binom{n}{j} \binom{m}{j} \binom{m+j}{j} 2^i \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n+k}{2k} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{m+j}{k+j} \binom{m}{i} \binom{k}{j} \binom{j}{i} 2^i. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Clearly, (3.2) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{n}{i} \binom{m}{i} \binom{n}{j} \binom{m}{j} \binom{m+j}{j} 2^i \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{n+k}{2k} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{m+j}{k+j} \binom{m}{i} \binom{k}{j} \binom{j}{i} 2^i. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Let $A_m^{(1)}(n)$ and $A_m^{(2)}(n)$ denote the left-hand side and the right-hand side of (3.3), respectively. Applying the multi-Zeilberger algorithm [1, 10], we find that $A_m^{(1)}(n)$ and $A_m^{(2)}(n)$ satisfy the same recurrence of order 4:

$$\begin{aligned} & (m+1)^3(m+2)(3m^2+18m+26)A_m^{(s)}(n) - 2(m+2)(12m^3n^2+12m^3n+90m^2n^2 \\ & + 3m^3+90m^2n+212mn^2+23m^2+212mn+156n^2+55m+156n+41)A_{m+1}^{(s)}(n) \\ & - 2(3m^6+30m^4n^2+45m^5+30m^4n+300m^3n^2+287m^4+300m^3n+1094m^2n^2 \\ & + 995m^3+1094m^2n+1720mn^2+1964m^2+1720mn+978n^2+2070m+978n \\ & + 898)A_{m+2}^{(s)}(n) - 2(m+3)(12m^3n^2+12m^3n+90m^2n^2+3m^3+90m^2n+212mn^2 \\ & + 22m^2+212mn+154n^2+50m+154n+34)A_{m+3}^{(s)}(n) + (m+3)(m+4)^3 \\ & \times (3m^2+12m+11)A_{m+4}^{(s)}(n) = 0, \quad \text{for } s = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily checked that $A_m^{(1)}(n) = A_m^{(2)}(n)$ for $0 \leq m \leq 3$. This proves (3.3). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We can rewrite (3.1) as

$$d_n(x)s_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+k}{2k} \binom{2k}{k} f_k(x), \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$f_k(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{x+j}{k+j} \binom{x}{i} \binom{k}{j} \binom{j}{i} 2^i.$$

Clearly, these $f_k(x)$ are integer-valued polynomials for $0 \leq k \leq n$. From Lemma 3.1 and the identity (3.4), we immediately conclude that (1.6) is integer-valued. \square

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for careful reading of this manuscript and helpful comments, which make the paper more readable.

References

- [1] M. Apagodu and D. Zeilberger, Multi-variable Zeilberger and Almkvist–Zeilberger algorithms and the sharpening of Wilf–Zeilberger theory, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 37 (2006), 139–152.
- [2] C. Banderier and S.R. Schwer, Why Delannoy’s numbers? *J. Stat. Plan. Infer.* 135 (2005), 40–54.
- [3] Q.-F. Chen and V.J.W. Guo, On the divisibility of sums involving powers of multi-variable Schmidt polynomials, *Int. J. Number Theory*, in press. (arXiv:1412.5734)
- [4] V.J.W. Guo, Some congruences involving powers of Legendre polynomials, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* 26 (2015), 660–666.
- [5] V.J.W. Guo, Proof of Sun’s conjectures on integer-valued polynomials, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 444 (2016), 182–191.
- [6] J.-C. Liu, A supercongruence involving Delannoy numbers and Schröder numbers, *J. Number Theory* 168 (2016), 117–127.
- [7] J.-C. Liu, Congruences for truncated hypergeometric series ${}_2F_1$, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, online, doi: 10.1017/S0004972717000181.
- [8] E. Mortenson, A supercongruence conjecture of Rodriguez-Villegas for a certain truncated hypergeometric function, *J. Number Theory* 99 (2003), 139–147.
- [9] E. Mortenson, Supercongruences between truncated ${}_2F_1$ hypergeometric functions and their Gaussian analogs, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 355 (2003), 987–1007.
- [10] M. Petkovšek, H. S. Wilf and D. Zeilberger, *A = B*, A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1996.
- [11] F. Rodriguez-Villegas, Hypergeometric families of Calabi-Yau manifolds, in: *Calabi-Yau Varieties and Mirror Symmetry* (Toronto, ON, 2001), *Fields Inst. Commun.*, 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, 223–231.
- [12] Z.-W. Sun, Supercongruences involving dual sequences, *Finite Fields Appl.* 46 (2017), 179–216.