

Journal Pre-proof

On a generalisation of Bordellès-Dai-Heyman-Pan-Shparlinski's conjecture

J. Ma, J. Wu, F. Zhao

PII: S0022-314X(21)00279-1
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2021.07.024>
Reference: YJNTH 6865

To appear in: *Journal of Number Theory*

Received date: 16 March 2021

Revised date: 21 July 2021

Accepted date: 23 July 2021

Please cite this article as: J. Ma et al., On a generalisation of Bordellès-Dai-Heyman-Pan-Shparlinski's conjecture, *J. Number Theory* (2021), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2021.07.024>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier.



ON A GENERALISATION OF BORDELLÈS-DAI-HEYMAN-PAN-SHPARLINSKI'S CONJECTURE

J. MA, J. WU & F. ZHAO

ABSTRACT. Let f be an arithmetic function satisfying some simple conditions. The aim of this paper is to establish an asymptotical formula for the quantity

$$S_f(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} f\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right)$$

for $x \rightarrow \infty$, where $[t]$ is the integral part of the real number t . This generalises some recent results of Bordellès, Dai, Heyman, Pan & Shparlinski ($f = \varphi$ = the Euler function), and of Zhao & Wu ($f = \sigma$ = the sum-of-divisors function).

1. INTRODUCTION

As usual, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(n) &:= \text{the Euler function,} \\ \sigma(n) &:= \text{the sum-of-divisors function.}\end{aligned}$$

Denote by $[t]$ the integral part of the real number t , by \log_2 the iterated logarithm and by γ the Euler constant, respectively. Motivated by the following well-known results

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \left[\frac{x}{n}\right] = x \log x + (2\gamma - 1)x + O(x^{1/3}),$$

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \varphi(n) = \frac{3}{\pi^2} x^2 + O(x(\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{4/3}),$$

for $x \rightarrow \infty$, Bordellès, Dai, Heyman, Pan and Shparlinski [2] proposed to investigate the asymptotical behaviour of the summation function

$$S_\varphi(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \varphi\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right),$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. With the help of Bourgain's new exponent pair [3], they proved the following inequalities

$$(1.3) \quad \left(\frac{2629}{4009} \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} + o(1)\right)x \log x \leq S_\varphi(x) \leq \left(\frac{2629}{4009} \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} + \frac{1380}{4009} + o(1)\right)x \log x$$

and conjectured that

$$(1.4) \quad S_\varphi(x) \sim \frac{6}{\pi^2} x \log x \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

The bounds in (1.3) have been sharpened by Wu [13] using the van der Corput inequality [5] simply. Very recently Zhai [15] resolved the conjecture (1.4) by combining the Vinogradov

Date: August 19, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11A25, 11N36, 11L05.

Key words and phrases. Euler totient function, integral part, exponential sums, exponent pair.

method with an idea of Goswami [4]. More precisely, he established the asymptotic formula

$$(1.5) \quad S_\varphi(x) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} x \log x + O(x(\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{1/3})$$

and showed that the error term in (1.5) is $\Omega(x)$. Denote by $\mu(n)$ the Möbius function. Define $\text{id}(n) = n$ and $\mathbb{1}(n) = 1$ for all integers $n \geq 1$. Then $\varphi = \text{id} * \mu$ and $\sigma = \text{id} * \mathbb{1}$. In Zhai's proof of (1.5), the well-known inequality

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) \ll x \exp \{ -c\sqrt{\log x} \} \quad (x \geq 1)$$

plays a key role, where $c > 0$ is a positive constant. Clearly a such bound is not true for $\mathbb{1}$. Since $\varphi(n)$ and $\sigma(n)$ often have similar properties, it seems interesting to study the analogy of (1.5) for $\sigma(n)$. By refining Zhai's approach, Wu and Zhao [16] succeeded to prove that

$$(1.7) \quad S_\sigma(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \sigma\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} x \log x + O(x(\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{4/3})$$

and that the error term in (1.7) also is $\Omega(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

In this paper, we would like to consider a general case of (1.5) and (1.7), and to give a uniform treatment. Inspiring some ideas from Liu and Wu [8], let r_1, r_2, r_3 be three increasing functions defined on $[1, \infty)$ such that

$$(1.8) \quad 1 \leq r_j(x) \ll x^{\eta_j} \quad (j = 1, 2, 3), \quad r_3(x) \rightarrow \infty$$

for $x \geq 1$, where $\eta_j \in (0, 1)$ are constants. Let f be an arithmetic function and let g be determined by the relation $f = \text{id} * g$. We propose the following conditions on f :

$$(1.9) \quad |f(n)| \ll nr_1(n) \quad (n \geq 1),$$

$$(1.10) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} |g(n)| \ll xr_2(x) \quad (x \geq 1),$$

$$(1.11) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} g(n) = D_g x + O(x/r_3(x)) \quad (x \geq 1),$$

where D_g is a constant (eventually equal to 0).

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. (i) *Let f be an arithmetic function satisfying the conditions (1.9), (1.10) and (1.11). Then for any constant $A > 0$, we have*

$$(1.12) \quad S_f(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} f\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right) = C_f x \log x + O_A(x\mathcal{R}(x, z)),$$

uniformly for $x \geq 3$ and $1 \leq z \leq \exp\{A^{1/3}(\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{1/3}\}$, where $C_f := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^2}$ and

$$(1.13) \quad \mathcal{R}(x, z) := (\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{1/3}r_1(x) + \frac{r_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A} + \frac{r_2(x/z) \log x}{z} + \frac{z \log x}{r_3(\sqrt{x}/z)}.$$

Here the implied constant depends on A only.

(ii) *Let f be an arithmetic function satisfying the condition (1.11) and there is a positive constant $c < 1$ such that one of the following two conditions*

$$|f(p-1)| < cf(1)p \quad \text{or} \quad |f(p-1)| > c^{-1}f(1)p > 0$$

holds for an infinity of primes p . Then the error term of (1.12) is $\Omega(x)$.

Remark 1. When the sizes of $r_j(x)$ ($1 \leq j \leq 3$) are conveneable, (1.12) of Theorem 1.1 gives, with a suitable choice of parameter z , an asymptotic formula of $S_f(x)$. Otherwise we have an upper bound.

As applications of Theorem 1.1, we consider four special arithmetic functions:

- the Euler function $\varphi(n)$;
- the alternating sum-of-divisors function $\beta(n)$;
- the sum-of-divisors function $\sigma(n)$;
- the Dedekind function $\Psi(n)$.

Let $\Omega(n)$ be the number of all prime factors of n , then the Liouville function is defined by $\lambda(n) := (-1)^{\Omega(n)}$. We have the following relations:

$$(1.14) \quad \varphi = \text{id} * \mu, \quad \beta = \text{id} * \lambda, \quad \sigma = \text{id} * \mathbb{1}, \quad \Psi = \text{id} * \mu^2.$$

We have the following corollaries.

Corollary 1.2. (i) *The asymptotic formula (1.5) is true. The error term of (1.5) is $\Omega(x)$.*
(ii) *For $x \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$(1.15) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \beta\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right) = \frac{\pi^2}{15} x \log x + O\left(x(\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{1/3}\right).$$

The error term of (1.15) is $\Omega(x)$.

Corollary 1.3. (i) *The asymptotic formula (1.7) is true. The error term of (1.7) is $\Omega(x)$.*
(ii) *For $x \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$(1.16) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \Psi\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right) = \frac{15}{\pi^2} x \log x + O\left(x(\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{4/3}\right).$$

The error term of (1.16) is $\Omega(x)$.

Remark 2. (i) Different from [15], our proof of (1.5) does not need Theorem 1 of Liu [7]:

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \varphi(n) = \frac{3}{\pi^2} x^2 + O\left(x(\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{1/3}\right),$$

which is a slight improvement of Walfisz' asymptotical formula (1.2). (see [12, Chapter 7])

(ii) Some related works can be found in [1, 9, 10, 14, 17].

2. SOME LEMMAS

2.1. Two preliminary lemmas.

This subsection is devoted to cite two lemmas. The first one is [16, Lemma 2.3] (see also [11, Lemma 2.6]), which is a consequence of Karatsuba's estimate for trigonometric sums by Vinogradov's method [6]. This will play a key role in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.1. *Define $\psi(t) := t - [t] - \frac{1}{2}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. There are two absolute positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that the inequality*

$$\sum_{N \leq n < N'} \frac{1}{n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \ll e^{-c_1(\log N)^3/(\log x)^2} (\log N)^3/(\log x)^2$$

holds uniformly for $x \geq 10$, $\exp\{c_2(\log x)^{2/3}\} \leq N \leq x^{2/3}$ and $N < N' \leq 2N$.

The second lemma is [16, Lemma 2.4].

Lemma 2.2. [16, Lemma 2.4] *Let $\psi(t)$ be defined as in Lemma 2.1 and $F(t) := (1/t)\psi(x/t)$. Denote by $V_F[z_1, z_2]$ the total variation of F on $[z_1, z_2]$. Then we have*

$$V_F[z_1, z_2] \ll x/z_1^2 + 1/z_1$$

uniformly for $2 \leq z_1 < z_2 \leq x$, where the implied constant is absolute.

2.2. An expression on the mean value of f .

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that the arithmetic function $f(n)$ satisfies the conditions (1.11) and (1.10). Then we have*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \frac{1}{2} C_f x^2 - D_g x \frac{(z - [z])^2 + [z]}{2z} + O\left(\frac{xz}{r_3(x/z)} + \frac{xr_2(x/z)}{z}\right) - \Delta_g(x, z)$$

uniformly for $x \geq 2$ and $1 \leq z \leq x^{1/3}$, where

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta_g(x, z) := x \sum_{d \leq x/z} \frac{g(d)}{d} \psi\left(\frac{x}{d}\right).$$

Further for $x \geq 2$ we have

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \frac{1}{2} C_f x^2 + O(x(\log x) r_2(x)).$$

Proof. Since $f(n) = \sum_{dm=n} g(d)m$, we can apply the hyperbole principle to write

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \sum_{dm \leq x} g(d)m = S_1 + S_2 - S_3,$$

where

$$S_1 := \sum_{d \leq x/z} \sum_{m \leq x/d} g(d)m, \quad S_2 := \sum_{m \leq z} \sum_{d \leq x/m} g(d)m, \quad S_3 := \sum_{d \leq x/z} \sum_{m \leq z} g(d)m.$$

Firstly we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \leq x/z} g(d) \left[\frac{x}{d} \right] \left(\left[\frac{x}{d} \right] + 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \leq x/z} g(d) \left(\frac{x}{d} - \psi\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{x}{d} - \psi\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \leq x/z} g(d) \left\{ \left(\frac{x}{d} \right)^2 - 2 \frac{x}{d} \psi\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) + O(1) \right\} \\ &= \frac{x^2}{2} \sum_{d \leq x/z} \frac{g(d)}{d^2} - \Delta_g(x, z) + O\left(\frac{xr_2(x/z)}{z}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the condition (1.10) for bounding the contribution of the term $O(1)$.

On the other hand, by (1.11), a simple partial integration leads to

$$\sum_{d \leq x/z} \frac{g(d)}{d^2} = C_f - \int_{x/z}^{\infty} t^{-2} d(D_g t + O(t/r_3(t))) = C_f - D_g \frac{z}{x} + O\left(\frac{z}{xr_3(x/z)}\right).$$

Inserting this into the preceding formula, we find that

$$(2.5) \quad S_1 = \frac{1}{2}C_f x^2 - \frac{1}{2}D_g xz + O\left(\frac{xz}{r_3(x/z)} + \frac{xr_2(x/z)}{z}\right) - \Delta_g(x, z).$$

In view of the condition (1.11), it follows that

$$(2.6) \quad S_2 = \sum_{m \leq z} m \left\{ D_g \frac{x}{m} + O\left(\frac{x}{mr_3(x/m)}\right) \right\} = D_g x[z] + O\left(\frac{xz}{r_3(x/z)}\right),$$

$$(2.7) \quad S_3 = \left\{ D_g \frac{x}{z} + O\left(\frac{x}{zr_3(x/z)}\right) \right\} \frac{[z]([z] + 1)}{2} = D_g x \frac{[z]([z] + 1)}{2z} + O\left(\frac{xz}{r_3(x/z)}\right).$$

Now (2.1) follows from (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7).

Finally, taking $z = 1$ in (2.1) yields

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \frac{1}{2}C_f x^2 + O(xr_2(x)) - \Delta_g(x, 1).$$

On the other hand, using the hypothesis (1.10), a simple partial integration leads to

$$\Delta_g(x, 1) \ll x \sum_{d \leq x} |g(d)|/d \ll x(\log x)r_2(x).$$

Inserting this into the preceding formula, we obtain (2.3). □

2.3. Bound on an average of Δ_g .

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that the arithmetic function $f(n)$ satisfies the hypothesis (1.10). Let $A > 0$ be a constant and let $N_0 := \exp\{((A+3)/c_1)^{1/3}(\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{1/3}\}$, where c_1 is given as in Lemma 2.1. Let $\Delta_g(x, z)$ be defined by (2.2). Then we have*

$$(2.8) \quad \left| \sum_{N_0 < n \leq \sqrt{x}} \Delta_g\left(\frac{x}{n}, z\right) \right| + \left| \sum_{N_0 < n \leq \sqrt{x}} \Delta_g\left(\frac{x}{n} - 1, z\right) \right| \ll_A \frac{xr_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A} + \frac{xr_2(x/z) \log x}{z}$$

uniformly for $x \geq 10$ and $2 \leq z \leq N_0^{1/2}$.

Proof. Denote by $\Delta_{g,1}(x, z)$ and $\Delta_{g,2}(x, z)$ two sums on the left-hand side of (2.8), respectively. By (2.2) of Lemma 2.3, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{g,1}(x, z) &= x \sum_{N_0 < n \leq \sqrt{x}} \sum_{d \leq x/(nz)} \frac{g(d)}{dn} \psi\left(\frac{x}{dn}\right) \\ &= x \sum_{d \leq x/(N_0 z)} \frac{g(d)}{d} \sum_{N_0 < n \leq \min(\sqrt{x}, x/(dz))} \frac{1}{n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{dn}\right) \\ &= x \Delta_{g,1}^\dagger(x, z) + x \Delta_{g,1}^\sharp(x, z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{g,1}^\dagger(x, z) &:= \sum_{d \leq x/(N_0 z)} \frac{g(d)}{d} \sum_{N_0 < n \leq (x/d)^{2/3}} \frac{1}{n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{dn}\right), \\ \Delta_{g,1}^\sharp(x, z) &:= \sum_{d \leq x/(N_0 z)} \frac{g(d)}{d} \sum_{(x/d)^{2/3} < n \leq \min\{\sqrt{x}, x/(dz)\}} \frac{1}{n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{dn}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For $0 \leq k \leq (\log((x/d)^{2/3}/N_0))/\log 2$, let $N_k := 2^k N_0$ and define

$$\mathfrak{S}_k(d) := \sum_{N_k < n \leq 2N_k} \frac{1}{n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{dn}\right).$$

Noticing that $N_0 \leq N_k \leq (x/d)^{2/3}$, we can apply Lemma 2.1 to derive that

$$\mathfrak{S}_k(d) \ll e^{-\vartheta((\log N_k)^3/(\log(x/d))^2)}$$

with $\vartheta(t) := c_1 t - \log t$. It is clear that $\vartheta(t)$ is increasing on $[c_1, \infty)$. On the other hand, for $k \geq 0$ and $d \leq x/(N_0 z)$ we have

$$(\log N_k)^3/(\log(x/d))^2 \geq (\log N_0)^3/(\log x)^2 = ((A+3)/c_1) \log_2 x.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta((\log N_k)^3/(\log(x/d))^2) &\geq \vartheta(((A+3)/c_1) \log_2 x) \\ &= (A+3) \log_2 x - \log(((A+3)/c_1) \log_2 x) \\ &\geq (A+2) \log_2 x, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\mathfrak{S}_k(d) \ll (\log x)^{-A-2}$. Inserting this into the expression of $\Delta_{g,1}^\dagger(x, z)$ and using the condition (1.10), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{g,1}^\dagger(x, z) &\ll \sum_{d \leq x/(N_0 z)} \frac{|g(d)|}{d} \sum_{2^k N_0 \leq (x/d)^{2/3}} |\mathfrak{S}_k(d)| \\ (2.9) \quad &\ll \frac{1}{(\log x)^{A+1}} \sum_{d \leq x/z} \frac{|g(d)|}{d} \ll \frac{r_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we bound $\Delta_{g,1}^\sharp(x, z)$. It is well known that, if $F(t)$ is a real function with bounded variation on each interval $[n, n+1]$, we have

$$\sum_{N_1 < n \leq N_2} F(n) = \int_{N_1}^{N_2} F(t) dt + \frac{1}{2}(F(N_1) + F(N_2)) + O(V_F[N_1, N_2]),$$

where $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $V_F[N_1, N_2]$ denotes the total variation of F on $[N_1, N_2]$. We apply this formula to

$$F(t) = \frac{1}{t} \psi\left(\frac{(x/d)}{t}\right), \quad N_1 = [(x/d)^{2/3}], \quad N_2 = [\min\{\sqrt{x}, x/(dz)\}].$$

After Lemma 2.2, we have $V_F[N_1, N_2] \ll (x/d)^{-1/3}$. Putting $u = (x/d)/t$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(x/d)^{2/3} < n \leq \min\{\sqrt{x}, x/(dz)\}} \frac{1}{n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{dn}\right) &= \int_{\max\{\sqrt{x}/d, z\}}^{(x/d)^{1/3}} \frac{\psi(u)}{u} du + O((x/d)^{-1/3}) \\ (2.10) \quad &\ll z^{-1} + (x/d)^{-1/3}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.10) and (1.10), a simple partial integration allows us to derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{g,1}^\sharp(x, z) &\ll \sum_{d \leq x/z} \frac{|g(d)|}{d} (z^{-1} + (x/d)^{-1/3}) \\ (2.11) \quad &\ll \frac{r_2(x/z) \log x}{z} + \frac{r_2(x/z) \log x}{(N_0 z)^{1/3}} \ll \frac{r_2(x/z) \log x}{z}, \end{aligned}$$

since $z \leq N_0^{1/2}$. Combining (2.9) and (2.11), it follows that

$$|\Delta_{g,1}(x, z)| \ll \frac{xr_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A} + \frac{xr_2(x/z) \log x}{z}.$$

Similarly we can prove the same bound for $|\Delta_{g,2}(x, z)|$. This completes the proof. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Putting $d = [x/n]$, we have $x/n - 1 < d \leq x/n$ and $x/(d+1) < n \leq x/d$. Following Goswami [4], we write

$$\begin{aligned} S_f(x) &= \sum_{d \leq x} f(d) \sum_{x/(d+1) < n \leq x/d} 1 \\ (3.1) \quad &= \sum_{dn \leq x} f(d) - \sum_{(d+1)n \leq x} f(d) \\ &= \sum_{dn \leq x} (f(d) - f(d-1)), \end{aligned}$$

where we have set that $f(0) = 0$. By the hyperbole principle, we can decompose $S_f(x)$ into three parts:

$$(3.2) \quad S_f(x) = \mathcal{S}_1(x) + \mathcal{S}_2(x) - \mathcal{S}_3(x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_1(x) &:= \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}, dn \leq x} (f(d) - f(d-1)), \\ \mathcal{S}_2(x) &:= \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{x}, dn \leq x} (f(d) - f(d-1)), \\ \mathcal{S}_3(x) &:= \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}, n \leq \sqrt{x}} (f(d) - f(d-1)). \end{aligned}$$

In view of the hypothesis (1.9), we can derive that

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{S}_3(x) = [\sqrt{x}]f([\sqrt{x}]) \ll xr_1(x).$$

For evaluating \mathcal{S}_1 , we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_1(x) &= \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}} (f(d) - f(d-1)) \left[\frac{x}{d} \right] \\ (3.4) \quad &= x \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{f(d) - f(d-1)}{d} + O\left(\sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}} |f(d) - f(d-1)| \right). \end{aligned}$$

With the help of the hypothesis (1.9) and (2.3) of Lemma 2.3, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{f(d) - f(d-1)}{d} &= \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{f(d)}{d^2} - \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{f(d)}{d^2(d+1)} \\ &= \int_{1-}^{\sqrt{x}} t^{-2} d\left(\frac{1}{2}C_f t^2 + O(tr_2(t) \log t)\right) + O(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}C_f \log x + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

By the hypothesis (1.9), we have

$$\sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}} |f(d) - f(d-1)| \leq 2 \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}} |f(d)| \ll \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}} dr_1(d) \ll xr_1(x).$$

Inserting these estimates into (3.4), we find that

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{S}_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} C_f x \log x + O(xr_1(x)).$$

Finally we evaluate \mathcal{S}_2 . Let $N_0 := \exp\{((A+3)/c_1)^{1/3}(\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{1/3}\}$, where c_1 is the constant given as in Lemma 2.1. We write

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{S}_2(x) = \mathcal{S}_2^\dagger(x) + \mathcal{S}_2^\sharp(x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_2^\dagger(x) &:= \sum_{n \leq N_0, dn \leq x} (f(d) - f(d-1)), \\ \mathcal{S}_2^\sharp(x) &:= \sum_{N_0 < n \leq \sqrt{x}, dn \leq x} (f(d) - f(d-1)). \end{aligned}$$

Using the hypothesis (1.9), we have

$$\mathcal{S}_2^\dagger(x) = \sum_{n \leq N_0} f([x/n]) \ll x \sum_{n \leq N_0} r_1(x/n)/n \ll x(\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{1/3}r_1(x).$$

On the other hand, (2.1) of Lemma 2.3 allows us to derive that

$$\sum_{d \leq x} f(d) - \sum_{d \leq x-1} f(d) = C_f x + O\left(\frac{xz}{r_3(x/z)} + \frac{xr_2(x/z)}{z}\right) - \Delta_g(x, z) + \Delta_g(x-1, z).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_2^\sharp(x) &= \sum_{N_0 < n \leq \sqrt{x}} \left\{ C_f \frac{x}{n} + O\left(\frac{xz}{nr_3(\sqrt{x}/z)} + \frac{xr_2(x/z)}{nz}\right) - \Delta_g\left(\frac{x}{n}, z\right) + \Delta_g\left(\frac{x}{n} - 1, z\right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} C_f x \log x + O\left(x(\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{1/3} + \frac{xz \log x}{r_3(\sqrt{x}/z)} + \frac{xr_2(x/z) \log x}{z}\right) \\ &\quad - \Delta_{g,1}(x, z) + \Delta_{g,2}(x, z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{g,1}(x, z) &:= \sum_{N_0 < n \leq \sqrt{x}} \Delta_g\left(\frac{x}{n}, z\right) \ll \frac{xr_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A} + \frac{x(\log x)r_2(x/z)}{z}, \\ \Delta_{g,2}(x, z) &:= \sum_{N_0 < n \leq \sqrt{x}} \Delta_g\left(\frac{x}{n} - 1, z\right) \ll \frac{xr_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A} + \frac{x(\log x)r_2(x/z)}{z}, \end{aligned}$$

thanks to Lemma 2.4. Inserting these estimates into (3.6), we find that

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{S}_2(x) = \frac{1}{2} C_f x \log x + O(x\mathcal{R}(x, z)),$$

where $\mathcal{R}(x, z)$ is defined as in (1.13)

Now the required result (1.12) follows from (3.2), (3.3), (3.5) and (3.7).

Finally we prove the second assertion. Let $E(x)$ be the error term of (1.12), i.e.

$$S_f(x) = C_f x \log x + E(x),$$

and define $E^*(x) := \max\{|E(x)|, |E(x-1)|\}$. Firstly we suppose that

$$(3.8) \quad |f(p-1)| < cf(1)p$$

holds for an infinity of primes p . In view of (3.1), for each prime p we can write

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{d|p} (f(d) - f(d-1)) &= S_f(p) - S_f(p-1) \\ &= C_f p \log p - C_f (p-1) \log(p-1) + E(p) - E(p-1) \\ &\leq 2E^*(p) + O(\log p). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, our hypothesis (3.8) and (1.11) allow us to deduce that

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{d|p} (f(d) - f(d-1)) &= f(p) - f(p-1) + f(1) \\ &= g(1)p + g(p)f(1) - f(p-1) + f(1) \\ &\geq (1-c)f(1)p + O(p/r_3(p)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}(1-c)f(1)p \end{aligned}$$

for an infinity of primes p . Combining (3.9) and (3.10), we find that

$$E^*(p) \geq \frac{1}{5}(1-c)f(1)p$$

for an infinity of primes p .

Next we suppose that

$$(3.11) \quad |f(p-1)| > c^{-1}f(1)p > 0$$

holds for an infinity of primes p . Similar to (3.9), for each prime p we can write

$$(3.12) \quad \sum_{d|p} (f(d) - f(d-1)) \geq -2E^*(p) + O(\log p).$$

Similar to (3.10), our hypothesis (3.11) and (1.11) allow us to deduce that

$$(3.13) \quad \sum_{d|p} (f(d) - f(d-1)) \leq -\frac{1}{2}(c^{-1} - 1)f(1)p$$

for an infinity of primes p . Combining (3.12) and (3.13), we find that

$$E^*(p) \geq \frac{1}{5}(c^{-1} - 1)f(1)p > 0$$

for an infinity of primes p . □

4. PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.2

4.1. Proof of (1.5).

Since $\varphi = \text{id} * \mu$, we have $g = \mu$ and the following well-known bound

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^2} \quad (x \geq 2).$$

Thus $\varphi(n)$ verifies the conditions (1.9), (1.10) and (1.11) with $D_\mu = 0$ and

$$r_1(x) = 1, \quad r_2(x) = 1, \quad r_3(x) = \log^2(3x),$$

Zhai's (1.5) follows from Theorem 1.1 thanks to the choice of $z = \log^{1/3}(3x)$.

For each odd prime p , we can write $p-1 = 2^\nu m$ with $2 \nmid m$. Thus

$$\varphi(p-1) = \varphi(2^\nu)\varphi(m) \leq 2^{\nu-1}m < \frac{1}{2}p$$

for all odd primes p and Theorem 1.1(ii) implies that the error term of (1.5) is $\Omega(x)$.

4.2. Proof of (1.15).

In this case, we have $g = \lambda$ and the following well-known bound

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \lambda(n) \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^2} \quad (x \geq 2).$$

Thus the function $\beta(n)$ verifies the conditions (1.9), (1.10) and (1.11) with $D_\beta = 0$ and

$$r_1(x) = 1, \quad r_2(x) = 1, \quad r_3(x) = \log^2(3x).$$

Now (1.15) follows from Theorem 1.1 thanks to the choice of $z = \log^{1/3}(3x)$.

For all primes p and integers $\nu \geq 1$, we have

$$\beta(p^\nu) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu} (-1)^{\nu-j} p^j = \frac{p^{\nu+1} + (-1)^\nu}{p+1} \leq \begin{cases} \frac{3}{4} 2^\nu & \text{if } p = 2, \\ p^\nu & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For each odd prime p , we can write $p-1 = 2^\nu m$ with $2 \nmid m$. Thus

$$\beta(p-1) = \beta(2^\nu) \beta(m) \leq \frac{3}{4} (p-1) \leq \frac{3}{4} p$$

for all odd primes p and Theorem 1.1(ii) implies that the error term of (1.5) is $\Omega(x)$.

5. PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.3

5.1. Proof of (1.7).

In this case, we have $g = \mathbb{1}$ and

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mathbb{1}(n) = x + O(1) \quad (x \geq 2).$$

Thus the function $\sigma(n)$ verifies the conditions (1.9), (1.10) and (1.11) with $D_{\mathbb{1}} = 1$ and

$$r_1(x) = \log_2(3x) + 1, \quad r_3(x) = \sqrt{x}, \quad r_2(x) = 1.$$

Wu-Zhao's (1.7) follows from Theorem 1.1 thanks to the choice of $z = \log^{1/3}(3x)$.

For all odd primes p , we have

$$\sigma(p-1) \geq (p-1) + \frac{1}{2}(p-1) + 1 > \frac{5}{4}p.$$

Thus Theorem 1.1(ii) implies that the error term of (1.7) is $\Omega(x)$.

5.2. Proof of (1.16).

In this case, we have $g = \mu^2$ and

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)^2 = (6/\pi^2)x + O(\sqrt{x}) \quad (x \geq 2).$$

Thus the function $\Psi(n)$ verifies the conditions (1.9), (1.10) and (1.11) with $D_{\mu^2} = 6/\pi^2$ and

$$r_1(x) = \log_2(3x) + 1, \quad r_2(x) = 1, \quad r_3(x) = \sqrt{x}.$$

Now (1.16) follows from Theorem 1.1 thanks to the choice of $z = \log^{1/3}(3x)$.

For all primes p and integers $\nu \geq 1$, we have

$$\Psi(p^\nu) = p^\nu + p^{\nu-1} \geq \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2} 2^\nu & \text{if } p = 2, \\ p^\nu & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For each odd prime p , we can write $p - 1 = 2^\nu m$ with $2 \nmid m$. Thus

$$\Psi(p - 1) = \gamma(2^\nu)\gamma(m) \geq \frac{3}{2}(p - 1) \geq \frac{5}{4}p$$

for all odd primes $p \geq 7$ and Theorem 1.1(ii) implies that the error term of (1.16) is $\Omega(x)$.

Acknowledgements. We begun to work when the second author visited School of Mathematics of Jilin University and Tianyuan Mathematical Center in North China. He would like to thank these institutes for the pleasant working conditions. This work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11771252, 11971370, 12071375 and 11871193), by the NSF of Chongqing (Grant No. cstc2019jcyj-msxm1651) and by the NSF of Henan province (Grant No. 202300410274).

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Bordellès, *On certain sums of number theory*, arXiv:2009.05751v2 [math.NT] 25 Nov 2020.
- [2] O. Bordellès, L. Dai, R. Heyman, H. Pan and I. E. Shparlinski, *On a sum involving the Euler function*, J. Number Theory, **202** (2019), 278–297.
- [3] J. Bourgain, *Decoupling, exponential sums and the Riemann zeta function*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (2017), 205–224.
- [4] A. Goswami, *On a partial sum related to the Euler totient function*, arXiv:1812.07556v1 [math.NT] 18 Dec 2018.
- [5] S. W. Graham and G. Kolesnik, *Van der Corput's Method of Exponential Sums*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [6] A. A. Karatsuba, *Estimates for trigonometric sums by Vinogradov's method, and some applications*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., **112** (1971), 251–265.
- [7] H.-Q. Liu, *On Euler's function*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, **146A** (2016), 769–775.
- [8] J.-Y. Liu and J. Wu, *The number of coefficients of automorphic L-functions for GL_m of same signs*, J. Number Theory, **148** (2015), 429–450.
- [9] J. Ma and H.-Y. Sun, *On a sum involving the divisor function*, Periodica Math. Hungarica (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10998-020-00378-3>.
- [10] J. Ma and J. Wu, *On a sum involving the Mangoldt function*, Periodica Math. Hungarica, **83** (2020), 39–48.
- [11] Y.-F. S. Pétermann and J. Wu, *On the sum of exponential divisors of an integer*, Acta Math. Hungarica, **77** (1997), 159–175.
- [12] A. Walfisz, *Weylsche exponentialsommen in der neueren Zahlentheorie*, Mathematische Forschungsberichte, vol. **15**, Berlin: Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, 1963.
- [13] J. Wu, *On a sum involving the Euler totient function*, Indag. Mathematicae, **30** (2019), 536–541.
- [14] J. Wu, *Note on a paper by Bordellès, Dai, Heyman, Pan and Shparlinski*, Periodica Math. Hungarica, **80** (2020), 95–102.
- [15] W. Zhai, *On a sum involving the Euler function*, J. Number Theory, **211** (2020), 199–219.
- [16] F. Zhao and J. Wu, *On the sum involving the sum-of-divisors function*, J. of Math., Volume 2021, Article ID 5574465, 7 pages.
- [17] F. Zhao and J. Wu, *Note on a paper by Bordellès, Dai, Heyman, Pan and Shparlinski, 2*, Acta Arith., to appear.

JING MA, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, JILIN UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN 130012, P. R. CHINA

Email address: jma@jlu.edu.cn

JIE WU, CNRS LAMA 8050, LABORATOIRE D'ANALYSE ET DE MATHÉMATIQUES APPLIQUÉES, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-EST CRÉTEIL, 94010 CRÉTEIL CEDEX, FRANCE

Email address: jie.wu@math.cnrs.fr

FENG ZHAO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCES, NORTH CHINA UNIVERSITY OF WATER RESOURCES AND ELECTRIC POWER, JINSHUI E ROAD, ZHENGZHOU, 450046 HENAN, P. R. CHINA

Email address: zhaofeng@ncwu.edu.cn