



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Number Theory

www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt



# Arithmetic properties for the minus space of weakly holomorphic modular forms

SoYoung Choi<sup>a,1</sup>, Chang Heon Kim<sup>b,\*,2</sup>, Kyung Seung Lee<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics Education and RINS, Gyeongsang National University, 501 Jinjudae-ro, Jinju, 660-701, South Korea

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, 440-746, South Korea

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 2 December 2017

Received in revised form 23 July 2018

Accepted 30 September 2018

Available online xxxx

Communicated by L. Smajlovic

### MSC:

11F03

11F11

### Keywords:

Hecke group

Fricke involution

Atkin–Lehner involution

Weakly holomorphic modular form

## ABSTRACT

Let  $M_k^!(p)$  be the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight  $k$  on  $\Gamma_0(p)$ , and let  $M_k^{!-}(p)$  be the minus space which is the subspace of  $M_k^!(p)$  consisting of all eigenforms of the Fricke involution  $W_p$  with eigenvalue  $-1$ . We are interested in finding a canonical basis for the minus space  $M_k^{!-}(p)$  for certain levels. Using this result, along with previous works of Choi and Kim [CK13], we find a canonical basis for the space  $M_k^!(p)$ , and investigate its arithmetic properties. We also give another generalization of [CK13] to the cases of square-free integer levels.

© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: mathsoyoung@gnu.ac.kr (S.Y. Choi), chhkim@skku.edu (C.H. Kim), kslhg@skku.edu (K.S. Lee).

<sup>1</sup> Choi was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (Ministry of Education) (No. 2017R1D1A1A09000691).

<sup>2</sup> Kim was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIP) (NRF-2018R1D1A1B07045618 and 2016R1A5A1A008055).

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\Gamma_0^+(p)$  be the group generated by the Hecke group  $\Gamma_0(p)$  and the Fricke involution  $W_p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/\sqrt{p} \\ \sqrt{p} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Throughout the paper we assume that  $p$  is a prime number for which the genus of  $\Gamma_0^+(p)$  is zero, that is,  $p$  belongs to the set

$$\{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 41, 47, 59, 71\}.$$

Let  $M_k(p)$  (resp.  $S_k(p)$ ) be the vector space of holomorphic modular forms (resp. cusp forms) of weight  $k$  for  $\Gamma_0(p)$ , and let  $M_k^+(p)$  (resp.  $S_k^+(p)$ ) be the space of weight  $k$  modular forms (resp. cusp forms) on  $\Gamma_0^+(p)$ . More precisely, the space  $M_k^+(p)$  (resp.  $S_k^+(p)$ ) is a subspace of  $M_k(p)$  (resp.  $S_k(p)$ ) consisting of all modular forms (resp. cusp forms)  $f$  which are invariant under  $W_p$ , i.e.,

$$M_k^+(p) := \{f \in M_k(p) : f|_k W_p = f\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_k^+(p) := \{f \in S_k(p) : f|_k W_p = f\}.$$

Similarly we define the other subspaces of  $M_k(p)$  (resp.  $S_k(p)$ ) as:

$$M_k^-(p) := \{f \in M_k(p) : f|_k W_p = -f\}, \quad S_k^-(p) := \{f \in S_k(p) : f|_k W_p = -f\}.$$

We call these the minus spaces of holomorphic modular forms, and cusp forms respectively.

Let  $M_k^!(p)$  be the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms (that is, meromorphic with poles only at the cusps) of weight  $k$  for  $\Gamma_0(p)$ , and let  $M_k^{!+}(p)$  be the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight  $k$  for  $\Gamma_0^+(p)$ . The minus space  $M_k^{!-}(p)$  is defined to be the subspace of  $M_k^!(p)$  consisting of all eigenforms of  $W_p$  with eigenvalue  $-1$ .

For  $f \in M_k^!(p)$ , it can be easily seen that  $f = \frac{f+f|_k W_p}{2} + \frac{f-f|_k W_p}{2}$ , with  $\frac{f+f|_k W_p}{2} \in M_k^{!+}(p)$ ,  $\frac{f-f|_k W_p}{2} \in M_k^{!-}(p)$ . Hence we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $M_k^!(p)$ ,  $M_k^{!+}(p)$  and  $M_k^{!-}(p)$  be the spaces defined above. The space  $M_k^!(p)$  is decomposed into the direct sum of the subspaces  $M_k^{!+}(p)$  and  $M_k^{!-}(p)$ , that is,*

$$M_k^!(p) = M_k^{!+}(p) \oplus M_k^{!-}(p).$$

Choi and Kim [CK13] found a canonical basis for  $M_k^{!+}(p)$  for any even integer  $k$ . Accordingly, Proposition 1.1 tells us that if we find a basis for the minus space  $M_k^{!-}(p)$ , we can construct a basis for the space  $M_k^!(p)$ . In this paper we address the question of finding a canonical basis for the space  $M_k^{!-}(p)$ , and investigate its arithmetic properties. In fact, the canonical basis we construct in this paper consists of the form  $f_{k,m}^-$  whose Fourier expansion is given by

$$f_{k,m}^- = q^{-m} + \sum_{n > m_k^-} a_k^-(m, n) q^n \quad (q = e^{2\pi iz})$$

for every integer  $m \geq -m_k^-$ , where  $m_k^-$  is the maximal vanishing order at the cusp  $\infty$  for a nonzero  $f \in M_k^{1-}(p)$ . The basis of the minus space  $M_k^{1-}(p)$  has many properties similar to those of the space  $M_k^{1+}(p)$ . For example, the coefficients  $a_k^-(m, n)$  of basis element  $f_{k,m}^-$  for  $M_k^{1-}(p)$  are also integral and satisfy the duality relation  $a_k^-(n, m) = -a_{2-k}^-(m, n)$  as in the case of the space  $M_k^{1+}(p)$ .

In the theory of modular forms the classical  $j$ -invariant is of particular interest. The coefficients of the  $j$ -function have special arithmetic properties: for example, they appear as dimensions of a special graded representation of the Monster group. Let  $c(n)$  be the  $n$ -th Fourier coefficient of  $j$  such that

$$j(z) = \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{n \geq 0} c(n)q^n.$$

In 1949 Lehner showed [Leh43,Leh49a,Leh49b] that for any positive integers  $a, b, c, n$  and a nonnegative integer  $d$ ,

$$c(2^a 3^b 5^c 7^d 11n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{3a+8} 3^{2b+3} 5^{c+1} 7^d 11}.$$

Similar results to above congruences have recently been proven in higher level cases. Let  $M_k^\sharp(p)$  be the subspace of  $M_k^1(p)$  with poles allowed only at the cusp at  $\infty$  (see [AJ13, JT15]). Andersen and Jenkins [AJ13] extended Lehner's theorem for all elements of a canonical basis for  $M_0^\sharp(p)$  for  $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$ .

**Theorem.** [AJ13, Theorem 2] Let  $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$ , and let

$$f_{0,m}^{(p),\sharp}(z) = q^{-m} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_0^{(p),\sharp}(m, n)q^n$$

be an element of the canonical basis of  $M_0^\sharp(p)$ , with  $m = p^\alpha m'$ ,  $n = p^\beta n'$ ,  $(m', p) = 1$ , and  $(n', p) = 1$ . Then for  $\beta > \alpha$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a_0^{(2),\sharp}(2^\alpha m', 2^\beta n') &\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{3(\beta-\alpha)+8}}, \\ a_0^{(3),\sharp}(3^\alpha m', 3^\beta n') &\equiv 0 \pmod{3^{2(\beta-\alpha)+3}}, \\ a_0^{(5),\sharp}(5^\alpha m', 5^\beta n') &\equiv 0 \pmod{5^{(\beta-\alpha)+1}}, \\ a_0^{(7),\sharp}(7^\alpha m', 7^\beta n') &\equiv 0 \pmod{7^{(\beta-\alpha)}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the other coefficients of  $f_{0,m}^{(p),\sharp}$ , Jenkins and D.J. Thornton [JT15] showed that similar congruences hold.

**Theorem.** [JT15, Theorem 1] Let  $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$  and let  $f_{0,m}^{(p),\sharp} = q^{-m} + \sum_{n \geq 1} a_0^{(p),\sharp}(m, n)q^n$  be a weakly holomorphic modular form in  $M_0^\sharp(p)$ . Let  $m = p^\alpha m'$  and  $n = p^\beta n'$

with  $m', n'$  not divisible by  $p$ . Then for  $\alpha > \beta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_0^{(2),\sharp}(2^\alpha m', 2^\beta n') &\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{4(\alpha-\beta)+8}}, \\ a_0^{(3),\sharp}(3^\alpha m', 3^\beta n') &\equiv 0 \pmod{3^{3(\alpha-\beta)+3}}, \\ a_0^{(5),\sharp}(5^\alpha m', 5^\beta n') &\equiv 0 \pmod{5^{2(\alpha-\beta)+1}}, \\ a_0^{(7),\sharp}(7^\alpha m', 7^\beta n') &\equiv 0 \pmod{7^{2(\alpha-\beta)}}, \\ a_0^{(13),\sharp}(13^\alpha m', 13^\beta n') &\equiv 0 \pmod{13^{(\alpha-\beta)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that a basis for the space  $M_k^!(p)$  is the union of the basis for  $M_k^{!-}(p)$  which is built in this paper and the basis for  $M_k^{!+}(p)$  found in [CK13]. Hence using these canonical bases for  $M_k^{!+}(p)$  and  $M_k^{!-}(p)$ , we can extend the results of Andersen, Jenkins and Thornton [AJ13, JT15] to forms on  $M_0^!(p)$ . (See Theorem 5.1, Theorem 5.3, and Remark 5.4.)

Additionally, let  $\Gamma_0^*(N)$  be the group generated by  $\Gamma_0(N)$  and all Atkin–Lehner involutions  $W_e = \begin{pmatrix} a\sqrt{e} & b/\sqrt{e} \\ cN/\sqrt{e} & d\sqrt{e} \end{pmatrix}$  of  $N$  where  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $e \mid N$ , and  $\det W_e = 1$ . We extend [CK13] to weakly holomorphic modular forms for  $\Gamma_0^*(N)$  in the case of square-free integer level  $N$  for which the genus of  $\Gamma_0^*(N)$  is zero. Indeed the following results of [CK13] will be extended in Section 6: the construction of a canonical basis, duality, and integrality of Fourier coefficients of basis elements.

This paper is organized as follows. A canonical basis for the space  $M_k^{!-}(p)$  is constructed in Section 2 (see Theorem 2.5) and integrality is proved by giving the explicit recipe of construction for the basis elements in Section 3. We derive the duality relation in Section 4 and the divisibility properties in Section 5. Finally we generalize the results of [CK13] to the cases of square-free integer levels in Section 6.

## 2. Basis for the space $M_k^{!-}(p)$

In this section we construct a basis for the space  $M_k^{!-}(p)$ .

### Lemma 2.1.

(1) Let  $k > 2$  be an even integer. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim S_k^-(2) &= \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{k}{4} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{k}{8} \rfloor, & k \equiv 2 \pmod{8}, \\ \lfloor \frac{k}{4} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{k}{8} \rfloor - 1, & k \not\equiv 2 \pmod{8}, \end{cases} \\ \dim S_k^-(3) &= \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{k}{3} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{k}{6} \rfloor, & k \equiv 2, 6 \pmod{12}, \\ \lfloor \frac{k}{3} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{k}{6} \rfloor - 1, & k \not\equiv 2, 6 \pmod{12}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and for  $p > 3$

$$\dim S_k^-(p) = \begin{cases} (k-1) \left( \frac{p-13}{12} \right) - \left( \frac{p-7}{6} \right) \left\lfloor \frac{k}{4} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{k}{3} \right\rfloor + \frac{k}{2} - 1, & p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}, \\ (k-1) \left( \frac{p-5}{12} \right) - \left( \frac{p+1}{6} \right) \left\lfloor \frac{k}{4} \right\rfloor + \frac{k}{2} - 1, & p \equiv 5 \pmod{12}, \\ (k-1) \left( \frac{p-7}{12} \right) - \left( \frac{p+5}{6} \right) \left\lfloor \frac{k}{4} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{k}{3} \right\rfloor + \frac{k}{2} - 1, & p \equiv 7 \pmod{12}, \\ (k-1) \left( \frac{p+1}{12} \right) - \left( \frac{p+13}{6} \right) \left\lfloor \frac{k}{4} \right\rfloor + \frac{k}{2} - 1, & p \equiv 11 \pmod{12}. \end{cases}$$

(2) When  $k = 2$ ,

$$\dim S_2^-(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{p-13}{12}, & p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}, \\ \frac{p-5}{12}, & p \equiv 5 \pmod{12}, \\ \frac{p-7}{12}, & p \equiv 7 \pmod{12}, \\ \frac{p+1}{12}, & p \equiv 11 \pmod{12}. \end{cases}$$

### Proof.

- (1) By Proposition 1.1,  $\dim S_k^-(p)$  can be found directly from  $\dim S_k(p)$  and  $\dim S_k^+(p)$ . The dimension formula for  $S_k^+(p)$  is presented in [CK13, Lemma 2.2]. Let  $\nu_m = \nu_m(\Gamma_0(p))$  be the number of  $\Gamma_0(p)$ -inequivalent elliptic points of order  $m$ , and let  $g = g(\Gamma_0(p))$  be the genus of  $\Gamma_0(p)$ . The dimension formula [DS05, Theorem 3.5.1] gives

$$\dim S_k(p) = (k-1)(g-1) + \left\lfloor \frac{k}{4} \right\rfloor \nu_2 + \left\lfloor \frac{k}{3} \right\rfloor \nu_3 + (k-2).$$

In the cases  $p \in \{2, 3\}$  it is not difficult to verify the assertion. For the case  $p > 3$ , using the formula [CK13, Lemma 2.1] for the genus  $g$  for each  $p$ , and data for the dimension formulas [DS05, Figure 3.3], we have the dimension of  $S_k(p)$  as follows:

$$\dim S_k(p) = \begin{cases} (k-1) \left( \frac{p-13}{12} \right) + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{k}{4} \right\rfloor + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{k}{3} \right\rfloor - 1, & p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}, \\ (k-1) \left( \frac{p-5}{12} \right) + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{k}{4} \right\rfloor - 1, & p \equiv 5 \pmod{12}, \\ (k-1) \left( \frac{p-7}{12} \right) + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{k}{3} \right\rfloor - 1, & p \equiv 7 \pmod{12}, \\ (k-1) \left( \frac{p+1}{12} \right) - 1, & p \equiv 11 \pmod{12}. \end{cases}$$

Now we get the assertion.

- (2) By [DS05, Theorem 3.5.1],  $\dim S_2(\Gamma)$  is equal to the genus of  $\Gamma$ . Thus we easily get the assertion using [CK13, Lemma 2.1].  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2** (Miller basis). *Let  $k$  be a positive even integer. Suppose  $d = \dim S_k^-(p) \geq 1$ . Then there are  $f_1, \dots, f_d \in S_k^-(p)$  such that  $\{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$  is a basis for  $S_k^-(p)$  with  $f_i = q^i + O(q^{d+1})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $t := \max\{\text{ord}_\infty f \mid 0 \neq f \in S_k^-(p)\}$  and denote by  $f_t$  the unique cusp form having Fourier expansion of the form  $q^t + O(q^{t+1})$ . Multiplying  $f_t$  by the Hauptmodul

$j_p^+$  for  $\Gamma_0^+(p)$ , we obtain the set  $\mathcal{B} = \{f_t, f_t j_p^+, \dots, f_t (j_p^+)^{t-1}\}$ . It is clear that the set  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $S_k^-(p)$ , and we are forced to have  $t = d$ . By an appropriate linear combination of elements in  $\mathcal{B}$ , we have a basis consisting of elements  $f_i$  with the Fourier expansion of the form  $f_i = q^i + O(q^{d+1})$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** Suppose that  $d = \dim S_k^-(p) \geq 1$ . By Lemma 2.2 there exists a unique cusp form  $f_d$  with a  $q$ -expansion of the form

$$f_d = q^d + O(q^{d+1}).$$

We denote  $\Delta_{p,k}^-(z)$  by the unique cusp form  $f_d$  of  $S_k^-(p)$ . Let

$$E_k(z) = 1 - 2kB_k^{-1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{k-1}(n)q^n, \quad E_{p,k}^-(z) = \frac{1}{1-p^{k/2}}(E_k(z) - p^{k/2}E_k(pz))$$

where  $B_k$  is the  $k$ -th Bernoulli number and  $\sigma_{k-1}$  stands for the usual divisor sum. When  $d = 0$ , we set  $\Delta_{p,k}^-(z) = E_{p,k}^-(z) = 1 + O(q)$ .

For further discussion, we need to review the previous results [CK13] related to a canonical basis for the space  $M_k^{1+}(p)$ :

**Lemma 2.4.** [CK13]

- (1) The space  $S_k^+(p)$  has a Miller basis which contains  $\Delta_{p,k}^+$ , where  $\Delta_{p,k}^+$  is the cusp form of maximal vanishing order at infinity in the space  $S_k^+(p) - \{0\}$ . Note that  $\max\{\text{ord}_\infty f \mid f \neq 0 \in S_k^+(p)\} = \dim S_k^+(p)$ .
- (2) Put

$$\delta = \begin{cases} 8, & \text{if } p = 2, \\ 12, & \text{if } p = 3, \\ 12, & \text{if } p \equiv 1, 7 \pmod{12}, \\ 4, & \text{if } p \equiv 5, 11 \pmod{12}. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\delta$  is the smallest positive weight  $k$  such that there exists a cusp form  $f \in S_k^+(p)$  with

$$\dim S_k^+(p) = \text{ord}_\infty f = \frac{p+1}{24}k.$$

Furthermore if we let  $\Delta_p^+(z) = (\eta(z)\eta(pz))^\delta$ , then  $\Delta_p^+$  is the unique normalized cusp form in  $S_\delta^+(p)$  such that  $\text{ord}_\infty \Delta_p^+ = \frac{p+1}{24}\delta$ .

- (3) Let  $m_{p,k}^+ = \max\{\text{ord}_\infty f \mid 0 \neq f \in M_k^{!+}(p)\}$ . For integer  $m$  such that  $-m \leq m_{p,k}^+$ , there is a unique weakly holomorphic modular form  $f_{k,m}^+$  with  $q$ -expansion of the form

$$f_{k,m}^+ = \frac{1}{q^m} + O(q^{m_{p,k}^+ + 1}),$$

and the set of these  $f_{k,m}^+$  forms a basis for the space  $M_k^{!+}(p)$ . In particular, if  $k = 0$ , then  $m_{p,k}^+ = 0$  and  $f_{0,m}^+$  can be expressed as

$$f_{0,m}^+ = \frac{1}{q^m} + O(q) = F_m(j_p^+),$$

where  $F_m(x)$  is a monic polynomial of degree  $m$  in  $x$ .

Unless otherwise noted,  $\delta$ ,  $m_{p,k}^+$ ,  $\Delta_p^+$ , and  $\Delta_{p,k}^+$  are the same as given in Lemma 2.4. Now we are ready to find a canonical basis for the minus space  $M_k^{!-}(p)$  of weakly holomorphic modular forms.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ . We write  $k = \delta l_k + r_k$  where  $r_k \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots, \delta\}$ . Then

- (1) For any non-zero  $f \in M_k^{!-}(p)$ ,

$$\text{ord}_\infty f \leq \frac{p+1}{24} \delta l_k + \dim S_{r_k}^-(p).$$

- (2) We put  $m_k^- = m_{p,k}^- = \frac{p+1}{24} \delta l_k + \dim S_{r_k}^-(p)$ . For each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , such that  $-m \leq m_k^-$ , there exists a unique weakly holomorphic modular form  $f_{k,m}^- \in M_k^{!-}(p)$  with a  $q$ -expansion of the form

$$f_{k,m}^- = q^{-m} + O(q^{m_k^- + 1}).$$

**Proof.**

- (1) Suppose that  $\text{ord}_\infty f > \frac{p+1}{24} \delta l_k + \dim S_{r_k}^-(p)$ . We set  $g = f/(\Delta_p^+)^{l_k}$ . Observing

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_\infty g &= \text{ord}_\infty f - (\text{ord}_\infty \Delta_p^+) l_k \\ &= \text{ord}_\infty f - \frac{p+1}{24} \delta l_k > \dim S_{r_k}^-(p) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

we see that  $g \in S_{r_k}^-(p)$ . This contradicts Proposition 2.2.

(2) We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_p^+)^{l_k} \Delta_{p,r_k}^- (j_p^+)^{m+m_k^-} &= q^{-m} + \cdots, \\ (\Delta_p^+)^{l_k} \Delta_{p,r_k}^- (j_p^+)^{m+m_k^- - 1} &= q^{-m+1} + \cdots, \\ &\vdots \\ (\Delta_p^+)^{l_k} \Delta_{p,r_k}^- &= q^{m_k^-} + \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

Now  $f_{k,m}^-$  is constructed by taking a suitable linear combination of the above forms.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $k \in 2\mathbb{N}$ . Then we have*

$$\dim S_k^-(p) = \frac{p+1}{24} \delta l_k + \dim S_{r_k}^-(p).$$

### 3. Explicit construction of $f_{k,m}^-$ and their integrality

In this section, we investigate the recipe of the explicit construction of the basis element  $f_{k,m}^-$ , and through it we prove that every  $f_{k,m}$  has integral Fourier coefficients. Note that the set of these  $f_{k,m}^-$  given in Theorem 2.5 forms a basis for the space  $M_k^{1-}(p)$ . We observe that  $f_{k,-m_k^-}^- = (\Delta_p^+)^{l_k} \Delta_{p,r_k}^-$ . Now for each positive integer  $n$ , we obtain  $f_{k,n-m_k^-}^-$  by multiplying  $f_{k,n-1-m_k^-}^-$  by  $j_p^+$  and then subtracting off multiples of  $f_{k,d-m_k^-}^-$  to successively kill the coefficients of  $q^{-d+m_k^-}$  for  $0 \leq d \leq n-1$ . This construction shows that

$$f_{k,m}^- = (\Delta_p^+)^{l_k} \Delta_{p,r_k}^- F_{k,m+m_k^-}(j_p^+),$$

where  $F_{k,D}(x)$  is a monic polynomial of degree  $D$  in  $x$ .

It is well known [CY96, p. 265] that the Hauptmodul  $j_p^+$  has integral Fourier coefficients. Being an eta-product,  $\Delta_p^+(z)$  also has integral Fourier coefficients. Thus for the explicit construction and the integrality question of the basis element  $f_{k,m}$ , we have only to consider  $\Delta_{p,r_k}^-(z)$ . Moreover it then follows from the integrality of the Fourier coefficients of such forms that the polynomial  $F_{k,D}(x)$  has integral coefficients.

Recall from Remark 2.3 that  $\Delta_{p,r_k}^-$  is the unique cusp form in  $S_{r_k}^-(p)$  whose vanishing order at infinity is the same as the dimension of the space  $S_{r_k}^-(p)$ . We present dimensions of  $S_{r_k}^-(p)$  obtained from Lemma 2.1 for each  $p$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $r_k$  in Table 1. Note that  $2 \leq r_k \leq \delta$ , and hence we don't need to consider the case of  $r_k > \delta$ . Accordingly, in the Table 1 below, when  $r_k > \delta$ , we leave the corresponding place blank.

Now we divide  $(p, r_k)$  into five cases:

**Case (1)**  $\dim S_{r_k}^-(p) = 0$ : In this case, according to Table 1, we only consider  $(p, r_k)$  in a set



**Table 1**  
Dimensions of  $S_{r_k}^-(p)$  for each case.

$p$	$\delta$	$\dim S_{r_k}^-(p)$					
		$r_k = 2$	$r_k = 4$	$r_k = 6$	$r_k = 8$	$r_k = 10$	$r_k = 12$
2	8	0	0	0	0		
3	12	0	0	1	0	1	1
5	4	0	0				
7	12	0	0	2	1	3	3
11	4	1	0				
13	12	0	1	3	3	5	6
17	4	1	1				
19	12	1	1	5	4	8	8
23	4	2	1				
29	4	2	2				
31	12	2	2	8	7	13	13
41	4	3	3				
47	4	4	3				
59	4	5	4				
71	4	6	5				

$$S = \{(2, 2), (2, 4), (2, 6), (2, 8), (3, 2), (3, 4), (3, 8), (5, 2), (5, 4), (7, 2), (7, 4), (11, 4), (13, 2)\}.$$

Note that we already set

$$\Delta_{p, r_k}^- = E_{p, r_k}^-(z) = \frac{1}{1 - p^{r_k/2}} (E_{r_k}(z) - p^{r_k/2} E_{r_k}(pz))$$

in Remark 2.3 when  $\dim S_{r_k}^-(p) = 0$ . Recall that the Fourier expansion of  $E_k$  is given by  $E_k(z) = 1 - 2kB_k^{-1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{k-1}(n)q^n$ . Since  $B_2^{-1} = 6$ ,  $B_4^{-1} = -30$ ,  $B_6^{-1} = 42$ , and  $B_8^{-1} = -30$ , we have

$$1 - p^{r_k/2} \mid 2r_k B_{r_k}^{-1},$$

for all  $(p, r_k) \in S$ . Thus  $E_{p, r_k}^-(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ .

**Case (2)**  $\dim S_{r_k}^-(p) > 0$  and  $p = 3$  or  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$ : For  $p \in \{3, 7, 19, 31\}$ , we consider the function  $f = \eta(z)^6 \eta(pz)^6$ . Then by [Ono04, Theorem 1.64],  $f \in M_6(\Gamma_0(p))$ . Moreover since the Dedekind eta function satisfies ([Ono04, Theorem 1.61])

$$\eta\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) = (-iz)^{1/2} \eta(z),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(z)^6 \eta(pz)^6 \mid_6 W_p &= (\sqrt{p}z)^{-6} \eta\left(-\frac{1}{pz}\right)^6 \eta\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right)^6 \\ &= -\eta(z)^6 \eta(pz)^6. \end{aligned}$$

This means  $f \in S_6^-(p)$  with  $\text{ord}_\infty f = \frac{p+1}{4} = \dim S_6^-(p)$ . Therefore  $f = \eta(z)^6 \eta(pz)^6$  is the cusp form  $\Delta_{p,6}^-$  we desired, and has integral Fourier coefficients. For the cases of the other weights, it follows from Table 1 and definition of  $\Delta_{p,k}^+$  in [CK13] that

$$\dim S_6^-(p) - 1 = \dim S_8^-(p), \quad (1)$$

and

$$\Delta_{p,14}^+ = \Delta_{p,2}^- \times \Delta_{p,12}^- = \Delta_{p,4}^- \times \Delta_{p,10}^- = \Delta_{p,6}^- \times \Delta_{p,8}^-. \quad (2)$$

The observation (1) yields that  $\Delta_{p,8}^- = \Delta_{p,6}^- \times (-D(j_p^+))$ , where  $D$  is the differential operator defined by  $D = q \frac{d}{dq}$ , and it implies that  $\Delta_{p,8}^-$  also has integral Fourier coefficients.

And the observation (2) implies that it suffices to consider  $\Delta_{p,r_k}$  for the case  $r_k \in \{2, 4\}$  or  $r_k \in \{10, 12\}$ . Since the case when  $(p, r_k) \in \{(3, 2), (3, 4), (7, 2), (7, 4)\}$  is included in the Case (1) above, now the only remaining case is when  $p$  is 19 or 31. We also observe that

$$\Delta_{p,10}^- = \Delta_{p,4}^+ \times \Delta_{p,6}^-, \quad \Delta_{p,12}^- = \Delta_{p,6}^+ \times \Delta_{p,6}^-,$$

for  $p \in \{19, 31\}$ . Using these identities, now we obtain the  $\Delta_{p,r_k}^-$  for all cases, and clearly  $\Delta_{p,10}^-$  and  $\Delta_{p,12}^-$  have integral coefficients. Accordingly,  $\Delta_{p,2}^-$  and  $\Delta_{p,4}^-$  also have integral Fourier coefficients.

**Case (3)  $\dim S_{r_k}^-(p) > 0$  and  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ :** In this case, the only  $p$  is 13. First we consider the eta-quotient  $\eta(z)^2 \eta(13z)^{-2}$ . Then by [Ono04, Theorem 1.64]  $\eta(z)^2 \eta(13z)^{-2}$  is  $\Gamma_0(13)$ -invariant, and satisfies

$$\left. \frac{\eta(z)^2}{\eta(13z)^2} \right|_0 W_{13} = 13 \frac{\eta(13z)^2}{\eta(z)^2}.$$

Let  $\eta_{13}(z)$  be the form

$$\eta_{13}(z) := \frac{\eta(z)^2}{\eta(13z)^2} - 13 \frac{\eta(13z)^2}{\eta(z)^2}.$$

Then  $\eta_{13}(z)$  is not only a modular function on  $\Gamma_0(13)$  but also an eigenform of the Fricke involution  $W_{13}$  with eigenvalue  $-1$ . Moreover its order of vanishing at  $\infty$  is  $-1$ . Thus the function

$$\Delta_{13}^+(z) \eta_{13}(z) = \eta(z)^{14} \eta(13z)^{10} - 13 \eta(z)^{10} \eta(13z)^{14}$$

lies in the space  $S_{12}^-(13)$ , and has vanishing order  $\text{ord}_\infty f_{13} = 6$ . By the uniqueness of the cusp form with maximal order, we conclude that

$$\Delta_{13,12}^- = \Delta_{13}^+ \eta_{13},$$

and clearly  $\Delta_{13,12}^-$  has integral Fourier coefficients. In a similar way, it is not difficult to find

$$\Delta_{13,8}^- = \Delta_{13,8}^+ \eta_{13}.$$

From Table 1 and definition of  $\Delta_{p,k}^+$  in [CK13], we observe that

$$\Delta_{13,10}^- = \Delta_{13,6}^- \times \Delta_{13,4}^+, \quad \Delta_{13,8}^- = \Delta_{13,4}^- \times \Delta_{13,4}^+$$

and

$$\Delta_{13,14}^+ = \Delta_{13,2}^- \times \Delta_{13,12}^- = \Delta_{13,4}^- \times \Delta_{13,10}^- = \Delta_{13,6}^- \times \Delta_{13,8}^-.$$

Since we have  $\Delta_{13,12}^-$  and  $\Delta_{13,8}^-$ , we can get  $\Delta_{13,k}^-$  for each  $k$ , using these identities. Note that every  $\Delta_{13,r_k}$  obtained above also has integer coefficients.

**Case (4)**  $\dim S_{r_k}^-(p) > 0$  and  $p \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$ : This case occurs when  $p$  belongs to  $\{11, 23, 47, 59, 71\}$ . From Table 1, we observe that

$$\Delta_{p,4}^- = \Delta_{p,2}^- \times (-D(j_p^+)).$$

That means we need only to construct  $\Delta_{p,2}^-$  for this case. It is not difficult to find

$$\Delta_{p,2}^- = \eta(z)^2 \eta(pz)^2,$$

and  $\Delta_{p,2}^-$  and  $\Delta_{p,4}^-$  have integral Fourier coefficients.

**Case (5)**  $\dim S_{r_k}^-(p) > 0$  and  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{12}$ : In this case, the only  $p$  are those in the set  $\{5, 17, 29, 41\}$ . For  $p = 5$ , we have  $\dim S_2^-(5) = \dim S_4^-(5) = 0$ , and hence this case is included in Case (1) described above. In addition, from Table 1, we have that

$$\Delta_{p,4}^- \times \Delta_{p,2}^- = \Delta_{p,6}^+.$$

Hence we only need to consider either  $\Delta_{p,2}^-$  or  $\Delta_{p,4}^-$ , for each  $p$ . Thus we concentrate the cases when  $(p, r_k)$  belongs to the set  $\{(17, 2), (29, 2), (41, 2)\}$ .

Note that  $M_2^-(p) = \mathbb{C}(E_{p,2}(z)) \oplus S_2^{-,new}(p)$ , where  $S_2^{-,new}(p)$  is the subspace of  $S_2^-(p)$  consisting of newforms. (See [Kri95, Theorem 1].) It means  $S_2^-(p) = S_2^{-,new}(p)$ . It follows from the well-known theory [Zag89, p. 263] that  $S_2^-(p) = S_2^{-,new}(p)$  splits as sum of subspaces of some dimensions  $d_1, \dots, d_r \geq 1$ , each of which is spanned by some normalized Hecke eigenform with integral Fourier coefficients in a totally real number field  $K_i$  of degree  $d_i$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and the algebraic conjugates of this form. It means that we can obtain the forms by considering the various real embeddings of  $K_i$ . More precisely, let  $f = \sum a_n q^n$  be a normalized Hecke eigenform in  $S_2^{-,new}(p)$ , and let  $K_f$  be a number field which is extended by coefficients of  $f$ . Let  $[K_f : \mathbb{Q}] = d$ . Then  $S_2^{-,new}(p)$  has a  $d$ -dimensional splitting factor  $S_f$  which is spanned by  $f^{\sigma_1}, f^{\sigma_2}, \dots, f^{\sigma_d}$ , where  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_d$  are embeddings of  $K_f$ . Indeed, let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d$  form an integral basis of  $K_f$ . Then the coefficients  $a_n = \sum_{j=1}^d a_{n,j} \alpha_j$  where  $a_{n,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and hence

$$f = \sum a_n q^n = \sum_{j=1}^d f_j \alpha_j,$$

where  $f_j$  is a  $q$ -expansion with rational integer coefficients  $a_{n,j}$ . For some conjugate of  $f$ , if we write

$$f^{\sigma_i} = \alpha_1^{\sigma_i} f_1^{\sigma_i} + \cdots + \alpha_d^{\sigma_i} f_d^{\sigma_i} = \alpha_1^{\sigma_i} f_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d^{\sigma_i} f_d,$$

we have a system of linear equations of the matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} f^{\sigma_1} \\ \vdots \\ f^{\sigma_d} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^{\sigma_1} & \alpha_2^{\sigma_1} & \cdots & \alpha_d^{\sigma_1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{\sigma_2} & \alpha_2^{\sigma_2} & \cdots & \alpha_d^{\sigma_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore we also have  $f^{\sigma_i} = (-f|_2 W_p)^{\sigma_i}$ , since  $f \in S_2^{-,new}(p)$ . Accordingly for any conjugate  $f^{\sigma_i}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\sigma_i} &= (-f|_2 W_p)^{\sigma_i} \\ &= \left( -\sum_{j=1}^d (f_j|W_p) \alpha_j \right)^{\sigma_i} \\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^d (f_j|W_p)^{\sigma_i} \alpha_j^{\sigma_i} = -\sum_{j=1}^d (f_j|W_p) \alpha_j^{\sigma_i}, \end{aligned}$$

which can be expressed as another system of linear equations of the matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} f^{\sigma_1} \\ \vdots \\ f^{\sigma_d} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^{\sigma_1} & \alpha_2^{\sigma_1} & \cdots & \alpha_d^{\sigma_1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{\sigma_2} & \alpha_2^{\sigma_2} & \cdots & \alpha_d^{\sigma_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -f_1|W_p \\ \vdots \\ -f_d|W_p \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $\det(\alpha_j^{\sigma_i})^2 \neq 0$ , which is the discriminant of the number field  $K_f$ , the linear system above is invertible. This means that each  $f_i$  belongs to  $S_2^-(p)$ , and  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d$  span the splitting factor  $S_f$ . Taking union of such  $f_j$ 's in each subspace  $S_f$ , we can get a basis for  $S_2^-(p)$  consisting of the form with rational integer coefficients. Denote these basis elements by  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_t$  where  $\dim S_2^-(p) = t$ . Then we can obtain a Miller basis which contains  $\Delta_{p,2}^-$  by proper  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear combination of the cusp forms

$$\Delta_{p,2}^- = \frac{n_1}{d_1} g_1 + \cdots + \frac{n_t}{d_t} g_t,$$

where  $n_i, d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  for each  $i$ . Letting  $D = \text{lcm}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ , we see that  $D\Delta_{p,2}^-$  has integral Fourier coefficients. However, we cannot be sure that  $\Delta_{p,2}^-$  also has integral Fourier coefficients. To investigate the integrality of  $\Delta_{p,2}^-$ , the following lemma is required.

**Lemma 3.1** (*Sturm's bound for the minus space*). *Let  $K$  be a fixed number field,  $\mathcal{O}_K$  be the ring of integers in  $K$ , and  $\mathfrak{l}$  be a prime ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . For  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n \in M_k^-(p)$*

with  $a_n \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , define  $\text{ord}_l f := \inf\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid a_n \equiv 0 \pmod{l}\}$ , with the convention  $\text{ord}_l f = \infty$  if  $l \mid a_n$  for all  $n$ . If

$$\text{ord}_l f > \frac{p+1}{24}k,$$

then  $\text{ord}_l f = \infty$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f \in M_k^-(p)$ , with  $\text{ord}_l f > \frac{p+1}{24}k$ . Then we have  $\text{ord}_l f^\delta > \frac{p+1}{24}k\delta$ . We know that  $\Delta_p^+$  has a Fourier expansion

$$\Delta_p^+(z) = q^{\frac{p+1}{24}\delta} + O(q^{\frac{p+1}{24}\delta+1}),$$

and hence we have

$$f(z)^\delta (\Delta_p^+(z))^{-k} = \sum_{n=-\frac{p+1}{24}k\delta}^{\infty} c(n)q^n,$$

where the coefficients  $c(n)$  are in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . On the other hand, since  $\delta$  is even,  $f^\delta (\Delta_p^+)^{-k}$  is a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight 0 on  $\Gamma_0^+(p)$ . As we have seen in Lemma 2.4, the space  $M_0^{1+}(p)$  has a canonical basis consisting of

$$f_{0,m} = \frac{1}{q^m} + O(q).$$

Therefore  $f^\delta (\Delta_p^+)^{-k}$  can be expressed as

$$f^\delta (\Delta_p^+)^{-k} = \sum_{m=0}^{(p+1)k\delta/24} c(-m)f_{0,m}.$$

Furthermore since  $f_{0,m}$  is a monic polynomial in  $j_p^+$ , we have

$$f^\delta (\Delta_p^+)^{-k} = \sum_{m=0}^{(p+1)k\delta/24} c(-m)f_{0,m} \in \mathcal{O}_K[j_p^+].$$

Since  $\text{ord}_l f^\delta > \frac{p+1}{24}\delta k$ , we have  $c(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$  for  $-\frac{p+1}{24}\delta k \leq t \leq 0$ . That is,  $f^\delta (\Delta_p^+)^{-k} \in l\mathcal{O}_K[j_p^+]$ , and hence  $f^\delta \in l \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[j_p^+](\Delta_p^+)^k$  which implies  $\text{ord}_l f^\delta = \infty$ . Consequently we see that  $\text{ord}_l f = \infty$ .  $\square$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $\dim S_2^-(p) = \frac{p-5}{12}$ . Thus by definition of  $\Delta_{p,2}^-$ ,  $D\Delta_{p,2}^-$  has  $q$ -expansion of the form

$$Dq^{\frac{p-5}{12}} + O(q^{\frac{p+7}{12}}).$$

Let  $l$  be any prime such that  $l \mid D$ . Then we have

$$\text{ord}_l(D\Delta_{p,2}^-) \geq \frac{p+7}{12} > \frac{p+1}{12}.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $\text{ord}_l(D\Delta_{p,2}^-) = \infty$ , that is  $D\Delta_{p,2}^- \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$ . Hence  $\frac{D}{l}\Delta_{p,2}^-$  also has rational integer coefficients. Repeating this argument, we see that  $\Delta_{p,2}^-$  has integral Fourier coefficients.

**Example 3.1.** Using the data for list of newforms [The13a], we can compute the Fourier expansion of  $\Delta_{p,r_k}^-$  explicitly. For instance, when  $p = 29$ , the space  $S_2^-(29)$  is two-dimensional. From [The13a], we find a Hecke eigenform  $f$  in  $S_2(29)$  whose Fourier expansion of the form

$$f = q + \alpha q^2 - \alpha q^3 + (-2\alpha - 1)q^4 - q^5 + (2\alpha - 1)q^6 + \cdots$$

where  $\alpha$  is a root of the polynomial  $x^2 + 2x - 1$ . Let  $\alpha = -1 + \sqrt{2}$ . Clearly its coefficient field is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  which is a number field of degree 2 over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . That is, the space  $S_2(29) = S_2^-(29) = S_2^{\text{new}}(29)$  is spanned by  $f$  and its conjugate  $f^\sigma$ . Letting  $\alpha_1 = 1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \sqrt{2}$  form an integral basis for  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ , we have the following linear equation given in a matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} f \\ f^\sigma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{2} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $f_1, f_2$  are  $q$ -series with integral Fourier coefficients of the form

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= q - q^2 + q^3 + q^4 - q^5 - 3q^6 + \cdots, \\ f_2 &= q^2 - q^3 - 2q^4 + 2q^6 + \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{2} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$ , we know that  $f_1, f_2$  also span the space  $S_2^-(29)$ , and  $\Delta_{29,2}^-$  is none other than  $f_2$  by uniqueness of cusp form with a maximal order.

#### 4. Duality

In this section we show that the basis elements  $f_{k,n}^-$  have a generating function and as its application we obtain the beautiful duality of Fourier coefficients. Let  $f_{k,m}^-$  be the weakly holomorphic modular form defined in Theorem 2.5, and let  $F_k(z)$  be the function  $f_{k,-m_k}^-(z)$ , that is,

$$F_k^-(z) := f_{k,-m_k}^-(z) = (\Delta_p^+(z))^{l_k} \Delta_{p,r_k}^-(z) = q^{m_k^-} + \sum_{l=m_k^-+1}^{\infty} a_{F_k^-}(l)q^l.$$

We write

$$\frac{1}{F_k^-(z)} = \sum_{l=-m_k^-}^{\infty} a_{1/F_k^-}(l)q^l.$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *For each even integer  $k$ , we have that*

$$f_{k,n}^- = F_k^- \sum_{r+s=n} a_{1/F_k^-}(r)f_{0,s}^+.$$

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} & F_k^-(z) \sum_{r+s=n} a_{1/F_k^-}(r)f_{0,s}^+(z) \\ &= \left( \sum_{l=m_k^-}^{\infty} a_{F_k^-}(l)q^l \right) \left( a_{1/F_k^-}(-m_k^-)f_{0,m_k^-+n}^+(z) + a_{1/F_k^-}(-m_k^-+1)f_{0,m_k^-+n-1}^+(z) + \cdots \right. \\ & \quad \left. + a_{1/F_k^-}(n-1)f_{0,1}^+(z) + a_{1/F_k^-}(n)f_{0,0}^+(z) \right) \\ &= \sum_{l=m_k^-}^{2m_k^-+n} a_{F_k^-}(l)a_{1/F_k^-}(-m_k^-)q^{l-m_k^- - n} \\ & \quad + \sum_{l=m_k^-}^{2m_k^-+n-1} a_{F_k^-}(l)a_{1/F_k^-}(-m_k^-+1)q^{l-m_k^- - n+1} + \cdots \\ & \quad + \sum_{l=m_k^-}^{m_k^-+1} a_{F_k^-}(l)a_{1/F_k^-}(n-1)q^{l-1} + a_{F_k^-}(m_k^-)a_{1/F_k^-}(n)q^{m_k^-} + O(q^{m_k^-+1}) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{m_k^-+n} \sum_{s+t=r} a_{F_k^-}(m_k^-+s)a_{1/F_k^-}(-m_k^-+t)q^{-n+r} + O(q^{m_k^-+1}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $F_k^-(z)(1/F_k^-(z)) = 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{s+t=r} a_{F_k^-}(m_k^-+s)a_{1/F_k^-}(-m_k^-+t) = 0,$$

for each positive  $r$ , and for  $r = 0$ ,

$$\sum_{s+t=0} a_{F_k^-}(m_k^-+s)a_{1/F_k^-}(-m_k^-+t) = a_{F_k^-}(m_k^-)a_{1/F_k^-}(-m_k^-) = 1.$$

It means that

$$F_k^- \sum_{r+s=n} a_{1/F_k^-}(r) f_{0,s}^- = q^{-n} + O(q^{m_k^-+1}).$$

By the uniqueness of  $f_{k,n}^-$ , we obtain the assertion.  $\square$

Let  $f_{k,m}^+$  be the unique weakly holomorphic modular form defined in Lemma 2.4. Then for  $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}$ , the function

$$\Psi_p(z, \tau) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_{\tau} f_{0,n}(\tau) q^n$$

is a meromorphic modular form of weight 2 for  $\Gamma_0^+(p)$ , where  $1/e_{\tau}$  is the cardinality of  $\Gamma_0^+(p)_{\tau}/\{\pm 1\}$ . See [CK13, Theorem 3.1], and [Cho06, Theorem 3.2]. Then we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.2.**

$$\frac{f_{2,1}^+(z) F_k^-(\tau)}{(f_{0,1}^+(z) - f_{0,1}^+(\tau)) F_k^-(z)} = \sum_{n=m_k^-}^{\infty} f_{k,n}^-(\tau) q^n.$$

**Proof.** By definition of  $\Psi_p$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F_k^-(\tau)}{F_k^-(z)} \Psi_p(z, \tau) &= \frac{F_k^-(\tau)}{F_k^-(z)} \left( 1 - e_{\tau} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_{\tau} f_{0,n}(\tau) q^n \right) \\ &= \frac{F_k^-(\tau)}{F_k^-(z)} (1 - e_{\tau}) + F_k^-(\tau) \left( \sum_{r=-m_k^-}^{\infty} a_{1/F_k^-}(r) q^r \right) \left( \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} e_{\tau} f_{0,s}(\tau) q^s \right) \\ &= \frac{F_k^-(\tau)}{F_k^-(z)} (1 - e_{\tau}) + e_{\tau} \sum_{n=-m_k^-}^{\infty} \left( F_k^-(\tau) \sum_{r+s=n} a_{1/F_k^-}(r) f_{0,s}(\tau) \right) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

And by Lemma 4.1, it is equal to

$$\frac{F_k^-(\tau)}{F_k^-(z)} (1 - e_{\tau}) + e_{\tau} \sum_{n=-m_k^-}^{\infty} f_{k,n}^-(\tau) q^n.$$

On the other hand, using the fact [CK13, Theorem 3.2]

$$\Psi_p(z, \tau) = \frac{e_{\tau} f_{2,1}^+(z)}{f_{0,1}^+(z) - f_{0,1}^+(\tau)} - e_{\tau} + 1,$$



we have that

$$\frac{F_k^-(\tau)}{F_k^-(z)} \Psi_p(z, \tau) = \frac{F_k^-(\tau)}{F_k^-(z)} (1 - e_\tau) + \frac{e_\tau f_{2,1}^+(z) F_k^-(\tau)}{(f_{0,1}^+(z) - f_{0,1}^+(\tau)) F_k^-(z)}.$$

Now the assertion is immediate.  $\square$

Consider the function  $F_{2-k}^-(z)$  which is equal to  $(\Delta_p^+)^{l_{2-k}} \Delta_{p,r_{2-k}}^-$ . Since we have  $2-k = 2 - \delta l_k - r_k = \delta(-l_k - 1) + (\delta - r_k + 2)$ , we have  $l_{2-k} = -l_k - 1$  and  $r_{2-k} = \delta - r_k + 2$ . Moreover by uniqueness of cusp form with maximal order, we obtain that  $\Delta_{p,r_k}^- \Delta_{p,r_{2-k}}^- = \Delta_{p,\delta+2}^+$ . From these facts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2-k}^- &= (\Delta_p^+)^{l_{2-k}} \Delta_{p,r_{2-k}}^- \\ &= (\Delta_p^+)^{-l_k-1} \frac{\Delta_{p,\delta+2}^+}{\Delta_{p,r_k}^-} \\ &= \frac{\Delta_{p,\delta+2}^+}{(\Delta_p^+)^{l_k} \cdot \Delta_p^+ \cdot \Delta_{p,r_k}^-} = \frac{1}{F_k^-} \cdot \frac{\Delta_{p,\delta+2}^+}{\Delta_p^+}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $f_{2,1}^+ = \Delta_{p,\delta+2}^+ / \Delta_p^+$ , we have the following relation

$$F_{2-k}^- = \frac{f_{2,1}^+}{F_k^-}.$$

From this relation, we get the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.3.** *For each even integer  $k$ , we have that*

$$\sum_{n=-m_k^-}^{\infty} f_{k,n}^-(\tau) q^n = \sum_{m=-m_{2-k}^-}^{\infty} -f_{2-k,m}^-(z) e^{2\pi i m \tau}.$$

**Proof.** As we already have shown,

$$F_{2-k}^- = \frac{f_{2,1}^+}{F_k^-}.$$

It follows from Theorem 4.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=-m_k^-}^{\infty} f_{k,n}^-(\tau) q^n &= \frac{f_{2,1}^+(z) F_k^-(\tau)}{(f_{0,1}^-(z) - f_{0,1}^-(\tau)) F_k^-(z)} = \frac{f_{2,1}^+(\tau) F_{2-k}^-(z)}{(f_{0,1}^-(z) - f_{0,1}^-(\tau)) F_{2-k}^-(\tau)} \\ &= - \sum_{m=-m_{2-k}^-}^{\infty} f_{2-k,m}^-(z) e^{2\pi i m \tau}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a_k^-(n, m)$  be the  $m$ -th coefficient of  $f_{k,n}^-$ , i.e.,

$$f_{k,n}^-(z) = q^{-n} + \sum_{m > m_k^-} a_k^-(n, m) q^m.$$

Then as a corollary the following duality of Fourier coefficients holds.

**Corollary 4.4.** *For any even integer  $k$  and any integers  $m, n$  the equality*

$$a_k^-(n, m) = -a_{2-k}^-(m, n)$$

*holds for the Fourier coefficients of the weakly holomorphic modular forms  $f_{k,n}^-$  and  $f_{2-k,m}^-$ .*

**Example 4.1.** Recall that  $m_{5,0}^- = \frac{5+1}{24} \cdot 4 \cdot (-1) + \dim S_4^-(5) = -1$  and  $m_{5,2}^- = \frac{5+1}{24} \cdot 4 \cdot 0 + \dim S_2^-(5) = 0$ . Hence for each integer  $m \geq 1$ , we get the form  $(\Delta_5^+)^{-1} \Delta_{5,4}^-(j_5^+)^{m-1} = q^{-m} + \dots \in M_0^{1-}(5)$ , and for each  $m \geq 0$ , we get the form  $\Delta_{5,2}^-(j_5^+)^m = q^{-m} + \dots \in M_2^{1-}(5)$ . Thus, as we have seen in Theorem 2.5, by taking a suitable linear combination of the forms  $(\Delta_5^+)^{-1} \Delta_{5,4}^-(j_5^+)^{t-1}$  with  $1 \leq t \leq m$ , the canonical basis  $f_{0,m}^- = q^{-m} + O(1)$  are constructed. Similarly by taking a suitable linear combination of the forms  $\Delta_{5,2}^-(j_5^+)^t$  with  $0 \leq t \leq m$ ,  $f_{2,m}^- = q^{-m} + O(q)$  are constructed.

The first four basis elements for  $M_0^{1-}(5)$  and the first five basis elements for  $M_2^{1-}(5)$  are given below.

$$\begin{aligned} f_{0,1}^- &= \frac{1}{q} - 6 - 116q - 740q^2 - 3405q^3 - 12244q^4 + \dots, \\ f_{0,2}^- &= \frac{1}{q^2} - 18 - 1480q - 24604q^2 - 227808q^3 - 1553740q^4 + \dots, \\ f_{0,3}^- &= \frac{1}{q^3} - 24 - 10215q - 341712q^2 - 5601356q^3 - 61459920q^4 + \dots, \\ f_{0,4}^- &= \frac{1}{q^4} - 42 - 48976q - 3107480q^2 - 81946560q^3 - 1345808364q^4 + \dots, \\ f_{2,0}^- &= 1 + 6q + 18q^2 + 24q^3 + 42q^4 + \dots, \\ f_{2,1}^- &= \frac{1}{q} + 116q + 1480q^2 + 10215q^3 + 48976q^4 + \dots, \\ f_{2,2}^- &= \frac{1}{q^2} + 740q + 24604q^2 + 341712q^3 + 3107480q^4 + \dots, \\ f_{2,3}^- &= \frac{1}{q^3} + 3405q + 227808q^2 + 5601356q^3 + 81946560q^4 + \dots, \\ f_{2,4}^- &= \frac{1}{q^4} + 12244q + 1553740q^2 + 61459920q^3 + 1345808364q^4 + \dots. \end{aligned}$$

By comparing rows of coefficients in weight 0 to columns of coefficients in weight 2, the duality relation  $a_0^-(n, m) = -a_2^-(m, n)$  is clear.

## 5. Divisibility properties

In this section we show that the basis elements for  $M_k^1(p)$  have divisibility properties when  $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11\}$ . To emphasize the level of the space and to describe both the case of the spaces  $M_k^{1+}(p)$  and the space  $M_k^{1-}(p)$ , we need to rearrange the notation. Note that the space  $M_k^{1+}(p)$  and  $M_k^{1-}(p)$  can be expressed using character. Let  $\chi$  be a character on  $\Gamma_0^+(p)$  satisfying

$$\chi|_{\Gamma_0(p)} \equiv 1, \quad \chi(W_p) = \varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}.$$

Then  $M_k^1(\Gamma_0^+(p), \chi)$  stands for the space

$$M_k^1(\Gamma_0^+(p), \chi) = \begin{cases} M_k^{1+}(p) & \text{if } \varepsilon = 1, \\ M_k^{1-}(p) & \text{if } \varepsilon = -1. \end{cases}$$

Throughout this section, we denote by  $f_{k,m}^{(p),+}$  a basis element of  $M_k^{1+}(p)$  defined in Lemma 2.4, and denote by  $f_{k,m}^{(p),-}$  a basis element of  $M_k^{1-}(p)$ . Let  $a_k^{(p),+}(m, n)$  and  $a_k^{(p),-}(m, n)$  be the  $n$ -th Fourier coefficient of  $f_{k,m}^{(p),+}$  and  $f_{k,m}^{(p),-}$  respectively, which means

$$\begin{aligned} f_{k,m}^{(p),+}(z) &= q^{-m} + \sum_{n > m_{p,k}^+} a_k^{(p),+}(m, n) q^n, \\ f_{k,m}^{(p),-}(z) &= q^{-m} + \sum_{n > m_{p,k}^-} a_k^{(p),-}(m, n) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

Let us use the character notation to express the cases of  $M_k^{1+}(p)$  and  $M_k^{1-}(p)$  at once. In other words if  $\varepsilon = 1$ ,  $f_{k,m}^{(p),\varepsilon}$  is an element of the basis  $f_{k,m}^{(p),+}$ , otherwise  $f_{k,m}^{(p),\varepsilon}$  stands for  $f_{k,m}^{(p),-}$ . Similarly  $a_k^{(p),\varepsilon}(m, n)$  means the  $n$ -th coefficient of  $f_{k,m}^{(p),\varepsilon}$ , and  $m_{p,k}^\varepsilon$  denotes the maximal vanishing order at  $\infty$  for a nonzero  $f \in M_k^{1,\varepsilon}(p)$ . Here we note that the coefficients  $a_k^{(p),\varepsilon}(m, n)$  are integral.

For  $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11\}$ , these basis elements have divisibility properties which bear a remarkable resemblance to the divisibility properties of  $j(z)$  as follows.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $a_0^{(p),\varepsilon}(m, n)$  be the  $n$ -th coefficient of  $f_{0,m}^{(p),\varepsilon}$  with  $m = p^\alpha m'$ ,  $n = p^\beta n'$ ,  $(m', p) = (n', p) = 1$ . Then for all nonnegative integers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  with  $\beta > \alpha$  we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} a_0^{(2),\varepsilon}(2^\alpha m', 2^\beta n') &\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{3(\beta-\alpha)+8}}, \\ a_0^{(3),\varepsilon}(3^\alpha m', 3^\beta n') &\equiv 0 \pmod{3^{2(\beta-\alpha)+3}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}a_0^{(5),\varepsilon}(5^\alpha m', 5^\beta n') &\equiv 0 \pmod{5^{(\beta-\alpha)+1}}, \\a_0^{(7),\varepsilon}(7^\alpha m', 7^\beta n') &\equiv 0 \pmod{7^{(\beta-\alpha)}},\end{aligned}$$

and for all positive integer  $\beta$  with  $\alpha = 0$  or for all positive integers  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $\beta > 1$  we have that

$$a_0^{(11),\varepsilon}(11^\alpha m', 11^\beta n') \equiv 0 \pmod{11}.$$

**Example 5.1.** Let  $p = 5$ . Then the Hauptmodul  $t = f_{0,1}^{(5),+}$  for  $\Gamma_0^+(5)$  is given by

$$\left(\frac{\eta(z)}{\eta(5z)}\right)^6 + 6 + 5^3 \left(\frac{\eta(5z)}{\eta(z)}\right)^6 = q^{-1} + \sum_{n>0} a_0^{(5),+}(1, n)q^n,$$

from which we find that

$$\begin{aligned}a_0^{(5),+}(1, 5) &= 39350 = 2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 787, \\a_0^{(5),+}(1, 10) &= 4298600 = 2^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 21493, \\a_0^{(5),+}(1, 15) &= 172859325 = 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 2304791, \\a_0^{(5),+}(1, 20) &= 4049168800 = 2^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 17 \cdot 173 \cdot 1721, \\a_0^{(5),+}(1, 25) &= 66640520250 = 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 29618009,\end{aligned}$$

as desired from Theorem 5.1. Moreover, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}f_{0,2}^{(5),+} &= t^2 - 268, \\f_{0,3}^{(5),+} &= t^3 - 402t - 2280, \\f_{0,4}^{(5),+} &= t^4 - 536t^2 - 3040t + 22532, \\f_{0,5}^{(5),+} &= t^5 - 670t^3 - 3800t^2 + 73055t + 447920,\end{aligned}$$

which enable us to compute

$$a_0^{(5),+}(5, 25) = 121883284330422776995471850 = 2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 719239 \cdot 3389229013733203483,$$

as expected from Theorem 5.1.

**Remark 5.2.**

- (1) We emphasize that our result covers the case  $p = 11$ . As far as we know, the known literatures, for example, [AJ13,DJ10] do not cover the case  $p = 11$ , except for the Lehner's classical result.

- (2) By the duality  $a_0^{(p),\varepsilon}(n, m) = -a_2^{(p),\varepsilon}(m, n)$  (see [CK13] and Corollary 4.4), Theorem 5.1 also gives the corresponding results for  $a_2^{(p),\varepsilon}(m, n)$ .

From uniqueness of the form  $f_{k,m}^{(p),\varepsilon}$ , it is not difficult to check that

$$D(f_{0,m}^{(p),\varepsilon}) = -mf_{2,m}^{(p),\varepsilon},$$

which implies

$$na_0^{(p),\varepsilon}(m, n) = -ma_2^{(p),\varepsilon}(m, n).$$

It follows from Remark 5.2(2) that

$$a_0^{(p),\varepsilon}(m, n) = -a_2^{(p),\varepsilon}(n, m) = \frac{m}{n}a_0^{(p),\varepsilon}(n, m). \quad (3)$$

Let  $m = p^\alpha m'$ ,  $n = p^\beta n'$  with  $p \nmid m'$ ,  $p \nmid n'$ . Assume that  $\alpha > \beta$ . Then by (3), we find the relation

$$a_0^{(p),\varepsilon}(m, n) = p^{\alpha-\beta} \frac{m'}{n'} a_0^{(p),\varepsilon}(n, m).$$

Applying this relation to Theorem 5.1, we have

$$\begin{cases} 2^{3(\alpha-\beta)+8} \mid 2^{(\beta-\alpha)} \cdot \frac{n'}{m'} \cdot a_0^{(2),\varepsilon}(m, n) \\ 3^{2(\alpha-\beta)+3} \mid 3^{(\beta-\alpha)} \cdot \frac{n'}{m'} \cdot a_0^{(3),\varepsilon}(m, n) \\ 5^{(\alpha-\beta)+1} \mid 5^{(\beta-\alpha)} \cdot \frac{n'}{m'} \cdot a_0^{(5),\varepsilon}(m, n) \\ 7^{(\alpha-\beta)} \mid 7^{(\beta-\alpha)} \cdot \frac{n'}{m'} \cdot a_0^{(7),\varepsilon}(m, n) \\ 11 \mid 11^{(\beta-\alpha)} \cdot \frac{n'}{m'} \cdot a_0^{(11),\varepsilon}(m, n). \end{cases}$$

In addition, it is clear that

$$1 \mid 13^{\beta-\alpha} \cdot \frac{n'}{m'} \cdot a_0^{(13),\varepsilon}(m, n).$$

Now we obtain the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $a_0^{(p),\varepsilon}$  be the  $n$ -th Fourier coefficient of  $f_{0,m}^{(p),\varepsilon}$  with  $m = p^\alpha m'$ ,  $n = p^\beta n'$ ,  $(m', p) = (n', p) = 1$ . Then for any  $\alpha > \beta$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} a_0^{(2),\varepsilon}(2^\alpha m', 2^\beta n') &\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{4(\alpha-\beta)+8}}, \\ a_0^{(3),\varepsilon}(3^\alpha m', 3^\beta n') &\equiv 0 \pmod{3^{3(\alpha-\beta)+3}}, \\ a_0^{(5),\varepsilon}(5^\alpha m', 5^\beta n') &\equiv 0 \pmod{5^{2(\alpha-\beta)+1}}, \\ a_0^{(7),\varepsilon}(7^\alpha m', 7^\beta n') &\equiv 0 \pmod{7^{2(\alpha-\beta)}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_0^{(11),\varepsilon}(11^\alpha m', 11^\beta n') &\equiv 0 \pmod{11^{(\alpha-\beta)+1}}, \\ a_0^{(13),\varepsilon}(13^\alpha m', 13^\beta n') &\equiv 0 \pmod{13^{(\alpha-\beta)}}. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 5.4.** For  $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$ , recall that  $m_{p,0}^+ = 0$  and  $m_{p,0}^- = -1$ . Hence for any  $m \geq 0$ , there is a unique form  $f_{0,m}^{(p),+} = \frac{1}{q^m} + O(q) \in M_0^{1+}(p)$ , and for any  $m \geq 1$ , there exists a unique form  $f_{0,m}^{(p),-} = q^{-m} + O(1) \in M_0^{1-}(p)$ . Note that  $m = 0$  implies that  $f_{0,m}^{(p),\#} = f_{0,m}^{(p),+} = 1$ . For  $m \geq 1$ , observing that

$$\frac{f_{0,m}^{(p),+} + f_{0,m}^{(p),-}}{2} \Big|_{W_p} = \frac{f_{0,m}^{(p),+} - f_{0,m}^{(p),-}}{2} = O(1),$$

and

$$\frac{f_{0,m}^{(p),+} + f_{0,m}^{(p),-}}{2} = q^{-m} + O(1),$$

we have

$$f_{0,m}^{(p),\#} = q^{-m} + O(q) = \frac{1}{2}(f_{0,m}^{(p),+} + f_{0,m}^{(p),-} - a_0^{(p),-}(m, 0))$$

from uniqueness of  $f_{0,m}^{(p),\#}$ . Therefore our results for  $M_0^{1+}(p)$  and  $M_0^{1-}(p)$  can be applied to prove the results of Andersen, Jenkins and Thornton [AJ13, JT15] for  $p \in \{3, 5, 7, 13\}$ .

Now all that remains is to prove Theorem 5.1. To prove it, we follow the main idea in [Cho12] that combines the idea of Doud and Jenkins [DJ10] with that of Lehner [Leh43, Leh49a, Leh49b]. To get a relation among the Fourier coefficients of weakly holomorphic modular forms which plays a crucial role in finding  $p$ -divisible properties of Fourier coefficients Doud and Jenkins [DJ10, Corollary 3.2] used Hecke operators  $T_p$ . In this paper we find an analogy (see Lemma 5.6) of [DJ10, Corollary 3.2] by making use of  $U_p$ -operator instead of  $T_p$  and the fact that  $f(z) + f(-1/(pz))$  is a weakly holomorphic modular function for  $\Gamma_0^+(p)$  if  $f$  is a weakly holomorphic modular function for  $\Gamma_0(p)$ .

The concluding remarks of Lehner's last paper [Leh49b] say that the coefficients of certain level  $p$  modular functions having a pole of order less than  $p$  at the cusp  $\infty$  have the same  $p$ -divisible properties as the coefficients  $c(n)$  of  $j(z)$  (for a precise statement, see [AJ13, Theorem 1]). A necessary condition in the statement of Lehner's theorem is that the order of the pole at the cusp  $\infty$  must be less than  $p$ . In this paper by using Lemma 5.6 we remove this restriction on the order of the pole to show that all functions  $f_{0,m}^{(p),\varepsilon}$  in our basis have  $p$ -divisible properties as stated in Theorem 5.1.

For  $f \in M_0^{1,\varepsilon}(p)$ , we introduce the linear operator

$$U_p f(z) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{p-1} f\left(\frac{z+\lambda}{p}\right).$$

It is well known from [Apo90, Theorem 4.5] and [Ono04, Proposition 2.22] that  $U_p f$  is a weakly holomorphic modular function for  $\Gamma_0(p)$  and if  $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq s} a_n q^n$ , then

$$f_p := U_p f = \sum_{n \geq s/p} a_{pn} q^n.$$

For each positive integer  $a$  we denote  $U_p(U_p^a f)$  by  $U_p^{a+1} f$ , where  $U_p^1 f = U_p f$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $f$  be a weakly holomorphic modular function in the space  $M_0^{1,\varepsilon}(p)$ . Then*

$$pf_p(-1/(pz)) = -f(z) + pf_p(pz) + \varepsilon f(pz).$$

*Further,  $pf_p(-1/(pz))$  is a weakly holomorphic modular function for  $\Gamma_0(p)$ .*

**Proof.** The proof of this lemma is in fact identical to the proof of [DJ10, Lemma 4.1] and [Apo90, Theorem 4.6]. However, here we will prove again considering the change due to the difference of an eigenvalue for Fricke involution  $W_p$  of  $f$ .

By the definition of  $f_p$ , it is easily seen that

$$pf_p(-1/z) = f\left(\frac{-1}{pz}\right) + \sum_{\lambda=1}^{p-1} f\left(\frac{\lambda z - 1}{pz}\right). \quad (4)$$

Since  $f \in M_0^{1,\varepsilon}(p)$ , the transformation law  $f(-1/pz) = \varepsilon f(z)$  holds. Hence the right hand side of equation (4) is equal to

$$\varepsilon f(z) + \sum_{\lambda=1}^{p-1} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} z\right).$$

For an integer  $\lambda$  with  $1 \leq \lambda \leq p-1$ , let  $\lambda'$  be the unique integer with  $-(p-1) \leq \lambda' \leq -1$  such that  $\lambda\lambda' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , and let  $b_\lambda = (\lambda\lambda' - 1)/p$ . Then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & b_\lambda \\ p & \lambda' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\lambda' \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence the right hand side of equation (4) can be written as

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{p-1} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & b_\lambda \\ p & \lambda' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\lambda' \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} z\right) + \varepsilon f(z).$$

Noticing that  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & b_\lambda \\ p & \lambda' \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p)$ , we get

$$f\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & b_\lambda \\ p & \lambda' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\lambda' \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} z\right) = f\left(\frac{z - \lambda'}{p}\right).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} pf_p(-1/z) &= \sum_{\lambda=1}^{p-1} f\left(\frac{z-\lambda'}{p}\right) + \varepsilon f(z) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{p-1} f\left(\frac{z+\lambda}{p}\right) + \varepsilon f(z) \\ &= -f(z/p) + \sum_{\lambda=0}^{p-1} f\left(\frac{z+\lambda}{p}\right) + \varepsilon f(z) \\ &= -f(z/p) + pf_p(z) + \varepsilon f(z). \end{aligned}$$

By replacing  $z$  by  $pz$ , we easily get the assertion.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $m'$  and  $n'$  be any positive integers with  $(m', p) = (n', p) = 1$ . Then we have that*

- (1)  $a_0^{(p),\varepsilon}(pm', p^\beta n') = a_0^{(p),\varepsilon}(m', p^{\beta-1}n') + \varepsilon pa_0^{(p),\varepsilon}(m', p^\beta n') + pa_0^{(p),\varepsilon}(m', p^{\beta+1}n')$  for all positive integer  $\beta$ .
- (2)  $a_0^{(p),\varepsilon}(p^{\alpha+1}m', p^\beta n') = a_0^{(p),\varepsilon}(p^\alpha m', p^{\beta-1}n') + pa_0^{(p),\varepsilon}(p^\alpha m', p^{\beta+1}n') - pa_0^{(p),\varepsilon}(p^{\alpha-1}m', p^\beta n')$  for all positive integers  $\alpha, \beta$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f(z)$  be a basis element  $f_{0,m}^{(p),\varepsilon}$  of  $M_0^{1,\varepsilon}(p)$ , and let  $f_p := f|U_p$ . Then we know  $pf_p(z)$  is a weakly holomorphic modular function for  $\Gamma_0(p)$  and hence  $pf_p(-1/(pz)) + \varepsilon pf_p(z)$  is a weakly holomorphic modular function in  $M_k^{1,\varepsilon}(p)$ . Noticing that a weakly holomorphic modular function  $pf_p(-1/(pz)) + \varepsilon pf_p(z) = -f(z) + pf_p(pz) + \varepsilon f(pz) + \varepsilon pf_p(z)$  has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$\begin{aligned} &-f(z) + pf_p(pz) + \varepsilon f(pz) + \varepsilon pf_p(z) \\ &= \begin{cases} \varepsilon q^{-pm} - q^{-m} + O(q^{m_{p,0}^\varepsilon+1}), & \text{if } p \nmid m, \\ \varepsilon q^{-pm} + (p-1)q^{-m} + \varepsilon pq^{-m/p} + O(q^{m_{p,0}^\varepsilon+1}), & \text{if } p \mid m, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &-f_{0,m}^{(p),\varepsilon} + pf_p(pz) + \varepsilon f_{0,m}^{(p),\varepsilon}(pz) + \varepsilon pf_p(z) \\ &= \begin{cases} -f_{0,m}^{(p),\varepsilon} + \varepsilon f_{0,pm}^{(p),\varepsilon}, & \text{if } p \nmid m, \\ \varepsilon pf_{0,m/p}^{(p),\varepsilon} + (p-1)f_{0,m}^{(p),\varepsilon} + \varepsilon f_{0,pm}^{(p),\varepsilon}, & \text{if } p \mid m. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We now obtain the assertion by comparing the Fourier coefficients of weakly holomorphic modular functions in both sides of (5).  $\square$

Note that for each  $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$ , the genus of  $\Gamma_0(p)$  is zero. Hence we may take a univalent function  $\Phi(z)$  [Leh49a, Leh49b] as follows:



$$\Phi(z) = \Phi_p(z) = \left(\frac{\eta(pz)}{\eta(z)}\right)^r = q + \cdots,$$

with

$$r(p-1) = 24.$$

Let  $j_p(z) = 1/\Phi_p(z)$ . We then have that  $j_p$  is holomorphic on the upper half plane  $\mathfrak{H}$ , has a simple pole at the cusp  $\infty$  and

$$j_p(-1/(pz)) = p^{r/2}\Phi_p(z). \quad (6)$$

For (6), see [Leh43, (8.83)]. In fact, by using the transformation law of  $\eta$  we can show (6). We know from the definitions that  $j_p$  and  $\Phi$  have integral Fourier coefficients.

In what follows, for each positive integer  $m$  with  $m = p^\alpha m'$  and  $(m', p) = 1$ , we write

$$f(z) = f_{0,m}^{(p),\varepsilon}(z) = \frac{1}{q^m} + O(q^{m_{p,0}^\varepsilon + 1}).$$

If  $\alpha = 0$ , that is,  $m = m'$ , then  $f_p$  is holomorphic on  $\mathfrak{H}$  and at the cusp  $\infty$ . Moreover it follows from Lemma 5.5 that

$$pf_p(-1/(pz)) = -f(z) + pf_p(pz) + \varepsilon f(pz)$$

is a weakly holomorphic modular function for  $\Gamma_0(p)$ , which is holomorphic at the cusp 0 and meromorphic at the cusp  $\infty$  and has integral Fourier coefficients in the  $q$ -expansion at  $\infty$ . Hence for each  $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$ , we have

$$pf_p(-1/(pz)) = \sum_{t \geq 0} A_{t,p} j_p(z)^t$$

for some integers  $A_{t,p}$ . Under the same notation as above, replacing  $z$  by  $-1/(pz)$ , we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.7.** *Assume that  $\alpha = 0$ . Then for each  $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$ , we obtain*

$$f_p(z) = D_{0,p} + \sum_{t \geq 1} D_{t,p} p^{rt/2-1} \Phi(z)^t$$

for some integers  $D_{t,p}$ .

Following a main idea in [Cho12] we now prove Theorem 5.1. We use similar notations to [Cho12]. We will use induction on  $\alpha$ . Assume that  $\alpha = 0$ . We can rewrite  $f_p$  in Theorem 5.7 as

$$f_p = \begin{cases} B_0 + 2^{11} \sum_{t \geq 1} B_t 2^{8(t-1)} \Phi^t = B_0 + 2^{11} R, & \text{if } p = 2, \\ C_0 + 3^5 \sum_{t \geq 1} C_t 3^{4(t-1)} \Phi^t = C_0 + 3^5 T, & \text{if } p = 3, \\ D_0 + \sum_{t \geq 1} D_t 5^{3t-1} \Phi^t = D_0 + 5^2 Q_5, & \text{if } p = 5, \\ E_0 + \sum_{t \geq 1} E_t 7^{2t-1} \Phi^t = E_0 + Q_7, & \text{if } p = 7, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

for some integers  $B_t, C_t, D_t, E_t$ . Here  $R$  is a polynomial of the form  $R = \sum_{t \geq 1} b_t 2^{8(t-1)} \Phi^t$ ,  $T$  is a polynomial of the form  $T = \sum_{t \geq 1} c_t 3^{4(t-1)} \Phi^t$ ,  $Q_5$  is a polynomial of the form  $Q_5 = d_1 \Phi + \sum_{t \geq 2} d_t 5^t \Phi^t$ , and  $Q_7$  is a polynomial of the form  $Q_7 = e_1 \Phi + \sum_{t \geq 2} e_t 7^t \Phi^t$  for some integers  $b_t, c_t, d_t, e_t$ . Also  $R, T, Q_5$  and  $Q_7$  will denote polynomials of these types, not necessarily the same one at each appearance.

**Proposition 5.8.** *For each positive integer  $h$ , we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{8(h-1)} U_2 \Phi^h &= 2^3 R, \\ 3^{4(h-1)} U_3 \Phi^h &= 3^2 T, \\ U_5 \Phi &= 5 Q_5, \quad 5^{h+1} U_5 \Phi^{h+1} = 5 Q_5, \\ U_7 \Phi &= 7 Q_7, \quad 7^{h+1} U_7 \Phi^{h+1} = 7 Q_7. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** See [Leh49b, (3.4), (3.24)] and [Leh49a, (5.13), (5.14), Section 6].  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 5.1.

**Proof of Theorem 5.1.** For each positive integer  $\beta$ , applying the operator  $U_p^\beta$  to both sides in (7) we obtain from Proposition 5.8 that

$$U_p^\beta f = \begin{cases} B'_0 + 2^{11} 2^{3(\beta-1)} R \equiv B'_0 \pmod{2^{3\beta+8}}, & \text{if } p = 2, \\ C'_0 + 3^{2\beta+3} T \equiv C'_0 \pmod{3^{2\beta+3}}, & \text{if } p = 3, \\ D'_0 + 5^{\beta+1} Q \equiv D'_0 \pmod{5^{\beta+1}}, & \text{if } p = 5, \\ E'_0 + 7^\beta Q \equiv E'_0 \pmod{7^\beta}, & \text{if } p = 7. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Proposition 5.8 gives

$$a_0^{(2),\varepsilon}(m', 2^\beta n') \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{3\beta+8}}, \quad (9)$$

$$a_0^{(3),\varepsilon}(m', 3^\beta n') \equiv 0 \pmod{3^{2\beta+3}}, \quad (10)$$

$$a_0^{(5),\varepsilon}(m', 5^\beta n') \equiv 0 \pmod{5^{\beta+1}}, \quad (11)$$

$$a_0^{(7),\varepsilon}(m', 7^\beta n') \equiv 0 \pmod{7^\beta}. \quad (12)$$

Thus the assertion holds for all  $\beta > 0$  when  $\alpha = 0$ . Now consider  $p = 2$ . We then obtain from (9) and Lemma 5.6(1) that

$$a_0^{(2),\varepsilon}(2m', 2^\beta n') \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{3(\beta-1)+8}}$$

for all  $\beta > 1$ . Thus the assertion holds when  $\alpha = 1$ . Let  $\alpha$  be some positive integer and assume that  $a_0^{(2),\varepsilon}(2^i m', 2^\beta n') \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{3(\beta-i)+8}}$  for all positive integer  $i$  with  $0 < i \leq \alpha$  and for each  $\beta > i$ . Then Lemma 5.6(2) implies that the assertion holds when  $m = 2^{\alpha+1} m'$  and for each positive integer  $\beta$  with  $\beta > \alpha + 1$ . Consequently by induction we obtain the assertion when  $p = 2$ . By the same argument as the case of  $p = 2$  we obtain the assertion for other primes  $p = 3, 5, 7$ .

In the case  $p = 11$ , we notice that the genus of  $\Gamma_0(11)$  is not zero, so we need a new approach. In fact, by adopting an argument similar to [Cho12] we can obtain the assertion. For the convenience of readers we provide a proof. Following the notation in [Leh43] we have modular functions for  $\Gamma_0(11)$  which are holomorphic on  $\mathfrak{H}$  and have integral Fourier coefficients [Leh43, (4.51), (6.44), (6.46) and Lemma 3] as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A(z) &= A\left(\frac{-1}{11z}\right) = \frac{1}{q} + 6 + 17q + 46q^2 + \cdots, \\ C(z) &= q + 5q^2 + \cdots, \\ 11^2 C\left(\frac{-1}{11z}\right) &= \frac{1}{q^2} + \frac{2}{q} + \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

Letting

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(z) &= 11^2 C\left(\frac{-1}{11z}\right) = \frac{1}{q^2} + \cdots, \\ \beta(z) &= 11^2 C\left(\frac{-1}{11z}\right) A(z) = \frac{1}{q^3} + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$11f_{11}\left(\frac{-1}{11z}\right) = \sum_{a \geq 0, b \geq 0} D_{ab} \alpha(z)^a \beta(z)^b$$

for some integers  $D_{ab}$  because the genus of  $\Gamma_0(11)$  is not zero. Now replacing  $z$  by  $-1/11z$  we obtain that

$$11f_{11}(z) = \sum_{a \geq 0, b \geq 0} D_{ab} \alpha\left(\frac{-1}{11z}\right)^a \beta\left(\frac{-1}{11z}\right)^b = \sum_{a \geq 0, b \geq 0} D_{ab} 11^{2(a+b)} C(z)^{a+b} A(z)^b,$$

which implies that  $f_{11}(z) \equiv A_0 \pmod{11}$  for some integer  $A_0$  and hence  $a_0^{(11)}(m', 11^\beta n) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$  for all positive integers  $\beta$ . Thus Lemma 5.6 implies the assertion when  $p = 11$ .  $\square$

## 6. Square-free level cases

Until now we only considered weakly holomorphic modular forms of prime levels. As another extension of [CK13], we will consider square-free level cases. We recall that

$\Gamma_0^*(N)$  is the group generated by  $\Gamma_0(N)$  and all Atkin–Lehner involutions of  $N$ . Note that if  $N$  is prime, then  $\Gamma_0^*(N)$  is the same as  $\Gamma_0^+(N)$  which we have discussed so far. Throughout this section, we assume that  $N$  is a square-free composite integer for which the genus of  $\Gamma_0^*(N)$  is zero, that is,  $N$  belongs to the set

$$\mathfrak{S}_0 = \{6, 10, 14, 15, 21, 22, 26, 30, 33, 34, 35, 38, 39, 42, 46, 51, 55, 62, 66, 69, 70, 78, 87, 94, 95, 105, 110, 119\}.$$

Let  $M_k^*(N)$  (resp.  $S_k^*(N)$ ) be the space of holomorphic modular forms (resp. cusp forms) of weight  $k$  for  $\Gamma_0^*(N)$ , and let  $M_k^{!*}(N)$  be the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms for  $\Gamma_0^*(N)$ . In this section we will generalize the results of [CK13] to the space  $M_k^{!*}(N)$  of weakly holomorphic modular forms in the cases of square-free levels. For a square-free integer  $N$ , it is well known from [JST16, JST17] that  $\Gamma_0^*(N)$  has only one inequivalent cusp, and hence we can generalize the results of [CK13] without difficulty.

Let  $k > 2$  be an even integer. Then  $\dim S_k^*(N)$  is finite, and it follows from [Miy06, Theorem 2.5.2] that

$$\dim S_k^*(N) = \nu_2 \left\lfloor \frac{k}{4} \right\rfloor + \nu_3 \left\lfloor \frac{k}{3} \right\rfloor + \nu_4 \left\lfloor \frac{3k}{8} \right\rfloor + \nu_6 \left\lfloor \frac{5k}{12} \right\rfloor - \frac{k}{2},$$

where  $\nu_i$  denotes the number of inequivalent elliptic points of order  $i$  of  $\Gamma_0^*(N)$ . Using [CL04, Table 4], one can compute  $\dim S_k^*(N)$  for each  $N \in \mathfrak{S}_0$ .

**Remark 6.1.** By finite-dimensionality and existence of the Hauptmodul  $j_N^*$  of  $\Gamma_0^*(N)$ , one can show that the space  $S_k^*(N)$  also has a Miller basis by adopting the same arguments as in Lemma 2.2. Furthermore, for  $d = \dim S_k^*(N) \geq 1$ , there exists a unique cusp form  $\Delta_{N,k}^*$  with  $q$ -expansion of the form

$$\Delta_{N,k}^*(z) = q^d + O(q^{d+1}),$$

and for  $d = 0$ , we define  $\Delta_{N,k}^*(z) = E_{N,k}^* := \frac{1}{\sigma_{k/2}(N)} \sum_{d|N} d^{k/2} E_k(dz)$  where we set  $E_{N,0}^* = 1$ .

Next step to find the canonical basis of  $M_k^{!*}(N)$  is, as in prime level cases, to define  $\delta_N$  and  $\Delta_N^*$  for each  $N$ . In fact, it was done by [JST16, JST17].

**Lemma 6.2.** (See [JST16, Theorem 16] and [JST17, Proposition 4 and Corollary 5].) Let  $N$  be a square-free integer with  $r$  distinct prime factors.

(1) Put

$$\delta = \delta_N = \text{lcm} \left( 4, 2^{r-1} \frac{24}{\gcd(24, \sigma(N))} \right),$$

where  $\sigma(N)$  is a divisor sum. Then  $\delta$  is the smallest weight  $k$  such that there exists a cusp form  $f \in S_k^*(N)$  vanishing only at the cusps.

- (2) There exists a unique normalized cusp form  $\Delta_N^* \in S_\delta^*(N)$  such that  $\text{ord}_\infty \Delta_N^* = \frac{\sigma(N)}{24 \cdot 2^{r-1}} k$ . More explicitly,

$$\Delta_N^*(z) = \left( \prod_{d|N} \eta(dz) \right)^{\ell_N}$$

where  $\ell_N = 2^{1-r} \delta_N$ .

**Theorem 6.3.** Let  $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\delta$  be the integer given in Lemma 6.2. We have unique  $l_k$  and  $r_k$  such that

$$k = \delta l_k + r_k \quad \text{where } r_k = \begin{cases} \delta + 2 & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{\delta}, \\ k - \lfloor \frac{k}{\delta} \rfloor \delta & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (1) For  $f \in M_k^{!*}(N)$ ,

$$\text{ord}_\infty f \leq \frac{\sigma(N)}{24 \cdot 2^{r-1}} \delta l_k + \dim S_{r_k}^*(N).$$

- (2) We put  $m_{N,k}^* = \frac{\sigma(N)}{24 \cdot 2^{r-1}} \delta l_k + \dim S_{r_k}^*(N)$ . For each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , such that  $-m \leq m_{N,k}^*$ , there exists a unique weakly holomorphic modular form  $f_{k,m}^* \in M_k^{!*}(N)$  with

$$f_{k,m}^* = q^{-m} + O(q^{m_{N,k}^*+1}).$$

**Proof.** Same as the proof of Theorem 2.5.

Note that for each integer  $m \geq -m_{N,k}^*$ , the canonical basis  $f_{k,m}^*$  for  $M_k^{!*}(N)$  is given by

$$f_{k,m}^* = (\Delta_N^*)^{l_k} \Delta_{N,r_k}^* F_{k,m+m_{N,k}^*}(j_N^*),$$

where  $F_{k,D}(x)$  is a monic polynomial in  $x$  of degree  $D$  and  $j_N^*$  is the Hauptmodul for  $\Gamma_0^*(N)$ . Since  $\Delta_N^*$  is an eta product,  $\Delta_N^*$  has integer Fourier coefficients. Integrality of the coefficients in the  $q$ -expansion of  $j_N^*$  is proved in [JST16, Section 3]. Thus for integrality of the coefficients of the canonical basis we have only to consider  $\Delta_{N,r_k}^*$ , which is the unique cusp form in  $S_{r_k}^*(N)$  whose vanishing order at infinity is the same as the dimension of the space  $S_{r_k}^*(N)$ . In Table 2 we list the dimensions of  $S_{r_k}^*(N)$  for each case.

**Remark 6.4.** From the Table 2, we observe that  $\dim S_{r_k}^*(N) + \dim S_{\delta+2-r_k}^*(N) = \dim S_{\delta+2}^*(N)$ , and  $\dim S_\delta^*(N) = \dim S_{\delta+2}^*(N) + 1$ . In other words, the relation

**Table 2**  
Dimensions of  $S_{r_k}^*(N)$ .

$N$	$\delta$	$\dim S_{r_k}^*(N)$					
		$r_k = 4$	$r_k = 6$	$r_k = 8$	$r_k = 10$	$r_k = 12$	$r_k = 14$
6	4	1	0				
10	8	1	1	3	2		
14	4	2	1				
15	4	2	1				
21	12	2	2	5	5	8	7
22	4	3	2				
26	8	3	3	7	6		
30	4	3	2				
33	4	4	3				
34	8	4	4	9	8		
35	4	4	3				
38	4	5	4				
39	12	4	4	9	9	14	13
42	4	4	3				
46	4	6	5				
51	4	6	5				
55	4	6	5				
62	4	8	7				
66	4	6	5				
69	4	8	7				
70	4	6	5				
78	4	7	6				
87	4	10	9				
94	4	12	11				
95	4	10	9				
105	4	8	7				
110	4	9	8				
119	4	12	11				

$$\dim S_{r_k}^*(N) + \dim S_{\delta+2-r_k}^*(N) = \frac{\sigma(N)\ell_N}{24} - 1$$

holds for every  $N \in \mathfrak{S}_0$ , having similarities with [CK13, Lemma 3.7].

**Corollary 6.5.** *The duality relation*

$$a_k^*(n, m) = -a_{2-k}^*(m, n)$$

also holds for the weakly holomorphic modular forms  $f_{k,n}^* = q^{-n} + \sum a_k^*(n, m)q^m$  and  $f_{2-k,m}^* = q^{-m} + \sum a_{2-k}^*(m, n)q^n$ .

**Proof.** The assertion immediately follows by combining the arguments in [CK13, Remark 3.8] with Remark 6.4.  $\square$

It follows from Remark 6.4 that  $\Delta_{N,\delta}^* = \Delta_N^*$ , and  $\Delta_{N,\delta+2}^* = \Delta_N^* \times (-D(j_N^*))$ . Accordingly, when  $\delta = 4$ , we can construct  $\Delta_{N,r_k}^*$  explicitly for every pair  $(N, r_k)$ . The remaining cases of  $N$  are  $N \in \{10, 21, 26, 34, 39\}$ . Before we look at each case, recall that  $W_e W_f \equiv W_f W_e \equiv W_g \pmod{\Gamma_0(N)}$  where  $g = ef / \gcd(e, f)^2$ .

**Case (1)  $N = 10$ :** Since we have  $\Delta_{10,4}^* \times \Delta_{10,6}^* = \Delta_{10,10}^*$ , we have only to consider  $\Delta_{10,4}^*$ . First we consider the function  $f = \Delta_5^+ + \Delta_5^+ | W_2$ . Then it is not difficult to check

that  $f$  is a modular form of weight 4 for  $\Gamma_0(10)$  and invariant under all Atkin–Lehner involutions of 10. Further, since  $\Delta_5^+(z) \mid W_2 = 4 \cdot \Delta_5^+(2z)$ , we get the  $q$ -expansion of  $f$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_5^+ + \Delta_5^+ \mid W_2 &= q - 4q^2 + 2q^3 + 8q^4 - 5q^5 - 8q^6 + \cdots + 4(q^2 - 4q^4 + 2q^6 + \cdots) \\ &= q + 2q^3 - 8q^4 - 5q^5 + \cdots.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $f = \Delta_5^+ + \Delta_5^+ \mid W_2$  is the unique cusp form  $\Delta_{10,4}^*$ , and has rational integer Fourier coefficients.

**Case (2)  $N = 21$ :** In this case, we need to find  $\Delta_{21,4}^*$  and  $\Delta_{21,6}^*$ . Using [The13b], we can find a newform  $f_1$  of weight 4 on  $\Gamma_0(21)$  with integral Fourier coefficients, which is invariant under all Atkin–Lehner involutions of 21. On the other hand, we have another holomorphic cusp form  $f_2 = \Delta_{7,4}^+ + \Delta_{7,4}^+ \mid W_3$ , and  $f_2$  is also invariant under all Atkin–Lehner involutions of 21. In addition,  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  have  $q$ -expansions of the form

$$\begin{aligned}f_1 &= q + 4q^2 - 3q^3 + 8q^4 - 4q^5 - 12q^6 - 7q^7 + 9q^9 + \cdots, \\ f_2 &= q - q^2 + 7q^3 - 7q^4 + 16q^5 - 7q^6 - 7q^7 + 15q^8 - 41q^9 + \cdots.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$f_1 - f_2 = 5q^2 - 10q^3 + 15q^4 - 20q^5 - 5q^6 - 15q^8 + 50q^9 + \cdots \equiv 0 \pmod{5},$$

using classical Sturm bound for modular forms in  $M_4(21)$ . Therefore,  $\Delta_{21,4}^* = (f_1 - f_2)/5$ .

We can also obtain  $\Delta_{21,6}^*$  with similar arguments. From [The13f], the function  $g_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$  with  $q$ -expansion

$$g_1 = q + q^2 - 9q^3 - 31q^4 - 34q^5 - 9q^6 - 49q^7 - 63q^8 + 81q^9 + \cdots$$

is a newform of weight 6 on  $\Gamma_0(21)$ , and its eigenvalues of all Atkin–Lehner involutions are equal to 1. Thus  $g_1$  lies in the space  $S_6^*(21)$ . There is another holomorphic cusp form in  $S_6^*(21)$ . Consider the holomorphic cusp form  $g_2$  in  $S_6(21)$  given by

$$g_2 = \Delta_{7,6}^+ + \Delta_{7,6}^+ \mid W_3 = \Delta_{7,6}^+ + 27\Delta_{7,6}(3z).$$

Then  $g_2$  is also invariant under all Atkin–Lehner involutions of 21, that is,  $g_2 \in S_6^*(21)$ . The Fourier expansion of  $g_2$  has the form

$$g_2 = q - 10q^2 + 13q^3 + 68q^4 - 56q^5 - 130q^6 - 49q^7 - 360q^8 - 425q^9 + \cdots.$$

Then we have

$$g_1 - g_2 = 11q^2 - 22q^3 - 99q^4 + 22q^5 + 121q^6 + 297q^8 + 506q^9 + \cdots \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$$

by classical Sturm bound for modular forms in  $M_6(21)$ . Therefore we have  $\Delta_{21,6}^* = (g_1 - g_2)/11$ .

**Case (3)  $N = 26$ :** For this case, it suffices to find  $\Delta_{26,4}^*$ . Let  $f_1$  be the newform from [The13c], and let  $f_2, f_3$  be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 &= \Delta_{13,4}^+ + \Delta_{13,4}^+ | W_2, \\ f_3 &= (\Delta_{13,4}^+ \cdot j_{13}^+) + (\Delta_{13,4}^+ \cdot j_{13}^+) | W_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is not difficult to check that  $f_1, f_2$ , and  $f_3$  are holomorphic cusp forms in  $M_4^*(26)$  with integral Fourier coefficients. Moreover the  $q$ -expansions of these functions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= q - 2q^2 + 3q^3 + 4q^4 + 11q^5 - 6q^6 + 19q^7 - 8q^8 - 18q^9 + \cdots, \\ f_2 &= q^2 - 3q^3 + 5q^4 + q^5 - 11q^6 + 11q^7 - 7q^8 - 15q^9 + \cdots, \\ f_3 &= q + q^2 + 13q^3 - 19q^4 - 5q^5 + 37q^6 - 43q^7 + 9q^8 + 70q^9 + \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\dim S_4^*(26) = 3$ , the space  $S_4^*(26)$  is spanned by  $f_1, f_2$ , and  $f_3$ . Hence  $\Delta_{26,4}^* = (-f_1 - 3f_2 + f_3)/19$ , and it has integral Fourier coefficients.

**Case (4)  $N = 34$ :** In this case, we only need to construct  $\Delta_{34,4}^*$ . Recall that the vanishing order of  $\Delta_{17}^+$  at  $\infty$  is 3. Thus we have three different holomorphic cusp forms on  $\Gamma_0^*(34)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \Delta_{17}^+ + \Delta_{17}^+ | W_2, \\ f_2 &= (\Delta_{17}^+ \cdot j_{17}^+) + (\Delta_{17}^+ \cdot j_{17}^+) | W_2, \\ f_3 &= (\Delta_{17}^+ \cdot (j_{17}^+)^2) + (\Delta_{17}^+ \cdot (j_{17}^+)^2) | W_2. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, let  $f_4$  be the newform from [The13d] which is also a holomorphic cusp form on  $\Gamma_0^*(34)$ . Then the cusp forms

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= q^3 - 4q^4 + 2q^5 + 12q^6 - 5q^7 - 20q^8 - 10q^9 + \cdots, \\ f_2 &= q^2 - 4q^3 + 13q^4 - 6q^5 - 34q^6 + 14q^7 + 53q^8 + 22q^9 + \cdots, \\ f_3 &= q + 16q^3 - 36q^4 + 18q^5 + 96q^6 - 40q^7 - 156q^8 - 49q^9 + \cdots, \\ f_4 &= q - 2q^2 - 2q^3 + 4q^4 + 16q^5 + 4q^6 + 24q^7 - 8q^8 - 23q^9 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

span the space  $S_4^*(34)$ . Therefore  $\Delta_{34,4}^* = -(26f_1 + 2f_2 + f_4 - f_3)/38$  and it has integral Fourier coefficients.

**Case (5)  $N = 39$ :** For this case, we need to find  $\Delta_{39,4}^*$  and  $\Delta_{39,6}^*$ . Applying similar arguments to Example 3.1 to the data [The13e], we get two holomorphic cusp forms  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  in  $S_4^*(39)$  with integral Fourier coefficients, and  $f_1, f_2$  have  $q$ -expansions of the form



$$\begin{aligned}f_1 &= q - 3q^3 + 5q^4 + 14q^5 + 2q^7 + 26q^8 + \cdots, \\f_2 &= q^2 + 2q^4 - 2q^5 - 3q^6 - 2q^7 + q^8 + \cdots.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $f_3 = \Delta_{13,4}^+ + \Delta_{13,4}^+ \mid W_3$  and let  $f_4 = (\Delta_{13,4}^+ \cdot j_{13}^+) + (\Delta_{13,4}^+ \cdot j_{13}^+) \mid W_3$ . Then  $f_3$  and  $f_4$  have  $q$ -expansions of the form

$$\begin{aligned}f_3 &= q^2 - 3q^3 + q^4 + q^5 + 10q^6 + 11q^7 - 11q^8 + \cdots, \\f_4 &= q - 3q^2 + 22q^3 - 7q^4 - 5q^5 - 42q^6 - 43q^7 + 37q^8 + \cdots.\end{aligned}$$

Taking a suitable linear combination of  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  and  $f_4$ , we obtain

$$\Delta_{39,4}^* = q^4 - 4q^6 - 4q^7 + 6q^8 + \cdots \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]].$$

Similarly, from the data [The13g], we get two holomorphic cusp forms in  $S_6^*(39)$  with integral Fourier coefficients. Let  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  be two cusp forms coming from [The13g], and let  $g_3 = \Delta_{13,6}^+ + \Delta_{13,6}^+ \mid W_3$  and  $g_4 = (\Delta_{13,6}^+ \cdot j_{13}^+) + (\Delta_{13,6}^+ \cdot j_{13}^+) \mid W_3$ . Then  $g_1, g_2, g_3$  and  $g_4$  have  $q$ -expansions of the form

$$\begin{aligned}g_1 &= q - 9q^3 + 20q^4 - 34q^5 + 14q^7 + \cdots, \\g_2 &= q^2 - 4q^4 - 5q^5 - 9q^6 - 11q^7 + \cdots, \\g_3 &= q^2 - 6q^3 - 5q^4 + 40q^5 + 28q^6 - 70q^7 + \cdots, \\g_4 &= q - 6q^2 + 34q^3 - 4q^4 - 161q^5 - 156q^6 + 227q^7 + \cdots.\end{aligned}$$

By a suitable linear combination, we have  $\Delta_{39,6}^* = q^4 - 3q^5 - q^6 + 5q^7 + \cdots \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ .

## Acknowledgment

We would like to thank to the referee for valuable comments on the extension of our results to square-free level cases. We also appreciate the referee's useful comments on congruences of Fourier coefficients of weakly holomorphic modular forms.

## References

- [AJ13] Nickolas Andersen, Paul Jenkins, Divisibility properties of coefficients of level  $p$  modular functions for genus zero primes, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 141 (1) (2013) 41–53.
- [Apo90] T.M. Apostol, *Modular Functions and Dirichlet Series in Number Theory*, 2nd edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 41, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [CY96] Imin Chen, Noriko Yui, Singular values of Thompson series, in: *Groups, Difference Sets, and the Monster*, Columbus, OH, 1993, in: *Ohio State University Mathematical Research Institute Publications*, vol. 4, 1996, pp. 255–326.
- [Cho06] SoYoung Choi, The values of modular functions and modular forms, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 49 (4) (2006) 526–535.
- [Cho12] Soyoung Choi,  $p$ -Adic properties of coefficients of basis for the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight 2, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* 88 (1) (2012) 11–15.

- [CK13] SoYoung Choi, Chang Heon Kim, Basis for the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms in higher level cases, *J. Number Theory* 133 (4) (2013) 1300–1311.
- [CL04] Kok Seng Chua, Mong Lung Lang, Congruence subgroups associated to the monster, *Exp. Math.* 13 (3) (2004) 343–360.
- [DS05] Fred Diamond, Jerry Shurman, *A First Course in Modular Forms*, vol. 228, Springer, 2005.
- [DJ10] Darrin Doud, Paul Jenkins,  $p$ -Adic properties of coefficients of weakly holomorphic modular forms, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2010 (16) (2010) 3184–3206.
- [JT15] Paul Jenkins, D.J. Thornton, Congruences for coefficients of modular functions, *Ramanujan J.* 38 (3) (2015) 619–628.
- [JST16] Jay Jorgenson, Lejla Smajlović, Holger Then, Kronecker's limit formula, holomorphic modular functions and  $q$ -expansions on certain moonshine groups, *Exp. Math.* 25 (3) (2016) 295–319.
- [JST17] Jay Jorgenson, Lejla Smajlović, Holger Then, Certain aspects of holomorphic function theory on some genus-zero arithmetic groups, *LMS J. Comput. Math.* 19 (2) (2017) 360–381.
- [Kri95] Aloys Krieg, Modular forms on the Fricke group, *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg.* 65 (1995) 293–299.
- [Leh43] Joseph Lehner, Ramanujan identities involving the partition function for the moduli  $11^\alpha$ , *Amer. J. Math.* 65 (1943) 492–520.
- [Leh49a] Joseph Lehner, Divisibility properties of the Fourier coefficients of the modular invariant  $j(\tau)$ , *Amer. J. Math.* 71 (1) (1949) 136–148.
- [Leh49b] Joseph Lehner, Further congruence properties of the Fourier coefficients of the modular invariant  $j(\tau)$ , *Amer. J. Math.* 71 (2) (1949) 373–386.
- [Miy06] Toshitsune Miyake, *Modular Forms*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [Ono04] Ken Ono, *The Web of Modularity: Arithmetic of the Coefficients of Modular Forms and  $q$ -Series*, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 102, American Mathematical Society, 2004.
- [The13a] The LMFDB Collaboration, The L-functions and modular forms database, home page of holomorphic cusp forms of weight 2 for  $\Gamma_0(29)$ , <http://www.lmfdb.org/ModularForm/GL2/Q/holomorphic/29/2/1/?group=0>, 2013. (Accessed 4 April 2017) (Online).
- [The13b] The LMFDB Collaboration, The L-functions and modular forms database, home page of holomorphic cusp forms of weight 4 for  $\Gamma_0(21)$ , <http://www.lmfdb.org/ModularForm/GL2/Q/holomorphic/21/4/1/b/>, 2013. (Accessed 17 January 2018) (Online).
- [The13c] The LMFDB Collaboration, The L-functions and modular forms database, home page of holomorphic cusp forms of weight 4 for  $\Gamma_0(26)$ , <http://www.lmfdb.org/ModularForm/GL2/Q/holomorphic/26/4/1/a/>, 2013. (Accessed 17 January 2018) (Online).
- [The13d] The LMFDB Collaboration, The L-functions and modular forms database, home page of holomorphic cusp forms of weight 4 for  $\Gamma_0(34)$ , <http://www.lmfdb.org/ModularForm/GL2/Q/holomorphic/34/4/1/b/>, 2013. (Accessed 17 January 2018) (Online).
- [The13e] The LMFDB Collaboration, The L-functions and modular forms database, home page of holomorphic cusp forms of weight 4 for  $\Gamma_0(39)$ , <http://www.lmfdb.org/ModularForm/GL2/Q/holomorphic/39/4/1/b/>, 2013. (Accessed 17 January 2018) (Online).
- [The13f] The LMFDB Collaboration, The L-functions and modular forms database, home page of holomorphic cusp forms of weight 6 for  $\Gamma_0(21)$ , <http://www.lmfdb.org/ModularForm/GL2/Q/holomorphic/21/6/1/b/>, 2013. (Accessed 17 January 2018) (Online).
- [The13g] The LMFDB Collaboration, The L-functions and modular forms database, home page of holomorphic cusp forms of weight 6 for  $\Gamma_0(39)$ , <http://www.lmfdb.org/ModularForm/GL2/Q/holomorphic/39/6/1/b/>, 2013. (Accessed 17 January 2018) (Online).
- [Zag89] Don Zagier, Introduction to modular forms, in: *From Number Theory to Physics*, Les Houches, 1989, Springer, 1992, pp. 238–291.