



# Weak convergence of multivariate partial maxima processes



Danijel Krizmanić

Department of Mathematics, University of Rijeka, Radmile Matejčić 2, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 13 July 2016

Available online 27 November 2016

### AMS 2010 subject classifications:

60F17

60G52

60G70

### Keywords:

Functional limit theorem

Regular variation

Weak  $M_1$  topology

Extremal process

Weak convergence

Multivariate GARCH

## ABSTRACT

For a strictly stationary sequence of  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ -valued random vectors we derive functional convergence of partial maxima stochastic processes under joint regular variation and weak dependence conditions. The limit process is an extremal process and the convergence takes place in the space of  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ -valued càdlàg functions on  $[0, 1]$ , with the Skorohod weak  $M_1$  topology. We also show that this topology in general cannot be replaced by the stronger (standard)  $M_1$  topology. The theory is illustrated on three examples, including the multivariate squared GARCH process with constant conditional correlations.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

A classical question in extreme-value theory is under what assumptions the scaled maximum

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i - b_n}{a_n}$$

of i.i.d. random variables  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges weakly, for some  $a_n > 0$  and  $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Also what are the possible limit distributions? Answers to these questions were given by Fisher and Tippett [14], Gnedenko [15] and de Haan [11]. Introducing a time variable, Lamperti [19] studied the asymptotic distributional behavior of partial maxima stochastic processes

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \frac{X_i - b_n}{a_n}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Extensions of the theory to dependent random variables, and then to multivariate and spatial settings were particularly stimulating and useful in applications; we refer here only to Adler [1], Leadbetter [20,21], Beirlant et al. [7], de Haan and Ferreira [12] and Resnick [23].

In this paper we focus on the multivariate case in the weakly dependent setting. Let  $\mathbb{R}_+^d = [0, \infty)^d$ . We consider a stationary sequence of  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ -valued random vectors  $(X_n)$ . In the i.i.d. case it is well known that weak convergence of the scaled maximum is equivalent to the regular variation of the distribution of  $X_1$ , i.e.,

$$M_n = \bigvee_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i}{a_n} \xrightarrow{d} Y_0$$

E-mail address: [dkrizmanic@math.uniri.hr](mailto:dkrizmanic@math.uniri.hr).

if and only if

$$n \Pr\left(\frac{X_1}{a_n} \in \cdot\right) \xrightarrow{v} \mu(\cdot), \quad (1)$$

where  $Y_0$  is a random vector with distribution function  $F_0(x) = e^{-\mu([0, x]^c)}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ ,  $\mu$  is a Radon measure and  $(a_n)$  a sequence of positive real numbers such that

$$n \Pr(\|X_1\| > a_n) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty;$$

see Proposition 7.1 in Resnick [23]. The arrow “ $\xrightarrow{v}$ ” above denotes vague convergence of measures, and  $[a, b]$  the product segment, i.e.,

$$[a, b] = [a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_d, b_d]$$

for  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ ,  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_d) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ .

In the i.i.d. case relation (1) is also equivalent to the functional convergence of stochastic processes of partial maxima of  $(X_n)$ , i.e.,

$$M_n(\cdot) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\lfloor n \rfloor} \frac{X_i}{a_n} \xrightarrow{d} Y_0(\cdot) \quad (2)$$

in  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$ , the space of  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ -valued càdlàg functions on  $[0, 1]$ , with the Skorohod  $J_1$  topology, with the limit  $Y_0$  being an extremal process; see Proposition 7.2 in [23].

In this paper we are interested in the investigation of the asymptotic distributional behavior of the processes  $M_n$  for a sequence of weakly dependent  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ -valued random vectors that are jointly regularly varying. Since we study extremes of random processes, nonnegativity of the components of random vectors  $X_n$  in reality is not a restrictive assumption.

First, we introduce the essential ingredients about regular variation, weak dependence and Skorohod topologies in Section 2. In Section 3 we prove the so-called timeless result on weak convergence of scaled extremes  $M_n$ , based on a point process convergence obtained by Davis and Mikosch [10]. Using a multivariate version of the limit theorem derived by Basrak et al. [5] for a certain time–space point processes, in Section 4 we prove a functional limit theorem for processes of partial maxima  $M_n$  in the space  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  endowed with the Skorohod weak  $M_1$  topology. The methods used are partly based on the work of Basrak and Krizmanić [4] for partial sums. Finally, in Section 5 the theory is applied to  $m$ -dependent processes, stochastic recurrence equations and multivariate squared GARCH  $(p, q)$  with constant conditional correlations. We also illustrate with an example that the weak  $M_1$  convergence in our main theorem, in general, cannot be replaced by the standard  $M_1$  convergence.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we introduce some basic notions and results on regular variation, point processes and Skorohod topologies that will be used in the following sections. For two vectors  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d)$  and  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$ ,  $y \leq z$  means  $y_k \leq z_k$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, d$ .

### 2.1. Regular variation

Regular variation on  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$  for random vectors is typically formulated in terms of vague convergence on  $\mathbb{E}^d = [0, \infty]^d \setminus \{0\}$ . The topology on  $\mathbb{E}^d$  is chosen so that a set  $B \subseteq \mathbb{E}^d$  has compact closure if and only if it is bounded away from zero, that is, if there exists  $u > 0$  such that  $B \subseteq \mathbb{E}_u^d = \{x \in \mathbb{E}^d : \|x\| > u\}$ . Here  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the max-norm on  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ , i.e.,  $\|x\| = \max\{x_i : i = 1, \dots, d\}$  where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ . Denote by  $C_K^+(\mathbb{E}^d)$  the class of all  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued continuous functions on  $\mathbb{E}^d$  with compact support.

The vector  $\xi$  with values in  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$  is (multivariate) regularly varying with index  $\alpha > 0$  if there exists a random vector  $\Theta$  on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}_+^{d-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d : \|x\| = 1\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ , such that for every  $u \in (0, \infty)$

$$\frac{\Pr(\|\xi\| > ux, \xi/\|\xi\| \in \cdot)}{\Pr(\|\xi\| > x)} \rightsquigarrow u^{-\alpha} \Pr(\Theta \in \cdot) \quad (3)$$

as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , where the arrow “ $\rightsquigarrow$ ” denotes weak convergence of finite measures. Regular variation can be expressed in terms of vague convergence of measures on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{E}^d)$ :

$$n \Pr(a_n^{-1} \xi \in \cdot) \xrightarrow{v} \mu(\cdot),$$

where  $(a_n)$  is a sequence of positive real numbers tending to infinity and  $\mu$  is a non-null Radon measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{E}^d)$ .

We say that a strictly stationary  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ -valued process  $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is jointly regularly varying with index  $\alpha > 0$  if for any nonnegative integer  $k$  the  $kd$ -dimensional random vector  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$  is multivariate regularly varying with index  $\alpha$ .

Theorem 2.1 in Basrak and Segers [6] provides a convenient characterization of joint regular variation: it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a process  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with  $\Pr(\|Y_0\| > y) = y^{-\alpha}$  for  $y \geq 1$  such that as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$((x^{-1} \xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mid \|\xi_0\| > x) \xrightarrow{\text{fidi}} (Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad (4)$$

where “ $\xrightarrow{\text{fidi}}$ ” denotes convergence of finite-dimensional distributions. The process  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is called the *tail process* of  $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ .

## 2.2. Point processes and dependence conditions

Let  $(X_n)$  be a strictly stationary sequence of  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ -valued random vectors and assume it is jointly regularly varying with index  $\alpha > 0$ . Let  $(Y_n)$  be the tail process of  $(X_n)$ . In order to obtain weak convergence of the scaled extremes  $M_n$  and the partial maxima processes  $M_n(\cdot)$  we will use limit results for the corresponding point processes of jumps and then by the continuous mapping theorem transfer these convergence results to extremes and maxima processes. In order to establish the convergence of these point processes we introduce the following processes

$$N_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i/a_n}, \quad N_n^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{(i/n, X_i/a_n)} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $(a_n)$  is a sequence of positive real numbers such that

$$n \Pr(\|X_1\| > a_n) \rightarrow 1, \quad (5)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The point process convergence for the sequence  $(N_n)$  was obtained by Davis and Mikosch [10], while the convergence for the sequence  $(N_n^*)$  in the univariate case was established by Basrak et al. [5], but with straightforward adjustments it carries over to the multivariate case; see Theorem 2.3. The appropriate weak dependence conditions for this convergence results are given below. With them we will be able to control the dependence in the sequence  $(X_n)$ .

**Condition 2.1.** There exists a sequence of positive integers  $(r_n)$  such that  $r_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $r_n/n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and such that for every  $f \in C_K^+([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , denoting  $k_n = \lfloor n/r_n \rfloor$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left\{ - \sum_{i=1}^n f \left( \frac{i}{n}, \frac{X_i}{a_n} \right) \right\} \right] - \prod_{k=1}^{k_n} \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left\{ - \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} f \left( \frac{kr_n}{n}, \frac{X_i}{a_n} \right) \right\} \right] \rightarrow 0. \quad (6)$$

It can be shown that Condition 2.1 is implied by the strong mixing property; see Krizmanić [18]. Condition 2.1 is slightly stronger than the condition  $\mathcal{A}(a_n)$  introduced by Davis and Mikosch [10].

**Condition 2.2.** There exists a sequence of positive integers  $(r_n)$  such that  $r_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $r_n/n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and such that for every  $u > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr \left( \max_{m \leq |i| \leq r_n} \|X_i\| > ua_n \mid \|X_0\| > ua_n \right) = 0. \quad (7)$$

By Proposition 4.2 in Basrak and Segers [6], under Condition 2.2 the following holds

$$\theta = \Pr(\sup_{i \geq 1} \|Y_i\| \leq 1) = \Pr(\sup_{i \leq -1} \|Y_i\| \leq 1) > 0, \quad (8)$$

and  $\theta$  is the extremal index of the univariate sequence  $(\|X_n\|)$ . Recall that a strictly stationary sequence of nonnegative random variables  $(\xi_n)$  has extremal index  $\theta$  if for every  $\tau > 0$  there exists a sequence of real numbers  $(u_n)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \Pr(\xi_1 > u_n) \rightarrow \tau \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr \left( \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \xi_i \leq u_n \right) \rightarrow e^{-\theta\tau}. \quad (9)$$

One has  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ . In particular, if the  $\xi_n$  are i.i.d. then (9) can hold only for  $\theta = 1$ . For a detailed discussion on joint regular variation and dependence Conditions 2.1 and 2.2 we refer to [5], Section 3.4.

Under joint regular variation and Conditions 2.1 and 2.2, by Theorem 2.8 in Davis and Mikosch [10] we obtain the convergence in distribution of point processes  $N_n$  to some  $N$ , which by Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.4 in [10] has the following cluster representation

$$N \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_i \sum_j \delta_{P_i Q_{ij}}, \quad (10)$$

where  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{P_i}$  is a Poisson process on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with intensity measure  $\kappa$  given by  $\kappa(dy) = \theta \alpha y^{-\alpha-1} 1_{(0, \infty)}(y) dy$ , and  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta_{Q_{ij}}$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , are i.i.d. point processes whose points satisfy  $\sup_j \|Q_{ij}\| = 1$ , and all point processes are mutually independent. For a more precise description of the distribution of point process  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta_{Q_{ij}}$ , see [10].

Then by the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [5] one obtains the following result; see Basrak and Krizmanić [4].

**Theorem 2.3.** Assume that [Conditions 2.1](#) and [2.2](#) hold for the same sequence  $(r_n)$ . Then for every  $u \in (0, \infty)$  and as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$N_n^* \Big|_{[0,1] \times \mathbb{E}_u^d} \xrightarrow{d} N^{(u)} = \sum_i \sum_j \delta_{(T_i^{(u)}, uZ_{ij})} \Big|_{[0,1] \times \mathbb{E}_u^d}, \quad (11)$$

in  $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_u^d$  and

1.  $\sum_i \delta_{T_i^{(u)}}$  is a homogeneous Poisson process on  $[0, 1]$  with intensity  $\theta u^{-\alpha}$ ,
2.  $(\sum_j \delta_{Z_{ij}})_i$  is an i.i.d. sequence of point processes in  $\mathbb{E}^d$ , independent of  $\sum_i \delta_{T_i^{(u)}}$ , and with distribution equal to  $(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{Y_j} \mid \sup_{i \leq -1} \|Y_i\| \leq 1)$ .

### 2.3. The weak $M_1$ topology

The stochastic processes that we consider have discontinuities, and therefore it is natural to take the space  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  of all right-continuous  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ -valued functions on  $[0, 1]$  with left limits as the function space of sample paths of these stochastic processes.

In the one-dimensional case [\[17\]](#) the partial maxima processes  $M_n(\cdot)$  converge to an extremal process in the space  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+)$  equipped with the Skorohod  $M_1$  topology. In this paper we extend this result to the multivariate setting, but with the weak  $M_1$  topology, since as we show later the direct generalization of the one-dimensional result to random vectors fails in the standard  $M_1$  topology on  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  for  $d \geq 2$ . In the sequel we give definitions of weak and strong  $M_1$  topologies.

For  $x \in D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  the completed graph of  $x$  is the set

$$G_x = \{(t, z) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}_+^d : z \in [x(t-), x(t)]\},$$

where  $x(t-)$  is the left limit of  $x$  at  $t$  (with  $x(0-) := x(0)$ ). We define an order on the graph  $G_x$  by saying that  $(t_1, z_1) \leq (t_2, z_2)$  if either (i)  $t_1 < t_2$  or (ii)  $t_1 = t_2$  and  $|x_j(t_1-) - z_{1j}| \leq |x_j(t_2-) - z_{2j}|$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, d$ . Note that the relation  $\leq$  induces only a partial order on the graph  $G_x$ . A weak parametric representation of the graph  $G_x$  is a continuous nondecreasing function  $(r, u)$  mapping  $[0, 1]$  into  $G_x$ , with  $r \in C([0, 1], [0, 1])$  being the time component and  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_d) \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  being the spatial component, such that  $r(0) = 0$ ,  $r(1) = 1$  and  $u(1) = x(1)$ . Let  $\Pi_w(x)$  denote the set of weak parametric representations of the graph  $G_x$ . For  $x_1, x_2 \in D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  define

$$d_w(x_1, x_2) = \inf\{\|r_1 - r_2\|_{[0,1]} \vee \|u_1 - u_2\|_{[0,1]} : (r_i, u_i) \in \Pi_w(x_i), i = 1, 2\},$$

where  $\|x\|_{[0,1]} = \sup\{\|x(t)\| : t \in [0, 1]\}$ . Now we say that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  for a sequence  $(x_n)$  in the weak Skorohod  $M_1$  (or shortly  $WM_1$ ) topology if  $d_w(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

The strong  $M_1$  topology is defined in a similar way but with a different set instead of the completed graph. For  $x \in D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  define the set

$$\Gamma_x = \{(t, z) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}_+^d : z = \lambda x(t-) + (1 - \lambda)x(t) \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1]\}.$$

We say  $(r, u)$  is a parametric representation of  $\Gamma_x$  if it is a continuous nondecreasing function mapping  $[0, 1]$  onto  $\Gamma_x$ . Denote by  $\Pi(x)$  the set of all parametric representations of the graph  $\Gamma_x$ . Then for  $x_1, x_2 \in D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  put

$$d_{M_1}(x_1, x_2) = \inf\{\|r_1 - r_2\|_{[0,1]} \vee \|u_1 - u_2\|_{[0,1]} : (r_i, u_i) \in \Pi(x_i), i = 1, 2\}.$$

$d_{M_1}$  is a metric, and it induces the standard (or strong)  $M_1$  topology.

The  $WM_1$  topology is weaker than the standard  $M_1$  topology on  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  (for  $d > 1$ ). For  $d = 1$  the two topologies coincide. The  $WM_1$  topology coincides with the topology induced by the metric

$$d_p(x_1, x_2) = \max\{d_{M_1}(x_{1j}, x_{2j}) : j = 1, \dots, d\} \quad (12)$$

for  $x_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{id}) \in D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  and  $i = 1, 2$ . The metric  $d_p$  induces the product topology on  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$ . For detailed discussion of strong and weak  $M_1$  topologies we refer to Whitt [\[25\]](#), Sections 12.3–12.5.

### 3. Weak convergence of partial maxima $M_n$

In this section we establish the weak convergence of the multivariate partial maxima  $M_n$  by generalizing the corresponding one-dimensional result given in Krizmanić [\[17\]](#). Let  $(X_n)$  be a strictly stationary sequence of  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ -valued random vectors, jointly regularly varying with index  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  and assume [Conditions 2.1](#) and [2.2](#) hold. Then by [\(10\)](#) one has, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$N_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i/a_n} \xrightarrow{d} N = \sum_i \sum_j \delta_{P_i Q_{ij}},$$

where  $(a_n)$  is chosen as in [\(5\)](#). Denote by  $\mathbf{M}_p(\mathbb{E}^d)$  the space of Radon point measures on  $\mathbb{E}^d$  equipped with the vague topology. Recall that  $M_n = a_n^{-1} \bigvee_{i=1}^n X_i = (a_n^{-1} \bigvee_{i=1}^n X_{ik})_{k=1, \dots, d}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(X_n)$  be a strictly stationary sequence of  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ -valued random vectors, jointly regularly varying with index  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ . Suppose that [Conditions 2.1](#) and [2.2](#) hold. Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$M_n \xrightarrow{d} M = \bigvee_i \bigvee_j P_i Q_{ij}.$$

**Proof.** Since  $N$  has no fixed atoms (see Lemma 2.1 in [\[10\]](#)), from the convergence  $N_n \xrightarrow{d} N$  it follows that, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\Pr(M_n \leq x) = \Pr(N_n[x, \infty]^c = 0) \rightarrow \Pr(N[x, \infty]^c = 0) = \Pr(M \leq x),$$

for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ . Hence  $M_n \xrightarrow{d} M$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** From the representation in [\(10\)](#) and the fact that  $\sup_j \|Q_{ij}\| = 1$  it follows that  $\|M\|$  is a Fréchet random variable, since

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(\|M\| \leq x) &= \Pr\left(\max_{k=1, \dots, d} \bigvee_i \bigvee_j P_i Q_{ijk} \leq x\right) = \Pr\left(\bigvee_i P_i \leq x\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(\sum_i \delta_{P_i}(x, \infty) = 0\right) = e^{-\kappa(x, \infty)} = e^{-\theta x^{-\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

for  $x > 0$ .

#### 4. Functional convergence of partial maxima processes $M_n(\cdot)$

In this section we show the convergence of the partial maxima process

$$M_n(t) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \frac{X_i}{a_n} = \left( \bigvee_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \frac{X_{ik}}{a_n} \right)_{k=1, \dots, d}, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

to an extremal process in the space  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  equipped with Skorohod weak  $M_1$  topology. Similar to the one-dimensional case treated in Krizmanić [\[17\]](#) we first represent  $M_n(\cdot)$  as the image of the time-space point process  $N_n^*$  under a certain maximum functional. Then, using certain continuity properties of this functional, the continuous mapping theorem and the standard “finite dimensional convergence plus tightness” procedure we transfer the weak convergence of  $N_n^*$  in [\(11\)](#) to weak convergence of  $M_n(\cdot)$ . In the proof of this result we will use also some results related to max-infinitely divisible distributions which will allow us to deal with multivariate distributions. For a detailed treatment of max-infinitely divisible distributions we refer to Resnick [\[22\]](#), Section 5.

Extremal processes can be derived from Poisson processes in the following way. Let  $\xi = \sum_k \delta_{(t_k, j_k)}$  be a Poisson process on  $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{E}^d$  with mean measure  $\lambda \times \nu$ , where  $\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure and  $\nu$  is a measure on  $\mathbb{E}^d$  satisfying

$$\nu(\{x \in \mathbb{E}^d : \|x\| > \delta\}) < \infty$$

for any  $\delta > 0$ . The extremal process  $\tilde{M}(\cdot)$  generated by  $\xi$  is defined by

$$\tilde{M}(t) = \bigvee_{t_k \leq t} j_k, \quad t > 0.$$

Then for  $x \in \mathbb{E}^d$  and  $t > 0$  one has

$$\Pr(\tilde{M}(t) \leq x) = e^{-t\nu([0, x]^c)};$$

see Resnick [\[23\]](#), Section 5.6. The measure  $\nu$  is called the exponent measure.

Now fix  $0 < v < u < \infty$  and define the maximum functional

$$\phi^{(u)} : \mathbf{M}_p([0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_v^d) \rightarrow D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$$

by

$$\phi^{(u)}\left(\sum_i \delta_{(t_i, (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{id}))}\right)(t) = \left(\bigvee_{t_i \leq t} x_{ik} 1_{\{u < x_{ik} < \infty\}}\right)_{k=1, \dots, d}, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

where the supremum of an empty set may be taken, for convenience, to be 0. The function  $\phi^{(u)}$  is well defined because  $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_u^d$  is a relatively compact subset of  $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_v^d$ . The space  $\mathbf{M}_p([0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_v^d)$  of Radon point measures on  $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_v^d$  is equipped with the vague topology and  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  is equipped with the weak  $M_1$  topology. Let

$$\Lambda = \{\eta \in \mathbf{M}_p([0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_v^d) : \eta(\{0, 1\} \times \mathbb{E}_u^d) = 0 \text{ and } \eta([0, 1] \times \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) : x_i \in \{u, \infty\} \text{ for some } i\}) = 0\}.$$

Then the point process  $N^{(v)}$  defined in [\(11\)](#) almost surely belongs to the set  $\Lambda$ ; see Lemma 3.1 in Basrak and Krizmanić [\[4\]](#). Now we will show that  $\phi^{(u)}$  is continuous on the set  $\Lambda$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** The maximum functional  $\phi^{(u)} : \mathbf{M}_p([0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_v^d) \rightarrow D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  is continuous on the set  $\Lambda$ , when  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  is endowed with the weak  $M_1$  topology.

**Proof.** Take an arbitrary  $\eta \in \Lambda$  and suppose that  $\eta_n \xrightarrow{v} \eta$  in  $\mathbf{M}_p([0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_v^d)$ . We need to show that  $\phi^{(u)}(\eta_n) \rightarrow \phi^{(u)}(\eta)$  in  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  according to the  $WM_1$  topology. By Theorem 12.5.2 in Whitt [25], it suffices to prove that, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$d_p(\phi^{(u)}(\eta_n), \phi^{(u)}(\eta)) = \max_{k=1, \dots, d} d_{M_1}(\phi_k^{(u)}(\eta_n), \phi_k^{(u)}(\eta)) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\phi^{(u)}(\xi) = (\phi_k^{(u)}(\xi))_{k=1, \dots, d}$  for  $\xi \in \mathbf{M}_p([0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_v^d)$ .

Now one can follow, with small modifications, the lines in the proof of Lemma 4.1 in Krizmanić [17] to obtain  $d_{M_1}(\phi_k^{(u)}(\eta_n), \phi_k^{(u)}(\eta)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore  $d_p(\phi^{(u)}(\eta_n), \phi^{(u)}(\eta)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and we conclude that  $\phi^{(u)}$  is continuous at  $\eta$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** Assume  $\xi_n = \sum_i \delta_{(t_i^{(n)}, j_i^{(n)})}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , are Poisson processes on  $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{E}^d$  with mean measures  $\lambda \times \beta_n$ , and let  $H_n$  be the corresponding extremal processes generated by the  $\xi_n$ 's. If

$$\beta_n \xrightarrow{v} \beta_0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (13)$$

then the finite-dimensional distributions of  $H_n(\cdot)$  converge to the finite-dimensional distributions of  $H_0(\cdot)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 6.1 in Resnick [23], from (13) we obtain that, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\beta_n([0, x]^c) \rightarrow \beta_0([0, x]^c) \quad (14)$$

for all continuity points  $x$  of  $\beta_0([0, \cdot]^c)$ .

Similar to the univariate case, the finite-dimensional distributions of  $H_n(\cdot) = \bigvee_{t_i^{(n)} \leq \cdot} j_i^{(n)}$  are of the form

$$\Pr\{H_n(t_1) \leq x_1, \dots, H_n(t_m) \leq x_m\} = e^{-t_1 \beta_n([0, \bigwedge_{i=1}^m x_i]^c)} \times e^{-(t_2 - t_1) \beta_n([0, \bigwedge_{i=2}^m x_i]^c)} \times \dots \times e^{-(t_m - t_{m-1}) \beta_n([0, x_m]^c)},$$

for  $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_m \leq 1$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{E}^d$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using (14) we immediately obtain that the right-hand side in the last equation above converges (in the continuity points  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  of  $\beta_0([0, \cdot]^c)$ ) to

$$e^{-t_1 \beta_0([0, \bigwedge_{i=1}^m x_i]^c)} \times e^{-(t_2 - t_1) \beta_0([0, \bigwedge_{i=2}^m x_i]^c)} \times \dots \times e^{-(t_m - t_{m-1}) \beta_0([0, x_m]^c)}.$$

But since this limit is in fact  $\Pr\{H_0(t_1) \leq x_1, \dots, H_0(t_m) \leq x_m\}$ , we conclude that the finite-dimensional distributions of  $H_n(\cdot)$  converge to the finite-dimensional distributions of  $H_0(\cdot)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $(X_n)$  be a strictly stationary sequence of  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ -valued random vectors, jointly regularly varying with index  $\alpha > 0$ . Suppose that Conditions 2.1 and 2.2 hold. Then the partial maxima stochastic process

$$M_n(t) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \frac{X_i}{a_n}, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

satisfies

$$M_n(\cdot) \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{M}(\cdot) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

in  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  endowed with the weak  $M_1$  topology, where  $\tilde{M}(\cdot)$  is an extremal process.

**Remark 4.4.** The exponent measure  $\nu$  of the limiting process  $\tilde{M}(\cdot)$  in the theorem is the vague limit of the sequence of measures  $(\nu^{(u)})$  ( $u > 0$ ) as  $u \downarrow 0$ , with  $\nu^{(u)}$  being defined by

$$\nu^{(u)}(x, y] = u^{-\alpha} \Pr\left(u \bigvee_{i \geq 0} (Y_{ij} \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_{ij} > 1\}})_{j=1, \dots, d} \in (x, y], \sup_{i \geq -1} \|Y_i\| \leq 1\right),$$

for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \mathbb{E}^d$  such that  $(x, y] = (x_1, y_1] \times \dots \times (x_d, y_d]$  is bounded away from zero. Here  $(Y_n)$  is the tail process of the sequence  $(X_n)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 4.3.** Take  $u > 0$ . By Theorem 2.3, Lemma 4.1 and the continuous mapping theorem, analogously as in the univariate case treated in Krizmanić [17], it follows that

$$M_n^{(u)}(\cdot) \xrightarrow{d} M^{(u)}(\cdot) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (15)$$

in  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  under the  $WM_1$  topology, where

$$M_n^{(u)}(\cdot) = \phi^{(u)}(N_n^*|_{[0,1] \times \mathbb{E}_u^d})(\cdot) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\lfloor n \cdot \rfloor} \left( \frac{X_{ik}}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{X_{ik}}{a_n} > u \right\}} \right)_{k=1, \dots, d}$$

and

$$M^{(u)}(\cdot) = \phi^{(u)}(N^{(u)})(\cdot) = \bigvee_{T_i \leq \cdot} K_i^{(u)},$$

with  $\tilde{N}^{(u)} = \sum_i \delta_{(T_i, K_i^{(u)})}$  being a Poisson process with mean measure  $\lambda \times \nu^{(u)}$ .

Note that the limiting process  $M^{(u)}(\cdot)$  is an extremal process with exponent measure  $\nu^{(u)}$ , and therefore

$$\Pr\{M^{(u)}(t) \leq x\} = \Pr\{\tilde{N}^{(u)}((0, t] \times [0, x]^c) = 0\} = e^{-t\nu^{(u)}([0, x]^c)} \quad (16)$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $x \in \mathbb{E}^d$ . Following the arguments from the univariate case (see the proof of Theorem 4.3 in [17]), we obtain

$$M^{(u)}(1) \xrightarrow{d} M \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow 0, \quad (17)$$

with  $M$  being the distributional limit from Theorem 3.1. This means that

$$F_u(x) = \Pr\{M^{(u)}(1) \leq x\} \rightarrow F(x) = \Pr\{M \leq x\} \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow 0, \quad (18)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{E}^d$  that are continuity points of  $F$ . From (16) we obtain

$$F_u^t(x) = \Pr\{M^{(u)}(t) \leq x\}$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $x \in \mathbb{E}^d$ , which implies that the multivariate distribution function  $F_u$  is max-infinitely divisible; see Resnick [23, Section 5.6]. Since the class of max-infinitely divisible distributions is closed in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with respect to weak convergence (see Proposition 5.1 in Resnick [22]), relation (18) implies that  $F$  is max-infinitely divisible, and hence by Proposition 5.8 in [22] there exists an exponent measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{E}^d$  such that

$$F(x) = e^{-\nu([0, x]^c)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{E}^d.$$

Therefore, from (18) we obtain, as  $u \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\nu^{(u)}([0, x]^c) \rightarrow \nu([0, x]^c)$$

for all continuity points  $x$  of  $\nu([0, \cdot]^c)$ . Now an application of Lemma 6.1 in [23] yields that  $\nu^{(u)} \xrightarrow{v} \nu$  as  $u \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore, by Lemma 4.2 it follows that the finite-dimensional distributions of  $M^{(u)}(\cdot)$  converge to the finite-dimensional distributions of  $\tilde{M}(\cdot)$  as  $u \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\tilde{M}(\cdot)$  is the extremal process generated by the Poisson process  $T = \sum_i \delta_{(T_i, K_i)}$  with mean measure  $\lambda \times \nu$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{M}(t) = \bigvee_{T_i \leq t} K_i$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

This implies that the finite-dimensional distributions of each coordinate  $M_k^{(u)}(\cdot)$  ( $k = 1, \dots, d$ ) converge to the finite-dimensional distributions of  $\tilde{M}_k(\cdot)$  as  $u \rightarrow 0$ . Again, according to the arguments used in the univariate case (see [17]) this suffices to conclude that  $M_k^{(u)}(\cdot) \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{M}_k(\cdot)$  in  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+)$  with the  $M_1$  topology. Hence  $\{M_k^{(u)} : u > 0\}$  is tight, and thus by Lemma 3.2 in Whitt [26] it follows that  $\{M^{(u)} : u > 0\}$  is also tight (in the space  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  with the product topology generated by the metric  $d_p$ ).

From the convergence of finite-dimensional distributions and tightness for processes  $M^{(u)}(\cdot)$  we obtain the convergence in distribution, i.e., as  $u \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$M^{(u)}(\cdot) \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{M}(\cdot) \quad (19)$$

in  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  with the  $WM_1$  topology.

If we show that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\{d_p(M_n(\cdot), M_n^{(u)}(\cdot)) > \epsilon\} = 0$$

for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , from (15) and (19) by a variant of Slutsky's theorem (see Theorem 3.5 in [23]) it will follow that  $M_n(\cdot) \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{M}(\cdot)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , in  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  with the  $WM_1$  topology.

Since the metric  $d_p$  on  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  is bounded above by the uniform metric on  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  (see Theorem 12.10.3 in Whitt [25]), it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{u \downarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|M_n^{(u)}(t) - M_n(t)\| > \epsilon\right) = 0. \quad (20)$$



Recalling the definitions and using the inequality

$$\left| \bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i - \bigvee_{i=1}^n y_i \right| \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|$$

for arbitrary real numbers  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$ , we obtain

$$\|M_n^{(u)}(t) - M_n(t)\| \leq \max_{k=1, \dots, d} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \frac{X_{ik}}{a_n} 1_{\{ \frac{X_{ik}}{a_n} \leq u \}} \leq u$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Hence (20) holds and this concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** The  $WM_1$  convergence in Theorem 4.3 in general cannot be replaced by the standard  $M_1$  convergence. This is shown in Example 5.1.

If we consider the standard  $M_1$  topology, the problem with our proof is Lemma 4.1, which in this case does not hold. To see this, fix  $u > 0$  and define

$$\eta_n = \delta_{(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}, (2u, 0))} + \delta_{(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n}, (0, 2u))} \quad \text{for } n \geq 3.$$

Then  $\eta_n \xrightarrow{v} \eta$ , where

$$\eta = \delta_{(\frac{1}{2}, (2u, 0))} + \delta_{(\frac{1}{2}, (0, 2u))} \in \Lambda.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\phi_1^{(u)}(\eta_n)(t) = 2u 1_{[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}, 1]}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_2^{(u)}(\eta_n)(t) = 2u 1_{[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n}, 1]}(t).$$

Then

$$y_n(t) = \phi_1^{(u)}(\eta_n)(t) - \phi_2^{(u)}(\eta_n)(t) = 2u 1_{[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n})}(t), \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

and similarly

$$y(t) = \phi_1^{(u)}(\eta)(t) - \phi_2^{(u)}(\eta)(t) = 0, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

For all parametric representations  $(r_n, u_n) \in \Pi(y_n)$  and  $(r_0, u_0) \in \Pi(y)$  we have

$$\|u_n - u_0\|_{[0, 1]} = 2u.$$

Hence  $d_{M_1}(y_n, y) \geq 2u$  for all  $n \geq 3$ , which means that  $d_{M_1}(y_n, y)$  does not converge to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since

$$d_{M_1}(y_n, y) \leq d_{M_1}(\phi^{(u)}(\eta_n), \phi^{(u)}(\eta))$$

(see Theorem 12.7.1 in [25]), we conclude that  $d_{M_1}(\phi^{(u)}(\eta_n), \phi^{(u)}(\eta))$  does not converge to zero. Therefore the maximum functional  $\phi^{(u)}$  is not continuous at  $\eta$  with respect to the standard  $M_1$  topology. Since  $\eta \in \Lambda$  we conclude that  $\phi^{(u)}$  is not continuous on the set  $\Lambda$ .

## 5. Examples

**Example 5.1** (*A  $m$ -dependent Process*). Let  $(Z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. unit Fréchet random variables, i.e.,  $\Pr(Z_n \leq x) = e^{-1/x}$  for  $x > 0$ . Hence  $Z_n$  is regularly varying with index  $\alpha = 1$ . Take a sequence of positive real numbers  $(a_n)$  such that  $n \Pr(Z_1 > a_n) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now let

$$X_n = (Z_n, \dots, Z_{n-m}), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then every  $X_n$  is also regularly varying with index  $\alpha = 1$ . By an application of Proposition 5.1 in Basrak et al. [3], it can be seen that the random process  $(X_n)$  is jointly regularly varying. Since the sequence  $(X_n)$  is  $m$ -dependent, it follows immediately that Conditions 2.1 and 2.2 hold; see [4].

Therefore  $(X_n)$  satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 4.3, and the corresponding partial maxima process  $M_n(\cdot)$  converges in distribution in  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^{m+1})$  to an extremal process  $\tilde{M}(\cdot)$  under the weak  $M_1$  topology.

Next we show that  $M_n(\cdot)$  does not converge in distribution under the standard  $M_1$  topology on  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^{m+1})$ . This shows that the weak  $M_1$  topology in Theorem 4.3 in general cannot be replaced by the standard  $M_1$  topology. In showing this we use, with appropriate modifications, a combination of arguments used by Basrak and Krizmanić [4] in their Example 4.1 and Avram and Taqqu [2] in their Theorem 1; see Example 5.1 in [17].



For simplicity take  $m = 1$ . We have  $M_n(t) = (M_{n1}(t), M_{n2}(t))$ , where

$$M_{n1}(t) = \bigvee_{j=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \frac{Z_j}{a_n} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{n2}(t) = \bigvee_{j=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \frac{Z_{j-1}}{a_n}.$$

Let

$$V_n(t) = M_{n1}(t) - M_{n2}(t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

The first step is to show that  $V_n(\cdot)$  does not converge in distribution in  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+)$  endowed with the (standard)  $M_1$  topology. For this, according to Skorohod [24] (see Proposition 2 in Avram and Taqqu [2]), it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\{\omega_\delta(V_n(\cdot)) > \epsilon\} > 0 \quad (21)$$

for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , where

$$\omega_\delta(x) = \sup_{\substack{t_1 \leq t \leq t_2 \\ 0 \leq t_2 - t_1 \leq \delta}} M(x(t_1), x(t), x(t_2))$$

( $x \in D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $\delta > 0$ ) and

$$M(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x_2 \in [x_1, x_3], \\ \min\{|x_2 - x_1|, |x_3 - x_2|\}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Note that  $M(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  is the distance from  $x_2$  to  $[x_1, x_3]$ , and  $\omega_\delta(x)$  is the  $M_1$  oscillation of  $x$ .

Let  $i' = i'(n)$  be the index at which  $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} Z_i$  is obtained. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and introduce the events

$$A_{n,\epsilon} = \{Z_{i'} > \epsilon a_n\} = \left\{ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} Z_i > \epsilon a_n \right\}$$

and

$$B_{n,\epsilon} = \{Z_{i'} > \epsilon a_n \text{ and } \exists \ell \neq 0, -i' \leq \ell \leq 1, \text{ such that } Z_{i'+\ell} > \epsilon a_n/4\}.$$

Using the facts that  $(Z_i)$  is an i.i.d. sequence and  $n \Pr(Z_1 > ca_n) \rightarrow 1/c$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $c > 0$  (which follows from the regular variation property of  $Z_1$ ) we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(A_{n,\epsilon}) = 1 - e^{-1/\epsilon} \quad (22)$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(B_{n,\epsilon}) \leq \frac{4}{\epsilon^2}; \quad (23)$$

see Example 5.1 in [17].

It is straightforward to show that on the event  $A_{n,\epsilon} \setminus B_{n,\epsilon}$  one has

$$V_n\left(\frac{i'}{n}\right) > \frac{3\epsilon}{4}, \quad V_n\left(\frac{i'-1}{n}\right) \in \left[-\frac{\epsilon}{4}, \frac{\epsilon}{4}\right], \quad V_n\left(\frac{i'+1}{n}\right) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\left| V_n\left(\frac{i'}{n}\right) - V_n\left(\frac{i'-1}{n}\right) \right| > \frac{3\epsilon}{4} - \frac{\epsilon}{4} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad (24)$$

and

$$\left| V_n\left(\frac{i'+1}{n}\right) - V_n\left(\frac{i'}{n}\right) \right| > \frac{3\epsilon}{4}. \quad (25)$$

Note that on the set  $A_{n,\epsilon} \setminus B_{n,\epsilon}$  one also has

$$V_n\left(\frac{i'}{n}\right) \notin \left[ V_n\left(\frac{i'-1}{n}\right), V_n\left(\frac{i'+1}{n}\right) \right],$$

and therefore taking into account (24) and (25) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{2/n}(V_n(\cdot)) &= \sup_{\substack{t_1 \leq t \leq t_2 \\ 0 \leq t_2 - t_1 \leq 2/n}} M(V_n(t_1), V_n(t), V_n(t_2)) \\ &\geq M\left(V_n\left(\frac{i'-1}{n}\right), V_n\left(\frac{i'}{n}\right), V_n\left(\frac{i'+1}{n}\right)\right) > \frac{\epsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

on the event  $A_{n,\epsilon} \setminus B_{n,\epsilon}$ . Therefore, since  $\omega_\delta(\cdot)$  is nondecreasing in  $\delta$ , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(A_{n,\epsilon} \setminus B_{n,\epsilon}) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\{\omega_{2/n}(V_n(\cdot)) > \epsilon/2\} \\ &\leq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\{\omega_\delta(V_n(\cdot)) > \epsilon/2\}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Note that  $x^2(1 - e^{-1/x})$  tends to infinity as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , and therefore we can find  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\epsilon^2(1 - e^{-1/\epsilon}) > 4$ , i.e.,  $1 - e^{-1/\epsilon} > 4/\epsilon^2$ . For this  $\epsilon$ , by relations (22) and (23), one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(A_{n,\epsilon}) > \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(B_{n,\epsilon}),$$

i.e.,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(A_{n,\epsilon} \setminus B_{n,\epsilon}) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(A_{n,\epsilon}) - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(B_{n,\epsilon}) > 0.$$

Thus by (26) we obtain

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\{\omega_\delta(V_n(\cdot)) > \epsilon/2\} > 0$$

and (21) holds, i.e.,  $V_n(\cdot)$  does not converge in distribution in  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+)$  endowed with the (standard)  $M_1$  topology.

If  $M_n(\cdot)$  would converge in distribution to some  $\tilde{M}(\cdot)$  in the standard  $M_1$  topology on  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ , then using the fact that linear combinations of the coordinates are continuous in the same topology (see Theorem 12.7.1 and Theorem 12.7.2 in Whitt [25]) and the continuous mapping theorem, we would obtain that  $V_n(\cdot) = M_{n1}(\cdot) - M_{n2}(\cdot)$  converges to  $M_1(\cdot) - M_2(\cdot)$  in  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+)$  endowed with the standard  $M_1$  topology, which is impossible, as is shown above.

**Example 5.2** (Stochastic Recurrence Equation). Suppose the  $d$ -dimensional random process  $(X_n)$  satisfies a stochastic recurrence equation

$$X_n = A_n X_{n-1} + B_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

for some i.i.d. sequence  $((A_n, B_n))$  of random  $d \times d$  matrices  $A_n$  and  $d$ -dimensional vectors  $B_n$ , all with nonnegative components. Assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) For some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $E\|A_1\|^\epsilon < 1$ , where for any  $d \times d$  matrix  $A$

$$\|A\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\|.$$

(ii)  $A_1$  has no zero rows a.s. and  $B_1 \neq 0$  a.s.

(iii) The set

$$\{\ln \|a\| : a = a_1 \dots a_n > 0, n \geq 1 \text{ and } a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{the support of } \Pr_{A_1}\}$$

generates a dense group in  $\mathbb{R}$  (with  $a > 0$  denoting that all entries of  $a$  are positive).

(iv) There exists a  $\kappa_0 > 0$  such that

$$E\left(\min_{i=1,\dots,d} \sum_{j=1}^d A_1(i,j)\right)^{\kappa_0} \geq d^{\kappa_0/2}$$

and

$$E(\|A_1\|^{\kappa_0} \ln^+ \|A_1\|) < \infty.$$

Then there exists a unique solution  $\kappa_1 \in (0, \kappa_0]$  to the equation

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln E\|A_1 \dots A_n\|^{\kappa_1} = 0;$$

see Kesten [16]; see also Basrak et al. [3]. Assume further that

(v)  $E\|B_1\|^{\kappa_1} < \infty$ ,

(vi)  $(X_n)$  is  $\mu$ -irreducible.

Then it can be shown that the process  $(X_n)$  satisfies all conditions of Theorem 4.3; see Basrak et al. [3]; see also Example 4.2 in [4]. Hence the corresponding partial maxima process  $M_n(\cdot)$  converges in  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  with the weak  $M_1$  topology.

**Example 5.3** (Multivariate Squared GARCH Process). We consider the multivariate GARCH  $(p, q)$  model with constant conditional correlations, which is defined as follows; see Fernández and Muriel [13]. Let  $(\eta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random

vectors with mean vector 0 and covariance matrix  $R$  such that  $R(i, i) = 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . The stochastic process  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a CCC-GARCH  $(p, q)$  process if it satisfies the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(H_n) &= C + \sum_{i=1}^p A_i \delta(X_{n-i} X_{n-i}^\top) + \sum_{j=1}^q B_j \delta(H_{n-j}), \\ D_n &= \text{diag}(H_n(1, 1)^{1/2}, \dots, H_n(d, d)^{1/2}), \\ H_n &= D_n R D_n, \\ X_n &= D_n \eta_n,\end{aligned}$$

where for a square  $d \times d$  matrix  $M$ ,  $\delta(M)$  denotes the vector whose entries are  $\delta(M)(i) = M(i, i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$  (i.e., the main diagonal of  $M$ ), and  $\text{diag}(M)$  denotes the diagonal matrix with the same diagonal as  $M$ . The vector  $C$  is assumed to be positive and the matrices  $A_i, B_j$  are assumed to be nonnegative for  $i = 1, \dots, p$  and  $j = 1, \dots, q$ .

Assume now the matrices  $A_i, B_j$  have no zero rows,  $\eta_1$  has a strictly positive density on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and for any  $\gamma \geq 1$  there exists  $h > 1$  such that  $\gamma^h \leq E\{\eta_{1j}^{2h}\} \leq \infty$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, d$ . Put

$$Y_n = (\delta(H_{n+1})^\top, \dots, \delta(H_{n-q+2})^\top, \delta(X_n X_n^\top)^\top, \dots, \delta(X_{n-p+2} X_{n-p+2}^\top)^\top)^\top.$$

Then by Theorem 5 in [13] there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d(p+q-1)} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{d(p+q-1)} x_i Y_{1i}$  is regularly varying with index  $\alpha$ . If  $\alpha$  is not an even integer and  $\eta_1$  has symmetric marginal distributions, from corollary 6 in [13] we know that the process  $(X_n)$  is jointly regularly varying with index  $2\alpha$ . Further  $(X_n)$  is  $\beta$ -mixing (see Remark 4 in [13]; see also Boussama [8]), and since  $\beta$ -mixing implies strong mixing (see Bradley [9]), Condition 2.1 holds. As in the one-dimensional case in Basrak et al. [3], it can be proved that  $(X_n)$  satisfies Condition 2.2.

The joint regular variation property and Conditions 2.1 and 2.2 transfer immediately to the squared CCC-GARCH  $(p, q)$  process

$$X_n^2 = (X_{n1}^2, \dots, X_{nd}^2)$$

(with the remark that this process is jointly regularly varying with index  $\alpha$ ), and from Theorem 4.3, we conclude that the corresponding partial maxima process of  $(X_n^2)$  converges in distribution in  $D([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^d)$  to an extremal process under the weak  $M_1$  topology.

## Acknowledgments

This work has been supported in part by the Croatian Science Foundation under the project 3526. The author is grateful for the detailed and enlightening comments made by referees which significantly helped to improve the paper.

## References

- [1] R.J. Adler, Weak convergence results for extremal processes generated by dependent random variables, *Ann. Probab.* 6 (1978) 660–667.
- [2] F. Avram, M. Taqqu, Weak convergence of sums of moving averages in the  $\alpha$ -stable domain of attraction, *Ann. Probab.* 20 (1992) 483–503.
- [3] B. Basrak, R.A. Davis, T. Mikosch, Regular variation of GARCH processes, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 99 (2002) 95–115.
- [4] B. Basrak, D. Krizmanić, A multivariate functional limit theorem in weak  $M_1$  topology, *J. Theoret. Probab.* 28 (2015) 119–136.
- [5] B. Basrak, D. Krizmanić, J. Segers, A functional limit theorem for partial sums of dependent random variables with infinite variance, *Ann. Probab.* 40 (2012) 2008–2033.
- [6] B. Basrak, J. Segers, Regularly varying multivariate time series, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 119 (2009) 1055–1080.
- [7] J. Beirlant, Y. Goegebeur, J. Segers, J. Teugels, *Statistics of Extremes: Theory and Applications*, in: Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics, Wiley, Chichester, 2004.
- [8] F. Boussama, Ergodicité, mélange et estimation dans les modèles GARCH (Ph. D. Thesis), Université de Paris 7, 1998.
- [9] R.C. Bradley, Basic properties of strong mixing conditions. A survey and some open questions, *Probab. Surv.* 2 (2005) 107–144.
- [10] R.A. Davis, T. Mikosch, The sample autocorrelations of heavy-tailed processes with applications to ARCH, *Ann. Statist.* 26 (1998) 2049–2080.
- [11] L. de Haan, On Regular Variation and Its Application to the Weak Convergence of Sample Extremes, in: *Mathematical Centre Tracts*, vol. 32, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1970.
- [12] L. de Haan, A. Ferreira, *Extreme Value Theory. An Introduction*, in: Springer Series in Operations Research and Financial Engineering, Springer, New York, 2006.
- [13] B. Fernández, N. Muriel, Regular variation and related results for the multivariate GARCH  $(p, q)$  model with constant conditional correlations, *J. Multivariate Anal.* 100 (2009) 1538–1550.
- [14] R.A. Fisher, L.H.C. Tippett, Limiting forms of the frequency of the largest or smallest member of a sample, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 24 (1928) 180–190.
- [15] B. Gnedenko, Sur la distribution limite du terme maximum d'une série aléatoire, *Ann. of Math.* 2 (1943) 423–453.
- [16] H. Kesten, Random difference equations and renewal theory for products of random matrices, *Acta Math.* 131 (1973) 207–248.
- [17] D. Krizmanić, Weak convergence of partial maxima processes in the  $M_1$  topology, *Extremes* 17 (2014) 447–465.
- [18] D. Krizmanić, Functional weak convergence of partial maxima processes, *Extremes* 19 (2016) 7–23.
- [19] J. Lamperti, On extreme order statistics, *Ann. Math. Statist.* 35 (1964) 1726–1737.
- [20] M.R. Leadbetter, On extreme values in stationary sequences, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verwandte Geb.* 28 (1974) 289–303.
- [21] M.R. Leadbetter, Weak convergence of high level exceedances by a stationary sequence, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verwandte Geb.* 34 (1976) 11–15.
- [22] S.I. Resnick, *Point Processes, Regular Variation and Point Processes*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [23] S.I. Resnick, *Heavy-Tail Phenomena: Probabilistic and Statistical Modeling*, Springer Science+Business Media LLC, New York, 2007.
- [24] A.V. Skorohod, Limit theorems for stochastic processes, *Theory Probab. Appl.* 1 (1956) 261–290.
- [25] W. Whitt, *Stochastic-Process Limits*, Springer-Verlag LLC, New York, 2002.
- [26] W. Whitt, Proofs of the martingale FCLT, *Probab. Surv.* 4 (2007) 268–302.