

Estimation of Mean Square Error of Empirical Best Linear Unbiased Predictors under a Random Error Variance Linear Model

J. KLEFFE

University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

AND

J. N. K. RAO

Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada

Communicated by the Editors

A linear model with random effects, μ_i , and random error variances, σ_i , is considered. The linear Bayes estimator or the best linear unbiased predictor (BLUP) of μ_i is first obtained, and then the unknown parameters in the model are estimated to arrive at the empirical linear Bayes estimator or the empirical BLUP (EBLUP) of μ_i . A second-order approximation to mean square error (MSE) of the EBLUP and an approximately unbiased estimator of MSE are derived. Results of a simulation study confirm the accuracy of these approximations. © 1992 Academic Press, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the following linear model with random error variances:

$$y_{ij} = \mu_i + e_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1.1)$$

with

$$\mu_i \sim N(\mu, \tau), \quad (e_{ij} | \sigma_i) \sim N(0, \sigma_i), \quad \sigma_i \sim (\beta, \alpha), \quad (1.2)$$

where μ_i and e_{ij} are all independently distributed given $\tilde{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)'$. Further, the error variances σ_i are assumed to be nonnegative i.i.d. random variables with mean β and variance α and independent of μ_i and e_{ij} . The special case of equal error variances, $\sigma_i = \beta$, has received considerable

Received March 26, 1991; revised January 13, 1992.

AMS 1980 subject classifications: primary 62J99, secondary 62D05.

Key words and phrases: estimation of random effects, second-order approximation to MSE, small area estimation.

attention in the literature. In particular, the linear Bayes estimator or the best linear unbiased predictor (BLUP) of μ_i is first obtained, and then the unknown parameters in the model are estimated to arrive at the empirical Bayes estimator or the empirical BLUP (EBLUP) of μ_i (see, e.g., [2]). Prasad and Rao [3] derived second-order approximations to the mean square error (MSE) of the EBLUP and the estimator of MSE, assuming equal error variances and large m .

The main purpose of this article is to obtain a second order-approximation to MSE of the EBLUP of μ_i and an approximately unbiased estimator of the MSE under the general model (1.1) with random error variances. These approximations are correct up to terms of order $1/m$. Finite sample properties are also studied through a simulation study. Aragon [1] studied more general models with random error variances, but he did not consider the prediction of random effects like μ_i . Instead, he considered the estimation of parameters like μ , τ , β , and α , assuming inverse Gaussian errors with variances σ_i .

The results derived in Sections 2, 3, and 4 should be useful in small area estimation, where μ_i and σ_i correspond to i th small area mean and variance. It is more realistic to assume random small area variances than a constant variance across small areas.

2. DERIVATION OF EBLUP

Let $y_{i.} = \sum_j y_{ij}/n$, $y_{..} = \sum_i y_{i.}/m$, and $\delta = \tau + \beta/n$. Then it is easy to see that the BLUP (or the linear Bayes estimator) of μ_i under the general model (1.1) is given by

$$\tilde{\mu}_i = y_{i.} - c(y_{i.} - y_{..}), \quad c = \beta/n\delta. \quad (2.1)$$

It is interesting to note that the same predictor is obtained under the assumption of equal error variances, $\sigma_i = \beta$.

The BLUP $\tilde{\mu}_i$ depends on unknown parameters β and δ . We use simple moments estimates of β and δ to obtain an EBLUP of μ_i . An obvious unbiased estimator of δ is

$$\hat{\delta} = (m-1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m (y_{i.} - y_{..})^2 \quad (2.2)$$

with conditional expectation $E_2 \hat{\delta} = \tau + \sigma_{.}/n$ given $\tilde{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)'$, where $\sigma_{.} = \sum_i \sigma_i/m$. For the parameter β , we use the unbiased estimator

$$\hat{\beta} = m^{-1}(n-1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (y_{ij} - y_{i.})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \hat{\sigma}_i/m \quad (2.3)$$

which satisfies $E_2 \hat{\beta} = \sigma_{..}$. The estimators $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\delta}$ are conditionally independent, since $\hat{\beta}$ depends only on the within groups sum of squares while $\hat{\delta}$ is a function of the within group means.

Substituting $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\delta}$ for β and δ in (2.1) we obtain the EBLUP as

$$\hat{\mu}_i = y_{i.} - \hat{c}(y_{i.} - y_{..}), \quad \hat{c} = \hat{\beta}/n\hat{\delta}. \quad (2.4)$$

Again, the same EBLUP is obtained under the assumption of equal error variances, but the MSE of $\hat{\mu}_i$ under the general model (1.1) will be different.

3. MSE APPROXIMATION

3.1. MSE of the BLUP

Before deriving a second-order approximation to MSE of the EBLUP, we derive the MSE of the BLUP, $\tilde{\mu}_i$. We write $\tilde{\mu}_i - \mu_i = e_i - c(y_{i.} - y_{..})$ so that

$$(\tilde{\mu}_i - \mu_i)^2 = e_i^2 - 2c(y_{i.} - y_{..})e_i + c^2(y_{i.} - y_{..})^2, \quad (3.1)$$

where $e_{i.} = \sum_j e_{ij}/n$. We next evaluate the conditional expectation, E_2 , of the terms in (3.1) given $\tilde{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)'$.

Using the decomposition $y_{i.} - y_{..} = (\mu_i - \mu_{..}) + (e_{i.} - e_{..})$, where $\mu_{..} = \sum_i \mu_i/m$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 e_i^2 &= \sigma_i/n \\ E_2 (y_{i.} - y_{..})e_i &= \sigma_i(m-1)/nm \\ E_2 (y_{i.} - y_{..})^2 &= (\tau + \sigma_i/n)(m-1)/m + (\sigma_{..} - \sigma_i)/nm. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Using (3.2) in (3.1) yields the MSE of the BLUP as

$$E(\tilde{\mu}_i - \mu_i)^2 = \tau\beta/n\delta + \beta^2/mn^2\delta. \quad (3.3)$$

It is again interesting to note that the same MSE is obtained under the assumption of equal error variances $\sigma_i = \beta$.

3.2. Approximation to MSE of the EBLUP

3.2.1. A starting Expression for MSE

Replacing in (3.1) c by its estimator \hat{c} , we obtain the squared deviation of $\hat{\mu}_i$ from μ_i , namely $(\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i)^2$. By symmetry, $E(\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i)^2$ cannot depend

on i , so that by averaging over i and using (2.2), we obtain the MSE of the EBLUP as

$$E(\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i)^2 = E \left[\sum_i e_i^2 - 2\hat{c} \sum_i (y_{i.} - y_{..})e_i + (m-1) \hat{\beta} \hat{c}/n \right] / m. \quad (3.4)$$

Since $E_2 \sum_i e_i^2/m = \sigma_{.}/n = E_2 \hat{\beta}/n$, an equivalent expression to (3.4) is

$$E(\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i)^2 = E(\hat{\beta} - \phi_1 + \phi_2)/n,$$

where (3.5)

$$\phi_1 = 2 \sum_i (y_{i.} - y_{..}) e_i \hat{\beta}/m\hat{\delta}, \quad \phi_2 = (m-1) \hat{\beta}^2/mn\hat{\delta}.$$

Further, we can replace $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\beta}^2$ in (3.5) by $E_2 \hat{\beta}$ and $E_2 \hat{\beta}^2$, since $\hat{\beta}$ is conditionally independent of $y_{i.}$, $y_{..}$, $e_{i.}$, and $\hat{\delta}$. Hence, noting that

$$E_2 \hat{\beta} = \sigma_{.}, \quad E_2 \hat{\beta}^2 = \sigma^2 + 2 \sum_i \sigma_i^2/(n-1)m^2, \quad (3.6)$$

we obtain

$$E(\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i)^2 = E(\sigma_{.} - \tilde{\phi}_1 + \tilde{\phi}_2)/n, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\tilde{\phi}_1 = 2\sigma_{.} \sum_i (y_{i.} - y_{..}) e_i / m\hat{\delta}$$

and

$$\tilde{\phi}_2 = (m-1) \left[\sigma^2 + 2 \sum_i \sigma_i^2/(n-1)m^2 \right] / mn\hat{\delta}. \quad (3.8)$$

3.2.2. Approximations to $E\tilde{\phi}_1$ and $E\tilde{\phi}_2$

To evaluate (3.7) we need $E\tilde{\phi}_1$ and $E\tilde{\phi}_2$, but no closed-form expressions for these expectations could be obtained. We have therefore derived approximations to $E\tilde{\phi}_1$ and $E\tilde{\phi}_2$ such that the neglected terms are of lower order than $1/m$, for large m . We use the expansion

$$\hat{\delta}^{-1} = [1 - (\hat{\delta} - \delta_1)/\delta_1 + \{(\hat{\delta} - \delta_1)/\delta_1\}^2]/\delta_1 - \{(\hat{\delta} - \delta_1)/\delta_1\}^3/\hat{\delta}, \quad (3.9)$$

where $\delta_1 = E_2 \hat{\delta} = \tau + \sigma_{.}/n$. We also need the following two lemmas proved in Section 6.1.

LEMMA 1. *If $m > 4k + 1$ and $\tau > 0$, then $E\hat{\delta}^{-k} \leq (2/\tau)^k$, where k is a positive integer.*

LEMMA 2. Let f be a function with finite second moments, $\tau > 0$ and let σ_i have 4 s th moment. Then for $m > 17$,

$$E[(\hat{\delta} - \delta_1)/\delta_1]^s f/\hat{\delta} = O(m^{-s/2}), \quad E[(\hat{\delta} - \delta_1)/\delta_1]^s f = O(m^{-s/2}). \quad (3.10)$$

Routine computation based on well-known formulae for second moments of quadratic forms in normal variables shows that

$$E_2(y_{i.} - y_{..})^2 e_i^2 = \tau \sigma_i(m-1)/mn + 2\sigma_i^2[(m-1)/mn]^2 + \sigma_i[(m-2)\sigma_i + \sigma.]/mn^2. \quad (3.11)$$

This expectation is bounded as a function m . Therefore, assuming finite fourth-order moments for the vector $\tilde{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)'$, the functions $f = (y_{i.} - y_{..}) e_i \cdot \sigma$ and $g = \sigma^2 + 2 \sum_i \sigma_i^2/(n-1)m^2$ satisfy the assumptions made in Lemma 2. Using (3.9) in (3.8) it follows from (3.10) that

$$E\tilde{\phi}_1 = 2E \left[\sigma \cdot \sum_i (y_{i.} - y_{..}) e_i \cdot \right] [1 + (\hat{\delta} - \delta_1)^2/\delta_1^2]/m\delta_1 + O(m^{-3/2}) \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$E\tilde{\phi}_2 = (m-1) E \left[\sigma^2 + 2 \sum_i \sigma_i^2/(n-1)m^2 \right] \times [1 + (\hat{\delta} - \delta_1)^2/\delta_1^2]/mn\delta_1 + O(m^{-3/2}). \quad (3.13)$$

Denote the expectations on the right-hand side of (3.12) and (3.13) by $E\phi_{1*}$ and $E\phi_{2*}$, respectively.

3.2.3. Conditional Expectation Given $\tilde{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)'$

It remains to evaluate $E\phi_{1*}$ and $E\phi_{2*}$. We evaluate these expectations by first evaluating the conditional expectation, E_2 , given $\tilde{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)'$. To evaluate $E_2\phi_{1*}$ we need (see Section 6.2 for details):

$$E_2 \left[\sum_i (y_{i.} - y_{..}) e_i \cdot \right] = n^{-1}(m-1)\sigma. \quad (3.14)$$

$$E_2 \left[\sum_i (y_{i.} - y_{..}) e_i \cdot \hat{\delta} \right] = n^{-1} \left[(m-1)\sigma \cdot \delta_1 + 2 \sum_i \delta_{1i} \sigma_i/m \right] + O(m^{-1}) \quad (3.15)$$

$$E_2 \left[\sum_i (y_{i.} - y_{..}) e_i \cdot \hat{\delta}^2 \right] = n^{-1} \left[(m+1)\sigma \cdot \delta_1^2 + 2s^2(\tilde{\delta})\sigma \cdot + 4\delta_1 \sum_i \delta_{1i} \sigma_i/m \right] + O(m^{-1}). \quad (3.16)$$

Here $\tilde{\delta} = (\delta_{11}, \dots, \delta_{1m})'$, $\delta_{1i} = \tau + \sigma_i/n$, $\delta_1 = m^{-1} \sum_i \delta_{1i}$, and $s^2(\tilde{\delta}) = m^{-1} \sum_i (\delta_{1i} - \delta_1)^2$. Using the above expressions in (3.12), we obtain

$$E_2 \phi_{1*} = -2\sigma.(mn\delta_1)^{-1} \left[(m+1)\sigma. - 2(m\delta_1)^{-1} \sum_i \delta_{1i} \sigma_i + 2s^2(\tilde{\delta}) \sigma./\delta_1^2 \right] + O(m^{-2}). \quad (3.17)$$

Turning to the evaluation of $E_2 \phi_{2*}$, it follows from (3.13) that

$$E_2 \phi_{2*} = (1 - 1/m) \left[\sigma^2 + 2 \sum_i \sigma_i^2 / (n-1)m^2 \right] [1 + \delta_1^{-2} E_2(\hat{\delta} - \delta_1)^2] / n\delta_1,$$

where

$$E_2(\hat{\delta} - \delta_1)^2 = 2(m-1)^{-2} \left[(1 + 2/m) \sum_i \delta_{1i}^2 + \delta_1^2 \right].$$

Hence, neglecting terms of order less than m^{-1} , we obtain

$$E_2 \phi_{2*} = (n\delta_1)^{-1} \left[\sigma^2 + 2 \sum_i \sigma_i^2 / (n-1)m^2 - \sigma^2/m + 2\sigma^2 \sum_i \delta_{1i}^2 / m^2 \delta_1^2 \right] + O(m^{-3/2}). \quad (3.18)$$

We can now write, using (3.17) and (3.18),

$$E_2(\phi_{2*} - \phi_{1*}) = n\delta_1^{-1} \left\{ -(\delta_1 - \tau)^2 + m^{-1} [(3 + 2/(n-1))(\delta_1 - \tau)^2 + 2s^2(\tilde{\delta})(n(n-1)^{-1} - (\tau/\delta_1)^2)] \right\} + O(m^{-3/2}). \quad (3.19)$$

In arriving at (3.19), we used the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} m^{-1} \sum_i \delta_{1i}^2 &= s^2(\tilde{\delta}) + \delta_1^2, & m^{-1} n^{-2} \sum_i \sigma_i^2 &= s^2(\tilde{\delta}) + (\delta_1 - \tau)^2, \\ n^{-1} \sigma. &= (\delta_1 - \tau), & m^{-1} n^{-1} \sum_i \delta_{1i} \sigma_i &= s^2(\tilde{\delta}) + \delta_1(\delta_1 - \tau). \end{aligned}$$

3.2.4. Expectation over $\tilde{\sigma}$

Substituting (3.19) in (3.7), it remains to evaluate the expectation over $\tilde{\sigma}$ to arrive at a second-order approximation to MSE of the EBLUP. Expressing $E(\sigma./n) = \beta/n = E(\delta_1 - \tau)$, the formula (3.7) may be written as

$$E(\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i)^2 = E[\tau(1 - \tau/\delta_1) + m^{-1} \delta_1^{-1} \{ (3 + 2/(n-1))(\delta_1 - \tau)^2 / \delta_1 + 2s^2(\tilde{\delta}) [(n/(n-1)) - (\tau/\delta_1)^2] \}] + O(m^{-3/2}). \quad (3.20)$$

Note that all terms on the right-hand side of (3.20) are nonnegative, since $\delta_1 - \tau \geq 0$ and $n/(n-1) \geq (\tau/\delta_1)^2$.

Now an approximation to the leading term, $1 - \tau E\delta_1^{-1}$, follows by expanding δ_1^{-1} as

$$\delta_1^{-1} = \delta^{-1} [1 - (\delta_1 - \delta)/\delta + (\delta_1 - \delta)^2/\delta^2] - \delta_1^{-1}(\delta_1 - \delta)^3/\delta^3, \quad (3.21)$$

where $\delta = E\delta_1 = \tau + \beta/n$. Since $\delta_1 > \tau$, the expectation of the remainder term in (3.21) is bounded by a constant times $E(\delta_1 - \delta)^3$ which is of order $O(m^{-3/2})$, noting that $\delta_1 - \delta = n^{-1}(\sigma_i - \beta)$ and that the σ_i are i.i.d. random variables with $E\sigma_i = \beta$. Hence

$$E\delta_1^{-1} = \delta^{-1} [1 + \alpha/mn^2\delta^2] + O(m^{-3/2})$$

and

$$\tau E(1 - \tau/\delta_1) = \tau [\beta - \tau\alpha/nm\delta^2]/n\delta + O(m^{-3/2}). \quad (3.22)$$

Unfortunately the approximation (3.22) is not always positive, although the left-hand side of (3.22) is a nonnegative quantity. Therefore, in case a negative value is obtained, zero or the absolute value will serve as good approximation. A simple sufficient condition for $\beta - \tau\alpha/nm\delta^2 > 0$ is $m\beta^2 - \alpha > 0$ which we can easily take as an assumption, since our approximation is restricted to large m anyway. But note that some special distributions for $\tilde{\sigma}$, giving large weight to small error variances and still having large expectations due to large tails, will not satisfy this assumption for any m .

Turning now to the $O(m^{-1})$ terms in (3.20), we use the identity

$$\frac{f(\tilde{\delta})}{\delta_1} = \frac{f(\tilde{\delta})}{\delta} \left[1 - \frac{(\delta_1 - \delta)}{\delta} \frac{\delta}{\delta_1} \right] \quad (3.23)$$

for any function f of $\tilde{\delta} = (\tilde{\delta}_{11}, \dots, \tilde{\delta}_{1m})'$. Assuming finite second moments for $f(\tilde{\delta})$, an application of Hölder's inequality to the remainder term of (3.23) gives

$$Ef(\tilde{\delta})/\delta_1 = Ef(\tilde{\delta})/\delta + O(m^{-1/2}). \quad (3.24)$$

It follows from (3.24) that

$$E(\delta_1 - \tau)^2/\delta_1 = (\delta - \tau)^2/\delta + O(m^{-1/2}) \quad (3.25)$$

and

$$Es^2(\tilde{\delta})/\delta_1 = \alpha/\delta n^2 + O(m^{-1/2}). \quad (3.26)$$

Finally, it follows from (3.23) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(\tilde{\delta})}{\tilde{\delta}_1^3} &= \frac{f(\tilde{\delta})}{\delta^3} \left(1 - \frac{(\delta_1 - \delta)}{\delta} \frac{\delta}{\delta_1} \right)^3 \\ &= f(\tilde{\delta}) \delta^{-3} [1 - 3(\delta_1 - \delta)/\delta_1 + 3(\delta_1 - \delta)^2/\delta_1^2 - (\delta_1 - \delta)^3/\delta_1^3]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

Using Hölder's inequality, it follows from (3.26) that the expectations of all but the first term are $O(m^{-1/2})$ or less. Hence,

$$E s^2(\tilde{\delta})/\delta_1^3 = \alpha/\delta^3 n^2 + O(m^{-1/2}). \quad (3.28)$$

Using (3.22), (3.25), (3.26), and (3.28) in (3.20), we obtain the second-order approximation to MSE of the EBLUP as

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i)^2 &= \tau\beta/n\delta - \tau^2\alpha/mn^2\delta^3 + \beta^2/m\delta n^2 \\ &\quad + 2(m\delta n^2)^{-1} [n(\beta^2 + \alpha)/(n-1) - \alpha\tau^2/\delta^2] + O(m^{-3/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

The first and the third terms on the right-hand side of (3.29) form the MSE of the BLUP, while the second and the fourth terms represent the change of MSE due to estimating the parameters β and δ in the BLUP. For the special case of equal error variances, i.e., $\alpha=0$, (3.29) reduces to the approximation derived by Prasad and Rao [3].

4. AN APPROXIMATELY UNBIASED ESTIMATOR OF MSE

Looking at the expression (3.5) for the MSE of $\hat{\mu}_i$, we see that $(\hat{\beta} - \phi_1 + \phi_2)/n$ is an unbiased estimator of MSE, provided that e_i is known. Therefore, the estimation problem reduces to estimating e_i and then evaluating the resulting bias. A natural estimator of e_i is

$$\hat{e}_i = y_i - \hat{\mu}_i = \hat{c}(y_i - y_{..}). \quad (4.1)$$

Substituting this estimator in the formula for ϕ_1 , to define $\hat{\phi}_1$, we obtain a preliminary estimator of MSE as

$$\text{mse}_*(\hat{\mu}_i) = (\hat{\beta} - \hat{\phi}_1 + \phi_2)/n = (\hat{\beta} - \phi_2)/n. \quad (4.2)$$

Its bias is given by

$$B = E \text{mse}_*(\hat{\mu}_i) - \text{MSE}(\mu_i) = E(\phi_1 - 2\phi_2)/n.$$

It remains to find an approximation to the estimator for B . Now noting that $E\phi_1 = EE_2\phi_{1*}$ and $E\phi_2 = EE_2\phi_{2*}$ and using the expressions (3.17) and (3.18) for $E_2\phi_{1*}$ and $E_2\phi_{2*}$, we obtain

$$E_2(\phi_1 - 2\phi_2) = 4(mn)^{-1} \left\{ (\sigma./\delta_1) \left[\sigma. + s^2(\tilde{\delta}) \sigma./\delta_1^2 - \sum_i \delta_{1i} \sigma_i / m\delta_1 \right] - \sum_i \sigma_i^2 / m(n-1)\delta_1 - \sigma^2 \sum_i \delta_{1i}^2 / m\delta_1^3 \right\} + O(m^{-3/2}).$$

The above expression can be further simplified as follows by using the identities below (3.19):

$$E_2(\phi_1 - 2\phi_2) = -4nm^{-1} \{ s^2(\tilde{\delta})(\delta_1 - \tau) / \delta_1^2 + s^2(\tilde{\delta}) / (n-1)\delta_1 + n(\delta_1 - \tau)^2 / (n-1)\delta_1 \}. \quad (4.3)$$

Now using the expectations (3.25) and (3.26) in (4.3) and noting that

$$Es^2(\tilde{\delta}) / \delta_1^2 = \alpha / (\delta n)^2 + O(m^{-1/2}), \quad (4.4)$$

we obtain

$$B = n^{-1} E(\phi_1 - 2\phi_2) = -4(mn)^{-1} \{ \beta^2 / (n-1)\delta + \beta\alpha / n^2\delta^2 + \alpha / n(n-1)\delta \} + O(m^{-3/2}). \quad (4.5)$$

Hence, the order of the bias term, B , is $O(m^{-1})$. This suggests that we can correct the preliminary estimator (4.2) by estimating B , and the resulting estimator will be correct to terms of order m^{-1} .

We now turn to the estimation of bias B given by (4.5). To construct an estimator of β^2/δ , we observe that

$$E\hat{\beta}^2/\hat{\delta} = E \left[\sigma^2 + 2 \sum_i \sigma_i^2 / m^2(n-1) \right] \delta_1^{-1} \left[1 + 2 \sum_i \delta_{1i}^2 / m^2\delta_1^2 \right] + O(m^{-3/2}), \quad (4.6)$$

using (3.6) and

$$E_2\hat{\delta}^{-1} = \delta_1^{-1} \left(1 + 2 \sum_i \delta_{1i}^2 / m^2\delta_1^2 \right) + O(m^{-3/2}).$$

It now follows from (4.6) that

$$E(\hat{\beta}^2/\hat{\delta}) = \beta^2/\delta + O(m^{-1}). \quad (4.7)$$

Turning to the estimation of α/δ , we consider the expression $m^{-1} \sum_i \hat{\sigma}_i^2$ with $\hat{\sigma}_i$ defined in (2.3) whose expectation is

$$Em^{-1} \sum_i \hat{\sigma}_i^2 = E(n+1)(n-1)^{-1} \sum_i \sigma_i^2/m = (n+1)(n-1)^{-1}(\beta^2 + \alpha), \quad (4.8)$$

noting that $(n-1) \sigma_i^{-1} \hat{\sigma}_i = \sigma_i^{-1} \sum_j (y_{ij} - y_{i.})^2$ is a χ^2 variable with $n-1$ degrees of freedom, given $\tilde{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)'$. It follows from (4.8) that

$$\hat{\alpha} = (n-1)(n+1)^{-1} m^{-1} \sum_i \hat{\sigma}_i^2 - \hat{\beta}^2 \quad (4.9)$$

is an estimator for α with bias of order m^{-1} . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} E\hat{\alpha}/\hat{\delta} &= E\delta^{-1} \left(m^{-1} \sum_i \sigma_i^2 - \sigma^2 \right) + O(m^{-1/2}) \\ &= En^2 s^2(\tilde{\delta})/\delta_1 + O(m^{-1/2}) \\ &= \alpha/\delta + O(m^{-1/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

The last step follows from (3.26). It follows from (4.10) that $\hat{\alpha}/\hat{\delta}$ estimates α/δ to the desired order of approximation.

Finally, to estimate $\alpha\beta/\delta^2$ we try $\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}/\hat{\delta}^2$. Routine computation, using $\text{cov}(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) = O(m^{-1})$, yields

$$\begin{aligned} E\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}/\hat{\delta}^2 &= E\sigma \cdot (\sum \sigma_i^2/m - \sigma^2)/\delta_1^2 + O(m^{-1/2}) \\ &= En^3 s^2(\tilde{\delta})(\delta_1 - \tau)/\delta_1^2 + O(m^{-1/2}) \\ &= \alpha\beta/\delta^2 + O(m^{-1/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

The last step follows from (3.26) and (4.4).

It now follows from (4.5), (4.7), (4.10), and (4.11) that an estimator of B to the desired order of approximation is given by

$$\hat{B} = -4[\hat{\beta}\hat{\alpha}/n\hat{\delta} + n\hat{\beta}^2/(n-1) + \hat{\alpha}/(n-1)]/mn^2\hat{\delta}, \quad (4.12)$$

i.e., $E\hat{B} = B + O(m^{-3/2})$. An estimator of MSE correct to terms of order m^{-1} is now obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mse}(\hat{\mu}_i) &= \text{mse}_*(\hat{\mu}_i) - \hat{B} \\ &= \hat{c}(\hat{\tau} + \hat{\beta}/nm) + 4(mn^2\hat{\delta})^{-1} \\ &\quad \times [(\hat{c} + (n-1)^{-1})(\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}^2) + \hat{\tau}\hat{\beta}^2\hat{\delta}^{-1}], \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

where $\hat{\tau} = \hat{\delta} - \hat{\beta}/n$ and $\hat{\alpha}$ and \hat{c} are given by (4.9) and (2.4), respectively. Note that the first term in (4.13) is the naive estimator of MSE obtained by ignoring the uncertainty in the estimators $\hat{\tau}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ and using the MSE

of the BLUP as an approximation to the true MSE of the EBLUP. This naive estimator,

$$\text{mse}_N(\hat{\mu}_i) = \hat{c}(\hat{\tau} + \hat{\beta}/nm), \quad (4.14)$$

could lead to a serious understatement; see Section 5.

5. SIMULATION STUDY

We performed a small simulation study to investigate the finite sample accuracy of the second-order MSE approximation (3.29), denoted by $\text{MSE}_A(\hat{\mu}_i)$, and the relative bias of $\text{mse}(\hat{\mu}_i)$, the approximately unbiased estimator of MSE of the EBLUP $\hat{\mu}_i$. To this end, we employed the following parameter values: $\mu = 0$, $\tau = 1$ (without loss of generality), $\beta = 5$, $m = 30$, and two values of n : 3 and 10. Using these parameter values, we generated 10,000 independent data sets $\{y_{i.}, \hat{\sigma}_i; i = 1, \dots, 30\}$ as follows:

Step 1. For each set, generate $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{30}$ from a χ^2 distribution with $\beta = 5$ degrees of freedom ($\alpha = 2\beta = 10$ in this case).

Step 2. For each set generate μ_i from $N(0, 1)$ and e_i from $N(0, \sigma_i/n)$, $i = 1, \dots, 30$. Let $y_{i.} = \mu_i + e_{i.}$, $i = 1, \dots, 30$. Further generate a_i from a χ^2 distribution with $n - 1$ degrees of freedom, and let $\hat{\sigma}_i = a_i \sigma_i / (n - 1)$, $i = 1, \dots, 30$. All the variables were generated independently from the specified distributions.

Without loss of generality, we consider the estimation of the true MSE of $\hat{\mu}_1$, since the sample size, n , is the same for all the m groups. The EBLUP $\hat{\mu}_1$, $\text{mse}(\hat{\mu}_1)$, and the naive estimator of MSE, $\text{mse}_N(\hat{\mu}_1)$, were computed from each data set $\{y_{i.}, \hat{\sigma}_i; i = 1, \dots, 30\}$. Simulated values of $\text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_1)$, the true MSE of $\hat{\mu}_1$, $\text{Emse}(\hat{\mu}_1)$, and $\text{Emse}_N(\hat{\mu}_1)$ were then computed from the 10,000 values of $\hat{\mu}_1$, $\text{mse}(\hat{\mu}_1)$, and $\text{mse}_N(\hat{\mu}_1)$ so generated. These values, along with the relative bias of $\text{mse}(\hat{\mu}_1)$ and $\text{mse}_N(\hat{\mu}_1)$, as estimators of the true MSE of $\hat{\mu}_1$, are reported in Table I. We also calculated the values of the second-order MSE approximation and the MSE of the BLUP $\tilde{\mu}_1$, using the specified parameter values. These values are also reported in Table I.

TABLE I

Simulated values of $\text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_1)$, $\text{Emse}(\hat{\mu}_1)$, $\text{Emse}_N(\hat{\mu}_1)$ and percent relative biases of $\text{mse}(\hat{\mu}_1)$ and $\text{mse}_N(\hat{\mu}_1)$.

n	$\text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_1)$	$\text{MSE}_A(\hat{\mu}_1)$	$\text{MSE}_N(\hat{\mu}_1)$	$\text{Emse}(\hat{\mu}_1)$	$\text{Emse}_N(\hat{\mu}_1)$	RB	RB_N
3	0.805	0.800	0.660	0.833	0.527	0.034	-0.346
10	0.355	0.353	0.339	0.356	0.324	0.002	-0.088

Note. $RB = [\text{Emse}(\hat{\mu}_1) - \text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_1)]/\text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_1)$, $RB_N = [\text{Emse}_N(\hat{\mu}_1) - \text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_1)]/\text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_1)$.

It is clear from Table I that the second-order MSE approximation is very accurate even for small $n(=3)$: $\text{MSE}_A(\hat{\mu}_1) = 0.800$ compared to $\text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_1) = 0.805$. On the other hand, the use of MSE of the BLUP, denoted by $\text{MSE}_N(\hat{\mu}_1)$, as an approximation to the true MSE of the EBLUP, leads to serious understatement for $n=3$: $\text{MSE}_N(\hat{\mu}_1) = 0.660$ compared to $\text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_1) = 0.805$. The understatement, however, is less serious for $n=10$: $\text{MSE}_N(\hat{\mu}_1) = 0.339$ compared to $\text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_1) = 0.355$.

Turning to the relative bias of the estimators of MSE, we see from Table I that the relative bias of $\text{mse}(\hat{\mu}_1)$ is very small even for $n=3$: 3.4%. On the other hand, the naive estimator, $\text{mse}_N(\hat{\mu}_1)$, leads to serious underestimation for $n=3$, since its relative bias is -34.6% . The underestimation, however, is less serious for $n=10$, since the relative bias is reduced to -9% .

Our simulation study has confirmed the accuracy of the second-order MSE approximation and the approximate unbiasedness of the estimator of MSE, for large m .

6. PROOFS

6.1. Proofs of Lemmas 1 and 2

Proof of Lemma 1. The estimator $\hat{\delta}$ may be written in matrix form as

$$\hat{\delta} = z' Mz / (m - 1),$$

where $z = (y_1, \dots, y_m)'$ and $M = I_m - 1_m 1_m' / m$ with I_m and 1_m denoting the identity matrix of order m and the vector of m unit elements, respectively. Further, we can write $M = BB'$, where B is a $m \times (m - 1)$ matrix such that $B'B = I_{m-1}$. We form the random variable $X = z'B(B'DB)^{-1}B'z$ with $D = \text{Diag}_i(\tau + \sigma_i/n)$ which has a χ^2 distribution on $m - 1$ degrees of freedom. If the symbols $\alpha_{\min}(A)$ and $\alpha_{\max}(A)$ denote the smallest and largest eigenvalues of a matrix A , then

$$\alpha_{\max}[(B'DB)^{-1}] \leq [\alpha_{\min}(D)]^{-1} \leq \tau^{-1}.$$

Therefore, $X \leq z'BB'z/\tau = z'Mz/\tau$ and

$$\hat{\delta}^{-k} = [(m - 1)/z'Mz]^k \leq [(m - 1)/\tau]^k X^{-k}.$$

Also since X is χ^2 variable with $m - 1$ degrees of freedom,

$$EX^{-k} = 2^{-k} \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{m-1}{2} - i \right)^{-1},$$

provided $m > 2k + 1$. Therefore,

$$E\hat{\delta}^{-k} \leq \tau^{-k} \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{2i}{m-1}\right)^{-1} \leq (2/\tau)^k. \quad \blacksquare$$

Proof of Lemma 2. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$E[(\hat{\delta} - \delta_1)/\delta_1]^s f/\hat{\delta} \leq (Ef^2)^{1/2} [E(\hat{\delta} - \delta_1)^{4s} \delta_1^{-4s}]^{1/4} (E\hat{\delta}^{-4})^{1/4}. \quad (6.1)$$

The first term on the right-hand side of (6.1) is bounded by assumption, while the last term is bounded by Lemma 1 if $m > 17$. It remains to show that

$$E(\hat{\delta} - \delta_1)^{4s} \delta_1^{-4s} = O(m^{-2s}). \quad (6.2)$$

We first investigate the conditional expectation given $\tilde{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)'$. Using the result iv(c), page 39 in Rao and Kleffe [4], the conditional expectation satisfies

$$E_2(\hat{\delta} - \delta_1)^{4s} \delta_1^{-4s} \leq K[\text{tr } MDMD/\delta_1^2]^{2s}/(m-1)^{4s},$$

where M and D are as defined in the proof of Lemma 1, tr denotes the trace operator, and K is a constant independent of m . Also, by using $M \leq I$,

$$\text{tr } MDD \leq \sum_{i=1}^m (\tau + \sigma_i/n)^2,$$

so that by Minkovski's inequality,

$$E_2(\hat{\delta} - \delta_1)^{4s} \delta_1^{-4s} \leq \frac{K}{(m-1)^{4s}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left(E \left(\frac{\tau + \sigma_i/n}{\delta_1} \right)^{4s} \right)^{-2s} \right\}^{2s}.$$

The result (6.2) now follows from

$$E[(\tau + \sigma_i/n)/\delta_1]^{4s} \leq \tau^{-4s} E(\tau + \sigma_i/n)^{4s} = O(1),$$

since the σ_i have finite 4s-th moments.

6.2. Derivation of (3.15) and (3.16)

6.2.1. Computation of $E_2 \sum_i (y_i - y_{..}) e_i \hat{\delta}$

We first express $(y_i - y_{..})$, e_i , and $\hat{\delta}$ as linear and quadratic forms in the random vectors $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)'$ and $e = (e_1, \dots, e_m)'$; we obtain

$$\sum_i (y_i - y_{..}) e_i = e' M(\mu + e), \quad \hat{\delta} = (\mu + e)' M(\mu + e)/(m-1).$$

Using now the independence of μ and e and $ME\mu = 0$, we obtain

$$E_2 \sum_i (y_i. - y_{..}) e_i. \hat{\delta} = E_2 [e' M e \mu' M \mu + 2(e' M \mu)^2 + (e' M e)^2] / (m-1).$$

Next noting that μ and e have covariance matrices τI_m and $\text{Diag}_i(\sigma_i/n)$, respectively, and using general results on moments of the above forms in normal variables (see [4, p. 53]), we obtain

$$E_2 \sum_i (y_i. - y_{..}) e_i. \hat{\delta} = \left[(m-1)^2 \sigma. \delta_1 + 2 \sum_i \sigma_i \delta_{1i} \right] / n(m-1) + O(m^{-1})$$

which is equivalent to the expression (3.15).

6.2.2. Computation of $E_2 \sum_i (y_i. - y_{..}) e_i. \hat{\delta}^2$

Expressing the required expectation in terms of vectors μ and e yields

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 \sum_i (y_i. - y_{..}) e_i. \hat{\delta}^2 &= E_2 (\mu + e)' M e \{ (\mu' M \mu)^2 + 4\mu' M \mu \mu' M e \\ &\quad + 2\mu' M \mu e' M e + 4(\mu' M e)^2 \\ &\quad + 4\mu' M e e' M e + (e' M e)^2 \} / (m-1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

This expression simplifies because of the symmetry property of the multivariate normal distribution. Noting that the expectations of all order-3 products vanish, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 \sum_i (y_i. - y_{..}) e_i. \hat{\delta}^2 &= E_2 \{ \mu' M e [4\mu' M \mu \mu' M e + 4\mu' M e e' M e] \\ &\quad + e' M e [(\mu' M \mu)^2 + 2\mu' M \mu e' M e \\ &\quad + 4(\mu' M e)^2 + (e' M e)^2] \} / (m-1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Computing term by term and neglecting terms of order less than m^{-1} leads to

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 \sum_i (y_i. - y_{..}) e_i. \hat{\delta}^2 &= n^{-1} \left\{ (m+1) \sigma. \delta_1^2 + 4\delta_1 \sum_i \delta_{1i} \sigma_i / m \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2n^{-2} \sigma. \left(\sum_i \sigma_i^2 / (m-1) - \sigma^2 \right) \right\} + O(m^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

an expression equivalent to (3.16).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a research grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. The authors would like to thank the referees for their useful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] ARAGON, Y. (1984). Random variance linear models: estimation. *Comput. Statist. Quart.* **1** 295–309.
- [2] GHOSH, M., AND MEEDEN, G. (1986). Empirical Bayes estimation in finite population sampling. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **81** 1058–1062.
- [3] PRASAD, N. G. N., AND RAO, J. N. K. (1990). The estimation of the mean square error of small area estimators. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **55** 163–171.
- [4] RAO, C. R., AND KLEFFE, J. (1988). *Estimation of Variance Components and Applications*. North-Holland, Amsterdam.