



Self-approximation of Dirichlet L -functions

Ramūnas Garunkštis¹

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius University, Naugarduko 24, 03225 Vilnius, Lithuania

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 June 2010

Accepted 26 January 2011

Available online 21 March 2011

Communicated by K. Soundararajan

Keywords:

Dirichlet L -function

Self-approximation

Strong recurrence

ABSTRACT

Let d be a real number, let s be in a fixed compact set of the strip $1/2 < \sigma < 1$, and let $L(s, \chi)$ be the Dirichlet L -function. The hypothesis is that for any real number d there exist 'many' real numbers τ such that the shifts $L(s + i\tau, \chi)$ and $L(s + id\tau, \chi)$ are 'near' each other. If d is an algebraic irrational number then this was obtained by T. Nakamura. Ł. Pańkowski solved the case then d is a transcendental number. We prove the case then $d \neq 0$ is a rational number. If $d = 0$ then by B. Bagchi we know that the above hypothesis is equivalent to the Riemann hypothesis for the given Dirichlet L -function. We also consider a more general version of the above problem.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let, as usual, $s = \sigma + it$ denote a complex variable. For $\sigma > 1$, the Dirichlet L -function is given by

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s},$$

where $\chi(n)$ is a Dirichlet character mod q . For $q = 1$ we get $L(s, \chi) = \zeta(s)$, where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta-function.

In [6] Bohr proved that if χ is a nonprincipal character, then the Riemann hypothesis for $L(s, \chi)$ is equivalent to the almost periodicity of $L(s, \chi)$ in the half plane $\sigma > 1/2$. A function $f(s)$ is *almost periodic* in a region $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ if for any positive ε and any compact subset K in E there exists a sequence of real numbers $\dots < \tau_{-1} < 0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \dots$ such that

E-mail address: ramunas.garunkstis@mif.vu.lt.

URL: <http://www.mif.vu.lt/~garunkstis>.

¹ Supported by grant No. MIP-94 from the Research Council of Lithuania.

$$\liminf_{m \rightarrow \pm\infty} (\tau_{m+1} - \tau_m) > 0, \quad \limsup_{m \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{\tau_m}{m} < \infty$$

and

$$|f(s + i\tau_m) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } s \in K \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{Z}$$

hold. Bohr [6] also obtained that every Dirichlet series is almost-periodic in its half-plane of absolute convergence. Effective upper bounds for the almost periodicity of Dirichlet series with Euler products in the half-plane of absolute convergence were considered by Gironde and Steuding [7]. Note that every Dirichlet L -function is almost periodic in the sense of Besicovitch on any vertical line of the strip $1/2 < \sigma < 1$. For this and related results see Besicovitch [5] and Mauclair [13,14].

Bagchi [2] proved that the Riemann hypothesis for $L(s, \chi)$ (χ is an arbitrary Dirichlet character) is true if and only if for any compact subset \mathcal{K} of the strip $1/2 < \sigma < 1$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T]: \max_{s \in \mathcal{K}} |L(s + i\tau, \chi) - L(s, \chi)| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0, \quad (1)$$

where $\text{meas } A$ stands for the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set A . Bagchi says that the Dirichlet L -function $L(s, \chi)$ is *strongly recurrent* on the strip $\sigma_0 < \sigma < \sigma_1$ if (1) is valid for any compact \mathcal{K} of the strip $\sigma_0 < \sigma < \sigma_1$. The strong recurrence is connected with the universality property of Dirichlet series. More about the universality and the strong recurrence see Bagchi [1–3], and Steuding [17].

There are several unconditional results concerning the self-approximation of Dirichlet L -functions in the critical strip. Let \mathcal{K} be a compact subset of the strip $1/2 < \sigma < 1$ and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that \mathcal{K} and $\mathcal{K} + i\lambda := \{s + i\lambda: s \in \mathcal{K}\}$ are disjoint. From Kaczorowski, Laurinćikas and Steuding [10] it follows that for any character χ and any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T]: \max_{s \in \mathcal{K}} |L(s + i\lambda + i\tau, \chi) - L(s + i\tau, \chi)| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0.$$

Nakamura [15] considered the joint universality of shifted Dirichlet L -functions. His Theorem 1.1 leads to the following statement. If $1 = d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m$ are algebraic real numbers *linearly independent* over \mathbb{Q} , then for any Dirichlet character χ and any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T]: \max_{1 \leq j, k \leq m} \max_{s \in \mathcal{K}} |L(s + id_j \tau, \chi) - L(s + id_k \tau, \chi)| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0. \quad (2)$$

If $m = 2$ then Pańkowski [16] using Six Exponentials Theorem showed that (2) holds for d_1, d_2 are real numbers linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} .

We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $1 = d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m$ be nonzero algebraic real numbers and let \mathcal{K} be a compact subset of the strip $1/2 < \sigma < 1$. Then for any Dirichlet character χ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ the inequality (2) is valid.

Note that Theorem 1 remains true if d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m are replaced by dd_1, dd_2, \dots, dd_m , where $d \in \mathbb{R}$. The next theorem shows that ‘ \liminf ’ in the inequality (2) often can be replaced by ‘ \lim ’.

Theorem 2. Let d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m be any real numbers, let $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_m$ be any Dirichlet characters, and let \mathcal{K} be a compact subset of the strip $1/2 < \sigma < 1$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, except an at most countable set of ε , there exists a limit

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T]: \max_{1 \leq j, k \leq m} \max_{s \in \mathcal{K}} |L(s + id_j \tau, \chi_j) - L(s + id_k \tau, \chi_k)| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

The mentioned results of Nakamura and Pańkowski together with Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 lead to the following corollary.

Corollary 3. Let d be a nonzero real number and let \mathcal{K} be a compact subset of the strip $1/2 < \sigma < 1$. Then for any Dirichlet character χ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, except an at most countable set of ε ,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \max_{s \in \mathcal{K}} |L(s + i\tau, \chi) - L(s + id\tau, \chi)| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0. \quad (3)$$

From the proof of Theorem 2 we see that for any real numbers d_1, \dots, d_m and for any Dirichlet characters χ_1, \dots, χ_m the function

$$g(\tau) = \max_{1 \leq j, k \leq m} \max_{s \in \mathcal{K}} |L(s + id_j \tau, \chi_j) - L(s + id_k \tau, \chi_k)|$$

is Besicovitch almost periodic function (for the definition see Section 3 above the proof of Theorem 2). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that the limit (3) exists. For such ε we define a characteristic function $I_\varepsilon(\tau)$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, by

$$I_\varepsilon(\tau) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } g(\tau) < \varepsilon, \\ 0, & \text{if } g(\tau) \geq \varepsilon. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

It is known (Jessen and Wintner [9, Section 12]) that $I_\varepsilon(\tau)$ is Besicovitch almost periodic function also. Thus we can say that self-approximations of Dirichlet L -functions, considered in this paper, usually appear in a regular way.

Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are proved in Section 3. Next we state several lemmas.

2. Lemmas

We start from the following statement.

Lemma 4. Let \mathcal{K} be a compact subset of the rectangle U . Let

$$d = \min_{z \in \partial U} \min_{s \in \mathcal{K}} |s - z|.$$

If $f(s)$ is analytic on U and

$$\int_U |f(s)|^2 d\sigma dt \leq \varepsilon,$$

then

$$\max_{s \in \mathcal{K}} |f(s)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon/\pi}}{d}.$$

Proof. The lemma can be found in Gonek [8, Lemma 2.5]. \square

Lemma 5. Let a_1, \dots, a_N be real numbers linearly independent over the rational numbers. Let γ be a region of the N -dimensional unit cube with volume V (in the Jordan sense). Let $I_\gamma(T)$ be the sum of the intervals between $t = 0$ and $t = T$ for which the point $(a_1 t, \dots, a_N t)$ is mod 1 inside γ . Then

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I_\gamma(T)}{T} = V.$$

Proof. This is Theorem 1 in Appendix, Section 8, of Voronin and Karatsuba [11]. \square

For a curve $\omega(t)$ in \mathbb{R}^N we introduce the notation

$$\{\omega(t)\} = (\omega_1(t) - [\omega_1(t)], \dots, \omega_N(t) - [\omega_N(t)]),$$

where $[x]$ denotes the integral part of $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 6. Suppose that the curve $\omega(t)$ is uniformly distributed mod 1 in \mathbb{R}^N . Let D be a closed and Jordan measurable subregion of the unit cube in \mathbb{R}^N and let Ω be a family of complex-valued continuous functions defined on D . If Ω is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous, then

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(\{\omega(t)\}) 1_D(t) dt = \int_D f(x_1, \dots, x_N) dx_1 \dots dx_N$$

uniformly with respect to $f \in \Omega$, where $1_D(t)$ is equal to 1 if $\omega(t) \in D \bmod 1$, and 0 otherwise.

Proof. The lemma is Theorem 3 in Appendix, Section 8, of Voronin and Karatsuba [11]. \square

Lemma 7. Let p_n be the n th prime number and $1 = d_1, d_2, \dots, d_l$ be algebraic real numbers which are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Then the set $\{d_k \log p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{1 \leq k \leq l}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} .

Proof. This is Proposition 2.2 in Nakamura [15]. The proof is based on Baker's [4, Theorem 2.4] result. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

Proof of Theorem 1. We define a truncated Dirichlet L -function

$$L_v(s, \chi) = \prod_{p \leq v} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}.$$

Roughly speaking, we first prove Theorem 1 for the truncated Dirichlet L -function and later we show that the tail is small.

Let $\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_l\}$ be a maximal linearly independent (over \mathbb{Q}) subset of the set $\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m\}$. Then there are integers $a \neq 0$ and $a_{k,1}, a_{k,2}, \dots, a_{k,l}$ such that

$$d_k = \frac{1}{a} (a_{k,1}d_1 + a_{k,2}d_2 + \dots + a_{k,l}d_l) \quad \text{for } l < k \leq m. \quad (5)$$

Let

$$A = \max_{l < k \leq m} \{|a_{k,1}| + |a_{k,2}| + \dots + |a_{k,l}|\}.$$

Denote by $\|x\|$ the minimal distance of $x \in \mathbb{R}$ to an integer. If

$$\left\| \tau \frac{d_n \log p}{2\pi a} \right\| < \delta \quad \text{for } p \leq v \text{ and } 1 \leq n \leq l, \quad (6)$$

then

$$\left\| \tau \frac{d_n \log p}{2\pi} \right\| < a\delta \quad \text{for } p \leq v \text{ and } 1 \leq n \leq l$$

and, by the relation (5),

$$\left\| \tau \frac{d_k \log p}{2\pi} \right\| < A\delta \quad \text{for } p \leq v \text{ and } l < k \leq m.$$

By this and by the continuity of the function $L_v(s, \chi)$ we have that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that for τ satisfying (6)

$$\max_{1 \leq k, n \leq m} \max_{s \in \mathcal{K}} |\log L_v(s + id_k \tau, \chi) - \log L_v(s + id_n \tau, \chi)| < \varepsilon. \quad (7)$$

For positive numbers δ , v , and T we define the set

$$S_T = S_T(\delta, v) = \left\{ \tau: \tau \in [0, T], \left\| \tau \frac{d_n \log p}{2\pi a} \right\| < \delta, p \leq v, 1 \leq n \leq l \right\}. \quad (8)$$

Let U be an open bounded rectangle with vertices on the lines $\sigma = \sigma_1$ and $\sigma = \sigma_2$, where $1/2 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < 1$, such that the set \mathcal{K} is in U . Let $y > v$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{T} \int_{S_T} \int_U \sum_{k=1}^m |\log L_y(s + id_k \tau, \chi) - \log L_v(s + id_k \tau, \chi)|^2 d\sigma dt d\tau \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \int_U \frac{1}{T} \int_{S_T} |\log L_y(s + id_k \tau, \chi) - \log L_v(s + id_k \tau, \chi)|^2 d\tau d\sigma dt. \end{aligned}$$

For the inner integrals of the right-hand side of the last equality we will apply Lemma 6. Let p_n be the n th prime number. There are indexes M and N such that $p_M \leq v < p_{M+1}$ and $p_N \leq y < p_{N+1}$. By generalized Kronecker's theorem (Lemma 5) and by Lemma 7 the curve

$$\omega(\tau) = \left(\tau \frac{d_k \log p_n}{2\pi a} \right)_{1 \leq n \leq N}^{1 \leq k \leq l}$$

is uniformly distributed mod 1 in \mathbb{R}^{lN} . Let R' be a subregion of the lN -dimensional unit cube defined by inequalities

$$\|y_{k,n}\| \leq \delta \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq l \text{ and } 1 \leq n \leq M$$

and

$$\left| y_{k,n} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq l \text{ and } M+1 \leq n \leq N.$$

Let R be a subregion of the lM -dimensional unit cube defined by inequalities

$$\|y_{k,n}\| \leq \delta \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq l \text{ and } 1 \leq n \leq M.$$

Clearly

$$\text{meas } R' = \text{meas } R = (2\delta)^{lM}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \log L_y(s + id_k\tau, \chi) - \log L_v(s + id_k\tau, \chi) &= \log \frac{L_y}{L_v}(s + id_k\tau, \chi) \\ &= - \sum_{v < p \leq y} \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^{s+id_k\tau}} \right) = \sum_{v < p \leq y} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi^j(p)}{jp^{j(s+id_k\tau)}} \\ &= \sum_{M < n \leq N} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi^j(p_n)}{jp_n^{j(s+id_k\tau)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Thus in view of the linear dependence (5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{S_T} \sum_{k=1}^m \left| \log \frac{L_y}{L_v}(s + id_k\tau, \chi) \right|^2 d\tau \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{S_T} \left(\sum_{k=1}^l \left| \log \frac{L_y}{L_v}(s + id_k\tau, \chi) \right|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=l+1}^m \left| \log \frac{L_y}{L_v} \left(s + \frac{i}{a} (a_{k,1}d_1 + a_{k,2}d_2 + \dots + a_{k,l}d_l)\tau, \chi \right) \right|^2 \right) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6 and equality (9) we obtain that the last limit is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{R'} \left(\sum_{k=1}^l \left| \sum_{M < n \leq N} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi^j(p) e^{-2\pi i j a y_{k,n}}}{jp_n^{js}} \right|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=l+1}^m \left| \sum_{M < n \leq N} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi^j(p) e^{2\pi i j (a_{k,1}y_{1,n} + a_{k,2}y_{2,n} + \dots + a_{k,l}y_{l,n})}}{jp_n^{js}} \right|^2 \right) dy_{1,1} \dots dy_{l,N} \\ &= \text{meas } R \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^l \left| \sum_{M < n \leq N} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi^j(p) e^{-2\pi i j a y_{k,n}}}{jp_n^{js}} \right|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=l+1}^m \left| \sum_{M < n \leq N} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi^j(p) e^{2\pi i j (a_{k,1}y_{1,n} + a_{k,2}y_{2,n} + \dots + a_{k,l}y_{l,n})}}{jp_n^{js}} \right|^2 \right) dy_{1,M+1} \dots dy_{l,N} \\ &= m \text{meas } R \sum_{v < p \leq y} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{jp^{2j\sigma}} \ll \text{meas } R \sum_{p > v} \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_{S_T} \int_U \sum_{k=1}^m |\log L_y(s + id_k \tau, \chi) - \log L_v(s + id_k \tau, \chi)|^2 d\sigma dt d\tau \ll \text{meas } R \sum_{p>y} \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma_1}}. \quad (10)$$

Again, by generalized Kronecker's theorem (Lemma 5),

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas } S_T = \text{meas } R. \quad (11)$$

By (10) and (11), for large v , as $T \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\text{meas} \left\{ \tau: \tau \in S_T, \int_U \sum_{k=1}^m \left| \log \frac{L_y}{L_v}(s + id_k \tau, \chi) \right|^2 d\sigma dt < \sqrt{\sum_{p>y} \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma_1}}} \right\} > \frac{1}{2} T \text{meas } R.$$

Then Lemma 4 gives

$$\text{meas} \left\{ \tau: \tau \in S_T, \max_{s \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{k=1}^m \left| \log \frac{L_y}{L_v}(s + id_k \tau, \chi) \right|^2 d\tau \leq \frac{1}{d\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\sum_{p>y} \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right\} > \frac{1}{2} T \text{meas } R,$$

where $d = \min_{z \in \partial U} \min_{s \in \mathcal{K}} |s - z|$. By the continuity of the logarithm we obtain that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $v = v(\varepsilon)$ such that for any $y > v$

$$\text{meas} \left\{ \tau: \tau \in S_T, \max_{s \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{k=1}^m |L_y(s + id_k \tau, \chi) - L_v(s + id_k \tau, \chi)|^2 d\tau < \varepsilon \right\} > \frac{1}{2} T \text{meas } R. \quad (12)$$

Now we will prove that for any $\delta > 0$ there is $y = y(\delta)$ such that

$$\text{meas} \left\{ \tau: \tau \in [0, T], \max_{s \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{k=1}^m |L(s + id_k \tau, \chi) - L_y(s + id_k \tau, \chi)|^2 d\tau < \delta \right\} > (1 - \delta)T. \quad (13)$$

The last formula together with (7), (8) and (12) yields Theorem 1. We return to the proof of (13). By the mean value theorem of the Dirichlet L -function (Steuding [17, Corollary 6.11]) and by Carlson's theorem (Titchmarsh [18, Chapter 9.51]) we obtain

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |L(s + ix\tau, \chi) - L_y(s + ix\tau, \chi)|^2 d\tau = \sum_{n>y} \frac{|\chi(n)|}{n^{2\sigma}},$$

where x is fixed. Thus (13) follows in view of

$$\int_0^T \int_U \sum_{k=1}^m |L(s + id_k \tau, \chi) - L_y(s + id_k \tau, \chi)|^2 d\sigma dt d\tau \ll \sum_{n>y} \frac{|\chi(n)|}{n^{2\sigma_1}}.$$

Theorem 1 is proved. \square

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on the ideas of Mauclair [13,14]. It uses the theory of Besicovitch almost periodic functions. We recall related definitions.

Let

$$P(\tau) = \sum_{n \in F} a_n e^{i\lambda_n \tau},$$

where F is a finite set, λ_n are any real numbers, and the coefficients a_n are any complex numbers. For real τ we say that $P(\tau)$ is a *trigonometric polynomial*.

A function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called *uniformly almost periodic (U.A.P.)* if given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a trigonometric polynomial $P(\tau)$ such that

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} |f(\tau) - P(\tau)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

A function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called *B^q almost periodic (B^q .A.P.)*, $q \geq 1$, if given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a trigonometric polynomial $P(\tau)$ such that

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T |f(\tau) - P(\tau)|^q d\tau \leq \varepsilon. \quad (14)$$

If $q = 1$ then we write *B.A.P.* (Besikovitch almost periodic) instead of B^1 .A.P. For any $q \geq 1$ it is clear that every U.A.P. function is B^q .A.P. and that every B^q .A.P. function is B.A.P.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let

$$g(\tau) = \max_{1 \leq j, k \leq m} \max_{s \in \mathcal{K}} |L(s + id_j \tau, \chi_j) - L(s + id_k \tau, \chi_k)|$$

and let

$$F_T(x) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\{\tau \in [0, T]: g(\tau) < x\}$$

be a distribution function of $g(\tau)$. If $g(\tau)$ is B.A.P. then it is known (see Jessen and Wintner [9, Theorem 27] or Laurinćikas [12, Theorem 6.3, Chapter 2]) that there is a distribution function $F(x)$ such that $F_T(x)$ converges weakly to $F(x)$ for $T \rightarrow \infty$. It means that if $F(x)$ is continuous at $x = \varepsilon$ then

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} F_T(\varepsilon)$$

exists. Thus to obtain Theorem 2 we need to show that $g(\tau)$ is B.A.P.

We remark that if $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ are both non-negative B.A.P. functions of t , then $t \mapsto \max(a(t), b(t))$ is also B.A.P. since $\max(a(t), b(t))$ can be written as

$$\max(a(t), b(t)) = \frac{1}{2}(|a(t) - b(t)| + (a(t) + b(t))),$$

and the modulus of B.A.P. function is again B.A.P. By this we have that $g(\tau)$ is B.A.P. if the function

$$f(\tau) = \max_{s \in \mathcal{K}} |L(s + id_1 \tau, \chi_1) - L(s + id_2 \tau, \chi_2)|$$

is B.A.P. In view of the note below the formula (14) the function $f(\tau)$ is B.A.P. if there are U.A.P. functions $f_N(\tau)$ such that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{-T}^T \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T |f(\tau) - f_N(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right) = 0. \quad (15)$$

Let

$$L_N(s, \chi) = \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}$$

be a partial sum of the Dirichlet series associated with $L(s, \chi)$. Next we show that the equality (15) is true with

$$f_N(\tau) = \max_{s \in K} |L_N(s + id_1\tau, \chi_1) - L_N(s + id_2\tau, \chi_2)|.$$

By repeating the proof of Proposition 12 of Mauclaire [13] we get that $f_N(\tau)$ is *U.A.P.* for any $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that the case when d_1 or d_2 is equal to zero is already included in Proposition 12 of Mauclaire [13].

Further we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & L(s + id_1\tau, \chi_1) - L(s + id_2\tau, \chi_2) \\ &= (L(s + id_1\tau, \chi_1) - L_N(s + id_1\tau, \chi_1) + L_N(s + id_2\tau, \chi_2) - L(s + id_2\tau, \chi_2)) \\ & \quad + (L_N(s + id_1\tau, \chi_1) - L_N(s + id_2\tau, \chi_2)), \end{aligned}$$

and as a consequence, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\tau) - f_N(\tau)| &\leq \sup_{s \in K} |L(s + id_1\tau, \chi_1) - L_N(s + id_1\tau, \chi_1) + L_N(s + id_2\tau, \chi_2) - L(s + id_2\tau, \chi_2)| \\ &\leq \sup_{s \in K} |L(s + id_1\tau, \chi_1) - L_N(s + id_1\tau, \chi_1)| \\ & \quad + \sup_{s \in K} |L_N(s + id_2\tau, \chi_2) - L(s + id_2\tau, \chi_2)|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, in view of the inequality $(a + b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T |f(\tau) - f_N(\tau)|^2 d\tau &\leq \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T}^T \left(\sup_{s \in K} |L(s + id_1\tau, \chi_1) - L_N(s + id_1\tau, \chi_1)| \right)^2 d\tau \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T}^T \left(\sup_{s \in K} |L_N(s + id_2\tau, \chi_2) - L(s + id_2\tau, \chi_2)| \right)^2 d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By Mauclaire [14, Theorem 5.1] we have that, for any real d ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{-T}^T \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \left(\sup_{s \in K} |f(s + idt) - f_N(s + idt)| \right)^2 dt \right) = 0.$$

This proves the equality (15) and Theorem 2 \square

From the proof we see that Theorem 2 remains true with Dirichlet L -functions $L(s, \chi_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, replaced by any general Dirichlet series satisfying conditions of Theorem 5.1 of Maucilaire [14].

Remark. The ‘lim inf’ version of Corollary 3 is independently obtained by Takashi Nakamura in “The generalized strong recurrence for nonzero rational parameters”, Arch. Math. 95 (2010) 549–555.

Acknowledgments

We thank Jean-Loup Maucilaire for suggesting Theorem 2 and for other useful comments which helped to improve the paper.

References

- [1] B. Bagchi, The statistical behaviour and universality properties of the Riemann zeta-function and other allied Dirichlet series, PhD thesis, Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta, 1981.
- [2] B. Bagchi, A joint universality theorem for Dirichlet L -functions, Math. Z. 181 (1982) 319–334.
- [3] B. Bagchi, Recurrence in topological dynamics and the Riemann hypothesis, Acta Math. Hungar. 50 (1987) 227–240.
- [4] A. Baker, Transcendental Number Theory, Cambridge University Press, London, 1975.
- [5] A.S. Besicovitch, Almost Periodic Functions, Dover, New York, 1954.
- [6] H. Bohr, Über eine quasi-periodische Eigenschaft Dirichletscher Reihen mit Anwendung auf die Dirichletschen L -Funktionen, Math. Ann. 85 (1922) 115–122.
- [7] E. Gironde, J. Steuding, Effective estimates for the distribution of values of Euler products, Monatsh. Math. 145 (2) (2005) 97–106.
- [8] S.M. Gonek, Analytic properties of zeta and L -functions, PhD thesis, University of Michigan, 1979.
- [9] B. Jessen, A. Wintner, Distribution functions and the Riemann zeta function, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (1935) 48–88.
- [10] J. Kaczorowski, A. Laurinćikas, J. Steuding, On the value distribution of shifts of universal Dirichlet series, Monatsh. Math. 147 (2006) 309–317.
- [11] A.A. Karatsuba, S.M. Voronin, The Riemann Zeta-Function, de Gruyter Exp. Math., vol. 5, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- [12] A. Laurinćikas, Limit Theorems for the Riemann Zeta-Function, Math. Appl. (Dordrecht), vol. 352, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1995.
- [13] J.-L. Maucilaire, Almost periodicity and Dirichlet series, in: A. Laurinćikas, et al. (Eds.), Analytic and Probabilistic Methods in Number Theory. Proceedings of the 4th International Conference in Honour of J. Kubilius, Palanga, Lithuania, September 25–29, 2006, TEV, Vilnius, 2007, pp. 109–142.
- [14] J.-L. Maucilaire, On some Dirichlet series, in: Proceedings of the Conference ‘New Directions in the Theory of Universal Zeta- and L -Functions’, Würzburg, Germany, October 6–10, 2008, Shaker-Verlag, 2009, pp. 171–248.
- [15] T. Nakamura, The joint universality and the generalized strong recurrence for Dirichlet L -functions, Acta Arith. 138 (2009) 357–362.
- [16] Ł. Pańkowski, Some remarks on the generalized strong recurrence for L -functions, in: New Directions in Value Distribution Theory of Zeta and L -Functions: Proceedings of Würzburg Conference, October 6–10, 2008, Shaker-Verlag, 2009, pp. 305–315.
- [17] J. Steuding, Value Distribution of L -Functions, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1877, Springer, 2007.
- [18] E.C. Titchmarsh, The Theory of Functions, second ed., Oxford University Press, London, 1975.